

CHARACTERIZATION OF 3-PUNCTURED SPHERES IN NON-HYPERBOLIC LINK EXTERIORS

MARIO EUDAVE-MUÑOZ AND MAKOTO OZAWA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we characterize non-hyperbolic 3-component links in the 3-sphere whose exteriors contain essential 3-punctured spheres with non-integral boundary slopes. We also show the existence of embeddings of some multibranch surfaces in the 3-sphere which satisfy some homological conditions to be embedded in the 3-sphere.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Characterization of 3-punctured spheres with non-integral boundary slopes. The cabling conjecture ([5]) is a deepest difficult problem in knot theory, which states that if a Dehn surgery on a knot in the 3-sphere yields a reducible 3-manifold, then it is a cable knot and the surgery slope is given by the boundary slope of the cabling annulus. This conjecture follows the next stronger conjecture; there does not exist an essential n -punctured sphere ($n \geq 3$) with non-meridional boundary slope in any knot exterior in the 3-sphere.

In this paper, we consider an extended problem related to the above stronger conjecture, that is, for a given link exterior in the 3-sphere, does there exist an essential n -punctured sphere with non-meridional boundary slope? However, unlike knot exteriors, there are plenty of essential n -punctured spheres with integral boundary slope. Accordingly, we concentrate essential on n -punctured spheres with non-meridional, non-integral boundary slopes.

For hyperbolic links, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *There does not exist an essential n -punctured sphere with non-meridional, non-integral boundary slope in a hyperbolic link exterior in the 3-sphere.*

By [6], any knot exterior in the 3-sphere does not contain an essential n -punctured sphere with non-meridional, non-integral boundary slope. Thus, Conjecture 1.1 holds for knots.

Yoshida classified totally geodesic 3-punctured spheres in an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely many cusps ([8]). This result supports Conjecture 1.1, but we remark that it does not give a complete solution since two hyperbolic link in the 3-sphere might have a same exterior.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M25; Secondary 57M27.

Key words and phrases. link, punctured sphere, cabling conjecture, incompressible surface, essential surface, multibranch surface.

The first author is partially supported by grant PAPIIT-UNAM IN101317.

The second author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 26400097, 16H03928, 17K05262), The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

A non-hyperbolic link will have an essential annulus or an essential torus in its exterior. For a link L we denote by $N(L)$ a regular neighborhood of L , and by $E(L) = S^3 - \text{int}N(L)$, the exterior of L . We have the following characterizations.

Theorem 1.2 (Annular case). *Let $L = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ be a 3-component link in the 3-sphere S^3 whose exterior contains an essential annulus, and let P be a 3-punctured sphere in the exterior of L such that $l_i \subset \partial N(C_i)$ has a non-meridional, non-integral slope, where $\partial P = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$. Then one of the following cases holds.*

Case 1: C_1 is a torus knot contained in a torus T , where T decomposes S^3 into two solid tori V_2 and V_3 . C_2 and C_3 are cores of V_2 and V_3 respectively. Let A be an annulus connecting $\partial N(C_2)$ and $\partial N(C_3)$ such that A intersects T in a loop which intersects C_1 in one point. Let A' be an annulus connecting $\partial N(C_2)$ and $\partial N(C_3)$ such that A' intersects T in a loop C_1 . For $i = 2, 3$, put $A_i = A \cap V_i \cap E(C_1)$ and $A'_i = A' \cap V_i \cap E(C_1)$, and let P_i be an annulus obtained from A_i by twisting along A'_i for $i = 2, 3$. Then $P = P_2 \cup P_3$.




FIGURE 1. Case 1

Case 2: C_2 is any knot and C_3 is any cable knot of C_2 . Put $T = \partial N(C_3)$, where T decomposes S^3 into a solid torus V_3 and the exterior of C_3 , say V_2 . Let A be an annulus connecting $\partial N(C_2)$ and $\partial N(C_3)$ such that A intersects T in a loop, say λ . Let A' be an annulus connecting C_1 and $\partial N(C_3)$ such that A' intersects A in an arc. C_1 is a cable knot of C_3 which is contained in T and intersects λ in one point. Put $A_i = A \cap V_i \cap E(C_1)$ for $i = 2, 3$, $A'_3 = A' \cap V_3 \cap E(C_1)$, $P_2 = A_2$, and let P_3 be an annulus obtained from A_3 by twisting along A'_3 . Then $P = P_2 \cup P_3$.

Theorem 1.3 (Toroidal case). *Let $L = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ be a 3-component link in the 3-sphere whose exterior contains an essential torus, and let P be a 3-punctured sphere in the exterior of L such that $l_i \subset \partial N(C_i)$ has a non-meridional, non-integral slope, where $\partial P = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$. Then one of the following cases holds.*

Case 1: *The link L also has an essential annulus and then it has a description as in Theorem 1.2.*




FIGURE 2. Case 2

Case 2: L and P can be obtained from one of Case 1 or 2 of Theorem 1.2 by a satellite construction, that is, for a trivial loop l disjoint from $L \cup P$, by re-embedding the exterior $E(l)$ of l into S^3 so that it is knotted, L and P are obtained.

Case 3: L and P can be obtained from a satellite construction of a possible hyperbolic link L' admitting a 3-punctured sphere P' .

1.2. Embeddings of multibranched surfaces in the 3-sphere. A *multibranched surface* is a 2-dimensional CW complex which is locally homeomorphic to a model as shown in Figure 3. We can regard a multibranched surface as a quotient space obtained from a compact 2-manifold (*sectors*) by gluing its boundary to a closed 1-manifold (*branches*) via covering maps. We say that a multibranched surface is *regular* if for each branch, covering degrees of all covering maps are uniform. A systematic study of some types of multibranched surfaces is done in [3] and [4], where the surfaces are called stratifolds. Here we are interested in the embedability of multibranched surfaces in the 3-sphere.




FIGURE 3. A local model of multibranched surfaces

The Menger–Nöbeling theorem ([1, Theorem 1.11.4.]) shows that any finite 2-dimensional CW complex can be embedded in the 5-dimensional Euclidian space \mathbb{R}^5 . This is a best possible result since for example, the union of all 2-faces of a 6-simplex cannot be embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 ([1, 1.11.F]). In contrast to this result, it

was shown that any multibranch surface can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 ([2], [7, Proposition 2.3]). Furthermore, a multibranch surface is embeddable in some closed orientable 3-dimensional manifold if and only if the multibranch surface is regular ([7, Proposition 2.7]). We remark that any 3-manifold can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^5 ([9]). Thus we obtain the next diagram on the embeddability of multibranch surfaces (Figure 4).




FIGURE 4. The embeddability of multibranch surfaces

It is convenient to represent a multibranch surface with only orientable sectors as a weighted bipartite graph. We regard the set of sectors as the set of weighted vertices, where each vertex has a weight by the genus of the sector. Another vertex set represents the set of branches. If a sector is glued with a branch by a covering map, then the corresponding vertex is joined to the corresponding vertex by an edge with the weight by the covering degree. For example, a weighted bipartite graph as shown in Figure 5 represents a multibranch surface with one sector which is a compact orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components and n branches, and the covering degrees are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n .

Theorem 1.2 gives a partial solution of [7, Problem, p.631], that is, for a multibranch surface $X = X_g(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ which has a graph representation as shown in Figure 5, the embeddability into the 3-sphere is determined by the torsion-freeness of the first homology group. Since $H_1(X) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{2g+n-1}$ as shown in [7, Example 4.3], where $p = \gcd\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, if $p > 1$, then X cannot be embedded into the 3-sphere.




FIGURE 5. A graph representation of a multibranch surface $X_g(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$

Conversely, if $p = 1$, then can X be embedded into the 3-sphere? As it was announced in the footnote in [7, Problem, p.631], we show the following.

Theorem 1.4. *If $p = 1$, then $X_g(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ can be embedded into the 3-sphere for a sufficiently large g .*

Theorem 1.4 can be proved by constructing a suitable Seifert surface cobounded by a 3-component cable link.

Next, we consider whether the genus g can be always taken to be 0.

Let $L = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$, $A, A', A_2, A_3, A'_2, A'_3, P, P_2, P_3$ as in Case 1 of Theorem 1.2. Assume that ∂A has slope $p\lambda_2 + q\mu_2$ on $\partial N(C_2)$ and slope $-q\lambda_3 - p\mu_3$ on $\partial N(C_3)$. Assume that $\partial A'$ has slope $r\lambda_2 + s\mu_2$ on $\partial N(C_2)$ and slope $-s\lambda_3 - r\mu_3$ on $\partial N(C_3)$. Then we must have $ps - qr = \pm 1$. Now assume that P_2 is obtained by n_2 twists of A_2 around A'_2 , and that P_3 is obtained by n_3 twists of A_3 around A'_3 . Remember that $P = P_2 \cup P_3$. It follows that ∂P has slope $(p + n_2r)\lambda_2 + (q + n_2s)\mu_2$ on $\partial N(C_2)$, slope $(-q - n_3s)\lambda_3 + (-p - n_3r)\mu_3$ on $\partial N(C_3)$, and slope $(n_3 - n_2)\lambda_1 - \mu_1$ on $\partial N(C_1)$, where λ_1 is given by the embedding of C_1 on the torus T .

So, if $X_0(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ is embedded in the 3-sphere as in Case 1 of Theorem 1.2, then there exists p, q, r, s, n_2, n_3 such that $p_1 = n_3 - n_2$, $p_2 = p + n_2r$ and $p_3 = -q - n_3s$, where $ps - qr = \pm 1$. From this follows that p_1, p_2, p_3 must satisfy $sp_2 + rp_3 + srp_1 = \pm 1$. It can be shown that if $X_0(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ is embedded in the 3-sphere as in Case 2 of Theorem 1.2, then there is an integer t such that $p_3 = 1 + tp_1$, and p_2 is arbitrary, but subject to $1 = \gcd\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$.

It is not too difficult to show that the triple $\{5, 7, 18\}$ does not satisfy the above conditions for $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$. So, it could be that $X_0(5, 7, 18)$ cannot be embedded in the 3-sphere. So, we ask:

Question 1.5. *If $p = 1$, can $X_0(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ can be embedded into the 3-sphere? If not, which is the minimal g for which $X_g(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ can be embedded in the 3-sphere?*

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3

2.1. Annular case. Now we proceed to give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $L = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ be a 3-component non-hyperbolic link in the 3-sphere S^3 , and P be a 3-punctured sphere in the exterior $E(L)$ of L with $\partial P = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$ such that l_i has a slope q_i/p_i , where $p_i > 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Note first that P is incompressible, for by doing a compression we would get a disk whose boundary have non-integral slope on some C_I . This also implies that the link L is non-splitable.

Suppose that $E(L)$ contains an essential annulus A with $\partial A = a_1 \cup a_2$. There are seven cases.

Case A: Both a_1 and a_2 have integral slopes on $\partial N(C_1)$.

Case B: Both a_1 and a_2 have meridional slope on $\partial N(C_1)$.

Case C: a_1 has meridional slope on $\partial N(C_1)$ and a_2 has an integral slope on $\partial N(C_2)$.

Case D: a_1 has an integral slope on $\partial N(C_1)$, and a_2 has a non-integral slope on $\partial N(C_2)$.

Case E: a_1 has an integral slope on $\partial N(C_1)$, and a_2 has an integral slope on $\partial N(C_2)$.

Case F: Both a_1 and a_2 have non-integral slopes on $\partial N(C_1)$ and $\partial N(C_2)$ respectively.

Case G: Both a_1 and a_2 have non-integral slopes on $\partial N(C_1)$.

In Case A, it follows that either A is a cabling annulus for C_1 , that is, A is an essential annulus in $E(C_1)$, and then C_1 is a non-trivial tours knot or cable knot, or well, A is a trivial annulus in $E(C_1)$. Let T be a torus consisting of the union

of A and an annulus A' contained in $\partial N(C_1)$; we can consider that the torus T contains C_1 . Then C_1 is a (p, q) -cable knot on T , with the possibility that $p = 1$.

Since l_1 has a non-integral slope and a_i has an integral slope on $\partial N(C_1)$, we may assume that $P \cap A$ consists of arcs $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that α_i is an essential arc in both P and A ($i = 1, \dots, n$) which connects two points in l_1 . Let Q_2 be a region of $P - \alpha_2$ cobounded by l_2 and α_n with a subarc in l_1 , and Q_3 be a region of $P - \alpha_1$ cobounded by l_3 and α_1 with a subarc in l_1 .




FIGURE 6. Arcs $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in P and regions Q_2, Q_3

First, assume that $n \geq 2$, and let R_i be the region of $P - (\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n)$ between α_i and α_{i+1} ($i = 1, \dots, i-1$).

Lemma 2.1. *A region R_i implies that C_1 is a $(2, q)$ -cable knot on T .*

Proof. Since ∂R_i consists of α_i, α_{i+1} , and two arcs in $\partial N(C_1)$, R_i extends a compressing disk for T which intersects C_1 in two points. See Figure 7 for the configuration. Hence C_1 is $(2, q)$ -cable knot on T .




FIGURE 7. A region R_i implies that C_1 is a $(2, q)$ -cable knot on T .

□

Claim 2.2. $n = 1$.

Proof. If $n > 2$, then there are two compressing disks for T in both sides which come from R_i and R_{i+1} . By Lemma 2.1, this shows that C_1 is a $(2, 2)$ -cable link on T , a contradiction.

Next, we consider the case $n = 2$. By Lemma 2.1, the region R_1 extends a compressing disk for T . Put $S^3 = V_2 \cup_T V_3$, where $R_1 \subset V_2$, and then V_2 must be a solid torus. Then C_2 and C_3 are contained in V_3 . The region Q_2 is an annulus cobounded by l_2 and α_2 with a subarc in l_1 , and l_2 wraps around C_2 . Note that the union of α_2 and the subarc of l_1 wraps around T at least twice longitudinally, for otherwise l_1 would be a longitudinal slope on C_1 . This implies that V_3 is a solid torus and that C_2 is its core. Similarly, l_3 is a core of the solid torus V_3 . This results in a contradiction.

Therefore, we have $n = 1$. □

In the following, we assume that $n = 1$. Put $S^3 = V_2 \cup_T V_3$, and we assume that V_2 is a solid torus. Assume that $Q_2 \subset V_2$ and that $Q_3 \subset V_3$.

Let α'_2 be the loop $\partial Q_2 - l_2$, that is, α'_2 is the union of α_1 and an arc of l_1 . The arc α'_2 is parallel to l_2 , and then C_2 is a core of V_2 . The arc α'_2 wraps l_2 times longitudinally around T . Let γ_2 be an arc in $\partial N(C_1) \cap V_2$ which connects two points of $\partial \alpha_1$, and such that $\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_2$ wraps longitudinally around T a minimal number of times among all such arcs in $\partial N(C_1) \cap V_2$. By an isotopy of P , we assume that $\alpha'_2 \cap N(C_1)$ intersects γ_2 minimally. Then it can be observed that α'_2 is obtained from $\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_2$ by Dehn twists along the core of $\partial N(C_1) \cap V_2$. Let A_2 be an annulus in $V_2 - \text{int}N(C_2)$, where $\partial A_2 = (\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_2) \cup \gamma'_2$, where γ'_2 is a curve on $\partial N(C_2)$, and let A'_2 be another annulus in $V_2 - \text{int}N(C_2)$, where one boundary component is the core of $\partial N(C_1) \cap V_2$, and the other is a curve on $\partial N(C_2)$. Note that Q_2 and A'_2 intersect in one arc, and that Q_2 is obtained by twisting A_2 along A'_2 .

If V_3 is also a solid torus, then C_3 is a core of V_3 , and by a similar construction we have annuli A_3, A'_3 , and an arc $\gamma_3 \subset \partial N(C_1) \cap V_3$, so that $\gamma_2 \cup \gamma_3$ is a meridian of $N(C_1)$, then the union of A_2, A_3 and a meridian of $N(C_1)$ is a spanning annulus between C_2 and C_3 . It follows also that Q_3 is obtained by twisting A_3 along A'_3 . Then we have the conclusion of Case 1 in Theorem 1.2.

Suppose now that V_3 is not a solid torus, that is, V_2 is knotted. Let α'_3 be the loop $\partial Q_3 - l_2$, that is, α'_3 is the union of α_1 and an arc of l_1 . Note that α'_3 must be a longitude of V_2 , and that C_2 is a cable around C_3 . It follows that we have the conclusion of Case 2 in Theorem 1.2.

Suppose now we have Case B. In this case the annulus A extends to a decomposing sphere for L . As l_1 has a non-integral slope and a_i has meridional slope on $\partial N(C_1)$, we may assume that $P \cap A$ consists of arcs $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, such that α_i is an essential arc in both P and A ($i = 1, \dots, n$) which connects two points in l_1 . Note that l_1 intersects each α_i in at least two points, which implies that $n \geq 2$. As in the previous case, there is a region R between the arcs α_1 and α_2 . The curve ∂R defines a non-integral slope for the torus formed by the union of A and a meridional annulus bounded by $a_1 \cup a_2$, which is not possible.

In case C, it follows that there is also an annulus A as in Case B.

In Case D, it follows that C_1 is a cable of C_2 and there exists a cabling annulus for C_1 disjoint from A . This arrives at Case A.

In Case E, it follows that there exists an essential annulus A' in $E(L)$ with $\partial A' = a'_1 \cup a'_2$ such that a'_i has an integral slope on $\partial N(C_1)$ ($i = 1, 2$). This also arrives at Case A.

In Case F, $C_1 \cup C_2$ is a Hopf link. Put $V = E(C_1 \cup C_2) - \text{int}N(A)$, which is a solid torus with a non-trivial torus knot core. Note that $C_3 \subset V$. Suppose first that P and A have non-empty intersection. Then $P \cap A$ consists of n_{12} essential arcs connecting l_1 and l_2 , and n_3 essential loops parallel to l_3 .

Claim 2.3. $n_{12} = 1$ and $n_3 = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $n_3 \neq 0$, let α be an outermost loop of $P \cap A$. Since α is an essential loop of A , α is parallel to the core of V . On the other hand, since α is parallel to l_3 , α is a cable knot of C_3 , but this is not possible. Therefore $n_3 = 0$.

If $n_{12} \geq 2$, then by Lemma 2.1, a rectangle region R of $P - A$ between two essential arcs gives a meridian disk of V . Since $R \cap C_3 = \emptyset$, C_3 is contained a 3-ball disjoint from C_1 and C_2 , that is, L is splittable, which is not possible. \square

If $n_{12} = 1$, then the loop of $P \cap \partial V$ is parallel to l_3 and hence it is a cable of C_3 . This implies that C_3 is a core of V . Therefore, C_3 bounds a cabling annulus disjoint from $C_1 \cup C_2$, and we can proceed to Case A.

Suppose now that $P \cap A = \emptyset$. Then P lies in the solid torus V . Both, l_1 and l_2 must be longitudes of V . Re-embed V in S^3 so that l_1 and l_2 are preferred longitudes of V . By taking disks bounded by l_1 and l_2 , P becomes a disk with a non-integral slope in C_3 , which is no possible.

Finally consider Case G. In this case the annulus A is parallel to an annulus A' contained in $\partial N(C_1)$, and then $A \cup A'$ bound a solid torus V , which must contain one or both of C_2, C_3 . Consider again the intersections between A and P . If $A \cap P \neq \emptyset$, by an argument similar to Case A, we have that that the intersection consist of just an arc, and then P is divided in two regions Q_2 and Q_3 . One of the regions, say Q_2 , is contained in V , and one component of ∂Q must be a curve which is a cable of V , and then C_2 will be a core of V . But then, there is an annulus with one boundary component on C_1 with non-integral slope, and one boundary component on C_2 with integral slope. We refer then to Case D.

If $P \cap A = \emptyset$, then P is contained in V . It follows that l_1 is a core of V . Re-embed V in S^3 such that A' is a meridional annulus of a trivial knot C'_1 . Then P union a meridian of C'_1 becomes an annulus A'' . As the boundary of A'' consist of curves on non-integral slope on the new C'_2 and C'_3 , it follows that $C'_2 \cup C'_3$ form a Hopf link. Now, C'_1 is a trivial knot which intersects A'' in one point, and then it can be isotoped to lie in a level torus, that is, a torus which intersects A'' in one close curve and is isotopic to $\partial N(C'_2)$. Then there must be another annulus A , disjoint from C'_1 whose boundary consist of curves on C_2 and C_3 , where at least one of these must have integral slope. Then C_2 and C_3 bound an annulus disjoint from C_1 , with at least one boundary component with a integral slope. We refer then to Case D or E.

Finally note that Cases B and C are impossible, but that the remaining cases are indeed possible. Case A, D, E, G appear in Cases 1 and 2 of Theorem 1.2, while Case F appears in Case 1.

2.2. Toroidal case. Next, we suppose that $E(L)$ contains an essential torus T .

We may assume that $P \cap T$ consists of essential loops in both P and T . Let A be an outermost annulus in P with respect to $P \cap T$, and let T' be an annulus in $E(L)$ obtained from T by an annulus compression along A . Since $p_i > 1$, the boundary slope of T' is non-integral. If T' is boundary parallel in $E(L)$, this implies that T is also boundary parallel in $E(L)$, a contradiction. Then T' must be an essential annulus in $E(L)$, and then L admits a description as in Theorem 1.2.

Suppose now $P \cap T = \emptyset$. Put $S^3 = V_1 \cup_T V_2$, where $V_1 \supset L \cup P$. Then V_1 is a solid torus and V_2 is a non-trivial knot exterior. By exchanging T if necessary, we may assume that $(V_1 - \text{int}N(L)) - P$ does not contain an essential torus. We re-embed V_1 in S^3 so that it is unknotted, that is, V_2 is a trivial knot exterior. Then we obtain an atoroidal 3-component link L' and a 3-punctured sphere P' in $E(L)$ with non-meridional, non-integral slope. There are two case, either there is an essential annulus in $E(L')$ and then L' and P' satisfy Case 1 or Case 2 of Theorem 1.2, or L' is a hyperbolic link. In any case, L and P are obtained from L' and P' by a satellite construction.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be positive integers greater than 1 such that $p = \gcd\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = 1$. We will construct a 3-component link $L = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$ in S^3 whose exterior contains 3-punctured compact orientable surface F of genus g for a sufficiently large g with $\partial F = f_1 \cup f_2 \cup f_3$ such that f_i has a slope q_i/p_i on $\partial N(l_i)$.

Let k_i be a (p_i, q_i) cable of l_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and put $K = k_1 \cup k_2 \cup k_3$. We will construct a Seifert surface for K which is disjoint from the interior of $N(L)$, and obtain a multibranch surface $X_g(p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

Put $a_{ij} = lk(l_i, l_j)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} lk(l_1, K) &= q_1 + a_{12}p_2 + a_{13}p_3 \\ lk(l_2, K) &= q_2 + a_{12}p_1 + a_{23}p_3 \\ lk(l_3, K) &= q_3 + a_{13}p_1 + a_{23}p_2 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *If $lk(l_1, K) = lk(l_2, K) = lk(l_3, K) = 0$, then there exists a Seifert surface S for K which is disjoint from the interior of $N(L)$.*

Proof. Let S be a Seifert surface for K . We may assume that S intersects L transversely. Since $lk(l_i, K) = 0$, by successively tubing S along l_i for adjacent two points with opposite orientation, we obtain a Seifert surface for K which is disjoint from L .

Let A_i be an annulus in $N(k_i)$ connecting k_i and l_i . If A_1 is disjoint from S , then S can be isotoped to be disjoint from $\text{int}N(L)$. If not, then S intersects A_i in curves isotopic to k_i . By isotoping S across k_i we get a surface S' disjoint from $\text{int}N(L)$ but which may have self-intersections. By doing an oriented double curve sum along the curves of intersections, we get a Seifert surface as desired. \square

To get $lk(l_1, K) = 0$, just put $q_1 = -a_{12}p_2 - a_{13}p_3$. But we need also that $\gcd(p_1, q_1) = 1$. We consider similarly for l_2, l_3 . This is solved by the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be positive integers greater than 1 such that $\gcd(p_1, p_2, p_3) = 1$. Then there exists integers a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(p_1, a_{12}p_2 + a_{13}p_3) &= 1 \\ \gcd(p_2, a_{12}p_1 + a_{23}p_3) &= 1 \\ \gcd(p_3, a_{13}p_1 + a_{23}p_2) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we rewrite $a = p_1$, $b = p_2$, $c = p_3$ and $x = a_{12}$, $y = a_{13}$, $z = a_{23}$. Thus Lemma 3.2 is rewritten as

Lemma 3.3. *For given integers a, b, c , $(a, b, c) = 1$, $a, b, c > 1$, there exist integers x, y, z such that*

$$\begin{aligned}(a, N_1) &= 1, \text{ where } N_1 = bx + cy \\ (b, N_2) &= 1, \text{ where } N_2 = ax + cz \\ (c, N_3) &= 1, \text{ where } N_3 = ay + bz\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that c is an odd number.

Let $a = \alpha c_1$ where $(a, c) = 1$ and any prime factor of c_1 divides c , possibly $\alpha = 1$ or $c_1 = 1$. Let $b = \beta c_2$ where $(\beta, c) = 1$ and any prime factor of c_2 divides c , possibly $\beta = 1$ or $c_2 = 1$. Let $c = \gamma c_3$ where $(\gamma, a) = 1$, $(\gamma, b) = 1$ and any prime factor of c_3 divides a or b . Note that any prime factor of c_3 cannot divide both a and b since $(a, b, c) = 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \alpha\beta \\ y &= -b\alpha\beta + \gamma \\ z &= -a\alpha\beta + \gamma\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}N_1 &= b\alpha\beta - cb\alpha\beta + c\gamma \\ N_2 &= a\alpha\beta - ca\alpha\beta + c\gamma \\ N_3 &= -aba\alpha\beta + a\gamma - ba\alpha\beta + b\gamma \\ &= -2ab\alpha\beta + a\gamma + b\gamma\end{aligned}$$

Claim 3.4. $(N_1, a) = 1$

Proof. Let p be a prime factor of a . Remember $a = \alpha c_1$.

Case 1: $p|\alpha$

If $p|N_1$, then $p|N_1 - b\alpha\beta + cb\alpha\beta$, that is, $p|c\gamma$, but this is not possible since $(\alpha, c) = 1$ and $(\alpha, \gamma) = 1$.

Case 2: $p|c_1$

If $p|N_1$, then $p|b\alpha\beta$, but $p \nmid \alpha$ since $(\alpha, c_1) = 1$, and $p \nmid \beta$ since $p|a$ and $p|c$.

□

Similarly we have

Claim 3.5. $(N_2, b) = 1$

Claim 3.6. $(N_3, c) = 1$

Proof. Let p be a prime factor of c . Remember $c = \gamma c_3$.

Case 1: $p|\gamma$

If $p|N_3$, then $p|2ab\alpha\beta$, but $p \nmid 2$ since p is odd, and $p \nmid a$, $p \nmid b$ since $(\gamma, a) = 1$, $(\gamma, b) = 1$.

Case 2: $p|c_3$

If $p|N_3$, then $p|a$ or $p|b$, say $p|a$. It follows that $p|b\gamma$. But $p \nmid b$ since otherwise a, b, c would have a common factor, and $p \nmid \gamma$ by the choice of γ and c_3 . □

This completes the proof. □

Finally, given a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23} , it is easy to find a link $L = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$ such that $a_{ij} = lk(l_i, l_j)$. For example, a certain closed pure 3-braid will suffice.

REFERENCES

1. R. Engelking, *Dimension Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
2. D. Gillman, *Generalising Kuratowski's theorem from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^4* , *Ars Comb.* 23A (1987) 135–140.
3. J.C. Gómez-Larrañaga, F. González-Acuña, W. Heil, *2-dimensional stratifolds*, In book: Castañón López, Marco (ed.) et al., *A mathematical tribute to Professor José María Montesinos Amilibia on the occasion of his seventieth birthday*. Madrid: Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Departamento de Geometría y Topología, pp. 395–405 (2016).
4. J.C. Gómez-Larrañaga, F. González-Acuña, W. Heil, *Classification of simply-connected trivalent 2-dimensional stratifolds*, *Topol. Proc.* 52, 329–340 (2018).
5. F. González-Acuña, H. Short, *Knot surgery and primeness*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 99 (1986), 89–102.
6. C. McA. Gordon, J. Luecke, *Only integral Dehn surgeries can yield reducible manifolds*, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 102 (1987) 94–101.
7. S. Matsuzaki, M. Ozawa, *Genera and minors of multibranch surfaces*, *Topology and its Appl.* 230 (2017) 621–638.
8. K. Yoshida, *Union of 3-punctured spheres in hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1708.03452.
9. C. T. C. Wall, *All 3-manifolds imbed in 5-space*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71 (1956) 564–567.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 04510 MÉXICO D.F., MEXICO

E-mail address: mario@matem.unam.mx

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, KOMAZAWA UNIVERSITY, 1-23-1 KOMAZAWA, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO, 154-8525, JAPAN

E-mail address: w3c@komazawa-u.ac.jp