

Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities via mass transportation method and their affine versions

Van Hoang Nguyen*

June 1, 2018

Abstract

Exploiting the mass transportation method, we prove a dual principle which implies directly the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities which was recently proved by Bolley et al. [5]. Moreover, we determine all optimal functions for these obtained sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities. This settles a question left open in [5]. Finally, we use the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality to establish their affine versions (i.e., the sharp affine Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities) which generalize a recent result of De Nápoli et al. [12]. It was shown that the affine versions are stronger and imply the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities. We also determine all extremal functions for the sharp affine Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities.

1 Introduction

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$ be its dual norm, i.e., $\|x\|_* = \sup_{\|y\| \leq 1} x \cdot y$. Throughout this paper, we shall denote by

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{z = (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : t \geq 0\},$$

for the upper half space of \mathbb{R}^n and by e the vector $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(0, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$. For $p \in (1, n)$ and $q > 1$, we denote $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ the set of all functions $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that its distributional gradient ∇f belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. In recent paper [5],

*Institute of Research and Development, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, Vietnam.

Email: vanhoang0610@yahoo.com.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26D10, 26D15, 46E35, 42A40.

Key words and phrases: Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities, affine Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities, Brenier map, optimal function.

Bolley et al., have proved the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities on \mathbb{R}_+^n for $a \geq n > p > 1$

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{p\frac{a-1}{a-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \leq D_{n,p,a} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{p\frac{a-1}{a-p}} dz \right)^{(1-\theta)\frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \quad (1.1)$$

for any smooth function $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, where θ is determined by the scaling invariant of (1.1) and given by

$$\theta = \frac{a-p}{p(a-n) + n-p}. \quad (1.2)$$

The inequality (1.1) is sharp, and the optimal constant $D_{n,p,a}$ is reached by function

$$f(z) = h_p(z) = \|z + e\|^{-\frac{a-p}{p-1}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

It is an analogue of the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities due to Del Pino and Dolbeault [13, 14] (see [11] for another proof by using mass transportation method) in the trace case. It contains the sharp Sobolev trace inequality as a special case with $a = n$ which was proved by Nazaret [29]. In [5], the inequality (1.1) was derived from a new kind of Borell–Brascamp–Lieb inequality (see Theorem 6 in [5]). The latter inequality also leads to the sharp Sobolev inequalities [1, 33], the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities [11, 13, 14] and the sharp Sobolev trace inequalities [29]. This method gives a new bridge between the geometric point of view of the Brunn–Minkowski inequality and the functional point of view of the Sobolev type inequalities. Notice that the relation between Brunn–Minkowski inequality and the Sobolev type inequalities was observed by Bobkov and Ledoux. It has shown by Bobkov and Ledoux [3, 4] that the Sobolev type inequalities (such as logarithmic Sobolev inequality and Sobolev inequalities) can be reached by a functional version of Brunn–Minkowski inequality known as Borell–Brascamp–Lieb inequality due to Borell [6] and Brascamp and Lieb [7]. However, we can not use the standard functional version of the Borell–Brascamp–Lieb inequality (see [4]). It was asked by Bobkov and Ledoux whether the Sobolev inequalities can be proved directly from a new kind of Borell–Brascamp–Lieb inequality. The paper [5] answers affirmatively this question. However, it left open the question on the extremal functions for the inequality (1.1). It was conjectured in [5] that the equality holds in (1.1) if and only if $f = h_p$ up to translations, dilations and multiplicative constants.

The aim of this paper is to give a new and direct proof of the inequality (1.1) based on the mass transportation method. It is well known that the mass transportation method is an useful tool to prove some inequalities (in sharp form) both in analysis and geometry (such as Brunn–Minkowski inequality and its functional version, the isoperimetric inequality, the sharp Sobolev and sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities [11, 30], the sharp Sobolev trace inequality [29], and some Gaussian type inequalities [10]). In fact, we shall use the mass transportation method to prove a dual principle (see Theorem 1.1 below) which immediately implies the inequality (1.1). Furthermore, tracing back to estimates in

this method, we can show that the optimal constant $D_{n,p,a}$ is reached only by h_p up to translations, dilations and multiplicative constants (see Theorem 1.2 below). This settles a question left open in [5]. Note that the case $a = n$ (corresponding to the Sobolev trace inequality) was recently treated by Maggi and Neumayer [25] by using again the mass transportation method. For a function f on \mathbb{R}_+^n , we denote $\|f\|_p$ the L^p -norm of f with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}_+^n , i.e.,

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(z)|^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Our main results read as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a \geq n > p > 1$, and let f and g be functions in $\mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p = \|h_p\|_p$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |g|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz - (a-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |g|^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \\ & \leq (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{(a-1)(p-1)^{p-1}}{(a-p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz - \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

with equality if $f = g = h_p$. As a consequence, we have the following dual principle

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|g\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|h_p\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |g|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz - (a-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |g|^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right) \\ & = \inf_{\|f\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|h_p\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}} \left((a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{(a-1)(p-1)^{p-1}}{(a-p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

with h_p is extremal in both variational problems. Furthermore, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1) holds.

Concerning to the optimal functions for the inequality (1.1), we have

Theorem 1.2. *A function $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is optimal in the Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1) if and only if*

$$f(t, x) = ch_p(\lambda(t, x - x_0)), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

As mentioned above, the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on the mass transportation method. We shall briefly recall some facts from this method. Let μ and ν be two Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n of the same total measure such that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then there exists a convex function φ on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(y) d\nu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(\nabla\varphi(z)) d\mu(z). \quad (1.5)$$

for every bounded or positive, Borel measurable function $b : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [8, 27]). Furthermore, $\overline{\nabla\varphi(\text{supp } \mu)} = \text{supp } \nu$ and $\nabla\varphi$ is uniquely determined $d\mu$ -almost everywhere. We call $\nabla\varphi$ the *Brenier map* which transports μ to ν . See [35] for a review and discussion of existing proofs of this map. Since φ is convex, it is differentiable almost everywhere on its domain $\{\varphi < \infty\}$; in particular, it is differentiable $d\mu$ -almost everywhere. In addition, if μ and ν are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , with densities F and G respectively. Then $\nabla\varphi$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(y) G(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(\nabla\varphi(z)) F(z) dz \quad (1.6)$$

for every bounded or positive, Borel measurable function $b : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is well-known that $\nabla\varphi$ solves the following Monge–Ampère equation in the $F(x)dx$ -almost everywhere sense (see [28])

$$F(z) = G(\nabla\varphi(z)) \det(D_A^2\varphi(z)) \quad (1.7)$$

where $D_A^2\varphi$ is Hessian of φ in Aleksandrov sense, i.e., as the absolutely continuous part of the distributional Hessian of the convex function φ .

In the proofs below, it is required to use Hölder’s and Young’s inequality. We recall them below. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^n , B its unit ball and $\|\cdot\|_*$ its dual norm. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, Young’s inequality holds

$$X \cdot Y \leq \frac{\lambda^{-p}}{p} \|X\|_*^p + \frac{\lambda^q}{q} \|Y\|^q, \quad q = \frac{p}{p-1}. \quad (1.8)$$

For $X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_*)$ in L^p and $Y : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ in L^q , integration of (1.8) and optimization in λ gives Hölder’s inequality in the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} X(z) \cdot Y(z) dz \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|X(z)\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|Y(z)\|^q dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Since $\|\cdot\|$ is a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n , it is differentiable almost everywhere. When $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is such a point of differentiability, the gradient of the norm at z is the unique vector $z^* = \nabla(\|\cdot\|)(z)$ such that

$$\|z^*\|_* = 1, \quad z \cdot z^* = \|z\| = \sup_{\|y\|_* = 1} z \cdot y. \quad (1.10)$$

These equalities will be used to verify the extremality of h_p .

As an application of the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities (1.1), we shall establish the sharp affine Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities in the half space \mathbb{R}_+^n . We mention here that the sharp affine Sobolev trace inequality was recently established by De Nápoli et al., [12]. Let $p \in (1, n)$, let us denote by $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ the set of all functions f in \mathbb{R}_+^n such that its distribution gradient belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and the set $\{|f| > s\}$ has finite measure for any $s > 0$. It is known that $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{np}{n-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ (see [30]). Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we set

$$\mathcal{E}_p(f) = c_{n-1,p} \left(\int_{S^{n-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\langle \nabla f(x), \xi \rangle|^p dx \right)^{-\frac{n-1}{p}} d\xi \right)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

where S^{n-2} denotes the unit sphere in $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and

$$c_{n,p} = (n\omega_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{n\omega_n\omega_{p-1}}{2\omega_{n+p-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \omega_s = \frac{\pi^{\frac{s}{2}}}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{s}{2})}, \quad s > 0.$$

It was proved in [12] that if $p \in (1, n)$, $n \geq 3$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(0, x)|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n-1}} \leq p\mathcal{B}_{n,p}\mathcal{E}_p(f)^{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_t f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,p} = \pi^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{n-p} \right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{p})\Gamma(\frac{n(p-1)+1}{p})} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{n-1}}.$$

The inequality (1.11) is sharp and there is equality in (1.11) if

$$f(t, x) = \pm ((\lambda t + \delta)^p + |A(x - x_0)|^p)^{-\frac{n-p}{p(p-1)}}, \quad (1.12)$$

for some constant $\lambda, \delta > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $A \in \text{GL}_{n-1}$.

The proof of (1.11) given in [12] is based on the sharp Sobolev trace inequality due to Nazaret [29] and the sharp affine L_p isoperimetric inequalities due to Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [20] (more precisely, the L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality). This approach was already used in [19] to give a new proof of the sharp affine L_p Sobolev inequalities due to Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [21, 23]. The advantage of this new method is that it is more simple than the ones of Lutwak, Yang and Zhang, and avoids the use of the solution of the L_p Minkowski problem [22] which is still open in general. It was further developed by the author in [31] to prove a general affine Pólya–Szegő principle and its equality characterization (i.e., a Brothers–Ziemer type result). Applying these results, the author gave a new proof of general affine Sobolev inequalities and classified the extremal functions for these inequalities which remains open from the previous works (e.g., see [16, 18, 36]). It is remarkable by Jensen’s inequality and Young’s inequality that

$$\mathcal{E}_p(f)^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla_x f(t, x)|^p dx dt$$

where $\nabla_x f$ is the gradient of f in variables $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Hence, we have from (1.11)

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(0, x)|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n-1}} \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \mathcal{B}_{n,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (|\nabla_x f|^p + |\partial_t f|^p) dt dx, \quad (1.13)$$

which is exactly the Sobolev trace inequality of Nazaret with the norm defined by $\|(t, x)\| = (|t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$. In the special case $p = 2$, the inequality (1.13) reduces to the famous sharp Sobolev trace inequality due to Beckner [2] and Escobar [15]. In particular, the inequality (1.11) is stronger than and implies the sharp Sobolev trace inequality of Beckner and Escobar.

Concerning to the extremal functions for the inequality (1.11), it was proved in [12] (see Theorem 1.1) that the extremal functions for (1.11) in the case $p = 2$ are only functions of the form (1.12) with $p = 2$. This follows from the characterization of extremal functions for the Sobolev trace inequality due to Beckner and Escobar, and the equality case in the L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality (see [20]). The case $p \neq 2$ was left open since the lack of characterization of extremal functions for the Sobolev trace inequality due to Nazaret [29]. However, as mentioned above, the extremal functions for the Sobolev trace inequality already was treated by Maggi and Neumayer (see [25]). Hence, as for the case $p = 2$, we can conclude that all extremal functions for the inequality (1.11) are of the form (1.12). Another important consequence of Theorem 1.2 is that the inequality (1.11) does not imply the classical sharp Sobolev trace inequality

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(0, x)|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} \leq \mathcal{K}_{n,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla f(t, x)|^p dx dt,$$

with $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}$ is the sharp constant. We refer the reader to the end of the paper [12] for more detail discussions about this fact.

Notice that the inequality (1.11) possesses an important affine invariant property as described below. Denote by $\text{GL}_{n,+}$ the set of matrices having the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & B & & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $B \in \text{GL}_{n-1}$. For any $A \in \text{GL}_{n,+}$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we define $g(t, x) = f(A(t, x)) = f(\lambda t, Bx)$, the simple computations show that

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |g(0, x)|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n-1}} = |\det B|^{-\frac{n-p}{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(0, x)|^{\frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-p}{n-1}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_p(g)^{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_t g(t, x)|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |\det B|^{-\frac{n-p}{n-1}} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^{p-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\partial_t f(t, x)|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence, the inequality (1.11) is $\text{GL}_{n,+}$ invariant. It is easy to see that $\text{GL}_{n,+}$ is a subgroup of $\text{SGL}_{n,+}$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & B & \\ a_{n-1} & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $B \in \text{GL}_{n-1}$. Obviously, \mathbb{R}_+^n is invariant under the action of elements in $\text{SGL}_{n,+}$. This suggests that the inequality (1.11) can be strengthened to a $\text{SGL}_{n,+}$ invariant form. We will show that this is the case. Indeed, using Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and the sharp L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality, we shall prove a family of the affine sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities in \mathbb{R}_+^n which contains the inequality (1.11) as a special case. To state our next result, let us introduce a new quantity on $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ which is $\text{SGL}_{n,+}$ invariant. For $f \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we denote

$$\mathcal{A}_p(f)^p = c_{n,p,a}^p (\mathcal{E}_p(f))^{p-1 - \frac{(p-1)(a-n)}{a-1}} \inf_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,\vec{a})} f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p} \left(1 + \frac{(p-1)(a-n)}{a-1} \right)}.$$

where

$$c_{n,p,a} = \left[\frac{p(a-1)}{(p-1)(n-1)} \left(\frac{(p-1)(n-1)}{p(a-n) + n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{(p-1)(a-n)}{p(a-1)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and $D_{(1,\vec{a})} f$ denotes the derivative of f in the direction $(1, \vec{a})$, i.e.,

$$D_{(1,\vec{a})} f(t, x) = \partial_t f(t, x) + \langle \vec{a}, \nabla_x f(t, x) \rangle.$$

Given a matrix $A \in \text{SGL}_{n,+}$ which is determined by a $\lambda > 0$, $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $B \in \text{GL}_{n-1}$, define $g(t, x) = f(A(t, x)) = f(\lambda t, \vec{a}t + Bx)$. It is not hard to see that

$$\mathcal{A}_p(g) = |\det B|^{-\frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \lambda^{\frac{(p-1)(a-n)}{p(a-1)}} \mathcal{A}_p(f). \quad (1.14)$$

The affine version of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1) is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a \geq n > p > 1$. Then it holds*

$$\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(0, x)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \mathcal{A}_p(f)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(t, x)|^{p \frac{a-1}{a-p}} dx dt \right)^{(1-\theta) \frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \quad (1.15)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, where θ is given by (1.2) and $\mathcal{D}_{n,a,p}$ is the sharp constant whose value is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} &= \left(\frac{p-1}{a-p} \right)^\theta \left(\frac{p(a-n) + n-p}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p} + (1-\theta) \frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (a-1) \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{q} + 1) \Gamma(a - \frac{n-1}{q} - 1)} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q(a-1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

Moreover, the equality in (1.15) holds if and only if

$$f(t, x) = c h_p(A(t, x - x_0)) \quad (1.17)$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $A \in \text{SGL}_{n,+}$, where $h_p(t, x) = ((1+t)^q + |x|^q)^{-\frac{a-p}{p-1}}$.

In the case $a = n$, we then have $\theta = 1$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_p(f)^p = \frac{p}{(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^{p-1} \inf_{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \|D_{(1,\bar{a})} f\|_p \leq \frac{p}{(p-1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^{p-1} \|\partial_t f\|_p.$$

Hence, the inequality (1.15) is stronger than the inequality (1.11) when $a = n$. Moreover, by Young inequality and $\mathcal{E}_p(f)^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla_x f|^p dx dt$, we can easily show that

$$\mathcal{A}_p(f)^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (|\partial_t f|^p + |\nabla_x f|^p) dx dt,$$

Hence the inequality (1.15) is stronger than the inequality (1.1) with the norm $\|(t, x)\| = (|t|^q + |x|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. In particular, for $p = q = 2$, the inequality (1.15) is stronger than the inequality (1.1) with the euclidean norm. Furthermore, for the case $p \neq 2$, the inequality (1.15) does not imply the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1) with the euclidean norm because the set of extremal functions of two these inequalities are different.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we use the mass transportation method to prove Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.2 will be proved in section 3 by refining the step in proof of Theorem 1.1. In section 4, we prove the sharp affine Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality and determine the extremal functions (i.e., proving Theorem 1.3).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 via the mass transportation method. Remark that $\|\nabla|f|\|_* \leq \|\nabla f\|_*$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, then it suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 for nonnegative functions. We start by proving the inequality (1.3) for $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{ap}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $g \in L^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ which are compactly supported, nonnegative, smooth functions such that $\|f\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|g\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|h_p\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}$ (Note that this assumption makes sense since $\mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ by the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality on half space). The extra assumptions imposed on f and g ensure some regularity properties of the Brenier map pushing $f^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$ forward to $g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$. Indeed, let φ be such a Brenier map. As was shown in [24], the convex function φ can be assumed to have as its domain the whole space \mathbb{R}^n since g is compactly supported in \mathbb{R}_+^n . Thus $\nabla\varphi$ has locally bounded variation in \mathbb{R}_+^n up to the boundary $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. By (1.7), $\nabla\varphi$ satisfies the quation

$$f^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(z) = g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(\nabla\varphi(z)) \det(D_A^2\varphi(z)),$$

for $f^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$ -a.e. z . The preceding equation together with the definition of mass transportation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} g^{-\frac{p}{a-p}} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} g(\nabla\varphi(z))^{-\frac{p}{a-p}} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} [\det(D_A^2\varphi(z))]^{\frac{1}{a}} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

By the arithmetic-geometric inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\det(D_A^2\varphi(z))]^{\frac{1}{a}} &= \left[[\det(D_A^2\varphi(z))]^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{\frac{n}{a}} \\ &\leq \frac{n}{a} [\det(D_A^2\varphi(z))]^{\frac{1}{n}} + \frac{a-n}{a} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \Delta_A\varphi(z) + \frac{a-n}{a}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Plugging (2.2) into (2.1) and using the inequality $\Delta_A\varphi \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{D}'}\varphi$ where $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}'}\varphi$ denotes the distributional Laplacian of φ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz &\leq \frac{a-n}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{1}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A\varphi(z) dz \\ &\leq \frac{a-n}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{1}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}'}\varphi(z) dz \\ &\leq \frac{a-n}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{1}{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}'}\psi(z) dz, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\psi(z) = \varphi(z) + e \cdot z$ which has the same distributional Laplacian as φ . Note that f is smooth and has compact support, then we can use the integration by parts formula for functions of bounded variation. This leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}'}\psi(z) dz = -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla f \cdot \nabla\psi f^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} dz - \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \nabla\psi \cdot e dx, \quad (2.4)$$

since $-e$ is the exterior normal vector to $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. By definition of the mass transportation, $\nabla\varphi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ which means that $\nabla\varphi \cdot e \geq 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Since $e \cdot e = 1$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}'}\psi(z) dz \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla f \cdot \nabla\psi f^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} dz - \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.5), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz &\leq (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz - \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla f \cdot \nabla\psi f^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} dz \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Applying Hölder inequality and the definition of mass transportation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \psi f^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} dz &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla \psi\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} f^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Inserting (2.7) into (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz &\leq - \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx + (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Since the Brenier map $\nabla \varphi$ does not appear in (2.8), we can remove the compactness and smoothness assumptions imposed on f and g at this stage by density argument. The estimate (2.8) hence remains hold for any nonnegative functions $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $g \in L^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|g\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|h_p\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}$. Let us remark that when $f = g = h_p$ then $\nabla \varphi(z) = z$ which implies the equality in (2.8).

By Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ \leq \frac{a-p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz + \frac{1}{p} \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{(a-p)^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

with equality if $f = g = h_p$. Therefore, the inequality (1.3) follows from the estimates (2.8) and (2.9), with equality if $f = g = h_p$. This leads to the dual principle (1.4).

It remains to prove the Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1). Since

$$\sup_{\|g\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|h_p\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}} \left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |g|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz - (a-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |g|^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_p^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz =: A.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{(a-1)(p-1)^{p-1}}{(a-p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz - A, \quad (2.10)$$

with equality if $f = h_p$. Using again Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(a-1)(p-1)^{p-1}}{(a-p)^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz &= \frac{(a-1)^{\frac{a-p}{a-1}} (p-1)^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz}{(a-p)^p A^{\frac{p-1}{a-1}}} \left(\frac{a-1}{p-1} A \right)^{\frac{p-1}{a-1}} \\ &\leq A + \left(\frac{p-1}{(a-p)A^{\frac{1}{a}}} \right)^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a-p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

with equality if $f = h_p$. Combining (2.11) with (2.10) yields

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \left(\frac{p-1}{(a-p)A^{\frac{1}{a}}} \right)^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a-p}}, \quad (2.12)$$

with equality if $f = h_p$. If $a = n$, the inequality (2.12) gives the sharp Sobolev trace inequalities due to Nazaret [29]. If $a > n$, denote $B = \left(\frac{p-1}{(a-p)A^{\frac{1}{a}}} \right)^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}}$ and $f_\lambda = \lambda^{\frac{n(a-p)}{ap}} f(\lambda \cdot)$, for $\lambda > 0$. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f_\lambda|^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_p^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz, \\ \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f_\lambda|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx &= \lambda^{1-\frac{n}{a}} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f_\lambda|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz &= \lambda^{-\frac{n}{a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f_\lambda\|_*^p dz = \lambda^{p(1-\frac{n}{a})} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz.$$

Replacing f by f_λ in (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx &\leq \lambda^{-1} (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(z)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz \\ &\quad + \lambda^{\frac{(a-n)(p-1)}{a-p}} \left(\frac{p-1}{(a-p)A^{\frac{1}{a}}} \right)^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a-p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. The estimate (2.13) is exactly formula (46) in [5] with a different normalization condition on f . Optimizing the right hand side of (2.13) over $\lambda > 0$, we obtain the inequality (1.1) for any function f with $\|f\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|h_p\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}$. By homogeneity, the inequality (1.1) holds for any function f .

The optimality of (1.1) is checked directly by using function h_p . Indeed, the equality holds true in (2.12) if $f = h_p$ and $\lambda = 1$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla h_p\|_*^p dz = \frac{(a-p)^p}{(p-1)^p} A,$$

hence the right hand side of (2.12) is minimized at $\lambda = 1$ when $f = h_p$. This proves the optimality of (1.1). The proof of Theorem 1.1 was completely finished.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

This section is devoted to prove Theorem 1.2 concerning to the extremal functions for the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1). We follow the arguments in [11] dealing with the identification of the extremal functions for the sharp Sobolev inequality. To do this, we trace back to the equality cases in the estimates used in the previous section on the Monge–Ampère equation (1.7), the definition of mass transportation, the arithmetic–geometric inequality (2.2), Hölder inequality (2.7), the Young inequalities (2.9) and (2.11), and the integration by parts formula (2.4). We remark that the integration by parts formula (2.4) is valid under the extra assumptions on f and g which ensure the regularity of the mass transport. If g is only in $L^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and is not compactly supported, then the normal derivative of φ has no reason even to exist on the boundary. So the integration by parts formula (2.4) does not exist in this case. The first step in determining the optimal functions for the Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1) is to establish an integration by parts inequality which holds for more general f and g without extra assumptions on the smoothness or the compactly supported property. This is the content of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ be nonnegative function such that $\|f\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}} = \|g\|_{\frac{ap}{a-p}}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz < \infty$. Let $\nabla\varphi$ be the Brenier map pushing $f^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$ forward to $g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$. Then, it holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A \psi(z) dz + \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(x)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \psi f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz, \quad (3.1)$$

here $\psi(z) = \phi(z) + z \cdot e$.

Proof. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} g^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dz < \infty$, by definition of the mass transport, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(z)^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} \|\nabla\psi(z)\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dz < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Let Ω be the interior of $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(z) < \infty\}$, then the support of f is contained in $\overline{\Omega}$, and $\partial\Omega$ is of zero measure. The function φ is convex, hence it is differentiable a.e. in

Ω . By Fubini theorem, for a.e. $t > 0$, $\nabla\varphi$ exists a.e. in $\Omega(t) = \Omega \cap (\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with respect to $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Let $t_0 > 0$ be such a number, and fix $z_0 = (t_0, x_0) \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Taking k_0 such that $1/k_0 < t_0$, and for $k \geq k_0$ we define $f_k(z) = f(z)\theta(kt)$, $z = (t, x)$, where θ is a smooth, increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $\theta = 0$ on $[0, 1]$ and $\theta = 1$ on $[2, \infty)$. Thus, the support of f_k is contained in $\{z = (t, x) : t \geq 1/k\}$. For $\epsilon > 0$ small enough ($\epsilon \ll t_0 - 1/k_0$), we define

$$f_{k,\epsilon}(z) = \min \left\{ f_k \left(z_0 + \frac{z - z_0}{1 - \epsilon} \right), f_k(z)\chi(\epsilon z) \right\},$$

where χ is a C^∞ cut-off function, $\chi(z) = 1$ if $|z| \leq 1/2$ and $\chi(z) = 0$ if $|z| \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. For $\delta > 0$, denote $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} = f_{k,\epsilon} * \eta_\delta$, where $\eta_\delta = \delta^{-n}\eta(\delta^{-1}\cdot)$ with $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\eta \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(z)dz = 1$. For δ small enough (δ is smaller than the distance from the support of $f_{k,\epsilon}$ to $\partial\Omega$), $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}$ is compactly supported in Ω and smooth, i.e., $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $\Delta_A\psi \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{D}}\psi$, and $\nabla\varphi$ has locally bounded variation in Ω , applying integration by parts for functions of bounded variation, we have

$$\int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A\psi dz \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} \nabla f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} \cdot \nabla\psi f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz - \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \nabla\psi \cdot edx.$$

Since $\nabla\varphi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ a.e., then $\nabla\varphi \cdot e \geq 0$ which together with the previous estimate implies

$$\int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A\psi dz \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} \nabla f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} \cdot \nabla\psi f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz - \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx,$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A\psi dz + \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} \nabla f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} \cdot \nabla\psi f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz. \quad (3.3)$$

For δ is sufficient small, the support of $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}$ is contained in $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, hence $\nabla\psi$ is bounded uniformly on the support of $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta}$. Moreover, $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} \rightarrow f_{k,\epsilon}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, by Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality, we get $f_{k,\epsilon,\delta} \rightarrow f_{k,\epsilon}$ in $L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\{t_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (3.3) and using Fatou's lemma and $\Delta_A\psi = \Delta_A\varphi \geq 0$, we get

$$\int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A\psi dz + \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f_{k,\epsilon}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} \nabla f_{k,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla\psi f_{k,\epsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz. \quad (3.4)$$

It remains to pass $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.4). Repeating the argument in the proof of Lemma 7 in [11] and using Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities together with (3.2), we obtain

$$\int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} f_k^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A\psi dz + \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f_k^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} \nabla f_k \cdot \nabla\psi f_k^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\nabla f_k(t, x) = \theta(kt)\nabla f(t, x) + f(t, x)k\theta'(kt)$ and θ is increasing, then

$$-\nabla f_k(t, x) \cdot \nabla\psi \leq -\theta(kt)\nabla f(t, x) \cdot \nabla\psi(t, x).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5), we get

$$\int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} \Delta_A \psi dz + \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \leq -\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\{t \geq t_0\}} \nabla f \cdot \nabla \psi f^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz. \quad (3.6)$$

It remains to pass $t_0 \rightarrow 0$ in (3.6). By Fatou's lemma and the fact $\nabla f \cdot \nabla \psi f^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ by (3.2), it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{t=t_0\}} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} f^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx. \quad (3.7)$$

For $t > 0$, define the function h_t on \mathbb{R}_+^n by $h_t(z) = f(z + te) - f(z)$. It is not hard to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla h_t\|_*^p dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f(z + te) - \nabla f(z)\|_*^p dz \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |h_t(z)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(z + te) - f(z)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz \rightarrow 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ by the continuity of L^p -norm under the translation. Applying Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(t, x) - f(x)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} |h_t|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx = 0.$$

This implies (3.7). \square

Suppose that equality holds true in (1.1) for a function $f \neq 0$. It is a standard argument (by writing f as $f = f^+ - f^-$ and using convexity) that f does not change sign, hence without loss of generality, we can assume that f is nonnegative. Note that $\mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \subset L^{\frac{ap}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, by the homogeneity, we can assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_p^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz.$$

Let λ_0 be the number minimizing the right hand side of (2.13). Then (2.12) becomes equality for $f_{\lambda_0} = \lambda_0^{\frac{n(a-p)}{ap}} f(\lambda_0 \cdot)$. Let $\nabla \varphi$ be the Brenier map pushing $f_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$ forward to $h_p^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz$, and $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(z) < \infty\}$. Recall that (2.3) holds in general without any extra assumptions on the regularity of f and g . Applying (2.3) and (3.1) for f_{λ_0} and h_p , we see that (2.6) still holds for f_{λ_0} and h_p which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx &\leq (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz - \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \nabla f_{\lambda_0} \cdot \nabla \psi f_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{p-1}{a-p}} dz \\ &\quad - a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_p^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By Hölder inequality and Young inequality, (3.8) leads to the inequalities (2.8) and (2.12) for f_{λ_0} and h_p , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx &\leq -a \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_p^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f_{\lambda_0}\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|z + e\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} h_p^{\frac{ap}{a-p}} dz \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &= -aA + (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz + \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p} A^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f_{\lambda_0}\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx &\leq (a-n) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{\lambda_0}(z)^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dz \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{p-1}{(a-p)A^{\frac{1}{a}}} \right)^{\frac{a(p-1)}{a-p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \|\nabla f_{\lambda_0}\|_*^p dz \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a-p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

As discussed above, we have equality in (3.10). Hence equality holds in (3.9). In particular, we must have equalities in Hölder inequality (2.7) applied to f_{λ_0} and in the arithmetic–geometric inequality (2.2). Equality in Hölder inequality implies

$$\|\nabla f_{\lambda_0}(z)\|_*^p = k \|\nabla \psi(z)\|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} f_{\lambda_0}(z)^{\frac{ap}{a-p}},$$

for almost every $z \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$. This equality and the argument in [11] shows that f_{λ_0} is positive on $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and the support of f_{λ_0} is $\overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$.

Using again the argument in [11] we conclude that $D_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \varphi$ has no singular part in $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$, i.e., $D_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \varphi$ is absolutely continuous with respect Lebesgue measure on $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

If $a > n$, the equality in the arithmetic–geometric inequality implies that $D_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \varphi$ is identity matrix almost everywhere in $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$, hence $\nabla \varphi(t, x) = (t - t_0, x - x_0)$ for some $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\nabla \varphi$ sends the interior of support of f_{λ_0} to the interior of support of h_p (which is \mathbb{R}_+^n), then the interior of support of f_{λ_0} is $\mathbb{R}_+^n + t_0 e$ which implies $t_0 \geq 0$. Therefore, we have $f_{\lambda_0}(z) = 1_{\mathbb{R}_+^n + t_0 e}(z) h_p(z - (t_0, x_0))$ which forces $t_0 = 0$. This means that $f(z) = \lambda_0^{-\frac{n(a-p)}{ap}} h_p(\lambda_0^{-1} z - (0, x_0))$ as claimed.

If $a = n$, the equality in the arithmetic–geometric inequality implies that $D_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \varphi$ is proportional to the identity matrix at almost everywhere in $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Using regularizing process as done in [11], we conclude that $D_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \varphi$ is a constant multiple of identity matrix in $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Hence $\nabla \varphi(t, x) = \lambda(t - t_0, x - x_0)$ for some $\lambda > 0$, $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Arguing as in the case $a > n$, we get $t_0 = 0$, which implies $f(z) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac{n(a-p)}{ap}} h_p\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} z - (0, \lambda x_0)\right)$ as wanted.

4 Proof of the sharp affine Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequalities: Proof of Theorem 1.3

Before proving Theorem 1.3, let us recall some useful facts from theory of convex bodies. The book of Schneider [32] is a good reference for this purpose. A subset K of \mathbb{R}^n is called a convex body if it is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior. A convex body K is symmetric if $K = -K$. For a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, its support function h_K is defined by

$$h_K(x) = \max\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \in K\}.$$

It is well-known that a convex body is completely determined by its support function. If K is a symmetric convex body, then its Minkowski functional (or the gauge) $\|\cdot\|_K$ is defined by

$$\|x\|_K = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda K\}.$$

$\|\cdot\|_K$ is a norm in \mathbb{R}^n . The polar body K° of K is defined by

$$K^\circ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall y \in K\}.$$

It is easy to see that $h_K = \|\cdot\|_{K^\circ}^{-1}$. Moreover, the following formula holds

$$\text{vol}_n(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|y\|_K^{-n} dy, \quad (4.1)$$

here vol_k denotes the volume in \mathbb{R}^k . For a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in its interior, its L_p centroid body $\Gamma_p K$, $p \geq 1$ is defined by

$$h_{\Gamma_p K}(x)^p = \frac{1}{a_{n,p} \text{vol}(K)} \int_K |\langle x, y \rangle|^p dy,$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $a_{n,p} = \frac{\omega_{n+p}}{\omega_2 \omega_n \omega_{p-1}}$ is a normalized constant such that $\Gamma_p B_2^n = B_2^n$ with B_2^n denoting the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n . The L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality proved by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang (see [20]) states that for any convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin in its interior we have

$$\text{vol}_n(\Gamma_p K) \geq \text{vol}_n(K), \quad (4.2)$$

with equality if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. We refer the interest reader to [17] for a generalization of the inequality (4.2).

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ which is not identical 0. We denote by $B_p(f)$ the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{B_p(f)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\langle u, \nabla_x f(t, x) \rangle|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{E}_p(f)$ and the formula (4.1), we get

$$\mathcal{E}_p(f) = c_{n-1,p} ((n-1) \text{vol}_{n-1}(B_p(f)))^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $K_p(f)$ be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} whose support function is

$$h_{K_p(f)}(u) = \left(\int_{S^{n-2}} \|v\|_{B_p(f)}^{-n-p+1} |\langle u, v \rangle|^p dv \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Following the proof of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 in [31], we have the following identities.

Lemma 4.1. *It holds*

$$K_p(f) = ((n+p-1)\alpha_{n-1,p} \text{vol}_{n-1}(B_p(f)))^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma_p B_p(f),$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_{K_p(f)}(\nabla_x f(t, x))^p dx dt = \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_p(f)}{c_{n-1,p}} \right)^{1-n}.$$

It follows from the L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality (4.2) that

$$\text{vol}_{n-1}(\Gamma_p B_p(f)) \geq \text{vol}_{n-1}(B_p(f)),$$

with equality if and only if $B_p(f)$ is an origin–symmetric ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Combining the preceding inequality together with Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\text{vol}_{n-1}(K_p(f))} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_{K_p(f)}(\nabla_x f(t, x))^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \mathcal{E}_p(f), \quad (4.4)$$

with equality if and only if $B_p(f)$ is an origin–symmetric ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Denote

$$\overline{K}_p(f) = \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\text{vol}_{n-1}(K_p(f))} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} K_p(f).$$

Then $\text{vol}_{n-1}(\overline{K}_p(f)) = \omega_{n-1}$ and the inequality (4.4) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_{\overline{K}_p(f)}(\nabla_x f(t, x))^p dx dt \leq \mathcal{E}_p(f)^p, \quad (4.5)$$

with equality if and only if $B_p(f)$ is an origin–symmetric ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Given a vector $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, let $B_{p,\vec{a}}(f)$ denote the unit ball of the norm

$$\|(t, x)\|_{p,\vec{a},f} = \left(|t|^q + \|x + t\vec{a}\|_{\overline{K}_p(f)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad q = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

We can readily check that

$$\text{vol}_{n-1}(B_{p,\bar{a}}(f)) = \frac{2 \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{q} + 1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{q})}{q \Gamma(\frac{n}{q} + 1)} \omega_{n-1}, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$h_{B_{p,\bar{a}}(f)}((t, x)) = \sup_{\|(s,y)\|_{p,f} \leq 1} (ts + \langle x, y \rangle) = \left(h_{\bar{K}_p(f)}(x)^p + |t - \langle \bar{a}, x \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, it follows from (4.5) and (4.7) that

$$\mathcal{E}_p(f)^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\bar{a})}f|^p dxdt \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_{B_{p,\bar{a}}(f)}(\nabla f(t, x))^p dxdt. \quad (4.8)$$

Now, applying the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (1.1) with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,\bar{a},f}$, we obtain

$$\|g\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_{B_{p,\bar{a}}(f)}(\nabla g)^p dz \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{(1-\theta)} \quad (4.9)$$

where θ is given by (1.2) and $\mathcal{D}_{n,a,p}$ is given by (1.16). By Theorem 1.2, there is equality in (4.9) if and only if

$$g(t, x) = \left((1+t)^q + \|x + t\bar{a}\|_{\bar{K}_p(f)}^q \right)^{-\frac{a-p}{p}}, \quad (4.10)$$

up to a translation on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, a dilation and a multiplicative constant.

Combining (4.8) and (4.9) together, we get

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\mathcal{E}_p(f)^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\bar{a})}f|^p dxdt \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (4.11)$$

Since the function

$$\bar{a} \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\bar{a})}f|^p dxdt$$

is strictly convex on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , then there exists uniquely \bar{a}_0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\bar{a}_0)}f|^p dxdt = \min_{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\bar{a})}f|^p dxdt. \quad (4.12)$$

Consequently, we get from (4.11) that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\mathcal{E}_p(f)^p + \min_{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\bar{a})}f|^p dxdt \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\theta}. \quad (4.13)$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, applying (4.13) to function $f_\lambda(t, x) = f(\lambda t, x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &\leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\lambda^{-1-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\frac{a-p}{a-1}} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^p + \lambda^{p-1-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\frac{a-p}{a-1}} \min_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\vec{a})} f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Note that $p-1-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\frac{a-p}{a-1} > 0$ by the assumption (1.2), hence the right hand side of (4.14) is minimized by

$$\lambda_0 = \left(\frac{p(a-n)+n-1}{(p-1)(n-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_p(f)}{\left(\min_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,-\vec{a})} f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}. \quad (4.15)$$

Taking $\lambda = \lambda_0$ in (4.14), we obtain the inequality (1.15) as desired.

It remains to check the condition of equalities in (1.15). If $f = h_p$ then $\overline{K}_p(f)$ is an Euclidean unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . By the convexity and the evenness of h_p in x variables, the minimum in (4.12) is attained by $\vec{a}_0 = 0$, hence $\|(t, x)\|_{p,0,h_p} = (|t|^q + |x|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $q = p/(p-1)$. Thus, we have equality in (4.5), (4.8) and (4.11) with $f = h_p$ and $\vec{a} = 0$ which imply the equality in (4.13) for $f = h_p$. It is easy to check that λ_0 defined by (4.15) is equal to 1 if $f = h_p$. Hence, the equality in (4.14) for $f = h_p$ and $\lambda = 1$ proves the equality in (1.15) for $f = h_p$. The SGL $_{n,+}$ invariant property of the inequality (1.15) implies that equality holds in (1.15) for functions of the form (1.17).

Conversely, suppose that equality holds in (1.15) for a non-zero function f . Let \vec{a}_0 denote the unique minimizer in (4.12) and let λ_0 be defined by (4.15). Consider the function f_{λ_0} defined by $f_{\lambda_0}(t, x) = f(\lambda_0 t, x)$. Note that $f_{\lambda_0} = f$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$. Applying the

inequalities (4.5), (4.8) and (4.9) for f_{λ_0} and $\vec{b}_0 := \lambda_0 \vec{a}_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &= \|f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\
&\leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} h_{B_p, \vec{b}_0}(f_{\lambda_0}) (\nabla f_{\lambda_0})^p dz \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \|f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{(1-\theta)} \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (h_{\overline{K}_p(f_{\lambda_0})} (\nabla_x f_{\lambda_0})^p + |D_{(1, -\vec{b}_0)} f_{\lambda_0}|^p) dx dt \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \|f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{(1-\theta)} \\
&\leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\mathcal{E}_p(f_{\lambda_0})^p + \lambda_0^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1, -\vec{a}_0)} f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \|f_{\lambda_0}\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{(1-\theta)} \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \left(\lambda_0^{-1 - \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \frac{a-p}{a-1}} \mathcal{E}_p(f)^p + \lambda_0^{p-1 - \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} \frac{a-p}{a-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1, -\vec{a}_0)} f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\theta} \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \mathcal{A}_p(f)^\theta \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\theta} \\
&= \|f\|_{L^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, all estimates for f_{λ_0} above must be equalities. Firstly, we must have equality in the Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality (4.9) for functions f and g replaced by function f_{λ_0} and the vector \vec{a} replaced by vector \vec{b}_0 . Theorem 1.2 implies the existence of $c \neq 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that

$$f_{\lambda_0}(t, x) = c \left\| e + \frac{(t, x - x_0)}{\mu} \right\|_{p, \vec{b}_0, f_{\lambda_0}}^{-\frac{a-p}{p-1}} = c \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{\mu}\right)^q + \left\| \frac{x - x_0 + t\vec{b}_0}{\mu} \right\|_{\overline{K}_p(f_{\lambda_0})}^q \right)^{-\frac{a-p}{p}}.$$

Secondly, we have the equality holds in (4.5) for f_{λ_0} which implies that $B_p(f_{\lambda_0})$ is an origin–symmetric ellipsoid. Then so is $K_p(f_{\lambda_0})$. Since $\text{vol}_{n-1}(\overline{K}_p(f_{\lambda_0})) = \omega_{n-1}$, there is $B \in \text{GL}_{n-1}$ such that $\det B = \pm 1$ and $\overline{K}_p(f_{\lambda_0}) = BB_2^{n-1}$. Therefore, $\|x\|_{\overline{K}_p(f_{\lambda_0})} = |B^{-1}x|$ which gives the following expression of f_{λ_0}

$$f_{\lambda_0}(t, x) = c \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{\mu}\right)^q + \left| \frac{B^{-1}(x - x_0) + tB^{-1}\vec{b}_0}{\mu} \right|^q \right)^{-\frac{a-p}{p}}$$

and

$$f(t, x) = c \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{\lambda_0 \mu}\right)^q + \left| \frac{B^{-1}(x - x_0) + tB^{-1}\vec{a}_0}{\mu} \right|^q \right)^{-\frac{a-p}{p}}.$$

Let us now denote $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})^t := \frac{1}{\mu} B^{-1} \vec{a}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_0 \mu} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \frac{1}{\mu} B^{-1} & \\ a_{n-1} & & & \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SGL}_{n,+}.$$

Obviously, we have $f(t, x) = c h_p(A(t, x - x_0))$ as wanted. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.

We finish this paper by remark that the arguments in this paper can be applied to obtain a more general sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg trace inequality as follows. Let $p \in (1, n)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,p}(f) = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} c_{n-1,p} \left(\int_{S^{n-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (\lambda \langle u, \nabla_x f \rangle_+^p + (1-\lambda) \langle u, \nabla_x f \rangle_-^p) dx dt \right)^{-\frac{n-1}{p}} du \right)^{-\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

where a_+ and a_- denote the positive and negative parts of a number a , and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,p}(f)^p = c_{n,p,a}^p (\mathcal{E}_{\lambda,p}(f))^{p-1 - \frac{(p-1)(a-n)}{a-1}} \inf_{\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |D_{(1,\vec{a})} f|^p dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p} \left(1 + \frac{(p-1)(a-n)}{a-1} \right)}.$$

From the definition, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2},p}(f) = \mathcal{E}_p(f)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\frac{1}{2},p} = \mathcal{A}_p(f)$. Applying the general L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality [17] and the argument in this section, we can prove the following inequality whose detail proof is left for interest reader,

$$\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(0, x)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}} \leq \mathcal{D}_{n,a,p} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda,p}(f)^\theta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(t, x)|^{\frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}} dx dt \right)^{(1-\theta) \frac{a-p}{p(a-1)}}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{D}^{p, \frac{p(a-1)}{a-p}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, where θ is given by (1.2) and $\mathcal{D}_{n,a,p}$ is given by (1.16). Moreover, there is equality if and only if

$$f(t, x) = c h_p(A(t, x - x_0))$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $A \in \text{SGL}_{n,+}$, where $h_p(t, x) = ((1+t)^q + |x|^q)^{-\frac{a-p}{p-1}}$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the CIMI postdoctoral research fellowship.

References

- [1] T. Aubin, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differ. Geom., **11** (1976) 573–598.
- [2] W. Beckner, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser–Trudinger inequality*, Ann. Math., **138** (1993) 213–242.
- [3] S. G. Bobkov, and M. Ledoux, *From Brunn–Minkowski to Brascamp–Lieb and to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **10** (2000) 1028–1052.
- [4] S. G. Bobkov, and M. Ledoux, *From Brunn–Minkowski to sharp Sobolev inequalities*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **187** (2008) 369–384.
- [5] F. Bolley, D. Cordero-Erausquin, Y. Fujita, I. Gentil, and A. Guillin, *New sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequalities and an improved Borell–Brascamp–Lieb inequality*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not., DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rny111>.
- [6] C. Borell, *Convex set functions in d -space*, Period. Math. Hung., **6** (1975) 111–136.
- [7] H. J. Brascamp, and E. H. Lieb, *On extensions of the Brunn–Minkowski and Prékopa–Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log-concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation*, J. Funct. Anal., **22** (1976) 366–389.
- [8] Y. Brenier, *Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **44** (1991) 375–417.
- [9] A. Cianchi, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *Affine Moser–Trudinger and Morrey–Sobolev inequalities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **36** (2009) 419–436.
- [10] D. Cordero-Erausquin, *Some applications of mass transport to Gaussian-type inequalities*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **161** (2002) 257–269.
- [11] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani, *A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities*, Adv. Math., **182** (2004) 307–332.
- [12] P. L. De Nápoli, J. Haddad, C. H. Jiménez, M. Montenegro, *The sharp affine L^2 Sobolev trace inequality and variants*, Math. Ann., **370** (2018) 287–308.
- [13] M. del Pino, and J. Dolbeault, *Best constant for Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **81** (2002) 847–875.
- [14] M. del Pino, and J. Dolbeault, *The optimal Euclidean L^p –Sobolev logarithmic inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., **197** (2003) 151–161.

- [15] J. F. Escobar, *Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **37** (1988) 687–698.
- [16] C. Haberl, and F. E. Schuster, *Asymmetric affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal., **257** (2009) 641–658.
- [17] C. Haberl, and F. E. Schuster, *General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differ. Geom., **83** (2009) 1–26.
- [18] C. Haberl, F. E. Schuster, and J. Xiao, *An asymmetric affine Pólya–Szegő principle*, Math. Ann., **350** (2012) 517–542.
- [19] J. Haddad, C. H. Jiménez, and M. Montenegro, *Sharp affine Sobolev type inequalities via the L_p Busemann–Petty centroid inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., **271** (2016) 454–473.
- [20] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differ. Geom., **56** (2000) 111–132.
- [21] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *Sharp affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Differ. Geom., **62** (2002) 17–38.
- [22] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *On the L_p Minkowski problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **356** (2004) 4359–4370.
- [23] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *Optimal Sobolev norms and the L_p Minkowski problem*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **1** (2006) 1–21.
- [24] F. Maggi, and C. Villani, *Balls have the worst best Sobolev inequalities*, J. Geom. Anal., **15** (2005) 83–121.
- [25] F. Maggi, and R. Neumayer, *A bridge between Sobolev and Escobar inequalities and beyond*, J. Funct. Anal., **273** (2017) 2070–2106.
- [26] R. J. McCann, *A convexity theory for interacting gases and equilibrium crystals*, PhD thesis, 1994.
- [27] R. J. McCann, *Existence and uniqueness of monotone measure-preserving maps*, Duke. Math. J., **80** (1995) 309–323.
- [28] R. J. McCann, *A convexity principle for interacting gases*, Adv. Math., **128** (1997) 153–179.
- [29] B. Nazaret, *Best constant in sharp Sobolev trace inequality on the half space*, Non-linear. Anal., **65** (2006) 1977–1985.

- [30] V. H. Nguyen, *Sharp weighted Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities on half spaces via mass transport and consequences*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., **111** (2015) 127–148.
- [31] V. H. Nguyen, *New approach to the affine Pólya–Szegő principle and the stability version of the affine Sobolev inequality*, Adv. Math., **302** (2016) 1080–1110.
- [32] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: The Brunn–Minkowski theory*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).
- [33] G. Talenti, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., **110** (1976) 353–372.
- [34] C. Villani, *Topics in optimal transportation*, Vol **58**, Grad. Studies Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2003
- [35] C. Villani, *Optimal transport, Old and new*, Vol **338**, Grund. Math. Wiss., Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [36] T. Wang, *On the discrete functional L_p Minkowski problem*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **20** (2015) 10563–10585.