

Local automorphisms of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras

Mauro Costantini

Dipartimento di Matematica “Tullio Levi-Civita”
Torre Archimede - via Trieste 63 - 35121 Padova - Italy
email: costantini@math.unipd.it

Abstract

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0. A linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called a local automorphism if for every x in \mathfrak{g} there is an automorphism φ_x of \mathfrak{g} such that $\varphi(x) = \varphi_x(x)$. We prove that a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is local automorphism if and only if it is an automorphism or an anti-automorphism.

Keywords: Simple Lie algebra, Nilpotent Lie algebra, Automorphism, Local automorphism.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17A36, 17B20, 17B40.

1 Introduction

Mappings which are close to automorphisms and derivations of algebras have been extensively investigated: in particular, since the 1990s (see [17], [18], [19]), the description of local and 2-local automorphisms (respectively, local and 2-local derivations) of algebras has been deeply studied by many authors.

Given an algebra \mathcal{A} over a field k , a *local automorphism* (respectively, *local derivation*) of \mathcal{A} is a k -linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an automorphism (respectively, a derivation) φ_a of \mathcal{A} such that $\varphi(a) = \varphi_a(a)$. A map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (not k -linear in general) is called a *2-local automorphism* (respectively, a *2-local derivation*) if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an automorphism (respectively, a derivation) $\varphi_{x,y}$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\varphi(x) = \varphi_{x,y}(x)$ and $\varphi(y) = \varphi_{x,y}(y)$.

In [18] the author proves that the automorphisms and the anti-automorphisms of the associative algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of complex $n \times n$ matrices exhaust all its local automorphisms. On the other hand,

it is proven in [10] that a certain commutative subalgebra of $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ has a local automorphism which is not an automorphism.

Among other results (see the Introduction of [4] for a detailed historical account), assuming the field k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, in [1] the authors proved that every 2-local derivation of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra is a derivation; in [2] it is proved that every local derivation of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra is a derivation. As far as automorphisms are concerned, in [9] the authors proved that if \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type A_ℓ ($\ell \geq 1$), D_ℓ ($\ell \geq 4$), or E_i ($i = 6, 7, 8$), then every 2-local automorphism of \mathfrak{g} is an automorphism. This result was extended to any finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra in [3]. On the other hand, for local automorphisms of simple Lie algebras it is only known that the automorphisms and the anti-automorphisms of the special linear algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ exhaust all its local automorphisms ([4, Theorem 2.3]).

The main purpose of this paper is to extend this result to any finite dimensional simple Lie algebra: namely we prove that a K -linear endomorphism of a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over the algebraically closed field K of characteristic zero is a local automorphism if and only if it is an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of \mathfrak{g} .

Let G be the connected component of the automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} : then G is the adjoint simple algebraic group over K with the same Dynkin diagram as \mathfrak{g} . It is clear that every automorphism of \mathfrak{g} is a local automorphism: we show that every anti-automorphism of \mathfrak{g} is a local automorphism too. For this purpose we make use of the Bala-Carter theory for the classification of nilpotent elements in \mathfrak{g} .

To show that a local automorphism of \mathfrak{g} is an automorphism or an anti-automorphism, we make use of the Tits' Building $\Delta(G)$ of G (as defined in [22, Chap. 5.3]) and the classification theorem [22, Theorem 5.8] which in particular describes the automorphisms of $\Delta(G)$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We denote by \mathbb{R} the reals, by \mathbb{Z} the integers.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a finite indecomposable Cartan matrix of rank n . To A there is associated a root system Φ , a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a simple adjoint algebraic group G over K . We

fix a maximal torus T of G , and a Borel subgroup B containing T : B^- is the Borel subgroup opposite to B , U (respectively U^-) is the unipotent radical of B (respectively of B^-). We denote by \mathfrak{h} , \mathfrak{n} , \mathfrak{n}^- the Lie algebra of T , U , U^- respectively. Then Φ is the set of roots relative to T , and B determines the set of positive roots Φ^+ , and the simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. The real space $E = \mathbb{R}\Phi$ is a Euclidean space, endowed with the scalar product $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$. Here $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ are relatively prime positive integers such that if D is the diagonal matrix with entries d_1, \dots, d_n , then DA is symmetric. For $\beta = m_1\alpha_1 + \dots + m_n\alpha_n$, the height of β is $m_1 + \dots + m_n$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, we put $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$.

We denote by W the Weyl group; s_α is the reflection associated to $\alpha \in \Phi$, we write for short s_i for the simple reflection associated to α_i , w_0 is the longest element of W . We put $\Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$, ϑ the symmetry (called the opposite involution) of Π induced by $-w_0$ and we fix a Chevalley basis $\{h_i, i \in \Pi; e_\alpha, \alpha \in \Phi\}$ of \mathfrak{g} (see [7, Chap. 4.2]). We put $h_\beta = [e_\beta, e_{-\beta}]$ for $\beta \in \Phi$ (hence $h_i = h_{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in \Pi$).

We use the notation $x_\alpha(\xi)$, for $\alpha \in \Phi$, $\xi \in K$, as in [7], [21]. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ we put $X_\alpha = \{x_\alpha(\xi) \mid \xi \in K\}$, the root-subgroup corresponding to α . We identify W with N/T , where N is the normalizer of T .

We choose the x_α 's so that, for all $\alpha \in \Phi$, $n_\alpha = x_\alpha(1)x_{-\alpha}(-1)x_\alpha(1)$ lies in N and has image the reflection s_α in W . The family $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ is called a *realization* of Φ in G .

Given an element $w \in W$ we shall denote a representative of w in N by \dot{w} . We can, and shall, take \dot{w} defined over \mathbb{Z} .

For algebraic groups we use the notation in [14], [8]. In particular, for $J \subseteq \Pi$, $\Delta_J = \{\alpha_j \mid j \in J\}$, Φ_J is the corresponding root system, W_J the Weyl group, P_J the standard parabolic subgroup of G , $L_J = T \langle X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_J \rangle$ the standard Levi subgroup of P_J . For $w \in W$ we have

$$\dot{w}U^-\dot{w}^{-1} \cap U = \prod_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ w^{-1}\alpha < 0}} X_\alpha$$

If x is an element of \mathfrak{g} , $C_G(x)$ is the centralizer of x in G .

We denote by $GL(\mathfrak{g})$ the group of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} as a K -vector space. The group $\text{AUT}(\mathfrak{g})$ of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} as a Lie algebra is completely described in [15, Chap. IX], [13, 16.5].

We denote by $\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of the nilradicals of Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . This is a unique orbit under G : if $\mathfrak{n}_1 \in \mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$ then, by the Bruhat decomposition in G , there exists a unique $w \in W$ and a unique $u \in \dot{w}U^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1} \cap U$ such that $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \text{Ad } u\dot{w}.\mathfrak{n}$.

3 The main result

We recall that a parabolic subgroup P is called *distinguished* if $\dim P/R_uP = \dim R_uP/(R_uP)'$. Here R_uP is the unipotent radical of P and $(R_uP)'$ is the derived subgroup of R_uP (see [8, p. 167]). Two parabolic subgroups are said to be *opposite* if their intersection is a common Levi subgroup (see [5, 14.20]). If P is a parabolic subgroup and L is a Levi subgroup of P , then there exists a unique parabolic subgroup opposite to P containing L . Any two opposite parabolic subgroups of P are conjugate by a unique element of R_uP ([5, Proposition 14.21]).

Lemma 3.1 *Let P be a distinguished parabolic subgroup of a semisimple algebraic group R and let P^{op} be an opposite parabolic subgroup of P . Then P and P^{op} are conjugate in R .*

Proof. It is enough to assume R simple, $P = P_J = \langle B, X_{-\alpha_i} \mid i \in J \rangle$, $P^{\text{op}} = \langle B^-, X_{\alpha_i} \mid i \in J \rangle$ for a certain $J \subseteq \Pi$. If $w_0 = -1$, then $P^{\text{op}} = \dot{w}_0P\dot{w}_0^{-1}$. We are left with the cases where R is of type A_n , $n \geq 2$, D_n with $n \geq 5$, n odd, E_6 . From the tables in [8], p. 174 - 176, one checks that again $P^{\text{op}} = \dot{w}_0P\dot{w}_0^{-1}$, since in each case the diagram of P is invariant under the opposite involution ϑ of the Dynkin diagram. \square

Theorem 3.2 *The anti-automorphism $-i_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $x \mapsto -x$ is a local automorphism of \mathfrak{g} .*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We have to show that there exists $\alpha \in \text{AUT}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\alpha(x) = -x$. Let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of x : it is enough to show that this holds for a certain $y \in \mathcal{O}$. In fact, if $x = \text{Ad } g.y$ and $\beta(y) = -y$ for certain $g \in G$, $\beta \in \text{AUT}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\alpha(x) = -x$, where α is the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} given by $\alpha = (\text{Ad } g)\beta(\text{Ad } g)^{-1}$.

Let $x = s + e$ be the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition of x , i.e. s is semisimple, e is nilpotent, with $[s, e] = 0$. Let $H = C_G(s)$. This is a Levi subgroup of G and, up to conjugacy in G , we may assume that H is the standard Levi subgroup L_J of G . Moreover the centralizer of s in \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra \mathfrak{l}_J of L_J , e lies in \mathfrak{l}_J , and s lies in the center $Z(\mathfrak{l}_J) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$. Let \mathfrak{m} be a minimal Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{l}_J containing e . Let M be the Levi subgroup of H such that $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Lie}(M)$, and let

M' be the semisimple part of M and $\mathfrak{m}' = \text{Lie}(M')$. Then e lies in \mathfrak{m}' and e is distinguished in \mathfrak{m}' . There exists a distinguished parabolic subgroup $P_{M'}$ of M' such that e lies in the dense orbit of $P_{M'}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{P_{M'}}$ of its unipotent radical. Up to conjugation by an element of H , we may assume that $P_{M'} = \langle T_1, X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}, X_\delta \mid \alpha \in \Psi_1, \delta \in \Psi_2 \rangle$ for T_1 a certain subtorus of T and Ψ_1, Ψ_2 certain disjoint subsets of Φ^+ .

Now we consider an automorphism ι of G satisfying

$$\iota(t) = t^{-1} \quad \text{for every } t \in T \quad , \quad \iota(X_\alpha) = X_{-\alpha} \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \Phi$$

[16, proof of Corollary 1.16, p. 189]. Then the differential $d\iota$ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} satisfying $d\iota(h) = -h$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, in particular $d\iota(s) = -s$. It is enough, by [20, Lemma 2.2.1], to show that $d\iota(e)$ and $-e$ are conjugate by an element of H . But $\iota(P_{M'}) = \langle T_1, X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}, X_{-\delta} \mid \alpha \in \Psi_1, \delta \in \Psi_2 \rangle$ is opposite to $P_{M'}$ (since $P_{M'} \cap \iota(P_{M'}) = \langle T_1, X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Psi_1 \rangle$, a Levi subgroup of M'). Since a parabolic subgroup has a unique dense orbit on the Lie algebra of its unipotent radical, and clearly $-e$ lies in the dense orbit of $P_{M'}$ on $\mathfrak{u}_{P_{M'}}$, and $d\iota(N)$ lies in the dense orbit of $\iota(P_{M'})$ on $d\iota(\mathfrak{u}_{P_{M'}})$, it is enough to show that $P_{M'}$ and $\iota(P_{M'})$ are conjugate in H . From Lemma 3.1 it follows that $P_{M'}$ and $\iota(P_{M'})$ are already conjugate in M' , and we are done. \square

We denote by $\text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ the group of automorphisms of the K -vector space \mathfrak{g} which are either automorphisms or anti-automorphisms of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $\text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{AUT}(\mathfrak{g}) \rtimes \langle -i_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle$. We observe that if φ is a local automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , then φ is invertible and its inverse is a local automorphism. It is also clear that the composite of local automorphisms is a local automorphism, therefore the set $\text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of local automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} is a subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{g})$. By Theorem 3.2 we have

Corollary 3.3 *Every anti-automorphism of \mathfrak{g} is a local automorphism, i.e. $\text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

\square

We shall prove that $\text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let φ be in $\text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then φ leaves invariant the set \mathcal{N} of nilpotent elements and the set \mathcal{S} of semisimple elements of \mathfrak{g} .*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. There exists $\varphi_x \in \text{AUT}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\varphi_x(x) = \varphi(x)$. Since automorphisms map nilpotent (respectively, semisimple) elements to nilpotent (respectively, semisimple) elements, it follows that $\varphi(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Since φ^{-1} is also a local automorphism, we conclude that $\varphi(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$. \square

A classical theorem of Gerstenhaber [12] states that any vector space consisting of nilpotent $n \times n$ matrices has dimension at most $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$, and that any such space attaining this maximal possible dimension is conjugate to the space of upper triangular matrices. In [11] the authors generalized this result to the Lie algebra of any reductive algebraic group over any algebraically closed field, under certain conditions in case the characteristic of the field is 2 or 3. We restate this generalization for our purposes. For short we say that a subspace V of \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent, if V consists of nilpotent elements.

Theorem 3.5 ([11, Theorem 1]) *Let V be a nilpotent subspace of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over K . Then $\dim V \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rk } \mathfrak{g})$ and, if equality holds, V is the nilradical of a Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .*

In particular the nilpotent subspaces of maximal dimension are the maximal nilpotent subalgebras \mathfrak{g} : they constitute the set $\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined in the Preliminaries.

Proposition 3.6 *Let φ be in $\text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then φ induces a permutation of the set $\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. Let V be any nilpotent subspace of \mathfrak{g} . By Lemma 3.4 $\varphi(V)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ are nilpotent subspaces of \mathfrak{g} . Therefore φ induces a permutation $V \mapsto \varphi(V)$ of the set of all nilpotent subspaces of \mathfrak{g} . In particular φ induces a permutation of $\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

We introduce the canonical Tits' Building $\Delta(G)$ associated to G .

Definition 3.7 [22, Chap. 5.3] *The building $\Delta(G)$ of G is the set of all parabolic subgroups of G , partially ordered by reverse of inclusion.*

The maximal elements of $\Delta(G)$ (called *chambers*) are the Borel subgroups of G . The set of Borel subgroups of G is in canonical bijection with the set of Lie algebras of Borel subgroups of G (i.e. the Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , [5, 14.25]), and this set is in canonical bijection with the set

$\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$. By Proposition 3.6, a local automorphism φ of \mathfrak{g} induces a permutation of $\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$, and therefore a permutation ρ_φ of the set of chambers of $\Delta(G)$. Let B_1, B_2 be adjacent chambers: this means that the codimension (as algebraic varieties) of $B_1 \cap B_2$ in B_1 (and B_2) is 1. Since $B_1 \cap B_2$ always contains a maximal torus of G , this is equivalent to the condition that the codimension (as k -vector spaces) of $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2$ in \mathfrak{n}_1 (and \mathfrak{n}_2) is 1, where \mathfrak{n}_i is the nilradical of the Lie algebra of B_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 3.8 *Let φ be in $\text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then ρ_φ can be (uniquely) extended to an automorphism of $\Delta(G)$.*

Proof. By the previous discussion, this follows from [22, Theorem 3.21, Corollary 3.26]. \square

We shall still denote by ρ_φ the automorphism of $\Delta(G)$ induced by φ .

A *symmetry* of the Dynkin diagram of G is a permutation δ of the nodes of the diagram such that $\langle \alpha_{\delta(i)}, \alpha_{\delta(j)} \rangle = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle$ for all $i, j \in \Pi$ ([15, p. 277]). Note that in [7, p. 200] the definition is different, in order to deal also with fields of characteristic 2 or 3). We denote the group of symmetries of the Dynkin diagram by Diagr .

Definition 3.9 *Let δ be a symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . We denote by d_δ both the isometry of E and the graph automorphism of \mathfrak{g} defined respectively by*

$$d_\delta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{\delta(i)} \quad \text{for every } i \in \Pi$$

$$d_\delta(e_{\alpha_i}) = e_{\alpha_{\delta(i)}}, \quad d_\delta(e_{-\alpha_i}) = e_{-\alpha_{\delta(i)}}, \quad d_\delta(h_{\alpha_i}) = h_{\alpha_{\delta(i)}} \quad \text{for every } i \in \Pi$$

Proposition 3.10 *Let $\varphi = c i_{\mathfrak{g}}$, for a certain $c \in K^*$. Then $\varphi \in \text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $c = \pm 1$.*

Proof. We only need to show that if $\varphi = c i_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a local automorphism, then $c = \pm 1$. By [7, Proposition 6.4.2] we have

$$\text{Ad } n_\alpha . h_\beta = h_{s_\alpha(\beta)}$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, so that

$$\text{Ad } \dot{w} . h_\beta = h_{w(\beta)}$$

for every $w \in W, \beta \in \Phi$. Now fix any $\alpha \in \Phi, h \in \mathfrak{h}$. There exists $g \in G, \delta \in \text{Diagr}$ such that

$$c h_\alpha = \varphi(h_\alpha) = d_\delta \text{Ad } g . h_\alpha$$

Hence $\text{Ad } g.h_\alpha = c d_\delta^{-1} h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, which means that the elements h_α and $c d_\delta^{-1} h_\alpha$ of \mathfrak{h} are conjugate under G , and therefore they are conjugate under W , i.e. there exists $w \in W$ such that $\text{Ad } g.h_\alpha = \text{Ad } \dot{w}.h_\alpha = h_{w(\alpha)}$. Hence $c d_\delta^{-1} h_\alpha = h_{w(\alpha)}$, $c h_\alpha = d_\delta h_{w(\alpha)} = h_{\delta w(\alpha)} = h_\beta$, for $\beta = \delta w(\alpha) \in \Phi$. It follows that $\beta = \pm\alpha$, i.e. $c = \pm 1$. \square

A *semilinear isomorphism* between two Lie algebras is a bijective semilinear mapping of the underlying vector spaces which respects Lie multiplication.

Definition 3.11 Let $f \in \text{Aut } K$. We denote by a_f both the field automorphism of G (as an abstract group) and the f -semilinear automorphism of \mathfrak{g} defined respectively by

$$a_f(x_\alpha(k)) = x_\alpha(f(k)) \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \Phi, k \in K$$

$$a_f(ke_\alpha) = f(k)e_\alpha \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in \Phi, k \in K$$

Remark 3.12 Note that we also have $a_f(kh_\alpha) = f(k)h_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi$, $k \in K$, since $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi$. Moreover, for every $g \in G$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have $a_f(\text{Ad } g.x) = \text{Ad } (a_f(g)).a_f(x)$.

Proposition 3.13 Let $\varphi \in GL(\mathfrak{g})$ and $f \in \text{Aut } K$ be such that $\varphi(X) = a_f(X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $f = i_K$ and there is $c \in K^*$ such that $\varphi = c i_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. We have $a_f(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{n}$ and $a_f(\mathfrak{n}^-) = \mathfrak{n}^-$. It follows that

$$a_f(\text{Ad } x_\alpha(k)\dot{w}.\mathfrak{n}) = \text{Ad } x_\alpha(f(k))\dot{w}.\mathfrak{n} \quad , \quad a_f(\text{Ad } x_\alpha(k)\dot{w}.\mathfrak{n}^-) = \text{Ad } x_\alpha(f(k))\dot{w}.\mathfrak{n}^-$$

for every $\alpha \in \Phi$, $k \in K$, since we fixed the representatives \dot{w} over \mathbb{Z} , and therefore $a_f(\dot{w}) = \dot{w}$ for every $w \in W$.

We shall repeatedly use the fact that if $\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2 \in \mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$ are such that $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2 = \langle v \rangle$ with $v \neq 0$, then

$$\langle \varphi(v) \rangle = \varphi(\mathfrak{n}_1) \cap \varphi(\mathfrak{n}_2) = a_f(\mathfrak{n}_1) \cap a_f(\mathfrak{n}_2) = \langle a_f(v) \rangle$$

For every $i \in \Pi$ we have

$$\text{Ad } \dot{s}_i.\mathfrak{n}^- \cap \mathfrak{n} = \langle e_{\alpha_i} \rangle \quad , \quad \text{Ad } \dot{s}_i.\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^- = \langle e_{-\alpha_i} \rangle$$

hence

$$\langle \varphi(e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle a_f(e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle e_{\alpha_i} \rangle \quad , \quad \langle \varphi(e_{-\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle a_f(e_{-\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle e_{-\alpha_i} \rangle$$

Let $\alpha \in \Phi$. There exists $w \in W$, $i \in \Pi$ such that $w(\alpha_i) = \alpha$. Then

$$\langle e_\alpha \rangle = \text{Ad } \dot{w} \cdot \langle e_{\alpha_i} \rangle = \text{Ad } \dot{w} \dot{s}_i \cdot \mathfrak{n}^- \cap \text{Ad } \dot{w} \cdot \mathfrak{n}$$

so that

$$\langle \varphi(e_\alpha) \rangle = \langle a_f(e_\alpha) \rangle = \langle e_\alpha \rangle$$

Hence, for every $\alpha \in \Phi$ there exists $c_\alpha \in K^*$ such that $\varphi(e_\alpha) = c_\alpha e_\alpha$.

By [7, p. 64], for every $\alpha \in \Phi$, $k \in K$ we have

$$\text{Ad } x_\alpha(k) \cdot e_\alpha = e_\alpha \quad , \quad \text{Ad } x_\alpha(k) \cdot e_{-\alpha} = e_{-\alpha} + kh_\alpha - k^2 e_\alpha$$

Let us fix i in Π . From $\text{Ad } \dot{s}_i \cdot \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^- = \langle e_{-\alpha_i} \rangle$ we get

$$\text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(k) \cdot \text{Ad } \dot{s}_i \cdot \mathfrak{n} \cap \text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(k) \cdot \mathfrak{n}^- = \langle \text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(k) \cdot e_{-\alpha_i} \rangle = \langle e_{-\alpha_i} + kh_{\alpha_i} - k^2 e_{\alpha_i} \rangle$$

so that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(e_{-\alpha_i} + kh_{\alpha_i} - k^2 e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle &= \langle a_f(e_{-\alpha_i} + kh_{\alpha_i} - k^2 e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle = \\ &= \langle e_{-\alpha_i} + f(k)h_{\alpha_i} - f(k)^2 e_{\alpha_i} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $k = 1$ we get

$$\langle \varphi(e_{-\alpha_i} + h_{\alpha_i} - e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle e_{-\alpha_i} + h_{\alpha_i} - e_{\alpha_i} \rangle$$

hence $\varphi(h_{\alpha_i}) = d_i h_{\alpha_i} + x_i e_{\alpha_i} + y_i e_{-\alpha_i}$ for certain $d_i, x_i, y_i \in K$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. From (3.1), for every $k \in K$ there exists $p_k \in K^*$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad c_{-\alpha_i} e_{-\alpha_i} + k(d_i h_{\alpha_i} + x_i e_{\alpha_i} + y_i e_{-\alpha_i}) - k^2 c_{\alpha_i} e_{\alpha_i} = p_k (e_{-\alpha_i} + f(k)h_{\alpha_i} - f(k)^2 e_{\alpha_i})$$

hence $k d_i = p_k f(k)$ for every $k \in K$ and in particular, for $k = 1$, $d_i = p_1$. But then $p_k = \frac{k}{f(k)} p_1$ for every $k \in K^*$, so that $p_k = p_1$ for every k in the prime field \mathbb{Q} of K , $k \neq 0$. From (3.2) we obtain $c_{-\alpha_i} e_{-\alpha_i} + k y_i e_{-\alpha_i} = p_1 e_{-\alpha_i}$ and $k x_i e_{\alpha_i} - k^2 c_{\alpha_i} e_{\alpha_i} = -p_1 k^2 e_{\alpha_i}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, so that $y_i = 0$, $c_{-\alpha_i} = p_1$, $x_i = 0$ and $c_{\alpha_i} = p_1$. We have proved that

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi(h_{\alpha_i}) = c_{\alpha_i} h_{\alpha_i} \quad , \quad c_{-\alpha_i} = c_{\alpha_i}$$

Moreover, from (3.2) it follows that

$$c_{\alpha_i} e_{-\alpha_i} + k c_{\alpha_i} h_{\alpha_i} - k^2 c_{\alpha_i} e_{\alpha_i} = p_k (e_{-\alpha_i} + f(k) h_{\alpha_i} - f(k)^2 e_{\alpha_i})$$

for every $k \in K$, hence $p_k = c_{\alpha_i}$ and $f(k) = k$ for every $k \in K$, i.e. $f = i_K$.

So far we have proved that $f = i_K$, and that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $\varphi(e_{\alpha_i}) = c_{\alpha_i} e_{\alpha_i}$, $\varphi(e_{-\alpha_i}) = c_{\alpha_i} e_{-\alpha_i}$ and $\varphi(h_i) = c_{\alpha_i} h_i$. Our aim is to show that $c_\alpha = c_\beta$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$. We prove that $c_\alpha = c_\beta$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$. With a similar procedure it will follow that $c_\alpha = c_\beta$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^-$, so that $c_\alpha = c_\beta$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ by (3.3).

By [7, p. 64], for linearly independent roots α, β we have

$$\text{Ad } x_\alpha(t).e_\beta = \sum_{r=0}^q M_{\alpha,\beta,r} t^r e_{r\alpha+\beta}$$

where $M_{\alpha,\beta,0} = 1$, $M_{\alpha,\beta,r} = \pm \binom{p+r}{r}$ for $r \geq 1$, $-p\alpha + \beta, \dots, \beta, \dots, q\alpha + \beta$ is the α -chain through β with p and q non negative integers. In particular, for $t = 1$ we get

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Ad } x_\alpha(1).e_\beta = \sum_{r=0}^q M_{\alpha,\beta,r} e_{r\alpha+\beta}$$

We begin by showing that $c_{\alpha_i} = c_{\alpha_j}$ for every $i, j \in \Pi$. Assume $\alpha_i + \alpha_j \in \Phi$. Then

$$\text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1).e_{\alpha_j} = \sum_{r=0}^q M_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j,r} e_{r\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$$

with $q \geq 1$. From $\text{Ad } \dot{s}_j \cdot \mathfrak{n}^- \cap \mathfrak{n} = \langle e_{\alpha_j} \rangle$ we get

$$\langle \text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1).e_{\alpha_j} \rangle = \text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1) \text{Ad } \dot{s}_j \cdot \mathfrak{n}^- \cap \text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1) \cdot \mathfrak{n}$$

so that

$$\langle \varphi(\text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1).e_{\alpha_j}) \rangle = \langle a_f(\text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1).e_{\alpha_j}) \rangle = \langle \text{Ad } x_{\alpha_i}(1).e_{\alpha_j} \rangle$$

There exists $c \in K^*$ such that

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{r=0}^q M_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j,r} e_{r\alpha_i+\alpha_j}\right) = c \left(\sum_{r=0}^q M_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j,r} e_{r\alpha_i+\alpha_j}\right)$$

Since $M_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j,r} \neq 0$ for every $r = 0, \dots, q$, we get

$$c_{r\alpha_i+\alpha_j} = c$$

for every $r = 0, \dots, q$, and in particular $c_{\alpha_j} = c$, $c_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} = c$, so that $c_{\alpha_j} = c_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} = c$. Similarly, by considering $\text{Ad } x_{\alpha_j}(1).e_{\alpha_i}$, we obtain $c_{\alpha_i} = c_{\alpha_j + \alpha_i}$: hence $c_{\alpha_i} = c_{\alpha_j} = c$. Since the Dynkin diagram is connected, we get $c_{\alpha_i} = c_{\alpha_j} = c$ for every $i, j \in \Pi$ (incidentally, the previous argument shows that $c_\alpha = c_\beta = c$ for positive roots α, β of height at most 2).

Assume that β is a positive root of height m with $m \geq 2$. Then we may write $\beta = \gamma + \alpha_i$, for a certain $\gamma \in \Phi^+$ (of height $m - 1$) and a certain $i \in \Pi$. Then

$$\text{Ad } x_\gamma(1).e_{\alpha_i} = \sum_{r=0}^q M_{\gamma, \alpha_i, r} e_{r\gamma + \alpha_i}$$

with $q \geq 1$. From $\text{Ad } \dot{s}_i.\mathfrak{n}^- \cap \mathfrak{n} = \langle e_{\alpha_i} \rangle$ we get

$$\langle \text{Ad } x_\gamma(1).e_{\alpha_i} \rangle = \text{Ad } x_\gamma(1)\text{Ad } \dot{s}_i.\mathfrak{n}^- \cap \text{Ad } x_\gamma(1).\mathfrak{n}$$

so that

$$\langle \varphi(\text{Ad } x_\gamma(1).e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle a_f(\text{Ad } x_\gamma(1).e_{\alpha_i}) \rangle = \langle \text{Ad } x_\gamma(1).e_{\alpha_i} \rangle$$

There exists $d \in K^*$ such that

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{r=0}^q M_{\gamma, \alpha_i, r} e_{r\gamma + \alpha_i}\right) = d\left(\sum_{r=0}^q M_{\gamma, \alpha_i, r} e_{r\gamma + \alpha_i}\right)$$

Since $M_{\gamma, \alpha_i, r} \neq 0$ for every $r = 0, \dots, q$, we get

$$c_{r\gamma + \alpha_i} = d$$

for every $r = 0, \dots, q$, and in particular $c_{\alpha_i} = d$, $c_\beta = c_{\gamma + \alpha_i} = d$, so that $c_\beta = c_{\alpha_i} = c$. We have therefore proved that $c_\alpha = c$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Similarly one can prove that $c_\alpha = c'$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ for a certain $c' \in K^*$. Since by (3.3) we have $c_{-\alpha_i} = c_{\alpha_i}$ we get $c' = c$, i.e. $c_\alpha = c$ for every $\alpha \in \Phi$. But we also have $\varphi(h_i) = c h_i$ for every $i \in \Pi$, we conclude that $\varphi = c i_{\mathfrak{g}}$. \square

Theorem 3.14 *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over the algebraically closed field K of characteristic zero. Then a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is local automorphism if and only if it is an automorphism or an anti-automorphism, i.e. $\text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. The case when \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , $n \geq 1$, is dealt with in [4]. For completeness, here we give a proof also for this case. By Corollary 3.3 we have $\text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let φ be a local

automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . We show that there exists an automorphism β of \mathfrak{g} and $c \in K^*$ such that $\beta^{-1}\varphi = ci_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Assume first that \mathfrak{g} has rank 1, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Then the result follows from the main theorem in [6] (see Remark on page 45). So assume $\text{rk } \mathfrak{g} \geq 2$. By Proposition 3.8, φ induces an automorphism ρ_{φ} of the building $\Delta(G)$ of G . By the structure theorem on isomorphisms of buildings ([22, Theorem 5.8]), there exists an automorphism α of G (as an algebraic group) and a field automorphism a_f of G such that $\rho_{\varphi}(P) = \alpha a_f(P)$ for every parabolic subgroup P of G . It follows that, for $\beta = d\alpha$, the differential of α , we get

$$\beta^{-1}\varphi(X) = a_f(X)$$

for every X in $\mathcal{NB}(\mathfrak{g})$. By Proposition 3.13, $\beta^{-1}\varphi = ci_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for a certain $c \in K^*$.

Finally, from Proposition 3.10, we get $c = \pm 1$, and $\varphi = \pm\beta \in \text{AUT}^*(\mathfrak{g})$. □

Remark 3.15 From the structure of the automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} , it follows that any $\varphi \in \text{LAut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is of the form $\varphi = \pm d_{\delta}(\text{Ad } g)$ for a unique $g \in G$ and a unique graph automorphism d_{δ} .

References

- [1] S. AYUPOV, K. KUDAYBERGENOV, I. RAKHIMOV, *2-local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 474, 1–11 (2015).
- [2] S. AYUPOV, K. KUDAYBERGENOV, *Local derivations on finite dimensional Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 493, 381–398 (2016).
- [3] S. AYUPOV, K. KUDAYBERGENOV, *2-local automorphisms on finite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 507, 121–131 (2016).
- [4] S. AYUPOV, K. KUDAYBERGENOV, *Local automorphisms on finite-dimensional Lie and Leibniz algebras*, preprint arXiv:1803.03142v2.
- [5] A. BOREL, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Second enlarged edition, Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).
- [6] P. BOTTA, S. PIERCE, W. WATKINS, *Linear transformations that preserve the nilpotent matrices*, Pacific J. Math. 104(1), 39–46 (1983)

-
- [7] R. W. CARTER, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, John Wiley (1989).
- [8] R. W. CARTER, *Finite Groups of Lie Type*, John Wiley (1985).
- [9] Z. CHEN, D. WANG, *2-Local automorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 486, 335–344 (2015).
- [10] R. CRIST, *Local Automorphisms*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128, 1409–1414 (2000).
- [11] J. DRAISMA, H. KRAFT, J. KUTTLER, *Nilpotent subspaces of maximal dimension in semi-simple Lie algebras*, *Compos. Math.* 142(2), 464–476 (2006).
- [12] M. GERSTENHABER, *On nilalgebras and linear varieties of nilpotent matrices, I*, *Amer. J. Math.* 80, 614–622 (1958).
- [13] J.E. HUMPHREYS, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Third printing, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, No. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1980).
- [14] J.E. HUMPHREYS, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Third printing, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, No. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1987).
- [15] N. JACOBSON, *Lie Algebras*, Republication of the 1962 original, Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1979).
- [16] J.C. JANTZEN, *Representations of algebraic groups*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics* 131, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA (1987).
- [17] R.V. KADISON, *Local derivations*, *J. Algebra* 130, 494–509 (1990).
- [18] D.R. LARSON, A.R. SOUROUR, *Local Derivations and Local Automorphisms of $B(H)$* , In: “Operator theory: operator algebras and applications, Part 2” (Durham, NH, 1988), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 51, 187–194 (1990).
- [19] P. ŠEMRL, *Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125, 2677–2680 (1997).
- [20] A. SINGH, M. THAKUR, *Reality properties of conjugacy classes in algebraic groups*, *Israel J. Math.* 165, 1–27 (2008).

- [21] T.A. SPRINGER, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Second Edition, Progress in Mathematics 9, Birkhäuser (1998).
- [22] J. TITS, *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 386, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1974).