

Global dynamics below the ground state for the quadratic Schrödinger system in 5d

Masaru Hamano

Abstract. In this paper we consider the nonlinear Schrödinger system (NLS) with quadratic interaction in five dimensions. We determine the global behavior of the solutions to the system with data below the ground state. Our proof of the scattering result is based on an argument by Kenig–Merle [16]. In particular, the new part of this paper is to deal with asymmetric interaction. A blowing-up or growing-up result is proved by combining the argument by Du–Wu–Zhang in [6] and a variational characterization of minimizers. Moreover, we show a blowing-up result if the data has finite variance or is radial.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Definition and main result	3
1.3. Organization of the paper	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Results from [12]	4
2.2. Linear estimates	5
2.3. Variational characterization	6
2.4. Small data scattering	11
2.5. Long time perturbation	15
2.6. Localized virial identity	18
3. Global versus blowing-up dichotomy	22
3.1. Global versus blowing-up dichotomy	22
3.2. Blowing-up or growing-up	26
4. Profile decomposition	30
4.1. Linear profile decomposition	30
5. Scattering	45
5.1. Existence of a critical solution	45
5.2. Compactness of the critical solution	58
5.3. Rigidity	70
6. Appendix	73
Reference	77

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background.

We consider the quadratic Schrödinger system in five space dimensions:

$$(NLS) \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = -2v\bar{u} & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ i\partial_t v + \frac{1}{2}\Delta v = -u^2 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^5, \end{cases}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $u, v : \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are unknown functions, $u_0, v_0 : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are given functions, $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^5 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$, and \bar{u} is complex conjugate of u .

(NLS) has a physical background, which is deduced from the equation describing the Raman process. This process is a nonlinear instability phenomenon (see [3] for more detail). Furthermore, (NLS) is also derived from a non-relativistic limit of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon system (see [12]). Asymptotic behavior of solutions for this NLKG system was studied in Sunagawa–Kawahara [15] and Hayashi–Naumkin [11]. For (NLS), a well-posedness result in L^2 or H^1 , a blowing-up result with finite variance, and existence of a ground state were studied in Hayashi–Ozawa–Tanaka [12].

Our aim in the present paper is to determine long time behavior of solutions to the problem (NLS). There are various kinds of solutions depending on the choice of the data, for example, scattering solution, blow-up solution, standing wave solution and so on. Here we are especially interested in investigating this problem under the assumption that the value of the action of the initial data is less than that of the ground state. The ground state is one of the solutions to the following nonlinear elliptic system

$$(\text{gNLS}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\phi_\omega + \omega\phi_\omega = 2\psi_\omega\phi_\omega, \\ -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi_\omega + 2\omega\psi_\omega = \phi_\omega^2, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega > 0$. It was proved in [12] that this system has a non-trivial solution, which is called the ground state. The ground state attains the infimum of $\mu_\omega^{20,8}$ (see Definition 1.2) and is non-negative (real-valued) and radial. We denote by $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ this ground state solution. If we set $(u, v) = (e^{i\omega t}\phi_\omega, e^{2i\omega t}\psi_\omega)$, then (u, v) is the solution to (NLS), and is called the standing wave solution. We remark that the standing wave solution neither scatters nor blows-up. The stability of standing wave solutions was studied in [3].

In this paper, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions on the data, which clarifies the scattering and blowing-up behavior of solutions to (NLS). Our proof of the scattering is based on the argument by Kenig–Merle in [16]. In the past ten years, global behavior of solutions below the ground state for the single focusing case

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^\alpha u = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha > 0$, was studied by several authors. Kenig–Merle [16] treated the case with $\alpha = \frac{4}{N-2}$, $N = 3, 4, 5$, under radial symmetry, Holmer–Roudenko [13] treated the case with $\alpha = 2$, $N = 3$ under radial symmetry, Duyckaerts–Holmer–Roudenko [7] treated the case with $\alpha = 2$, $N = 3$ without radial symmetry, Fang–Xie–Cazenave [8] showed scattering, and Akahori–Nawa [1] showed scattering and blowing-up in the mass supercritical and energy subcritical case. In the system with symmetric interaction

$$(\text{sNLS}) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + (|u|^2 + |v|^2)u = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ i\partial_t v + \Delta v + (|u|^2 + |v|^2)v = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

Xu [19] and Farah–Pastor [9] showed the scattering result below the ground state. In our system, Hayashi–Li–Ozawa [10] proved a small data scattering by using the end point Strichartz estimate in $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ setting. However, it is difficult to remove the smallness condition on the data to prove the scattering. To overcome this difficulty, we use Kenig–Merle type argument (Linear profile decomposition, Long time perturbation theory, Compactness, Rigidity). Xu [19] and Farah–Pastor [9] also applied the similar method to (sNLS). Unlike theirs, our system has asymmetric interaction, so that we have to deal with the interaction carefully. Moreover, we are considering five space dimensions, so we use different type of exponents for Strichartz estimate (see also [8]). Our proof of the blowing-up or growing-up result is based on the argument by Du–Wu–Zhang [6] and variational characterization (Lemma 3.1). We remark that it is still open whether growing-up occurs or not. We note that Hayashi–Ozawa–Tanaka [12] proved blowing-up result if the data has finite variance and negative energy. In this paper, we extend their result to include certain positive energy initial data by using the ground state (see Theorem 1.3 for more detail).

Our blowing-up result with finite variance or radial symmetry is based on the argument by Xu [19].

1.2. Definition and main result.

In order to state the main result, we introduce some notations and basic facts. Let (T_*, T^*) be the maximal lifespan of the solution (u, v) to (NLS) (see Theorem 2.2).

Definition 1.1 (Scattering, Blowing-up, Growing-up).

- (Scattering)

We say that the solution (u, v) to (NLS) scatters in positive time (resp. negative time) if $T^* = \infty$ (resp. $T_* = -\infty$) and there exists $(\phi_+, \psi_+) \in H^1 \times H^1$ (resp. $(\phi_-, \psi_-) \in H^1 \times H^1$) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u(t), v(t)) - (e^{it\Delta}\phi_+, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi_+)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|(u(t), v(t)) - (e^{it\Delta}\phi_-, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi_-)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0 \right).$$

- (Blowing-up)

We say that (u, v) blows up in positive time (resp. negative time) if $T^* < \infty$ (resp. $T_* > -\infty$). Moreover, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 give

$$\lim_{\substack{t \nearrow T^* \\ (t \searrow T_*)}} \|(u(t), v(t))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times \dot{H}^1} = \infty.$$

- (Growing-up)

We say that the solution (u, v) grows up in positive time (resp. negative time) if $T^* = \infty$ (resp. $T_* = -\infty$) and there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ (resp. $-\infty$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(t_n), v(t_n))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times \dot{H}^1} = \infty.$$

Definition 1.2. We define the following functionals and quantities for $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1$, $\omega > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$M(u, v) = \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v\|_{L^2}^2, \quad E(u, v) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\text{Re}(v, u^2),$$

$$K(u, v) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2, \quad P(u, v) = \text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2},$$

$$K_\omega(u, v) = K(u, v) + \omega M(u, v), \quad L_\omega(u, v) = \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{10}K(u, v),$$

$$I_\omega(u, v) = \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{2}E(u, v), \quad K_\omega^{\alpha, \beta}(u, v) = \partial_\lambda I_\omega(e^{\alpha\lambda}u(e^{\beta\lambda}\cdot), e^{\alpha\lambda}v(e^{\beta\lambda}\cdot))\Big|_{\lambda=0},$$

$$C_\omega = \{(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : K_\omega^{20, 8}(u, v) = 0\},$$

$$\mu_\omega^{20, 8} = \inf\{I_\omega(u, v) : (u, v) \in C_\omega\}.$$

We state our main result.

Theorem 1.3 (Scattering versus blowing-up dichotomy). Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the solution to (NLS) with initial data (u_0, v_0) . Moreover, we assume for $\omega > 0$,

$$I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

- (1) Let $K_\omega^{20, 8}(u_0, v_0) \geq 0$. Then (u, v) scatters in positive time in $H^1 \times H^1$.
- (2) Let $K_\omega^{20, 8}(u_0, v_0) < 0$. Then (u, v) blows up or grows up in positive time in $H^1 \times H^1$. Moreover, if $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$ or (u_0, v_0) is radial, then the solution (u, v) blows up in positive time.

The same conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds for the negative time direction by taking the complex conjugate of the equation and replace t by $-t$.

1.3. Organization of the paper.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we give several properties of the ground state $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ for (NLS), Strichartz estimates, Small data scattering result, and Long time perturbation theory. In section 3, we show that the solution exists time-globally under the assumption of Theorem 1.3 (1) and show Theorem 1.3 (2). In section 4 and section 5, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 (1) by contradiction.

For the convenience of the reader, we explain the strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.3 (1). We assume that the threshold for scattering I_ω^c (see Definition 5.1) is strictly below $I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$. In section 5.1, we construct a solution (u_c, v_c) (which is called a critical solution) that stands exactly at the boundary between scattering and non-scattering. The way of the construction of this solution is as follows. We take a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ of the solutions to (NLS), which exists between I_ω^c and $I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$, and satisfies $\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$ and $I_\omega(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow I_\omega^c$ (see Definition 2.9 for the definition of $\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}$). Since this sequence is bounded in $H^1 \times H^1$, we can apply Theorem 4.3 (Linear profile decomposition) to $(u_n(0), v_n(0))$. We take the non-linear profile, which approaches the linear profile by Lemma 5.3 (Existence of wave operators). Applying Theorem 2.23 (Long time perturbation), we prove that there exists only one non-zero linear profile. Using this non-zero linear profile, we construct a critical solution. In section 5.2, we prove that the orbit of the critical solution $K = \{(u_c(\cdot - x(t), t), v_c(\cdot - x(t), t)) : t \in [t, \infty)\}$ is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$. First, we define a equivalent relation \sim on $H^1 \times H^1$ and the quotient space $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$, which is constructed by the whole equivalent class. Let $\pi : H^1 \times H^1 \rightarrow H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ be the natural projection. In Lemma 5.10 and Lemma 5.11, we prove that it is sufficient to prove the orbit of the critical solution K is precompact if $\pi(K)$ is precompact. In Proposition 5.12, we show that the orbit of the critical solution K is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$. In section 5.3, we prove that the assumption ($I_\omega^c < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$) of a contradiction argument is fault. To prove this, we first show that the momentum of the critical solution is zero. Next, we prove Theorem 5.19 (Rigidity). Because the critical solution satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.19 (Rigidity), we apply Theorem 5.19, then a contradiction occurs.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Results from [12].

Definition 2.1 (Space of $H^1 \times H^1$ solution).

$$Y(I) := (C \cap L^\infty)(I : H^1) \cap L^2(I : W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}), \quad \|u\|_{Y(I)} := \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^\infty H^1}, \|u\|_{L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 (Unique existence of $H^1 \times H^1$ time local solution [12]). For any $\rho > 0$, there exists $T(\rho) > 0$ such that for any $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ with $\max \{\|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|v_0\|_{H^1}\} \leq \rho$, (NLS) has the unique solution $(u, v) \in Y(I) \times Y(I)$ with $I = [-T(\rho), T(\rho)]$.

Definition 2.3 (Space of weighted $L^2 \times L^2$ solution).

$$X(I) := (C \cap L^\infty)(I : L^2) \cap L^2(I : L^{\frac{10}{3}}), \quad \|u\|_{X(I)} := \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^\infty L^2}, \|u\|_{L^2 L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \right\},$$

$$Z(I) := \{u \in Y(I) : xu \in X(I)\}, \quad \|u\|_{Z(I)} := \max \left\{ \|u\|_{Y(I)}, \|xu\|_{X(I)} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4 (Unique existence of weighted $L^2 \times L^2$ time local solution [12]). For any $\rho > 0$, there exists $T(\rho) > 0$ such that for any $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ with $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$ and

$$\max \{\|u_0\|_{H^1}, \|v_0\|_{H^1}, \|xu_0\|_{L^2}, \|xv_0\|_{L^2}\} \leq \rho,$$

(NLS) has the unique solution $(u, v) \in Z(I) \times Z(I)$ with $I = [-T(\rho), T(\rho)]$.

Theorem 2.5 (Conservation law [12]). The solution (u, v) to (NLS) satisfies the following conservation laws for all $t \in (T_*, T^*)$

$$M(u(t), v(t)) = M(u_0, v_0), \tag{2.1}$$

$$E(u(t), v(t)) = E(u_0, v_0). \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 2.6 (Virial identity [12]). Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ satisfy $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$ and let $(u, v) \in (Z(I) \times Z(I)) \cap (Y(I) \times Y(I))$ be the corresponding local solution given by Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4. Then

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|xv(t)\|_{L^2}) = 10E(u_0, v_0) - 2 \left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.7. Due to the coefficients $1, \frac{1}{2}$ of the Laplacian for (NLS), we can prove that Theorem 2.6 holds. This condition is called mass resonance condition.

2.2. Linear estimates.

To construct the solution, we convert (NLS) into the following integral system (NLSI) by Duhamel's principle.

$$(NLSI) \quad \begin{cases} u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + 2i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (v\bar{u})(s) ds, \\ v(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_0 + i \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta} (u^2)(s) ds, \end{cases}$$

where $e^{it\Delta} f(x) = (e^{-4\pi^2 it|\xi|^2} \widehat{f})^\vee(x)$ and $e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} f(x) = (e^{-2\pi^2 it|\xi|^2} \widehat{f})^\vee(x)$.

Theorem 2.8. If $t \neq 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $p' \in [1, 2]$, then it follows that $e^{it\Delta} : L^{p'} \rightarrow L^p$ is continuous and

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L^p} \leq c|t|^{-\frac{5}{2}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p})} \|f\|_{L^{p'}},$$

where c is independent of t and f .

Definition 2.9. We say that (q, r) is \dot{H}^s admissible (in 5d) if

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{5}{r} = \frac{5}{2} - s.$$

Strichartz norm is defined as

$$\|u\|_{S(L^2)} = \sup_{\substack{(q,r):L^2 \text{ admissible} \\ 2 \leq q \leq \infty, 2 \leq r \leq \frac{10}{3}}} \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r}, \quad \|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \sup_{\substack{(q,r):\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ admissible} \\ 4^+ \leq q \leq \infty, \frac{5}{2} \leq r \leq \frac{10}{3}^-}} \|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^r}.$$

Dual Strichartz norm is defined as

$$\|u\|_{S'(L^2)} = \inf_{\substack{(q,r):L^2 \text{ admissible} \\ 2 \leq q \leq \infty, 2 \leq r \leq \frac{10}{3}}} \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}}, \quad \|u\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} = \inf_{\substack{(q,r):\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ admissible} \\ \frac{4}{3}^+ \leq q \leq 4, 2 \leq r \leq \frac{10}{3}^-}} \|u\|_{L_t^{q'} L_x^{r'}},$$

where (q', r') is the Hölder dual to (q, r) and 4^+ is an arbitrarily preselected and fixed number larger than 4, similarly for $\frac{10}{3}^-$ and $\frac{4}{3}^+$.

Remark 2.10. Dual Strichartz norm is not norm precisely. (Dual Strichartz norm may not satisfy triangle inequality.)

Theorem 2.11 (Strichartz estimates [17]). We have the following:

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{S(L^2)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^2},$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{S(L^2)} \leq c\|f\|_{S'(L^2)}.$$

If (q, r) is $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ admissible and satisfies $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\frac{5}{2} \leq r \leq 5$, then

$$\|e^{it\Delta} f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \leq c\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \leq c \|D^{\frac{1}{2}} F\|_{S'(L^2)},$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq c \|F\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})},$$

where c is independent of f or F .

We extend our notation $S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as follows: If a time interval is not specified (, that is, if we just write $S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})$), then the t -norm is evaluated over $(-\infty, +\infty)$. To indicate a restriction to a time subinterval $I \subset (-\infty, +\infty)$, we will write $S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I)$. Other Strichartz norm, dual Strichartz norm is also described similarly. Even if time is restricted, Theorem 2.11 still holds.

2.3. Variational characterization.

Proposition 2.12. ([12]) For $\omega > 0$, there exists a nontrivial real valued solution $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) \in H^1 \times H^1$ of the elliptic system

$$(\text{gNLS}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \phi_\omega + \omega \phi_\omega = 2\psi_\omega \phi_\omega, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi_\omega + 2\omega \psi_\omega = \phi_\omega^2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that $(u, v) = (e^{i\omega t} \phi_\omega, e^{i2\omega t} \psi_\omega)$ is a global solution to (NLS) if $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ is the solution of (gNLS). We can see this type solutions does not decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.13. Real-valued functions $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times H^1$ is called a solution of (gNLS) if

$$(\text{gNLSI}) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla u dx + \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi u dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi \psi u dx, \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla v dx + 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi^2 v dx \end{cases}$$

for any $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$.

Proposition 2.14. Let $\omega > 0$. Then the solution $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ to (gNLS) satisfies

$$2K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = 5P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad 2\omega M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we give a formal calculation for the proof. Actual proof requires a regularization procedure.

Multiplying both side of $-\Delta \phi_\omega + \omega \phi_\omega = 2\psi_\omega \phi_\omega$ by ϕ_ω and integrating, we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega \Delta \phi_\omega dx + \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega^2 dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega^2 dx.$$

Multiplying both side of $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi_\omega + 2\omega \psi_\omega = \phi_\omega^2$ by ψ_ω and integrating, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \Delta \psi_\omega dx + 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega^2 dx.$$

Using integration by parts,

$$\|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\text{Re}(\psi_\omega, \phi_\omega^2)_{L^2}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\omega \|\psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \text{Re}(\psi_\omega, \phi_\omega^2)_{L^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\omega M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = 3P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega). \quad (2.4)$$

Multiplying both side of $-\Delta \phi_\omega + \omega \phi_\omega = 2\psi_\omega \phi_\omega$ by $x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega$ and integrating, we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx + \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx &= \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_k^2 \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left([\partial_k \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega]_{x_k=-\infty}^{x_k=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_k \phi_\omega \partial_k (x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega) dx_k \right) d\bar{x}_k \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\partial_k \phi_\omega)^2 dx - \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_k \phi_\omega x_j \partial_{kj} \phi_\omega dx \\
&= - \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left([x_j (\partial_k \phi_\omega)^2]_{x_j=-\infty}^{x_j=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_j (x_j \partial_k \phi_\omega) \partial_k \phi_\omega dx_j \right) d\bar{x}_j \\
&= - \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\partial_k \phi_\omega + x_j \partial_{jk} \phi_\omega) \partial_k \phi_\omega dx \\
&= 4 \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left([x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega \partial_k \phi_\omega]_{x_k=-\infty}^{x_k=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_j \phi_\omega \partial_k (x_j \partial_k \phi_\omega) dx_k \right) d\bar{x}_k \\
&= 4 \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\partial_k \phi_\omega)^2 dx - \sum_{k=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_k^2 \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega dx \\
&= 3 \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx = \frac{3}{2} \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left([x_j \phi_\omega^2]_{x_j=-\infty}^{x_j=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi_\omega + x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega) \phi_\omega dx_j \right) d\bar{x}_j \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\phi_\omega^2 + \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega) dx \\
&= -5 \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx = -\frac{5}{2} \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left([x_j \psi_\omega \phi_\omega^2]_{x_j=-\infty}^{x_j=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\omega \partial_j (x_j \psi_\omega \phi_\omega) dx_j \right) d\bar{x}_j
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\psi_\omega \phi_\omega^2 + \phi_\omega^2 x_j \partial_j \psi_\omega + \psi_\omega \phi_\omega x_j \partial_j \phi_\omega) dx \\
&= -5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega^2 x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \phi_\omega dx = -\frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega \phi_\omega^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega^2 x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx. \quad (2.8)$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$-\frac{3}{2} \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{5}{2} \omega \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = -5 \operatorname{Re}(\psi_\omega, \phi_\omega^2)_{L^2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega^2 x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Multiplying both side of $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi_\omega + 2\omega \psi_\omega = \phi_\omega^2$ by $x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega$ and integrating

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \psi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx + 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_\omega x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega^2 x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx.$$

This formula combined with (2.6), (2.7) gives

$$-\frac{3}{4} \|\nabla \psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 - 5\omega \|\psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_\omega^2 x \cdot \nabla \psi_\omega dx. \quad (2.10)$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\frac{3}{2} \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{5}{2} \omega \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{4} \|\nabla \psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 5\omega \|\psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = 5 \operatorname{Re}(\psi_\omega, \phi_\omega^2)_{L^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{5}{2} \omega M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + \frac{3}{2} K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = 5P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

This formula combined with (2.4) gives

$$2K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = 5P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad 2\omega M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

□

Proposition 2.15. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$. Let (u, v) be the solution to (NLS) with initial data (u_0, v_0) on (T_*, T^*) . Then, we have

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|xv(t)\|_{L^2}) \quad \left(= 8K(u, v) - 20P(u, v) \right)$$

for any $t \in (T_*, T^*)$.

Proof. By the change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} x)|^2 dx = e^{2\alpha\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |u(y)|^2 \frac{dy}{e^{5\beta\lambda}} = e^{(2\alpha-5\beta)\lambda} \|u\|_{L^2}^2, \\
\|\nabla e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\nabla e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} x)|^2 dx = e^{2\alpha\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |e^{\beta\lambda} (\nabla u)(y)|^2 \frac{dy}{e^{5\beta\lambda}} = e^{(2\alpha-3\beta)\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2, \\
\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot), (e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot))^2 \right)_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} x) \cdot \overline{e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} x)}^2 dx = e^{(3\alpha-5\beta)\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_\omega^{\alpha,\beta}(u, v) &= \partial_\lambda I_\omega(e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot), e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot))|_{\lambda=0} \\
&= \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{\omega}{2} \|e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega \|e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 - \operatorname{Re}(e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot), (e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot))^2)_{L^2} \right) \Big|_{\lambda=0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\omega}{2}(2\alpha - 5\beta)\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega(2\alpha - 5\beta)\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha - 3\beta)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}(2\alpha - 3\beta)\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - (3\alpha - 5\beta)\operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|xv(t)\|_{L^2}) &= 10E(u_0, v_0) - 2\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\
&= 10\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}\right) - 2\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\
&= 8\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 20\operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha = 20$, $\beta = 8$, which means $2\alpha - 5\beta = 0$, $2\alpha - 3\beta = 16$, $3\alpha - 5\beta = 20$ and

$$K_\omega^{\alpha, \beta}(u, v) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|xv(t)\|_{L^2}).$$

□

Lemma 2.16. We have

$$\mu_\omega^{20,8} = \inf_{(u,v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \{L_\omega(u, v) : K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \leq 0\}.$$

Proof. We take for any $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ with $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) < 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{20\lambda}u(e^{8\lambda}\cdot), e^{20\lambda}v(e^{8\lambda}\cdot)) &= 8\|\nabla e^{20\lambda}u(e^{8\lambda}\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\|\nabla e^{20\lambda}v(e^{8\lambda}\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 - 20P(e^{20\lambda}u(e^{8\lambda}\cdot), e^{20\lambda}v(e^{8\lambda}\cdot)) \\
&= 8e^{16\lambda}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4e^{16\lambda}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 20e^{20\lambda}P(u, v).
\end{aligned}$$

From $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) < 0$, we have $P(u, v) > 0$. Hence, there exists $\lambda_0 < 0$ such that

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

For such $\lambda_0 < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_\omega(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{\omega}{2}M(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) + \frac{1}{2}K(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) - P(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{\omega}{2}M(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) + \frac{1}{10}K(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0}\cdot)) \\
&= \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{10}e^{16\lambda_0}K(u, v) \\
&\leq L_\omega(u, v)
\end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

from Proposition 2.14. Also, for any $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ with $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 0$, we have $I_\omega(u, v) = L_\omega(u, v)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\omega^{20,8} &= \inf_{(u,v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \{I_\omega(u, v) : K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 0\} \\
&\leq \inf_{(u,v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \{L_\omega(u, v) : K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \leq 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows that

$$\mu_\omega^{20,8} = \inf_{(u,v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \{L_\omega(u, v) : K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 0\},$$

and so

$$\mu_\omega^{20,8} \geq \inf_{(u,v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \{L_\omega(u, v) : K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \leq 0\}.$$

□

Proposition 2.17. Let $\omega > 0$. Then we have the followings

$$(1) \mu_\omega^{20,8} \geq 0,$$

(2) there exists a pair of nonnegative radial functions $(\phi_0, \psi_0) \in C_\omega$ such that $I_\omega(\phi_0, \psi_0) = \mu_\omega^{20,8}$. Moreover, (ϕ_0, ψ_0) solves (gNLS).

Proof. (1) We apply Lemma 2.16 for $\mu_\omega^{20,8}$ and take for any $(\phi, \psi) \in C_\omega$. From Proposition 2.15 with $K_\omega^{20,8}(\phi, \psi) = 0$, we have $20P(\phi, \psi) = 8K(\phi, \psi)$. Thus,

$$I_\omega(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2}K_\omega(\phi, \psi) - P(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2}K_\omega(\phi, \psi) - \frac{2}{5}K(\phi, \psi) \geq \frac{1}{10}K_\omega(\phi, \psi) > 0.$$

Therefore, $\mu_\omega^{20,8} \geq 0$.

(2) We take $\{(\phi_j, \psi_j)\} \subset \{(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \leq 0\}$ with $L_\omega(\phi_j, \psi_j) \searrow \mu_\omega^{20,8}$. We consider the symmetric-decreasing rearrangement (ϕ_j^*, ψ_j^*) of (ϕ_j, ψ_j) . Then, $0 \geq K_\omega^{20,8}(\phi_j, \psi_j) \geq K_\omega^{20,8}(\phi_j^*, \psi_j^*)$, $L_\omega(\phi_j, \psi_j) \geq L_\omega(\phi_j^*, \psi_j^*)$ by $M(\phi_j, \psi_j) = M(\phi_j^*, \psi_j^*)$, $K(\phi_j, \psi_j) \geq K(\phi_j^*, \psi_j^*)$, $P(\phi_j, \psi_j) \leq P(\phi_j^*, \psi_j^*)$ (see [12], p674). Thus, we may assume that (ϕ_j, ψ_j) is non-negative and radially symmetric function in $H^1 \times H^1$. By (2.11), for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_j \leq 0$ such that

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{20\lambda_j} \phi_j(e^{8\lambda_j \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_j} \psi_j(e^{8\lambda_j \cdot})) = 0.$$

We set $(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) = (e^{20\lambda_j} \phi_j(e^{8\lambda_j \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_j} \psi_j(e^{8\lambda_j \cdot}))$. Also, $L_\omega(\phi_j, \psi_j) \geq L_\omega(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \searrow \mu_\omega^{20,8}$ by (2.12). Since $L_\omega(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \leq L_\omega(\phi_1, \psi_1)$, $\{(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)\}$ is bounded in $H^1 \times H^1$. There exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)\}$ and $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) \in H_{\text{rad}}^1 \times H_{\text{rad}}^1$ ($H_{\text{rad}}^1 = \{u \in H^1 : u : \text{radial}\}$) such that

$$\tilde{\phi}_j \rightharpoonup \tilde{\phi}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_j \rightharpoonup \tilde{\psi} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } H^1,$$

where \rightharpoonup denotes weak convergence. By Strauss' compactness embedding $H_{\text{rad}}^1 \subset L^3$,

$$\tilde{\phi}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } L^3.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |P(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) - P(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\phi}^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\phi}_j^2 dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{\psi} (\tilde{\phi}^2 - \tilde{\phi}_j^2) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\tilde{\psi} - \tilde{\psi}_j) \tilde{\phi}_j^2 dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^3} + \|\tilde{\psi} - \tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^3} \|\tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^3}^2 \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)$, which combined with a property of weak convergence, gives $K_\omega^{20,8}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} K_\omega^{20,8}(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) = 0$. Here, we prove $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) \neq (0, 0)$ by contradiction. We assume that $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) = (0, 0)$.

$$8K(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) = 20P(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \rightarrow 20P(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) = 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and we apply Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality: $\|u\|_{L^3} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}}$, then

$$P(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \leq \|\tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^3}^2 \|\tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^3} \leq c \|\nabla \tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{3}} \|\tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \leq c \|\tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} K(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)^{\frac{5}{4}}.$$

Since $\|\tilde{\phi}_j\|_{L^2}, \|\tilde{\psi}_j\|_{L^2}$ are bounded with respect to j , we have $P(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \leq cK(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)^{\frac{5}{4}}$. Thus, for sufficiently large $j \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) = 8K(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) - 20P(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \geq (8 - cK(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j)^{\frac{1}{4}})K(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) > 0.$$

This is contradiction. Therefore, since $(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) \neq (0, 0)$, $\mu_\omega^{20,8} \leq L_\omega(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_\omega(\tilde{\phi}_j, \tilde{\psi}_j) = \mu_\omega^{20,8}$, i.e. $L_\omega(\phi_j, \psi_j) = \mu_\omega^{20,8}$. Thus, there exists $\lambda_0 \leq 0$ such that $K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\phi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\psi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot})) = 0$ and $I_\omega(e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\phi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\psi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot})) = L_\omega(e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\phi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\psi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot})) = \mu_\omega^{20,8}$. We set $(\phi_0, \psi_0) = (e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\phi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0} \tilde{\psi}(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}))$. From here, we will prove that this (ϕ_0, ψ_0) is nonnegative radial solution to (gNLS). Because we proved that (ϕ_0, ψ_0) is nonnegative and radial, it remain to

prove that (ϕ_0, ψ_0) is a solution to (gNLS). Since (ϕ_0, ψ_0) is a minimizing of I_ω , it is a critical point, i.e. for any $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1$

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} I_\omega(\phi_0 + su, \psi_0 + sv) \right|_{s=0} = 0.$$

Since

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \|\phi_0 + su\|_{L^2}^2 \right|_{s=0} = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\phi_0 + su|^2 dx \right|_{s=0} = \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} 2(\phi_0 + su) \cdot u dx \right|_{s=0} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_0 u dx, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{ds} P(\phi_0 + su, \psi_0 + sv) \right|_{s=0} &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\psi_0 + sv)(\phi_0 + su)^2 dx \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} v(\phi_0 + su)^2 + (\psi_0 + sv) \cdot 2(\phi_0 + su)u dx \right|_{s=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\phi_0^2 v + 2\phi_0 \psi_0 u) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left. \frac{d}{ds} I_\omega(\phi_0 + su, \psi_0 + sv) \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\omega}{2} \|\phi_0 + su\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega \|\psi_0 + sv\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla(\phi_0 + su)\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla(\psi_0 + sv)\|_{L^2}^2 - P(\phi_0 + su, \psi_0 + sv) \right) \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_0 u dx + 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_0 v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \phi_0 \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \psi_0 \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\phi_0^2 v + 2\phi_0 \psi_0 u) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_0 u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \phi_0 \cdot \nabla u dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_0 \psi_0 u dx, \\ 2\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_0 v dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \psi_0 \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \phi_0^2 v dx, \end{cases}$$

i.e. $(\phi_0, \psi_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ is a solution to (gNLSI) and hence, the one is a solution to (gNLS). \square

Remark 2.18. Combining Proposition 2.14 and Proposition 2.17 (2), we have $\mu_\omega^{20,8} > 0$. Indeed, $\mu_\omega^{20,8} = I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = \omega M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) > 0$.

Remark 2.19. From now on, we denote the functions, which attain the infimum of $\mu_\omega^{20,8}$ and solve (gNLS) by $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$.

2.4. Small data scattering.

Theorem 2.20 (Small data global existence). There exists $\delta_{sd} > 0$ such that if

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \delta_{sd},$$

then there exists the unique global solution $(u(t), v(t)) \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to (NLS), which satisfies

$$\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4 \|(e^{it\Delta} u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Proof. We define a set

$$E = \left\{ (u, v) \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} : \|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4 \|(e^{it\Delta} u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right\}$$

and a distance $d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2))$ on E

$$d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Also, we define a map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{u_0}(u, v)(t) &= e^{it\Delta}u_0 + 2i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds, \\ \Phi_{v_0}(u, v)(t) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0 + i \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta}(u^2)(s)ds\end{aligned}$$

for $(u, v) \in E$. Since $(\frac{3}{2}, 3)$ is a $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ admissible and $(6, 3)$ is a $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ admissible pair,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_{u_0}(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2c\|vu\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2c\|uv\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2c\|u\|_{L^6L^3}\|v\|_{L^6L^3} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2c\|u\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}\|v\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 32c\|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \\ &\leq (1 + 32c\delta_{sd})\|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq (1 + 32c\delta_{sd})\|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2(1 + 32c\delta_{sd})\|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Thus, if $\delta_{sd} \leq \frac{1}{32c}$, then

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4\|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Also, for $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in E$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &= 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2)(s)ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq 2c\|v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq 2c\|v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq 2c \left(\|v_1(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2)\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \|(v_1 - v_2)\bar{u}_2\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq 2c(\|v_1\|_{L^6L^3}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^6L^3} + \|u_2\|_{L^6L^3}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^6L^3}) \\ &\leq 2c \left(\|v_1\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|u_2\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right) \\ &\leq 8c\|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq 8c\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 16c\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1), \Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1)) - (\Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2), \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ & \leq 24c\delta_{sd} \|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ & \leq \frac{3}{4} \|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the unique solution $(u, v) \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to (NLS) exists time-globally, and

$$\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4 \|(e^{it\Delta}u_0, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

□

Lemma 2.21. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be a time-global solution with

$$\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|(u(t), v(t))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < \infty.$$

Then, (u, v) is in $L^\rho([0, \infty) : W^{1, \gamma}) \times L^\rho([0, \infty) : W^{1, \gamma})$ for any L^2 admissible pair (ρ, γ) .

Proof. We fix $T \geq 0$. We consider the integral equation with data at T

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = e^{i(t-T)\Delta}u(T) + 2i \int_T^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds, \\ v(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-T)\Delta}v(T) + i \int_T^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta}(u^2)(s)ds. \end{cases}$$

Replacing t with $t + T$,

$$\begin{cases} u(t+T) = e^{it\Delta}u(T) + 2i \int_T^{t+T} e^{i(t+T-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds, \\ v(t+T) = e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v(T) + i \int_T^{t+T} e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t+T-s)\Delta}(u^2)(s)ds. \end{cases}$$

Replacing s with $s + T$,

$$\begin{cases} u(t+T) = e^{it\Delta}u(T) + 2i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s+T)ds, \\ v(t+T) = e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v(T) + i \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta}(u^2)(s+T)ds. \end{cases}$$

These equations combined with $(\frac{12}{5}, 3)$ being a L^2 admissible pair, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot + T)\|_{L_{[0, \tau]}^\rho W^{1, \gamma}} & \leq \|e^{iT\Delta}u(T)\|_{L_{[0, \tau]}^\rho W^{1, \gamma}} + 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s+T)ds \right\|_{L_{[0, \tau]}^\rho W^{1, \gamma}} \\ & \leq c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + c\|(vu)(\cdot + T)\|_{L_{[0, \tau]}^{\frac{12}{7}} W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}} \\ & = c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + c\|vu\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^{\frac{12}{7}} W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}} \\ & \leq c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + c\|v\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}} \|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^6 L^3} + c\|v\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^6 L^3} \|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}}. \end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau > 0$. Therefore,

$$\|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^\rho W^{1, \gamma}} \leq c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + c\|v\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}} \|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^6 L^3} + c\|v\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^6 L^3} \|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|v\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^\rho W^{1, \gamma}} \leq c\|v(T)\|_{H^1} + c\|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}} \|u\|_{L_{[T, T+\tau]}^6 L^3}.$$

Here, since $(6, 3)$ is a $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ admissible pair and $\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$, we obtain

$$\max\{c\|u\|_{L^6_{[T, T+\tau]}L^3}, c\|v\|_{L^6_{[T, T+\tau]}L^3}\} < \frac{1}{4}$$

for sufficiently large $T > 0$. For such $T > 0$,

$$\|u\|_{L^{\rho}_{[T, T+\tau]}W^{1, \gamma}} + \|v\|_{L^{\rho}_{[T, T+\tau]}W^{1, \gamma}} \leq c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + c\|v(T)\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[T, T+\tau]}W^{1.3}} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[T, T+\tau]}W^{1.3}}. \quad (2.15)$$

We can take $(\rho, \gamma) = (\frac{12}{5}, 3)$, which is a L^2 admissible pair. The $H^1 \times H^1$ time local solution is in $L^{\frac{12}{5}}(I : W^{1.3})$ see [12]. This fact combined with $\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|(u(t), v(t))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < \infty$ gives

$$u, v \in L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty) : W^{1.3}).$$

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[T, T+\tau]}W^{1.3}} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[T, T+\tau]}W^{1.3}} \leq 2c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v(T)\|_{H^1}.$$

Because $\tau > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[T, \infty)}W^{1.3}} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[T, \infty)}W^{1.3}} \leq 2c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v(T)\|_{H^1}.$$

This formula combined with (2.15) gives

$$\|u\|_{L^{\rho}_{[T, \infty)}W^{1, \gamma}} + \|v\|_{L^{\rho}_{[T, \infty)}W^{1, \gamma}} \leq 2c\|u(T)\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v(T)\|_{H^1}.$$

Therefore, $(u, v) \in L^{\rho}([0, \infty) : W^{1, \gamma}) \times L^{\rho}([0, \infty) : W^{1, \gamma})$. □

Theorem 2.22 ($H^1 \times H^1$ Scattering). Under the same assumption as Lemma 2.21, (u, v) scatters in $H^1 \times H^1$.

Proof. Let

$$U(t) = e^{-it\Delta}u(t) = u_0 + 2i \int_0^t e^{-is\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds,$$

$$V(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v(t) = v_0 + i \int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}is\Delta}(u^2)(s)ds.$$

If $t > \tau > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(t) - U(\tau)\|_{H^1} &= 2 \left\| \int_{\tau}^t e^{-is\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds \right\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq c\|v\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[\tau, t]}W^{1.3}} \|u\|_{L^6_{[\tau, t]}L^3} + c\|v\|_{L^6_{[\tau, t]}L^3} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}_{[\tau, t]}W^{1.3}} \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau, t \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

by the proof of Lemma 2.21. Similarly,

$$\|V(t) - V(\tau)\|_{H^1} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau, t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, there exists u^+ and $v^+ \in H^1$ such that

$$u(t) - e^{it\Delta}u^+ \longrightarrow 0, \quad v(t) - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v^+ \longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } H^1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

2.5. Long time perturbation.

Theorem 2.23 (Long time perturbation). For each $A > 1$, there exist $\varepsilon_0(A) < 1$ and $C(A) > 1$ such that the following holds. Let $(u, v) = (u(x, t), v(x, t)) \in H^1 \times H^1$ for all t and solve (NLS). Let e_1, e_2, \tilde{u} , and \tilde{v} satisfy

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = i\partial_t \tilde{u} + \Delta \tilde{u} + 2\tilde{v}\bar{\tilde{u}}, \\ e_2 = i\partial_t \tilde{v} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta \tilde{v} + \tilde{u}^2. \end{cases}$$

$$\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq A, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\|(e_1, e_2)\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \times S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} (u(t_0) - \tilde{u}(t_0)), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_0)\Delta} (v(t_0) - \tilde{v}(t_0)) \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \varepsilon_0. \quad (2.18)$$

Then,

$$\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C = C(A) < \infty.$$

Proof. We define $w_1 = u - \tilde{u}$, $w_2 = v - \tilde{v}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= i\partial_t w_1 + \Delta w_1 + 2w_1\bar{w}_1 + 2w_1\bar{\tilde{u}} + 2\tilde{v}\bar{w}_1 + 2\tilde{v}\bar{\tilde{u}} \\ &= i\partial_t (w_1 + \tilde{u}) + \Delta (w_1 + \tilde{u}) + 2(w_2 + \tilde{v})(\overline{w_1 + \tilde{u}}) \\ &= i\partial_t w_1 + i\partial_t \tilde{u} + \Delta w_1 + \Delta \tilde{u} + 2\overline{w_1}w_2 + 2\overline{w_1}\tilde{v} + 2w_2\bar{\tilde{u}} + 2\tilde{v}\bar{\tilde{u}} \\ &= i\partial_t w_1 + \Delta w_1 + 2\overline{w_1}w_2 + 2\overline{w_1}\tilde{v} + 2w_2\bar{\tilde{u}} + e_1, \\ 0 &= i\partial_t (w_2 + \tilde{v}) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta (w_2 + \tilde{v}) + (w_1 + \tilde{u})^2 \\ &= i\partial_t w_2 + i\partial_t \tilde{v} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta w_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta \tilde{v} + w_1^2 + 2w_1\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}^2 \\ &= i\partial_t w_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta w_2 + w_1^2 + 2w_1\tilde{u} + e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w_1 + \Delta w_1 + 2\overline{w_1}w_2 + 2\overline{w_1}\tilde{v} + 2w_2\bar{\tilde{u}} + e_1 = 0, \\ i\partial_t w_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta w_2 + w_1^2 + 2w_1\tilde{u} + e_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq A$, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and I_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) with

$$[t_0, \infty) = \bigcup_{j=1}^N I_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^N [t_{j-1}, t_j] \text{ and } I_j \text{ are pairwise disjoint such that } \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j)} \leq \delta.$$

We consider the following integral equation.

$$\begin{cases} w_1(t) = e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (2\overline{w_1}w_2 + 2\overline{w_1}\tilde{v} + 2w_2\bar{\tilde{u}} + e_1)(s) ds, \\ w_2(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta} (w_1^2 + 2w_1\tilde{u} + e_2)(s) ds. \end{cases}$$

We define a set

$$E = \left\{ (w_1, w_2) : \|(w_1, w_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j)} \leq 4B \right\},$$

where $B = \|(e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j)} + c\varepsilon_0$. We define a distance $d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2))$ on E

$$d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j)}.$$

We define maps

$$\Phi_1(w_1, w_2)(t) = e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (2\overline{w_1} w_2 + 2\overline{w_1} \tilde{v} + 2w_2 \tilde{u} + e_1)(s) ds,$$

$$\Phi_2(w_1, w_2)(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta} (w_1^2 + 2w_1 \tilde{u} + e_2)(s) ds$$

for $(w_1, w_2) \in E$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_1(w_1, w_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \leq \|e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + \left\| \int_{t_j}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (2\overline{w_1} w_2 + 2\overline{w_1} \tilde{v} + 2w_2 \tilde{u} + e_1)(s) ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \leq \|e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + 2c \|w_1 w_2\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + 2c \|w_1 \tilde{v}\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \quad + 2c \|w_2 \tilde{u}\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + c \|e_1\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \leq \|e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + 2c \|w_1 w_2\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2c \|w_1 \tilde{v}\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2c \|w_2 \tilde{u}\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + c\varepsilon_0 \\ & \leq \|e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + 2c \|w_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|w_2\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} + 2c \|w_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{v}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \\ & \quad + 2c \|w_2\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} + c\varepsilon_0 \\ & \leq B + 32cB^2 + 8c\delta B + 8c\delta B \\ & = (1 + 32cB + 16c\delta)B, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_2(w_1, w_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \leq \|e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + \left\| \int_{t_j}^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta} (w_1^2 + 2w_1 \tilde{u} + e_2)(s) ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \leq \|e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + c \|w_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3}^2 + 2c \|w_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} + c\varepsilon_0 \\ & \leq B + 16cB^2 + 8c\delta B \\ & = (1 + 16cB + 8c\delta)B. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\|(\Phi_1(w_1, w_2), \Phi_2(w_1, w_2))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \leq (2 + 48cB + 24c\delta)B.$$

Thus, if $48cB \leq 1$ and $24c\delta \leq 1$, i.e. $B \leq 1/48c$ and $\delta \leq 1/24c$, then

$$\|(\Phi_1(w_1, w_2), \Phi_2(w_1, w_2))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \leq 4B.$$

This means $(\Phi_1(w_1, w_2), \Phi_2(w_1, w_2)) \in E$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_1(w_1, w_2) - \Phi_1(w'_1, w'_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\ & \leq 2c \|\overline{w_1} w_2 - \overline{w'_1} w'_2\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2c \|\overline{w_1} \tilde{v} - \overline{w'_1} \tilde{v}\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2c \|w_2 \tilde{u} - w'_2 \tilde{u}\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ & \leq 2c \left(\|(\overline{w_1} - \overline{w'_1}) w_2\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \|\overline{w'_1} (w_2 - w'_2)\|_{L_{I_j}^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\ & \quad + 2c \|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{v}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} + 2c \|w_2 - w'_2\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \\ & \leq 2c \left(\|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|w_2\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} + \|w'_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|w_2 - w'_2\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \right) \\ & \quad + 2c \|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{v}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} + 2c \|w_2 - w'_2\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{I_j}^6 L^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2c \left(4B \|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} + 4B \|w_2 - w'_2\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} \right) \\
&\quad + 2c\delta \|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} + 2c\delta \|w_2 - w'_2\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} \\
&= (8cB + 2c\delta) \left(\|w_1 - w'_1\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} + \|w_2 - w'_2\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|(w_1, w_2) - (w'_1, w'_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)}, \\
\|\Phi_2(w_1, w_2) - \Phi_2(w'_1, w'_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\
&\leq c \|w_1^2 - w'^2_1\|_{L^3_{I_j} L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2c \|w_1 \tilde{u} - w'_1 \tilde{u}\|_{L^3_{I_j} L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\leq c \left(\|w_1\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} + \|w'_1\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} + 2\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} \right) \|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} \\
&\leq (8cB + 2c\delta) \|w_1 - w'_1\|_{L^6_{I_j} L^3} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|(w_1, w_2) - (w'_1, w'_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\Phi_1(w_1, w_2), \Phi_2(w_1, w_2)) - (\Phi_1(w'_1, w'_2), \Phi_2(w'_1, w'_2))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(w_1, w_2) - (w'_1, w'_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}; I_j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the unique solution (w_1, w_2) exists on E .

Substituting $t = t_{j+1}$ into the integral equation,

$$\begin{cases} w_1(t_{j+1}) = e^{i(t_{j+1}-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{i(t_{j+1}-s)\Delta} (2\overline{w_1} w_2 + 2\overline{w_1} \tilde{v} + 2w_2 \overline{\tilde{u}} + e_1)(s) ds, \\ w_2(t_{j+1}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t_{j+1}-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t_{j+1}-s)\Delta} (w_1^2 + 2w_1 \tilde{u} + e_2)(s) ds, \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\begin{cases} e^{i(t-t_{j+1})\Delta} w_1(t_{j+1}) = e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (2\overline{w_1} w_2 + 2\overline{w_1} \tilde{v} + 2w_2 \overline{\tilde{u}} + e_1)(s) ds, \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_{j+1})\Delta} w_2(t_{j+1}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j) + i \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta} (w_1^2 + 2w_1 \tilde{u} + e_2)(s) ds. \end{cases}$$

By the same argument as the proof of uniqueness for (w_1, w_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_{j+1})\Delta} w_1(t_{j+1}), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_{j+1})\Delta} w_2(t_{j+1}) \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\
&\leq 4 \left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j) \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 4c\varepsilon_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating by beginning with $j = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j) \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + c\varepsilon_0 \\
&\leq 4^j \left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_0)\Delta} w_1(t_0), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_0)\Delta} w_2(t_0) \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + (4^j + 4^{j-1} + \dots + 4 + 1)c\varepsilon_0 \\
&\leq 4^j \varepsilon_0 + \frac{4^{j+1} - 1}{4 - 1} c\varepsilon_0 \\
&= 4^j \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3} (4^{j+1} - 1) c\varepsilon_0.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that N is fixed. We take $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ with $4^N \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}(4^{N+1} - 1)c\varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{48c}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(w_1, w_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|(w_1, w_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}; I_j}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}; I_j})} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} 4 \left(\|(e^{i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_1(t_j), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_j)\Delta} w_2(t_j))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}; I_j}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}; I_j})} + c\varepsilon_0 \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} 4 \left(4^j \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{3}(4^{j+1} - 1)c\varepsilon_0 \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} 4^{j+2} c\varepsilon_0 \\
&= \frac{16(4^N - 1)}{3} c\varepsilon_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &\leq \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|(w_1, w_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\
&\leq A + \frac{16(4^N - 1)}{3} c\varepsilon_0 =: C(A) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.6. Localized virial identity.

Lemma 2.24 (Radial Sobolev inequality). There exists $c > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ and $u \in H^1$,

$$\|u\|_{L^3(|x|>R)} \leq \frac{c}{R^{\frac{2}{3}}} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Proof. By Strauss' theorem: $\|u\|_{L^\infty(|x|>R)} \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^3(|x|>R)}^3 \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(|x|>R)} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u\|_{L^3(|x|>R)} \leq \frac{c}{R^{\frac{2}{3}}} \|u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

□

Lemma 2.25 (Localized virial identity). Let $\chi \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^5)$ and (u, v) be the solution to (NLS).

Let $I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(x) (|u(t, x)|^2 + 2|v(t, x)|^2) dx$. Then, we have

$$I'(t) = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{v}) dx, \quad (2.19)$$

$$I''(t) = \text{Re} \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_{jk} (4u_j \bar{u}_k + 2v_j \bar{v}_k) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta^2 \chi \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \chi v \bar{u}^2 dx. \quad (2.20)$$

If χ is radial, then we can write

$$I'(t) = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi' \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v}{r} \bar{v} \right) dx, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I''(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\frac{\chi''}{r^2} - \frac{\chi'}{r^3} \right) (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{\chi'}{r} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\chi^{(4)} + \frac{8}{r} \chi^{(3)} + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' - \frac{8}{r^3} \chi' \right) \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx - 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\chi'' + \frac{4}{r} \chi' \right) v \bar{u}^2 dx
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

for $r = |x|$.

Proof. Since (u, v) is the solution to (NLS), (u, v) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = i\Delta u + 2iv\bar{u}, \\ \partial_t v = \frac{1}{2}i\Delta v + iu^2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I'(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi (u_t \bar{u} + u \bar{u}_t + 2v_t \bar{v} + 2v \bar{v}_t) dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi (u_t \bar{u} + 2v_t \bar{v}) dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi (i\Delta u \bar{u} + 2iv\bar{u}^2 + i\Delta v \bar{v} + 2iu^2 \bar{v}) dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi (i\Delta u \bar{u} + i\Delta v \bar{v}) dx \\
&= -2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi (\Delta u \bar{u} + \Delta v \bar{v}) dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} + \chi \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{v} + \chi \nabla v \cdot \nabla \bar{v}) dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{v}) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I''(t) &= 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u_t \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{u}_t + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v_t \bar{v} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{v}_t) dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (i\Delta u + 2iv\bar{u}) \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u (-i\Delta \bar{u} - 2i\bar{v}u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2}i\Delta v + iu^2 \right) \bar{v} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \left(-\frac{1}{2}i\Delta \bar{v} - i\bar{u}^2 \right) \right\} dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ i\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + 2i\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (v\bar{u}) \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u (-i\Delta \bar{u} - 2i\bar{v}u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}i\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta v) \bar{v} + i\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (u^2) \bar{v} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \left(-\frac{1}{2}i\Delta \bar{v} - i\bar{u}^2 \right) \right\} dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (v\bar{u}) \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u (-\Delta \bar{u} - 2\bar{v}u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta v) \bar{v} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (u^2) \bar{v} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta \bar{v} - \bar{u}^2 \right) \right\} dx \\
&= 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{u}^2 + 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla \bar{u} v \bar{u} - \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} - 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{v} u \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta v) \bar{v} + 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u u \bar{v} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \Delta \bar{v} - \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{u}^2 \right\} dx \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \{ 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta v) \bar{v} + 4\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{v} u
\end{aligned}$$

$$+2\nabla\chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{u}^2 - 2\nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} - \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla v \Delta \bar{v} \} dx. \quad (2.23)$$

On the other hand, we calculate the right side of (2.20).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_{jk} u_j \bar{u}_k dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left\{ [\chi_j u_j \bar{u}_k]_{x_k=-\infty}^{x_k=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_j (u_{kj} \bar{u}_k + u_j \bar{u}_{kk}) dx_k \right\} d\bar{x}_k \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_j u_{kj} \bar{u}_k dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left\{ [\chi_j u_k \bar{u}_k]_{x_j=-\infty}^{x_j=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\chi_{jj} \bar{u}_k + \chi_j \bar{u}_{jk}) u_k dx_j \right\} d\bar{x}_j - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_j \bar{u}_{jk} u_k dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta\chi |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left\{ [\chi_j \bar{u}_j u_k]_{x_k=-\infty}^{x_k=\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\chi_{kj} u_k + \chi_j u_{kk}) \bar{u}_j dx_k \right\} d\bar{x}_k \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta\chi |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_{kj} u_k \bar{u}_j dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla \bar{u} \Delta u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta\chi |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following for the first term of (2.20):

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Re} \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_{jk} u_j \bar{u}_k dx &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\chi_{jk} u_j \bar{u}_k + \chi_{jk} \bar{u}_j u_k) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta\chi |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla (|\nabla u|^2) dx - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx. \quad (2.24) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta^2 \chi |u|^2 dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla (\Delta\chi) \cdot (\nabla u \bar{u} + u \nabla \bar{u}) dx \\ &= -2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla (\Delta\chi) \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} dx \\ &= 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta\chi (\Delta u \bar{u} + |\nabla u|^2) dx \\ &= -2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot (\nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + \Delta u \nabla \bar{u} + \nabla (|\nabla u|^2)) dx, \quad (2.25) \end{aligned}$$

for the second term of (2.20) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta\chi v \bar{u}^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla\chi \cdot (\nabla v \bar{u}^2 + 2v \nabla \bar{u} \bar{u}) dx. \quad (2.26)$$

for the third term of (2.20). Combining (2.23), (2.24), (2.25) and (2.26),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_{jk} (4u_j \bar{u}_k + 2v_j \bar{v}_k) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta^2 \chi \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx - 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \chi v \bar{u}^2 dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (|\nabla u|^2) dx - 4\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (|\nabla v|^2) dx \\
&\quad - 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \Delta \bar{v} dx + 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot (\nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + \Delta u \nabla \bar{u} + \nabla (|\nabla u|^2)) dx \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot (\nabla (\Delta v) \bar{v} + \Delta v \nabla \bar{v} + \nabla (|\nabla v|^2)) dx + 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot (\nabla v \bar{u}^2 + 2v \nabla \bar{u}) dx \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \{ 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta u) \bar{u} + \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla (\Delta v) \bar{v} + 4\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{v} \\
&\quad + 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \bar{u}^2 - 2\nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \Delta \bar{u} - \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla v \Delta \bar{v} \} dx \\
&= I''(t).
\end{aligned}$$

When χ is radial,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \chi \cdot \nabla u \bar{u} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \sum_{j=1}^5 \chi_j u_j \bar{u} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \sum_{j=1}^5 \chi' \frac{x_j}{r} u_j \bar{u} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi' \frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} dx, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_{jk} u_j \bar{u}_k dx &= \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_j \left(\chi' \frac{x_k}{r} \right) u_j \bar{u}_k dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^5 \sum_{k=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\chi'' \frac{x_j x_k}{r^2} + \chi' \frac{\delta_{jk}}{r} - \chi' \frac{x_j x_k}{r^3} \right) u_j \bar{u}_k dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\frac{\chi''}{r^2} - \frac{\chi'}{r^3} \right) |x \cdot \nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{\chi'}{r} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad (2.28)
\end{aligned}$$

where δ_{jk} denotes the Kronecker delta.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Delta \chi v \bar{u}^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \sum_{j=1}^5 \partial_j \left(\chi' \frac{x_j}{r} \right) v \bar{u}^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \sum_{j=1}^5 \left(\chi'' \frac{x_j^2}{r^2} + \chi' \frac{1}{r} - \chi' \frac{x_j^2}{r^3} \right) v \bar{u}^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\chi'' + \frac{4}{r} \chi' \right) v \bar{u}^2 dx, \quad (2.29)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 \chi &= \Delta \left(\frac{4}{r} \chi' + \chi'' \right) = \sum_{j=1}^5 \partial_j \left(-\frac{4x_j}{r^3} \chi' + \frac{4x_j}{r^2} \chi'' + \frac{x_j}{r} \chi^{(3)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^5 \left(-\frac{4}{r^3} \chi' + \frac{12x_j^2}{r^5} \chi' - \frac{4x_j^2}{r^4} \chi'' + \frac{4}{r^2} \chi'' - \frac{8x_j^2}{r^4} \chi'' + \frac{4x_j^2}{r^3} \chi^{(3)} + \frac{1}{r} \chi^{(3)} - \frac{x_j^2}{r^3} \chi^{(3)} + \frac{x_j^2}{r^2} \chi^{(4)} \right) \\
&= \chi^{(4)} + \frac{8}{r} \chi^{(3)} + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' - \frac{8}{r^3} \chi'. \quad (2.30)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.19) and (2.27),

$$I'(t) = 2\operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi' \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v}{r} \bar{v} \right) dx,$$

Combining (2.20), (2.28), (2.29) and (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I''(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\frac{\chi''}{r^2} - \frac{\chi'}{r^3} \right) (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{\chi'}{r} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\chi^{(4)} + \frac{8}{r}\chi^{(3)} + \frac{8}{r^2}\chi'' - \frac{8}{r^3}\chi' \right) \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx - 2\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\chi'' + \frac{4}{r}\chi' \right) v \bar{u}^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. GLOBAL VERSUS BLOWING-UP DICHOTOMY

3.1. Global versus blowing-up dichotomy.

We recall that $(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ attains the infimum of $\mu_\omega^{20,8}$ and solves (gNLS).

Lemma 3.1 (Estimates for $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v)$). Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the corresponding solution to (NLS). Let $[0, T^*)$ be the maximal forward lifespan of (u, v) . If $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$, then the following holds.

If $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0$, then $K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) \geq \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), K(u, v)\} > 0$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$,

If $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) < 0$, then $K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) \leq 16(I_\omega(u, v) - I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)) < 0$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Proof. We define that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) &= I_\omega \left(e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot), e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot) \right) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} \|e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega \|e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 - \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{\alpha\lambda} v(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot), (e^{\alpha\lambda} u(e^{\beta\lambda} \cdot))^2 \right)_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} e^{(2\alpha-5\beta)\lambda} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega e^{(2\alpha-5\beta)\lambda} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{(2\alpha-3\beta)\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} e^{(2\alpha-3\beta)\lambda} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - e^{(3\alpha-5\beta)\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = 20, \beta = 8$, then

$$J_{20,8}(\lambda) = \frac{\omega}{2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - e^{20\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}.$$

Thus,

$$J_{20,8}(0) = \frac{\omega}{2} M(u, v) + \frac{1}{2} E(u, v) = I_\omega(u, v).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{20,8}(\lambda) &= 8e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 20e^{20\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \\ &= 4e^{16\lambda} (2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 5e^{4\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $J'_{20,8}(0) = K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} J''_{20,8}(\lambda) &= 8 \cdot 16e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4 \cdot 16e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 20 \cdot 20e^{20\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \\ &= 16(8e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4e^{16\lambda} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 20e^{20\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}) - 80e^{20\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \\ &= 16J'_{20,8}(\lambda) - 80e^{20\lambda} \operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0$, we first prove that $K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) > 0$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. If not, then there exists $t \in [0, T^*)$ such that $K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) = 0$. By the definition of $\mu_\omega^{20,8}(= I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega))$, we have $I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) \leq I_\omega(u(t), v(t))$ for such $t \in [0, T^*)$. Thus, $I_\omega(u(t), v(t)) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) \leq I_\omega(u(t), v(t))$, which is contradiction. Therefore, $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) > 0$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$. We will prove that $K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) \geq \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), K(u, v)\}$ from here.

If $P(u, v) \leq 0$, then $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \geq 8K(u, v)$.

Let $P(u, v) > 0$. We solve $J'_{20,8}(\lambda) = 0$. Since

$$4e^{16\lambda}(2K(u, v) - 5e^{4\lambda}P(u, v)) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$e^{4\lambda} = \frac{2K(u, v)}{5P(u, v)} > 1,$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{2K(u, v)}{5P(u, v)} > 0.$$

Let λ_0 be this λ , i.e.

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{2K(u, v)}{5P(u, v)} > 0.$$

Next, we solve $J''_{20,8}(\lambda) + J'_{20,8}(\lambda) = 0$.

$$\left(8 \cdot 16e^{16\lambda}K(u, v) - 20 \cdot 20e^{20\lambda}P(u, v)\right) + \left(8e^{16\lambda}K(u, v) - 20e^{20\lambda}P(u, v)\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{16\lambda} \left(8 \cdot 17K(u, v) - 20 \cdot 21e^{4\lambda}P(u, v)\right) = 0,$$

$$e^{4\lambda} = \frac{8 \cdot 17K(u, v)}{20 \cdot 21P(u, v)},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{8 \cdot 17K(u, v)}{20 \cdot 21P(u, v)}.$$

Let λ_1 be this λ , i.e.

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{8 \cdot 17K(u, v)}{20 \cdot 21P(u, v)}.$$

In the case $\lambda_1 < 0$, because $J''_{20,8}(\lambda) + J'_{20,8}(\lambda) < 0$ in $[0, \lambda_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> \int_0^{\lambda_0} (J''_{20,8}(\lambda) + J'_{20,8}(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ &= J'_{20,8}(\lambda_0) - J'_{20,8}(0) + J_{20,8}(\lambda_0) - J_{20,8}(0) \\ &= -K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) + J_{20,8}(\lambda_0) - I_\omega(u, v). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Since

$$J'_{20,8}(\lambda_0) = 8K \left(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}) \right) - 20P \left(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}) \right) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$K_\omega^{20,8} \left(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}) \right) = 0.$$

Hence, $J_{20,8}(\lambda_0) = I_\omega \left(e^{20\lambda_0}u(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}), e^{20\lambda_0}v(e^{8\lambda_0 \cdot}) \right) \geq I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$.

This inequality combined with (3.1) gives

$$0 > -K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) + I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v),$$

i.e.

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) > I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v).$$

In the case $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, because $\frac{8 \cdot 17}{21}K(u, v) \geq 20P(u, v)$,

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 8K(u, v) - 20P(u, v) \geq 8K(u, v) - \frac{8 \cdot 17}{21}K(u, v) = \frac{32}{21}K(u, v) \geq K(u, v).$$

Therefore,

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \geq \min \{ I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), K(u, v) \}.$$

In the case $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) < 0$, it follows that $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) < 0$ for any $t \in [0, T^*)$ by the same argument as $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0$. Thus, $P(u, v) > 0$. For λ_0 taken above, we have $J'_{20,8}(\lambda) < 0$ for $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 0)$ and $J'_{20,8}(\lambda_0) = 0$. Also, it follows that

$$J''_{20,8}(\lambda) = 16J'_{20,8}(\lambda) - 80e^{20\lambda}\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \leq 16J'_{20,8}(\lambda).$$

Integrating the most left side and the most right side in $[\lambda_0, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\lambda_0}^0 J''_{20,8}(\lambda) d\lambda &\leq 16 \int_{\lambda_0}^0 J'_{20,8}(\lambda) d\lambda, \\ J'_{20,8}(0) - J'_{20,8}(\lambda_0) &\leq 16(J_{20,8}(0) - J_{20,8}(\lambda_0)), \\ K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) &\leq 16(J_{20,8}(0) - J_{20,8}(\lambda_0)) \leq 16(I_\omega(u, v) - I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2 (Global versus blowing-up dichotomy). Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the solution to (NLS) with initial data (u_0, v_0) . We assume that

$$I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

- (1) In the case $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) \geq 0$, $(u(t), v(t))$ is time-global.
- (2) In the case $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) < 0$, if $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$ or (u_0, v_0) is radial, then $(u(t), v(t))$ blows up.

Proof. (1) $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 8\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 20\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} > 0$.

By the energy conservation (2.2), $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 8E(u_0, v_0) - 4\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} > 0$, i.e.

$$-\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} > -2E(u_0, v_0). \quad (3.2)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) &> I_\omega(u, v) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} (\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \right) \\ &> \frac{\omega}{2} (\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) - 2E(u_0, v_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + 2E(u_0, v_0) > \frac{\omega}{2} (\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \quad (3.3)$$

and hence, the solution exists time-globally.

(2) Let $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$. Combining Proposition 2.15 and Lemma 3.1,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\|xu(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|xv(t)\|_{L^2}^2) = K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \leq 16(I_\omega(u, v) - I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)) < 0.$$

Therefore, the solution blows up.

Let (u_0, v_0) be radial.

We take $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$, which is radial and satisfies

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} r^2 & (0 \leq r \leq 1), \\ \text{smooth} & (1 \leq r \leq 3), \\ 0 & (3 \leq r), \end{cases}$$

where $r = |x|$. Also, χ satisfies $\chi''(r) \leq 2$ ($r \geq 0$). Moreover, we define $\chi_R(r) = R^2\chi(\frac{r}{R})$. Then, $(\chi_R)'(r) = R\chi'(\frac{r}{R})$, $(\chi_R)''(r) = \chi''(\frac{r}{R})$, $(\chi_R)^{(3)}(r) = \frac{1}{R}\chi^{(3)}(\frac{r}{R})$, and $(\chi_R)^{(4)}(r) = \frac{1}{R^2}\chi^{(4)}(\frac{r}{R})$.

By Lemma 2.25, for $I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_R (|u|^2 + 2|v|^2) dx$,

$$I''(t) = K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2}\chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \frac{R}{r^3}\chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \right\} (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\
& - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx, \\
R_2 & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_3 = -2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \leq 16(I_\omega(u, v) - I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)) < 0$.

For R_1 , in the case $\frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \leq 0$, because $\chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \leq 2$, we have $\chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \leq \frac{2r}{R}$. Thus,

$$R_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \leq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

In the case $\frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} (4r^2|\nabla u|^2 + 2r^2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

For R_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\
& = - \int_{R \leq |x| \leq 3R} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\
& \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{R \leq |x| \leq 3R} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\
& \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (|u|^2 + 2|v|^2) dx \\
& = \frac{c}{R^2} M(u, v).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

For R_3 , by Lemma 2.24,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3 & = -2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx \\
& = -2\text{Re} \int_{R \leq |x| \leq 3R} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx \\
& \leq c \int_{R \leq |x|} |vu^2| dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c\|v\|_{L^3(R\leq|x|)}\|u\|_{L^3(R\leq|x|)}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{c}{R^2}\|v\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{5}{6}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{1}{6}}\|u\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{5}{3}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{R^2}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{4}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{1}{6}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
&= c\frac{1}{R^2\varepsilon^2}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{4}}\cdot\varepsilon\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{1}{6}}\cdot\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
&\leq c\left(\frac{3}{4}\cdot\frac{1}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}}+\frac{1}{12}\varepsilon^{12}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^2+\frac{1}{6}\varepsilon^6\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(R\leq|x|)}^2\right) \\
&\leq \frac{c}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}}+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2+\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $I_\omega(u,v) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$, we may take $\delta > 0$ with $I_\omega(u,v) < (1-\delta)I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$. Then, using Lemma 2.16,

$$\begin{aligned}
I''(t) &\leq K_\omega^{20,8}(u,v) + \varepsilon K(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^2}M(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}} \\
&= 20I_\omega(u,v) - 10\omega M(u,v) - (2-\varepsilon)K(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^2}M(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}} \\
&< 20(1-\delta)I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - (2-\varepsilon)\{K(u,v) + 5\omega M(u,v)\} \\
&\quad - 5\varepsilon\omega M(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^2}M(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}} \\
&\leq \{20(1-\delta) - 10(2-\varepsilon)\}I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + \frac{c}{R^2}M(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}} \\
&= 10(\varepsilon - 2\delta)\mu_\omega^{20,8} + \frac{c}{R^2}M(u,v) + \frac{c}{R^{\frac{8}{3}\varepsilon^{\frac{8}{3}}}}M(u,v)^{\frac{5}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we take sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large $R > 0$, then $I''(t) < 0$. Therefore, the solution blows up. \square

3.2. Blowing-up or growing-up.

Lemma 3.3. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the time-global solution to (NLS). We fix $\eta_0 > 0$.

If we define $C_0 = \max\left\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}\right\}$, then for any $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\eta_0 R}{16C_0 M(u,v)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we have

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} (|u(x,t)|^2 + 2|v(x,t)|^2) dx \leq \eta_0 + o_R(1).$$

Proof. We take $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$, which is radial and satisfies

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & (0 \leq r \leq R/2), \\ \text{smooth} & (R/2 \leq r \leq R), \\ 1 & (R \leq r), \end{cases}$$

where $r = |x|$ and $R > 0$. χ satisfies $\chi'(r) \leq \frac{4}{R}$ ($r \geq 0$).

We define $I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(r) (|u(t,x)|^2 + 2|v(t,x)|^2) dx$. Then, by Lemma 2.25,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t) &= I(0) + \int_0^t I'(s) ds \\
&\leq I(0) + \int_0^t |I'(s)| ds \\
&= I(0) + 2 \int_0^t \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi' \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v}{r} \bar{v} \right) dx \right| ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq I(0) + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\chi'| (|\nabla u||u| + |\nabla v||v|) dx ds \\
&\leq I(0) + 2 \int_0^t \|\chi'\|_{L^\infty} (\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2} \|u(s)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2} \|v(s)\|_{L^2}) ds \\
&\leq I(0) + \frac{16tC_0M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover,

$$I(0) = \int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} \chi(r) (|u_0(x)|^2 + 2|v_0(x)|^2) dx \leq \int_{|x| \geq \frac{R}{2}} (|u_0(x)|^2 + 2|v_0(x)|^2) dx = o_R(1), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} (|u(t, x)|^2 + 2|v(t, x)|^2) dx \leq I(t), \tag{3.9}$$

$$0 \leq t \leq \frac{\eta_0 R}{16C_0M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), it follows that

$$\int_{|x| \geq R} (|u(t, x)|^2 + 2|v(t, x)|^2) dx \leq \eta_0 + o_R(1).$$

□

We prove the part of growing-up or blowing-up for Theorem 1.3 (2) in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.4 (Blowing-up or growing-up). Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and $I = [0, T^*)$ be the maximal forward lifespan of the solution (u, v) to (NLS). We assume for $\omega > 0$

$$I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) > I_\omega(u_0, v_0) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) < 0.$$

Then, the solution grows up or blows up.

Proof. We prove that there exists no time-global solutions (u, v) such that

$$(u, v) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1) \times C(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1) \quad \text{with} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\|_{L^q} + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|v(t)\|_{L^q} < \infty \quad \text{for some } q > 3$$

by contradiction. Let $C_0 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\|_{L^q} + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|v(t)\|_{L^q} < \infty$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= E(u, v) + 2\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \\
&\leq E(u, v) + 2\|v(t)\|_{L^3} \|u(t)\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\leq E(u, v) + 2\|v(t)\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^\theta \|v(t)\|_{L^q}^{2(1-\theta)} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^{2\theta} \\
&\leq E(u, v) + 2C_0^{3(1-\theta)} M(u, v)^{\frac{3}{2}\theta} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

for $\theta \in (0, 1)$ satisfying $\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{2}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\overline{C}_0 := \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2} \right\} < \infty.$$

We take $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$, which is radial and satisfies

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} r^2 & (0 \leq r \leq 1), \\ \text{smooth} & (1 \leq r \leq 3), \\ 0 & (3 \leq r), \end{cases}$$

where $r = |x|$. Also, χ satisfies $\chi''(r) \leq 2$ ($r \geq 0$). We define $\chi_R(r) = R^2\chi\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)$.

By Lemma 2.25, for $I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_R (|u|^2 + 2|v|^2) dx$

$$\begin{aligned} I''(t) &= K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \right\} (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{R}{r} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \right\} (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{R}{r} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - 2 \right\} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx,$$

and

$$R_3 = -2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) < 16 (I_\omega(u, v) - I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)) =: 16\widetilde{C}_0 < 0$.

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we have $R_1 \leq 0$.

By (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\ &= \frac{c}{R^2} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2(1-\theta)} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2(1-\theta)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{R^2} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2(1-\theta)} + \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2(1-\theta)} \right) \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{R^2} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= -2\text{Re} \int_{R \leq |x| \leq 3R} \left\{ \chi''\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi'\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - 10 \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx \\ &\leq c \|v\|_{L^3(R \leq |x|)} \|u\|_{L^3(R \leq |x|)}^2 \\ &\leq c \|v\|_{L^q(R \leq |x|)}^{1-\theta} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^\theta \|u\|_{L^q(R \leq |x|)}^{2(1-\theta)} \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \\ &\leq c C_0^{3(1-\theta)} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^\theta \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \\ &\leq c C_0^{3(1-\theta)} \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^\theta \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right) \\ &\leq c C_0^{3(1-\theta)} \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^\theta \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $R > 1$, then

$$I''(t) \leq 16\widetilde{C}_0 + \frac{c}{R^2} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + cC_0^{3(1-\theta)} \|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^\theta \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right) \\
& \leq 16\widetilde{C}_0 + \widetilde{C} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^{2\theta} \right), \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}(M(u, v), q, C_0)$.

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\int_{R \leq |x|} (|u(x, t)|^2 + 2|v(x, t)|^2) dx \leq \eta_0 + o_R(1)$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq \frac{R\eta_0}{16\widetilde{C}_0 M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} =: T$. Hence, $\|u(t)\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^2 \leq \eta_0 + o_R(1)$ and $\|v(t)\|_{L^2(R \leq |x|)}^2 \leq \eta_0 + o_R(1)$.

This inequality combined with (3.11) gives

$$I''(t) \leq 16\widetilde{C}_0 + \widetilde{C} \left(\eta_0^\theta + o_R(1) \right).$$

Integrating this inequality in $[0, t]$,

$$I'(t) \leq I'(0) + \left\{ 16\widetilde{C}_0 + \widetilde{C} \left(\eta_0^\theta + o_R(1) \right) \right\} t.$$

Also, integrating this inequality in $[0, T]$,

$$I(T) \leq I(0) + I'(0)T + \left\{ 16\widetilde{C}_0 + \widetilde{C} \left(\eta_0^\theta + o_R(1) \right) \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{2} T^2.$$

Here, we take $\eta_0 > 0$ with $\widetilde{C}\eta_0^\theta = -8\widetilde{C}_0 > 0$. Then,

$$I(T) \leq I(0) + I'(0) \cdot \frac{R\eta_0}{16\widetilde{C}_0 M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \left(8\widetilde{C}_0 + o_R(1) \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{16\widetilde{C}_0 M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 R^2.$$

We take $R > 0$ with $8\widetilde{C}_0 + o_R(1) < 4\widetilde{C}_0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(T) & < I(0) + I'(0) \cdot \frac{R\eta_0}{16\widetilde{C}_0 M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2\widetilde{C}_0 \left(\frac{\eta_0}{16\widetilde{C}_0 M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 R^2 \\
& =: I(0) + I'(0) \cdot \frac{R\eta_0}{16\widetilde{C}_0 M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2\alpha_0 R^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(0) & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_R(r) (|u_0(x)|^2 + 2|v_0(x)|^2) dx \\
& = \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{R}} r^2 (|u_0(x)|^2 + 2|v_0(x)|^2) dx + \int_{\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 3R} R^2 \chi \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) (|u_0(x)|^2 + 2|v_0(x)|^2) dx \\
& \leq RM(u, v) + cR^2 \int_{\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 3R} (|u_0(x)|^2 + 2|v_0(x)|^2) dx \\
& = o_R(1)R^2, \\
I'(0) & = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi'_R \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u_0}{r} \overline{u_0} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v_0}{r} \overline{v_0} \right) dx \\
& = 4\text{Im} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{R}} r \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u_0}{r} \overline{u_0} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v_0}{r} \overline{v_0} \right) dx \\
& \quad + 2\text{Im} \int_{\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 3R} R \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u_0}{r} \overline{u_0} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v_0}{r} \overline{v_0} \right) dx \\
& \leq 4 \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{R}} |x| (|\nabla u_0| |u_0| + |\nabla v_0| |v_0|) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + cR \int_{\sqrt{R} \leq |x| \leq 3R} (|\nabla u_0| |u_0| + |\nabla v_0| |v_0|) dx \\
& \leq 4\sqrt{R} (\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2}) \\
& \quad + cR \left(\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(\sqrt{R} \leq |x|)} + \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2} \|v_0\|_{L^2(\sqrt{R} \leq |x|)} \right) \\
& = o_R(1)R.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$I(T) < o_R(1)R^2 + 2\alpha_0 R^2.$$

If we take $R > 0$ with $o_R(1) + 2\alpha_0 < \alpha_0$, then

$$I(T) < \alpha_0 R^2 < 0.$$

However, this is a contradiction with $I(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_R(r) (|u(x, T)|^2 + 2|v(x, T)|^2) dx \geq 0$. Therefore, there exists no time-global solutions $(u, v) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1) \times C(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1)$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\|_{L^q} + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|v(t)\|_{L^q} < \infty \text{ for some } q > 3.$$

Applying Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^5) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^5)$, we completely prove. \square

4. PROFILE DECOMPOSITION

4.1. Linear profile decomposition.

Lemma 4.1 (Comparability of K_ω and I_ω). Let $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1$ satisfy

$$I_\omega(u, v) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) > 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{10}K_\omega(u, v) < I_\omega(u, v).$$

Proof. By (3.2), for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $-\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} > -2E(u_0, v_0) = -2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2}$, i.e. $-5\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} > -2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\omega(u, v) & = \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{2}E(u, v) \\
& = \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\text{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \right) \\
& > \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{5} (2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& = \frac{\omega}{2}M(u, v) + \frac{1}{10}K(u, v) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{10}K_\omega(u, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{10}K_\omega(u, v) < I_\omega(u, v).$$

\square

Lemma 4.2. Let $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ be two sequences.

(a) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n| + |x_n|) = \infty$, then $e^{it_n \Delta} \psi(\cdot + x_n) \rightharpoonup 0$ in H^1 for any $\psi \in H^1$.

(b) If there exists $\{z_n\} \subset H^1$ and $\psi \in H^1 \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$z_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{it_n \Delta} z_n(\cdot + x_n) \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ in } H^1,$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n| + |x_n|) = \infty$.

Proof. Since $C_0^\infty \subset H^1$ is dense, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\phi, \psi \in H^1$ there exists $f, g \in C_0^\infty$ such that $\|\phi - f\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon$, $\|\psi - g\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon$.

We will prove Lemma 4.2 by contradiction.

If not (a), then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, $\psi, \phi \in H^1$ and $\{t_{n_k}\}, \{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $|(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}\psi(\cdot + x_{n_k}), \phi)_{H^1}| \geq \varepsilon$. In the case $|t_{n_k}| \rightarrow \infty$, using Theorem 2.8, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}\psi(\cdot + x_{n_k}), \phi)_{H^1}| \\ & \leq |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}(\psi - g)(\cdot + x_{n_k}), \phi)_{H^1}| + |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}g(\cdot + x_{n_k}), \phi - f)_{H^1}| + |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}g(\cdot + x_{n_k}), f)_{H^1}| \\ & \leq \|\psi - g\|_{H^1}\|\phi\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1}\|\phi - f\|_{H^1} + \|e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}g\|_{W^{1,\infty}}\|f\|_{W^{1,1}} \\ & \leq \|\psi - g\|_{H^1}\|\phi\|_{H^1} + \|g\|_{H^1}\|\phi - f\|_{H^1} + c|t_{n_k}|^{-\frac{5}{2}}\|g\|_{W^{1,1}}\|f\|_{W^{1,1}} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This is contradiction.

When the case $\{t_{n_k}\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence such that $t_{n_k} \rightarrow t^*$. Also, by $|x_{n_k}| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}\psi(\cdot + x_{n_k}), \phi)_{H^1}| & \leq |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta} - e^{it^*\Delta})\psi, \phi(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| + |(e^{it^*\Delta}\psi, \phi(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| \\ & \leq \|(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta} - e^{it^*\Delta})\psi\|_{H^1}\|\phi\|_{H^1} + |(e^{it^*\Delta}(\psi - g), \phi(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| \\ & \quad + |(e^{it^*\Delta}g, (\phi - f)(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| + |(e^{it^*\Delta}g, f(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| \\ & \leq \|(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta} - e^{it^*\Delta})\psi\|_{H^1}\|\phi\|_{H^1} + \|\psi - g\|_{H^1}\|\phi\|_{H^1} \\ & \quad + \|g\|_{H^1}\|\phi - f\|_{H^1} + |(e^{it^*\Delta}g, f(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This is contradiction. Therefore, $e^{it_n\Delta}\psi(\cdot + x_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H^1 holds.

Next, we prove (b). If not (b), then there exists a subsequence $\{t_{n_k}\}, \{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $(t_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) \rightarrow (t^*, x^*)$. Since $z_n \rightarrow 0$, for any $\phi \in H^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}z_{n_k}(\cdot + x_{n_k}), \phi)_{H^1}| \\ & = |(z_{n_k}, e^{-it_{n_k}\Delta}\phi(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| \\ & \leq |(z_{n_k}, e^{-it_{n_k}\Delta}(\phi - f)(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| + |(z_{n_k}, (e^{-it_{n_k}\Delta} - e^{-it^*\Delta})f(\cdot - x_{n_k}))_{H^1}| \\ & \quad + |(z_{n_k}, e^{-it^*\Delta}(f(\cdot - x_{n_k}) - f(\cdot - x^*)))_{H^1}| + |(z_{n_k}, e^{-it^*\Delta}f(\cdot - x^*))_{H^1}| \\ & \leq \|z_{n_k}\|_{H^1}\|\phi - f\|_{H^1} + \|z_{n_k}\|_{H^1}\|(e^{-it_{n_k}\Delta} - e^{-it^*\Delta})f\|_{H^1} \\ & \quad + \|z_{n_k}\|_{H^1}\|f(\cdot - x_{n_k}) - f(\cdot - x^*)\|_{H^1} + |(z_{n_k}, e^{-it^*\Delta}f(\cdot - x^*))_{H^1}| \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $e^{it_{n_k}\Delta}z_{n_k}(\cdot + x_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ holds. However, this is contradiction. Therefore, $|t_n| + |x_n| \rightarrow \infty$ holds. \square

Theorem 4.3 (Linear profile decomposition). Let (ϕ_n, ψ_n) be a bounded sequence in $H^1 \times H^1$.

Then, after passing to a subsequence of (ϕ_n, ψ_n) necessary, also denoted (ϕ_n, ψ_n) , and

(1) for each $1 \leq j \leq M$, there exists a profile (ϕ^j, ψ^j) (fixed in n) in $H^1 \times H^1$,

(2) for each $1 \leq j \leq M$, there exists a sequence (in n) of time shifts $\{t_n^j\}$,

(3) for each $1 \leq j \leq M$, there exists a sequence (in n) of space shifts $\{x_n^j\}$,

(4) there exists a sequence (in n) of remainders (Φ_n^M, Ψ_n^M) in $H^1 \times H^1$,

such that

$$(\phi_n(x), \psi_n(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^M (e^{-it_n^j\Delta}\phi^j(x - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j\Delta}\psi^j(x - x_n^j)) + (\Phi_n^M(x), \Psi_n^M(x)).$$

for any $M \in \mathbb{R}$. For fixed j , we have

$$\text{either } t_n^j = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or} \quad t_n^j \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{either } x_n^j = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or} \quad |x_n^j| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

Pairwise divergence property:

$$1 \leq \forall i \neq j \leq M, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n^i - t_n^j| + |x_n^i - x_n^j|) = \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Asymptotic smallness property:

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^M)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right] = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

For fixed M and any $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we have the asymptotic Pythagorean expansion:

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^M \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^M \|\psi^j\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Psi_n^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1). \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Since (ϕ_n, ψ_n) is bounded in $H^1 \times H^1$, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $\|(\phi_n, \psi_n)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq c_1$. Let (q, r) be a $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ admissible pair.

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^q L^r} &\leq \left\| \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^{r_1}}^\theta \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^{1-\theta} \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^{q\theta} L^{r_1}}^\theta \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^{1-\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

for (r_1, θ) satisfying $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{r_1} + \frac{2(1-\theta)}{5}$. Here, we assume that $(q\theta, r_1)$ is $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ admissible and (q, r) satisfies $4 < q < \infty$, $\frac{5}{2} < r < \frac{10}{3}$. If $r_1 = \frac{3}{2}r$, then $\frac{15}{4} < r_1 < 5$. Since $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{2\theta}{3r} + \frac{2(1-\theta)}{5}$, i.e.

$$0 < \theta = \frac{6r - 15}{6r - 10} < 1,$$

we have $\frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{q\theta} = \left(1 - \frac{5}{2r}\right) \cdot \frac{6r - 10}{6r - 15} = 1 - \frac{5}{3r} < \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $2 < q\theta < 3$. Also, we obtain $\frac{2}{q\theta} + \frac{5}{r_1} = \frac{6r - 10}{3r} + \frac{10}{3r} = 2$. Thus

$$\|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^q L^r} \leq \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^{q\theta} L^{r_1}}^\theta \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^{1-\theta} \leq c \|\Phi_n^M\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^\theta \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^{1-\theta}.$$

This inequality also holds when $q = \infty$, $r = \frac{5}{2}$. Hence, it suffices to establish

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^M)\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}} \times L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right] = 0$$

to obtain (4.4).

(i) Let $M = 1$.

We set $A_1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e^{it\Delta} \phi_n, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n)\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}} \times L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}$. If $A_1 = 0$, then Theorem 4.3 holds by taking $\phi^j = 0, \psi^j = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, M$). Hence, we assume $A_1 > 0$. We take a subsequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}$ so that $A_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e^{it\Delta} \phi_n, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n)\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}} \times L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}$. We take $\chi \in S(\mathbb{R}^5)$ with $\widehat{\chi}(\xi) = 1$ ($\frac{1}{r} \leq |\xi| \leq r$) and $\text{supp} \widehat{\chi} \subset [\frac{1}{2r}, 2r]$ for $r > 0$. Applying Sobolev embedding,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} \phi_n - \chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^2 &\leq c \|e^{it\Delta} \phi_n - \chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ &= c \|\widehat{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-4\pi^2 it|\xi|^2} \widehat{\phi}_n - |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\chi} e^{-4\pi^2 it|\xi|^2} \widehat{\phi}_n\|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 \\ &= c \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\xi| |1 - \widehat{\chi}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{\phi}_n|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq c \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{r}} |\xi| |\widehat{\phi}_n|^2 d\xi + c \int_{|\xi| \geq r} |\xi| |\widehat{\phi}_n|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{c}{r} \|\widehat{\phi}_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{c}{r} \|\widehat{\phi}_n\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{c}{r} \|\phi_n\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \frac{c \cdot c_1^2}{r}.$$

Thus,

$$\|e^{it\Delta} \phi_n - \chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \leq \frac{c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n - \chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \leq \frac{c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} - \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} &\leq \frac{c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}}, \\ \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} - \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} &\leq \frac{c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}} \end{aligned}$$

from these inequalities, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} &\geq \|e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} - \frac{2c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}} \\ &\rightarrow A_1 - \frac{2c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here, if we take $r > 0$ with $\frac{2c \cdot c_1}{\sqrt{r}} = \frac{A_1}{4} \iff r = \frac{2^6 c^2 c_1^2}{A_1^2}$, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$\|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \geq \frac{A_1}{2}.$$

So, if we take a subsequence $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \geq n_0}$ of $\{(\phi_n, \psi_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \geq \frac{A_1}{2}. \quad (4.7)$$

Also, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^{\frac{5}{2}} &\leq \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 \\ &= \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widehat{\chi} e^{-4\pi^2 it|\cdot|^2} \widehat{\phi}_n\|_{L^\infty L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq c_1^2 \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right)^5 &\leq c \left(\|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^5 + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}}^5 \right) \\ &\leq c \cdot c_1^4 \left(\|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This inequality combined with (4.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} c \cdot c_1^4 \left(\|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} \right) &\geq \left(\frac{A_1}{2} \right)^5, \\ \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} &\geq \frac{A_1^5}{2^5 c c_1^4}, \\ \max \left\{ \|\chi * e^{it\Delta} \phi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}, \|\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} \right\} &\geq \frac{A_1^5}{2^6 c c_1^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can take time shifts $\{t_n^1\} \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and space shifts $\{x_n^1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ so that

$$\max \left\{ |\chi * e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1)|, |\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1)| \right\} \geq \frac{A_1^5}{2^7 c c_1^4}.$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we obtain

$$|\chi * e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1)| + |\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1)| \geq \frac{A_1^5}{2^7 c c_1^4}. \quad (4.8)$$

Since $\{e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1)\}$ and $\{e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(\cdot + x_n^1)\}$ are bounded sequences in H^1 , we can set that

$$e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) \rightharpoonup \widetilde{\phi}^1, \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) \rightharpoonup \widetilde{\psi}^1 \quad \text{in } H^1 \quad (4.9)$$

by passing to subsequences.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\phi}^1(-y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 - y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) (\widetilde{\phi}^1(-y) - e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 - y)) dy \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 - y) dy \right| - \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) (\widetilde{\phi}^1(-y) - e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 - y)) dy \right| \\ &= |\chi * e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1)| - |(\widetilde{\phi}^1 - e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 + \cdot), \chi(-\cdot))_{L^2}|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\psi}^1(-y) dy \right| \geq |\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1)| - |(\widetilde{\psi}^1 - e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1 + \cdot), \chi(-\cdot))_{L^2}|.$$

Thus, using (4.8) and (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\phi}^1(-y) dy \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\psi}^1(-y) dy \right| \\ &\geq |\chi * e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1)| + |\chi * e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1)| \\ &\quad - |(\widetilde{\phi}^1 - e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 + \cdot), \chi(-\cdot))_{L^2}| - |(\widetilde{\psi}^1 - e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1 + \cdot), \chi(-\cdot))_{L^2}| \\ &\geq \frac{A_1^5}{2^7 c c_1^4} - |(\widetilde{\phi}^1 - e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(x_n^1 + \cdot), \chi(-\cdot))_{L^2}| - |(\widetilde{\psi}^1 - e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(x_n^1 + \cdot), \chi(-\cdot))_{L^2}| \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{A_1^5}{2^7 c c_1^4} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\phi}^1(-y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \widehat{\chi}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}^1(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\widehat{\chi}(\xi)| \cdot |\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\widehat{\phi}^1(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \|\chi\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|\widehat{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\ \|\chi\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 &= \int_{\frac{1}{2r} \leq |\xi| \leq 2r} \frac{1}{|\xi|} |\widehat{\chi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{2r} \leq |\xi| \leq 2r} \frac{1}{|\xi|} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

We transform ξ into a polar coordinate, i.e. $\xi_1 = \eta \cos \theta_1$, $\xi_2 = \eta \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_1$, $\xi_3 = \eta \cos \theta_3 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_1$, $\xi_4 = \eta \cos \theta_4 \sin \theta_3 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_1$, $\xi_5 = \eta \sin \theta_4 \sin \theta_3 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_1$.

Then, $|\xi| = \eta$ and $d\xi = \eta^4 \sin^3 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 d\eta d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d\theta_4$. Thus,

$$\|\chi\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \int_{\frac{1}{2r}}^{2r} \frac{1}{\eta} \eta^4 \sin^3 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 d\eta d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d\theta_4$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\frac{1}{2r}}^{2r} \eta^3 d\eta \int_0^\pi \sin^3 \theta_1 d\theta_1 \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \theta_2 d\theta_2 \int_0^\pi \sin \theta_3 d\theta_3 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_4 \\
&= \left[\frac{1}{4} \eta^4 \right]_{\frac{1}{2r}}^{2r} \left(\frac{2}{3} \int_0^\pi \sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \right) \left[-\cos \theta_3 \right]_0^\pi \cdot 2\pi \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(16r^4 - \frac{1}{16r^4} \right) \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 2\pi \\
&\leq \frac{32\pi^2 r^4}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\phi}^1(-y) dy \right| &\leq \|\chi\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi r^2}{\sqrt{3}} \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{2^{12}c^4 c_1^4}{A_1^4} \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{2^{14}\sqrt{2}\pi c^4 c_1^4}{\sqrt{3}A_1^4} \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi(y) \widetilde{\psi}^1(-y) dy \right| \leq \frac{2^{14}\sqrt{2}\pi c^4 c_1^4}{\sqrt{3}A_1^4} \|\widetilde{\psi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2^{14}\sqrt{2}\pi c^4 c_1^4}{\sqrt{3}A_1^4} \left(\|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\widetilde{\psi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) &\geq \frac{A_1^5}{2^7 c c_1^4}, \\
\|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\widetilde{\psi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\geq \frac{\sqrt{3}A_1^9}{2^{21}\sqrt{2}\pi c^5 c_1^8} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_n^1(x) = \phi_n(x) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(x - x_n^1), \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_n^1(x) = \psi_n(x) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\psi}^1(x - x_n^1).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\Phi}_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &= \|\phi_n - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= \|e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= \|e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1), \widetilde{\phi}^1)_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&\longrightarrow -\|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\widetilde{\Phi}_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Similarly,

$$\|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\widetilde{\psi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\widetilde{\Psi}_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Here, we will prove that we can take $\{t_n\} \in (-\infty, 0]$ and $\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^5$ with

$$\text{either } t_n^1 = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or } t_n^1 \longrightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\text{either } x_n^1 = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or } |x_n^1| \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the case $t_n^1 \longrightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|x_n^1| \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds.

In the case $t_n^1 \longrightarrow t_1 < \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|x_n^1| \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we set

$$\phi_n(x) = e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(x - x_n^1) + \widetilde{\Phi}_n^1(x) = e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(x - x_n^1) + \widetilde{\Phi}_n^1(x). \text{ Since}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\Phi}_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &= \|\phi_n - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} - 2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1), \widetilde{\phi^1})_{\dot{H}^s} - 2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&\rightarrow -\|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

If we set $\phi^1 = e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}$, then since $\phi_n(x) = \phi^1(x - x_n^1) + \Phi_n^1(x)$ and $\|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}$, we have

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Also, for any $\varphi \in H^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \phi^1, \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s}| &= \left| (\phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}, \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
&\leq \left| (\phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}, \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left| (e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}, \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
&\leq \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left\| e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\leq \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi - e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
&\quad + \left\| e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\leq \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + (c_1 + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}) \|e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi - e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\quad + \left\| e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) \rightharpoonup \phi^1$ in H^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the case $t_n^1 \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_n^1 \rightarrow x^1 \in \mathbb{R}^5$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we set

$\phi_n(x) = e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(x - x_n^1) + \widetilde{\Phi_n^1}(x) = e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(x - x^1) + \Phi_n^1(x)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &= \|\phi_n - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} - 2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= -2\operatorname{Re}(e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1), \widetilde{\phi^1})_{\dot{H}^s} - 2\operatorname{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&\rightarrow -\|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

If we set $\phi^1(x) = \widetilde{\phi^1}(x - x^1)$, then since $\phi_n(x) = e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1(x) + \Phi_n^1(x)$ and $\|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|\widetilde{\phi^1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}$, we obtain

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Also, for any $\varphi \in H^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n - \phi^1, \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| &= \left| (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n - \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
&\leq \left| (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n - \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left| (\widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
&\leq \left| (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, \varphi(\cdot + x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \|\widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\leq \left| (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, \varphi(\cdot + x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left| (e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi^1}, \varphi(\cdot + x_n^1) - \varphi(\cdot + x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
\leq & \left| \left(e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1, \varphi(\cdot + x^1) \right)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left(c_1 + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} \right) \|\varphi(\cdot + x_n^1) - \varphi(\cdot + x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
& + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, since C_0^∞ is dense in \dot{H}^s , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f \in C_0^\infty$ such that $\|\varphi - f\|_{\dot{H}^s} < \varepsilon$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\varphi(\cdot + x_n^1) - \varphi(\cdot + x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
& \leq \|\varphi(\cdot + x_n^1) - f(\cdot + x_n^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|f(\cdot + x_n^1) - f(\cdot + x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|f(\cdot + x^1) - \varphi(\cdot + x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
& = \|\varphi - f\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|f(\cdot + x_n^1) - f(\cdot + x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|f - \varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \left(e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n - \phi^1, \varphi \right)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e. $e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi^1$ in H^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the case $t_n^1 \rightarrow t^1 < \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_n^1 \rightarrow x^1 \in \mathbb{R}^5$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we set

$\phi_n(x) = e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(x - x_n^1) + \widetilde{\Phi}_n^1(x) = e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(x - x^1) + \Phi_n^1(x)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 & = \|\phi_n - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& = -2\text{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& = -2\text{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} - 2\text{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \\
& \quad - 2\text{Re}(\phi_n, e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
& \longrightarrow -\|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

If we set $\phi^1(x) = e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(x - x^1)$, then since $\phi_n(x) = \phi^1(x) + \Phi_n^1(x)$ and $\|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}$, we have

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Also, for any $\varphi \in H^1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| (\phi_n - \phi^1, \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| & = \left| (\phi_n - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
& \leq \left| (\phi_n - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left| (e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
& \quad + \left| (e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1), \varphi)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
& \leq \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1, e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x_n^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \|e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1 - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
& \quad + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
& \leq \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1, e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
& \quad + \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1, e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x^1) - e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
& \quad + \left| (e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1, e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x_n^1) - e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x^1))_{\dot{H}^s} \right| \\
& \quad + \|e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1 - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \left(e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1, e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi(\cdot + x^1) \right)_{\dot{H}^s} \right| + \left(c_1 + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} \right) \|e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \varphi - e^{it^1 \Delta} \varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\quad + \left(c_1 + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} \right) \|\varphi(\cdot + x_n^1) - \varphi(\cdot + x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1 - e^{-it^1 \Delta} \widetilde{\phi}^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\quad + \|\widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x_n^1) - \widetilde{\phi}^1(\cdot - x^1)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. we have $\phi_n \rightharpoonup \phi^1$ in H^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We construct ψ^1 and Ψ_n^1 with $\widetilde{\psi}^1$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}_n^1$ respectively. Then, Theorem 4.3 for $M = 1$ holds.

Moreover, $\phi^1, \psi^1, \Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1$ satisfy the following properties, which $\widetilde{\phi}^1, \widetilde{\psi}^1, \widetilde{\Phi}_n^1, \widetilde{\Psi}_n^1$ satisfy.

$$\phi_n(x) = e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1(x - x_n^1) + \Phi_n^1(x), \quad \psi_n(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1(x - x_n^1) + \Psi_n^1(x),$$

$$e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) \rightharpoonup \phi^1, \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) \rightharpoonup \psi^1 \text{ in } H^1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi^1\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}A_1^9}{2^{21}\sqrt{2}\pi c^5 c_1^8} > 0,$$

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1), \quad \|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\psi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Psi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

(ii) Let $M = 2$.

We define $A_2 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|e^{it_n^2 \Delta} \Phi_n^1\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|e^{it_n^2 \Delta} \Psi_n^1\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \right)$.

In the case $A_2 = 0$, if we take $\phi^j = 0, \psi^j = 0$ ($j \geq 2$), then Theorem 4.3 holds.

So, we assume that $A_2 > 0$.

Since $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\Psi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}) \leq c_1$, we can take $\{t_n^2\} \subset (-\infty, 0], \{x_n^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ by applying the argument for $M = 1$.

$$\text{either } t_n^2 = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or } t_n^2 \longrightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\text{either } x_n^2 = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or } |x_n^2| \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$e^{it_n^2 \Delta} \Phi_n^1(\cdot + x_n^2) \rightharpoonup \phi^2, \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^2 \Delta} \Psi_n^1(\cdot + x_n^2) \rightharpoonup \psi^2 \text{ in } H^1,$$

$$\|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi^2\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}A_2^9}{2^{21}\sqrt{2}\pi c^5 c_1^8} > 0.$$

Here, we define

$$\Phi_n^2(x) = \Phi_n^1(x) - e^{-it_n^2 \Delta} \phi^2(x - x_n^2), \quad \Psi_n^2(x) = \Psi_n^1(x) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^2 \Delta} \psi^2(x - x_n^2).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_n^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &= \|\Phi_n^1 - e^{-it_n^2 \Delta} \phi^2(\cdot - x_n^2)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= \|e^{it_n^2 \Delta} \Phi_n^1(\cdot + x_n^2) - \phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\
&= \|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - 2\text{Re} \left(e^{it_n^2 \Delta} \Phi_n^1(\cdot + x_n^2), \phi^2 \right)_{\dot{H}^s} \\
&\longrightarrow -\|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi_n^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\Phi_n^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1) = \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1),$$

i.e.

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\phi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Similarly,

$$\|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \|\psi^1\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\psi^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Psi_n^2\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1).$$

Here, we will prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n^2 - t_n^1| + |x_n^2 - x_n^1|) = \infty$.

From $\|\phi^2\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi^2\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} > 0$, we assume that $\phi^2 \neq 0$ without loss of generality. Then,

$$z_n := e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \Phi_n^1(\cdot + x_n^1) = e^{it_n^1 \Delta} \phi_n(\cdot + x_n^1) - \phi^1 \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } H^1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$e^{i(t_n^2 - t_n^1)\Delta} z_n(\cdot + x_n^2 - x_n^1) = e^{it_n^2\Delta} \Phi_n^1(\cdot + x_n^2) \rightharpoonup \phi^2 \text{ in } H^1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\phi^2 \neq 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n^2 - t_n^1| + |x_n^2 - x_n^1|) = \infty$ holds by applying Lemma 4.2.

Therefore, Theorem 4.3 holds for $M = 2$.

(iii) Let $M \geq 3$.

Since $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\Phi_n^{j-1}\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\Psi_n^{j-1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s} + \|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}) \leq c_1$, we can construct

$\{t_n^j\} \subset (-\infty, 0]$, $\{x_n^j\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, ϕ^j, ψ^j ($1 \leq j \leq M$) inductively.

We define $A_j = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|e^{it_n^j\Delta} \Phi_n^{j-1}\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^j\Delta} \Psi_n^{j-1}\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}})$.

When there exists $1 \leq j \leq M$ such that $A_j = 0$, if we take $\phi^i = 0, \psi^i = 0$ ($j \leq i \leq M$), then Theorem 4.3 holds.

Thus, we assume that $A_j > 0$ for any $1 \leq j \leq M$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{either } t_n^j = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or } t_n^j \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ & \text{either } x_n^j = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{or } |x_n^j| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ & e^{it_n^j\Delta} \Phi_n^{j-1}(\cdot + x_n^j) \rightharpoonup \phi^j, \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}it_n^j\Delta} \Psi_n^{j-1}(\cdot + x_n^j) \rightharpoonup \psi^j \text{ in } H^1, \\ & \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi^j\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}A_j^9}{2^{21}\sqrt{2}\pi c^5 c_1^8} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_n^j(x) = \Phi_n^{j-1}(x) - e^{-it_n^j\Delta} \phi^j(x - x_n^j), \quad \Psi_n^j(x) = \Psi_n^{j-1}(x) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j\Delta} \psi^j(x - x_n^j).$$

We will prove asymptotic Pythagorean expansion by induction. We assume that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^{M-1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1)$$

holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_n^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 &= \|\Phi_n^{M-1} - e^{-it_n^M\Delta} \phi^M(\cdot - x_n^M)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\ &= \|e^{it_n^M\Delta} \Phi_n^{M-1}(\cdot + x_n^M) - \phi^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\ &= \|\Phi_n^{M-1}\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\phi^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{it_n^M\Delta} \Phi_n^{M-1}(\cdot + x_n^M), \phi^M \right)_{\dot{H}^s} - \|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\phi^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{it_n^M\Delta} \Phi_n^{M-1}(\cdot + x_n^M), \phi^M \right)_{\dot{H}^s} + o_n(1) \\ &\rightarrow - \sum_{j=1}^M \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^M \|\phi^j\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\Phi_n^M\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + o_n(1),$$

i.e. asymptotic Pythagorean expansion holds for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we will prove pairwise divergence property by induction. We assume that for any $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$ with $j \neq k$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n^k - t_n^j| + |x_n^k - x_n^j|) = \infty.$$

Since $\|\phi^M\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi^M\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} > 0$, we set $\phi^M \neq 0$ without loss of generality.

$$e^{it_n^j\Delta} \Phi_n^{j-1}(x + x_n^j) - e^{it_n^k\Delta} \Phi_n^{M-1}(x + x_n^j) - \phi^j$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n(x + x_n^j) - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} e^{i(t_n^j - t_n^k) \Delta} \phi^k(x + x_n^j - x_n^k) \right) \\
&\quad - \left(e^{it_n^j \Delta} \phi_n(x + x_n^j) - \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} e^{i(t_n^j - t_n^k) \Delta} \phi^k(x + x_n^j - x_n^k) \right) - \phi^j \\
&= \sum_{k=j}^{M-1} e^{i(t_n^j - t_n^k) \Delta} \phi^k(x + x_n^j - x_n^k) - \phi^j \\
&= \sum_{k=j+1}^{M-1} e^{i(t_n^j - t_n^k) \Delta} \phi^k(x + x_n^j - x_n^k).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{it_n^j \Delta} \Phi_n^{j-1}(\cdot + x_n^j) \rightharpoonup \phi^j$ holds and the right side converges weakly on 0 by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$z_n = e^{it_n^j \Delta} \Phi_n^{M-1}(x + x_n^j) \rightharpoonup 0.$$

Also, since $e^{i(t_n^M - t_n^j) \Delta} z_n(\cdot + x_n^M - x_n^j) = e^{it_n^M \Delta} \Phi_n^{M-1}(\cdot + x_n^M) \rightharpoonup \phi^M$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|t_n^M - t_n^j| + |x_n^M - x_n^j|) = \infty.$$

by applying Lemma 4.2.

Finally, we prove asymptotic smallness property. We already established

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c_1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} A_M^9}{2^{21} \sqrt{2} \pi c_1^5 c_1^8} \right)^2 \leq 2 \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \left(\|\phi^M\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\psi^M\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right) \leq 2 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|\phi_n\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\psi_n\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \right) \leq 4c_1^2 < \infty,$$

it follows that $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} A_M = 0$. \square

Corollary 4.4. Under the same assumption as Theorem 4.3, we have

$$I_\omega(\phi_n, \psi_n) = \sum_{j=1}^M I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j) + I_\omega(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M) + o_n(1).$$

Proof. Combining

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\omega(u, v) &= \frac{\omega}{2} M(u, v) + \frac{1}{2} E(u, v) \\
&= \frac{\omega}{2} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(v, u^2)_{L^2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

(4.5), and (4.6), we find that it is sufficient to prove

$$\operatorname{Re}(\psi_n, \phi_n^2)_{L^2} = \sum_{j=1}^M \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j, \left(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j \right)^2 \right)_{L^2} + \operatorname{Re}(\Psi_n^M, (\Phi_n^M)^2)_{L^2} + o_n(1).$$

We prove the following three equations to prove this equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 dx = o_{M_1, n}(1), \\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\psi_n \overline{\phi_n^2} - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right) dx = \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j \right)^2 dx + o_{M_1, n}(1), \\
&\sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j \right)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\Psi_n^M \overline{\Phi_n^M}^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right) dx + o_{M_1, n}(1).
\end{aligned}$$

For first equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 dx \right| &\leq \|\Psi_n^{M_1}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \|\Phi_n^{M_1}\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}}^2 \leq \left\| e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \|\Phi_n^{M_1}\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \\ &\leq \left\| e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \|\Phi_n^{M_1}\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } M_1, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For third equation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\Psi_n^M \overline{\Phi_n^M}^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \left(\Psi_n^{M_1} + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \right) \left(\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \right)^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \left(\Psi_n^{M_1} + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \right) \left(\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 + 2\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 + \sum_{\substack{j,k=M+1 \\ j \neq k}}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k)} \right) - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \Big\} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(2\Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} + \Psi_n^{M_1} \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \Psi_n^{M_1} \sum_{\substack{j,k=M+1 \\ j \neq k}}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k)} + \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \sum_{\substack{j,k=M+1 \\ j \neq k}}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k)} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(x - x_n^j) \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(x - x_n^k)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(x - x_n^l)} dx \quad (j \neq k).$$

Since C_0^∞ is dense in H^1 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f, g \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi^k - f\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi^j - g\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon.$$

In the case $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^j = -\infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^k = -\infty$, we set that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^j = -\infty$ without loss of generality.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(x - x_n^j) \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(x - x_n^k)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(x - x_n^l)} dx \right| \\ &\leq \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} (\psi^j - g)(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} g(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} (\psi^j - g) \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left\| e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \left\| e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} g \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \phi^k \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \left\| \psi^j - g \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \phi^k \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} + c |t_n^j|^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1} \left\| \phi^k \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{L^2} \\
& < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

In the case $t_n^j = t_n^k = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n^j - x_n^k| = \infty$ by Theorem 4.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(x - x_n^j) \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(x - x_n^k)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(x - x_n^l)} dx \right| \\
& \leq \left\| \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \left\| (\psi^j - g)(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \left\| g(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot (\phi^k - f)(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \quad + \left\| g(\cdot - x_n^j) \cdot f(\cdot - x_n^k) \cdot e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l(\cdot - x_n^l) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \left\| \psi^j - g \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left\| \phi^k \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \left\| e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + \|g\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left\| \phi^k - f \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \left\| e^{-it_n^l \Delta} \phi^l \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\
& \quad + \left\| g \cdot f(\cdot + x_n^j - x_n^k) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \left\| \psi^j - g \right\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \phi^k \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \phi^k - f \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \left\| g \cdot f(\cdot + x_n^j - x_n^k) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \phi^l \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} \\
& < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

The term including $e^{-it_n^j \Delta}$, $e^{-it_n^k \Delta}$ ($j \neq k$) converges on 0 by the same argument. Also, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} dx \right| & \leq \left\| \Psi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left\| \Phi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \left\| \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j \right\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\
& \leq c \left\| e^{\frac{1}{2}it \Delta} \Psi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left\| \Phi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} \left\| \Phi_n^M - \Phi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} \\
& \leq c \left\| e^{\frac{1}{2}it \Delta} \Psi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left\| \Phi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} (\left\| \Phi_n^M \right\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \left\| \Phi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{\dot{H}^1}) \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M_1, n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_n^{M_1} \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 dx \right| \leq c \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2}it \Delta} \Psi_n^{M_1} \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\| \phi^j \right\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M_1, n \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\Psi_n^M \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}{}^2} - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}{}^2} \right) dx + o_{M_1, n}(1).$$

For second identity,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\psi_n \overline{\phi_n}^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}{}^2} \right) dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \left(\Psi_n^{M_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \right) \left(\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \right)^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}{}^2} \right\} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \left(\Psi_n^{M_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \right) \left(\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 + 2\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 + \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k)} \right) - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \Big\} dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(2\Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} + \Psi_n^{M_1} \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 \right. \\
&\quad + \Psi_n^{M_1} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k)} + \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \\
&\quad + 2\overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)}^2 \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j) \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{M_1} \overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)} \cdot \overline{e^{-it_n^k \Delta} \phi^k(\cdot - x_n^k)} \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From the same argument as the proof of the third equation, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\psi_n \overline{\phi_n}^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right) dx = \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx + o_{M_1, n}(1).$$

Applying these equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(\psi_n, \phi_n^2)_{L^2} &= \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \psi_n \overline{\phi_n}^2 dx \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\psi_n \overline{\phi_n}^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right) dx + o_{M_1, n}(1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx + o_{M_1, n}(1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^M \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx + \sum_{j=M+1}^{M_1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx + o_{M_1, n}(1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^M \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(\Psi_n^M \overline{\Phi_n^M}^2 - \Psi_n^{M_1} \overline{\Phi_n^{M_1}}^2 \right) dx + o_{M_1, n}(1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^M \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j \left(\overline{e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j} \right)^2 dx + \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \Psi_n^M \overline{\Phi_n^M}^2 dx + o_{M_1, n}(1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^M \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j, \left(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j \right)^2 \right)_{L^2} + \operatorname{Re} \left(\Psi_n^M, \left(\Phi_n^M \right)^2 \right)_{L^2} + o_{M_1, n}(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}(\psi_n, \phi_n^2)_{L^2} = \sum_{j=1}^M \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j, \left(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j \right)^2 \right)_{L^2} + \operatorname{Re} \left(\Psi_n^M, \left(\Phi_n^M \right)^2 \right)_{L^2} + o_n(1).$$

□

Corollary 4.5. Under the same assumption as Theorem 4.3, we have

$$K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi_n, \psi_n) = \sum_{j=1}^M K_{\omega}^{20,8}(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j) + K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M) + o_n(1).$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of Corollary 4.4. □

Lemma 4.6. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that $(\phi^j, \psi^j) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) &\leq I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}) - \delta, & I_{\omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^M I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) - \varepsilon, \\ K_{\omega}^{20,8} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) &\geq -\varepsilon, & K_{\omega}^{20,8} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^M K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi^j, \psi^j) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

for $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ with $2\varepsilon < \delta$. Then, we have

$$0 < I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) < I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}), \quad K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi^j, \psi^j) > 0$$

for any $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$.

Proof. We assume that there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ such that $K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi^i, \psi^i) \leq 0$ and deduce contradiction. From Lemma 2.16,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}) &= \mu_{\omega}^{20,8} \leq \frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi^i, \psi^i) + \frac{1}{10} K(\phi^i, \psi^i) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi^j, \psi^j) + \frac{1}{10} K(\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M \left(I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) - \frac{1}{20} K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) - \frac{1}{20} \sum_{j=1}^M K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi^j, \psi^j) \\ &\leq I_{\omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{20} \left(K_{\omega}^{20,8} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) - \varepsilon \right) \\ &\leq I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}) - \delta + \varepsilon + \frac{1}{10} \varepsilon < I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}). \end{aligned}$$

This is contradiction. Thus, $K_{\omega}^{20,8}(\phi^j, \psi^j) > 0$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) &= \frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi^j, \psi^j) + \frac{1}{2} K(\phi^j, \psi^j) - P(\phi^j, \psi^j) \\ &> \frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi^j, \psi^j) + \frac{1}{2} K(\phi^j, \psi^j) - \frac{2}{5} K(\phi^j, \psi^j) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi^j, \psi^j) + \frac{1}{10} K(\phi^j, \psi^j) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^M I_{\omega}(\phi^j, \psi^j) \leq I_{\omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M (\phi^j, \psi^j) \right) + \varepsilon \leq I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}) - \delta + \varepsilon < I_{\omega}(\phi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}).$$

□

5. SCATTERING

5.1. Existence of a critical solution.

Definition 5.1. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the $H^1 \times H^1$ solution to (NLS). We say that $SC(u_0, v_0)$ holds if $T^* = \infty$ and $\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$.

We define $I_\omega^c = \sup \left\{ \delta > 0 : \text{If } K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) > 0 \text{ and } I_\omega(u, v) < \delta, \text{ then } SC(u_0, v_0) \text{ holds.} \right\}$.

If $I_\omega^c \geq I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$, then Theorem 1.3 (1) holds. Therefore, we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.3 (1) by assuming that $I_\omega^c < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ and ultimately deduce contradiction.

Remark 5.2. A set $\left\{ \delta > 0 : \text{If } K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) > 0 \text{ and } I_\omega(u, v) < \delta, \text{ then } SC(u_0, v_0) \text{ holds.} \right\}$ is not empty. In fact, If (u_0, v_0) satisfies $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0$ and $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < \delta < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, then we obtain $T^* = \infty$ by Theorem 3.2. Also, it follows that $K_\omega(u_0, v_0) < 10I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < 10\delta$ by Theorem 4.1. i.e. $\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < c\delta$ holds. Applying Theorem 2.20, we have $\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$ and hence, $SC(u_0, v_0)$ holds.

Lemma 5.3 (Existence of wave operators). Suppose $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and

$$\frac{\omega}{2}M(\phi, \psi) + \frac{1}{2}K(\phi, \psi) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

Then there exists $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ such that (u, v) solving (NLS) with initial data (u_0, v_0) is time-global in $H^1 \times H^1$ with

$$I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0, \quad \|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4\|(e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})},$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(u, v)(t) - (e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0.$$

Proof. By $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times H^1$, there exists sufficiently large $T > 0$ such that

$$\|(e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty))} \leq \delta_{sd}.$$

We consider a integral equation:

$$\begin{cases} u(t) = e^{it\Delta}\phi + 2i \int_t^\infty e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds, \\ v(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi + i \int_t^\infty e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta}(u^2)(s)ds. \end{cases}$$

We define a set

$$E = \left\{ (u, v) : \|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty))} \leq 4\|(e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty))} \right\}$$

and a distance $d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2))$ on E

$$d(u, v) = \|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T, \infty))}$$

for $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in E$. By the same argument as the proof for Theorem 2.20, there exists the unique solution on E . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{H^1} &= 2 \left\| \int_t^\infty e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds \right\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq 2c \|vu\|_{L_{[T, \infty)}^{\frac{12}{7}} W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq 2c \|v\|_{L_{[T, \infty)}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}} \|u\|_{L_{[T, \infty)}^6 L^3} + 2c \|v\|_{L_{[T, \infty)}^6 L^3} \|u\|_{L_{[T, \infty)}^{\frac{12}{5}} W^{1, 3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here, we observe a boundness of $\|v\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}$, $\|u\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}$. Since $\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T,\infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T,\infty))} \leq \delta_{sd}$, we may take pairwise disjoint sets $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1})$ ($j = 0, \dots, J < \infty$) with $t_0 = T$, $t_{J+1} = \infty$ and

$$[T, \infty) = \bigcup_{j=0}^J I_j, \quad \|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:I_j)} < \frac{1}{8c}.$$

As in (5.1), calculating the integral equation in the interval I_j ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} &\leq c\|u(t_j)\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}\|u\|_{L_{I_j}^6L^3} + 2c\|v\|_{L_{I_j}^6L^3}\|u\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} \\ &\leq c\|u(t_j)\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{4}\|v\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} + \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|v\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} \leq c\|v(t_j)\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}.$$

We add these equalities, then

$$\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} \leq c\|(u(t_j), v(t_j))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \frac{1}{2}\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}},$$

i.e.

$$\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} \leq 2c\|(u(t_j), v(t_j))\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Also,

$$\|u\|_{L_{I_j}^\infty H^1} \leq c\|u(t_j)\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{4}\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|v\|_{L_{I_j}^\infty H^1} \leq c\|v(t_j)\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{4}\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}}.$$

Thus,

$$\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^\infty H^1 \times L_{I_j}^\infty H^1} \leq c\|(u(t_j), v(t_j))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \frac{1}{2}\|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} \leq 2c\|(u(t_j), v(t_j))\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Hence,

$$\|(u(t_j), v(t_j))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq 2c\|(u(t_{j-1}), v(t_{j-1}))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq \dots \leq (2c)^j\|u(t_0), v(t_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{[T,\infty)}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^J \|(u, v)\|_{L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3} \times L_{I_j}^{\frac{12}{5}}W^{1,3}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^J 2c\|(u(t_j), v(t_j))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^J (2c)^j\|(u(T), v(T))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since $\|(u, v)\|_{L_{[T,\infty)}^6L^3 \times L_{[T,\infty)}^6L^3} \leq \|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T,\infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[T,\infty))} < \infty$, we obtain

$$\|u(t) - e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{H^1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly,

$$\|v(t) - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi\|_{H^1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Combining these formulas,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(u(t), v(t))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = \|(\phi, \psi)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |P(u, v)| &\leq |P(u, v) - P(e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)| + |P(e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} v \bar{u}^2 - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi (\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2 dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi (\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2 dx \right| \\ &\leq \|v \bar{u}^2 - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi (\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2\|_{L^1} + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi (\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \|v(\bar{u}^2 - (\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2)\|_{L^1} + \|(v - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)(\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2\|_{L^1} + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi (\overline{e^{it\Delta}\phi})^2\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{L^3} \|u + e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^3} \|u - e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^3} + \|v - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi\|_{L^3} \|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^3}^2 + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi\|_{L^3} \|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^3}^2 \\ &\leq c \|v\|_{H^1} (\|u\|_{H^1} + \|\phi\|_{H^1}) \|u - e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{H^1} + c \|v - e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi\|_{H^1} \|\phi\|_{H^1}^2 + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi\|_{L^3} \|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^3}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here, since C_0^∞ is dense in H^1 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f, g \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi - f\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\psi - g\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi\|_{L^3} \|e^{it\Delta}\phi\|_{L^3}^2 &\leq (\|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}(\psi - g)\|_{L^3} + \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}g\|_{L^3}) (\|e^{it\Delta}(\phi - f)\|_{L^3} + \|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^3})^2 \\ &\leq (c \|\psi - g\|_{H^1} + c|t|^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}) (c \|\phi - f\|_{H^1} + c|t|^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P(u, v) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(u(t), v(t)) = \frac{\omega}{2} M(\phi, \psi) + \frac{1}{2} K(\phi, \psi) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) = 8K(\phi, \psi) > 0.$$

For sufficiently large $t > 0$,

$$I_\omega(u(t), v(t)) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u(t), v(t)) > 0.$$

If we solve (NLS) with a initial data at this time, then the corresponding solution to (NLS) exists time-globally by Theorem 3.2. Also, from (2.1), (2.2), and Lemma 3.1,

$$I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|(u, v)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4 \|(e^{it\Delta}\phi, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}\psi)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}.$$

□

Lemma 5.4. For any $P > 1$ and $l \geq 2$, there exists $C_{P,l} > 0$ such that for any $\{z_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq l} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\left| \left| \sum_{j=1}^l z_j \right|^P - \sum_{j=1}^l |z_j|^P \right| \leq C_{P,l} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq l} |z_j| |z_k|^{P-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

For the convenient of the reader, we give a proof of this lemma.

Proof. We prove by induction with respect to $l \geq 2$.

In the case $l = 2$, we assume that $|z_1| \geq |z_2|$ without loss of generality.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |z_1 + z_2|^P - |z_1|^P - |z_2|^P \right| &\leq \left| |z_1 + z_2|^P - |z_1|^P \right| + |z_2|^P \\ &\leq C_P (|z_1 + z_2|^{P-1} + |z_1|^{P-1}) |z_2| + |z_2|^P \\ &\leq C_P (|z_1| + |z_2|)^{P-1} |z_2| + 2|z_1|^{P-1} |z_2| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_P(2^{P-1} + 2)|z_1|^{P-1}|z_2| \\ &\leq C_P(|z_1|^{P-1}|z_2| + |z_1||z_2|^{P-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 5.4 holds in $l = 2$.

We assume that Theorem 5.4 holds in $l - 1$, i.e.

$$\left| \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right|^P - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} |z_j|^P \right| \leq C_{P,l} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq l-1} |z_j||z_k|^{P-1}.$$

for $l \geq 3$. Also, we assume that $|z_1| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq l} |z_j|$ without loss of generality.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left| \sum_{j=1}^l z_j \right|^P - \sum_{j=1}^l |z_j|^P \right| &= \left| \left| \sum_{j=1}^l z_j \right|^P - \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right|^P - |z_l|^P + \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right|^P - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} |z_j|^P \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j + z_l \right|^P - \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right|^P - |z_l|^P \right| + \left| \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right|^P - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} |z_j|^P \right| \\ &\leq C_P \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right|^{P-1} |z_l| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} z_j \right| |z_l|^{P-1} \right) + C_{P,l} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq l-1} |z_j||z_k|^{P-1} \\ &\leq C_P \left\{ (l-1)^{P-1} |z_1|^{P-1} |z_l| + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} |z_j||z_l|^{P-1} \right\} + C_{P,l} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq l-1} |z_j||z_k|^{P-1} \\ &= C_{P,l} \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq l} |z_j||z_k|^{P-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 5.4 also holds in l . \square

Lemma 5.5. There exists $0 < \delta_{sd} \leq 1$ such that if $\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq \delta_{sd}$, then the unique solution $(u(t), v(t))$ to (NLS) exists time-globally and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}} + \|(u, v)\|_{L^6 L^3 \times L^6 L^3} + \|(u, v)\|_{L^\infty H^1 \times L^\infty H^1} + \|(u, v)\|_{L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}} \\ \leq 8c \|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.6. This theorem is different from Theorem 2.20 in the point to be able to estimate Strichartz norms for L^2 admissible $(\frac{14}{5}, \frac{14}{5})$ and $(\infty, 2)$ by H^1 norm. We will use this theorem in the next theorem.

Proof. We define a notation

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_{X \times X} &= \|(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}} \cap L^6 L^3 \times L^6 L^3 \cap L^\infty H^1 \times L^\infty H^1 \cap L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}} \\ &= \|(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}} + \|(u, v)\|_{L^6 L^3 \times L^6 L^3} \\ &\quad + \|(u, v)\|_{L^\infty H^1 \times L^\infty H^1} + \|(u, v)\|_{L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2 W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}, \end{aligned}$$

a set

$$E = \{(u, v) : \|(u, v)\|_{X \times X} \leq 8c \|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}\},$$

and a distance on E

$$d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{X \times X}$$

for $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)$ and a map on E

$$\Phi_{u_0}(u, v)(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + 2i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (v\bar{u})(s) ds,$$

$$\Phi_{v_0}(u, v)(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}v_0 + i \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-s)\Delta}(u^2)(s)ds$$

for $(u, v) \in E$. Since $(\frac{21}{10}, \frac{42}{13})$ is a L^2 admissible pair,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{u_0}(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} + 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{L^2} + 2c\|vu\|_{S'(L^2)} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 2c\|vu\|_{L^{\frac{21}{11}}L^{\frac{42}{29}}} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}\|u\|_{L^6L^3} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \leq c\|v_0\|_{H^1} + 8c^2\delta_{sd}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \leq c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{u_0}(u, v)\|_{L^6L^3} &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^6L^3} + 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds \right\|_{L^6L^3} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2c\|vu\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v\|_{L^6L^3}\|u\|_{L^6L^3} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|v\|_{L^6L^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u, v)\|_{L^6L^3} \leq c\|v_0\|_{H^1} + 8c^2\delta_{sd}\|u\|_{L^6L^3}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3} \leq c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3}. \quad (5.4)$$

Since $(3, \frac{30}{11})$ is a L^2 admissible pair,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_{u_0}(u, v)\|_{L^\infty H^1} &\leq \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^\infty H^1} + 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(v\bar{u})(s)ds \right\|_{L^\infty H^1} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 2c\|vu\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} + 2c\|u\nabla v\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} + 2c\|v\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}}\|u\|_{L^6L^3} + 2c\|u\|_{L^6L^3}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + 2c\|v\|_{L^6L^3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 2c\|v\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}\|u\|_{L^6L^3} + 2c\|v\|_{L^6L^3}\|u\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}} \\ &\leq c\|u_0\|_{H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u, v)\|_{L^\infty H^1} \leq c\|v_0\|_{H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{L^\infty H^1 \times L^\infty H^1} \leq c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + 32c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}. \quad (5.5)$$

Here, since both $(\infty, 2)$ and $(2, \frac{10}{3})$ are L^2 admissible pairs,

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}} \leq c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + 32c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, combining (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), and (5.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{X \times X} \\
& \leq 4c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \cap L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3} + 64c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{L^2W^1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^1, \frac{10}{3}} \\
& \leq 4c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + 64c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u, v)\|_{X \times X} \\
& \leq (4c + 512c^3\delta_{sd})\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take δ_{sd} with $512c^3\delta_{sd} \leq 4c$, i.e. $\delta_{sd} \leq \frac{1}{128c^2}$, then

$$\|(\Phi_{u_0}(u, v), \Phi_{v_0}(u, v))\|_{X \times X} \leq 8c\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Also, for $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} &= 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{it\Delta}(v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2)(s)ds \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2\|_{L^{\frac{21}{11}}L^{\frac{42}{29}}} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2)\|_{L^{\frac{21}{11}}L^{\frac{42}{29}}} + 2c\|(v_1 - v_2)\bar{u}_2\|_{L^{\frac{21}{11}}L^{\frac{42}{29}}} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1\|_{L^6L^3}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} + 2c\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}\|u_2\|_{L^6L^3} \\
&\leq 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \\
&= 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \leq 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1), \Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1)) - (\Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2), \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2))\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \\
& \leq 32c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}} \times L^{\frac{14}{5}}L^{\frac{14}{5}}}. \tag{5.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3} &= 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{it\Delta}(v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2)(s)ds \right\|_{L^6L^3} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2)\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2c\|(v_1 - v_2)\bar{u}_2\|_{L^3L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1\|_{L^6L^3}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^6L^3} + 2c\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^6L^3}\|u_2\|_{L^6L^3} \\
&\leq 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3} \leq 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3}.$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1), \Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1)) - (\Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2), \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2))\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3} \\
& \leq 32c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3}. \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{L^\infty H^1} &\leq 2 \left\| \int_0^t e^{it\Delta}(v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2)(s)ds \right\|_{L^\infty H^1} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} + 2c\|\nabla(v_1\bar{u}_1 - v_2\bar{u}_2)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} \\
&\leq 2c\|v_1(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} + 2c\|(v_1 - v_2)\bar{u}_2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} \\
&\quad + 2c\|\nabla v_1(\bar{u}_1 - \bar{u}_2)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} + 2c\|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)\bar{u}_2\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2c\|v_1 \nabla(\overline{u_1} - \overline{u_2})\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} + 2c\|\nabla \overline{u_2}(v_1 - v_2)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}L^{\frac{30}{19}}} \\
\leq & 2c\|v_1\|_{L^6L^3}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + 2c\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}}\|u_2\|_{L^6L^3} \\
& + 2c\|\nabla v_1\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^6L^3} + 2c\|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}}\|u_2\|_{L^6L^3} \\
& + 2c\|v_1\|_{L^6L^3}\|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + 2c\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^6L^3} \\
\leq & 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\
& + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^6L^3} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|\nabla(v_1 - v_2)\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} \\
& + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|\nabla(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2L^{\frac{10}{3}}} + 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^6L^3} \\
\leq & 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3 \cap L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1) - \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2)\|_{L^\infty H^1} \\
& \leq 16c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3 \cap L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1), \Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1)) - (\Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2), \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2))\|_{L^\infty H^1 \times L^\infty H^1} \\
& \leq 32c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3 \cap L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}. \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1), \Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1)) - (\Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2), \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2))\|_{L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}} \\
& \leq 32c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{L^6L^3 \times L^6L^3 \cap L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}} \times L^2W^{1, \frac{10}{3}}}. \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining (5.7), (5.8), (5.9), and (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\Phi_{u_0}(u_1, v_1), \Phi_{v_0}(u_1, v_1)) - (\Phi_{u_0}(u_2, v_2), \Phi_{v_0}(u_2, v_2))\|_{X \times X} \\
& \leq 96c^2\delta_{sd}\|(u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\|_{X \times X}.
\end{aligned}$$

From $\delta_{sd} \leq \frac{1}{128c^2}$, it follows that $96c^2\delta_{sd} \leq \frac{3}{4} < 1$. Therefore, there exists a unique solution (u, v) to (NLS) on E . \square

Proposition 5.7 (Existence of a critical solution). Let (u_c, v_c) be the time-global solution to (NLS) with initial data $(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0})$. We can construct $(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0})$ and (u_c, v_c) so that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\omega(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) &= I_\omega^c < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_c, v_c) > 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq t < \infty, \\
\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &= \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of I_ω^c , we may take a sequence of data $\{(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0})\} \subset H^1 \times H^1$ with

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) > 0, \quad I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) > I_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) \searrow I_\omega^c$$

and $SC(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0})$ does not hold. By Theorem 3.2, (u_n, v_n) exists time-globally. By the definition of $SC(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0})$, $\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\frac{1}{10}K_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) < I_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0})$. Thus,

$$\|u_{n,0}\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 10 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 1 \right) I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad \|v_{n,0}\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 5 \left(\frac{1}{\omega} + 4 \right) I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega). \quad (5.11)$$

i.e. $\|(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0})\|_{H^1 \times H^1}$ is bounded. Applying Theorem 4.3,

$$(u_{n,0}(x), v_{n,0}(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^M (e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(x - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(x - x_n^j)) + (U_n^M(x), V_n^M(x)). \quad (5.12)$$

First, we will prove that there is only one j with $(u^j, v^j) \neq (0, 0)$. We assume that $(u^j, v^j) = (0, 0)$ for any j . Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e^{it\Delta} U_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} V_n^M)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(e^{it\Delta} u_{n,0}, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_{n,0})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = 0$$

by (4.4). Hence,

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} u_{n,0}, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_{n,0})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \delta_{sd}$$

for sufficiently large n . From Theorem 2.20,

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4\|(e^{it\Delta} u_{n,0}, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_{n,0})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4\delta_{sd} < \infty$$

for such n . This is in contradiction to $\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$. Thus, there exists j such that $(u^j, v^j) \neq (0, 0)$. We set $(u^j, v^j) \neq (0, 0)$ for any j by removing j with $(u^j, v^j) = (0, 0)$. From Corollary 4.4,

$$I_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) = \sum_{j=1}^M I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) + I_\omega(U_n^M, V_n^M) + o_n(1).$$

From Corollary 4.5,

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) = \sum_{j=1}^M K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) + K_\omega^{20,8}(U_n^M, V_n^M) + o_n(1).$$

For sufficiently large n , there exists $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ with $2\varepsilon < \delta$ such that

$$I_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) \leq I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - \delta,$$

$$I_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^M I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) + I_\omega(U_n^M, V_n^M) - \varepsilon,$$

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) \geq -\varepsilon,$$

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^M K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) + K_\omega^{20,8}(U_n^M, V_n^M) + \varepsilon.$$

From Lemma 4.6,

$$0 < I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad 0 \leq I_\omega(U_n^M, V_n^M) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad (5.13)$$

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) > 0, \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(U_n^M, V_n^M) \geq 0.$$

for sufficiently large n . (The equality holds if and only if $(U_n^M, V_n^M) = (0, 0)$.)

Thus,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(u_{n,0}, v_{n,0}) = I_\omega^c. \quad (5.14)$$

We assume $(u^j, v^j) \neq (0, 0)$ for more than one j .

In the case $t_n^j \rightarrow -\infty$, using Theorem 2.8

$$\begin{aligned} |P(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j)| &\leq \|e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j\|_{L^3}^2 \|e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j\|_{L^3} \leq c|t_n^j|^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|u^j\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \|v^j\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq c|t_n^j|^{-\frac{5}{2}} \|u^j\|_{H^1}^2 \|v^j\|_{H^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\frac{\omega}{2} M(u^j, v^j) + \frac{1}{2} K(u^j, v^j) = I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j) + P(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$$

for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 5.3, there exists a data $(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j)$ and a corresponding solution $(\tilde{u}^j, \tilde{v}^j)$ to (NLS) such that

$$0 < I_\omega(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) > 0,$$

$$\|(\tilde{u}^j, \tilde{v}^j)(-t_n^j) - (e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the case $t_n^j = 0$, we set $(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) = (u^j, v^j)$ and a solution $(\tilde{u}^j, \tilde{v}^j)$ to (NLS) with a data $(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j)$. Then,

$$0 < I_\omega(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) > 0,$$

$$\|(\tilde{u}^j, \tilde{v}^j)(-t_n^j) - (e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, in both cases, we can take new profile $(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j)$ (which is called nonlinear profile) with

$$0 < I_\omega(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(\tilde{u}_0^j, \tilde{v}_0^j) > 0,$$

$$\|(\tilde{u}^j, \tilde{v}^j)(-t_n^j) - (e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.15)$$

for each linear profile (u^j, v^j) . Also, we take $(\tilde{U}_n^M, \tilde{V}_n^M)$ with

$$(u_{n,0}(x), v_{n,0}(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^M (\tilde{u}^j(x - x_n^j, -t_n^j), \tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, -t_n^j)) + (\tilde{U}_n^M(x), \tilde{V}_n^M(x))$$

(which is called nonlinear profile decomposition). Then, by (5.12), Theorem 2.11, (5.15), and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (e^{it\Delta} \tilde{U}_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \tilde{V}_n^M) \|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &= \left\| (e^{it\Delta} u_{n,0}, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} v_{n,0}) - \sum_{j=1}^M (e^{it\Delta} \tilde{u}^j(x - x_n^j, -t_n^j), e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, -t_n^j)) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^M \left\| (e^{it\Delta} (e^{-it_n^j} u^j - \tilde{u}^j(-t_n^j)), e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j - \tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j))) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \left\| (e^{it\Delta} U_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} V_n^M) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^M \left\| (e^{-it_n^j} u^j - \tilde{u}^j(-t_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j - \tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j)) \right\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \left\| (e^{it\Delta} U_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} V_n^M) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Here, we set $(\tilde{u}_n^j, \tilde{v}_n^j)$ and $(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}, \tilde{v}_n^{\leq M})$ as follows.

$$(\tilde{u}_n^j(x, t), \tilde{v}_n^j(x, t)) = (\tilde{u}^j(x - x_n^j, t - t_n^j), \tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, t - t_n^j)),$$

$$(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(x, t), \tilde{v}_n^{\leq M}(x, t)) = \sum_{j=1}^M (\tilde{u}_n^j(x, t), \tilde{v}_n^j(x, t)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \tilde{u}_n^{\leq M} + \Delta \tilde{u}_n^{\leq M} + 2\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} \overline{\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}} &= i\partial_t \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{u}_n^j + \Delta \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{u}_n^j + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{v}_n^j \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^M \overline{\tilde{u}_n^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M (i\partial_t \tilde{u}_n^j + \Delta \tilde{u}_n^j + 2\tilde{v}_n^j \overline{\tilde{u}_n^j}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \tilde{v}_n^j \overline{\tilde{u}_n^k} \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \tilde{v}_n^j \overline{\tilde{u}_n^k} =: e_{1,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$i\partial_t \tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} + (\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M})^2 = \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \tilde{u}_n^j \tilde{u}_n^k =: e_{2,n}.$$

We will prove that the assumption of Theorem 2.23 holds.

First, we will establish (2.18). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(e^{it\Delta}(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(0) - u_{n,0}), e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(0) - v_{n,0}))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &= \|(e^{it\Delta} \tilde{U}_n^M, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \tilde{V}_n^M)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M, n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_1(\varepsilon) = M_1 > 0$ such that for any $M > M_1$, there exists $n_1 = n_1(M)$ such that for any $n > n_1$,

$$\|(e^{it\Delta}(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(0) - u_{n,0}), e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(0) - v_{n,0}))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \varepsilon. \quad (5.18)$$

Next, we will prove (2.16) and (2.17).

From Theorem 4.3 (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\|u_{n,0}\|_{H^1}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^M \|u^j\|_{H^1}^2 + \|U_n^M\|_{H^1}^2 + o_n(1), \quad \|v_{n,0}\|_{H^1}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^M \|v^j\|_{H^1}^2 + \|V_n^M\|_{H^1}^2 + o_n(1)$$

and hence

$$C_1 := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u^j\|_{H^1}^2 < \infty, \quad C_2 := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|v^j\|_{H^1}^2 < \infty. \quad (5.19)$$

In particular, there exists $M_2 = M_2(\delta_{sd}) > 0$ such that $\|(u^j, v^j)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < \frac{\delta_{sd}}{c}$ for any $j > M_2$. Then, from (5.15), for any $j > M_2$, there exists $n_2 = n_2(j)$ such that

$$\|(\tilde{u}^j(-t_n^j), \tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j))\|_{H^1} \leq \delta_{sd} \leq 1, \quad M_2 \leq j \leq M, n \geq n_2.$$

Moreover, from (5.15) and (5.19), for any $M \geq 1$, there exists $n_3 = n_3(M) \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \|\tilde{u}^j(-t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 2C_1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 2C_2, \quad n \geq n_3. \quad (5.20)$$

Here, we consider $\|(\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}, \tilde{v}_n^{\leq M})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &= \left\| e^{it\Delta} \tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(0) + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (2\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} \overline{\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}} - e_{1,n}) ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta} \tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}(0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta} (2\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} \overline{\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}} - e_{1,n}) ds \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq \|e^{it\Delta} (u_{n,0} + \tilde{U}_n^M)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + c \|2\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} \overline{\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}} - e_{1,n}\|_{S'(\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\leq c \|u_{n,0}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|e^{it\Delta} \tilde{U}_n^M\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2c \|\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M} \overline{\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}}\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + c \|e_{1,n}\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq c \|u_{n,0}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|e^{it\Delta} \tilde{U}_n^M\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + 2c \|\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M}\|_{L^6 L^3} \|\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}\|_{L^6 L^3} + c \|e_{1,n}\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since C_0^∞ is dense in $L^6(\mathbb{R}; L^3)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f, g \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|f - \tilde{u}^j\|_{L^6 L^3} < \varepsilon, \quad \|g - \tilde{v}^j\|_{L^6 L^3} < \varepsilon.$$

Using these inequalities and (4.3),

$$\|e_{1,n}\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 2 \left\| \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \overline{\tilde{u}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k)} \right\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \left\| \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \tilde{u}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k) \right\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \left(\left\| (\tilde{v}^j - g)(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \tilde{u}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k) \right\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left\| g(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) (\tilde{u}^k - f)(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k) \right\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \left\| g(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) f(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k) \right\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \left(\left\| \tilde{v}^j - g \right\|_{L^6 L^3} \left\| \tilde{u}^k \right\|_{L^6 L^3} + \left\| g \right\|_{L^6 L^3} \left\| \tilde{u}^k - f \right\|_{L^6 L^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left\| g(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) f(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k) \right\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \\
&\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|e_{2,n}\|_{L^3 L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.22}$$

Applying Lemma 5.3, $\|(\tilde{u}^j, \tilde{v}^j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 4 \|(e^{it\Delta} u^j, e^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta} v^j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})}$. We set $M_0 = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M}\|_{L^6 L^3}^6 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^6 L^3}^6 \\
&\leq c \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{M_0} \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^6 L^3}^6 + c \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^6 L^3}^6, \\
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{M_0} \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^6 L^3} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{M_0} \|\tilde{v}^j\|_{L^6 L^3} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M_0} \|\tilde{v}^j\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{j=1}^{M_0} \|(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} u^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} v^j)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{M_0} \|(u^j, v^j)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^6 L^3}^6 \\
&\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{16}{5}}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{16}{5}}}^6 \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \right\|_{L^6}^6 \\
&\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{16}{5}}} \right\|_{L^\infty}^6 \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \right\|_{L^6}^6 \\
&\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{16}{5}}} \right\|_{L^\infty L^{\frac{16}{5}}}^6 \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^6 \\
&\leq c \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^\infty H^1} \right\|_{L^\infty H^1}^6 \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{14}{5}} \\ & \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, t - t_n^j) \right\|_{H^1}^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(t - t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^2 + 2 \sum_{M_0+1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, t - t_n^j), \tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, t - t_n^k))_{H^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

By Lemma 5.5,

$$\sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(t - t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 64c^2 \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 128c^2 C_1, \quad n \geq \max\{n_2, n_3\}. \quad (5.24)$$

On the other hand, by (4.3),

$$|(\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, t - t_n^j), \tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, t - t_n^k))_{H^1}| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.25)$$

From (5.23), (5.24), and (5.25), for any $M \geq M_0 + 1$, there exists $n_4 = n_4(M) \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, t - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2 \leq 256c^2 C_1, \quad M \geq M_0 + 1, n \geq n_4. \quad (5.26)$$

Using Lemma 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j) \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{14}{5}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{14}{5}} + c \sum_{M_0+1 \leq j \neq k \leq M} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, t - t_n^j)|^{\frac{9}{5}} |\tilde{v}^k(x - x_n^k, t - t_n^k)| dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.5 and (5.20),

$$\sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - t_n^j)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{14}{5}} \leq (8c)^{\frac{14}{5}} \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^{\frac{14}{5}} \leq (8c)^{\frac{14}{5}} \sum_{j=M_0+1}^M \|\tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq 2C_1(8c)^{\frac{14}{5}}$$

for $n \geq \max\{n_2, n_3\}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, t - t_n^j)|^{\frac{9}{5}} |\tilde{v}^k(x - x_n^k, t - t_n^k)| dx dt \\ & = \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\|_{L^1}^{\frac{9}{5}} \|\tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k)\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq \left\| \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k)\|_{L^{\frac{7}{5}}} \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\|_{L^{\frac{7}{2}}}^{\frac{4}{5}} \right\|_{L^1} \\ & = \left\| \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k)\|_{L^{\frac{7}{5}}} \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{4}{5}} \right\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq \left\| \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k)\|_{L^{\frac{7}{5}}} \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{4}{5}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{7}{2}}} \\ & = \left\| \tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\tilde{v}^k(\cdot - x_n^k, \cdot - t_n^k) \right\|_{L^{\frac{7}{5}} L^{\frac{7}{5}}} \|\tilde{v}^j(\cdot - x_n^j, \cdot - t_n^j)\|_{L^{\frac{14}{5}} L^{\frac{14}{5}}}^{\frac{4}{5}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (8c)^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\tilde{v}^j(-t_n^j)\|_{H^1}^{\frac{4}{5}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, t - t_n^j)|^{\frac{7}{5}} |\tilde{v}^k(x - x_n^k, t - t_n^k)|^{\frac{7}{5}} dx dt \right)^{\frac{5}{7}}$$

for $M_0 + 1 \leq j \neq k \leq M$ by Lemma 5.5. Using (4.3),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} |\tilde{v}^j(x - x_n^j, t - t_n^j)|^{\frac{9}{5}} |\tilde{v}^k(x - x_n^k, t - t_n^k)| dx dt \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\|\tilde{u}_n^{\leq M}\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq A < \infty. \quad (5.27)$$

Similarly,

$$\|\tilde{v}_n^{\leq M}\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq A < \infty. \quad (5.28)$$

Combining (5.18), (5.21), (5.22), (5.27), (5.28), and Theorem 2.23,

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(A) < \infty.$$

However, this is contradiction. Therefore, there is only one j with $(u^j, v^j) \neq (0, 0)$ and we set $j = 1$ for such j by rearranging. We consider a profile $(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0})$ given in the above argument and the corresponding solution (u_c, v_c) to (NLS). Also, we define $(\tilde{U}_n^1, \tilde{V}_n^1)$ as follows.

$$(u_{n,0}(x), v_{n,0}(x)) = (u_c(x - x_n^1, -t_n^1), v_c(x - x_n^1, -t_n^1)) + (\tilde{U}_n^1(x), \tilde{V}_n^1(x)).$$

From the calculation of (5.17), we have

$$\|(e^{it\Delta} \tilde{U}_n^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \tilde{V}_n^1)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, we set that $(u_{c,n}(x, t), v_{c,n}(x, t)) = (u_c(x - x_n^1, t - t_n^1), v_c(x - x_n^1, t - t_n^1))$ and assume that

$$A := \|(u_{c,n}, v_{c,n})\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty.$$

We will prove that this assumption is wrong by using Theorem 2.23.

$$i\partial_t u_{c,n} + \Delta u_{c,n} + 2v_{c,n} \overline{u_{c,n}} = 0, \quad i\partial_t v_{c,n} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta v_{c,n} + u_{c,n}^2 = 0,$$

$$\|(e^{it\Delta}(u_{c,n}(0) - u_{n,0}), e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta}(v_{c,n}(0) - v_{n,0}))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \|(e^{it\Delta} \tilde{U}_n^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \tilde{V}_n^1)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, from Theorem 2.23,

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C(A) < \infty.$$

However, this is in contradiction to the way of taking (u_n, v_n) . Thus, we have $\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$. Also, from the way of taking a profile,

$$0 < I_\omega(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) > 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1)) - (e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = \|(u^1, v^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$|K_\omega(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1)) - K_\omega(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1)| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |P(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1)) - P(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} v_c(x, -t_n^1) \overline{u_c(x, -t_n^1)}^2 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1(x) \overline{e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1(x)}^2 dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} v_c(x, -t_n^1) (\overline{u_c(x, -t_n^1)^2} - \overline{e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1(x)^2}) + (v_c(x, -t_n^1) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1(x)) \overline{e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1(x)^2} dx \right| \\
&\leq \|v_c(-t_n^1)\|_{L^3} \|u_c(-t_n^1) + e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1\|_{L^3} \|u_c(-t_n^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1\|_{L^3} \\
&\quad + \|v_c(-t_n^1) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1\|_{L^3} \|e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1\|_{L^3}^2 \\
&\leq \|v_c(-t_n^1)\|_{H^1} (\|u_c(-t_n^1)\|_{H^1} + \|u^1\|_{H^1}) \|u_c(-t_n^1) - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1\|_{H^1} \\
&\quad + \|v_c(-t_n^1) - e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1\|_{H^1} \|u^1\|_{H^1}^2 \\
&\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_\omega(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1)) - I_\omega(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1)| = 0, \\
&\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |K_\omega^{20,8}(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1)) - K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1)| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since I_ω conserves with respect to time, it follows that $I_\omega(u_c(-t_n^1), v_c(-t_n^1)) = I_\omega(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0})$. Also, we obtain $0 \leq I_\omega(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) \leq I_\omega^c$ by $0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} u^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} v^1) \leq I_\omega^c$. Here, we assume that $I_\omega(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) < I_\omega^c$, then it follows that $\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$ by definition of I_ω^c . However, this is contradiction. Therefore, we obtain $I_\omega(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) = I_\omega^c$. \square

5.2. Compactness of the critical solution.

We define a equivalence relation \sim on $H^1 \times H^1$ as follows:

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5 \text{ s.t. } (\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\psi_1(\cdot - x_0), \psi_2(\cdot - x_0)) \implies (\phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (\psi_1, \psi_2).$$

$H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ denotes the quotient space, which is constructed by the whole equivalence class with respect to \sim . We represent an element of $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ by $[(\phi_1, \phi_2)]$, and let $\pi : H^1 \times H^1 \rightarrow H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ be the natural projection.

Here, we check that \sim defines the equivalence relation on $H^1 \times H^1$.

$$\text{Since } (\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\phi_1(\cdot - 0), \phi_2(\cdot - 0)), (\phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (\phi_1, \phi_2).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\psi_1(\cdot - x_0), \psi_2(\cdot - x_0)) &\implies (\psi_1, \psi_2) = (\phi_1(\cdot + x_0), \phi_2(\cdot + x_0)), \\
(\phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (\psi_1, \psi_2) &\implies (\psi_1, \psi_2) \sim (\phi_1, \phi_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\psi_1(\cdot - x_0), \psi_2(\cdot - x_0)), (\psi_1, \psi_2) = (\varphi_1(\cdot - x_1), \varphi_2(\cdot - x_1)) \\
\implies (\phi_1, \phi_2) = (\varphi_1(\cdot - x_0 - x_1), \varphi_2(\cdot - x_0 - x_1)), \\
(\phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (\psi_1, \psi_2), (\psi_1, \psi_2) \sim (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \implies (\phi_1, \phi_2) \sim (\varphi_1, \varphi_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \sim defines the equivalence relation on $H^1 \times H^1$.

Lemma 5.8. $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ is metrizable with a distance

$$d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) = \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Moreover, $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ is complete with respect to this distance.

Remark 5.9. $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ is not a vector space.

Proof. First, we establish that the orbits of $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0))$ are closed in $H^1 \times H^1$. The orbit of $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (0, 0)$ is $(0, 0)$. Suppose $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \neq (0, 0)$, $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, and $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_n), \phi_2(\cdot - x_n))$ converges on (ψ_1, ψ_2) in $H^1 \times H^1$. Then, we claim that x_n converges. If not, then either x_n is unbounded and there exists a subsequence x_n such that $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$, or x_n is bounded and two subsequences $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $x_{n'} \rightarrow x'_0$. In the first case, we obtain $(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (0, 0)$. We consider convergence of (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) in $B(0, R)$ for any $R > 0$. Then, $(\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x)) = (0, 0)$ for $x \in B(0, R)$. Because $R > 0$ is arbitrary, $(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (0, 0)$. This implies $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (0, 0)$. In the

second case, we obtain $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) = (\phi_1(\cdot - x'_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x'_0))$. Because C_0^∞ is dense in H^1 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f, g \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi_1 - f\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_2 - g\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ & \leq \|(\phi_1, \phi_2)(\cdot - x_0) - (f, g)(\cdot - x_0)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \|(f, g)(\cdot - x_0) - (f, g)(\cdot - x_n)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ & \quad + \|(f, g)(\cdot - x_n) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)(\cdot - x_n)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \|(\phi_1, \phi_2)(\cdot - x_n) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$. Similarly, $(\phi_1(\cdot - x'_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x'_0)) = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$, i.e. $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) = (\phi_1(\cdot - x'_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x'_0))$. This equality holds only at $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (0, 0)$. Therefore, the both cases cause contradiction.

Next, we verify that the distance d defines a distance on $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$. If $[(\phi_1, \phi_2)] = [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]$, then it is clear that $d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) = 0$. Conversely, if $d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) = 0$, then $\inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0$ by definition of the distance d . Since the orbits of $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0))$ are closed in $H^1 \times H^1$, there exists $x_0^* \in \mathbb{R}^5$ such that $\|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0^*)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0$. Thus, $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0^*)) = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$, i.e. $[(\phi_1, \phi_2)] = [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]$. It follows clearly that $d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) = d([(\psi_1, \psi_2)], [(\phi_1, \phi_2)])$. If $(\phi_1, \phi_2), (\psi_1, \psi_2), (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in H^1 \times H^1$, then there exists $x_1^* \in \mathbb{R}^5$ and $x_2^* \in \mathbb{R}^5$ such that

$$d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\eta_1, \eta_2)]) = \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_1^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_1^*)) - (\eta_1, \eta_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1},$$

and

$$d([(\eta_1, \eta_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) = \|(\eta_1(\cdot - x_2^*), \eta_2(\cdot - x_2^*)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) &= \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\leq \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_1^* - x_2^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_1^* - x_2^*)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\leq \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_1^* - x_2^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_1^* - x_2^*)) - (\eta_1(\cdot - x_2^*), \eta_2(\cdot - x_2^*))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\quad + \|(\eta_1(\cdot - x_2^*), \eta_2(\cdot - x_2^*)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\leq \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_1^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_1^*)) - (\eta_1, \eta_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\quad + \|(\eta_1(\cdot - x_2^*), \eta_2(\cdot - x_2^*)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &= d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\eta_1, \eta_2)]) + d([(\eta_1, \eta_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the triangle inequality also holds. Next, we prove completeness. Suppose that $[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})]$ is a Cauchy sequence. It suffices to show that a subsequence converges. We can pass to a subsequence $[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})], [(\phi_{1,n+1}, \phi_{2,n+1})]$ so that $d([(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})], [(\phi_{1,n+1}, \phi_{2,n+1})]) \leq 2^{-n}$. We take $x_1 = 0$. We construct a sequence x_n inductively as follows: given x_{n-1} , select x_n so that $\|(\phi_{1,n-1}(\cdot - x_{n-1}), \phi_{2,n-1}(\cdot - x_{n-1})) - (\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq 2^{-n+1}$. Then, $(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^1 \times H^1$, and hence, there exists (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) such that $(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n)) \rightarrow (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ in $H^1 \times H^1$. Then,

$$d[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n}), (\phi_1, \phi_2)] \leq \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n)) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})] \rightarrow [(\phi_1, \phi_2)]$ in $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$.

Finally, we prove that $\pi(B((\phi_1, \phi_2), r)) = B([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], r)$ for any $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and $r > 0$. We take any $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in B((\phi_1, \phi_2), r)$. Then, $\|(\phi_1, \phi_2) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < r$, and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) \\ &= \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq \|(\phi_1, \phi_2) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[(\psi_1, \psi_2)] \in B([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], r)$. We take any $[(\psi_1, \psi_2)] \in B([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], r)$. Then,

$$d([(\phi_1, \phi_2)], [(\psi_1, \psi_2)]) < r.$$

Since the orbits of $(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0))$ is closed in $H^1 \times H^1$, there exists x_0^* such that

$$\begin{aligned} d([\phi_1, \phi_2], [\psi_1, \psi_2]) &= \|(\phi_1(\cdot - x_0^*), \phi_2(\cdot - x_0^*)) - (\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &= \|(\phi_1, \phi_2) - (\psi_1(\cdot + x_0^*), \psi_2(\cdot + x_0^*))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < r. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\psi_1(\cdot + x_0^*), \psi_2(\cdot + x_0^*)) \in B((\phi_1, \phi_2), r)$, we obtain $[(\psi_1, \psi_2)] \in \pi(B((\phi_1, \phi_2), r))$. Therefore, we have $\pi(B((\phi_1, \phi_2), r)) = B([\phi_1, \phi_2], r)$ and the topology deduced from the distance d on $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ is quotient topology. \square

Lemma 5.10. Let K be a precompact subset of $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$. Assume

$$\exists \eta > 0 \text{ such that } \forall (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \pi^{-1}(K), \quad \|(\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \geq \eta.$$

Then there exists $\tilde{K} \subset H^1 \times H^1$ such that $\pi(\tilde{K}) = K$ and \tilde{K} is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$.

Proof. We first show that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $(p, q) \in K$, there exists $(\psi_1(p), \psi_2(q)) = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \pi^{-1}(p, q)$ such that

$$\|(\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1(B(0,1)) \times H^1(B(0,1))} \geq \varepsilon \quad (5.29)$$

by contradiction. If not, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})] \in K$

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_0), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_0))\|_{H^1(B(0,1)) \times H^1(B(0,1))} \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (5.30)$$

Since K is precompact, we take a subsequence from $\{[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})]\}$ if necessary, then there exists $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in H^1 \times H^1$ such that $[(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})] \rightarrow (p, q)$ in $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$, where we set $\pi(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (p, q)$. In other words, if (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) is fixed in $\pi^{-1}(p, q)$, then

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_0), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_0)) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we may find a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ such that

$$\|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n)) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (5.30), for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5$,

$$\|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot + x_0 - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot + x_0 - x_n))\|_{H^1(B(0,1)) \times H^1(B(0,1))} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1(B(x_0,1)) \times H^1(B(x_0,1))} \\ &\leq \|(\phi_1, \phi_2) - (\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\quad + \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))\|_{H^1(B(x_0,1)) \times H^1(B(x_0,1))} \\ &= \|(\phi_1, \phi_2) - (\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\quad + \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot + x_0 - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot + x_0 - x_n))\|_{H^1(B(0,1)) \times H^1(B(0,1))} \\ &\leq \|(\phi_1, \phi_2) - (\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) vanishes on $B(x_0, 1) \times B(x_0, 1)$. Because x_0 is arbitrary, $(\phi_1, \phi_2) = (0, 0)$. However, this is contradiction. Next, let $\tilde{K} = \{(\psi_1(p), \psi_2(q)) : (p, q) \in K \text{ and } (\psi_1, \psi_2) \text{ satisfies (5.29)}\}$. Then, $(\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \tilde{K}$ satisfies $\|(\psi_1, \psi_2)\|_{H^1(B(0,1)) \times H^1(B(0,1))} \geq \varepsilon$. Also, we have $\pi(\tilde{K}) = K$. We prove that \tilde{K} is precompact. Let $(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})$ be a sequence in \tilde{K} . Because K is precompact, if we pass to a subsequence, then there exists $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n)) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0. \quad (5.31)$$

Also, because $(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})$ is bounded in $H^1 \times H^1$, we can pass to a subsequence so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = l \in (0, \infty). \quad (5.32)$$

Here, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. If not, then we can pass to a subsequence so that $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_{1,n}(\cdot - x_n), \phi_{2,n}(\cdot - x_n))\|_{H^1(B(0,|x_n|-1)) \times H^1(B(0,|x_n|-1))} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})\|_{H^1(B(-x_n,|x_n|-1)) \times H^1(B(-x_n,|x_n|-1))} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})\|_{H^1 \times H^1} - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})\|_{H^1(B(0,1)) \times H^1(B(0,1))} \\ &\leq l - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $\|(\phi_1, \phi_2)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq l - \varepsilon$. However, this is in contradiction to (5.31) and (5.32). Thus, $|x_n|$ is bounded and we can pass to a subsequence so that $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because C_0^∞ is dense in H^1 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f, g \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\|\phi_1 - f\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon, \|\phi_2 - g\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n}) - (\phi_1(\cdot + \tilde{x}), \phi_2(\cdot + \tilde{x}))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\leq \|(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n}) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)(\cdot + x_n)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \|(\phi_1, \phi_2)(\cdot + x_n) - (f, g)(\cdot + x_n)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\quad + \|(f, g)(\cdot + x_n) - (f, g)(\cdot + \tilde{x})\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + \|(f, g)(\cdot + \tilde{x}) - (\phi_1, \phi_2)(\cdot + \tilde{x})\|_{H^1 \times H^1} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\phi_{1,n}, \phi_{2,n})$ converge in $H^1 \times H^1$. Therefore, \tilde{K} is precompact. \square

Lemma 5.11. Let (u, v) be the time-global $H^1 \times H^1$ solution to (NLS). Suppose that

$$K = \pi(\{(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\})$$

is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$. Then there exists $x(t)$, a continuous path in \mathbb{R}^5 , such that

$$\{(u(\cdot - x(t), t), v(\cdot - x(t), t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$$

is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$.

Proof. In the case $(u, v) = (0, 0)$, the claim holds clearly. Let $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$. Then, it follows that

$$\|(u(x - x_0, t), v(x - x_0, t))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \geq M(u_0, v_0) > 0$$

for any $(u(x - x_0, t), v(x - x_0, t)) \in \pi^{-1}(K)$. We can take \tilde{K} satisfying $\pi(\tilde{K}) = K$ and being precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$ by Lemma 5.10. Since a map $(u, v) : [N, N+1] \ni t \mapsto \|(u(t), v(t))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \in \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\delta_N > 0$

$$\|(u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t)) - (u(\cdot, t'), v(\cdot, t'))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \leq \frac{1}{N}$$

for any $t, t' \in [N, N+1]$ with $|t - t'| \leq \delta_N$. Let a sequence $\{t_n\}$ increase, satisfy $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, and divide a interval $[N, N+1]$ into less than width δ_N . Since $\pi(\tilde{K}) = K$, we can select $x(t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^5$ with $(u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n), v(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n)) \in \tilde{K}$ for any n . We set that $x(t)$ is a continuous function connecting $x(t_n)$ and $x(t_{n+1})$ with a segment. We will prove that $\{(u(\cdot - x(t), t), v(\cdot - x(t), t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$. We set that a sequence s_k in $[0, \infty)$ satisfies $s_k \rightarrow s_0$ or $s_k \rightarrow \infty$ by passing to a subsequence s_k . In the case $s_k \rightarrow s_0$, it follows that $(u(\cdot - x(s_k), s_k), v(\cdot - x(s_k), s_k)) \rightarrow (u(\cdot - x(s_0), s_0), v(\cdot - x(s_0), s_0))$ by the continuity of $(u(t), v(t))$ and $x(t)$. In the case $s_k \rightarrow \infty$, we can take a unique index $n(k)$ with $t_{n(k)-1} \leq s_k < t_{n(k)}$ for any k . Since \tilde{K} is precompact, $(u(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)-1}), t_{n(k)-1}), v(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)-1}), t_{n(k)-1}))$ and $(u(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)}), t_{n(k)}), v(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)}), t_{n(k)}))$ converge in $H^1 \times H^1$ by passing to a subsequence (with respect to k). $(u(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)-1}), t_{n(k)}), v(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)-1}), t_{n(k)}))$ converge by the way of taking t_n and the uniform continuity of (u, v) . It suffices to prove that $(u(\cdot - x(s_k), t_{n(k)}), v(\cdot - x(s_k), t_{n(k)}))$ has a converging subsequence. Since $(u(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)-1}), t_{n(k)}), v(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)-1}), t_{n(k)}))$ and $(u(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)}), t_{n(k)}), v(\cdot - x(t_{n(k)}), t_{n(k)}))$ converge, $x(t_{n(k)-1}) - x(t_{n(k)})$ converges. $x(s_k) - x(t_{n(k)-1})$ converges by passing to a subsequence. Therefore, $(u(\cdot - x(s_k), t_{n(k)}), v(\cdot - x(s_k), t_{n(k)}))$ converges in $H^1 \times H^1$. \square

Proposition 5.12 (Precompactness of the flow of the critical solution). With the same (u_c, v_c) as Proposition 5.7, there exists a continuous path $x(t)$ in \mathbb{R}^5 such that

$$K = \{(u_c(\cdot - x(t), t), v_c(\cdot - x(t), t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\} \subset H^1 \times H^1$$

is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$.

Proof. We will deduce contradiction by assuming that Proposition 5.12 does not hold. We assume that Proposition 5.12 does not hold. Then, $\pi(\{(u_c(t), v_c(t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\})$ is not precompact in $H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$ by Lemma 5.11, so there exists $\{(u_c(t_n), v_c(t_n))\}$ such that any subsequence does not converges, i.e. for any $[(\phi^1, \psi^1)] \in H^1 \times H^1 / \sim$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n \geq N$ such that

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(u_c(\cdot - x_0, t_n), v_c(\cdot - x_0, t_n)) - (\phi^1, \psi^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that for any sequence $\{t_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$, there exists a subsequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $\{(u_c(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n), v_c(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n))\}$ converges in $H^1 \times H^1$.

In the case $\{t_n\}$ is a convergence sequence, it holds because the solution to (NLS) is continuous with respect to t . Thus, we consider a $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We set that $(\phi_n, \psi_n) = (u_c(t_n), v_c(t_n))$. Applying Theorem 5.7, we have

$$I_\omega(u_c, v_c) = I_\omega^c < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_c, v_c) > 0$$

for any $0 \leq t < \infty$. From (5.11), $\|(\phi_n, \psi_n)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}$ is bounded (with respect to n). Using Theorem 4.3, it follows that

$$(\phi_n, \psi_n) = \sum_{j=1}^M (e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j(\cdot - x_n^j), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j(\cdot - x_n^j)) + (\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M).$$

From the same argument as (5.13), we have

$$0 < I_\omega(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j) \leq I_\omega^c, \quad 0 \leq I_\omega(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M) < I_\omega^c,$$

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(e^{-it_n^j \Delta} \phi^j, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^j \Delta} \psi^j) > 0, \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M) \geq 0$$

for sufficiently large n . (The equal sign holds if and only if $(\Phi_n^M, \Psi_n^M) = (0, 0)$.)

There exists only one j with $(\phi^j, \psi^j) \neq (0, 0)$ by the same argument as the proof of Proposition 5.7. Thus, we have

$$(\phi_n, \psi_n) = (e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1(\cdot - x_n^1), e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1(\cdot - x_n^1)) + (\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1).$$

Also, applying Corollary 4.4,

$$I_\omega^c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1).$$

Here, we assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1) < I_\omega^c$. Also, we express the corresponding solution to (NLS) with initial data (u_0, v_0) in $\text{NLS}[(u_0, v_0)](t) = (\text{NLS}[u_0](t), \text{NLS}[v_0](t))$. Then, we have $\|\text{NLS}[(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1)](\cdot - t_n)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$ by the definition of I_ω^c .

Futhermore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{i(t-t_n)\Delta} (u_c(t_n) - \text{NLS}[e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1(\cdot - x_n^1)](t_n - t_n))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &= \|e^{i(t-t_n)\Delta} (e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1(\cdot - x_n^1) + \Phi_n^1 - e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1(\cdot - x_n^1))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &= \|e^{i(t-t_n)\Delta} \Phi_n^1\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \|e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^1\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_n)\Delta} (v_c(t_n) - \text{NLS}[e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1(\cdot - x_n^1)](t_n - t_n))\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \|e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^1\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we obtain $\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$ by Theorem 2.23, but this is in contradiction to Proposition 5.7. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(e^{-it_n^1 \Delta} \phi^1, e^{-\frac{1}{2}it_n^1 \Delta} \psi^1) = I_\omega^c, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\omega(\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1) = 0.$$

Combining this fact and Lemma 4.1,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_\omega(\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1) \leq 10I_\omega(\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} = 0.$$

Next, we will prove $t_n^1 = 0$.

We assume that $t_n^1 \rightarrow -\infty$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(e^{it\Delta} \phi_n, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi_n \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty))} \\ &= \left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_n^1)\Delta} \phi^1(\cdot - x_n^1), e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_n^1)\Delta} \psi^1(\cdot - x_n^1) \right) + \left(e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^1 \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty))} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_n^1)\Delta} \phi^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_n^1)\Delta} \psi^1 \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty))} \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^1 \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(e^{i(t-t_n^1)\Delta} \phi^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}i(t-t_n^1)\Delta} \psi^1 \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty))} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(e^{it\Delta} \phi^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \psi^1 \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[-t_n^1, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[-t_n^1, \infty))} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $\left\| \left(e^{it\Delta} \Phi_n^1, e^{\frac{1}{2}it\Delta} \Psi_n^1 \right) \right\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty)) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}:[0, \infty))} \leq \frac{1}{4} \delta_{sd}$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These formulas are in contradiction to Theorem 2.20. Hence, we have $t_n^1 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_c(\cdot + x_n^1, t_n), v_c(\cdot + x_n^1, t_n)) - (\phi^1, \psi^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} &= \|(\phi_n, \psi_n) - (\phi^1(\cdot - x_n^1), \psi^1(\cdot - x_n^1))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &= \|(\Phi_n^1, \Psi_n^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{(u_c(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n), v_c(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n))\}$ converges in $H^1 \times H^1$ and we set that $(\phi^1, \psi^1) \in H^1 \times H^1$ denotes the limit. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^5} \|(u_c(\cdot - x_0, t_n), v_c(\cdot - x_0, t_n)) - (\phi^1, \psi^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \\ &\leq \|(u_c(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n), v_c(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n)) - (\phi^1, \psi^1)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{(u_c(\cdot - x(t), t), v_c(\cdot - x(t), t))\}$ is precompact. \square

Lemma 5.13 (Precompactness of the flow implies uniform localization). Let (u, v) be the solution to (NLS) such that

$$K = \{(u(\cdot - x(t), t), v(\cdot - x(t), t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$$

is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ so that

$$\int_{|x+x(t)| > R} (|u(x, t)|^2 + |v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |v(x, t)u(x, t)|^2) dx \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $0 \leq t < \infty$.

Proof. We will prove by contradiction.

We assume that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists t_n such that

$$\int_{|x+x(t_n)|>n} (|u(x, t_n)|^2 + |v(x, t_n)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t_n)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t_n)|^2 + |v(x, t_n)u(x, t_n)^2|) dx > \varepsilon. \quad (5.33)$$

By changing variables,

$$\int_{|x|>n} (|u(x - x(t_n), t_n)|^2 + |v(x - x(t_n), t_n)|^2 + |\nabla u(x - x(t_n), t_n)|^2 + |\nabla v(x - x(t_n), t_n)|^2 + |v(x - x(t_n), t_n)u(x - x(t_n), t_n)^2|) dx > \varepsilon.$$

Since K is precompact, there exists $(U, V) \in H^1 \times H^1$ such that

$$(u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n), v(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n)) \longrightarrow (U, V) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } H^1 \times H^1.$$

by passing to a subsequence of $\{t_n\}$. From $(U, V) \in H^1 \times H^1 \hookrightarrow L^3 \times L^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} &< \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \quad \|V\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} < \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \quad \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} < \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \\ \|\nabla V\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} &< \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \quad \|U\|_{L^3(|x|>n)} < \frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}}, \quad \|V\|_{L^3(|x|>n)} < \frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

by taking sufficiently large n . Also, since $(u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n), v(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n)) \longrightarrow (U, V)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $H^1 \times H^1$, if we take sufficiently large n , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - U\|_{L^2} &< \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \quad \|v(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - V\|_{L^2} < \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \\ \|\nabla(u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - U)\|_{L^2} &< \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \quad \|\nabla(v(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - V)\|_{L^2} < \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}}, \\ \|u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - U\|_{L^3} &< \frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}}, \quad \|v(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - V\|_{L^3} < \frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t_n)\|_{L^2(|x+x(t_n)|>n)} &= \|u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n)\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} \\ &\leq \|u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - U\|_{L^2} + \|U\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} \\ &< \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{5}}, \\ \|\nabla u(\cdot, t_n)\|_{L^2(|x+x(t_n)|>n)} &= \|\nabla u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n)\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} \\ &\leq \|\nabla(u(\cdot - x(t_n), t_n) - U)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla U\|_{L^2(|x|>n)} \\ &< \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}} + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{5}} = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{5}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|x+x(t_n)|>n} |v(x, t)u(x, t)^2| dx \\ &\leq \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3(|x+x(t_n)|>n)} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^3(|x+x(t_n)|>n)}^2 \\ &= \|v(\cdot - x(t_n), t)\|_{L^3(|x|>n)} \|u(\cdot - x(t_n), t)\|_{L^3(|x|>n)}^2 \\ &\leq (\|v(\cdot - x(t_n), t) - V\|_{L^3} + \|V\|_{L^3(|x|>n)}) (\|u(\cdot - x(t_n), t) - U\|_{L^3} + \|U\|_{L^3(|x|>n)})^2 \\ &< \left(\frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt[3]{5}} \right)^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{|x+x(t_n)|>n} (|u(x, t_n)|^2 + |v(x, t_n)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t_n)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t_n)|^2 + |v(x, t_n)u(x, t_n)^2|) dx \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since this is in contradiction to (5.33), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that for any $0 \leq t < \infty$,

$$\int_{|x+x(t)|>R} (|u(x, t)|^2 + |v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 + |v(x, t)u(x, t)^2|) dx \leq \varepsilon.$$

□

Proposition 5.14. The solution (u, v) to (NLS) satisfies the following conservation law.

$$\tilde{P}(u(t), v(t)) := \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla u \bar{u} + \nabla v \bar{v}) dx = \tilde{P}(u_0, v_0).$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.25 as $\chi(x) = x_j$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$,

$$\operatorname{Im} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (u_j \bar{u} + v_j \bar{v}) dx = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{Im} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla u \bar{u} + \nabla v \bar{v}) dx = 0$$

and we have

$$\tilde{P}(u(t), v(t)) = \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\nabla u \bar{u} + \nabla v \bar{v}) dx = \tilde{P}(u_0, v_0).$$

□

Proposition 5.15 (Galilean transformation). If (u, v) satisfies (NLS), then

$$(e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t), e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v(x - 2\xi_0 t, t))$$

also satisfies (NLS).

Proof. Let (u, v) solve (NLS), and

$$(z, w) = (e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t), e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v(x - 2\xi_0 t, t)).$$

We obtain the following formula by a direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z &= -i|\xi_0|^2 e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\ &\quad - 2e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) + e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_t u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t). \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j^2 z &= -\xi_{0,j}^2 e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\ &\quad + 2i\xi_{0,j} e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_j u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) + e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_j^2 u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta z &= -|\xi_0|^2 e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\ &\quad + 2ie^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) + e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\Delta u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t z + \Delta z + 2w\bar{z} &= |\xi_0|^2 e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) - 2ie^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\ &\quad + ie^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_t u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) - |\xi_0|^2 e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2ie^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) + e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\Delta u)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\
& + 2e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) e^{-ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{it|\xi_0|^2} \bar{u}(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
i\partial_t w + \frac{1}{2}\Delta w + z^2 & = 2|\xi_0|^2 e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) - 2ie^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j v)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\
& + ie^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_t v)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) - 2|\xi_0|^2 e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\
& + 2ie^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j v)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) + \frac{1}{2} e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} (\Delta v)(x - 2\xi_0 t, t) \\
& + e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} u(x - 2\xi_0 t, t)^2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (z, w) solves (NLS). \square

Proposition 5.16. Let (u_c, v_c) be the critical solution constructed in Proposition 5.7. Then $\tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) = 0$.

Proof. We assume $\tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) \neq 0$. Let $(z_c, w_c) = (e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u_c(x - 2\xi_0 t, t), e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v_c(x - 2\xi_0 t, t))$. We obtain the following formula by a direct calculation:

$$\|z_c\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u_c\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \|w_c\|_{L^2}^2 = \|v_c\|_{L^2}^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla z_c\|_{L^2}^2 & = \sum_{j=1}^5 \|\partial_j z_c\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^5 \|i\xi_{0,j} e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} u_c(\cdot - 2\xi_0 t, t) + e^{ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_j u_c)(\cdot - 2\xi_0 t, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^5 |\xi_{0,j}|^2 \|u_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^5 \|\partial_j u_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^5 2\text{Re}(\partial_j u_c, i\xi_{0,j} u_c)_{L^2} \\
& = |\xi_0|^2 \|u_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_c\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\text{Im} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j u_c, u_c)_{L^2}, \\
\|\nabla w_c\|_{L^2}^2 & = \sum_{j=1}^5 \|\partial_j w_c\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^5 \|2i\xi_{0,j} e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} v_c(\cdot - 2\xi_0 t, t) + e^{2ix \cdot \xi_0} e^{-2it|\xi_0|^2} (\partial_j v_c)(\cdot - 2\xi_0 t, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^5 4|\xi_{0,j}|^2 \|v_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^5 \|\partial_j v_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^5 4\text{Re}(\partial_j v_c, i\xi_{0,j} v_c)_{L^2} \\
& = 4|\xi_0|^2 \|v_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v_c\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\text{Im} \sum_{j=1}^5 \xi_{0,j} (\partial_j v_c, v_c)_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
K(z_c, w_c) & = \|\nabla z_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla w_c\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = |\xi_0|^2 (\|u_c\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v_c\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\nabla u_c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_c\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= |\xi_0|^2 M(u_c, v_c) + 2\xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) + K(u_c, v_c),$$

and

$$P(z_c, w_c) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} w_c \overline{z_c}^2 dx = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} v_c \overline{u_c}^2 dx = P(u_c, v_c).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\omega(z_c, w_c) &= \frac{\omega}{2} M(z_c, w_c) + \frac{1}{2} K(z_c, w_c) - P(z_c, w_c) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2} M(u_c, v_c) + \frac{1}{2} |\xi_0|^2 M(u_c, v_c) + \xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) + \frac{1}{2} K(u_c, v_c) - P(u_c, v_c) \\ &= I_\omega(u_c, v_c) + \frac{1}{2} \left(|\xi_0|^2 M(u_c, v_c) + 2\xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) \right), \\ K_\omega^{20,8}(z_c, w_c) &= 8K(z_c, w_c) - 20P(z_c, w_c) \\ &= 8|\xi_0|^2 M(u_c, v_c) + 16\xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) + 8K(u_c, v_c) - 20P(u_c, v_c) \\ &= K_\omega^{20,8}(u_c, v_c) + 8 \left(|\xi_0|^2 M(u_c, v_c) + 2\xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, if we combine

$$|\xi_0|^2 M(u_c, v_c) + 2\xi_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) = M(u_c, v_c) \left| \xi_0 + \frac{\tilde{P}(u_c, v_c)}{M(u_c, v_c)} \right|^2 - \frac{\tilde{P}(u_c, v_c)^2}{M(u_c, v_c)}$$

and

$$0 < I_\omega(u_c, v_c) = I_\omega^c, \quad K_\omega(u_c, v_c) > 0,$$

then we can take ξ_0 with

$$I_\omega(z_c, w_c) < I_\omega^c, \quad K_\omega(z_c, w_c) > 0.$$

On the other hand, we obtain $\|(z_c, w_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$ from $\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$.

However, this is in contradiction to the definition of I_ω^c . Therefore, we have $\tilde{P}(u_c, v_c) = 0$. \square

Lemma 5.17. Let (u, v) be a solution to (NLS) defined on $[0, \infty)$ so that $\tilde{P}(u, v) = 0$ and $K = \{(u(\cdot - x(t)), v(\cdot - x(t)))\}$ is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$ for some continuous function $x(\cdot)$. Then

$$\frac{x(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We prove this lemma by the argument of Fang-Xie-Cazenave [8].

Proof. We assume that we do not have Lemma 5.17. Then, there exist $\delta > 0$ and a sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $|x(t_n)| \geq \delta t_n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x(0) = 0$. We set

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |x(t)| \geq |x(t_n)|\}.$$

Since $0 < \tau_n \leq t_n$ and $|x(\tau_n)| = |x(t_n)|$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ |x(t)| &< |x(t_n)|, \quad 0 \leq t < \tau_n, \\ |x(\tau_n)| &\geq \delta \tau_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$ be radial with

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq r \leq 1), \\ \text{smooth} & (1 \leq r \leq 2), \\ 0 & (2 \leq r), \end{cases}$$

where $r = |x|$. Also, let χ satisfy $|\chi'(r)| \leq 2$ ($r \geq 0$). We define $\chi_R(r) = \chi\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)$ for $R > 0$. Then,

$$|\chi_R(r) - 1| + |r| |\chi_R'(r)| \leq 1_{\{R \leq r\}} + |r| \left| \frac{1}{R} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right| \leq 1_{\{R \leq r\}} + 4 \cdot 1_{\{R \leq r \leq 2R\}} \leq 5 \cdot 1_{\{R \leq r\}},$$

where we define that a function 1_A is $1_A = 1$ ($x \in A$) and $1_A = 0$ ($x \notin A$) for a set A . Also,

$$|r||\chi_R(r)| \leq 2R.$$

We define

$$z_R(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} x\chi_R(|x|) (|u(t, x)|^2 + 2|v(t, x)|^2) dx$$

for $R > 0$. By Lemma 2.25 (2.19), i -th component of $z'_R(t)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ is

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla(x_i\chi_R) \cdot (\nabla u\bar{u} + \nabla v\bar{v}) dx &= 2\text{Im} \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \partial_j(x_i\chi_R) (u_j\bar{u} + v_j\bar{v}) dx \\ &= 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_R (u_i\bar{u} + v_i\bar{v}) dx + 2\text{Im} \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left(x_i\chi'_R \frac{x_j}{r}\right) (u_j\bar{u} + v_j\bar{v}) dx \\ &= 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi_R (u_i\bar{u} + v_i\bar{v}) + \left(x_i\chi'_R \frac{x}{r}\right) \cdot (\nabla u\bar{u} + \nabla v\bar{v}) \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$z'_R(t) = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi_R (\nabla u\bar{u} + \nabla v\bar{v}) + \frac{x\chi'_R}{r} x \cdot (\nabla u\bar{u} + \nabla v\bar{v}) \right\} dx.$$

Since $\tilde{P}(u, v) = 0$, it follows that

$$z'_R(t) = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ (\chi_R - 1) (\nabla u\bar{u} + \nabla v\bar{v}) + \frac{x\chi'_R}{r} x \cdot (\nabla u\bar{u} + \nabla v\bar{v}) \right\} dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |z'_R(t)| &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (|\chi_R - 1| + |r||\chi'_R|) (|\nabla u||\bar{u}| + |\nabla v||\bar{v}|) dx \\ &\leq 10 \int_{\{R \leq |x|\}} (|\nabla u||\bar{u}| + |\nabla v||\bar{v}|) dx \\ &\leq 5 \int_{\{R \leq |x|\}} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + |v|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.13, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for any $0 \leq t < \infty$,

$$\int_{\{|x+x(t)|>\rho\}} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + 2|v|^2) dx \leq \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{10(1 + \delta)}.$$

Let $R_n = |x(\tau_n)| + \rho$. Since for given $0 \leq t \leq \tau_n$ and $|x| > R_n$,

$$|x + x(t)| \geq R_n - |x(t)| \geq R_n - |x(\tau_n)| = \rho,$$

we obtain

$$|z'_{R_n}(t)| \leq 5 \int_{\{|x+x(t)|>\rho\}} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + |v|^2) dx \leq \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{2(1 + \delta)}. \quad (5.34)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t \leq \tau_n$. Also, since $R_n \geq \rho$ and $x(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{R_n}(0)| &\leq \int_{\{|x|<\rho\}} |x|\chi_{R_n}(|u_0|^2 + 2|v_0|^2) dx + \int_{\{|x|>\rho\}} |x|\chi_{R_n}(|u_0|^2 + 2|v_0|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\{|x|<\rho\}} |x|(|u_0|^2 + 2|v_0|^2) dx + \int_{\{2R_n > |x+x(0)|>\rho\}} |x|\chi_{R_n}(|u_0|^2 + 2|v_0|^2) dx \\ &\leq \rho M(u, v) + \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{5(1 + \delta)} R_n. \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

Next, we estimate $z_{R_n}(\tau_n)$.

$$z_{R_n}(\tau_n) = \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|>\rho\}} x\chi_{R_n} (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|<\rho\}} x \chi_{R_n} (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx \\
& =: I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|I| \leq \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{5(1 + \delta)} R_n.$$

If $|x + x(\tau_n)| < \rho$, then we have $|x| \leq |x + x(\tau_n)| + |x(\tau_n)| \leq \rho + |x(\tau_n)| = R_n$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
- II &= - \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|<\rho\}} x (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx \\
&= - \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|<\rho\}} (x + x(\tau_n)) (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx \\
&\quad + x(\tau_n) \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|<\rho\}} (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx \\
&= x(\tau_n) M(u, v) - \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|<\rho\}} (x + x(\tau_n)) (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx \\
&\quad - x(\tau_n) \int_{\{|x+x(\tau_n)|>\rho\}} (|u(\tau_n, x)|^2 + 2|v(\tau_n, x)|^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|II| \geq |x(\tau_n)| M(u, v) - \rho M(u, v) - \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{10(1 + \delta)} R_n.$$

Therefore,

$$|z_{R_n}(\tau_n)| \geq -|I| + |II| \geq |x(\tau_n)| M(u, v) - \rho M(u, v) - \frac{3\delta M(u, v)}{10(1 + \delta)} R_n. \quad (5.36)$$

Combining (5.34), (5.35), and (5.36),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta M(u, v)}{2(1 + \delta)} \tau_n &= \int_0^{\tau_n} \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{2(1 + \delta)} dt \geq \int_0^{\tau_n} |z'_{R_n}(t)| dt \geq \left| \int_0^{\tau_n} z'_{R_n}(t) dt \right| \\
&\geq |z_{R_n}(\tau_n)| - |z_{R_n}(0)| \geq |x(\tau_n)| M(u, v) - 2\rho M(u, v) - \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{2(1 + \delta)} R_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $R_n = |x(\tau_n)| + \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta M(u, v)}{2(1 + \delta)} \tau_n &\geq |x(\tau_n)| M(u, v) - 2\rho M(u, v) - \frac{\delta M(u, v)}{2(1 + \delta)} (|x(\tau_n)| + \rho), \\
\frac{\delta}{2(1 + \delta)} \tau_n &\geq \frac{2 + \delta}{2(1 + \delta)} |x(\tau_n)| - \frac{4 + 5\delta}{2(1 + \delta)} \rho, \\
\frac{\delta}{2 + \delta} + \frac{4 + 5\delta}{2 + \delta} \frac{\rho}{\tau_n} &\geq \frac{|x(\tau_n)|}{\tau_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \tau_n \leq t_n$ and $|x(\tau_n)| = |x(t_n)|$,

$$\frac{\delta}{2 + \delta} + \frac{4 + 5\delta}{2 + \delta} \frac{\rho}{\tau_n} \geq \frac{|x(t_n)|}{t_n}.$$

We obtain $\frac{4+5\delta}{2+\delta} \frac{\rho}{\tau_n} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, since $\frac{\delta}{2+\delta} < \frac{\delta}{2}$ by $\delta > 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{|x(t_n)|}{t_n} < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta.$$

This is in contradiction to $|x(t_n)| \geq \delta t_n$. Therefore, Lemma 5.17 holds. \square

Lemma 5.18. Let (u_c, v_c) be the critical solution constructed in Proposition 5.7. Then, there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$AM(u_c, v_c) \leq K(u_c, v_c)$$

for any $0 \leq t < \infty$.

Proof. We assume that Lemma 5.18 does not hold. Then, there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that

$$M(u_c, v_c) \geq nK(u_c(t_n), v_c(t_n)).$$

Since $M(u_c, v_c) > 0$ is a constant,

$$K(u_c(t_n), v_c(t_n)) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Proposition 5.12, we can pass to a subsequence $\{t_n\}$ so that

$$\|(u_c(t_n), v_c(t_n))\|_{H^1 \times H^1} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$M(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) = M(u_c(t_n), v_c(t_n)) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

However, this leads to contradiction with $\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$. \square

5.3. Rigidity.

Theorem 5.19 (Rigidity). Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the time-global solution to (NLS) with initial data (u_0, v_0) . Suppose

$$I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega), \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) \geq 0, \quad \tilde{P}(u_0, v_0) = 0,$$

$$\exists A > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq \forall t < \infty, \quad AM(u, v) \leq K(u, v)$$

and there exists a continuous path $x(t)$ such that

$$K = \{(u(\cdot - x(t), t), v(\cdot - x(t), t)) : t \in [0, \infty)\}$$

is precompact in $H^1 \times H^1$. Then, $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$.

Proof. In the case $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) = 0$, we have $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$ by the definition of $\mu_\omega^{20,8}(= I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega))$. Let $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0$. We assume that $M(u, v) = \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|v\|_{L^2}^2 > 0$ and lead to contradiction. By Lemma 5.17, for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(\eta) > 0$ such that

$$|x(t)| \leq \eta t$$

for any $t \geq T_0$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^5)$ be radial with

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} r^2 & (0 \leq r \leq 1), \\ \text{smooth} & (1 \leq r \leq 3), \\ 0 & (3 \leq r), \end{cases}$$

where $r = |x|$. Also, we assume that χ satisfies $\chi''(r) \leq 2$ ($r \geq 0$) and define $\chi_R(r) = R^2\chi(\frac{r}{R})$. We set $I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi_R (|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx$. Then,

$$I'(t) = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi'_R \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v}{r} \bar{v} \right) dx = 2\text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} R\chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v}{r} \bar{v} \right) dx$$

by Lemma 2.25 (2.21). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |I'(t)| &\leq 2R \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \left(\frac{x \cdot \nabla u}{r} \bar{u} + \frac{x \cdot \nabla v}{r} \bar{v} \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2R \int_{|x| \leq 3R} \left| \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right| (|\nabla u||u| + |\nabla v||v|) dx \\ &\leq cR \int_{|x| \leq 3R} (|\nabla u||u| + |\nabla v||v|) dx \\ &\leq cR \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq cR(M(u, v) + 2I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + 4E(u, v)) \\ &= \tilde{c}R \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq t < \infty$. Also, applying Lemma 2.25 (2.22),

$$\begin{aligned} I''(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad - 2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$R_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} (4|x \cdot \nabla u|^2 + 2|x \cdot \nabla v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx,$$

$$R_2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx,$$

and

$$R_3 = -2\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx.$$

First, we estimate R_1 .

In the case $\frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \geq 0$, we have $R_1 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx$.

In the case $\frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} (4r^2|\nabla u|^2 + 2r^2|\nabla v|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \frac{R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities,

$$R_1 \geq \int_{|x| \leq R} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2) dx - c \int_{R \leq |x|} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx.$$

Next, we estimate R_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \chi^{(4)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{Rr} \chi^{(3)} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{8}{r^2} \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) - \frac{8R}{r^3} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx \\ &\geq -\frac{c}{R^2} \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate R_3 .

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= -20\text{Re} \int_{|x| \leq R} v \bar{u}^2 dx - 2\text{Re} \int_{R \leq |x| \leq 3R} \left\{ \chi'' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) + \frac{4R}{r} \chi' \left(\frac{r}{R} \right) \right\} v \bar{u}^2 dx \\ &\geq -20\text{Re} \int_{|x| \leq R} v \bar{u}^2 dx - c \int_{R \leq |x|} |vu^2| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I''(t) &\geq \int_{|x| \leq R} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2) dx - c \int_{R \leq |x|} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx - 20\text{Re} \int_{|x| \leq R} v \bar{u}^2 dx - c \int_{R \leq |x|} |vu^2| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here, applying Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 5.18,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \leq R} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2 - 20\operatorname{Re} v\bar{u}^2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2 - 20\operatorname{Re} v\bar{u}^2) dx - \int_{R \leq |x|} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2 - 20\operatorname{Re} v\bar{u}^2) dx \\
&= K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) - \int_{R \leq |x|} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2 - 20\operatorname{Re} v\bar{u}^2) dx \\
&\geq \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), K(u, v)\} - \int_{R \leq |x|} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2 - 20\operatorname{Re} v\bar{u}^2) dx \\
&\geq \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\} - \int_{R \leq |x|} (8|\nabla u|^2 + 4|\nabla v|^2 - 20\operatorname{Re} v\bar{u}^2) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I''(t) &\geq \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\} - c \int_{R \leq |x|} (4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2) dx \\
&\quad - \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 \right) dx - c \int_{R \leq |x|} |vu^2| dx \\
&\geq \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\} \\
&\quad - c \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2}|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2R^2}|v|^2 + |vu^2| \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.13, there exists $R_0 > 1$ such that

$$c \int_{R_0 \leq |x+x(t)|} \left(4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2 + |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + |vu^2| \right) dx < \frac{1}{2} \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\}$$

for any $0 \leq t < \infty$. If we take $R = R_0 + \sup_{t \in [T_0, T_1]} |x(t)| > 1$, then $|x + x(t)| \geq |x| - |x(t)| \geq$

$R - \sup_{[T_0, T_1]} |x(t)| = R_0$ for x with $|x| > R$ and $t \in [T_0, T_1]$, where $T_1 > T_0$ is chosen later. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& c \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2}|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2R^2}|v|^2 + |vu^2| \right) dx \\
&\leq c \int_{R \leq |x|} \left(4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2 + |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + |vu^2| \right) dx \\
&\leq c \int_{R_0 \leq |x+x(t)|} \left(4|\nabla u|^2 + 2|\nabla v|^2 + |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + |vu^2| \right) dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For such $R > 1$ and $t \in [T_0, T_1]$, we have $I''(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\}$. Integrating this inequality in $[T_0, T_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \min\{I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - I_\omega(u, v), cM(u, v)\} (T_1 - T_0) &\leq I'(T_1) - I'(T_0) \\
&\leq |I'(T_1)| + |I'(T_0)| \\
&\leq 2\tilde{c}R \\
&= 2\tilde{c} \left(R_0 + \sup_{t \in [T_0, T_1]} |x(t)| \right) \\
&\leq 2\tilde{c} (R_0 + T_1 \eta).
\end{aligned}$$

This inequality is contradiction if we take $\eta > 0$ sufficiently small and $T_1 > 0$ sufficiently large when $M(u, v) > 0$. Therefore, $M(u, v) = 0$, i.e. $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$. However, (u_0, v_0) does not satisfy $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > (0, 0)$. \square

Finally, we prove the scattering part of Theorem 1.3.

Proof. We consider (u_c, v_c) constructed in Proposition 5.7. Then, (u_c, v_c) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.19 by Proposition 5.7, Proposition 5.12, Proposition 5.16, and Lemma 5.18. By applying Theorem 5.19, we have $(u_{c,0}, v_{c,0}) = (0, 0)$. However, this leads to contradiction with $\|(u_c, v_c)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \infty$. Therefore, we have $I_\omega^c \geq I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$. By definition of I_ω^c , if $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ and $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) > 0$, then $SC(u_0, v_0)$, i.e. $\|(u_0, v_0)\|_{S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times S(\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}})} < \infty$. By applying Proposition 2.22, the scattering part of Theorem 1.3 holds. \square

6. APPENDIX

Theorem 6.1 (Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality). It follows that

$$P(u, v) \leq C_{GN} M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(u, v)^{\frac{5}{4}}$$

for any $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and the best constant C_{GN} is attained by the ground state, i.e.

$$C_{GN} = \frac{P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)}{M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)^{\frac{5}{4}}}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi_\omega = \omega^\alpha \phi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot)$, $\psi_\omega = \omega^\alpha \psi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot)$. We substitute these them into

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \phi_\omega + \omega \phi_\omega = 2\psi_\omega \phi_\omega, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi_\omega + 2\omega \psi_\omega = \phi_\omega^2, \end{cases} \quad (\text{gNLS})$$

then

$$\begin{cases} -\omega^{\alpha+2\beta} (\Delta \phi_1)(\omega^\beta \cdot) + \omega^{\alpha+1} \phi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot) = 2\omega^{2\alpha} \psi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot) \phi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot), \\ -\frac{1}{2} \omega^{\alpha+2\beta} (\Delta \psi_1)(\omega^\beta \cdot) + 2\omega^{\alpha+1} \psi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot) = \omega^{2\alpha} \phi_1(\omega^\beta \cdot)^2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\alpha + 2\beta = \alpha + 1 = 2\alpha$, i.e. $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore,

$$M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = \|\phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_1\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_1\|_{L^2}^2 = \omega^{-\frac{1}{2}} M(\phi_1, \psi_1),$$

$$K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = \|\nabla \phi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \psi_\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \phi_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi_1\|_{L^2}^2 = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$$

and

$$P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = \text{Re}(\psi_\omega, \phi_\omega^2)_{L^2} = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{Re}(\psi_1, \phi_1^2)_{L^2} = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} P(\phi_1, \psi_1).$$

First, we will prove that $0 < C_{GN} < \infty$. Using usual Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} \leq c_{GN} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5(p-1)}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{3(p-1)}{2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(u, v) &\leq \|v\|_{L^3} \|u\|_{L^3}^2 \\ &\leq \left(c_{GN}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \right) \left(c_{GN}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c_{GN} \left(\sqrt{2} K(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{5}{6}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left(\sqrt{2} K(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= 2c_{GN} K(u, v)^{\frac{5}{4}} M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1$. Thus, we obtain $C_{GN} \leq 2c_{GN} < \infty$ (see [13]). On the other hand, applying Proposition 2.14, we have

$$C_{GN} \geq \frac{P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)}{M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)^{\frac{5}{4}}} = \frac{2}{5^{\frac{5}{4}} M(\phi_1, \psi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} > 0.$$

Thus, we obtain $0 < C_{GN} < \infty$.

When $P(u, v) \leq 0$, the inequality holds. Thus, we assume that $P(u, v) > 0$. Next, we consider minimizing problem

$$\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} J(u, v) := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} \frac{M(u, v)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(u, v)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{P(u, v)},$$

where $\mathcal{N} = \{(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1 : P(u, v) > 0\}$. $\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} J(u, v)$ is clearly the reciprocal of the best constant C_{GN} . We set that $u_{\mu, \lambda}(x) = \mu u(\lambda x)$, $v_{\mu, \lambda}(x) = \mu v(\lambda x)$ for $\mu > 0$, $\lambda > 0$. Then,

$$M(u_{\mu, \lambda}, v_{\mu, \lambda}) = \mu^2 \lambda^{-5} M(u, v), \quad K(u_{\mu, \lambda}, v_{\mu, \lambda}) = \mu^2 \lambda^{-3} K(u, v), \quad P(u_{\mu, \lambda}, v_{\mu, \lambda}) = \mu^3 \lambda^{-5} P(u, v),$$

and hence

$$J(u_{\mu, \lambda}, v_{\mu, \lambda}) = J(u, v).$$

Let (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) attain the infimum of $J(u, v)$. Since the functional $J(u, v)$ is invariance for the above scaling, we can assume that

$$M(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = 1, \quad K(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = 1.$$

Because (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a critical point of $J(u, v)$, it follows that

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} J(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi) \right|_{s=0} = 0.$$

for any $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times H^1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} J(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi) \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{ds} \frac{M(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{5}{4}}}{P(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)} \right|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{\left\{ \frac{1}{4} M(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{-\frac{3}{4}} K(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{5}{4}} \frac{d}{ds} M(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{5}{4} M(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{d}{ds} K(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi) \right\} P(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)}{P(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^2} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{M(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{1}{4}} K(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^{\frac{5}{4}} \frac{d}{ds} P(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)}{P(\tilde{u} + s\phi, \tilde{v} + s\psi)^2} \right|_{s=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking out the numerator and using (2.13), (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{u} \phi dx + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{v} \psi dx \right) + \frac{5}{4} \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \psi dx \right) \right\} C_{GN} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} (\tilde{u}^2 \psi + 2\tilde{u} \tilde{v} \phi) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $(\phi, \psi) \in H^1 \times H^1$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{u} \phi dx + \frac{5}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \phi dx = \frac{2}{C_{GN}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{u} \tilde{v} \phi dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{v} \psi dx + \frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \nabla \tilde{v} \cdot \nabla \psi dx = \frac{1}{C_{GN}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5} \tilde{u}^2 \psi dx. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a solution to the nonlinear elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{5}{2}\Delta\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{u} = \frac{2}{C_{GN}}\tilde{u}\tilde{v}, \\ -\frac{5}{4}\Delta\tilde{v} + \tilde{v} = \frac{1}{C_{GN}}\tilde{u}^2. \end{cases}$$

Here, we consider (\dot{u}, \dot{v}) with $(\dot{u}_{\mu,\lambda}, \dot{v}_{\mu,\lambda}) = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ for $\mu = C_{GN}/2\omega$, $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{5\omega}$. Substituting $(\dot{u}_{\mu,\lambda}, \dot{v}_{\mu,\lambda})$ into the above nonlinear elliptic system, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\dot{u} + \omega\dot{u} = 2\dot{u}\dot{v}, \\ -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\dot{v} + 2\omega\dot{v} = \dot{u}^2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, (\dot{u}, \dot{v}) solves (gNLS). (gNLS) actually has solutions, so the infimum of $J(u, v)$ is attained by any solution to (gNLS). \square

Remark 6.2. C_{GN} is independent of $\omega > 0$. Indeed,

$$C_{GN} = \frac{P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)}{M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)^{\frac{1}{4}}K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)^{\frac{5}{4}}} = \frac{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}P(\phi_1, \psi_1)}{\left(\omega^{-\frac{1}{2}}M(\phi_1, \psi_1)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}K(\phi_1, \psi_1)\right)^{\frac{5}{4}}} = \frac{P(\phi_1, \psi_1)}{M(\phi_1, \psi_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}K(\phi_1, \psi_1)^{\frac{5}{4}}}.$$

Proposition 6.3. The following statement holds.

- (1) There exists $\omega > 0$ such that $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$
 $\iff M(u_0, v_0)E(u_0, v_0) < M(\phi_1, \psi_1)E(\phi_1, \psi_1)$.
- (2) Let there exist $\omega > 0$ such that $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$.
 - (1) $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) \geq 0 \iff M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) < M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$.
 - (2) $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) < 0 \iff M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) > M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) &= \frac{\omega}{2}M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + \frac{1}{2}E(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) \\ &= \frac{\omega}{2}M(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(K(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) - 2P(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)) \\ &= \frac{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}M(\phi_1, \psi_1) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}K(\phi_1, \psi_1) - 2\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}P(\phi_1, \psi_1)\right) \\ &= \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the condition $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$ is equivalent to $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)$.

Here, we set $f(\omega) = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1) - I_\omega(u_0, v_0) = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1) - \frac{\omega}{2}M(u_0, v_0) - \frac{1}{2}E(u_0, v_0)$. Then, we have

$$f'(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^{-\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1) - \frac{1}{2}M(u_0, v_0). \text{ Solving } f'(\omega_0) = 0, \text{ we obtain } \omega_0 = \left(\frac{I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)}{M(u_0, v_0)}\right)^2 > 0.$$

Therefore, if there exists $\omega > 0$ such that $I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$, then $f(\omega_0) > 0$ holds.

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega_0) &= \omega_0^{\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1) - \frac{\omega_0}{2}M(u_0, v_0) - \frac{1}{2}E(u_0, v_0) \\ &= \frac{I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2}{M(u_0, v_0)} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2}{M(u_0, v_0)} - \frac{1}{2}E(u_0, v_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2}{M(u_0, v_0)} - \frac{1}{2}E(u_0, v_0) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2 > M(u_0, v_0)E(u_0, v_0)$. Using Proposition 2.14,

$$I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1) = \frac{1}{2}M(\phi_1, \psi_1) + \frac{1}{2}E(\phi_1, \psi_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}M(\phi_1, \psi_1) + \frac{1}{2}K(\phi_1, \psi_1) - P(\phi_1, \psi_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}M(\phi_1, \psi_1) + \frac{5}{2}M(\phi_1, \psi_1) - 2M(\phi_1, \psi_1) \\
&= M(\phi_1, \psi_1),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence it follows that $I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2 = M(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2 = M(\phi_1, \psi_1)E(\phi_1, \psi_1)$.

Thus, we obtain $M(\phi_1, \psi_1)E(\phi_1, \psi_1) > M(u_0, v_0)E(u_0, v_0)$ and complete proof of Proposition 6.3 (1).

Next, we will prove that $M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) \neq M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$ under the assumption of Proposition 6.3 (2). Applying Theorem 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(u_0, v_0)E(u_0, v_0) &= M(u_0, v_0)(K(u_0, v_0) - 2P(u_0, v_0)) \\
&\geq M(u_0, v_0) \left(K(u_0, v_0) - 2C_{GN}M(u_0, v_0)^{\frac{1}{4}}K(u_0, v_0)^{\frac{5}{4}} \right) \\
&= M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) - 2C_{GN}M(u_0, v_0)^{\frac{5}{4}}K(u_0, v_0)^{\frac{5}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we define a function $f(x) = x - 2C_{GN}x^{\frac{5}{4}}$. Then, $f'(x) = 1 - \frac{5}{2}C_{GN}x^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Solving $f'(x) = 0$, we obtain $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = (\frac{2}{5C_{GN}})^4 = M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$. Thus, the graph of f has a local minimum at x_0 and a local maximum at x_1 . Also, $f(x_1) = \frac{1}{5}M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1) = M(\phi_1, \psi_1)E(\phi_1, \psi_1)$. Combining these facts, the assumption of Proposition 6.3 (2) and the result of Proposition 6.3 (1), we have $M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) \neq M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$. Let $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) < 0$. Applying Theorem 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
8K(u_0, v_0) - 20C_{GN}M(u_0, v_0)^{\frac{1}{4}}K(u_0, v_0)^{\frac{5}{4}} &\leq 8K(u_0, v_0) - 20P(u_0, v_0) \\
&= K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0)8K(u_0, v_0) - 20P(u_0, v_0) < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) &> \left(\frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{GN}} \right)^4 \\
&= \left(\frac{2}{5} \right)^4 \cdot \frac{M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)^5}{P(\phi_1, \psi_1)^4} \\
&= M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) \geq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
2\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}M(u_0, v_0)\frac{1}{10}K(u_0, v_0)} &\leq \frac{\omega}{2}M(u_0, v_0) + \frac{1}{10}K(u_0, v_0) \\
&\leq \frac{\omega}{2}M(u_0, v_0) + \frac{1}{10}K(u_0, v_0) + \frac{1}{20}K_\omega^{20,8}(u_0, v_0) \\
&= \frac{\omega}{2}M(u_0, v_0) + \frac{1}{2}E(u_0, v_0) \\
&= I_\omega(u_0, v_0) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) = \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) < 5I_1(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2 = 5M(\phi_1, \psi_1)^2 = M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1).$$

Combining contraposition of these results and $M(u, v)K(u, v) \neq M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$, we complete the proof of Proposition 6.3 (2). \square

Corollary 6.4. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1$ and (u, v) be the solution to (NLS) with a initial data (u_0, v_0) . Moreover, we assume that $M(u_0, v_0)E(u_0, v_0) < M(\phi_1, \psi_1)E(\phi_1, \psi_1)$.

- (1). If $M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) < M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$, then $M(u, v)K(u, v) < M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (2). If $M(u_0, v_0)K(u_0, v_0) > M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$, then $M(u, v)K(u, v) > M(\phi_1, \psi_1)K(\phi_1, \psi_1)$ for any $t \in (T_*, T^*)$.

Proof. Considering the graph of f of the proof for Proposition 6.3, this corollary holds. \square

Proposition 6.5. Let $(u, v) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. If (u, v) satisfies $E(u, v) \leq 0$, then

$$I_\omega(u, v) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) < 0.$$

Proof. By the assumption $E(u, v) \leq 0$,

$$M(u, v)E(u, v) \leq 0 < M(\phi_1, \psi_1)E(\phi_1, \psi_1).$$

Applying Proposition 6.3 (1), it follows that there exists $\omega > 0$ such that

$$I_\omega(u, v) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega).$$

Also, by the assumption $E(u, v) \leq 0$, we obtain $K(u, v) \leq 2P(u, v)$, and hence

$$K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) = 8K(u, v) - 20P(u, v) \leq -2K(u, v) \leq 0.$$

Here, $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) \neq 0$ by $I_\omega(u, v) < I_\omega(\phi_\omega, \psi_\omega)$. Therefore, we have $K_\omega^{20,8}(u, v) < 0$. \square

Corollary 6.6. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in H^1 \times H^1 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and (u, v) be the solution to (NLS) with a initial data (u_0, v_0) . If $(xu_0, xv_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$ and $E(u_0, v_0) \leq 0$, then the solution (u, v) blows up.

Proof. Combinig Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 6.5, this corollary holds. \square

REFERENCE

- [1] T. Akahori and H. Nawa, Blowup and scattering problems for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Kyoto J. Math.* **53** (2013) 629–672.
- [2] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes 10 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2003).
- [3] M. Colin, Th. Colin and M. Ohta, Stability of solitary waves for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with three wave interaction. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **26** (2009), no. 6, 2211–2226.
- [4] B. Dodson and J. Murphy, A new proof of scattering below the ground state for the 3d radial focusin cubic NLS. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 11, 4859–4867.
- [5] B. Dodson and J. Murphy, A new proof of scattering below the ground state for the non-radial focusing NLS, preprint, arXiv:1712.09962v1.
- [6] D. Du, Y. Wu and K. Zhang, On blow-up criterion for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Discrete Contin. Dynl. Syst.* **36** (2016), no. 7, 3639–3650.
- [7] T. Duyckaerts, J. Holmer and S. Roudenko, Scattering for the non-radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Math. Res. Lett.* **15** (2008), no. 6, 1233–1250.
- [8] D. Fang, J. Xie and T. Cazenave, Scattering for the focusing energy-subcritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Sci. China. Math.* **54** (2011), no. 10, 2037–2062.
- [9] L. G. Farah and A. Pastor, Scattering for a 3D coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017), no. 7.
- [10] N. Hayashi, C. Li and T. Ozawa, Small data scattering for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **3** (2011), no. 3, 415–426.
- [11] N. Hayashi and P. I. Naumkin, A system of quadratic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in 2d, *J. Differential Equations.* **254** (2013), no. 8, 3615–3646.
- [12] N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa and K. Tanaka, On a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with quadratic interaction, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **30** (2013), no. 4, 661–690.
- [13] J. Holmer and S. Roudenko, A sharp condition for scattering of the radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **282** (2008), no. 2, 435–467.
- [14] M. Ikeda and T. Inui, Global dynamics below the standing waves for the focusing semilinear Schrödinger equation with a repulsive dirac delta potential, *Anal. PDE* **10** (2017), no. 2, 481–512.
- [15] Y. Kawahara and H. Sunagawa, Global small amplitude for two-dimensional nonlinear Klein-Gordon systems in the presence of mass resonance. *J. Differential Equations* **251** (2011), no. 9, 2549–2567.
- [16] C. E. Kenig and F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *Invent. Math.* **166** (2006), no. 3, 645–675.
- [17] Y. Koh, Improved inhomogeneous Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **373** (2011), no. 1, 147–160.
- [18] F. Linares and G. Ponce, *Introduction to Nonlinear Dispersive Equations*, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2015).

- [19] G. Xu, Dynamics of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger systems in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **37** (2014), no. 17, 2746–2771.