

**THE STOKES PHENOMENON FOR CERTAIN PDES IN A CASE
WHEN INITIAL DATA HAVE A FINITE SET OF SINGULAR
POINTS**

BOŽENA TKACZ

ABSTRACT. We study the Stokes phenomenon via hyperfunctions for the solutions of the 1-dimensional complex heat equation under the condition that the Cauchy data are holomorphic on \mathbb{C} but a finitely many singular or branching points with the appropriate growth condition at the infinity. The main tool are the theory of summability and the theory of hyperfunctions, which allows us to describe jumps across Stokes lines.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the 1-dimensional complex heat equation $\partial_t u(t, z) = \partial_z^2 u(t, z)$, $u(0, z) = \varphi(z)$. The aim of this work is to describe jumps across the Stokes lines in terms of hyperfunctions in the case when the initial data $\varphi(z)$ have a finite set of singular points. First, we consider the function $\varphi(z)$ which has a single-valued singular point and we derive the jump in a form of convergent series (see Theorem 1). Then we discuss the case when the function $\varphi(z)$ has a multi-valued singular point and we give the integral representation of the jump (see Theorem 2). Thus we obtain a full characterization of the Stokes phenomenon for the considered equation. At the end, we extend our results to the generalization of the heat equation.

The important point to note here is that D.A. Lutz, M. Miyake and R. Schäfke in [7] considered the similar problem for the heat equation when the Cauchy data is a function $\varphi(z) = 1/z$ with singularity at 0. They proved that the heat kernel was given by a function as a jump of Borel sum (see [[7], Theorem 5.1]).

It is worth pointing out that this work is a continuation of the paper [9] in which we study the heat equation with the Cauchy data given by a meromorphic function with a simple pole or finitely many poles.

2. NOTATION. GEVREY'S ASYMPTOTICS AND k -SUMMABILITY

In the paper we use the following notation.

A set of the form

(1)

$$S = S_d(\alpha, R) = \{z \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}} : z = re^{i\phi}, r \in (0, R), \phi \in (d - \alpha/2, d + \alpha/2)\}.$$

defines a sector S in a direction $d \in \mathbb{R}$ with an opening $\alpha > 0$ and a radius $R \in \mathbb{R}_+$ in the universal covering space $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

(2)

$$D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}.$$

defines a complex disc D_r in \mathbb{C} with a radius $r > 0$.

In the case that

1. $R = +\infty$, then this sector is called unbounded and one can write $S = S_d(\alpha)$ for short,
2. the opening α is not essential, then the sector $S_d(\alpha)$ is denoted briefly by S_d ,

Key words and phrases. Stokes phenomenon, hyperfunctions.

3. the radius r is not essential, the set D_r will be designate by D .

To simplify the notation, we abbreviate a set $S_d(\alpha) \cup D$ (resp. $S_d \cup D$) to $\widehat{S}_d(\alpha)$ (resp. \widehat{S}_d).

If f is a holomorphic function on a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, then it will be written as $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$.

The set of all formal power series (i.e. a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$ created for a sequence of complex numbers $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$) will be represented by the symbol $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Similarly, $\mathcal{O}(D_r)[[t]]$ stands for the set of all formal power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z)t^n$ with $a_n(z) \in \mathcal{O}(D_r)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 1. Assume that $k > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(S)$. The function f is called of *exponential growth of order at most k* , if for every proper subsector $S^* \prec S$ (i.e. $\overline{S^*} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq S$) there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq C_1 e^{C_2 |x|^k}$ for every $x \in S^*$. If the function f is of exponential growth of order at most k , then one can write $f \in \mathcal{O}^k(S)$.

Definition 2. A power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is called a *formal power series of Gevrey order s* ($s \in \mathbb{R}$), if there exist positive constants $A, B > 0$ such that $|a_n| \leq AB^n (n!)^s$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The set of all such formal power series is denoted by $\mathbb{C}[[t]]_s$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(D_r)[[t]]_s$).

Remark 1. (see [1]) If $k < 0$ then $u \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]_k \iff u$ is convergent and $u \in \mathcal{O}^{-\frac{1}{k}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 3. Assume that $s \in \mathbb{R}$, S is a given sector in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(S)$. A power series $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]_s$ is called *Gevrey's asymptotic expansion of order s* of the function f in S (in symbols $f(t) \sim_s \hat{f}(t)$ in S) if for every $S^* \prec S$ there exist positive constants $A, B > 0$ such that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $t \in S^*$

$$|f(t) - \sum_{n=0}^N a_n t^n| \leq AB^N (N!)^s |t|^{N+1}.$$

To introduce the notion of summability, by Balser's theory of general moment summability ([1, Section 6.5], in particular [1, Theorem 38]), we may take Ecalle's acceleration and deceleration operators instead of the standard Laplace and Borel transform.

Definition 4 (see [1, Section 11.1]). Let $d \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{k} > \bar{k} > 0$ and $k := (1/\bar{k} - 1/\tilde{k})^{-1}$.

The *acceleration operator in a direction d with indices \tilde{k} and \bar{k}* , denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{k}, \bar{k}, d}$, is defined for every $g(t) \in \mathcal{O}^k(\widehat{S}_d)$ by

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{k}, \bar{k}, d} g)(t) := t^{-\bar{k}} \int_{e^{id}\mathbb{R}_+} g(s) C_{\tilde{k}/\bar{k}}((s/t)^{\tilde{k}}) ds^{\bar{k}},$$

where the *Ecalle kernel* C_α is defined by

$$(1) \quad C_\alpha(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\tau)^n}{n! \Gamma(1 - \frac{n+1}{\alpha})} \quad \text{for } \alpha > 1$$

and the integration is taken over the ray $e^{id}\mathbb{R}_+ := \{re^{id} : r \geq 0\}$.

The *formal deceleration operator with indices \tilde{k} and \bar{k}* , denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\tilde{k}, \bar{k}}$, is defined for every $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ by

$$(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\tilde{k}, \bar{k}} \hat{f})(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \frac{\Gamma(1 + n/\tilde{k})}{\Gamma(1 + n/\bar{k})}.$$

Definition 5. Let $k > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$. A formal power series $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is called *k -summable in a direction d* if

$$g(t) = (\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}} \hat{f})(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{\Gamma(1+n)}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n(k+1)}{k})} t^n \in \mathcal{O}^k(\widehat{S}_d(\varepsilon)) \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Moreover, the k -sum of $\hat{f}(t)$ in the direction d is given by

$$(2) \quad f^d(t) = \mathcal{S}_{k,d}\hat{f}(t) := (\mathcal{A}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}, \theta} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}} \hat{f})(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \theta \in (d - \varepsilon/2, d + \varepsilon/2).$$

Definition 6. If \hat{f} is k -summable in all directions d but (after identification modulo 2π) finitely many directions d_1, \dots, d_n then \hat{f} is called k -summable and d_1, \dots, d_n are called *singular directions* of \hat{f} .

3. THE STOKES PHENOMENON AND HYPERFUNCTIONS

3.1. The Stokes phenomenon for k -summable formal power series. Now let us recall the concept of the Stokes phenomenon [9, Definition 7].

Definition 7. Assume that $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]_{1/k}$ (resp. $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{O}(D)[[t]]_{1/k}$) is k -summable with finitely many singular directions d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n . Then for every $l = 1, \dots, n$ a set $\mathcal{L}_{d_l} = \{t \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}} : \arg t = d_l\}$ is called a *Stokes line* for \hat{f} (resp. \hat{u}). Of course every such Stokes line \mathcal{L}_{d_l} for \hat{f} (resp. \hat{u}) determines so called *anti-Stokes lines* $\mathcal{L}_{d_l \pm \frac{\pi}{2k}}$ for \hat{f} (resp. \hat{u}).

Moreover, if d_l^+ (resp. d_l^-) denotes a direction close to d_l and greater (resp. less) than d_l , and let $f^{d_l^+} = \mathcal{S}_{k,d_l^+}\hat{f}$ (resp. $f^{d_l^-} = \mathcal{S}_{k,d_l^-}\hat{f}$) then the difference $f^{d_l^+} - f^{d_l^-}$ is called a *jump* for \hat{f} across the Stokes line \mathcal{L}_{d_l} . Analogously we define the jump for \hat{u} .

Remark 2. Let $r(t) := f^{d_l^+}(t) - f^{d_l^-}(t)$ for all $t \in S = S_{d_l}(\frac{\pi}{k})$. Then $r(t) \sim_{1/k} 0$ on S .

3.2. Laplace type hyperfunctions. We will describe jumps across the Stokes lines in terms of hyperfunctions. The similar approach to the Stokes phenomenon one can find in [3, 8, 10]. For more information about the theory of hyperfunctions we refer the reader to [5].

We will consider the space

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{L}_d) := \mathcal{O}^k(D \cup (S_d \setminus \mathcal{L}_d)) / \mathcal{O}^k(\hat{S}_d)$$

of Laplace type hyperfunctions supported by \mathcal{L}_d with exponential growth of order k . It means that every hyperfunction $G \in \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{L}_d)$ may be written as

$$G(s) = [g(s)]_d = \{g(s) + h(s) : h(s) \in \mathcal{O}^k(\hat{S}_d)\}$$

for some defining function $g(s) \in \mathcal{O}^k(D \cup (S_d \setminus \mathcal{L}_d))$.

By the Köthe type theorem [6] one can treat the hyperfunction $G = [g(s)]_d$ as the analytic functional defined by

$$(3) \quad G(s)[\varphi(s)] := \int_{\gamma_d} g(s)\varphi(s) ds \quad \text{for sufficiently small} \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{O}^{-k}(\hat{S}_d)$$

with γ_d being a path consisting of the half-lines from $e^{id^-} \infty$ to 0 and from 0 to $e^{id^+} \infty$, i.e. $\gamma_d = -\gamma_{d^-} + \gamma_{d^+}$ with $\gamma_{d^\pm} = \mathcal{L}_{d^\pm}$.

3.3. The description of jumps across the Stokes lines in terms of hyperfunctions. Assume that \hat{f} is k -summable and d is a singular direction. By (2) the jump for \hat{f} across the Stokes line \mathcal{L}_d is given by

$$f^{d^+}(t) - f^{d^-}(t) = (\mathcal{A}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}, d^+} - \mathcal{A}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}, d^-}) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}} \hat{f}(t).$$

We will describe this jump in terms of hyperfunctions. To this end, observe that we can treat $g(t) := \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}} \hat{f}(t) \in \mathcal{O}^k(D \cup (S_d \setminus \mathcal{L}_d))$ as a defining function of the hyperfunction $G(s) := [g(s)]_d \in \mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{L}_d)$.

So, for sufficiently small $r > 0$ and $t \in S_d(\frac{\pi}{k}, r)$ this jump is given as the Ecalle acceleration operator $\mathcal{A}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}, d}$ acting on the hyperfunction $G(s)$. Precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{d^+}(t) - f^{d^-}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}_{1, \frac{k}{k+1}, d} G)(t) := G(s) \left[t^{\frac{-k}{1+k}} C_{\frac{k+1}{k}} \left((s/t)^{\frac{k}{1+k}} \right) \frac{k}{1+k} s^{-\frac{1}{1+k}} \right] \\ &= G(s^{\frac{1+k}{k}}) \left[t^{-k/(1+k)} C_{\frac{k+1}{k}} \left(s/t^{\frac{k}{1+k}} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $G(s)[\varphi(s)]$ is defined by (3), and the last equality holds by the change of variables, because if $G(s) = [g(s)]_d$ then $G(s^p) = [g(s^p)]_{d/p}$ for every $p > 0$.

4. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE STOKES PHENOMENON IN A CASE WHEN THE INITIAL DATA HAVE A FINITE SET OF SINGULAR POINTS

In this section we specify a form of the jumps across the Stokes lines based on the solution of the heat equation in a case when the initial data have a finite set of singular points. Due to the linearity of the equation, it is enough to consider the case that the singularity occurs only at one point – singular or branching point.

Recall the following proposition

Proposition 1 ([9, Theorem 4]). *Suppose that \hat{u} is a unique formal solution of the Cauchy problem of the heat equation*

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \partial_z^2 u \\ u(0, z) = \varphi(z) \end{cases}$$

with

$$(5) \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{O}^2 \left(D \cup S_{\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \cup S_{\frac{d}{2} + \pi} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right) \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then \hat{u} is 1-summable in the direction d and for every $\theta \in (d - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, d + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and for every $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$ there exists $r > 0$ such that its 1-sum $u^\theta \in \mathcal{O}(S_\theta(\pi - \tilde{\varepsilon}, r) \times D)$ is represented by

$$(6) \quad u(t, z) = u^\theta(t, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^{e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \infty} (\varphi(z+s) + \varphi(z-s)) e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds$$

for $t \in S_\theta(\pi - \tilde{\varepsilon}, r)$ and $z \in D_r$.

Now consider the heat equation (4) with $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{O}^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\})$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. First, observe that in this case \mathcal{L}_δ with $\delta := 2\theta := 2 \arg z_0$ is a Stokes line for \hat{u} .

For every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that for every fixed $z \in D_r$ the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right],$$

where $t \in S_\delta(\pi - \varepsilon, r)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_z(s) &= \left[\varphi(s+z) + \varphi(z-s) \right]_{\theta_z} \\ &= \left[\varphi(s+z) \right]_{\theta_z} \in \mathcal{O}^2(D \cup (S_\theta(\alpha) \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\theta_z})) / \mathcal{O}^2(D \cup S_\theta(\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

and $\theta_z = \arg(z_0 - z)$.

Remark 3. In the remainder of this section we assume that $t \in S_\delta(\pi - \varepsilon, r)$ and fixed $z \in D$ ($\varepsilon, r > 0$).

Now we consider the case when z_0 is a single-valued singular point of the function $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{O}^2(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\})$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(z-z_0)^n} + \phi(z)$, where $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < 1$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{O}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$F_z(s) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(z+s-z_0)^n} \right]_{\theta_z} = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \delta^{(n-1)}(z+s-z_0),$$

where δ is the Dirac function and $\delta^{(n-1)}$ denotes its $(n-1)$ -th derivative. Moreover, the jump is given by the convergent series

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = -i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \Big|_{s=z_0-z}.$$

Proof. Observe that since $\delta(x) = \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi i s} \right]$ (see [5]), then $\delta(x-a) = \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi i (s-a)} \right]$ (where $a \in \mathbb{R}$) and differentiating it n -times one can easily obtain

$$\delta^{(n)}(x-a) = \left[-\frac{(-1)^n n!}{2\pi i (s-a)^{n+1}} \right] \implies -\frac{2\pi i \delta^{(n-1)}(x-a)}{(-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!} = \left[\frac{1}{(s-a)^n} \right].$$

Notice that the same holds for $a = z_0 - z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence we derive

$$F_z(s) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(s+z-z_0)^n} \right]_{\theta_z} = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \delta^{(n-1)}(s+z-z_0).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) &= F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \\ &= -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \delta^{(n-1)}(s+z-z_0) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \\ &= -i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \Big|_{s=z_0-z}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the convergence of the series above.

Notice that by Remark 1 since $s \mapsto e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \in \mathcal{O}^2(\mathbb{C})$, then there exist $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} > 0$ such that for every $t \in S(\theta, \pi - \tilde{\varepsilon}, r)$ and $z \in D_r$ (for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$) we have that

$$\left| \delta^{(n-1)}(z+s-z_0) \left[e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \right| = \left| \frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \Big|_{s=z_0-z} \right| \leq \tilde{A} \tilde{B}^{(n-1)} ((n-1)!)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z)| &= \left| -i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n (-1)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} \delta^{(n-1)}(z+s-z_0) \left[e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n-1)!} \left| \delta^{(n-1)}(z+s-z_0) \left[e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n-1)!} \tilde{A} \tilde{B}^{(n-1)} ((n-1)!)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \tilde{A} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n| \tilde{B}^{(n-1)}}{((n-1)!)^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < 1$. Thus this implies the convergence of $u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z)$. \square

In particular, from the above theorem we obtain the following examples.

Example 1. Assume now $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{(z-z_0)^n} + \phi(z)$, for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{O}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$F_z(s) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{(z+s-z_0)^n} \right]_{\theta_z} = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \delta^{(n-1)}(z+s-z_0),$$

and the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = -i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n (-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{ds^{n-1}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \Big|_{s=z_0-z}.$$

Example 2. Let $\varphi(z) = e^{\frac{1}{z-z_0}}$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$F_z(s) = \left[e^{\frac{1}{z+s-z_0}} \right]_{\theta_z} = -2\pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(k+1)!} \delta^{(k)}(z+s-z_0),$$

and the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = -i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(k+1)!} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \Big|_{s=z_0-z}.$$

Let us now consider the general case. For this purpose fix $z \in D$. For $s \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta_z}$ define (similarly as in [3] and [10]) a function on \mathcal{L}_{θ_z} by

$$\text{var}F_z(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } |s| < |z_0 - z| \\ \varphi(z_0 + (s+z-z_0)e^{2\pi i}) - \varphi(s+z) & , \text{if } |s| > |z_0 - z|, \end{cases}$$

and a Heaviside function in a direction θ_z by

$$H_{\theta_z}(xe^{i\theta_z}) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{for } x > 0 \\ 0 & , \text{for } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{thus } F_z(s) = \left[\varphi(s+z) \right]_{\theta_z} = -\text{var}F_z(s) = -\text{var}F_z(s)H_{\theta_z}(s+z-z_0).$$

So $u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = -\text{var}F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right]$ where, in general, $-\text{var}F_z(s)$ is an analytic functional on \mathcal{L}_{θ_z} .

Notation. The set of all measurable functions $f : \mathcal{L}_{\theta_z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\int_K |f| dx < \infty$ for all compact sets $K \subset \mathcal{L}_{\theta_z}$ will be denoted by $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{L}_{\theta_z})$.

Theorem 2. Under the above assumptions we have several cases to discuss

- (1) $\text{var}F_z(s) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathcal{L}_{\theta_z})$ and is an analytic function of exponential growth of order at most 2 for $|s| > |z_0 - z|$.

Then for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = - \int_{z_0-z}^{e^{i\theta_z}\infty} \text{var}F_z(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds,$$

for $(t, z) \in S_\delta(\pi - \varepsilon, r) \times D$.

- (2) $\text{var}F_z(s)$ is a distribution on \mathcal{L}_{θ_z} and is an analytic function of exponential growth of order at most 2 for $|s| > |z_0 - z|$.

Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s)$ satisfying the assumptions of the case (1) such that

$$\frac{d^m}{ds^m} \text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) = \text{var}F_z(s).$$

Moreover, for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that the jump is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) &= -\text{var}F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \\ &= -\frac{d^m}{ds^m} \text{var}\tilde{F}(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] = -\text{var}\tilde{F}(s) \left[(-1)^m \frac{d^m}{ds^m} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right) \right] \\ &= -\int_{z_0-z}^{e^{i\theta z} \infty} \text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) (-1)^m \frac{d^m}{ds^m} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for $(t, z) \in S_\delta(\pi - \varepsilon, r) \times D$.

(3) $\text{var}F_z(s)$ is an analytic functional on \mathcal{L}_{θ_z} .

Then $\text{var}F_z(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{var}F_{z,n}(s)$, where $\text{var}F_{z,n}(s)$ satisfy the assumptions of the case (2). So for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s)$ satisfying the assumptions of the case (1) such that

$$\text{var}F_{z,n}(s) = \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s).$$

Moreover, for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that the jump is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) &= -\text{var}F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{var}F_{z,n}(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{z_0-z}^{e^{i\theta z} \infty} \text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s) (-1)^{k_n} \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for $(t, z) \in S_\delta(\pi - \varepsilon, r) \times D$.

Proof. Ad.(1) First observe that for every $z \in D$ the function $s \mapsto \text{var}F_z(s)$ is analytic on $\mathcal{L}_{\theta_z} \setminus \{z_0 - z\}$, locally integrable and has an exponential growth of order at most 2 as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $s \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta_z}$. Hence for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that the integral $u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z)$ is well defined for $(t, z) \in S_\delta(\pi - \varepsilon, r) \times D$.

For $z_0 - z = x_0 e^{i\theta z}$ and $s = x e^{i\theta z}$, where $x_0, x > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) &= F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi((x+i\varepsilon)e^{i\theta z} + z_0 - x_0 e^{i\theta z}) e^{-\frac{1}{4t}((x+i\varepsilon)e^{i\theta z})^2} e^{i\theta z} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^\infty \varphi((x-i\varepsilon)e^{i\theta z} + z_0 - x_0 e^{i\theta z}) e^{-\frac{1}{4t}((x-i\varepsilon)e^{i\theta z})^2} e^{i\theta z} dx \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{4t}(x e^{i\theta z})^2} e^{i\theta z} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \varphi((x+i\varepsilon - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varphi((x-i\varepsilon - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) \right\} dx = (*) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

- for $x - x_0 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \varphi((x+i\varepsilon - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) - \varphi((x-i\varepsilon - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) \right\} \\ = \varphi((x - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) - \varphi((x - x_0)e^{i\theta z} e^{2\pi i} + z_0) \end{aligned}$$

- for $x - x_0 < 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \varphi((x + i\varepsilon - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) - \varphi((x - i\varepsilon - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) \right\} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \left\{ \varphi((x - x_0)e^{i\theta z} + z_0) - \varphi((x - x_0)e^{i\theta z} e^{2\pi i} + z_0) \right\} e^{-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{4t}} e^{i\theta z} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{z_0 - z}^{e^{i\theta z} \infty} \left(\varphi(s + z) - \varphi((s + z - z_0)e^{2\pi i} + z_0) \right) e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds \\ &= - \int_{z_0 - z}^{e^{i\theta z} \infty} \text{var}F_z(s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Ad.(2) Observe that since $\text{var}F_z(s)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{L}_{\theta z} \setminus \{z_0 - z\}$, by the locally structure theorem for distributions (see Proposition 7.1 [2]), there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L}_{\theta z})$ such that

$$\frac{d^m}{ds^m} \text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) = \text{var}F_z(s).$$

Furthermore, $\text{var}F_z(s)$ has exponential growth of order at most 2 as $s \rightarrow \infty, s \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta z}$, then also $\text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s)$ has an exponential growth of order at most 2 as $s \rightarrow \infty, s \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta z}$. The rest of the proof is analogous to the proof of the case (1).

Ad.(3) Notice that since $\text{var}F_{z,n}(s)$ obey the assumptions of the case (2) and based on results in [4] we can write $\text{var}F_z(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{var}F_{z,n}(s)$, where $\text{var}F_{z,n}(s)$ satisfy the assumptions of the case (2). Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s)$ satisfying the assumptions of the case (1) such that $\text{var}F_{z,n}(s) = \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s)$. The rest of the proof is also similar to the proof of the case (1). \square

Now we give two examples of the function $\varphi(z)$ satisfying the case (1) of Theorem 2.

Example 3. Assume that $\varphi(z) = \ln(z - z_0)$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\text{var}F_z(s) = 2\pi i H_{\theta z}(s + z - z_0),$$

and the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = -i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \int_{z_0 - z}^{e^{i\theta z} \infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds.$$

Indeed, for $|s| > |z_0 - z|$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}F_z(s) &= \varphi(z_0 + (s + z - z_0)e^{2\pi i}) - \varphi(s + z) = \\ &= \ln\left(z_0 + (s + z - z_0)e^{2\pi i} - z_0\right) - \ln\left((s + z) - z_0\right) = \\ &= \ln\left((s + z - z_0)e^{2\pi i}\right) - \ln(s + z - z_0) = 2\pi i. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4. Let $\varphi(z) = (z - z_0)^\lambda$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda > -1$. Then

$$\text{var}F_z(s) = 2i H_{\theta z}(z + s - z_0)(-s - z + z_0)^\lambda \sin(\lambda\pi),$$

and the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{z_0 - z}^{e^{i\theta z} \infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} (-s - z + z_0)^\lambda \sin(\lambda\pi) ds.$$

More precisely, for $|s| > |z_0 - z|$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}F_z(s) &= \varphi(z_0 + (z + s - z_0)e^{2\pi i}) - \varphi(z + s) \\ &= \left((z_0 + (z + s - z_0)e^{2\pi i}) - z_0 \right)^\lambda - \left((z + s) - z_0 \right)^\lambda \\ &= ((z + s - z_0)e^{2\pi i})^\lambda - (z + s - z_0)^\lambda = (z + s - z_0)^\lambda (e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1) \\ &= 2i(-1)^\lambda (z + s - z_0)^\lambda \sin(\pi\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(\pi\lambda) &= \frac{e^{i\pi\lambda} - e^{-i\pi\lambda}}{2i} = \frac{e^{2i\pi\lambda} - 1}{2ie^{i\pi\lambda}} \\ &\implies e^{2i\pi\lambda} - 1 = 2ie^{i\pi\lambda} \sin(\pi\lambda) = 2i(-1)^\lambda \sin(\pi\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now we present an example of the function $\varphi(z)$ satisfying the case (2) of Theorem 2.

Example 5. Let again $\varphi(z) = (z - z_0)^\lambda$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda < -1$. Then for $m = \lfloor -\lambda \rfloor$ we can define $\text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L}_{\theta_z})$ by

$$\text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) = \frac{2i(-1)^{\lambda+m} \sin(\pi(\lambda+m))}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\dots(\lambda+m)} (s+z-z_0)^{\lambda+m} H_{\theta_z}(s+z-z_0),$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}F_z(s) &= \frac{d^m}{ds^m} \text{var}\tilde{F}_z(s) \\ &= \frac{d^m}{ds^m} \left\{ \frac{2i(-1)^{\lambda+m} \sin(\pi(\lambda+m))}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\dots(\lambda+m)} (s+z-z_0)^{\lambda+m} H_{\theta_z}(s+z-z_0) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the jump is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) &= \frac{-i}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{z_0-z}^{e^{i\theta_z}\infty} \frac{(-1)^\lambda (s+z-z_0)^{\lambda+m} \sin((\lambda+m)\pi)}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\dots(\lambda+m)} \frac{d^m}{ds^m} \left(e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we give an example of the function $\varphi(z)$ that satisfies the case (3) of Theorem 2.

Example 6. Assume now that $\varphi(z) = e^{\frac{1}{(z-z_0)^\lambda}}$ where $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then for $k_n = \lfloor \lambda n \rfloor$ we can define functions $\text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{L}_{\theta_z})$ by

$$\text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s) = \frac{2i(-s-z+z_0)^{-\lambda n+k_n} \sin((-\lambda n+k_n)\pi)}{n!(-\lambda n+1)(-\lambda n+2)\dots(-\lambda n+k_n)} H_{\theta_z}(s+z-z_0),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}F_z(s) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{var}F_{z,n}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \text{var}\tilde{F}_{z,n}(s) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \frac{2i(-s-z+z_0)^{-\lambda n+k_n} \sin((-\lambda n+k_n)\pi)}{n!(-\lambda n+1)(-\lambda n+2)\dots(-\lambda n+k_n)} \right) H_{\theta_z}(s+z-z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then the jump is given by

$$u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) = - \int_{z_0-z}^{e^{i\theta}z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i(-s-z+z_0)^{-\lambda n+k_n} \sin((- \lambda n+k_n)\pi)}{\sqrt{\pi} n! (-\lambda n+1)(-\lambda n+2)\dots(-\lambda n+k_n)} \left[(-1)^{k_n} \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \left(e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right) \right] ds.$$

Observe that by Remark 1 since $s \mapsto e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \in \mathcal{O}^2(\mathbb{C})$, then there exist $A, B > 0$ such that for every $t \in S(\theta, \pi - \tilde{\varepsilon}, r)$ and $z \in D_r$ (for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$) we have that

$$\left| (-1)^{k_n} \frac{d^{k_n}}{ds^{k_n}} \left(e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \right) \right| \leq AB^{\lambda n} (n!)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \text{ and } \left| \frac{1}{n!(-\lambda n+1)(-\lambda n+2)\dots(-\lambda n+k_n)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(n!)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+1}} < \infty$$

hence analogously to the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the convergence of the above series.

At the end of this section, we similarly derive jumps for the following generalization of the heat equation

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^p u(t, z) = \partial_z^q u(t, z), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 1 \leq p < q \\ u(0, z) = \varphi(z) \in \mathcal{O}(D) \\ \partial_t^j u(0, z) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1, \end{cases}$$

with $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{O}^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \left(D \cup \bigcup_{l=0}^{q-1} S_{\frac{d}{q} + \frac{2\pi l}{q}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon p}{q} \right) \right)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then a unique formal solution $\hat{u}(t, z)$ of this Cauchy problem is $\frac{p}{q-p}$ -summable in the direction d and for every $\psi \in (d - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, d + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and for every $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$ there exists $r > 0$ such that its $\frac{p}{q-p}$ -sum $u \in \mathcal{O}(S_d(\frac{\pi(q-p)}{p} - \tilde{\varepsilon}, r) \times D)$ is given by (see [9, Theorem 6])

$$(8) \quad u(t, z) = u^\psi(t, z) = \frac{1}{q^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \sqrt[q]{t^p}} \int_0^{e^{\frac{i\psi p}{q}} \infty} (\varphi(z+s) + \dots + \varphi(z + e^{\frac{2(q-1)\pi i}{q}} s)) C_{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt[q]{t^p}} \right) ds.$$

As in the case of the heat equation (4), we assume that $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{O}^{\frac{q}{q-p}}(\widehat{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}})$.

Then \mathcal{L}_δ with $\delta := q\theta/p := q \arg z_0/p$ is a separate Stokes line for \hat{u} , such that $\delta_z = q \arg(z_0 - z)/p$ for every sufficiently small z .

For every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that for every fixed $z \in D_r$ the jump is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\delta^+}(t, z) - u^{\delta^-}(t, z) &= F_z(s) \left[\frac{1}{q^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \sqrt[q]{t^p}} C_{\frac{q}{p}}(s/\sqrt[q]{t^p}) \right] \\ &= \left[\varphi(z+s) + \dots + \varphi(z + e^{\frac{2(q-1)\pi i}{q}} s) \right]_{\theta_z} \left[\frac{1}{q^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \sqrt[q]{t^p}} C_{\frac{q}{p}}(s/\sqrt[q]{t^p}) \right] \\ &= \left[\varphi(z+s) \right]_{\theta_z} \left[\frac{1}{q^{\frac{q}{q-p}} \sqrt[q]{t^p}} C_{\frac{q}{p}}(s/\sqrt[q]{t^p}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

(the last equality arising from the fact that in this case all singular points appear in the function $\varphi(z+s)$), where the hyperfunction $F_z(s) = \left[\varphi(z+s) + \dots + \varphi(z + e^{\frac{2(q-1)\pi i}{q}} s) \right]_{\theta_z}$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{O}^{\frac{q}{q-p}}(D \cup (S_\theta(\alpha) \setminus \mathcal{L}_{\theta_z})) / \mathcal{O}^{\frac{q}{q-p}}(D \cup S_\theta(\alpha))$ with $\theta_z = \arg(z_0 - z)$.

Thus, we obtain analogous results as for the heat equation (4), only that in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we replace $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}}$ by $\frac{1}{q\sqrt[t^p]{t^p}} C_{\frac{q}{p}}(s/\sqrt[t^p]{t^p})$.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. BALSER, *Formal power series and linear systems of meromorphic ordinary differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [2] A. EL KINANI AND M. OUDADESS, *Distributions Theory and Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2010.
- [3] G. IMMINK, *Multisummability and the Stokes phenomenon*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., 1 (1995), pp. 483–534.
- [4] A. KANEKO, *On the structure of hyperfunctions with compact supports*, Proc. Japan Acad., II (1971), pp. 956–959.
- [5] ———, *Introduction to hyperfunctions*, vol. 3 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [6] G. KÖTHE, *Dualität in der Funktionentheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 191 (1953), pp. 30–49.
- [7] D. LUTZ, M. MIYAKE, AND R. SCHÄPFKE, *On the Borel summability of divergent solutions of the heat equation*, Nagoya Math. J., 154 (1999), pp. 1–29.
- [8] S. MALEK, *On the Stokes phenomenon for holomorphic solutions of integro-differential equations with irregular singularity*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., 14 (2008), pp. 371–408.
- [9] S. MICHALIK AND B. PODHAJECKA, *The Stokes phenomenon for certain partial differential equations with meromorphic initial data*, Asymptot. Anal., 99 (2016), pp. 163–182.
- [10] B. Y. STERNIN AND V. E. SHATALOV, *Borel-Laplace Transform and Asymptotic Theory*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCES, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, CARDINAL STEFAN WYSZYŃSKI UNIVERSITY, WÓYCICKIEGO 1/3, 01-938 WARSZAWA, POLAND

E-mail address: bpodhajecka@o2.pl