Electronic schematic for bio-plausible dopamine neuromodulation of eSTDP and iSTDP

Max Talanov^a, Yuriy Gerasimov^a, Victor Erokhin^{a,b}

^aKFU, Russia. ^bCNR-IMEM, Italy.

Abstract

In this technical report we present novel results of the dopamine bioplausible neuromodulation excitatory (eSTDP) and inhibitory (iSTDP) learning. We present the principal schematic for the neuromodulation of D1 and D2 receptors of dopamine, wiring schematic for both cases as well as the simulatory experiments results done in LTSpice.

Keywords: neuromodulation, dopamine, neuromorphic computing, affective computing, simulation

1. The experimental set-up

In our previous technical report we presented the electronic schematic to generate complex learning impulses for memristive devices to implement iSTDP and eSTDP learning functions (Talanov et al., 2017). We have also presented the physical implementations and experimental results for dopamine modulation implemented as an amplification of learning impulses. Based on works of Hennequin et al. (2017); Vogels et al. (2013); Gurney et al. (2015) we have extended our previous model with electronic schematic for bio-plausible neuromodulation for D1 and D2 dopamine receptors presented in the Fig. 1 (left graphs).

1.1. Block diagram

We have decided to use mixture of two functions that represents extreme positions in the dopamine modulation STDP "Sombrero" and Hebbian in-

Email addresses: max.talanov@gmail.com (Max Talanov), yurger2009@gmail.com (Yuriy Gerasimov), victor.erokhin@fis.unipr.it (Victor Erokhin)



Fig. 1: The block diagram of the neuromodulatory feedback with inputs for: "Sombrero" impulses, Hebbian impulses and DA input that identifies the level of the dopamine. For the complete description of input functions please see our previous technical report (Talanov et al., 2017)

dicated as S and H triangles in Fig. 1. Input signals of "Sombrero" and Hebbian learning functions are balanced via dopamine level represented as DA green triangle in Fig. 1. The dopamine level setts up gain for each input "Sombrero" - G_S and Hebbian - G_H respectively in corresponding amplifier indicated as Amplifier G_H and Amplifier G_S . The output of amplifiers is processed by the Adder that implements the mixture of two modulated via dopamine input learning functions. Two cases of the dopamine modulation is represented as two panels in the Fig. 1 with the main difference in initial learning function for the low level of the dopamine: for D1 inverted – "Sombrero" and for D2 – "Sombrero". Thus the Inverter that implements the inverted "Sombrero" for the low level of the dopamine is included in the block diagram for the D1 schematic.

1.2. Wiring schematic



Fig. 2: The electronic circuit schematic of the D1 modulation. The U18 is inverter to implement the D1 extreme learning function the inverted "Sombrero". U15 and U16 are learning impulses inverting amplifiers with gain set by dopamine level indicated as mod2 that modulates the resistance of R55 and R58. The output weighted inverting adder is represented as U17, where "Sombrero" signal amplitude is twice lower than Hebbian.

The wiring schematic of the dopamine modulation via receptor D1 is depicted in Fig. 2. The op-amp U18 plays the role of inverter for the "Sombrero" input signals to implement the extreme state of the learning function for D1 receptor the inverted "Sombrero" (see Fig.1). Two op-amps U15 and U16 are oppositely balanced amplifiers via resistances R55 and R58. The value of the R55 is set via digital potentiometer:

$$R = ((1 - V(mod2)) * 10^5 + 10^{-3})$$
(1)

The value of the R58 is set via digital potentiometer opposed to R55:

$$R = (V(mod2) * 10^5 + 10^{-3})$$
⁽²⁾

When V(mod) = 1V, according to eq.1, $R55 = 10^{-3}Ohm$, which makes gain almost equal zero. At the same time, from eq. 2R58 = 100K, combined with R57 makes gain equals to one. If V(mod) = 0 gains are opposite. The outbound signal of both amplifiers U15 and U16 is processed by the weighted adder implemented via U17. The "Sombrero" amplitude is twice lower than



Fig. 3: The electronic circuit schematic of the D2 modulation. U12 and U13 are learning impulses inverting amplifiers with gain set by dopamine level indicated as *mod* that modulates the resistance of R34 and R37. The output weighted inverting adder is represented as U14, where "Sombrero" signal amplitude is twice lower than Hebbian.

Hebbian, to match global amplitude change in process of dopamine modulation.

The modulation schematic for D2 receptor is done in similar way except for the inverter of the "Sombrero" input signals that in case of D2 receptor is not used. The neuromodulatory schematic is represented in Fig. 3.

1.3. Results

For the simulatory validation we have used LTSpice software framework. Inbound signals are presented in Fig. 4 where green graph is the "Sombrero" signals and blue is the Hebbian signals for both the D1 and D2 dopamine receptors.

The results of D1 modulation are indicated in Fig. 5 where the proportion of the influence of the inbound learning function over outbound signal is defined by the level of the dopamine or set up of resistors R55 and R58. The level of the dopamine is represented as the red graph. Learning impulses that indicate the mixture of two learning functions inverted "Sombrero" and Hebbian are represented as blue graph. The results of D2 modulation are presented in the Fig. 6 with the same color coding, where the level of the dopamine is identified via resistors R34 and R37.



Fig. 4: "Sombrero" (green) and Hebbian (blue) learning impulses.



Fig. 5: Simulation of the D1 circuit in Fig.2. "Dopamine" level (red) and D1 modulation result (blue).



Fig. 6: Simulation of the D2 circuit in Fig.3. "Dopamine" level (red) and D2 modulation result (blue).

The initial state of both graphs is low level of the dopamine and the learning function is inverted "Sombrero" for D1 and "Sombrero" for D2 respectively. The learning function for high level of the dopamine is Hebbian or $\frac{1}{x}$. The gradual mixture of initial learning functions with final Hebbian is represented during simulatory experiments and is depicted on both figures starting from 4 seconds till 40 seconds of the simulation.

References

- Gurney, K. N., Humphries, M. D., and Redgrave, P. (2015). A New Framework for Cortico-Striatal Plasticity: Behavioural Theory Meets In Vitro Data at the Reinforcement-Action Interface. *PLoS Biology*, 13(1):e1002034.
- Hennequin, G., Agnes, E. J., and Vogels, T. P. (2017). Inhibitory Plasticity: Balance, Control, and Codependence. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 40(1).
- Talanov, M., Zykov, E., Gerasimov, Y., Toschev, A., and Erokhin, V. (2017). Dopamine modulation via memristive schematic. CoRR, abs/1709.06325.

Vogels, T. P., Froemke, R. C., Doyon, N., Gilson, M., Haas, J. S., Liu, R., Maffei, A., Miller, P., Wierenga, C., Woodin, M. A., Zenke, F., and Sprekeler, H. (2013). Inhibitory synaptic plasticity: spike timingdependence and putative network function. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, 7:119.