

# Fourier spaces and Completely Isometric Representations of Arens Product Algebras

Ross Stokke \*

## Abstract

Motivated by the definition of a semigroup compactification of a locally compact group and a large collection of examples, we introduce the notion of an (operator) “homogeneous left dual Banach algebra” (HLDBA) over a (completely contractive) Banach algebra  $A$ . We prove a Gelfand-type representation theorem showing that every HLDBA over  $A$  has a concrete realization as an (operator) homogeneous left Arens product algebra: the dual of a subspace of  $A^*$  with a compatible (matrix) norm and a type of left Arens product  $\square$ . Examples include all left Arens product algebras over  $A$ , but also – when  $A$  is the group algebra of a locally compact group – the dual of its Fourier algebra. Beginning with any (completely) contractive (operator)  $A$ -module action  $Q$  on a space  $X$ , we introduce the (operator) Fourier space  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_Q)$  and prove that  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \square)$  is the unique (operator) HLDBA over  $A$  for which there is a weak\*-continuous completely isometric representation as completely bounded operators on  $X^*$  extending the dual module representation. Applying our theory to several examples of (completely contractive) Banach algebras  $A$  and module operations, we provide new characterizations of familiar HLDBAs over  $A$  and we recover – and often extend – some (completely) isometric representation theorems concerning these HLDBAs.

*Primary MSC codes:* 47L10, 47L25, 43A20, 43A30, 46H15, 46H25

*Key words and phrases:* Banach algebra, operator space, Arens product, group algebra, Fourier algebra

## 1 Introduction

Many of the most well-studied and basic objects associated with a locally compact group  $G$  – more generally a locally compact quantum group – are introverted subspaces of  $L^1(G)^* = L^\infty(G)$  and their dual spaces under an Arens product: examples include the introverted space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity,  $C_0(G)$  (its dual with Arens product is the measure algebra  $M(G)$  with convolution product); the introverted space of continuous almost periodic functions on  $G$ ,  $AP(G)$ ; the introverted space of continuous Eberlein functions on  $G$ ,  $E(G)$ ; the introverted space of continuous weakly almost periodic functions on  $G$ ,  $WAP(G)$ ; the left introverted space of left uniformly continuous functions on  $G$ ,  $LUC(G)$ ; and  $L^\infty(G)$ . A small sample of papers in which the duals of these and other spaces are studied as left Arens product algebras is [1, 8, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22].

In general, if  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is a closed left introverted subspace of the dual space,  $A^*$ , of a Banach algebra  $A$ , then – with its left Arens product  $\square$  –  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  is a Banach algebra such that

---

\*This research was partially supported by an NSERC grant.

- (i) multiplication is separately weak\*-continuous with respect to every fixed right variable and weak\*-dense “topological centre”  $Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$ ; and
- (ii) there is a continuous homomorphism  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}} : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  mapping into  $Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$  such that
- (iii) the image of the unit ball of  $A$  under  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is weak\*-dense in the unit ball of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

We call  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  a left Arens product algebra over  $A$ . In [24] we called a pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  a left dual Banach algebra (LDBA) over  $A$  when  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Banach algebra and a dual space such that properties (i), (ii) and (iii) are satisfied. Motivated by the fundamental theorem from semigroup compactification theory stating that every right topological semigroup compactification of a locally compact group is a Gelfand compactification, we proved that, up to equivalence, every LDBA over  $A$  is a left Arens product algebra over  $A$  [24, Theorem 3.3].

In addition to the class of examples provided by the left Arens product algebras over  $A$ , the reader will likely be aware of several examples of pairs  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Banach algebra and a dual Banach space such that properties (i), (ii) and – a weaker version of statement (iii) –

- (iii’) the image of  $A$  under  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is weak\*-dense in  $\mathfrak{A}$

are satisfied. For instance, if  $A$  is any involutive Banach algebra and  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}} : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  is a \*-representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , letting  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote the von Neumann subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  generated by  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$ , the pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iii’). We will call any such pair,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$ , a homogeneous left dual Banach algebra (HLDBA) over  $A$ .

Our Gelfand-type representation theorem from [24] suggests that any HLDBA over  $A$  looks something like a left Arens product algebra, and in Section 3 we introduce the notion of a left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}})$  and an Arens-type product  $\square$  on  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  such that the pair  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$  is a HLDBA over  $A$ , where  $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$  is defined by  $\langle \eta_{\mathcal{S}}(a), \phi \rangle = \langle \phi, a \rangle$ . We call  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  a homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$  and we prove a new Gelfand-type representation theorem stating that every HLDBA over  $A$  is equivalent to a homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$ . By introducing a notion of subdirect product for HLDBAs over  $A$ , we also show that with respect to a natural ordering  $\leq$ , the partially ordered set  $(\mathcal{HLDB}(A), \leq)$  of all HLDBAs over  $A$  is a complete lattice. The notion of subdirect product in the category of semigroup compactifications is known to provide an efficient method of constructing universal semigroup compactifications [4]. The results in Section 3 are proved in the setting of operator spaces.

A particular example of a left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $L^1(G)^*$  is  $(A(G), \|\cdot\|_A)$ , the Fourier algebra of  $G$  with its Fourier norm. Thus,  $(A(G)^*, \eta_A)$  with the Arens-type product  $\square$  defined in Section 3 is an example of a HLDBA over  $L^1(G)$ . In this case, we can identify  $A(G)^*$  with  $VN(G)$ , the von Neumann subalgebra of  $B(L^2(G))$  generated by the left regular representation  $\{\lambda_2, L^2(G)\}$  of  $L^1(G)$ . In fact, the identifying map  $\Phi : A(G)^* \rightarrow VN(G)$  is a weak\*-continuous isometric algebra isomorphism with respect to  $\square$  such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_A = \lambda_2$ . In the language of this paper,  $(A(G)^*, \eta_A)$  and  $(VN(G), \lambda_2)$  are equivalent HLDBAs over  $L^1(G)$ , and  $\Phi$  is a weak\*-continuous isometric representation of the Banach algebra  $(A(G)^*, \square)$  on  $L^2(G)$ . The pair  $(A(G)^*, \eta_A)$  is one of many known examples of an HLDBA over  $A$  that can be isometrically represented as an algebra of operators on a Banach space  $E$ .

The main constructions and results of this paper are found in Section 4. Beginning with a completely contractive Banach algebra  $A$  and a completely contractive right operator  $A$ -module action  $Q : X \times A \rightarrow X$ , in Section 4.1 we construct the operator Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  together with an associated operator space matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_Q)$  is an operator left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \square)$  has a weak\*-continuous completely isometric representation as completely bounded mappings on  $X^*$ . We characterize  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  as the unique HLDBA over  $A$  with this property. Within the complete lattice  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$ , we characterize  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  as the minimum HLDBA over  $A$  with a weak\*-continuous completely contractive representation in  $CB(X^*)$  that extends the dual  $A$ -module action on  $X^*$  determined by  $Q$ . In Section 4.2, we introduce the corresponding construction of the Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$  and Fourier norm  $\|\cdot\|_q$  in the category of Banach spaces.

In Section 5, the theory developed in Sections 3 and 4 is applied to different module operations  $X \times A \rightarrow X$ . We provide new characterizations of some well-studied (operator) homogeneous left Arens product algebras over  $A$  and we recover – and often extend – some familiar results concerning weak\*-continuous (completely) isometric representations of these Banach algebras:

- When  $A$  is an involutive Banach algebra and  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , in Section 5.1 we introduce the Fourier space  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*), \|\cdot\|_\pi)$  and show that  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*), \square)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra that can be identified with  $VN_\pi$ , the von Neumann subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  generated by  $\pi$ , via a weak\*-continuous isometric  $*$ -isomorphism that extends  $\pi$ . When  $A = L^1(G)$  and  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is a continuous unitary representation of  $G$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*), \|\cdot\|_\pi)$  is the Arzac-Eymard Fourier space  $(A_\pi, \|\cdot\|_{B(G)})$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{B(G)}$  is the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra norm. Taking  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\} = \{\lambda_2, L^2(G)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  is thus the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  and we recover the identification of  $A(G)^*$  with  $VN(G)$  and, further, we are able to identify the product on  $VN(G)$  with  $\square$ .
- In Section 5.2, we recover the Figà-Talamanca–Herz spaces  $A_p(G)$  and the identification of  $A_p(G)^*$  with the operator subalgebra  $PM_{p'}(G)$  of  $B(L^{p'}(G))$  – the algebra of  $p'$ -pseudomeasures.
- Given a completely contractive Banach algebra  $A$  with a contractive right approximate identity, in Section 5.3 we recognize  $LUC(A^*)$ , the left introverted subspace of  $A^*$  comprised of left uniformly continuous functionals on  $A$ , as the Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  associated with the right module action  $Q$  of  $A$  on itself. This allows us to identify the completely contractive Banach algebra  $(LUC(A^*)^*, \square)$  with the weak\*-closed subalgebra  $CB_A(A^*)$  of completely bounded right  $A$ -module maps on  $A^*$  via a weak\*-homeomorphic completely isometric algebra isomorphism. This includes results that were pioneered by Curtis and Figà-Talamanca in [6], Lau in [15, 16], and extended in [13, 19], for various examples of  $A$ .
- By recognizing  $LUC(G)^*$  as the Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  associated to a natural  $L^1(G)$ -module action on the trace class operators on  $L^2(G)$ , in Section 5.4 we recover a result of M. Neufang that provides a completely isometric representation of  $LUC(G)^*$  as completely bounded mappings on  $B(L^2(G))$  [17, 18]. Moreover, we show that  $LUC(G)^*$  is characterized within the set (up to equivalence) of HLDBAs over  $A$  by the existence of such a representation.

## 2 Preliminary definitions and results

Let  $A$  be a (completely contractive) Banach algebra. If  $X$  is a Banach space, we will often use the notation  $x$ ,  $x^*$  and  $x^{**}$  for elements in  $X$ , the dual  $X^*$  and the bidual  $X^{**}$  without explanation; an element  $a$  or  $b$  will always belong to the Banach algebra  $A$ . By a (right, left, or bi-)  $A$ -module we will mean a Banach  $A$ -module. A right  $A$ -module  $X$  is *essential* if the closed linear span of  $X \cdot A$  is all of  $X$  and  $X$  is *neo-unital* when  $X = X \cdot A$ . By the Cohen factorization theorem [14, Theorem 32.22], when  $A$  has a right bounded approximate identity, the two concepts are the same. When  $X$  is a right (left)  $A$ -module, its dual space  $X^*$  becomes a *dual left (right)  $A$ -module* with products given by

$$\langle a \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot a \rangle \quad (\langle x^* \cdot a, x \rangle = \langle x^*, a \cdot x \rangle).$$

In particular,  $A^*$  will always be viewed as dual Banach  $A$ -module with respect to the operations

$$\langle a \cdot \phi, b \rangle = \langle \phi, ba \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle \phi, ab \rangle \quad \phi \in A^*.$$

Unless the codomain is a scalar field, all maps between normed linear spaces are assumed to be linear and (norm) continuous.

We denote the operator space projective tensor product [10, Ch. 7] of operator spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  by  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$  and will use the notation  $X \otimes^\gamma Y$  to denote a Banach space projective tensor product. To aid the reader, we will typically use upper-case script –  $P$ ,  $Q$ , etc. – to denote maps employed when working in the category of operator spaces and lower-case script –  $p$ ,  $q$ , etc. – when working in the category of Banach spaces.

Let  $m : X \times Y \rightarrow Z : (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$  be a bounded bilinear map. The (first) Arens transpose of  $m$  is the bounded bilinear map

$$m' : Z^* \times X \rightarrow Y^* : (z^*, x) \mapsto z^* \cdot x$$

where

$$\langle m'(z^*, x), y \rangle = \langle z^*, m(x, y) \rangle; \quad \text{or} \quad \langle z^* \cdot x, y \rangle = \langle z^*, x \cdot y \rangle$$

[1]. The second Arens transpose of  $m$  is the bounded bilinear map

$$m^2 : Y \times Z^* \rightarrow X^* : (y, z^*) \mapsto y \cdot z^*$$

where

$$\langle m^2(y, z^*), x \rangle = \langle z^*, m(x, y) \rangle; \quad \text{or} \quad \langle y \cdot z^*, x \rangle = \langle z^*, x \cdot y \rangle.$$

More information about  $m^2$ , and its relation with  $m'$ , can be found in [24].

We will often use the following readily verified facts. The short argument found on page 309 of [10] can be used to prove the first statement and the second statement is established in the proof of [10, Proposition 7.1.2].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be operator spaces,  $m : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  a completely contractive bilinear map. Then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $m'$  and  $m^2$  are completely contractive;
- (b) for  $x \in X$ , the map  $m_x : Y \rightarrow Z$  defined by  $m_x(y) = m(x, y)$  is c.b. with  $\|m_x\|_{cb} \leq \|x\|$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is a Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity and  $X$  is a contractive right Banach  $A$ -module via  $(x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a$ . Then the Cohen Factorization Theorem, as stated in Theorem 32.22 of [14], implies that  $Z = X \cdot A$  is a closed  $A$ -submodule of  $X$  and, moreover,

$$Z_{\|\cdot\| < 1} \subseteq X_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1} \cdot A_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1} \subseteq Z_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}.$$

(Taking  $z \in Z$  with  $\|z\| < 1$ ,  $\delta = 1 - \|z\| > 0$ . Then  $z = x \cdot a$  where  $\|x - z\| \leq \delta$  – hence  $\|x\| \leq 1$  – and  $\|a\| \leq d = 1$ .) We now state an operator space version of this result due to P.J. Cohen on the factorization of modules.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a c.c. Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity and  $X$  is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module via  $(x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a$ . Then  $Z = X \cdot A$  is a closed  $A$ -submodule of  $X$  and for each positive integer  $n$ ,*

$$M_n(Z)_{\|\cdot\| < 1} \subseteq \{[x_{k,l} \cdot a] : [x_{k,l}] \in M_n(X)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}, a \in A_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}\} \subseteq M_n(Z)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}.$$

*Proof.* As in the preceding paragraph,  $Z = X \cdot A$  is a closed  $A$ -submodule of  $X$ . Since  $(x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a$  is a c.c.,  $([x_{k,l}], a) \mapsto [x_{k,l}] \cdot a := [x_{k,l} \cdot a]$  defines a contractive  $A$ -module action on  $M_n(X)$  so, by observing that  $M_n(X) \cdot A = M_n(X \cdot A)$ , one sees that the corollary follows from the phrasing of the Cohen Factorization Theorem provided above.  $\square$

When working in the category of operator spaces, we will often use the abbreviations c.b. in place of completely bounded and c.c. in place of completely contractive/complete contraction. All undefined concepts from the theory of operator spaces can be found in [10] and [20].

### 3 Arens product algebras and introverted homogeneous spaces

Let  $A$  be a (completely contractive) Banach algebra. The following definition is motivated by the definition found on page 105 of [22].

**Definition 3.1.** A pair  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}})$ , where  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is a linear subspace of  $A^*$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a complete (operator space matrix) norm on  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$ , will be called an (*operator*) *left homogeneous* subspace of  $A^*$  when the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) the embedding  $\mathcal{S}(A^*) \hookrightarrow A^*$  is a (complete) contraction;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is a right  $A$ -submodule of  $A^*$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is a (completely) contractive right (operator)  $A$ -module with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}(A^*) \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*) \tag{1}$$

is a (complete) contraction.

Observe that the first Arens transpose map of (1),

$$\mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{S}(A^*) \rightarrow A^* : (\mu, \phi) \mapsto \mu \square \phi, \quad \langle \mu \square \phi, a \rangle = \langle \mu, \phi \cdot a \rangle \tag{2}$$

is also (completely) contractive by Lemma 2.1. We will say that  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}})$  is (*operator*) *left introverted* if

(iii) the range of the map described in (2) is contained in  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  and, moreover,

$$\mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{S}(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*) : (\mu, \phi) \mapsto \mu \square \phi \quad (3)$$

is (completely) contractive with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  and the associated dual operator space structure – which we will also denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  – on  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ .

(Operator) right introverted homogeneous subspaces of  $A^*$  are similarly defined.

Note that a homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  will often fail to be closed in  $A^*$ . When  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is the dual (operator space matrix) subspace norm  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  on a closed subspace  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  of  $A^*$ , our definition of a left introverted homogeneous space agrees with the usual definition of a left introverted subspace of  $A^*$  [8, 11]. The statement of the next proposition includes the introduction of some notation and terminology.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}})$  be a (operator) left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ .*

(a)  *$(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}, \square)$  is a (c.c.) Banach algebra with respect to the first Arens product*

$$\square : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* : (\mu, \nu) \mapsto \mu \square \nu \quad \text{defined by} \quad \langle \mu \square \nu, \phi \rangle = \langle \mu, \nu \square \phi \rangle,$$

*and the map*

$$\eta_{\mathcal{S}} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \quad \text{defined by} \quad \langle \eta_{\mathcal{S}}(a), \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^* - \mathcal{S}} = \langle \phi, a \rangle_{A^* - A}$$

*is a (c.c.) homomorphism with weak\*-dense range in  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ . Moreover, for each  $\nu \in \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  and  $a \in A$ , the maps*

$$\mu \mapsto \mu \square \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \mapsto \eta_{\mathcal{S}}(a) \square \mu$$

*are wk\*-wk\* continuous on  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ .*

(b) *Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$  denote the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closure of  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  in  $A^*$ . Then  $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{A^*})$  is a (operator) left introverted subspace of  $A^*$  and the embedding  $\mathcal{S}(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$  is a (complete) contraction.*

(c) *Let  $(\mathcal{T}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}})$  be another (operator) left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(A^*)$  as a (complete) contraction if and only if there is a wk\*-continuous (complete) contraction  $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathcal{T}} = \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$ ; the operator  $\Phi$  is necessarily a wk\*-dense range homomorphism.*

*Proof.* We will provide the operator space version of the proof.

(a) Being the first Arens transpose of the map (3),  $\square$  is c.c. and bilinear. Associativity is readily established from the definition (and follows the same calculations used to establish associativity of  $\square$  on  $A^{**}$  found, for example, in Section 2.6 of [7]). Observe that  $\eta_{\mathcal{S}} = \iota^* \circ \widehat{\phantom{\eta_{\mathcal{S}}}}$  where  $\widehat{\phantom{\eta_{\mathcal{S}}}} : A \hookrightarrow A^{**}$  is the canonical embedding and  $\iota : \mathcal{S}(A^*) \hookrightarrow A^*$ . Since both  $\widehat{\phantom{\eta_{\mathcal{S}}}}$  and  $\Phi = \iota^*$  have wk\*-dense range and  $\Phi$  is wk\*-continuous,  $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$  also has wk\*-dense range and, as a composition of complete contractions,  $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$  is c.c.. It is easy to directly check that  $\eta_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a homomorphism (or note that both  $\widehat{\phantom{\eta_{\mathcal{S}}}}$  and  $\iota^*$  – using (c) –  $\Phi$  are homomorphisms).

(b) It is obvious that  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$ , the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closure of the  $A$ -submodule  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  of  $A^*$ , satisfies both properties of an operator left homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ . It follows that  $\square : \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*) \rightarrow A^*$  is c.c., so we only need to establish that  $\mu \square \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$  whenever  $\mu \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)^*$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$ . To see this, let  $(\phi_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  such that  $\|\phi_n - \phi\|_{A^*} \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\|\mu \square \phi_n - \mu \square \phi\|_{A^*} \rightarrow 0$  as well. Since  $\iota : \mathcal{S}(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$  is a (complete) contraction,  $\iota^*(\mu) \in \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  and, from our assumption that  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is left introverted,  $\mu \square \phi_n = \iota^*(\mu) \square \phi_n \in \mathcal{S}(A^*)$  for each  $n$ . Hence,  $\mu \square \phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(A^*)$ , as needed.

(c) If the identity embedding  $\iota : (\mathcal{S}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{T}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}})$  is a c.c., then it is easy to check that its dual map  $\Phi = \iota^*$  has all of the desired properties. Conversely, if  $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  is a wk\*-continuous complete contraction such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathcal{T}} = \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$ , then its predual map  $\Phi_* : \mathcal{S}(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(A^*)$  is the identity embedding.  $\square$

When  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \square)$  is a (operator) left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ , we will refer to  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \square)$  as a (operator) homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$ ; as in [24], we will call  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \square)$  a (operator) left Arens product algebra over  $A$  when  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a (c.c.) Banach algebra with a fixed (operator space) predual  $\mathfrak{A}_*$ . The pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  will be called a (operator) homogeneous left dual Banach algebra – (operator) HLDBA – over  $A$  if

- (i) for each  $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mu \mapsto \mu\nu : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is wk\* – wk\* continuous; and
- (ii)  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}} : A \rightarrow Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$  is a (completely) contractive homomorphism with weak\*-dense range in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , where

$$Z_t(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{A} : \nu \mapsto \mu\nu \text{ is wk}^* - \text{wk}^* \text{ continuous on } \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is the *topological centre* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

If, further,  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(A_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1})$  is weak\*-dense in  $\mathfrak{A}_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$ , then  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_A)$  is called a LDBA over  $A$  [24].

Observe that  $\mathfrak{A}_*$  is a left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ ,  $Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$  is a norm-closed subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and, viewing  $\mathfrak{A}$  as a left  $Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$ -module via multiplication,  $\mathfrak{A}_*$  is a closed right  $Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$ -submodule of the dual module  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ . If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  are (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$ , we will write  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq (\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  if there is a wk\* – wk\* continuous (complete) contraction  $\Phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , and we call  $\Phi$  a homomorphism of (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$ . We call  $\Phi$  an isomorphism of (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$  when it can be chosen to be a surjective (completely) isometric isomorphism; in this case we write  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \cong (\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  and say that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  are equivalent.

Observe that on any set of (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$ ,  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation,  $\leq$  is transitive, our definition of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \geq (\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is consistent with [24, Def. 3.2], and the intertwining map  $\Phi$  is, as in the LDBA situation, necessarily a weak\*-dense range homomorphism. However, when  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is not a LDBA over  $A$ ,  $\Phi$  may fail to be a surjection.

To see this, let  $G$  be an infinite locally compact group,  $\lambda_{L^1(G)} : L^1(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G))$  the left regular representation of  $L^1(G)$ ,  $VN(G)$  the von Neumann subalgebra of  $B(L^2(G))$  generated by  $\lambda_{L^1(G)}$ . Then  $(VN(G), \lambda_{L^1(G)})$  is an example of an HLDBA over  $L^1(G)$  that is not an LDBA (over  $L^1(G)$ ). Since  $C_0(G)$ , the continuous functions on  $G$  that

vanish at infinity, is a closed introverted subspace of  $L^\infty(G) = L^1(G)^*$ ,  $(M(G), \eta_{C_0})$  is an LDBA over  $L^1(G)$ . Letting  $\iota$  denote the identity embedding of the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  into  $C_0(G)$ ,  $\Phi = \iota^* : M(G) \rightarrow VN(G)$  is a weak\*-continuous contraction such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{C_0} = \lambda_{L^1(G)}$ ; hence  $(M(G), \eta_{C_0}) \geq (VN(G), \lambda_{L^1(G)})$ . Note however that  $\Phi$  is the left regular representation  $\lambda_{M(G)}$  of  $M(G)$ , which is not surjective. Examples of this type are examined in greater generality in Sections 4 and 5.

The Gelfand representation theorem shows that every right topological semigroup compactification of a locally compact group is a Gelfand compactification and, analogously, [24, Theorem 3.3] showed that every LDBA over  $A$  is a left Arens product algebra over  $A$  (and conversely), thus providing an abstract characterization of the left Arens product algebras. By Proposition 3.2, every (operator) homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$  is a (operator) HLDBA over  $A$ . We now establish the converse.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Every (operator) HLDBA over  $A$  is equivalent to a unique (operator) homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  be an HLDBA over  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  be the linear subspace  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{A}_*})$  of  $A^*$  and consider the surjection  $\Phi_* := \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}^* \circ \widehat{\cdot} : \mathfrak{A}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)$ , where  $\widehat{\cdot} : \mathfrak{A}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^*$  is the canonical embedding. Observe that  $\Phi_*$  is also injective because  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$  has weak\*-dense image in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  separates points in  $\mathfrak{A}_*$ . We can therefore define a complete (operator space matrix) norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  so that  $\Phi_*$  is a (complete) isometry. The map  $\Phi := (\Phi_*)^* : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  is then a weak\*-continuous (complete) isometry such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathcal{S}} = \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . In the operator space situation, we now show that  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}})$  satisfies the three axioms of Definition 3.1.

(i) Let  $\phi = (\Phi_*)_n(\psi) \in M_n(\mathcal{S}(A^*))$ , where  $\psi = [\psi_{i,j}] \in M_n(\mathfrak{A}_*)$ . Observe that  $\Phi_* = \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}^* \circ \widehat{\cdot}$  is a complete contraction when viewed as a map of  $\mathfrak{A}_*$  into  $A^*$ , so

$$\|\phi\|_{A^*} = \|(\Phi_*)_n(\psi)\|_{A^*} \leq \|\psi\|_{\mathfrak{A}_*} = \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}}.$$

(ii) For  $a \in A$  and  $\psi \in \mathfrak{A}_*$ ,  $\psi \cdot \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \in \mathfrak{A}_*$  since  $\mathfrak{A}_*$  is a right  $Z_t(\mathfrak{A})$ -submodule of  $\mathfrak{A}^*$ . Moreover, a calculation shows that  $\Phi_*(\psi) \cdot a = \Phi_*(\psi \cdot \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a))$ , so  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is a right  $A$ -submodule of  $A^*$ . To see that this module action is a c.c. with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$ , let  $\phi = (\Phi_*)_r(\psi) \in M_r(\mathcal{S}(A^*))$ , where  $\psi = [\psi_{i,j}] \in M_r(\mathfrak{A}_*)$ , and  $a = [a_{k,l}] \in M_r(A)$ . Since  $\Phi_*$  is a complete isometry,  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  is a c.c. dual operator  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module and  $\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a c.c., we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\phi_{i,j} \cdot a_{k,l}]\|_{\mathcal{S}} &= \|[\Phi_*(\psi_{i,j} \cdot \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_{k,l}))]\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \|[\psi_{i,j} \cdot \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_{k,l})]\|_{\mathfrak{A}_*} \\ &\leq \|[\psi_{i,j}]\|_{\mathfrak{A}_*} \|[\eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_{k,l})]\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \|\psi\|_{\mathfrak{A}_*} \|a_{k,l}\|_A \\ &= \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}} \|a\|_A \end{aligned}$$

as needed.

(iii) For  $\mu \in \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  and  $\psi \in \mathfrak{A}_*$ ,  $\Phi(\mu) \cdot \psi \in \mathfrak{A}_*$  and the calculation from the second paragraph of the proof of [24, Thm. 3.3] shows that  $\mu \square \Phi_*(\psi) = \Phi_*(\Phi(\mu) \cdot \psi)$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$ . Since  $\Phi_*$  and  $\Phi$  are complete isometries, and the left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module action on  $\mathfrak{A}_*$  is a c.c., an argument similar to the one used above to establish condition (ii) shows that

$$\mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{S}(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*) : (\mu, \phi) \mapsto \mu \square \phi$$



is a complete contraction.

Hence,  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$  is an operator homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$  and  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \cong (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$ . To establish uniqueness, suppose that  $(\mathcal{T}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}})$  is any operator left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  such that  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}}) \cong (\mathcal{T}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{T}})$ . Let  $\Psi : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(A^*)^*$  be a weak\*-continuous complete isometry such that  $\Psi \circ \eta_{\mathcal{S}} = \eta_{\mathcal{T}}$ . Then, as noted in the proof of Proposition 3.2(c), the predual map  $\Psi_* : \mathcal{T}(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is the identity embedding. Hence,  $\mathcal{T}(A^*) = \mathcal{S}(A^*)$  and, since  $\Psi_*$  is a complete isometry, the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  are equal.  $\square$

Given a (operator) HLDBA  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$ , we let  $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}})$  be the (operator) left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  such that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \cong (\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}})$ . Observe that by Theorem 3.4 we can now, up to equivalence, view the class of all (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$  as a set, denoted  $\mathcal{HLDBA}(A)$ , and  $(\mathcal{HLDBA}(A), \leq)$  is a partially ordered set.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  be (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \leq (\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A^*)$  and the embedding  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A^*)$  is a (complete) contraction.*

*Proof.* Since  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \leq (\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  exactly when  $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{B}}}) \leq (\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}})$ , this is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2(c).  $\square$

**Remarks 3.6.** Given any (operator) HLDBA  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$ , we observe that  $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})$  is the minimum LDBA  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  over  $A$  such that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \leq (\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$ . This is a consequence of Proposition 3.2, Corollary 3.5 and [24, Thm. 3.3].

The author has shown that  $(\mathcal{LD}(A), \leq)$  is a complete lattice by using the representation theorem for LDBAs, [24, Theorem 3.3]. However, it is not obvious that Theorem 3.4 can be used in a similar way to prove that  $(\mathcal{HLDBA}(A), \leq)$  is a complete lattice. With this in mind, and motivated by the corresponding notion found in the theory of semigroup compactifications [4], we now introduce the construction of the subdirect product of a set of (operator) HLDBAs. Although we will focus our discussion on operator HLDBAs, it will be clear that the construction also works in the category of Banach spaces.

Let  $\{(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i) : i \in I\}$  be a collection of operator HLDBAs over  $A$ . Let  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i = \ell^\infty - \oplus_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$  be the product operator space, as defined in [10, Section 3.1] and [20, Section 2.6]:

$$\|\mu\|_{M_n} = \sup_{i \in I} \|[\mu_{k,l}(i)]\|_{M_n(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \quad \text{for } \mu = [\mu_{k,l}] = [(\mu_{k,l}(i))_{i \in I}] \in M_n \left( \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i \right).$$

Then  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$  is the dual operator space of  $\ell^1 - \oplus (\mathfrak{A}_i)_*$ , e.g. see [20, 2.6.1], and with respect to the product defined by

$$\mu\nu = (\mu_i)_{i \in I} (\nu_i)_{i \in I} = (\mu_i \nu_i)_{i \in I},$$

one can check that  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$  is a c.c. Banach algebra.

Define  $\eta_{\mathcal{V}} : A \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$  by  $\eta_{\mathcal{V}}(a) = (\eta_i(a))_{i \in I}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{V}}$  denote the weak\*-closure of  $\eta_{\mathcal{V}}(A)$  in  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ . Since each  $\eta_i$  is a homomorphism, so is  $\eta_{\mathcal{V}}$ . For any  $a \in M_n(A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\eta_{\mathcal{V}})_n(a)\| &= \|[\eta_{\mathcal{V}}(a_{k,l})]\| = \|[(\eta_i(a_{k,l}))_{i \in I}]\| \\ &= \sup_{i \in I} \|(\eta_i)_n(a)\|_{M_n(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \leq \|a\| \end{aligned}$$

since each  $\eta_i$  is a complete contraction; hence,  $\eta_V$  is a complete contraction.

Observe that

$$P_j : \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_j : (\mu_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \mu_j$$

is a weak\*-continuous completely contractive homomorphism, so

$$\text{for each } j \in I \quad P_j \mu_\alpha \rightarrow P_j \mu \quad \text{weak}^* \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}_j \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{weak}^* \text{ in } \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the converse of this last statement holds when the net  $(\mu_\alpha)$  is bounded in  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ . Hence, a bounded mapping  $\Phi : E^* \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$  is weak\*-continuous on the unit ball of the dual Banach space  $E^*$ , and is therefore weak\*-continuous on all of  $E^*$ , if and only if  $P_j \circ \Phi$  is weak\*-continuous on  $E^*$  for each  $j \in I$ .

Since each operator HLDBA  $(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i)$  satisfies properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.3, it follows from these observations that for each  $\nu \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$  and  $a \in A$ , the maps

$$\mu \mapsto \mu\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \mapsto \eta_V(a)\nu \tag{4}$$

are weak\* continuous on  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ . From this we see that  $\mathfrak{A}_V$  is a c.c. Banach subalgebra of  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ : for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{A}_V$ , if  $\mu = \text{w}^* - \lim \eta_V(a_\gamma)$  and  $\nu = \text{w}^* - \lim \eta_V(b_\delta)$ , then for each  $\gamma$ ,

$$\eta_V(a_\gamma)\nu = \text{w}^* - \lim_{\delta} \eta_V(a_\gamma)\eta_V(b_\delta) = \text{w}^* - \lim_{\delta} \eta_V(a_\gamma b_\delta)$$

belongs to  $\mathfrak{A}_V$ , whence

$$\mu\nu = \text{w}^* - \lim_{\gamma} \eta_V(a_\gamma)\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_V.$$

As a weak\*-closed subspace of the dual operator space  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_V$  with its subspace operator space structure is itself a dual operator space – e.g., by [20, Proposition 2.4.2] – and the weak\*-topology on  $\mathfrak{A}_V$  agrees with the relative weak\*-topology inherited from  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ . Since the maps (4) are weak\*-continuous on  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i$ , they are also weak\*-continuous on  $\mathfrak{A}_V$ .

We have established that  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  is an operator HLDBA over  $A$ . We call  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  the *subdirect product* of  $\{(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i) : i \in I\}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *The subdirect product  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  is the supremum of  $\{(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i) : i \in I\}$  in  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$ . Hence,  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$  is a complete lattice with maximum element equal to  $A^{**}$  and minimum element equal to the trivial Banach algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi_j$  denote the restriction of  $P_j$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_V$ . Since  $P_j$  is weak\*-continuous and a c.c., so is  $\Pi_j$ . Moreover,  $\Pi_j \circ \eta_V = \eta_j$ , so  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V) \geq (\mathfrak{A}_j, \eta_j)$ . Suppose now that  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is an operator HLDBA over  $A$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \geq (\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i)$ . Let  $\Phi_i : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_i$  be a weak\*-continuous c.c. such that  $\Phi_i \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{B}} = \eta_i$  and define

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i \quad \text{by} \quad \Phi(\mu) = (\Phi_i(\mu))_{i \in I}.$$

Since each  $\Phi_i$  is a c.c., the justification given above to show that  $\eta_V$  is a c.c. shows that  $\Phi$  is a c.c. as well. For each  $j \in I$ ,  $P_j \circ \Phi = \Phi_j$  is weak\*-continuous, which – as noted above – implies that  $\Phi$  is weak\*-continuous on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Since  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{B}} = \eta_V$ , weak\*-density of  $\eta_{\mathfrak{B}}(A)$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$  implies that  $\Phi(\mathfrak{B})$  is contained in  $\overline{\eta_V(A)}^{\text{wk}^*} = \mathfrak{A}_V$ . Hence,  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \geq (\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$ . The infimum of  $\{(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i) : i \in I\}$  in  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$  is the supremum of the nonempty set of all lower bounds of  $\{(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i) : i \in I\}$ .  $\square$

The fundamental existence theorem for universal  $P$ -compactifications of locally compact groups [4, Theorem 3.4] demonstrates the importance of subdirect products in the construction of universal semigroup  $P$ -compactifications. We call an (operator) HLDBA  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$  a  $P$ -extension of  $A$  if  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  has the property  $P$  of (operator) HLDBAs, and say that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is a (or *the*, up to equivalence) *universal  $P$ -extension of  $A$*  if, further,  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \leq (\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  whenever  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  is a  $P$ -extension of  $A$ . It seems worth noting that as a corollary to Theorems 3.4 and 3.7 we have the following version of [4, Theorem 3.4] for (operator) HLDBAs.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $P$  be a property of (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$  such  $P$  is invariant under isomorphisms of (operator) HLDBAs.*

- (a) *If  $P$  is invariant under subdirect products, then  $A$  has a universal  $P$ -extension.*
- (b) *If  $A$  has a universal  $P$ -extension and  $P$  is invariant under homomorphisms of (operator) HLDBAs over  $A$ , then  $P$  is invariant under subdirect products.*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  be the subdirect product of the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of all (operator) homogeneous left Arens product algebras  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$  over  $A$  for which  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$  is a  $P$ -extension of  $A$ . By hypothesis  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  is a  $P$ -extension of  $A$ , and if  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is any  $P$ -extension of  $A$ , then by Theorem 3.4  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is equivalent to some  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . By Theorem 3.7,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \leq (\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$ , so  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  is the universal  $P$ -extension of  $A$ .

(b) Let  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}})$  be the universal  $P$ -extension of  $A$  and let  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  be the subdirect product of a set  $\{(\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i) : i \in I\}$  of  $P$ -extensions of  $A$ . For each  $i \in I$ ,  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \geq (\mathfrak{A}_i, \eta_i)$ , so  $(\mathfrak{B}, \eta_{\mathfrak{B}}) \geq (\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  by Theorem 3.7; by hypothesis  $(\mathfrak{A}_V, \eta_V)$  is a  $P$ -extension of  $A$ .  $\square$

## 4 The Fourier spaces $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ and $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$

Given any (completely contractive) Banach algebra  $A$  and any (completely) contractive right (operator)  $A$ -module action  $q : X \times A \rightarrow X$  ( $Q : X \times A \rightarrow X$ ), we will now introduce the associated (operator) Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$  ( $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ ). Since showing that  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is an operator left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  involves more work than establishing the corresponding statement for  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ , we will begin by focussing on the operator space situation.

### 4.1 The operator Fourier space $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$

Throughout this subsection,  $A$  is a c.c. Banach algebra and  $X$  is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module through the action

$$Q : X \times A \rightarrow X : (x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$Q' : X^* \times X \rightarrow A^* : (x^*, x) \mapsto x^* \cdot x \quad \text{where} \quad \langle x^* \cdot x, a \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot a \rangle$$

is also c.c.; thus,  $Q'$  induces a complete contraction

$$P : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow A^* : x^* \otimes x \mapsto x^* \cdot x.$$

Since  $X$  is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module and  $X^*$  is a c.c. left operator (dual)  $A$ -module, the operator space projective tensor product  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X$  becomes a c.c. operator  $A$ -bimodule in canonical fashion (cf. [7, Theorem 2.6.4]) and  $P$  is an  $A$ -bimodule map. Hence, the kernel  $N$  of  $P$  is a closed  $A$ -submodule of  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X$  and the map  $P_N$  determined by the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^* \widehat{\otimes} X / N & \xrightarrow{P_N} & A^* \\ \Pi_N \uparrow & \nearrow P & \\ X^* \widehat{\otimes} X & & \end{array}$$

is also a c.c.  $A$ -bimodule map into the dual  $A$ -bimodule  $A^*$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) := P(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X) = P_N(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X / N)$$

and give  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  the quotient operator space matrix norm,  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ , inherited from  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X / N$  through the linear isomorphism  $P_N$ . Thus, for  $\phi = [\phi_{i,j}] \in M_n(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*))$ ,

$$\|\phi\|_Q = \inf\{\|\xi\|_\wedge : \xi = [\xi_{i,j}] \in M_n(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X) \text{ and } P_n(\xi) = \phi\}. \quad (5)$$

We will call  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  the *operator Fourier space* associated with  $Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  is its *Fourier operator space matrix norm*.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The pair  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_Q)$  is an operator left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that the three axioms of Definition 3.1 are satisfied.

(i) Let  $\phi = [\phi_{i,j}] \in M_n(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*))$ , say  $\phi = [P(\xi_{i,j})] = P_n(\xi)$ . Since  $P : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow A^*$  is a c.c. map,  $\|\phi\|_{A^*} = \|P_n(\xi)\|_{A^*} \leq \|\xi\|_\wedge$ . Hence,  $\|\phi\|_{A^*} \leq \|\phi\|_Q$  follows from the formula (5).

(ii) Since  $N$  is a closed  $A$ -submodule of  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X$ , it is easy to check – and will be well known – that  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X / N$  is also a c.c. operator  $A$ -bimodule. As  $P_N$  is a completely isometric  $A$ -bimodule isomorphism of  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X / N$  onto  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ , property (ii) is obvious.

(iii) From (ii),  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is a c.c. and therefore so is its first Arens transpose

$$\square_{A^*} : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \rightarrow A^* : (\mu, \phi) \mapsto \mu \square \phi. \quad (6)$$

To establish (iii), we must first show that  $\square_{A^*}$  maps into  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ . To this end, observe that  $Q' : X^* \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is c.c. since  $P = P_N \circ \Pi_N : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is a c.c. map. Hence

$$\Psi = Q'' : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \times X^* \rightarrow X^* : (\mu, x^*) \mapsto \mu \cdot x^* \quad \text{where } \langle \mu \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot x \rangle \quad (7)$$

is also completely contractive. Fixing  $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ ,  $\Psi_\mu(x^*) := \Psi(\mu, x^*)$  defines a c.b. map on  $X^*$  with  $\|\Psi_\mu\|_{cb} \leq \|\mu\|$ , whence

$$\Psi_\mu \otimes \text{id}_X : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow X^* \widehat{\otimes} X$$

is also c.b.. We *claim* that for any  $\phi = P(\xi) \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ ,

$$\mu \square \phi = P \circ (\Psi_\mu \otimes \text{id}_X)(\xi) \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*).$$

Since both  $\xi \mapsto \mu \square P(\xi)$  and  $P \circ (\Psi_\mu \otimes \text{id}_X)$  are continuous linear maps of  $X^* \widehat{\otimes} X$  into  $A^*$  – using (6) and the continuity of  $P$  when viewed as a map into either  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  or  $A^*$  – it suffices to establish the claim for  $\xi = x^* \otimes x$ . To see this, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu \square (x^* \cdot x), a \rangle_{A^* - A} &= \langle \mu, (x^* \cdot x) \cdot a \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_Q^* - \mathcal{F}_Q} = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot (x \cdot a) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_Q^* - \mathcal{F}_Q} \\ &= \langle \mu \cdot x^*, x \cdot a \rangle_{X^* - X} = \langle (\mu \cdot x^*) \cdot x, a \rangle_{A^* - A}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

so

$$\mu \square \phi = \mu \square P(\xi) = \mu \square (x^* \cdot x) = (\mu \cdot x^*) \cdot x = P(\Psi_\mu \otimes \text{id}_X(\xi)) \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$$

as needed.

Finally, we will show that

$$\square : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \times \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$$

is c.c. with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ . Let

$$\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \widehat{\otimes} X^* \rightarrow X^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\square}_{A^*} : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \rightarrow A^*$$

be the c.c. linearization mappings of  $\Psi$  and  $\square_{A^*}$  respectively. From (i), each of the maps in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \widehat{\otimes} (X^* \widehat{\otimes} X) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{T} & (\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \widehat{\otimes} X^*) \widehat{\otimes} X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi} \otimes \text{id}_X} & X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \\ \downarrow \text{id} \otimes P & & & & \downarrow P \\ \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\square}_{A^*}} & & & A^* \\ & & & & \downarrow \iota \\ & & & & \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \end{array}$$

is a c.c. Moreover, it follows from (8) that the diagram commutes on elementary tensors  $\mu \otimes (x^* \otimes x)$  and therefore commutes on all of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \widehat{\otimes} (X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)$ . Thus, for  $(\mu, \xi) \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \times (X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)$ ,  $\mu \square P\xi \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  and

$$\mu \square P\xi = \tilde{\square}_{A^*} \circ (\text{id} \otimes P)(\mu \otimes \xi) = P \circ (\tilde{\Psi} \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ T(\mu \otimes \xi).$$

Let  $r$  and  $s$  be positive integers, and consider

$$\square_{r;s} : M_r(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*) \times M_s(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)) \rightarrow M_{r \times s}(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)).$$

Let  $\mu = [\mu_{i,j}] \in M_r(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*)$ ,  $\phi = [\phi_{k,l}] \in M_s(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*))$ , and take any  $\xi = [\xi_{k,l}] \in M_s(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)$  such that  $P_s \xi = \phi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\square_{r;s}(\mu, \phi)\|_Q &= \|[\mu_{i,j} \square P \xi_{k,l}]\|_Q = \|P_{r \times s} \circ (\tilde{\Psi} \otimes \text{id}_X)_{r \times s} \circ T_{r \times s}[\mu_{i,j} \otimes \xi_{k,l}]\|_Q \\ &\leq \|[\mu_{i,j} \otimes \xi_{k,l}]\|_\wedge = \|\mu \otimes \xi\|_\wedge = \|\mu\| \|\xi\|_\wedge. \end{aligned}$$

The formula (5) now yields  $\|\square_{r;s}(\mu, \phi)\|_Q \leq \|\mu\| \|\phi\|_Q$ . Hence,  $\square$  is a complete contraction.  $\square$

Hence, the Fourier dual  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \square)$  is an operator homogeneous left Arens product algebra over  $A$ . We will denote the embedding homomorphism  $\eta_{\mathcal{F}_Q} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  by  $\eta_Q$ . We begin our study of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  by showing that it can be identified with a weak\*-closed subalgebra of  $CB(X^*)$ , where the weak\*-topology on  $CB(X^*)$  is, as usual, defined through its canonical identification with  $(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$  [10, Cor. 7.1.5].

The left dual  $A$ -module action on  $X^*$ ,  $Q^2 : A \times X^* \rightarrow X^*$  (as defined in Section 2), is a c.c. map and so

$$\Gamma_Q : A \rightarrow CB(X^*), \quad \Gamma_Q(a)(x^*) = a \cdot x^*$$

defines a representation of  $A$  on  $X^*$  such that  $\|\Gamma_Q(a)\|_{cb} \leq \|a\|$ . Let

$$M_Q = \overline{\Gamma_Q(A)}^{\text{wk}^*} \preceq CB(X^*)$$

be the weak\*-closed operator subalgebra of  $CB(X^*)$  generated by  $\Gamma_Q$ . As noted in the proof of Theorem 4.1 – see (7) –

$$\Psi : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \times X^* \rightarrow X^* : (\mu, x^*) \mapsto \mu \cdot x^*$$

is a completely contractive bilinear map. It follows that  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$  maps  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  into  $CB(X^*)$  where

$$\check{\Gamma}_Q(\mu)(x^*) = \mu \cdot x^*$$

and  $\|\check{\Gamma}_Q(\mu)\|_{cb} \leq \|\mu\|$ . We now observe that  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$  is a weak\*-continuous completely isometric extension of  $\Gamma_Q$  to a representation of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  as c.b. operators on  $X^*$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *The following statements hold.*

(a) *The bilinear map*

$$\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \times X^* \rightarrow X^* : (\mu, x^*) \mapsto \mu \cdot x^* \quad \text{where} \quad \langle \mu \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot x \rangle$$

*defines a c.c. left operator  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ -module action on  $X^*$  such that for each  $x^* \in X^*$*

$$\mu \mapsto \mu \cdot x^* : X^* \rightarrow X^*$$

*is weak\*-continuous and  $\eta_Q(a) \cdot x^* = a \cdot x^*$ .*

(b) *The map  $\check{\Gamma}_Q : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  is a weak\*-continuous completely isometric algebra isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  onto  $M_Q$  such that  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \eta_Q = \Gamma_Q$ .*

*Proof.* (a) We have already observed that the bilinear map  $(\mu, x^*) \mapsto \mu \cdot x^*$  is a complete contraction. For  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ ,

$$\langle (\mu \square \nu) \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle \mu \square \nu, x^* \cdot x \rangle = \langle \mu, \nu \square (x^* \cdot x) \rangle = \langle \mu, (\nu \cdot x^*) \cdot x \rangle = \langle \mu \cdot (\nu \cdot x^*), x \rangle,$$

where we have used the calculation (8). Also,

$$\langle \eta_Q(a) \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle \eta_Q(a), x^* \cdot x \rangle = \langle x^* \cdot x, a \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot a \rangle = \langle a \cdot x^*, x \rangle.$$

(b) From our definition of  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ ,  $P : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  is a complete quotient map, so  $P^* : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \rightarrow (X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$  is a weak\*-continuous complete isometry, as is the canonical mapping  $\lambda : (X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)^* \rightarrow CB(X^*)$ . For  $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ ,

$$\langle \lambda \circ P^*(\mu)(x^*), x \rangle = \langle P^*(\mu), x^* \otimes x \rangle = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot x \rangle = \langle \mu \cdot x^*, x \rangle,$$

so  $\check{\Gamma}_Q = \lambda \circ P^*$  is a weak\*-continuous complete isometry of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  into  $CB(X^*)$ . From part (a),  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$  is an algebra isomorphism and  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \eta_Q = \Gamma_Q$ . Since  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$  is weak\*-continuous with norm-closed range, its range is also weak\*-closed in  $CB(X^*)$ , see e.g. [5, Theorem VI.1.10]. As  $\Gamma_Q(A) = \check{\Gamma}_Q(\eta_Q(A))$ , we can conclude that  $M_Q$  is contained in  $\text{range}(\check{\Gamma}_Q)$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $\eta_Q$  has weak\*-dense range in  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ . The containment of  $\text{range}(\check{\Gamma}_Q)$  in  $M_Q$  follows because  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$  is weak\*-continuous and  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \eta_Q = \Gamma_Q$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *We can identify the c.c. Banach algebra  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \square)$  with the weak\*-closed operator subalgebra  $M_Q$  of  $CB(X^*)$  via a weak\*-homeomorphic completely isometric algebra isomorphism*

$$M_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* : T \mapsto \mu_T \quad \text{satisfying} \quad \langle \mu_T, x^* \cdot x \rangle = \langle Tx^*, x \rangle.$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $T \in CB(X^*)$  and consider the following statements:*

- (a)  $T \in M_Q$ ;
- (b) *there is a c.b. linear map  $\Lambda_T : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  such that  $\Lambda_T(x^* \cdot x) = (Tx^*) \cdot x$  and  $\|\Lambda_T\|_{cb} \leq \|T\|_{cb}$ ;*
- (c) *there is a bounded linear map  $\Lambda_T : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  such that  $\Lambda_T(x^* \cdot x) = (Tx^*) \cdot x$ .*

*Then (a) implies (b) implies (c); and (c) implies (a) whenever  $A$  has a bounded approximate identity for  $X$  (e.g., when  $A$  has a right bounded approximate identity and  $X$  is essential). Moreover, when  $T \in M_Q$ ,  $\Lambda_T(\phi) = \mu_{T \square \phi}$  and  $\Lambda_T = (R_T)_*$  where  $R_T : M_Q \rightarrow M_Q : S \mapsto ST$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T \in M_Q$ . By Lemma 2.1, the map

$$\Lambda_T : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) : \phi \mapsto \mu_{T \square \phi}$$

is c.b. with  $\|\Lambda_T\|_{cb} \leq \|\mu_T\| = \|T\|_{cb}$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_T(x^* \cdot x), a \rangle &= \langle \mu_{T \square (x^* \cdot x)}, a \rangle = \langle \mu_T, (x^* \cdot x) \cdot a \rangle = \langle \mu_T, x^* \cdot (x \cdot a) \rangle \\ &= \langle Tx^*, x \cdot a \rangle = \langle (Tx^*) \cdot x, a \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so  $\Lambda_T(x^* \cdot x) = (Tx^*) \cdot x$ . Also,  $\Lambda_T^* : M_Q \rightarrow M_Q$  and for  $S \in M_Q$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ ,

$$\langle \Lambda_T^*(S), \phi \rangle = \langle \mu_S, \mu_{T \square \phi} \rangle = \langle \mu_{S \square \mu_T}, \phi \rangle = \langle \mu_{ST}, \phi \rangle = \langle ST, \phi \rangle,$$

so  $\Lambda_T^*(S) = ST = R_T(S)$ . This establishes the first implication and (b) implies (c) is trivial.

Assuming that statement (c) holds and  $(e_i)$  is a bounded approximate identity for the right  $A$ -module  $X$ , let  $\mu$  be a weak\*-limit point of the net  $(\Lambda_T^*(\eta_Q(e_i)))$  in  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ ; we can assume that  $\Lambda_T^*(\eta_Q(e_i)) \rightarrow \mu$  weak\* in  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \check{\Gamma}_Q(\mu)(x^*), x \rangle &= \langle \mu \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot x \rangle \\ &= \lim \langle \Lambda_T^*(\eta_Q(e_i)), x^* \cdot x \rangle = \lim \langle \eta_Q(e_i), (Tx^*) \cdot x \rangle \\ &= \lim \langle (Tx^*) \cdot x, e_i \rangle = \lim \langle Tx^*, x \cdot e_i \rangle \\ &= \langle Tx^*, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $T = \check{\Gamma}_Q(\mu)$  belongs to  $M_Q$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  denote the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closure of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  in  $A^*$ , i.e., let  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}_Q}(A^*)$ . Then by Remark 3.6, the *Eberlein space* associated with  $Q$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{A^*})$  is an operator left introverted subspace of  $A^*$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) \leq (\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})$ . Moreover,  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})$  is the minimum LDBA over  $A$  with this property.

**Remarks 4.5.** (a) Observe that the operator Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is the  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ -closed linear span of

$$X^* \cdot X = \{x^* \cdot x : x^* \in X^*, x \in X\}$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  is the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closed linear span of  $X^* \cdot X$ . Indeed, since  $P : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ -continuous and  $\text{span}\{X^* \cdot X\} = P(X^* \otimes X)$ , this easily follows from the definitions. (b) When  $X$  is an essential c.c. right operator  $A$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  are contained in  $LUC(A^*)$ , the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closed linear span of  $A^* \cdot A$ . Indeed, as noted in [24, Example 4.3(b)],  $x^* \cdot (x \cdot a) = (x^* \cdot x) \cdot a \in A^* \cdot A$ , so this follows from (a). In particular, suppose that  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $X$  is a right Banach  $G$ -module, and  $X$  is therefore a neo-unital right Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module through the action defined by the weak integral  $x \cdot f = \int x \cdot s f(s) ds$ . Assuming that this  $L^1(G)$ -module action is c.c.,  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  are contained in  $LUC(G)$  and, as observed in Section 4.3 of [24], in this case  $x^* \cdot x \in LUC(G)$  is given by

$$(x^* \cdot x)(s) = \langle x^*, x \cdot s \rangle \quad (s \in G).$$

(c) Suppose that  $(\mathcal{T}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}})$  is an operator left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) \leq (\mathcal{T}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{T}})$ . Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T}(A^*)^* \rightarrow CB(X^*) \quad \text{given by} \quad \langle \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu)(x^*), x \rangle = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot x \rangle \quad (9)$$

defines a c.c. weak\*-continuous representation of  $\mathcal{T}(A^*)^*$  on  $X^*$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \circ \eta_{\mathcal{T}}(a)(x^*) = a \cdot x^*$ . To see this, observe that  $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ , the dual of the embedding map  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}(A^*)$ , is a weak\*-continuous c.c. homomorphism such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathcal{T}} = \eta_Q$  and  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \Phi = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$  (see Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.2(c)). Since  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  has dense range,  $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  is a monomorphism, and therefore  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \Phi$  is one-to-one. Thus,

(9) determines a *faithful* c.c. weak\*-continuous representation of  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*$  on  $X^*$ .

If  $X$  is an essential right Banach  $A$ -module through  $Q$  then, as noted in (b),  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) \leq (LUC(A^*)^*, \eta_{LUC})$ , so

(9) determines a c.c. weak\*-continuous representation,  $\Gamma_{LUC}$ , of  $LUC(A^*)^*$  on  $X^*$  in this case.

Moreover, if  $X$  is an essential  $L^1(G)$ -module and  $\Theta : M(G) \hookrightarrow LUC(G)^*$  is the canonical embedding given by  $\langle \Theta(\mu), f \rangle = \int f d\mu$ , then  $(\Gamma_{LUC} \circ \Theta)(\mu)(x^*) = \mu \cdot x^*$ , the usual left dual module action of  $M(G)$  on  $X^*$ . That is,  $\Gamma_{LUC}$  extends the usual left dual  $M(G)$ -module action on  $X^*$  to a weak\*-continuous module action of  $LUC(G)^*$  on  $X^*$ ; a different justification of this statement can be found in [24].

**Theorem 4.6.** *The following statements hold.*



(a) In  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$   $[(\mathcal{LD}(A), \leq)]$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$   $[(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{E})]$  is the minimum operator HLDBA [LDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A})$  over  $A$  for which there is a c.c. weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  extending  $\Gamma_Q$  in the sense that  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A} \circ \eta_\mathfrak{A} = \Gamma_Q$ .

(b)  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$   $[(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{E})]$  is the unique operator HLDBA [LDBA] over  $A$  for which there is a completely isometric [c.c. faithful] weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A} \circ \eta_\mathfrak{A} = \Gamma_Q$ .

*Proof.* (a) By Theorem 4.2,  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  has this property. Suppose that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A})$  is an operator HLDBA over  $A$  with this property. Assuming without loss of generality that  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A})$  is an operator homogeneous left Arens product algebra  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$  over  $A$  (Theorem 3.4), let  $\Gamma_\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  be a weak\*-continuous c.c. representation of  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  such that  $\Gamma_\mathcal{S} \circ \eta_\mathcal{S} = \Gamma_Q$ . Letting  $\sigma : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  be the c.c. predual map of  $\Gamma_\mathcal{S}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma(x^* \otimes x), a \rangle_{A^*-A} &= \langle \eta_\mathcal{S}(a), \sigma(x^* \otimes x) \rangle = \langle \Gamma_\mathcal{S} \circ \eta_\mathcal{S}(a), x^* \otimes x \rangle \\ &= \langle \Gamma_Q(a)(x^*), x \rangle = \langle x^* \cdot x, a \rangle_{A^*-A}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sigma : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* : x^* \otimes x \mapsto x^* \cdot x$ ; since  $\text{id}_\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \hookrightarrow A^*$  is also a c.c. – see Definition 3.1 – we obtain  $P = \text{id}_\mathcal{S} \circ \sigma : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow A^*$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* = P(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ ,  $N = \ker P = \ker \sigma$ , and we obtain a c.c.  $\sigma_N : X^* \widehat{\otimes} X/N \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ ; thus,  $\sigma_N \circ P_N^{-1} : \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  is a c.c.. A calculation shows that  $\sigma_N \circ P_N^{-1}$  is the identity embedding  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ , so  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) \leq (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$  by Corollary 3.5.

As noted in Remarks 4.5(c),  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{E})$  satisfies the desired property. If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A}) \cong (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$  is a LDBA over  $A$  satisfying this property, then  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) \leq (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$  by the case above, and therefore  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  by Corollary 3.5. Since  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  is a closed subspace of  $A^*$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  and it follows that  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{E}) \leq (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$ , using [24, Corollary 3.5].

(b) By Theorem 4.2,  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$  is a completely isometric representation of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  mapping weak\*-homeomorphically onto  $M_Q$ , from which it follows that  $(M_Q, \Gamma_Q)$  is an operator HLDBA over  $A$  and  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) \cong (M_Q, \Gamma_Q)$ . If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A})$  is any operator HLDBA over  $A$  for which there exists a weak\*-continuous completely isometric representation  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A} \circ \eta_\mathfrak{A} = \Gamma_Q$ , then the argument provided in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that  $\Gamma_\mathfrak{A}$  maps onto  $M_Q$ . Hence  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A}) \cong (M_Q, \Gamma_Q)$  as well, so  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A}) \cong (\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$ .

In Remarks 4.5(c), we observed that  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{E})$  has a weak\*-continuous c.c. faithful representation  $\Gamma_\mathcal{E}$  on  $X^*$  such that  $\Gamma_\mathcal{E} \circ \eta_\mathcal{E} = \Gamma_Q$ . If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_\mathfrak{A}) \cong (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$  is any LDBA over  $A$  with a weak\*-continuous c.c. faithful representation  $\Gamma_\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_\mathcal{S} \circ \eta_\mathcal{S} = \Gamma_Q$ , then  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{E}) \leq (\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_\mathcal{S})$  by (a). This means that  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  and, letting  $\iota$  denote the associated embedding map,  $\Phi = \iota^* : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*$  satisfies  $\Phi \circ \eta_\mathcal{S} = \eta_\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\Gamma_\mathcal{E} \circ \Phi \circ \eta_\mathcal{S} = \Gamma_\mathcal{E} \circ \eta_\mathcal{E} = \Gamma_Q = \Gamma_\mathcal{S} \circ \eta_\mathcal{S}$ , weak\*-density of  $\eta_\mathcal{S}(A)$  in  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  implies that  $\Gamma_\mathcal{E} \circ \Phi = \Gamma_\mathcal{S}$ . Hence,  $\Phi$  is injective and, as noted in Definition 3.2 of [24],  $\Phi$  is necessarily surjective; therefore  $\iota$  maps onto  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^* = \mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$ , as needed.  $\square$

Observe that for each  $a \in A$ ,  $\Gamma_Q(a)(x^*) = a \cdot x^*$  is a weak\*-continuous c.b. operator on  $X^*$ , i.e., observe that  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \eta_Q = \Gamma_Q$  maps  $A$  into  $CB^\sigma(X^*)$ .

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  be an operator HLDBA [LDBA] over  $A$  for which there is a completely isometric [c.c. faithful] weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$  maps  $A$  into  $CB^\sigma(X^*)$ . Then there is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module action  $Q : X \times A \rightarrow X$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \Gamma_Q$  and  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \cong (\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) [\cong (\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} \in CB(A, CB(X^*))$  is a c.c. homomorphism,  $X^*$  is a c.c. left operator  $A$ -module through

$$\gamma : A \times X^* \rightarrow X^* : (a, x^*) \mapsto a \cdot x^* := \Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)(x^*)$$

[10, Prop. 7.12]. For each  $a \in A$ , let

$$Q(\cdot, a) : X \rightarrow X : x \mapsto x \cdot a$$

denote the predual map of the weak\*-continuous map  $\gamma(a, \cdot)$  on  $X^*$ . Then  $\langle a \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot a \rangle$ , from which it follows that  $x \cdot (ab) = (x \cdot a) \cdot b$ . Observe that

$$\gamma^2 : X^* \times X^{**} \mapsto A^* \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^{22} : X^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$$

are c.c. bilinear (e.g., by Lemma 2.1) and  $\gamma^{22}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{a}) = Q(x, a)^\wedge$ . It follows that  $X$  is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module through  $Q$  such that  $\gamma$  is the associated left dual module action on  $X^*$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)(x^*) = a \cdot x^* = \Gamma_Q(a)(x^*)$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \cong (\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q) [\cong (\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})]$  by Theorem 4.6.  $\square$

**Remarks 4.8.** It follows from Corollary 4.7 that several commonly studied operator HLDBAs over  $A$  can be recognized as  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  or  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})$  for some module action  $Q$ . We discuss some specific examples in the next section. Moreover, if  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  is an operator LDBA over  $A$  for which there is a completely isometric weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$  is contained in  $CB^\sigma(X^*)$ , then there is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module action  $Q$  on  $X$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \Gamma_Q$ ,  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}) \cong (\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}}) \cong (\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  and – by Corollary 3.5 –  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{A}}(A^*)$  with equality of the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  on this common space

It will be useful for us to be able to directly determine when  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_Q = \|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  without employing Corollary 4.7 together with pre-existing theory. In the following proposition,  $\iota$  denotes the c.c. embedding  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  and  $\Phi := \iota^* : \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  is the weak\*-continuous c.c. weak\*-dense range homomorphism such that  $\Phi \circ \eta_{\mathcal{E}} = \eta_Q$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  and the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  are equal on this common space;
- (b)  $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  is a complete isometry;
- (c) for each positive integer  $n$  and each  $\mu \in M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*)$ ,  $\|\Phi_n(\mu)\|_Q \geq \|\mu\|_{A^*}$ .

*These three equivalent conditions hold whenever*

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \{[x_{i,j}^* \cdot x_{k,l}] : [x_{i,j}^*] \in M_r(X^*)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}, [x_{k,l}] \in M_s(X)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1} \text{ and } rs = n\}$$

*is  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -dense in  $M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$  for each positive integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The implication (a) implies (b) is obvious, as is the equivalence of statements (b) and (c) since  $\Phi$  is a c.c.. Suppose that  $\Phi = \iota^*$  is a complete isometry. Then  $\iota$  has closed dense range in  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  and it follows that  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$ . By the open mapping theorem, the norms  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  are equivalent on this set, i.e. on  $M_1(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*))$ , so the sets  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*$  are also one and the same. Hence,  $\Phi = \iota^*$  is the identity mapping of  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*$  onto  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$ . As  $\Phi$  is a complete isometry, so is  $\iota = \Phi^*|_{\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)}$ , which establishes the final implication (b) implies (a).

Assuming that  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -dense in  $M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$ , we will now show that condition (c) holds. To see this, first note that  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is contained in  $M_n(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\|_Q \leq 1}$  since, as observed in the proof of Theorem 4.1,  $Q' : X^* \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  is a c.c. with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_Q$ . Taking  $\mu = [\mu_{e,f}] \in M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*)$ , this observation and our hypothesis give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_n(\mu)\|_Q &= \sup\{\|[\langle \Phi(\mu_{e,f}), \phi_{u,v} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_Q^* - \mathcal{F}_Q}]\| : [\phi_{u,v}] \in M_n(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\|_Q \leq 1}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|[\langle \mu_{e,f}, \phi_{u,v} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_Q^* - \mathcal{E}_Q}]\| : [\phi_{u,v}] \in M_n(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\|_Q \leq 1}\} \\ &\geq \sup\{\|[\langle \mu_{e,f}, x_{i,j}^* \cdot x_{k,l} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_Q^* - \mathcal{E}_Q}]\| : [x_{i,j}^* \cdot x_{k,l}] \in \mathcal{S}_n\} \\ &= \sup\{\|[\langle \mu_{e,f}, \phi_{u,v} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_Q^* - \mathcal{E}_Q}]\| : [\phi_{u,v}] \in M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}\} \\ &= \|\mu\|_{A^*} \end{aligned}$$

as needed.  $\square$

## 4.2 The Fourier space $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$

Unless stated otherwise, in this section  $A$  is a (not necessarily c.c.) Banach algebra and  $X$  is a contractive right Banach  $A$ -module through the action

$$q : X \times A \rightarrow X : (x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a.$$

By replacing the operator space projective tensor product  $\widehat{\otimes}$  with the Banach space projective tensor product  $\otimes^\gamma$  and dropping the words operator, complete and completely, we obtain the *Fourier space*  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$  and its *Fourier norm*  $\|\cdot\|_q$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_q(A^*) = p(X^* \otimes^\gamma X) = p_N(X^* \otimes^\gamma X/N)$$

where

$$p : X^* \otimes^\gamma X \rightarrow A^* : x^* \otimes x \mapsto x^* \cdot x$$

is the contractive linearization of  $q' : X^* \times X \rightarrow A^*$ ,  $N = \ker p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q$  is the Banach space quotient norm inherited from  $X^* \otimes^\gamma X/N$  via the  $A$ -module isomorphism  $p_N : X^* \otimes^\gamma X/N \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ , i.e., for  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ ,

$$\|\phi\|_q = \inf\{\|\xi\|_\gamma : \xi \in X^* \otimes^\gamma X \text{ and } p(\xi) = \phi\}. \quad (10)$$

In this situation, we have the following familiar-looking descriptions of  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** *The pair  $(\mathcal{F}_q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_q)$  is a left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$ . If  $(x_n^*)$  and  $(x_n)$  are sequences in  $X^*$  and  $X$  respectively, and  $\sum \|x_n^*\| \|x_n\| < \infty$ , then  $\phi = \sum x_n^* \cdot x_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ ;  $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -convergence of the series implies  $\|\cdot\|_q$ -convergence and  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -convergence. Conversely, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ , then there are sequences  $(x_n^*)$  in  $X^*$  and  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $\sum \|x_n^*\| \|x_n\| < \infty$  and  $\phi = \sum x_n^* \cdot x_n$ ; moreover,*

$$\|\phi\|_q = \inf \left\{ \sum \|x_n^*\| \|x_n\| : \phi = \sum x_n^* \cdot x_n \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The first statement follows from (simpler) versions of the arguments used to establish Theorem 4.1. Recall that  $\xi \in X^* \otimes^\gamma X$  exactly when there are sequences  $(x_n^*)$  in  $X^*$  and  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that

$$\sum \|x_n^*\| \|x_n\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \sum x_n^* \otimes x_n$$

with  $\|\xi\|_\gamma$  equal to the infimum of  $\sum \|x_n^*\| \|x_n\|$  taken over all such representations of  $\xi$ . Since  $p$  is a contractive surjection onto  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ , the validity of the remaining statements follows from (10) via routine arguments.  $\square$

As in the last subsection, the bilinear map

$$\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)^* \times X^* \rightarrow X^* : (\mu, x^*) \mapsto \mu \cdot x^* \quad \text{where} \quad \langle \mu \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle \mu, x^* \cdot x \rangle$$

defines a contractive left  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)^*$ -module action on  $X^*$ . Let  $M_q$  denote the weak\*-closure of  $\Gamma_q(A)$  in  $B(X^*)$ , where  $\Gamma_q(a)(x^*) = a \cdot x^*$ . Then  $\check{\Gamma}_q : \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)^* \rightarrow B(X^*)$ , where  $\check{\Gamma}_q(\mu)(x^*) = \mu \cdot x^*$ , defines a weak\*-continuous isometric algebra isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)^*$  onto  $M_q$  such that  $\check{\Gamma}_q \circ \eta_q = \Gamma_q$ . In particular, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.11.** *We can identify the Banach algebra  $(\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)^*, \square)$  with the weak\*-closed operator subalgebra  $M_q$  of  $B(X^*)$  via the weak\*-homeomorphic isometric algebra isomorphism  $M_q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)^* : T \mapsto \mu_T$  defined by*

$$\langle \mu_T, \sum x_n^* \cdot x_n \rangle = \sum \langle T x_n^*, x_n \rangle \quad \text{whenever} \quad \sum \|x_n^*\| \|x_n\| < \infty.$$

Letting  $\mathcal{E}_q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)}$ , the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closure of  $\mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$  in  $A^*$ , the Eberlein space associated with  $q$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{A^*})$ , is a left introverted subspace of  $A^*$  by Proposition 3.2.

**Remarks 4.12.** The Banach space analogues of the statements found in 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9 all hold.

**Remarks 4.13.** To close this section, assume again that  $A$  is a c.c. Banach algebra,  $X$  is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module via  $Q : X \times A \rightarrow X$ , and let  $q : X \times A \rightarrow X$  denote the same module action with the operator space structures of  $X$  and  $A$  ignored. Then we have the containments

$$\mathcal{F}_q(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_q(A^*)$$

and, for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$ ,  $\|\phi\|_Q \leq \|\phi\|_q$ .

To see this, note that because  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  is a HLDBA over  $A$  such that  $\check{\Gamma}_Q$ , when viewed as a mapping of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*$  into  $B(X^*)$ , is a contractive weak\*-continuous representation such that  $\check{\Gamma}_Q \circ \eta_Q = \Gamma_Q = \Gamma_q$ , the Banach space versions of Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 3.5 imply that  $(\mathcal{F}_q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_q)$  is contained in  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*), \|\cdot\|_Q)$  via a contraction. The equality  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_q(A^*)$  follows from Remark 4.5(a) and its Banach-space counterpart.

## 5 Examples

In this final section, we will apply our theory to several specific module operations  $X \times A \rightarrow X$ , thereby recovering – and often extending – results concerning (completely) isometric representations of familiar Banach algebras associated with  $A$ . Moreover, by applying Theorem 4.6 to these module actions, we obtain new characterizations of these well-studied Banach algebras. The identification of some (but not all) of the spaces studied in this section with  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)$  for some  $Q$  could also be achieved by using pre-existing theory in tandem with Corollary 4.7 and Remark 4.8. However, a primary goal in this section is to show how our theory can be applied in a variety of situations to extend and provide new proofs of previously known theorems.

### 5.1 The Fourier spaces $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$

The main objects discussed in this section,  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  and its dual, are new. In the case that  $A$  is the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  and  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is a continuous unitary representation of  $G$ , we recover the Arzac-Fourier spaces  $A_\pi$  [2].

When  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , we will write  $\dot{\xi}$  when viewing  $\xi$  as an element of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ , the conjugate Hilbert space of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , observe that  $\dot{B} \in B(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$  where  $\dot{B}(\dot{\xi}) = (B\xi)$ , and  $B \mapsto \dot{B}$  is a conjugate-linear isometric  $*$ -isomorphism of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  onto  $B(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ . Hence,  $\Theta_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}(B) := (\dot{B}^*) = (\dot{B})^*$  defines a linear isometric anti-homomorphic  $*$ -isomorphism of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  onto  $B(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra,  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  a contractive representation of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\dot{\pi} := \Theta_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \circ \pi : A \rightarrow B(\overline{\mathcal{H}})$  – i.e.,  $\dot{\pi}(a) := (\pi(a)^*)$  – is a contractive, linear anti-homomorphic representation of  $A$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . Therefore, if for  $a \in A$  and  $\dot{\xi} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  we let

$$\dot{\xi} \cdot a = \dot{\pi}(a)(\dot{\xi}) = (\pi(a)^*(\xi)), \quad \text{then} \quad q_\pi : \overline{\mathcal{H}} \times A \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}} : (\dot{\xi}, a) \mapsto \dot{\xi} \cdot a$$

defines a contractive right  $A$ -module action on  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . We will use  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*), \|\cdot\|_\pi)$  to denote the associated Fourier space  $(\mathcal{F}_{q_\pi}(A^*), \|\cdot\|_{q_\pi})$ .

Since  $\mathcal{H}$  can be identified with the dual space of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  via the linear isometry

$$\varphi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}^* : \xi \mapsto \varphi_\xi \quad \text{given by} \quad \langle \varphi_\xi, \dot{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}^* - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \dot{\eta} | \dot{\xi} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

we have

$$q'_\pi : \mathcal{H} \times \overline{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow A^* : (\xi, \dot{\eta}) \mapsto \varphi_\xi \cdot \dot{\eta}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_\xi \cdot \dot{\eta}, a \rangle &= \langle \varphi_\xi, \dot{\eta} \cdot a \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}^* - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \dot{\eta} \cdot a | \dot{\xi} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \\ &= \langle (\pi(a)^*(\eta)) | \dot{\xi} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \xi | \pi(a)^* \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \langle \pi(a) \xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the coefficient function notation  $\xi *_\pi \eta(a) = \langle \pi(a) \xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  – cf. Arzac [2] when  $A = L^1(G)$ ,  $G$  a locally compact group – we thus have

$$q'_\pi : \mathcal{H} \times \overline{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow A^* : (\xi, \dot{\eta}) \mapsto \xi *_\pi \eta.$$

In the next theorem we will further assume that  $A$  is an involutive Banach algebra and  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $A$ . (Observe that in this case  $\tilde{\pi}$  is also a  $*$ -map.) Of course, a version of this theorem also holds without making these assumptions, the main difference being that  $\tilde{\pi}$ , as defined below, may not be  $*$ -map and  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$  may fail to be a  $W^*$ -algebra.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $A$  be an involutive Banach algebra,  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  a  $*$ -representation of  $A$ .*

- (a) *If  $(\xi_n), (\eta_n)$  are sequences in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\| < \infty$ , then  $\phi = \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  with  $\sigma(A^*, A)$ -convergence implying  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$ -convergence. Conversely, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$ , then there are sequences  $(\xi_n)$  and  $(\eta_n)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\| < \infty$  and  $\phi = \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n$ ; moreover,*

$$\|\phi\|_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\| : \phi = \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \right\}.$$

- (b)  *$(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*), \|\cdot\|_\pi)$  is a left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  closed under  $\phi \mapsto \phi^*$ , where  $\phi^*(a) = \overline{\phi(a^*)}$ . Furthermore,  $(\xi *_\pi \eta)^* = \eta *_\pi \xi$  and  $\phi \mapsto \phi^*$  is isometric with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$ .*

- (c) *With respect to the involution defined by*

$$\mu^*(\phi) = \overline{\mu(\phi^*)} \quad \mu \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*),$$

*$(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*, \square)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and*

$$\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^* \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{given by} \quad \langle \tilde{\pi}(\mu)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \mu, \xi *_\pi \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_\pi^* - \mathcal{F}_\pi}$$

*is a weak\*-homeomorphic isometric  $*$ -isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$  onto  $VN_\pi = \overline{\pi(A)}^{\text{wk}^*}$ , the von Neumann subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  generated by  $\pi$  on  $A$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \eta_\pi = \pi$  where  $\eta_\pi$  is the canonical homomorphism of  $A$  into  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$ .*

- (d) *The map  $VN_\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^* : T \mapsto \mu_T$  is a weak\*-homeomorphic isometric  $*$ -isomorphism where*

$$\langle \mu_T, \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_\pi^* - \mathcal{F}_\pi} = \sum \langle T\xi_n | \eta_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

*whenever  $\sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  (with  $\sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\| < \infty$ ). In particular,  $VN_\pi$  can be identified with the dual of  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Part (a) and the statement that  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*), \|\cdot\|_\pi)$  is a left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $A^*$  are immediate consequences of Proposition 4.10 and the preceding discussion. One can quickly verify that  $(\xi *_\pi \eta)^* = \eta *_\pi \xi$  and  $\|\phi^*\|_{A^*} \leq \|\phi\|_{A^*}$ ; since  $(\phi^*)^* = \phi$ ,  $\|\phi^*\|_{A^*} = \|\phi\|_{A^*}$ . If  $\phi = \sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  with  $\sum \|\xi_n\| \|\eta_n\| < \infty$ , then part (a) gives  $\sum \eta_n *_\pi \xi_n \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  and  $\phi^* = (\sum \xi_n *_\pi \eta_n)^* = \sum \eta_n *_\pi \xi_n$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -continuity of  $\phi \mapsto \phi^*$ . Part (a) now yields  $\|\phi^*\|_\pi \leq \|\phi\|_\pi$  which in turn implies that  $\|\phi^*\|_\pi = \|\phi\|_\pi$ .

By Proposition 3.2,  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*, \square)$  is a Banach algebra and, as noted in the paragraph preceding Proposition 4.11,  $\check{\Gamma}_{q_\pi} : \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^* \rightarrow M_{q_\pi}$  is a weak\*-homeomorphic isometric algebra isomorphism onto  $M_{q_\pi}$ , the weak\*-closure of  $\Gamma_{q_\pi}(A)$  in  $B(\overline{\mathcal{H}}^*)$ . Here

$$\langle \check{\Gamma}_{q_\pi}(\mu)(\varphi\xi), \check{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}^* - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \mu \cdot \varphi\xi, \check{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}^* - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \mu, \xi *_\pi \eta \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \Gamma_{q_\pi}(a)(\varphi_\xi), \dot{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle a \cdot \varphi_\xi, \dot{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \varphi_\xi, \dot{\eta} \cdot a \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \pi(a)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Define an isometric algebra isomorphism,  $\kappa$ , of  $B(\overline{\mathcal{H}^*})$  onto  $B(\mathcal{H})$  by putting  $\kappa(B) = \varphi^{-1} \circ B \circ \varphi$ . For  $B \in B(\overline{\mathcal{H}^*})$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\varphi_{\kappa(B)\xi} = \varphi \circ \kappa(B)(\xi) = B(\varphi_\xi)$ , so

$$\langle \kappa(B)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \varphi_{\kappa(B)\xi}, \dot{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle B(\varphi_\xi), \dot{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} - \overline{\mathcal{H}}},$$

from which it follows that  $\kappa$  is a weak\*-homeomorphism and  $\pi = \kappa \circ \Gamma_{q_\pi}$ . Consequently,

$$\check{\pi} := \kappa \circ \check{\Gamma}_{q_\pi} : \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^* \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$$

is a weak\*-continuous isometric isomorphism mapping  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$  onto

$$\kappa(M_{q_\pi}) = \kappa\left(\overline{\Gamma_{q_\pi}(A)}^{\text{wk}^*}\right) = \overline{\kappa(\Gamma_{q_\pi}(A))}^{\text{wk}^*} = \overline{\pi(A)}^{\text{wk}^*} = VN_\pi,$$

$$\langle \check{\pi}(\mu)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \kappa(\check{\Gamma}_{q_\pi}(\mu))\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \check{\Gamma}_{q_\pi}(\mu)(\varphi_\xi), \dot{\eta} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} - \overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \mu, \xi *_\pi \eta \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle \check{\pi} \circ \eta_\pi(a)(\xi) | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \eta_\pi(a), \xi *_\pi \eta \rangle = \langle \pi(a)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$ . Then  $\mu^* \in \mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$  and

$$\langle \check{\pi}(\mu^*)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\langle \mu, (\xi *_\pi \eta)^* \rangle} = \overline{\langle \mu, \eta *_\pi \xi \rangle} = \overline{\langle \check{\pi}(\mu)\eta | \xi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}} = \langle \check{\pi}(\mu)^*\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

so  $\check{\pi}$  is also a \*-isomorphism onto the von Neumann algebra  $VN_\pi$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, which completes the proof of (c). Part (d) is a consequence of part (c).  $\square$

**Remarks 5.2.** By Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.12,  $(\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)^*, \eta_\pi)$  is the minimum HLDBA in  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$  [unique HLDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$  for which there is a weak\*-continuous contractive [isometric] representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \pi$ .

Suppose now that  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is a continuous unitary representation of  $G$ . Then  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  determines a non-degenerate \*-representation of  $L^1(G)$  through

$$\langle \pi(f)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \langle \pi(s)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} f(s) ds \quad (f \in L^1(G), \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Using the definition provided above of  $\xi *_\pi \eta \in L^1(G)^* = L^\infty(G)$ , we obtain

$$\int \xi *_\pi \eta(s) f(s) ds = \langle \xi *_\pi \eta, f \rangle_{L^\infty - L^1} = \langle \pi(f)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \langle \pi(s)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} f(s) ds.$$

In  $L^\infty(G)$ ,  $\xi *_\pi \eta$  therefore equals the continuous coefficient function  $s \mapsto \langle \pi(s)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $G$ , as defined in [2].

Applying Theorem 5.1(a) to the \*-representation  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  of  $L^1(G)$ , we see from [2, Theorem 2.2 (ii) and (iii)] that our Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(L^1(G)^*)$  is precisely Arzac's Fourier space  $A_\pi$  and our Fourier norm  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  agrees with the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra norm on  $B(G)$  restricted to  $A_\pi$ . (In particular, when  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is the left regular representation  $\{\lambda_2, L^2(G)\}$ , and the universal representation  $\{\omega_G, \mathcal{H}_\omega\}$ , of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(L^1(G)^*)$  is respectively the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$ , and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra  $B(G)$ .) We also see that

the usual identification of  $VN_\pi$  with  $A_\pi^*$  is a special case of the general result described in Theorem 5.1(d) – which in turn is a special case of Proposition 4.11. Furthermore, Theorem 5.1 identifies  $A_\pi$  as a left introverted homogeneous subspace of  $L^1(G)^*$ , and the product in  $VN_\pi$  as an Arens product over  $L^1(G)$ .

To reduce the length of this paper, we will postpone the detailed study of the Fourier spaces  $\mathcal{F}_\pi(A^*)$  of an involutive Banach algebra  $A$  – and the corresponding Fourier-Stieltjes spaces,  $C^*$ -algebras, von Neumann algebras, and Eberlein spaces – to a subsequent paper.

## 5.2 The Figà-Talamanca–Herz spaces $A_p(G)$

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p'$  the conjugate index satisfying  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . In this subsection, we will observe that  $A_p(G)$  is also an example of a Fourier space  $\mathcal{F}_q(L^1(G)^*)$ , and will recover the identification of  $A_p(G)^*$  with the Banach algebra of  $p'$ -pseudomeasures,  $PM_{p'}(G)$ .

Letting  $\{\lambda_p, L^p(G)\}$  be the left regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^p(G)$  defined by

$$\lambda_p(s)\xi(t) = \xi(s^{-1}t) \quad \xi \in L^p(G), \quad s, t \in G,$$

$L^p(G)$  becomes a contractive right Banach  $G$ -module through  $\xi \cdot s := \lambda_p(s^{-1})\xi$ . Hence, as noted in Remarks 4.5(b) and 4.12, defining  $q : L^p(G) \times L^1(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$  through the weak integral  $\xi \cdot f = \int \xi \cdot s f(s) ds$ ,  $L^p(G)$  is a contractive neo-unital right Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module such that

$$q' : L^{p'}(G) \times L^p(G) \rightarrow LUC(G) : (\eta, \xi) \mapsto \eta \cdot \xi$$

satisfies

$$\eta \cdot \xi(s) = \langle \eta, \xi \cdot s \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p} = \int \xi(st) \check{\eta}(t^{-1}) dt = \xi * \check{\eta}(s).$$

Let  $(A_p(G), \|\cdot\|_{A_p}) = (\mathcal{F}_q(L^1(G)^*), \|\cdot\|_q)$  and let  $PM_p(G)$  denote the weak\*-closure in  $B(L^p(G))$  of  $\lambda_p(L^1(G))$ , where  $\lambda_p$  is defined on  $L^1(G)$  through the weak integral  $\lambda_p(f)\xi = \int \lambda_p(s)\xi f(s) ds$ .

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let  $\phi \in CB(G)$ . Then  $\phi \in A_p(G)$  if and only if there are sequences  $(\xi_n)$  in  $L^p(G)$  and  $(\eta_n)$  in  $L^{p'}(G)$  such that  $\sum \|\xi_n\|_p \|\eta_n\|_{p'} < \infty$  and  $\phi = \sum \xi_n * \check{\eta}_n$  (with pointwise convergence implying  $\|\cdot\|_{A_p}$  and uniform convergence); moreover*

$$\|\phi\|_{A_p} = \inf \left\{ \sum \|\xi_n\|_p \|\eta_n\|_{p'} : \phi = \sum \xi_n * \check{\eta}_n \right\}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle \check{\lambda}_{p'}(\mu)(\eta), \xi \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p} = \langle \mu, \xi * \check{\eta} \rangle_{A_p^*-A_p} \quad (\eta \in L^{p'}(G), \xi \in L^p(G))$$

defines a weak\*-homeomorphic isometric algebra isomorphism  $\check{\lambda}_{p'}$  of  $A_p(G)^*$  onto the operator subalgebra  $PM_{p'}(G)$  of  $B(L^{p'}(G))$ . Thus  $PM_{p'}(G)$  and  $A_p(G)^*$  can be identified through the pairing

$$\langle T, \sum \xi_n * \check{\eta}_n \rangle_{A_p^*-A_p} = \sum \langle T\eta_n, \xi_n \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p} \quad \text{whenever} \quad \sum \|\xi_n\|_p \|\eta_n\|_{p'} < \infty.$$



*Proof.* The first statement follows from Proposition 4.10 and the above discussion. As noted in the paragraph preceding Proposition 4.11,

$$\check{\lambda}_{p'}(:=\check{\Gamma}_q) : A_p(G)^* \rightarrow M_q \subseteq B(L^{p'}(G))$$

is a weak\*-homeomorphic isometric algebra isomorphism onto  $M_q$ , where

$$\langle \check{\lambda}_{p'}(\mu)(\eta), \xi \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p} = \langle \mu, \eta \cdot \xi \rangle_{A_p^*-A_p} = \langle \mu, \xi * \check{\eta} \rangle_{A_p^*-A_p}$$

and  $M_q$  is the weak\*-closure of  $\check{\lambda}_{p'} \circ \eta_q(L^1(G))$  in  $B(L^{p'}(G))$ ; here, as before,  $\eta_q$  is the canonical homomorphism mapping  $L^1(G)$  into  $\mathcal{F}_q(L^1(G)^*)^* = A_p(G)^*$ . But, for  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\xi \in L^p(G)$  and  $\eta \in L^{p'}(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \check{\lambda}_{p'}(\eta_q(f))(\eta), \xi \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p} &= \langle \eta_q(f), \xi * \check{\eta} \rangle_{A_p^*-A_p} = \langle \xi * \check{\eta}, f \rangle_{L^\infty-L^1} \\ &= \int \int \xi(t) \eta(s^{-1}t) dt f(s) ds = \int \langle \lambda_{p'}(s)\eta, \xi \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p} f(s) ds \\ &= \langle \lambda_{p'}(f)\eta, \xi \rangle_{L^{p'}-L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\check{\lambda}_{p'} \circ \eta_q = \lambda_{p'}$ . Hence,  $M_q = PM_{p'}(G)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\eta_p$  denote the canonical homomorphism of  $L^1(G)$  into  $A_p(G)^*$ . Observe that by Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.12,  $(A_p(G)^*, \eta_p)$  is the minimum HLDBA in  $(\mathcal{HLD}(L^1(G)), \leq)$  [unique HLDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $L^1(G)$  for which there is a weak\*-continuous contractive [isometric] representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow B(L^{p'}(G))$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \lambda_{p'}$ .

### 5.3 The space of left uniformly continuous functionals on $A$ , $LUC(A^*)$

Given a (c.c.) Banach algebra  $A$ , recall that  $LUC(A^*)$  is the closed linear span of  $A^* \cdot A$ , where  $\langle a^* \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle a^*, ab \rangle$ . By recognizing  $LUC(A^*)$  as  $\mathcal{E}_q(A^*) = \mathcal{F}_q(A^*)$  ( $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ ) associated with the right module action of  $A$  on itself, with Theorem 5.5 we establish a (completely) isometric identification of the Banach algebra  $LUC(A^*)^*$  with  $B_A(A^*)$  ( $CB_A(A^*)$ ), the operator (c.c.) Banach algebra of all (c.b.)  $A$ -module maps on  $A^*$ . This extends each of the corresponding results describing  $LUC(A^*)^*$  found in [6, 15, 16, 19, 13] for various examples of  $A$ . Corollary 5.4 provides new characterizations of  $LUC(A^*)^*$ .

Suppose first that  $A$  is a (not necessarily c.c.) Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity, let  $X = A$ , and consider the right  $A$ -module action

$$q : X \times A \rightarrow X : (x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a = xa.$$

Then

$$q' : A^* \times A \rightarrow A^* : (a^*, a) \mapsto a^* \cdot a$$

where  $\langle a^* \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle a^*, ab \rangle$ . Hence, in this case  $q'$  is just the usual right  $A$ -module action on  $A^*$ . Since  $A$  has a contractive right approximate identity, the Cohen Factorization Theorem (as stated prior to Corollary 2.2) implies that  $LUC(A^*) = A^* \cdot A$  and

$$LUC(A^*)_{\|\cdot\|<1} \subseteq (A^*)_{\|\cdot\|\leq 1} \cdot A_{\|\cdot\|\leq 1} \subseteq LUC(A^*)_{\|\cdot\|\leq 1}. \quad (11)$$

As  $\mathcal{E}_q(A^*)$  is the  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -closed linear span of  $X^* \cdot X = A^* \cdot A$ , we see that  $\mathcal{E}_q(A^*) = LUC(A^*)$  in this case. Moreover, (11) implies that  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{x^* \cdot x : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ -dense in the closed unit ball of  $\mathcal{E}_q(A^*)$ , so

$$LUC(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_q(A^*) = \mathcal{F}_q(A^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{A^*} = \|\cdot\|_q$$

(see Remarks 4.12 and Proposition 4.9).

Now suppose further that  $A$  is a c.c. Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity. Then  $X = A$  is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module via

$$Q : X \times A \rightarrow X : (x, a) \mapsto x \cdot a = xa.$$

Letting  $q$  denote the same module action with the operator space structures of  $A$  and  $X$  ignored, the above discussion and Remarks 4.13 give

$$\mathcal{F}_q(A^*) = \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_q(A^*) = LUC(A^*).$$

Moreover, since

$$Q' : A^* \times A \rightarrow A^* : (a^*, a) \mapsto a^* \cdot a$$

is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module action on  $A^*$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = A^* \cdot A$ , by Lemma 2.2,

$$M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1} \subseteq \{[a_{k,l}^* \cdot a] : [a_{k,l}^*] \in M_n(A^*)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}, a \in A_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}\} \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$$

for each positive integer  $n$ . Since  $X = A$ , this implies that  $\mathcal{S}_n$ , as defined in Proposition 4.9, is  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -dense in  $M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$  for each  $n$ . Hence, the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  are equal on  $\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = LUC(A^*)$ .

By Theorem 4.2(a),  $A^*$  is a c.c. left operator  $LUC(A^*)^*$ -module via

$$LUC(A^*)^* \times A^* \rightarrow A^* : (\mu, a^*) \mapsto \mu \square a^*$$

where – using the standard notation –  $\langle \mu \square a^*, a \rangle = \langle \mu, a^* \cdot a \rangle$ ; moreover,  $\eta_{LUC}(a) \square a^* = a \cdot a^*$  is the left dual  $A$ -module action on  $A^*$ . Let

$$\Gamma : A \rightarrow CB(A^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{LUC} : LUC(A^*)^* \rightarrow CB(A^*)$$

be defined by

$$\Gamma(a)(a^*) = a \cdot a^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{LUC}(\mu)(a^*) = \mu \square a^*,$$

and let  $M_{LUC}$  denote the weak\*-closure of  $\Gamma(A)$  in  $CB(A^*)$ . Then by Theorem 4.2(b),  $\Gamma_{LUC}$  is a weak\*-continuous completely isometric algebra isomorphism of  $LUC(A^*)^*$  onto  $M_{LUC}$  such that  $\Gamma_{LUC} \circ \eta_{LUC} = \Gamma$ .

As an immediate corollary to Theorem 4.6, we obtain the following new characterizations of  $LUC(A^*)^*$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $A$  be a c.c. Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity. The following statements hold:*

- (i)  $(LUC(A^*)^*, \eta_{LUC})$  is the minimum operator HLDBA [LDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$  in  $(\mathcal{HLD}(A), \leq)$  [ $(\mathcal{LD}(A), \leq)$ ] for which there is a c.c. weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(A^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \Gamma$ .

(ii)  $(LUC(A^*)^*, \eta_{LUC})$  is the unique operator HLDBA [LDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$  for which there is a completely isometric [faithful] weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(A^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}} = \Gamma$ .

Let  $CB_A(A^*)$  denote the c.c Banach algebra comprised of all c.b. right  $A$ -module maps on  $A^*$ ; so an operator  $T$  in  $CB(A^*)$  belongs to  $CB_A(A^*)$  if  $T(a^* \cdot a) = T(a^*) \cdot a$  for each  $a^* \in A^*$  and  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $A$  be a c.c. Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity. Then we can identify the c.c. Banach algebra  $(LUC(A^*)^*, \square)$  with the weak\*-closed operator subalgebra  $CB_A(A^*)$  of  $CB(A^*)$  via the weak\*-homeomorphic completely isometric algebra isomorphism*

$$CB_A(A^*) \rightarrow LUC(A^*)^* : T \mapsto \mu_T \text{ defined by } \langle \mu_T, a^* \cdot a \rangle = \langle Ta^*, a \rangle.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.3,  $M_{LUC} \rightarrow LUC(A^*)^* : T \mapsto \mu_T$  satisfies the properties described above, so it suffices to show that  $M_{LUC} = CB_A(A^*)$ . To see this, suppose first that  $T \in M_{LUC}$ . Then  $\mu_T \in LUC(A^*)^*$  and for any  $b \in A$ ,

$$\langle T(a^* \cdot a), b \rangle = \langle \mu_T, (a^* \cdot a) \cdot b \rangle = \langle \mu_T, a^* \cdot (ab) \rangle = \langle Ta^*, ab \rangle = \langle (Ta^*) \cdot a, b \rangle.$$

Hence,  $T \in CB_A(A^*)$ . Assuming that  $T \in CB_A(A^*)$ , by Proposition 4.4 we can establish that  $T \in M_{LUC}$  by showing that  $\Lambda_T(a^* \cdot a) = (Ta^*) \cdot a$  defines a bounded linear mapping of  $LUC(A^*)$  into itself. To see this, first note that if  $a^* \cdot a = b^* \cdot b$ , then

$$(Ta^*) \cdot a = T(a^* \cdot a) = T(b^* \cdot b) = (Tb^*) \cdot b,$$

so  $\Lambda_T$  is a well-defined map. Taking  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in LUC(A^*) = A^* \cdot A$ , by Theorem 32.23 of [14] there are elements  $a_1^*, a_2^* \in A^*$  and  $a \in A$  such that  $\phi_1 = a_1^* \cdot a$  and  $\phi_2 = a_2^* \cdot a$ . Hence, for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\Lambda_T(\gamma\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \Lambda_T((\gamma a_1^* + a_2^*) \cdot a) = T(\gamma a_1^* + a_2^*) \cdot a = \gamma(Ta_1^*) \cdot a + (Ta_2^*) \cdot a = \gamma\Lambda_T(\phi_1) + \Lambda_T(\phi_2),$$

showing that  $\Lambda_T$  is linear. Finally, observe that  $\|\Lambda_T(a^* \cdot a)\| = \|(Ta^*) \cdot a\| \leq \|T\| \|a^*\| \|a\|$  and, as noted above,

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{a^* \cdot a : \|a^*\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|a\| \leq 1\}$$

is  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$ -dense in  $LUC(A^*)$ . Hence,  $\Lambda_T$  is bounded (with  $\|\Lambda_T\| \leq \|T\|$ ).  $\square$

**Remarks 5.6.** The same argument shows that if  $A$  is a (not necessarily c.c.) Banach algebra with a contractive right approximate identity, then we can identify the Banach algebra  $LUC(A^*)^*$  with  $B_A(A^*)$ , the weak\*-closed operator subalgebra of  $B(A^*)$  comprised of all bounded right  $A$ -module maps on  $A^*$ , via the weak\*-homeomorphic isometric algebra isomorphism defined as in Theorem 5.5. The Banach space version of Corollary 5.4 also holds.

#### 5.4 Representations of $LUC(G)^*$ as c.b. maps on $B(\mathcal{H})$

Throughout this section,  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is a continuous unitary representation of  $G$ . Observe that  $T(\mathcal{H})$ , the Banach space of trace-class operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  with the trace-class norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , becomes a right Banach  $G$ -module through the action  $K \cdot s = \pi(s^{-1})K\pi(s)$ , ( $K \in T(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $s \in G$ ) (e.g., see [3, Lemma 2.1]) and therefore, as noted in Remark 4.5(b),  $T(\mathcal{H})$  is a neo-unital right Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module via

$$Q : T(\mathcal{H}) \times L^1(G) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{H}) : (K, f) \mapsto K \cdot f = \int K \cdot s f(s) ds.$$

Throughout the remainder of this section,  $Q$  will refer to this module action,  $s \in G$  and, unless stated otherwise,  $T$  and  $K$  are operators in  $B(\mathcal{H}) = T(\mathcal{H})^*$  and  $T(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively.

Letting  $\xi \otimes \eta^*$  denote the rank-one operator  $(\xi \otimes \eta^*)(\zeta) = \langle \zeta | \eta \rangle \xi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , observe that  $(\xi \otimes \eta^*) \cdot s = (\pi(s^{-1})\xi) \otimes (\pi(s^{-1})\eta)^*$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *With respect to  $Q$ ,  $T(\mathcal{H})$  is a neo-unital c.c. right operator  $L^1(G)$ -module.*

*Proof.* Since  $L^1(G)$  has the max operator space structure,

$$B(L^1(G), CB(T(\mathcal{H}))) = CB(L^1(G), CB(T(\mathcal{H}))) \cong CB(T(\mathcal{H}) \times L^1(G), T(\mathcal{H}))$$

[10, Sections 3.3 and 7.1]. To establish that  $Q$  is a c.c., it therefore suffices to show that given  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$ ,  $Q_f(K) = Q(K, f)$  is c.b. on  $T(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\|Q_f\|_{cb} \leq \|f\|_1$ . Equivalently, we will show that the dual map  $Q_f^*$  is c.b. on  $B(\mathcal{H}) = T(\mathcal{H})^*$  with  $\|Q_f^*\|_{cb} \leq \|f\|_1$ . To this end, for  $T \in M_n(B(\mathcal{H})) = B(\mathcal{H}^n)$  with  $\|T\| \leq 1$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}^n$  with  $\|\xi\|, \|\eta\| \leq 1$ , we will show that  $|\langle (Q_f^*)_n(T)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^n}| \leq \|f\|_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (Q_f^*)_n(T)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^n}| &= \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle Q_f^*(T_{i,j})\xi_j | \eta_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| = \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle Q_f^*(T_{i,j}), \xi_j \otimes \eta_i^* \rangle_{B-T} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle T_{i,j}, \int (\xi_j \otimes \eta_i^*) \cdot s f(s) ds \rangle_{B-T} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int \langle T_{i,j}, \pi(s^{-1})\xi_j \otimes (\pi(s^{-1})\eta_i)^* \rangle_{B-T} f(s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle T_{i,j} \pi(s^{-1})\xi_j | \pi(s^{-1})\eta_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right) f(s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int \langle [T_{i,j}] (\pi(s^{-1})\xi_j)_j | (\pi(s^{-1})\eta_i)_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^n} f(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int \left| \langle T (\pi(s^{-1})\xi_j)_j | (\pi(s^{-1})\eta_i)_i \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^n} \right| |f(s)| ds \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|T\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|(\pi(s^{-1})\xi_j)_j\| = \|\xi\| \leq 1$  and  $\|(\pi(s^{-1})\eta_i)_i\| = \|\eta\| \leq 1$ .  $\square$

As noted in Remark 4.5(b),  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  are contained in  $LUC(G)$  and  $T \cdot K(s) = \langle T, K \cdot s \rangle_{B-T}$ . Hence, if  $K \in T(\mathcal{H})$  is written as  $K = \sum \xi_n \otimes \eta_n^*$ , then

$$T \cdot K(s) = \langle T, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi(s^{-1})\xi_n \otimes (\pi(s^{-1})\eta_n)^* \rangle_{B-T} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \pi(s)T\pi(s^{-1})\xi_n | \eta_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, by Remark 4.5(c),

$$\mu \mapsto \Gamma(\mu) \in CB(B(\mathcal{H})) \quad \text{where} \quad \langle \Gamma(\mu)(T), K \rangle = \langle \mu, T \cdot K \rangle$$

defines a c.c. weak\*-continuous representation of  $LUC(G)^*$  as c.b. maps on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . If, as in Section 4.3 of [24], we employ the notation

$$\langle \mu, f \rangle_{LUC^*-LUC} = \int f(s) d\mu(s),$$

then we obtain the following formulation of  $\Gamma$  from (12):

$$\langle \Gamma(\mu)(T)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \Gamma(\mu)(T), \xi \otimes \eta^* \rangle = \langle \mu, T \cdot (\xi \otimes \eta^*) \rangle = \int \langle \pi(s)T\pi(s^{-1})\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} d\mu(s).$$

By composing  $\Gamma$  on  $LUC(G)^*$  with the left-strict-weak\*-continuous completely isometric embedding  $\Theta$  of  $M(G)$  into  $LUC(G)^*$  – note that the restriction of  $\Theta$  to  $L^1(G)$  is  $\eta_{LUC}$  – we obtain the c.c. left-strict-weak\*-continuous representation

$$\Gamma_M : M(G) \rightarrow CB(B(\mathcal{H})) \quad \text{given by} \quad \langle \Gamma_M(\mu)(T)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \langle \pi(s)T\pi(s^{-1})\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} d\mu(s)$$

of  $M(G)$  as c.b. operators on  $B(\mathcal{H})$ ; here the left-strict topology on  $M(G)$  is taken with respect to the ideal  $L^1(G)$  [23, Lemma 1.2]. Observe that Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 of [11] are contained in these remarks.

The formulation

$$\mu \mapsto \Gamma(\mu) \quad \text{where} \quad \langle \Gamma(\mu)(T)\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \langle \pi(s)T\pi(s^{-1})\xi | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} d\mu(s)$$

also yields a weak\*-continuous completely isometric representation of  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)^*$  and a c.c. weak\*-continuous faithful representation of  $\mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*)^*$  as c.b. operators on  $B(\mathcal{H})$  (Theorem 4.2 and Remarks 4.5(c)). When  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is the left regular representation  $\{\lambda_2, L^2(G)\}$  of  $G$ , we will now show that  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*) = LUC(G)$  and, as an immediate corollary, will thereby recover the completely isometric weak\*-continuous representation of  $LUC(G)^*$  as c.b. mappings on  $B(L^2(G))$  due to Neufang [17, 18]. (It would be interesting to identify and study  $\mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  for other continuous unitary representations  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  of  $G$ .)

For the proof that follows, we note that since  $L^1(G)$  has a (contractive) bounded approximate identity,  $LUC(G) = L^\infty(G) \cdot L^1(G)$ ; moreover, for  $\phi \in L^\infty(G)$  and  $f \in L^1(G)$ ,  $(\phi \cdot f)(t) = \tilde{f} * \phi(t) = \int \tilde{f}(s)\phi(s^{-1}t) ds$  where  $\tilde{f}(s) = \Delta(s^{-1})f(s^{-1})$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $Q : T(\mathcal{H}) \times L^1(G) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{H})$  be taken with respect to  $\{\lambda_2, L^2(G)\}$ ,  $q$  the same module action with the operator space structures ignored. Then*

$$LUC(G) = \mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*) = \mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*) = \mathcal{F}_q(L^1(G)^*), \quad (13)$$

the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(G)^*}$  agree on this common space, and  $\|\cdot\|_q = \|\cdot\|_{L^1(G)^*}$  on  $LUC(G)$ .

*Proof.* We have already observed that each of the spaces displayed in (13) is contained in  $LUC(G)$ . Let  $\psi = [\psi_{i,j}] \in M_n(\mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*))$  with  $\|\psi\|_{L^1(G)^*} < 1$ . To see that  $\mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*) = \mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  and the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(G)^*}$  agree, by Proposition 4.9 it suffices to find  $T = [T_{i,j}] \in M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$  and  $K \in T(\mathcal{H})_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$  such that  $[\psi_{i,j}] = [T_{i,j} \cdot K]$ .

Since  $(\phi, f) \mapsto \phi \cdot f = \tilde{f} * \phi$  defines a c.c. right operator (dual)  $L^1(G)$ -module action on  $L^\infty(G)$ ,  $LUC(G) = L^\infty(G) \cdot L^1(G)$  and  $\psi \in M_n(LUC(G))_{\|\cdot\| < 1}$ , it follows from the Cohen factorization theorem – specifically Lemma 2.2 – that  $[\psi_{i,j}] = [\phi_{i,j} \cdot f]$  for some  $[\phi_{i,j}]$  in  $M_n(L^\infty(G))_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$  and  $f \in L^1(G)_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$ . The map  $L^\infty(G) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) : \phi \mapsto M_{\check{\phi}}$  where  $\check{\phi}(s) = \phi(s^{-1})$  and  $M_{\check{\phi}}$  is the multiplication operator on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G)$  by  $\check{\phi}$  is a composition of  $*$ -isomorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras, and is therefore a complete isometry [10, p. 26]. Letting  $T_{i,j} = M_{\check{\phi}_{i,j}}$ , we therefore have  $T = [T_{i,j}] \in M_n(B(\mathcal{H}))$  with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Following the proof of [11, Theorem 5.3], we now define  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  by letting  $\xi = |\tilde{f}|^{1/2}$ ,  $\eta(t) = \tilde{f}(t)/|\tilde{f}|^{1/2}(t)$  if  $f(t) \neq 0$  and  $\eta(t) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $K = \xi \otimes \eta^* \in T(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\|K\|_1 = \|\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 = \|\tilde{f}\|_1 = \|f\|_1 \leq 1$  and for  $t \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i,j} \cdot K(t) &= \langle T_{i,j} \lambda_2(t^{-1}) \xi | \lambda_2(t^{-1}) \eta \rangle = \int \phi_{i,j}(s^{-1}) \xi(ts) \overline{\eta(ts)} ds \\ &= \int \phi_{i,j}(s^{-1}) \tilde{f}(ts) ds = \int \tilde{f}(s) \phi_{i,j}(s^{-1}t) ds \\ &= \tilde{f} * \phi_{i,j}(t) = (\phi_{i,j} \cdot f)(t) = \psi_{i,j}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $[\psi_{i,j}] = [T_{i,j} \cdot K]$  with  $\|T\| \leq 1$  and  $\|K\|_1 \leq 1$ , as needed.

Observe that the above argument shows that any  $\psi \in LUC(G)$  with  $\|\psi\| < 1$  can be written as  $\psi = T \cdot K \in \mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*)$  for some  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$  and  $K \in T(\mathcal{H})_{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$ . Hence,  $LUC(G) = \mathcal{F}_Q(L^1(G)^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(L^1(G)^*) = \mathcal{E}_q(L^1(G)^*)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{E}_q(L^1(G)^*) = \mathcal{F}_q(L^1(G)^*)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_q = \|\cdot\|_{L^1(G)^*}$  by the Banach space version of Proposition 4.9 (see Remarks 4.12).  $\square$

The following is an immediate corollary to Theorems 5.8 and 4.6. Part (i) was first proved by Neufang [17, 18]; parts (ii) and (iii) are new.

**Corollary 5.9.** *The following statements hold:*

(i) *The map*

$$\Gamma : LUC(G)^* \rightarrow CB(B(L^2(G))) \text{ given by } \langle \Gamma(\mu)(T) \xi | \eta \rangle = \int \langle \lambda_2(s) T \lambda_2(s^{-1}) \xi | \eta \rangle d\mu(s)$$

*defines a completely isometric weak\*-continuous representation of  $LUC(G)^*$  as c.b. mappings on  $B(L^2(G))$ .*

(ii)  *$(LUC(G)^*, \eta_{LUC})$  is the unique operator HLDBA [LDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $A$  for which there is a completely isometric [c.c. faithful] weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(B(L^2(G)))$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(f) = \Gamma(f)$  for each  $f \in L^1(G)$ ;*

(iii)  *$(LUC(G)^*, \eta_{LUC})$  is the minimum operator HLDBA [LDBA]  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  over  $L^1(G)$  in  $(\mathcal{HLD}(L^1(G)), \leq)$  [ $(\mathcal{LD}(L^1(G)), \leq)$ ] for which there is a c.c. weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(B(L^2(G)))$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}(f) = \Gamma(f)$  for each  $f \in L^1(G)$ .*

Composing  $\Gamma$  – as defined in Corollary 5.9 – with the embedding  $\Theta : M(G) \hookrightarrow LUC(G)^*$ , yields the completely isometric representation of  $M(G)$  as c.b. operators on  $B(L^2(G))$  due to Størmer in the abelian case [25], Ghahramani in the general isometric form [12], and Neufang, Ruan and Spronk in the completely isometric form [19].

## 5.5 Other examples

There are many other examples of operator HLDBAs  $(\mathfrak{A}, \eta_{\mathfrak{A}})$  for which there is a completely isometric weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{A}} \circ \eta_{\mathfrak{A}}$  maps  $A$  into  $CB^\sigma(X^*)$ .

For instance, if  $A$  is a (c.c.) Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)$  is a closed introverted subspace of  $A^*$  contained in  $WAP(A^*)$  – the space of weakly almost periodic functionals on  $A^*$  – then  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  is a (operator) dual Banach algebra over  $A$  and therefore, by [9, Corollary 3.8] (the main result of [26]), there is a reflexive (operator) space  $E$  and a (completely) isometric weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*$  into  $B(E) = B^\sigma(E)$  ( $CB(E) = CB^\sigma(E)$ ). When  $A = L^1(\mathbb{G})$  for some locally compact quantum group  $\mathbb{G}$ , the authors of [13] studied several examples of closed left introverted subspaces of  $A^* = L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  for which there is an operator space  $X$  and a completely isometric weak\*-continuous representation  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S}(A^*)^* \rightarrow CB(X^*)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \circ \eta_{\mathcal{S}}$  maps  $L^1(\mathbb{G})$  into  $CB^\sigma(X^*)$ .

By Corollary 4.7 and Remarks 4.8, for each of these left introverted spaces there is a c.c. right operator  $A$ -module action  $Q$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(A^*) = \mathcal{E}_Q(A^*) = \mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)$ , the matrix norms  $\|\cdot\|_{A^*}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_Q$  are equal on this common space and, therefore, the operator HLDBAs  $(\mathcal{S}(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{S}})$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_Q)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_Q(A^*)^*, \eta_{\mathcal{E}})$  coincide. (Theorem 4.6 thus provides new characterizations of these LDBAs over  $A$ .) It would be interesting to try establishing some of these statements directly as we did with the other examples in this section, and thereby obtain new proofs of these representation theorems.

**Acknowledgements:** The author is grateful to the anonymous referee whose comments have improved the exposition of this paper.

## References

- [1] R. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2, (1951), 839-848.
- [2] G. Arzac, Sur l'espace de Banach engendré par les coefficients d'une représentation unitaire, *Publ. Dép. Math. (Lyon)* 13 (1976), 1-101.
- [3] M.E.B. Bekka, Amenable unitary representations of locally compact groups, *Invent. Math.* 100, 1990, 383-401.
- [4] J.F. Berglund, H. Junghenn and P. Milnes, *Analysis on semigroups: Function spaces, compactifications, representations*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [5] J. Conway, *A course in functional analysis, second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

- [6] P. C. Curtis Jr. and A. Figa-Talamanca, Factorization theorems for Banach algebras, *Function Algebras (Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras, Tulane Univ., 1965)*, Scott-Foresman, Chicago, Ill., 1966, pp. 169-185.
- [7] H.G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, Volume 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (2000).
- [8] H.G. Dales and A. T.-M. Lau, *The second duals of Beurling algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 177 (2005), no. 836, vi+191 pp.
- [9] M. Daws, Dual Banach algebras: representations and injectivity, *Studia Math.* 178 (2007), no. 3, 231-275.
- [10] E.G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator Spaces*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [11] M. Filali, M. Neufang and M. Sangani Monfared, Representations of Banach algebras subordinate to topologically introverted spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), no. 11, 8033-8050.
- [12] F. Ghahramani, Isometric representation of  $M(G)$  on  $B(H)$ , *Glasgow Math. J.* 23 (1982), no. 2, 119-122.
- [13] Z. Hu, M. Neufang and Z.-J. Ruan, Multipliers on a new class of Banach algebras, locally compact quantum groups, and topological centres, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 100 (2010), no. 2, 429-458.
- [14] E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Grundlehernder mathematischen Wissenschaften 152, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [15] A.T.-M. Lau, Uniformly continuous functionals on the Fourier algebra of any locally compact group, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 251 (1979), 39-59.
- [16] A.T.-M. Lau, Uniformly continuous functionals on Banach algebras, *Colloq. Math.* 51 (1987), 195-205.
- [17] M. Neufang, *Abstrakte Harmonische Analyse und Modulhomomorphismen über von Neumann-Algebren*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universitt des Saarlandes (2000).
- [18] M. Neufang, Isometric representation of convolution algebras as completely bounded module homomorphisms and a characterization of the measure algebra, unpublished manuscript.
- [19] M. Neufang, Z.-J. Ruan, and N. Spronk, Completely isometric representations of  $M_{cb}A(G)$  and  $UCB(\widehat{G})$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), no. 3, 1133-1161.
- [20] G. Pisier, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [21] Z.-J. Ruan, The operator amenability of  $A(G)$ , *Amer. J. Math.* 117 (1995), no. 6, 1449-1474.



- [22] N. Spronk and R. Stokke, Matrix coefficients of unitary representations and associated compactifications of locally compact groups, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 62 (2013), no. 1, 99-148.
- [23] R. Stokke, Homomorphisms of convolution algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 261 (2011), no. 12, 3665-3695.
- [24] R. Stokke, Amenability and modules for Arens product algebras *Q. J. Math.* 66 (2015), no. 1, 295-321.
- [25] E. Størmer, Regular abelian Banach algebras of linear maps of operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* 37 (1980), no. 3, 331-373.
- [26] F. Uygul, A representation theorem for completely contractive dual Banach algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 62 (2009), no. 2, 327-340.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINNIPEG, 515  
PORTAGE AVENUE, WINNIPEG, MB, CANADA, R3B 2E9  
email: [r.stokke@uwinnipeg.ca](mailto:r.stokke@uwinnipeg.ca)