

ON THE EXISTENCE AND STABILITY FOR NON-INSTANTANEOUS IMPULSIVE FRACTIONAL INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

J. VANTERLER DA C. SOUSA¹, D. SANTOS OLIVEIRA², AND E. CAPELAS DE OLIVEIRA¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper, by means of Banach fixed point theorem, we investigate the existence and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of the non-instantaneous impulsive integrodifferential equation by means of ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. In this sense, some examples are presented, in order to consolidate the results obtained.

Keywords: Non-instantaneous impulsive integrodifferential equation; ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative; Existence; Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability; Banach fixed point.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of impulsive differential and integrodifferential equations has been the subject of several papers, in particular, equations involving fractional differential and fractional integrodifferential operators [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. However, there are still few works on the existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions of fractional differential equations, in particular equations involving the Hilfer fractional derivative. The many works available usually employ the Caputo fractional derivative and the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative [2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10]. With the extension and emergence of new fractional derivatives of an even number N of variables, one possible way to carry new studies of existence, uniqueness, and Ulam–Hyers and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stabilities, is to use more general fractional derivatives [11].

The first ideas about Ulam–Hyers stability began in 1941 with Ulam and Hyers [12, 13, 14], from a response by Hyers to a problem proposed by Ulam, stating the result that is now known as the Ulam–Hyers stability theorem. In studying stability problems we are trying to answer the following question: When can we say that the solutions of an inequality are close to an exact solution of the corresponding equation? Until recently, this type of question had been raised only for equations involving integer-order differential operators [13, 14].

With the expansion of fractional calculus and the increasing number of researchers working with fractional derivatives and integrals, the studies about the existence, uniqueness, attractivity and stability of solutions of fractional differential equations have also grown in number [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18].

We can highlight here the investigation by Wang et al. [19] on a new class of switched systems of differential equations with nonlocal and impulsive initial conditions, using the Caputo derivative, by means of fixed point methods. On the other hand, Yang et al. [20], using the Caputo fractional derivative, extended some results on the Hausdorff orbital dependence of solutions of nonlinear differential non-instantaneous equations. We must also cite the work by Wang et al. [21] in which the authors investigated the generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stabilities of a new class of non-instantaneous fractional differential equation solutions.

Recently, Sousa and Oliveira introduced the so-called ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, of major importance to fractional calculus as it contains, as particular cases, a wide class of other fractional derivatives which, consequently, will have the same properties of the more general fractional derivative [11]. In this sense, there are already some works on the existence, uniqueness and Ulam–Hyers type stability for some classes of equations involving the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative [22, 23, 24, 25].

In this new work we present some results on the existence, uniqueness and stability of the solutions for a non-instantaneous impulsive fractional integrodifferential equation in order to consolidate a previous work [11] and to strengthen the theory of stability within the unified mathematical analysis fractional calculus [26].

Consider the fractional integrodifferential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^H\mathbb{D}_{s_i, 0^+}^{\alpha, \beta, \psi} x(t) &= f\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \quad t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m \\ x(t) &= g_i\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{cases}$$

where ${}^H\mathbb{D}_{s_i, 0^+}^{\alpha, \beta, \psi}(\cdot)$ is the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, whose parameters satisfy $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ [11].

To simplify the notation we introduce $\mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) := N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) K(s, x(s))$ and $\mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) := N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \ell(s, x(s))$ where $N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) = \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}$ and $0 = t_0 = s_0 < t_1 \leq s_1 \leq t_2 < \dots < t_m \leq s_m < t_{m+1} = T$ are fixed numbers. Also, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous; $K, \ell : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $g_i : [t_i, s_i] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ which is called not instantaneous impulse.

When we investigate the existence, uniqueness and Ulam–Hyers type stability of the solution of a particular integrodifferential equation, we expect that the results we will obtain will provide contributions to the area. On the other hand, as it is well known, when we consider non-integer order derivatives, in some applications, we usually obtain a better description of the phenomena under study. Then, the problem of choosing the fractional operator arises, since the definitions are numerous. Thus, the main motivation for this work is to present a new class of non-instantaneous impulsive fractional integrodifferential equations, by means

of the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative and to investigate the existence and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias type stability of the solutions of Eq.(1.1), making use of Banach’s fixed point theorem. In this sense, this paper provides new results which are valid for all possible particular cases of the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, which is an advantage of this fractional operator, as the properties of the more general operator are preserved in the particular cases.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we present some spaces that we need for the development of the paper. We also present a version of the concept of Ulam–Hyers–Rassias type stability for the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. In section 3, the main results of the paper are presented: the investigation on the existence and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of the fractional integrodifferential equation. In section 4, we present two examples in order to illustrate the results. Concluding remarks close the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $J = [0, T]$, $J' = (0, T]$ and $C(J, \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all continuous functions from J into \mathbb{R} and n -times absolutely continuous set, given by [11]

$$AC^n(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x^{(n-1)} \in AC(J, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

The weighted space of functions on J' is

$$C_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\gamma x(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R})\}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

The weighted space of functions on J' is

$$C_{\gamma, \psi}^n(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t) \in C^{n-1}(J, \mathbb{R}), x^{(n)}(t) \in C_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R})\}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

The weighted space is:

$$C_{\gamma, \psi}^{\alpha, \beta}(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{x \in C_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R}), {}^H\mathbb{D}_{a^+}^{\alpha, \beta, \psi} x \in C_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R})\right\}, \quad \gamma = \alpha + \beta(1 - \alpha).$$

We also recall the piecewise weighted function space

$$PC_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\gamma x(t) \in C_{\gamma, \psi}((t_k, t_{k+1}], \mathbb{R}), k \in [0, m]\}$$

and there exist $x(t_k^-)$ and $x(t_k^+)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ with $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k^+)$.

Meanwhile, we set

$$PC_{\gamma, \psi}^1(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x \in PC_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R}), x' \in PC_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R})\}$$

and

$$PC_{\gamma, \psi}^{\alpha, \beta}(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{x \in PC_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R}), {}^H\mathbb{D}_{0^+}^{\alpha, \beta, \psi} x \in PC_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R})\right\}.$$

The function $x \in PC_{\gamma,\psi}(J, \mathbb{R})$ is called a classical solution of the fractional impulsive Cauchy problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^H\mathbb{D}_{s_i,0^+}^{\alpha,\beta,\psi} x(t) &= f\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \quad t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m \\ x(t) &= g_i\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ I_{0^+}^{1-\gamma,\psi} x(0) &= x_0 \end{cases}$$

if x satisfies $I_{0^+}^{1-\gamma,\psi} x(0) = x_0$ and

$$x(t) = g_i\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$\begin{cases} x(t) &= \Psi^\gamma(t, 0) x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds, \quad t \in [0, t_1] \\ x(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f\left(s, x(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds + g_i\left(s_i, x(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \\ &t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m \end{cases}$$

with $\Psi^\gamma(t, 0) = \frac{(\psi(t) - \psi(0))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}$ and $N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) = \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}$.

Then, we introduce the concept of Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability for Eq.(1.1), motivated by the concepts of stability [27, 28, 29].

Let $\delta \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in PC_{\gamma,\psi}(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ be a nondecreasing function. Consider

$$(2.2) \quad \left| {}^H\mathbb{D}_{s_i,0^+}^{\alpha,\beta,\psi} y(t) - f\left(t, y(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y(s)) ds\right) \right| \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \left| y(t) - g_i\left(t, y(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y(s)) ds\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Definition 1. [29] *The Eq.(1.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to (φ, δ) if there exists $C_{f,g_i,\varphi} > 0$ such that for each solution $y \in PC_{\gamma,\psi}^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ of the Eq.(1.1) we have*

$$(2.4) \quad |y(t) - x(t)| \leq C_{f,g_i,\varphi}(\varphi(t) + \delta), \quad t \in J.$$

Obviously, if $y \in PC_{\gamma,\psi}^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the inequality Eq.(2.2) then y is a solution the following fractional integral inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \left| y(t) - g_i\left(t, y(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y(s)) ds\right) \right| \leq \delta, \quad t \in (t_i, s_i], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

$$(2.6) \quad \left| y(t) - \Psi^\gamma(t, 0) x_0 - \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, y(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \leq I_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \varphi$$

$t \in [0, t_1]$ and

$$(2.7) \quad y(t) - g_i \left(s_i, y(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y(s)) ds \right) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, y(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds$$

$t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}]$ with $i = 1, \dots, m$.

For a nonempty set X a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a metric on X if, and only if, d satisfies [22, 23]:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$

As the Banach fixed point theorem is important in the study of existence, uniqueness, and in the stability of solution of fractional differential equations, it is here after recalled.

Theorem 1. [22, 23] *Let (X, d) be a generalized complete metric space. Assume that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a strictly contractive with constant $L < 1$. If there exists a nonnegative integer k such that $d(T^{k+1}x, T^kx) < \infty$ for any $x \in X$, then the followings are true:*

- (1) *The sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point x^* of T ;*
- (2) *x^* is the unique fixed point of T in $X^* = \{y \in X | d(T^*x, y) < \infty\}$;*
- (3) *If $y \in X^*$, then $d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(Ty, y)$.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we investigate the existence and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of the solution of Eq.(1.1) by using Banach’s fixed point theorem.

For the development of this paper, we first introduce some hypotheses

$H_1.$ $f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$

$H_2.$ There exists a positive constant L_f such that

$$|f(t, u_1, v_1) - f(t, u_2, v_2)| \leq L_f(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|)$$

for each $t \in J$ and for all $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$H_3.$ $g_i \in C([t_i, s_i] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $L_{g_i} > 0$ constants, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$|g_i(t, u_1, v_1) - g_i(t, u_2, v_2)| \leq L_{g_i}(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|),$$

for each $t \in (t_i, s_i]$ and for all $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

H_4 . $k \in C([t_i, s_i] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\overline{K} > 0$ constant, such that

$$|k(t, u_1) - k(t, u_2)| \leq \overline{K}|u_1 - u_2|$$

for each $t \in J$ and for all $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

H_5 . $\ell \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $L > 0$ constant, such that

$$|\ell(t, u_1) - \ell(t, u_2)| \leq L|u_1 - u_2|$$

for each $t \in J$ and for all $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

H_6 . Let $\varphi \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ be a nondecreasing function. There exists $C_\varphi > 0$ such that

$$I_{0^+}^{\alpha, \psi} \varphi(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \varphi(s) ds \leq C_\varphi \varphi(t)$$

for each $t \in J$.

In what follows we will investigate the existence and generalized stability of Ulam–Hyers–Rassias of the solutions of Eq.(1.1) by means of Banach fixed point theorem (Theorem 1).

Theorem 2. *Assume that the hypotheses $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6$ are satisfied and a function $y \in PC_{\gamma, \psi}^1(J, \mathbb{R})$, satisfies Eq.(2.2). Then, there exists a unique solution $y_0 : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$y_0(t) = \Psi^\gamma(t, 0) x(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, y_0(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, y_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds$$

for $t \in [0, t_1]$; and

$$y_0(t) = g_i \left(t, y_0(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y_0(s)) ds \right)$$

for $t \in (t_i, s_i]$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$, and

$$(3.1) \quad y_0(t) = g_i \left(s_i, y_0(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y_0(s)) ds \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, y_0(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, y_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds$$

for $t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and

$$(3.2) \quad |y(t) - y_0(t)| \leq \frac{(1 + C_\varphi)(\varphi(t) + \delta)}{1 - \Phi}, \quad t \in J$$

provided that

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi := \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \left\{ \left(LC_\varphi + L \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + 1 \right) L_{g_i} + \left(\overline{K} \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} C_\varphi^2 + \overline{K} C_\varphi^2 + C_\varphi \right) L_f \right\} < 1.$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem will be carried out in three cases. First, we consider the space of piecewise weighted functions

$$(3.4) \quad X := \{g : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / g \in PC_{\gamma, \psi}(J, \mathbb{R})\}$$

and the generalized metric on X , defined by

$$(3.5) \quad d(g, h) = \text{Inf} \{C_1 + C_2 \in [0, \infty] / |g(t) - h(t)| \leq (C_1 + C_2)(\varphi(t) + \delta), t \in J\}$$

where

$$C_1 \in \{C \in [0, \infty] / |g(t) - h(t)| \leq C\varphi(t), t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}], i = 0, 1, \dots, m\}$$

and

$$C_2 \in \{C \in [0, \infty] / |g(t) - h(t)| \leq C\delta, t \in (t_i, s_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Note that, the (X, d) is a complete generalized metric space.

Also, we introduce the following operator $\Omega : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$(\Omega x)(t) = \Psi^\gamma(t, 0)x(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, x(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \text{ for } t \in [0, t_1]$$

and

$$(\Omega x)(t) = g_i \left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds \right), \text{ for } t \in (t_i, s_i] \text{ and } i = 0, 1, \dots, m;$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (\Omega x)(t) &= g_i \left(s_i, x(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) + \\ &\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, x(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, for all $x \in X$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Note that, the operator Ω , as defined above, is a well defined operator according to H_1, H_3, H_4 and H_5 .

The definition of metric d over the space X for any $g, h \in X$, **allows** to find $C_1, C_2 \in [0, \infty]$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad |g(t) - h(t)| \leq \begin{cases} C_1 \varphi(t) & t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}), i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ C_2 \delta & t \in (t_0, s_i), i = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

By means of the definition of Ω in Eq.(3.6), H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5 and Eq.(3.7) we have the following cases:

Case 1. $t \in [0, t_1]$.

We have

$$|(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, g(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, g(\tau)) d\tau \right) - f \left(s, h(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, h(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right|$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ |g(s) - h(s)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s N_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau) |k(\tau, g(\tau)) - k(\tau, h(\tau))| d\tau \right\} ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ C_1 \varphi(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s N_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau) \bar{K} |g(\tau) - h(\tau)| d\tau \right\} ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ C_1 \varphi(s) + \frac{C_1 \bar{K}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s N_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ C_1 \varphi(s) + C_1 \bar{K} C_\varphi \varphi(s) \right\} ds
\end{aligned}$$

or,

$$|(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \leq \frac{L_f C_1 (1 + \bar{K} C_\varphi)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \varphi(s) ds \leq L_f C_1 (1 + \bar{K} C_\varphi) C_\varphi \varphi(t).$$

Therefore, we have

$$|(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \leq L_f C_1 (1 + \bar{K} C_\varphi) C_\varphi \varphi(t).$$

Case 2. $t \in (t_i, s_i]$.

it holds

$$|(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| = \left| g_i \left(t, g(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, g(s)) ds \right) - g_i \left(t, h(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, h(s)) ds \right) \right|,$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| & \leq L_{g_i} \left(|g(t) - h(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) |\ell(s, g(s)) - \ell(s, h(s))| ds \right) \\
& \leq L_{g_i} \left(C_2 \delta + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L(C_1 + C_2) (\varphi(s) + \delta) ds \right) \\
& = L_{g_i} \left[C_2 \delta + L(C_1 + C_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \varphi(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \delta ds \right\} \right] \\
& \leq L_{g_i} \left[C_2 \delta + L(C_1 + C_2) \left(C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \delta \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$|(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \leq L_{g_i} \left[C_2 \delta + L(C_1 + C_2) \left(C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \delta \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \right].$$

Case 3. For $t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \\ = & \left| g_i \left(s_i, g(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, g(s)) ds \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, g(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, g(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right. \\ & \left. - \left| g_i \left(s_i, h(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, h(s)) ds \right) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, h(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, h(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \right| \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \\ \leq & L_{g_i} \left\{ |g(s_i) - h(s_i)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) |\ell(s, g(s)) - \ell(s, h(s))| ds \right\} + \\ & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ |g(s) - h(s)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s N_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau) |k(\tau, g(\tau)) - k(\tau, h(\tau))| d\tau \right\} ds \\ \leq & L_{g_i} \left\{ C_2 \delta + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L |g(s) - h(s)| ds \right\} + \\ & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ C_1 \varphi(s) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s N_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau) \bar{K} |g(\tau) - h(\tau)| d\tau \right\} ds \\ \leq & L_{g_i} \left\{ C_2 \delta + \frac{L}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) (C_1 + C_2) (\varphi(s) + \delta) ds \right\} + \\ & \frac{L_f}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) L_f \left\{ C_1 \varphi(s) + \frac{\bar{K}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s N_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau) (C_1 + C_2) (\varphi(\tau) + \delta) d\tau \right\} ds \\ \leq & L_{g_i} \left\{ C_2 \delta + L(C_1 + C_2) \left(C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \delta \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \right\} + \\ & L_f \left\{ C_1 C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \bar{K} (C_1 + C_2) \left(C_\varphi C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \delta \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha (\psi(t) - \psi(s_i))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \right\} \\ \leq & L_{g_i} \left\{ C_2 \delta + L(C_1 + C_2) \left(C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \delta \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \right\} + \\ & L_f \left\{ C_1 C_\varphi \varphi(t) + \bar{K} (C_1 + C_2) \left(C_\varphi^2 \varphi(t) + \delta \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) \right\} \\ \leq & \left[\begin{array}{l} \left(LC_\varphi + L \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + 1 \right) L_{g_i} \\ + \left(\frac{\bar{K} (\psi(T) - \psi(0))^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} C_\varphi^2 + \bar{K} C_\varphi^2 + C_\varphi \right) L_f \end{array} \right] (C_1 + C_2) (\varphi(t) + \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$(3.8) \quad |(\Omega g)(t) - (\Omega h)(t)| \leq \Phi(C_1 + C_2)(\varphi(t) + \delta), \quad t \in J$$

with

$$\Phi := \left(LC_\varphi + L \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + 1 \right) L_{g_i} + \left(\overline{K} \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^{2\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} C_\varphi^2 + \overline{K} C_\varphi^2 + C_\varphi \right) L_f < 1.$$

Therefore, from Eq.(3.8), we conclude that

$$d(\Omega g, \Omega h) \leq \Phi d(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in X$, provided the condition given by Eq.(3.3).

Now, consider $\widetilde{g}_0 \in X$. From the piecewise continuous property of g_0 and Ωg_0 , exists a constant $0 < \widetilde{H}_1 < \infty$, so that

$$|(\Omega g_0)(t) - g_0(t)| = \left| \Psi^\gamma(t, 0) x_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, g_0(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds - y_0(t) \right| \leq$$

for $t \in [0, t_1]$. On the other hand, also for $0 < \widetilde{H}_2 < \infty$ and $0 < \widetilde{H}_3 < \infty$,

$$|(\Omega g_0)(t) - g_0(t)| = \left| g_i \left(t, g_0(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, g_0(s)) ds \right) - g_0(t) \right| \leq \widetilde{H}_2(\varphi(t) + \delta)$$

for any $t \in (t_i, s_i]$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and

$$|(\Omega g_0)(t) - g_0(t)| = \left| g_i \left(s_i, g_0(s_i), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, g_0(s)) ds \right) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s_i}^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \times \right. \\ \left. f \left(s, g_0(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, g_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds - g_0(t) \right| \leq \widetilde{H}_3(\varphi(t) + \delta)$$

for any $t \in (s_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, since $f, g_i, g_0, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{s_i} \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, g_0(s)) ds$ and $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, g_0(\tau)) d\tau$ are bounded on J and $\varphi(\cdot) + \delta > 0$.

Then, Eq.(3.5) implies that

$$d(\Omega g_0, g_0) < \infty.$$

Then, there exists a continuous function $y_0 : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Omega^n g_0 \rightarrow y_0$ in (X, d) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Omega y_0 = y_0$ that is, y_0 satisfies Eq.(3.1) for every $t \in J$ by means of Banach fixed point theorem (Theorem 1).

Now, the next step, we will prove that

$$X = \{g \in X / d(g_0, g) < \infty\}.$$

Let $g \in X$. Note that g and g_0 are bounded on J and $\min_{t \in J}(\varphi(t) + \delta) > 0$, then there exists a constant $0 < C_g < \infty$ such that

$$|g_0(t) - g(t)| \leq C_g(\varphi(t) + \delta)$$

for any $t \in J$. Hence, we have $d(g_0, g) < \infty$ for all $g \in X$ that is

$$X = \{g \in X / d(g_0, g) < \infty\}.$$

Finally, we conclude the first part, i.e., y_0 is the unique continuous function satisfying Eq.(3.1).

On the other hand, by means of Eq.(2.5) and of the hypothesis H_6 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, \Omega y) &= \left| y(t) - \Psi^\gamma(t, 0)x_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) f \left(s, y(s), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ (3.9) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t N_\psi^\alpha(t, s) \varphi(s) ds \leq C_\varphi \varphi(t) \leq 1 + C_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(3.9) by $1 - \Phi$, we have

$$d(y, y_0) \leq \frac{d(\Omega y, y)}{1 - \Phi} \leq \frac{1 + C_\varphi}{1 - \Phi}, \quad t \in J.$$

By this last expression, we conclude that Eq.(3.2) is true. \square

4. EXAMPLES

In this section we will present only two examples, considered as particular cases of fractional integrodifferential equations, specifically one of them **is** the Riemann-Liouville sense (fractional) and another relative to the integer order derivative. We **recall** that, our result, involving the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, is the general case, because both Riemann-Liouville and integer order are recovered as particular cases.

First, we consider

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^H\mathbb{D}_{s_i, 0^+}^{\alpha, \beta, \psi} x(t) = f \left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds \right), & t \in [0, 1] \\ x(t) = g_i \left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds \right), & t \in (1, 2] \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \left| {}^H\mathbb{D}_{s_i, 0^+}^{\alpha, \beta, \psi} y(t) - f \left(t, y(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha k(t, s, y(s)) ds \right) \right| \leq \mathbb{E}_\alpha(t), & t \in [0, 1] \\ \left| y(t) - g_i \left(t, y(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, y(s)) ds \right) \right| \leq 1, & t \in (1, 2] \end{cases}$$

with

$$f \left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_\psi^\alpha(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) = \frac{1}{5 + \psi(t)} \left(|x(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \frac{|x(s)|}{10 + \psi(s)} ds \right)$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad x(t) = \frac{1}{(5 + \psi(t))(1 + |x(t)|)} \left(|x(t)| \int_0^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \frac{|x(s)|}{15 + \psi(s)} ds \right), \quad t \in (1, 2]$$

where $\mathbb{E}_\alpha(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma(\gamma k + 1)}$ is the one-parameter Mittag-Leffler function with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Also, relatively to Eq.(4.2) we simply exchange x for y .

4.1. Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. In this case we consider Eq.(4.1) and Eq.(4.2) with $J = [0, 2]$; $0 = t_0 = s_0 < t_1 = 1 < s_1 = 2$. Taking $\psi(t) = t$, $\alpha = 1/2$, the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and the following nonlinear functions

$$f\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) = \frac{1}{5+t} \left(|x(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x(s)|}{10+s} ds \right)$$

with $k(t, x(t)) = \frac{|x(t)|}{10+t}$, $\bar{k} = 1/10$, $L_f = 1/5$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$g_i\left(t, x(t), \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) = \frac{1}{(5+t)(1+|x(t)|)} \left(|x(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x(s)|}{15+s} ds \right)$$

with $\ell(t, x(t)) = \frac{|x(t)|}{15+t}$, $L = 1/15$, $L_{g_i} = 1/5$, $t \in (1, 2]$ we get a particular case of Eq.(4.1) and Eq.(4.2), involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative.

We put $\varphi(t) = \mathbb{E}_\alpha(t)$ and $\delta = 1$. Then, for $C_\varphi = 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t N_t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, s) \mathbb{E}_{1/2}(s) ds \leq \mathbb{E}_{1/2}(t).$$

By, a simple but tedious calculation, we get $\Phi = \frac{3}{8} < 1$ and by Theorem 2 there exists a unique solution $y_0 : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$y_0(t) = \frac{t^{-1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} x(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{5+s} \left(|y_0(s)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-1/2} \frac{y_0(\tau)}{10+\tau} d\tau \right) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad y_0(t) = \frac{1}{(5+t)(1+|y_0(t)|)} \left(|y_0(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} \frac{y_0(s)}{15+s} ds \right), \quad t \in (1, 2],$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad |y(t) - y_0(t)| \leq \frac{(1+C_\varphi)(\varphi(t) + \delta)}{1-\Phi} = \frac{54}{19} \mathbb{E}_{1/2}(t+1), \quad t \in [0, 2].$$

4.2. Integer derivative. As in the precedent case, consider Eq.(4.1) and Eq.(4.2) with $J = [0, 2]$; $0 = t_0 = s_0 < t_1 = 1 < s_1 = 2$. Taking $\psi(t) = t$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1/2$ and the following nonlinear functions

$$f\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_t^1(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) = \frac{1}{5+t} \left(|x(t)| + \int_0^t N_t^1(t, s) \frac{|x(s)|}{10+s} ds \right)$$

with $k(t, x(t)) = \frac{|x(t)|}{10+t}$, $\bar{k} = 1/10$, $L_f = 1/5$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and

$$g_i \left(t, x(t), \int_0^t \mathcal{M}_t^1(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) = \frac{1}{(5+t)(1+|x(t)|)} \left(|x(t)| + \int_0^t N_t^1(t, s) \frac{|x(s)|}{15+s} ds \right)$$

with $\ell(t, x(t)) = \frac{|x(t)|}{15+t}$, $L = 1/15$, $L_{g_i} = 1/5$, $t \in (1, 2]$ we get a particular case of Eq.(4.1) and Eq.(4.2), involving integer derivative. We put $\varphi(t) = \mathbb{E}_1(t) = e^t$ and $\delta = 1$. Then, for $C_\varphi = 1$ we have

$$\int_0^t N_t^1(t, s) \mathbb{E}_1(s) ds \leq \mathbb{E}_1(t) = e^t.$$

Also, here, after a simple and tedious calculation we can show $\Phi = \frac{14}{25} < 1$ and by Theorem 2, there exists a unique solution $y_0 : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$y_0(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t \frac{1}{5+s} \left(|y_0(s)| + \int_0^s \frac{|y_0(\tau)|}{1+\tau} d\tau \right) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$y_0(t) = \frac{1}{(5+t)(1+|y_0(t)|)} \left(|y_0(t)| + \int_0^t \frac{|y_0(s)|}{15+s} ds \right), \quad t \in (1, 2]$$

and

$$|y(t) - y_0(t)| \leq \frac{(1+C_\varphi)(\varphi(t) + \delta)}{1-\Phi} = \frac{50}{11}(e^t + 1), \quad t \in [0, 2].$$

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We can conclude that the main results of this paper have been successfully achieved, through Banach's fixed point theorem. We investigated the existence and Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of an impulsive integrodifferential equation written with the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. We hope that this paper will prove to be important to mathematics, especially for researchers working with problems involving impulsive fractional differential equations.

A possible continuation of this research might be finding an answer to this question: Would it be possible to obtain results similar to the ones we have presented in space $L_{p,\alpha}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ with norm $\|(\cdot)\|_{p,\alpha}$ using the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative, Brouwer's fixed point and/or Schauder's fixed point theorems[19, 30, 31]?

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Dr. J. Emílio Maiorino for several fruitful discussions and to the anonymous referees, whose suggestions improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balachandran K., Kiruthika S., Trujillo J. J.. Existence results for fractional impulsive integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 2011;16(4):1970–1977.
- [2] Balachandran K., Annapoorani N.. Existence results for impulsive neutral evolution integrodifferential equations with infinite delay. *Nonl. Analysis.* 2009;3(4):674–684.
- [3] Balachandran K., Kiruthika S., Trujillo J. J.. Remark on the existence results for fractional impulsive integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 2012;17(6):2244–2247.
- [4] Abbas S., Benchohra M., Lagreg J. E., Alsaedi A., Zhou Y.. Existence and Ulam stability for fractional differential equations of Hilfer-Hadamard type. *Adv. in Diff. Equa.* 2017;2017(1):180.
- [5] Gou H., Li B.. Study on Sobolev type Hilfer fractional integro-differential equations with delay. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2018;20(1):44.
- [6] Sivasankari A., Leelamani A.. Existence of mild solutions for an impulsive fractional neutral integro-differential equations with non-local conditions in Banach spaces.. *Nonlinear Studies.* 2017;24(3).
- [7] Sousa J. Vanterler da C., Oliveira E. Capelas de. A Gronwall inequality and the Cauchy-type problem by means of ψ -Hilfer operator, arXiv:1709.03634, (2017). ;.
- [8] Gou H., Li B.. Local and global existence of mild solution to impulsive fractional semilinear integro-differential equation with noncompact semigroup. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 2017;42:204–214.
- [9] Anguraj A., Karthikeyan P., Rivero M., Trujillo J. J.. On new existence results for fractional integro-differential equations with impulsive and integral conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 2014;66(12):2587–2594.
- [10] Li B., Gou H.. Existence of solutions for impulsive fractional evolution equations with periodic boundary condition. *Adv. Diff. Equ.* 2017;2017(1):236.
- [11] Sousa J. Vanterler da C., Oliveira E. Capelas de. On the ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 2018;60:72–91.
- [12] Obloza M.. Hyers stability of the linear differential equation. *Rocznik Naukowo-Dydaktyczny. Prace Matematyczne.* 1993;13(13):259–270.
- [13] Hyers D. H.. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. National Academy of Sciences.* 1941;27(4):222–224.
- [14] Rassias T. M.. On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1978;72(2):297–300.
- [15] Fec M., Zhou Y., Wang J.. On the concept and existence of solution for impulsive fractional differential equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 2012;17(7):3050–3060.
- [16] Aghajani A., Jalilian Y., Trujillo J. J.. On the existence of solutions of fractional integro-differential equations. *Frac. Cal. and Appl. Anal.* 2012;15(1):44–69.
- [17] Kumar P., Haloi R., Bahuguna D., Pandey D. N.. Existence of solutions to a new class of abstract non-instantaneous impulsive fractional integro-differential equations. *Nonl. Dyn. and Systems Theory.* 2016;:73.
- [18] Benchohra M., Lazreg J. E.. Existence results for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations with impulse. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* 2015;19:413–426.
- [19] Wang J., Feckan M., Zhou Y.. **Fractional order differential switched systems with coupled nonlocal initial and impulsive conditions.** *Bull. Sci. Math.* 2017;141(7):727–746.
- [20] Yang D., Wang J., O'Regan D.. **On the orbital Hausdorff dependence of differential equations with non-instantaneous impulses.** *Comptes Rendus Mathematique.* 2018;356(2):150–171.
- [21] Wang J., Zhou Y., Lin Z.. **On a new class of impulsive fractional differential equations.** *Appl. Math. and Comput.* 2014;242:649–657.
- [22] Sousa J. Vanterler da C., Oliveira E. Capelas de. Ulam—Hyers stability of a nonlinear fractional Volterra integro-differential equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2018;81:50–56.

- [23] Oliveira E. Capelas de, Sousa J. Vanterler da C.. **Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability for a class of fractional integro-differential equations.** *Results Math.* 2018;73(3):111.
- [24] Sousa J. Vanterler da C., Oliveira E. Capelas de. **Fractional Order Pseudoparabolic Partial Differential Equation: Ulam–Hyers Stability.** *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series.* 2018;:1–16.
- [25] Sousa J. Vanterler da C., Oliveira E. Capelas de. **On the Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability for non-linear fractional differential equations using the ψ -Hilfer operator.** *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2018;20(3):96.
- [26] Herrmann R.. *Fractional Calculus: An Introduction for Physicists.* World Scientific Publ. Comp, New Jersey; 2014.
- [27] Lin Z., Wei W., Wang J.. Existence and stability results for impulsive integro-differential equations. *Facta Universitatis.* 2014;29(2):119–130.
- [28] Rus I. A.. Ulam stability of ordinary differential equations. *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Mathematica.* 2009;(4).
- [29] Wang J., Fec M., Zhou Y.. Ulam’s type stability of impulsive ordinary differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* 2012;395(1):258–264.
- [30] Shamash E. R.. *Fixed Point Theory: Banach, Brouwer and Schauder Theorems.* California State University, Northridge; 2000.
- [31] Toledano J. M. A., Benavides T. D., Acedo G.. *Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory.* Birkhauser Verlag, Basel; 1997.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTATION, UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS – UNICAMP, RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA 651, 13083–859, CAMPINAS SP, BRAZIL

COORDINATION OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, TECHNOLOGICAL FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PARANA, 85053- 525, GUARAPUAVA/PR E-MAIL: ra160908@ime.unicamp.br, oliveiradaniela@utfpr.edu.br, capelas@ime.unicamp.br.