

On Hilbert lemniscate theorem for a system of continua

V. V. ANDRIEVSKII

Abstract

Let K be a compact set in the complex plane consisting of a finite number of continua. We study the rate of approximation of K from the outside by lemniscates in terms of level lines of the Green function for the complement of K .

Keywords: Hilbert's theorem, Green's function, equilibrium measure, quasi-conformal curve, lemniscate.

MSC: 30A10, 30C10, 30C62, 30E10

1. Introduction and main results

Let $K \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a compact set in the complex plane \mathbf{C} consisting of disjoint closed connected sets (continua) $K^j, j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, i.e.,

$$K = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} K^j; \quad K^j \cap K^k = \emptyset \text{ for } j \neq k; \quad \text{diam}(K^j) > 0;$$

where $\text{diam}(S)$ is the diameter of $S \subset \mathbf{C}$. We always assume that $\Omega := \overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus K$ is connected. Here, $\overline{\mathbf{C}} := \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the extended complex plane.

According to the Hilbert lemniscate theorem (see [12, p. 159]), for any open neighborhood U of K , there exists a polynomial p such that

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{|p(z)|}{\|p\|_K} > 1, \quad z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus U,$$

where $\|f\|_S$ denotes the uniform norm of $f : S \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ on $S \subset \mathbf{C}$. Certainly, the degree of p depends on U .

Let $\mathbf{P}_n, n \in \mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of all polynomials of degree at most n . Denote by $g_\Omega(z)$ the Green function for Ω with pole at ∞ . It will be convenient for us to extend the Green function to K by setting it equal to zero there. Let $s_n(K), n \in \mathbf{N}$ be the infimum of $s > 0$ for which there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}_n$ such that (1.1) holds with

$$U = U_s := \{z : g_\Omega(z) < s\}.$$

A result by Siciak [14, Theorem 1] for the Fekete polynomials yields that

$$(1.2) \quad s_n(K) = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(cf. [3, Theorem 1], [9, Theorem 2], [11, Theorem 2.2]).

Theorem 1 *Under the above assumptions,*

$$(1.3) \quad s_n(K) = O\left(\frac{(\log \log n)^2}{n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We also would like to demonstrate that if more information is known about the geometry of K , (1.3) can be further improved in the following way. A Jordan curve $L \subset \mathbf{C}$ is called *quasiconformal* (see [1], [10, p. 100] or [8]) if for every $z_1, z_2 \in L$,

$$\text{diam}(L(z_1, z_2)) \leq \Lambda_L |z_2 - z_1|,$$

where $L(z_1, z_2)$ is the smaller subarc of L between z_1 and z_2 ; and $\Lambda_L \geq 1$ is a constant that depends only on L . A *quasidisk* is a Jordan domain bounded by the quasiconformal curve.

Theorem 2 *If each K^j is a closed quasidisk, then*

$$(1.4) \quad s_n(K) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

See [3, Theorem 2] for a special case of this result.

Our proof for Theorem 2 yields insights that can be leveraged to obtain other results. Specifically, that for sufficiently large n there exists polynomial $p_n \in \mathbf{P}_n$ such that $G(p_n) := \{z : |p_n(z)| \leq 1\}$ consists of exactly ν disjoint Jordan domains and

$$K \subset G(p_n) \subset U_{C/n}$$

holds with a constant $C = C(K) > 0$. Moreover, using reasoning from [6, Section 3] it can be shown that p_n may be chosen such that all its zeros belong to K .

It is worth pointing out that (1.4) is optimal in the following sense. Let K be a closed quasidisk, i.e. $\nu = 1$, for which there exist $\zeta \in \partial K, \delta > 0$ and $1 < \beta < 2$ such that a circular sector with center at ζ , radius δ and opening $\beta\pi$ is a subset of $\overline{\Omega}$. Then, according to [3, Theorem 3],

$$s_n(K) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}$$

is true with some constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(K) > 0$.

Furthermore, in the case $\nu = 1$ it is natural to approximate K by lemniscates given by Faber polynomials $F_n = F_n(K)$. It was shown in [3, pp. 300-301] that

for the quasidisk K constructed by Gaier [7], the inequality (1.1) does not hold for $p = F_n, U = U_{\alpha \log n/n}$, some constant $\alpha = \alpha(K)$ and an infinite number of $n \in \mathbf{N}$ (cf. (1.2)-(1.4)).

In what follows, we use following notation.

$$d(S_1, S_2) := \inf_{z_1 \in S_1, z_2 \in S_2} |z_2 - z_1|, \quad S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbf{C}.$$

For a Jordan curve $L \subset \mathbf{C}$, denote by $\text{int}(L)$ the bounded connected component of $\overline{\mathbf{C}} \setminus L$.

For a (Borel) set $S \subset \mathbf{C}$, denote by $|S|$ its linear measure (length) and by $\sigma(S)$ its two-dimensional Lebesgue measure (area).

In what follows, we denote by c, c_1, \dots positive constants that are either absolute or they depend only on K . For the nonnegative functions a and b we write $a \preceq b$ if $a \leq c_1 b$, and $a \asymp b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$ simultaneously.

2. Construction of auxiliary polynomials

In this section we review (in more general setting) the construction of the monic polynomials suggested in [15, 16, 4, 6]. For the convenience of the reader, we repeat the relevant material from these papers without proofs, thus making our exposition self-contained.

We start with some general facts from potential theory which can be found, for example, in [17, 12, 13]. The Green function $g(z) = g_\Omega(z)$ has a multiple-valued harmonic conjugate $\tilde{g}(z)$. Let

$$\Phi(z) := \exp(g(z) + i\tilde{g}(z)),$$

$$K_s := \{z : g(z) = s\}, \quad s > 0.$$

Note that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{cap}(K_s) = e^s \text{cap}(K).$$

Here $\text{cap}(S)$ is the logarithmic capacity of a compact set $S \subset \mathbf{C}$.

Let $s_0 > 0$ be such that for $0 < s < s_0$, the set $K_s = \cup_{j=1}^\nu K_s^j$ consists of ν mutually disjoint Jordan curves, where K_s^j is the curve surrounding K^j . Moreover, we fix a positive number $s^* < s_0/10$ so small that for each $j = 1, \dots, \nu$,

$$(2.2) \quad d(\zeta, K^j) \leq d(\zeta, K_{s_0}^j), \quad \zeta \in \text{int}(K_{s^*}^j).$$

Let $\mu = \mu_K$ be the equilibrium measure of K and let $\omega_j := \mu(K^j)$. The function $\phi_j := \Phi^{1/\omega_j}(\zeta)$ is a conformal and univalent mapping of $\Omega^j := \text{int}(K_{s_0}^j) \setminus K^j$ onto the annulus $A^j := \{w : 1 < |w| < e^{s_0/\omega_j}\}$ as well as

$$K_s^j = \{\zeta \in \Omega^j : |\phi_j(\zeta)| = e^{s/\omega_j}\}, \quad 0 < s < s_0.$$

Note that for $\mu_s := \mu_{K_s}$,

$$\mu_s(K_s^j) = \mu(K^j) = \omega_j, \quad 0 < s < s_0.$$

Furthermore, for an arc

$$\gamma = \{\zeta \in K_s^j : \theta_1 \leq \arg \phi_j(\zeta) \leq \theta_2\}, \quad 0 < \theta_2 - \theta_1 \leq 2\pi,$$

we have

$$\mu_s(\gamma) = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)\omega_j}{2\pi}.$$

Assuming that $m \in \mathbf{N}$ is sufficiently large, i.e. $m > 10/(\min_j \omega_j)$ we let

$$m_j := \lfloor m\omega_j \rfloor, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu - 1,$$

$$m_\nu := m - (m_1 + \dots + m_{\nu-1}),$$

where $\lfloor a \rfloor$ means the integer part of a real number a .

Therefore,

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq m_\nu - m\omega_\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} (m\omega_j - m_j) \leq \nu - 1.$$

Next, for $0 < s < s^*$, we represent each K_s^j as the union of closed subarcs $I_{s,k}^j, k = 1, \dots, m_j$ such that

$$I_{s,k}^j \cap I_{s,k+1}^j =: \xi_{s,k}^j, \quad k = 1, \dots, m_j - 1,$$

and $I_{s,m_j}^j \cap I_{s,1}^j =: \xi_{s,m_j}^j =: \xi_{s,0}^j$ are points of K_s^j ordered in a positive direction, as well as

$$\mu_s(I_{s,k}^j) = \frac{\omega_j}{m_j}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m_j.$$

Consider also $\psi_j := \phi_j^{-1}$,

$$\tilde{D}_{s,k}^j := \left\{ t = re^{in} : \psi_j(e^{s/\omega_j + in}) \in I_{s,k}^j, 0 \leq e^{s/\omega_j} - r \leq \frac{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1}{64} \right\},$$

$$D_{s,k}^j := \psi_j(\tilde{D}_{s,k}^j), \quad D_s^j := \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_j} D_{s,k}^j, \quad D_s := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} D_s^j.$$

Lemma 1 *Let $m, q \in \mathbf{N}$ and $c := 640\pi \max_j e^{s^*/\omega_j}$. Then for $m \geq m_0 := \lfloor 2cq/s^* + 10\nu/\min_j \omega_j \rfloor$, $s = cq/m < s^*$, $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ and $k = 1, \dots, m_j$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{c^2 q} \leq |\xi_{s,k}^j - \xi_{s,k-1}^j| \leq \text{diam}(I_{s,k}^j) \leq |I_{s,k}^j| \leq \frac{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{10q}.$$

Moreover, if $q = 1$ then

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma(D_{s,k}^j) \geq \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)^2}{c^2}$$

as well as

$$(2.6) \quad \text{diam}(D_{s,k}^j) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j).$$

For the proof of Lemma 1, see Section 3.

Next, we construct the points $\zeta_{s,k,l}^j$, $l = 1, \dots, q$ as follows. For $s = cq/m$ as in Lemma 1 and $u = 1, \dots, q$, let

$$m_{s,k,u}^j := \frac{1}{\mu_s(I_{s,k}^j)} \int_{I_{s,k}^j} (\xi - \xi_{s,k}^j)^u d\mu_s(\xi).$$

Consider the system of equations

$$\sum_{l=1}^q (r_{s,k,l}^j)^u = qm_{s,k,u}^j =: \tilde{m}_{s,k,u}^j, \quad u = 1, \dots, q.$$

We interpret $r_l := r_{s,k,l}^j$ as the roots of the polynomial $z^q + a_{q-1}z^{q-1} + \dots + a_0$ whose coefficients satisfy Newton's identities

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{m}_u + a_{q-1}\tilde{m}_{u-1} + \dots + a_{q-u+1}\tilde{m}_1 = -ua_{q-u}, \quad u = 1, \dots, q,$$

where $\tilde{m}_u := \tilde{m}_{s,k,u}^j$ satisfy $|\tilde{m}_u| \leq qd^u$, $d = d_{s,k}^j := \text{diam}(I_{s,k}^j)$.

According to (2.7),

$$|a_{q-u}| \leq q^u d^u, \quad u = 1, \dots, q,$$

which implies

$$(2.8) \quad |r_{s,k,l}^j| \leq 2qd_{s,k}^j.$$

See [6, Section 2] for more details.

Let $\zeta_{s,k,l}^j := \xi_{s,k}^j + r_{s,k,l}^j$. By virtue of (2.4) and (2.8),

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{|\zeta_{s,k,l}^j - \xi_{s,k}^j|}{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)} \leq \frac{|r_{s,k,l}^j|}{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)} \leq \frac{1}{5},$$

as well as for $\xi \in I_{s,k}^j$,

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{|\xi - \xi_{s,k}^j|}{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)} \leq \frac{d_{s,k}^j}{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)} \leq \frac{1}{10}.$$

By [5, p. 23, Lemma 2.3], which is an immediate consequence of Koebe's one-quarter theorem, and (2.2), we have the following lemma. Recall that ψ_j is defined in $A^j := \{\tau : 1 < |\tau| < e^{s_0/\omega_j}\}$. Let $E^j := \{w : 1 < |w| < e^{s^*/\omega_j}\}$.

Lemma 2 *Let $w \in E^j, \tau \in A^j$ and $\xi = \psi_j(w), \zeta = \psi_j(\tau)$. Then*

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{d(\xi, K^j)}{|w| - 1} \leq |\psi_j'(w)| \leq 4 \frac{d(\xi, K^j)}{|w| - 1}.$$

Moreover, if either $|\tau - w| \leq (|w| - 1)/2$ or $|\zeta - \xi| \leq d(\xi, K^j)/2$, then

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{16} \frac{|\tau - w|}{|w| - 1} \leq \frac{|\zeta - \xi|}{d(\xi, K^j)} \leq 16 \frac{|\tau - w|}{|w| - 1}.$$

Next, we claim that for $z \in K_{10s}^j, s < s^*$,

$$(2.13) \quad |z - \xi_{s,k}^j| \geq \frac{1}{2} d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j).$$

Indeed, if we assume, contrary to (2.13), that

$$|z - \xi_{s,k}^j| < \frac{1}{2} d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j),$$

then, according to the left-hand side of (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{10s/\omega_j} - e^{s/\omega_j} &\leq |\phi_j(z) - \phi_j(\xi_{s,k}^j)| \\ &\leq 16(e^{s/\omega_j} - 1) \frac{|z - \xi_{s,k}^j|}{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)} < 8(e^{s/\omega_j} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to the obvious inequality

$$e^{10x} - e^x \geq 8(e^x - 1), \quad x \geq 0.$$

Hence, (2.13) is proven.

A major component of the proof of (1.3) and (1.4) is the polynomial

$$P_n(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \prod_{k=1}^{m_j} \prod_{l=1}^q (z - \zeta_{s,k,l}^j), \quad n = qm, s = \frac{cq}{m} \leq s^*.$$

For $z \in K_{10s}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
mg_{\Omega_s}(z) + m \log \operatorname{cap}(K_s) &= m \int_{K_s} \log |z - \xi| d\mu_s(\xi) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \left(m - \frac{m_j}{\omega_j} \right) \int_{I_{s,k}^j} \log |z - \xi| d\mu_s(\xi) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{1}{\mu_s(I_{s,k}^j)} \int_{I_{s,k}^j} \log |z - \xi| d\mu_s(\xi) \\
&=: \Sigma_1(z) + \Sigma_2(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{K_s} |\log |z - \xi|| d\mu_s(\xi) &\leq |\log \operatorname{diam}(K_{s_0})| + \int_{K_s} \log \frac{\operatorname{diam}(K_{s_0})}{|z - \xi|} d\mu_s(\xi) \\
&\leq 2|\log \operatorname{diam}(K_{s_0})| - g_{\Omega_s}(z) - \log \operatorname{cap}(K_s) \preceq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

according to (2.3), for $z \in K_{10s}$, we obtain

$$|\Sigma_1(z)| \preceq \int_{K_s} |\log |z - \xi|| d\mu_s(\xi) \preceq 1.$$

Next, for the same $z \in K_{10s}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log |P_n(z)| - q\Sigma_2(z) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \sum_{l=1}^q \left(\log |z - \zeta_{s,k,l}^j| - \frac{1}{\mu_s(I_{s,k}^j)} \int_{I_{s,k}^j} \log |z - \xi| d\mu_s(\xi) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{m_j}{\omega_j} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \sum_{l=1}^q \int_{I_{s,k}^j} \log \left| \frac{z - \zeta_{s,k,l}^j}{z - \xi} \right| d\mu_s(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (2.4), (2.8), (2.13) and Taylor's theorem [2, pp. 125-126] imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\frac{z - \zeta_{s,k,l}^j}{z - \xi} \right) &= \log \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_{s,k,l}^j - \xi}{z - \xi} \right) - \log \left(1 - \frac{\xi - \zeta_{s,k}^j}{z - \zeta_{s,k}^j} \right) \\
&= \sum_{u=1}^q \frac{1}{u} \left(\left(\frac{\xi - \zeta_{s,k}^j}{z - \zeta_{s,k}^j} \right)^u - \left(\frac{\zeta_{s,k,l}^j - \zeta_{s,k}^j}{z - \zeta_{s,k}^j} \right)^u \right) + B_{s,k,l}^j(z),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|B_{s,k,l}^j(z)| \preceq \left(\frac{2qd_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \right)^{q+1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=1}^q \int_{I_{s,k}^j} ((\xi - \xi_{s,k}^j)^u - (\zeta_{s,k,l}^j - \xi_{s,k}^j)^u) d\mu_s(\xi) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^q \left(\frac{\omega_j}{m_j} m_{s,k,u}^j - (r_{s,k,l}^j)^u \frac{\omega_j}{m_j} \right) = \frac{\omega_j}{m_j} \sum_{l=1}^q (m_{s,k,u}^j - (r_{s,k,l}^j)^u) \\
&= \frac{\omega_j}{m_j} \left(\tilde{m}_{s,k,u}^j - \sum_{l=1}^q (r_{s,k,l}^j)^u \right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in K_{10s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\log |P_n(z)| - ng_{\Omega_s}(z) - n \log \operatorname{cap}(K_s)| \leq q|\Sigma_1(z)| + |\log |P_n(z)| - q\Sigma_2(z)| \\
&\preceq q + \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{m_j}{\omega_j} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \sum_{l=1}^q \int_{I_{s,k}^j} \Re \left(\sum_{u=1}^q \frac{1}{u} \left(\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_{s,k}^j}{z - \xi_{s,k}^j} \right)^u - \left(\frac{\zeta_{s,k,l}^j - \xi_{s,k}^j}{z - \xi_{s,k}^j} \right)^u \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + B_{s,k,l}^j(z) \right) d\mu_s(\xi) \right| \\
&\leq q + \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{m_j}{\omega_j} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \sum_{u=1}^q \left(\frac{1}{u(z - \xi_{s,k}^j)^u} \sum_{l=1}^q \int_{I_{s,k}^j} ((\xi - \xi_{s,k}^j)^u - (\zeta_{s,k,l}^j - \xi_{s,k}^j)^u) d\mu_s(\zeta) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{l=1}^q \int_{I_{s,k}^j} B_{s,k,l}^j(z) d\mu_s(\xi) \right) \right| \\
&\preceq q \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \left(\frac{2qd_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \right)^{q+1} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To summarize, according to (2.1) and the identity

$$g_{\Omega_s} = g_{\Omega}(z) - s, \quad z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus U_s,$$

for $z \in K_{10s}$, $s < s^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\log |P_n(z)| - ng_{\Omega}(z) - n \log \operatorname{cap}(K)| \\
(2.14) \quad & \preceq q^2 + q \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \left(\frac{2qd_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \right)^{q+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Distortion properties of ϕ_j and ψ_j

Proof of Lemma 1. Only the first and the last inequalities in (2.4) are not trivial. According to our assumption

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{m\omega_j}{m_j} \leq 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu.$$

Let $\eta_0^j < \eta_1^j < \dots < \eta_{m_j}^j = \eta_0^j + 2\pi$ be determined by $t_{s,k}^j := \phi_j(\xi_{s,k}^j) = \exp(s/\omega_j + i\eta_k^j)$, i.e., $\eta_k^j - \eta_{k-1}^j = 2\pi/m_j$.

Let $c_2 := \max_j e^{s^*/\omega_j}$. For $t \in \tilde{I}_{s,k}^j := \phi_j(I_{s,k}^j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |t - t_{s,k}^j| &\leq e^{s/\omega_j} |e^{i\eta_k^j} - e^{i\eta_{k-1}^j}| \\ &\leq c_2 \frac{4\pi}{m_j} \leq \frac{1}{32} \frac{cq}{m\omega_j} = \frac{1}{32} \frac{s}{\omega_j} < \frac{1}{32} (e^{s/\omega_j} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.12) implies for $\xi \in I_{s,k}^j$,

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{|\xi - \xi_{s,k}^j|}{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)} \leq 16 \frac{|t - t_{s,k}^j|}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1} < \frac{1}{2},$$

by (2.11), for $t \in \tilde{I}_{s,k}^j$, we have

$$|\psi_j'(t)| \leq 8 \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1} \leq 16 \frac{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{s,k}^j| &\leq e^{s/\omega_j} \int_{\eta_{k-1}^j}^{\eta_k^j} |\psi_j'(e^{s/\omega_j + i\theta})| d\theta \leq 16c_2 \frac{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1} (\eta_k^j - \eta_{k-1}^j) \\ &\leq \frac{32\pi c_2}{cq} \frac{\omega_j m}{m_j} d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j) \leq \frac{64\pi c_2}{cq} d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j) \leq \frac{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{10q}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the last inequality in (2.4).

The first inequality in (2.4) follows from (2.12) and (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\xi_{s,k}^j - \xi_{s,k-1}^j|}{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)} &\geq \frac{|\psi_j(\xi_{s,k}^j) - \psi_j(\xi_{s,k-1}^j)|}{16(e^{s/\omega_j} - 1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{16} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{2\pi}{m_j} \frac{\omega_j m}{c_2 cq} \geq \frac{1}{c^2 q}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\zeta \in D_{s,k}^j$ and $\zeta^* := \psi_j((e^{s/\omega_j} - 1)\phi_j(\zeta)/|\phi_j(\zeta)|)$ by virtue of (2.12), we have

$$d(\zeta, I_{s,k}^j) \leq |\zeta - \zeta^*| < \frac{1}{4}d(\zeta^*, K^j).$$

Therefore, by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta - \xi_{s,k}^j| &\leq |\zeta - \zeta^*| + |\zeta^* - \xi_{s,k}^j| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (|\zeta^* - \xi_{s,k}^j| + d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)) + |\zeta^* - \xi_{s,k}^j| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{5}{40} + \frac{1}{4} \right) d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (2.6).

Moreover, (2.11) implies for $\tau = \phi(\zeta)$ and $\zeta \in D_{s,k}^j$,

$$|\psi_j'(\tau)| \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{d(\zeta, K^j)}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1} \geq \frac{1}{8} \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1}$$

which yields (2.5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(D_{s,k}^j) &= \int \int_{\tilde{D}_{s,k}^j} |\psi_j'(\tau)|^2 d\sigma(\tau) \geq \frac{1}{64} \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)^2}{(e^{s/\omega_j} - 1)^2} \sigma(\tilde{D}_{s,k}^j) \\ &= \frac{1}{64} \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)^2}{e^{s/\omega_j} - 1} |\tilde{I}_{s,k}^j| \geq \frac{1}{64} \frac{2\pi}{m_j} \frac{\omega_j}{c_2 s} d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)^2 \geq \frac{d(\xi_{s,k}^j, K^j)^2}{c^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since by Lemma 1 and (2.13) for $z \in K_{10s}$,

$$\frac{2qd_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \leq \frac{2}{5},$$

according to (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\log |P_n(z)| - ng_\Omega(z) - n \log \text{cap}(K)| \\ &\leq q^2 + q^3 \left(\frac{2}{5} \right)^q \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \left(\frac{d_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 1, (2.5) and (2.13), for $z \in K_{10s}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \left(\frac{d_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{\sigma(D_{s,k}^j)}{d(z, D_{s,k}^j)^2} \leq \int \int_{D_s} \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{|\zeta - z|^2}.$$

Since by the Loewner inequality (see [5, p. 27, Lemma 2.5]), $d(z, K_s) \succeq s^2$, using polar coordinates with center at z , we obtain

$$\int \int_{D_s} \frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{|\zeta - z|^2} \preceq \log \frac{\text{diam}(K_{s_0})}{d(z, K_s)}, \preceq \log \frac{s_0}{s},$$

which yields

$$(3.2) \quad |\log |P_n(z)| - ng_\Omega(z) - n \log \text{cap}(K)| \preceq q^2 + 2^{-q} \log m.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that n is sufficiently large. First, let $n = mq$, where $q = q_m := \lfloor 2 \log \log m \rfloor$. Then by (3.2), for $z \in K_{10cq/m}$,

$$|\log |P_n(z)| - ng_\Omega(z) - n \log \text{cap}(K)| \preceq (\log \log m)^2 \asymp (\log \log n)^2.$$

Thus, the maximum principle implies that for $P_n^* := P_n \text{cap}(K)^n$, $s = cq/m = cq^2/n$ and $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus U_{10s}$,

$$\exp (ng_\Omega(z) - c_3(\log \log m)^2) \leq |P_n^*(z)| \leq \exp (ng_\Omega(z) + c_3(\log \log m)^2).$$

Therefore,

$$\|P_n^*\|_K \leq \|P_n^*\|_{K_{10s}} \leq \exp (c_3(\log \log n)^2).$$

At the same time, for $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus U_\delta$, $\delta = 3c_3(\log \log n)/n$,

$$|P_n^*(z)| \geq \exp (2c_3(\log \log m)^2) > \|P_n^*\|_K,$$

which proves (1.3) for $n = mq_m$.

For arbitrary (sufficiently large) n we find $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$mq_m \leq n < (m+1)q_{m+1}.$$

Since

$$1 \leq \frac{n}{mq_m} \leq \frac{(m+1)q_{m+1}}{mq_m} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain

$$s_n(K) \leq s_{mq_m}(K) \preceq \frac{(\log \log m)^2}{mq_m} \preceq \frac{(\log \log n)^2}{n},$$

which completes the proof of (1.3). □

From now on we assume that each $K^j, j = 1, \dots, \nu$ is a quasidisk. Since $\partial\Omega^j$ consists of two quasiconformal curves, ϕ_j can be extended to a Q_j -quasiconformal homeomorphism of a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega^j}$ to a neighborhood of $\overline{A^j}$ with some $Q_j \geq 1$. Therefore, repeating the proof of [5, p. 29, Theorem 2.7] we can establish the following result.

Lemma 3 Let $\zeta_k \in \Omega^j, w_k := \phi_j(\zeta_k), k = 1, 2, 3$. Then:

(i) the conditions $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leq C_1|\zeta_1 - \zeta_3|$ and $|w_1 - w_2| \leq C_2|w_1 - w_3|$ are equivalent; besides, the constants C_1 and C_2 are mutually dependent and depend on Q_j and K ;

(ii) if $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leq C_1|\zeta_1 - \zeta_3|$, then

$$\frac{1}{C_3} \left| \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_1 - w_2} \right|^{1/Q} \leq \left| \frac{\zeta_1 - \zeta_3}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} \right| \leq C_3 \left| \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_1 - w_2} \right|^Q,$$

where $Q := \max_j Q_j$ and $C_3 = C_3(C_1, Q, K) > 1$.

For $\zeta \in \Omega^j$ let $\tilde{\zeta} := \psi_j(\phi_j(\zeta)/|\phi_j(\zeta)|)$. We fix $\zeta_j^* \in K_{s_0}^j$. By Lemma 3, for $\zeta \in K_s^j$ and $z \in K_{10s}^j, s < s^*$,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d(\zeta, K^j)}{|\zeta - z|} \leq \left| \frac{\zeta - \tilde{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} \right| \asymp \left(\frac{s}{|\phi_j(\zeta) - \phi_j(z)|} \right)^{1/Q},$$

$$(3.4) \quad d(\zeta, K^j) \leq |\zeta - \tilde{\zeta}| \asymp \left| \frac{\zeta - \tilde{\zeta}}{\zeta - \zeta_j^*} \right| \asymp \left| \frac{\phi_j(\zeta) - \phi_j(\tilde{\zeta})}{\phi_j(\zeta) - \phi_j(\zeta_j^*)} \right|^{1/Q} \asymp s^{1/Q}.$$

Furthermore, by virtue of Lemma 1, for $z \in K_{10s}$ and $q \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_s^j} \frac{d(\zeta, K^j)^q}{|\zeta - z|^{q+1}} |d\zeta| &= \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \int_{I_{s,k}^j} \frac{d(\zeta, K^j)^q}{|\zeta - z|^{q+1}} |d\zeta| \\ &\asymp \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{d(I_{s,k}^j, K^j)^q |I_{s,k}^j|}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)^{q+1}} \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \left(\frac{d_{s,k}^j}{d(z, I_{s,k}^j)} \right)^{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to (2.14) for $z \in K_{10s}^r, r = 1, \dots, \nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\log |P_n(z)| - ng_\Omega(z) - n \log \text{cap}(K)| \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{j=1, j \neq r}^{\nu} \int_{K_s^j} d(\zeta, K^j)^q |d\zeta| + \int_{K_s^r} \frac{d(\zeta, K^r)^q}{|\zeta - z|^{q+1}} |d\zeta|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $q := \lfloor 2Q \rfloor$ and $w = \phi_r(z)$. Then by (2.11), (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_s^j} d(\zeta, K^j)^q |d\zeta| &\leq \frac{1}{s} \int_{|\tau|=e^{s/\omega_j}} d(\psi_j(\tau), K^j)^{q+1} |d\tau| \\ &\leq s^{(q+1)/Q-1} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_s^r} \frac{d(\zeta, K^r)^q}{|\zeta - z|^{q+1}} |d\zeta| &\asymp \frac{1}{s} \int_{|\tau|=e^s/\omega_r} \left(\frac{d(\psi_r(\tau), K^r)}{|\psi_r(\tau) - \psi_r(w)|} \right)^{q+1} |d\tau| \\ &\asymp \frac{1}{s} \int_{|\tau|=e^s/\omega_r} \frac{s^{(q+1)/Q}}{|\tau - w|^{(q+1)/Q}} |d\tau| \preceq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the maximum principle implies that for $P_n^*(z) := P_n(z) \operatorname{cap}(K)^n$ and $s = cq/m = cq^2/n$, $n = qm$, $m > m_0$,

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{c_4} e^{ng_\Omega(z)} \leq |P_n^*(z)| \leq c_4 e^{ng_\Omega(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus U_{10s}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, we assume that n is sufficiently large. First, let $n = mq$ be such that (3.5) holds. We have

$$\|P_n^*\|_K \leq \|P_n^*\|_{K_{10s}} \leq c_4 \exp(10cq^2).$$

If we let

$$c_5 := 2 \log c_4 + 11cq^2,$$

then for $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus U_{c_5/n}$, we get

$$|P_n^*(z)| \geq \frac{1}{c_4} \exp(c_5) > c_4 \exp(10cq^2),$$

which shows that $s_n(K) \leq c_5/n$.

If $mq < n \leq m(q+1)$, then

$$s_n(K) \leq \frac{c_5}{mq} \leq \frac{2c_5}{m(q+1)} \leq \frac{2c_5}{n}.$$

Hence, in both cases we have (1.4). □

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to M. Nesterenko for his helpful comments.

References

[1] Ahlfors L. V. (1966)

Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J.

- [2] Ahlfors L. V. (1979)
Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York.
- [3] Andrievskii V. V. (2000)
On the approximation of a continuum by lemniscates, *J. Approx. Theory* 105, 292-304.
- [4] Andrievskii V. V. (2016)
Chebyshev polynomials on a system of continua, *Constr. Approx.* 43, 217-229.
- [5] Andrievskii V. V. and H. -P. Blatt (2002)
Discrepancy of Signed Measures and Polynomial Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York.
- [6] Andrievskii V. V. and F. Nazarov (2018)
On the Totik-Widom property for a quasidisk, arXiv:1802.06948.
- [7] Gaier D. (1999)
The Faber operator and its boundedness, *J. Approx. Theory* 101, 265-277.
- [8] Gehring F. W. (1982)
Characteristic Properties of Quasidisks, *Les Presses De L'Université De Montréal, Montréal.*
- [9] Laiyi Z. (2004)
On the degree of convergence of lemniscates in finite connected domains, *J. Approx. Theory* 131, 185-195.
- [10] Lehto O. and K. I. Virtanen (1973)
Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [11] Pritsker I. (2011)
Equidistribution of points via energy, *Ark. Mat.* 49, 149-173.
- [12] Ransford T. (1995)
Potential Theory in the Complex Plane, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [13] Saff E. B. and V. Totik (1997)
Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin.

- [14] Siciak J. (1967)
Degree of convergence of some sequences in the conformal mapping theory,
Coll. Math. 16, 49-59.
- [15] Totik V. (2012)
Chebyshev polynomials on a system of curves, Journal D'Analyse
Mathématique 118, 317-338.
- [16] Totik V. (2014)
Chebyshev polynomials on compact sets, Potential Anal. 40, 511-524.
- [17] Widom H. (1969)
Extremal polynomials associated with a system of curves in the complex
plane, Adv. in Math. 3, 127–232.

V. V. Andrievskii

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Kent State University

Kent, OH 44242

USA

e-mail: andriyev@math.kent.edu