

One-ended spanning trees in amenable unimodular graphs

Ádám Timár

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

Reáltanoda u. 13-15.,

H-1053 Budapest

madaramit[at]gmail.com

Abstract

We prove that every amenable one-ended Cayley graph has an invariant spanning tree of one end. More generally, for any 1-ended amenable unimodular random graph we construct a factor of iid percolation (jointly unimodular subgraph) that is almost surely a spanning tree of one end. In [2] and [1] similar claims were proved, but the resulting spanning tree had 1 or 2 ends, and one had no control of which of these two options would be the case.

Every unimodular amenable graph G allows a percolation (random subgraph whose distribution is jointly unimodular with G) that is almost surely a spanning tree with 1 or 2 ends; see Theorem 8.9 in [1]. We strengthen this by showing that if G is amenable and 1-ended then it has a 1-ended spanning tree percolation, and this can be constructed as a factor of iid (fiid). This later condition for fiid construction was already implicit in [1] (and [2]), so the real novelty is that we do not have to allow 2-ended trees. Our original motivation was [4], where it was crucial that the spanning forest is an fiid and has 1 end. See Section 8 of [1] for the generalized definition of amenability to unimodular random graphs and for several equivalent characterizations.

Theorem 1. Let G be an ergodic amenable unimodular random graph that has one end almost surely. Then there is a factor of iid spanning tree of G that has one end almost surely.

This research was supported by the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office, NKFIH grant K109684, and by grant LP 2016-5 of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

In [2], Benjamini, Lyons, Peres and Schramm proved that a quasi-transitive unimodular graph is amenable if and only if it has an invariant spanning tree with at most 2 ends (Theorem 5.3). Note that a quasi-transitive amenable graph can only have 1 or 2 ends; and also that if it has 2 ends then all its invariant spanning trees are 2-ended. Our result can hence be thought of as a strengthening of the characterization in [2]:

Corollary 2. A quasi-transitive unimodular graph is amenable and has 1 end if and only if it has an invariant spanning tree with 1 end.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the Mass Transport Principle (MTP). See e.g. [1] for the formulation, which can be taken as the defining property of unimodular graphs. A subgraph H of the rooted graph (G, o) is a factor of iid (fiid), if it can be constructed as a Borel measurable function from iid Lebesgue $([0, 1])$ labellings of $V(G)$ that is equivariant with rooted isomorphisms; in other words, if one can tell the edges of H incident to o up to arbitrary precision from the labels in a large enough neighborhood of o . See e.g. [4] for a more formal definition. Along the proofs we will make some local choices, such as choosing a subgraph of a certain property out of finitely many possibilities, otherwise arbitrarily. To make the final result a fiid, these choices have to be made using some previously fixed local rule using the iid labels. We will skip the details of such choices, which are straightforward.

Lemma 3. Let G be an ergodic amenable unimodular random graph. Suppose that there exists a factor of iid sequence (H_n) of connected subgraphs of G such that $\mathbf{P}(o \in H_n) \rightarrow 0$. Then G has a 1-ended factor of iid spanning tree.

Proof. By switching to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\mathbf{P}(o \in H_n) < 2^{-n}$. We may also assume that $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$, as we explain next. First note that for every $\epsilon > 0$ one can modify every H_n to get an H'_n , in such a way that $H_n \subset H'_n$, H'_n is invariant, connected, $\mathbf{P}(o \in H'_n) < 2^{-n}(1 + \epsilon)$, and $H'_n \cap H'_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$. Namely, suppose that the distance between H_n and H_{n+1} is k . If $k = 0$, choose $H'_n = H_n$. Otherwise, for every point of H_n at distance k from H_{n+1} , fix a path of length k between this point and H_{n+1} , and select it with probability $\epsilon/(k + 1)$. Add all the selected paths to H_n to obtain H'_n . There must be infinitely many points in H_n at distance k from H_{n+1} by the MTP, so H'_n in fact intersects $H_{n+1} \subset H'_{n+1}$ almost surely. Therefore $H''_n := \cup_{i=n}^{\infty} H'_i$ is connected, $H''_{n+1} \subset H''_n$, and $\mathbf{P}(o \in H''_n) < 2^{-n+1}(1 + \epsilon)$, as we wanted. So we will assume that $H_{n+1} \subset H_n$.

Let (\mathcal{P}_m) be a sequence of partitions of $V(G)$ such that every partition class induces a connected subgraph of G , \mathcal{P}_n is coarser than \mathcal{P}_{n-1} , and any two points of $V(G)$ are in the same class of \mathcal{P}_n for all but finitely many n . Such a sequence exists, see e.g. Theorem 5.3 in [1], where such a sequence is used for unimodular graphs to construct a spanning tree of at most two ends. This construction is also fiid, which is implicit in the proof.

As usual, let o be the root of our unimodular graph. Denote by x a uniformly chosen neighbor of o . If H is a subgraph of G , v and w two vertices, we let $v \leftrightarrow_H w$ stand for the event that v and w are in the same component of H . For an arbitrary forest \mathcal{F} and vertex v , let $\mathcal{F}(v)$ be the component of v in \mathcal{F} .

We will define spanning forests F_n of G that all have only finite components, (G, F_n) is jointly unimodular, and their limit will be the tree in the claim. Let $H_0 := G$ and $F_0 := \emptyset$.

Let $k(n)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, to be defined later, with $k(0) = 0$. Suppose recursively that F_n has been defined, all its edges are in $G \setminus H_{k(n)}$, and every component of it is adjacent to $H_{k(n+1)}$. Suppose further that

$$\mathbf{P}(x \leftrightarrow_{F_n} o) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}. \quad (1)$$

The recursive assumptions trivially hold for $n = 0$.

Figure 1 illustrates the steps of the construction that are explained next.



For every component C of F_n , let $v(C)$ be a randomly chosen vertex of $H_{k(n+1)}$ that is adjacent to C . Define F_n^+ as the union of F_n and all the edges of the form $\{v(C), u\}$, where $u \in C$ and C is a component of F_n . Let $v_n(x)$ (respectively $v_n(o)$) be equal to $v(C_x)$ (resp. $v(C_o)$), where C_x is the component of x (resp. o) in F_n .

Let $\partial^{\text{up}} H_{k(n)}$ be the set of vertices in $H_{k(n)} \setminus H_{k(n+1)}$ that are adjacent to $H_{k(n+1)}$. Grow a forest within $H_{k(n)} \setminus H_{k(n+1)}$ starting from $\partial^{\text{up}} H_{k(n)}$ iteratively as follows. As $i = 0, 1, \dots$, consider the set U_i of vertices at distance i from $\partial^{\text{up}} H_{k(n)}$ (so $U_0 = \partial^{\text{up}} H_{k(n)}$), and for $i \geq 1$ pick a randomly chosen edge between each vertex in U_i and some vertex in U_{i-1} . As $i \rightarrow \infty$, we end up with a forest F_{n+1}^- in $H_{k(n)} \setminus H_{k(n+1)}$, which has the property that each of its components contains a unique point of $\partial^{\text{up}} H_{k(n)}$ (by the connectedness of $H_{k(n)}$), and consequently, each component is finite (by the MTP).



Let $A(n, m)$ be the event that there is a path with consecutive vertices p_1, \dots, p_ℓ , between $v_n(x)$ and $v_n(o)$ ($p_1 = v_n(x), p_\ell = v_n(o)$), with the $F_{n+1}^-(p_i)$ all fully contained in the same class of \mathcal{P}_m . By definition of \mathcal{P}_m , we have that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(v_n(x) \leftrightarrow_{K_{k(n)} \setminus K_{k(n+1)}} v_n(o); A(n, m)) = \mathbf{P}(v_n(x) \leftrightarrow_{K_{k(n)} \setminus K_{k(n+1)}} v_n(o))$. Choose $m(n)$ large enough so that

$$\mathbf{P}(v_n(x) \leftrightarrow_{K_{k(n)} \setminus K_{k(n+1)}} v_n(o); A(n, m)) \geq 1 - 2^{-n+1}. \quad (2)$$


Such a choice is possible by the recursive assumption (1). For each class K of $\mathcal{P}_{m(n)}$ consider the set of components of F_{n+1}^- that lie entirely in K , and add a maximal number of edges to them (following some otherwise arbitrary rule) so that the result is still cycle-free. Call the resulting forest F'_{n+1} (so F'_{n+1} is F_{n+1}^- with all these added edges). Then, by (2), $\mathbf{P}(x \leftrightarrow_{F'_{n+1} \cup F_n^+} o) = \mathbf{P}(v_n(x) \leftrightarrow_{F'_{n+1}} v_n(o)) \geq 1 - 2^{-n+1}$. Finally,



(a) attaching F_n to $H_{k(n)}$



(b) constructing the forest within $H_{k(n)} \setminus H_{k(n+1)}$



(c) the union of the two provides F_{n+1}

Figure 1: The construction of F_{n+1} from F_n . Dashed lines are in $E(G)$, but not in the graph at display.

define F_{n+1} as $F'_{n+1} \cup F_n^+$. By construction, the recursive assumptions are satisfied by F_{n+1} .

Let F be the limit of the increasing sequence F_n . It is clearly a forest, and by (1), F is a spanning tree. To see that F has one end, pick an arbitrary vertex v , and let $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ be such that $v \in H_{k(n)} \setminus H_{k(n+1)}$. If C is the component of v in F_n , then v is in a finite component of $F \setminus \{v(C)\}$, hence v is separated from infinity by one point, as we wanted. □

In what follows we are going to construct a sequence of iid connected subgraphs of H_n with marginals tending to 0, as in Lemma 3. This will then establish Theorem 1.

From now on, *intervals always mean discrete intervals*, e.g. $[a, b]$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the set $\{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$. An interval may only consist of 1 point. Given a set of intervals, it will automatically define an *interval graph*, as the graph whose vertices are the given intervals, and two are adjacent if they intersect. By a slight sloppiness, we will refer to the graph induced by a set \mathcal{I} of intervals by the same notation \mathcal{I} .

Lemma 4. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let \mathcal{I} be a connected interval graph of intervals in $[a, b]$. Suppose that both a and b are contained in some interval in \mathcal{I} . Then there is some $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that the graph induced by \mathcal{I}' is connected, and every integer of $[a, b]$ is contained in exactly 1 or 2 elements of \mathcal{I}' .

Denote the minimal length of an interval in \mathcal{I} by Δ . Fix $\delta \leq \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor$ to be a positive integer. Define $O = \delta\mathbb{Z}$. Then there is a map ι from the set of endpoints $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$ of \mathcal{I} to O that has the following properties:

1. $|x - \iota(x)| \leq 2\delta$ for every $x \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$.
2. If $x \leq y$, $x, y \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$, then $\iota(x) \leq \iota(y)$. In particular, the interval graph defined by $\mathcal{I}'' := \{[\iota(a), \iota(b)] : [a, b] \in \mathcal{I}'\}$ is such that ι maps adjacent (intersecting) intervals in \mathcal{I}' to adjacent intervals in \mathcal{I}'' .
3. Every point of $[a, b]$ is contained in at most two elements of \mathcal{I}'' .

Proof. Choose a path I_0, \dots, I_m (with $I_i \cap I_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$) in the interval graph \mathcal{I} with the property that $a \in I_0$, $b \in I_m$ (this latter we refer to by saying that the path bridges a and b), and make the choice so that m is minimal. By assumption, every point $k \in [a, b]$ is contained in some I_i . Suppose now that for some $k \in [a, b]$ there exist three distinct intervals that contain k . It is easy to check that then one can choose two of these three such that their union contains the third one. But then this third one could be dropped from I_0, \dots, I_b , and one would still be left with a connected graph (and a path that bridges a and b in it), contradicting the minimality of m . Hence $\mathcal{I}' := \{I_0, \dots, I_m\}$ satisfies the first assertion. See Figure 2 for the pattern of the intervals and the naming introduced in the next paragraph.

Still using that the \mathcal{I}' we defined is a minimal path, one can check the following. Denote the endpoints of I_0 by x_0 and x_2 , with $x_0 < x_2$. Denote the endpoints of I_m by x_{2m-1} and x_{2m+1} , where $x_{2m-1} < x_{2m+1}$.

Finally, for $0 < k < m$, let the endpoints of I_k be x_{2k-1} and x_{2k+2} , where $x_{2k-1} < x_{2k+2}$. Then $x_{2k-1} \leq x_{2k}$, because I_{k-1} intersects I_k , and the latter is closer to b than the former. Similarly, for $k \geq 1$ we have $x_{2k} < x_{2k+1}$, because $I_{k-1} \cap I_{k+1} = \emptyset$ (by the assumption that every point of $[a, b]$ is in at most two of the intervals).




Figure 2: Intervals representing a path in the interval graph. The lowest line represents the underlying set $[a, b]$.

To construct ι , do the following. Define a map ι' first, for $x \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$, by letting $\iota'(x)$ be the point of O closest to x (in case of a tie, decide arbitrarily). Vertex x_{2k-1} and x_{2k+2} are always at least $\Delta \geq 2\delta$ apart from each other, hence they cannot be mapped to the same point or to neighbors in $\delta\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore at most 3 points can be mapped to the same point by ι' , and if 3 points are mapped to the same $v \in O$, then no point is mapped to $v + \delta$ or $v - \delta$. Suppose that 3 vertices are mapped to some $v \in O$, that is, $\iota'(x_i) = \iota'(x_{i+1}) = \iota'(x_{i+2})$. Then, if $x_{i+2} > \iota'(x_{i+2})$, define $\iota(x_{i+2}) = \iota'(x_{i+2}) + \delta$, and $\iota(x_i) = \iota(x_{i+1}) = \iota'(x_{i+1})$. Otherwise we have $x_i < x_{i+1} < x_{i+2} \leq \iota'(x_{i+2})$. In this case define $\iota(x_i) = \iota'(x_i) - \delta$, and $\iota(x_{i+1}) = \iota(x_{i+2}) = \iota'(x_{i+2})$. It is easy to check that ι satisfies the requirements.

□

Lemma 5. Let G and B be random graphs, B being a biinfinite path, and suppose that (G, B) is jointly unimodular, $V(G) = V(B)$ and $E(B) \subset E(G)$. Suppose further that G has only one end. Let x_n and x_{-n} be the two vertices whose distance from the root is n in B . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(x_{-n}, x_n)/2n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. There are two graph isomorphisms from B to \mathbb{Z} that take the root to 0, pick one of the two randomly with probability $1/2$ and fix it, for simpler reference. Through this isomorphism, we can refer to the points of B as integers; we will use B and \mathbb{Z} interchangeably. This way, to every edge $e = \{k, \ell\} \in E(G)$, we can assign the interval $I(e) = [k, \ell]$, which can be thought of as the unique path in B between the endpoints of e . We refer to $\ell - k$ as the length of the edge e . Note that by subadditivity the limit exists, $\lim \mathbf{E}(\frac{\text{dist}_G(-n, n)}{2n}) = \inf \mathbf{E}(\frac{\text{dist}_G(-n, n)}{2n})$. We need to prove that this number is 0.

Suppose to the contrary, that $\lim \mathbf{E}(\frac{\text{dist}_G(0,n)}{n}) = \lim \mathbf{E}(\frac{\text{dist}_G(-n,n)}{2n}) = c > 0$. Let $c < c' < 8c/7$. Let d be a positive integer such that $\mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(o, n)) < c'n$ for every $n \geq d$. Pick some $D > (64d + 32)/3c' (> 2d)$.

Because of the one-endedness of G , for any point $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are infinitely many intervals $I(e)$, $e \in E(G)$, that contain x . In other words, there are infinitely many edges whose endpoints belong to different components of $B \setminus \{x\}$. Hence we can choose some number D' with the property that $\mathbf{P}(o \in I(e) \text{ for some } I(e) \text{ with } D \leq |I(e)| < D') > 1 - c'/32$. By unimodularity, we have the same probability if we replace o by some given $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. As we have just set,

$$\mathbf{E}(|\{x \in [-N, N], x \in I(e) \text{ for some } I(e) \text{ with } D \leq |I(e)| < D'\}|)/(2N + 1) > 1 - c'/32.$$

Let \mathcal{E}_N be the collection of all edges $e = \{k, \ell\} \in E(G)$ of length at least D , such that $k, \ell \in [-N, N]$. Then,

$$\mathbf{E}(|\{x \in [-N, N], x \in I \text{ for some } I \in \mathcal{E}_N\}|)/(2N + 1) \geq$$

$$\mathbf{E}(|\{x \in [-N + D', N - D'], x \in I(e) \text{ for some } I(e) \text{ with } D \leq |I(e)| < D'\}|)/(2N + 1) \geq$$

$$\mathbf{E}(|\{x \in [-N, N], x \in I(e) \text{ for some } I(e) \text{ with } D \leq |I(e)| < D'\}|)/(2N + 1) - 2D'/(2N + 1) \geq 1 - c'/16.$$

Let \mathbf{S}_0 be $\{x \in [-N, N] : \text{for every } I \in \mathcal{E}_N, x \notin I\}$. The previous inequalities directly imply

$$\mathbf{E}(|\mathbf{S}_0|) \leq c'(2N + 1)/16. \tag{3}$$

From now on, \mathbf{I} will denote an arbitrary connected component of $[-N, N] \setminus \mathbf{S}_0$. Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ be the subset of edges in \mathcal{E}_N both of whose endpoints are in \mathbf{I} . Now, one can apply Lemma 4, for $\mathbf{I} = [a, b]$, with $\{I(e) : e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})\}$ as \mathcal{I} , $D = \Delta$, and $d = \delta$. Let $\mathcal{I}'(\mathbf{I}) = \mathcal{I}'$ and ι be as in Lemma 4. One of the implications of the lemma is that for every $k \in [-N, N]$, there is exactly 1 or 2 elements of \mathcal{I}' that contain k . From this we have

$$|\mathcal{I}'| \leq 2|\mathbf{I}|/D, \tag{4}$$

because every interval in $\mathcal{I}' \subset \mathcal{I}$ has length at least D .

Let $P_2 \subset \mathbf{I}$ be the set of those points that are contained in exactly two elements of \mathcal{I}' , and $P_1 = \mathbf{I} \setminus P_2$ be the set of those that are contained in one. Now, let \mathcal{S}_2 be the set of maximal connected subintervals induced by P_2 . Consider also the set of maximal connected subintervals induced by P_1 , and partition it into two subsets, using the natural ordering on these intervals from left to right: let \mathcal{S}_1 be the subset of these intervals that are at odd positions at this ordering, and \mathcal{S}_3 be the set of those that are at even positions.

See Figure 3.




Figure 3: The subinterval partition \mathcal{T}' of \mathbf{I} , and the categorization of its elements to classes $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3$.

Denote $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{I}) := \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3$. We have $|\mathcal{S}| \leq 2|\mathcal{T}'| \leq 4|\mathbf{I}|/D$ by (4).

If $I \subset [-N, N]$ is an arbitrary interval, let I_- be its left endpoint and I_+ be its right endpoint. Fix $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ for now. For every $I \in \mathcal{S}_j(\mathbf{I})$, pick a path P_I in G of minimal length between I_- and I_+ . It is easy to check that the subgraph $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{S}_j} P_I \cup \{e : I(e) \in \mathcal{T}'\}$ of G is connected, and it contains the endpoints I_- and I_+ of \mathbf{I} (see Figure 3). Hence its total size is an upper bound on $\text{dist}_G(I_-, I_+)$. We obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(I_-, I_+)) \leq |\mathcal{T}'| + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_j} |P_I| \leq 2|\mathbf{I}|/D + \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_j} \text{dist}_G(I_-, I_+)\right) \quad (5)$$

using (4). As I runs over all connected components of $[-N, N] \setminus \mathbf{S}_0$, one has

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{I}} \text{dist}_G(\mathbf{I}_-, \mathbf{I}_+)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{I}} \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbf{E}\left(2|\mathbf{I}|/D + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_j(\mathbf{I})} \text{dist}_G(I_-, I_+)\right) = \quad (6)$$

$$(4N+2)/3D + \frac{1}{3} \left(\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{I}} 2\delta|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{I})\right) + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{I})} \text{dist}_G(\iota(I_-), \iota(I_+)) \right) \leq \quad (7)$$

$$(4N+2)/3D + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{I}} 8\delta|\mathbf{I}|/D\right) + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor N/\delta \rfloor} \mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(i\delta, (i+1)\delta)) \leq (4N+2)/3D + 16\delta N/3D + 2c'N/3, \quad (8)$$

where the last inequality follows by unimodularity (via $\mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(i\delta, (i+1)\delta)) = \mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(0, \delta))$) and the definition of c' , and the inequality before it uses Lemma 4. We conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_G(-N, N)) \leq \mathbf{E}(|\mathbf{S}_0| + \sum_{\mathbf{I}} \text{dist}_G(\mathbf{I}_-, \mathbf{I}_+)) \leq c'(2N+1)/16 + (4N+2)/3D + 16\delta N/3D + 2c'N/3 \leq 15c'N/16.$$

This holds for every large enough N , contradicting $c > 15c'/16$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let T_0 be a fiid spanning tree of G with one or two ends. Such a tree exists, as a

straightforward generalization of Theorem 8.9 of [1] to the fiid setting.

If T_0 has one end, then the claim is proved, so let us assume that it has 2 ends. Let B be the biinfinite path in T_0 . To every vertex x in B , define B_x as the subgraph induced in T_0 by x and all vertices that are in a finite component of $T_0 \setminus \{x\}$. For every vertex $v \in V(G)$ define $b(v) \in V(B)$ to be the (unique) vertex such that $v \in B_{b(v)}$. We define a new unimodular graph B^+ on the vertex set of B , as a deterministic function of (G, T_0) . For an edge $e = \{v, w\}$ in G , define $e^+ = \{b(v), b(w)\}$, and let $E(B^+) := \{e^+ : e \in E(G)\}$. We will define an fiid sequence (K_n) of subgraphs of B^+ that satisfy the following:

1. K_n is connected;
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(o \in K_n) = 0$.

Once we have (K_n) , we will define a sequence (H_n) of subgraphs of G , where $H_n := \cup_{x \in V(K_n)} B_x \cup \{e \in E(G) : e^+ \in K_n\}$. It is easy to check that if (K_n) satisfies conditions (1) and (2), then so does (H_n) , and thus the theorem follows from Lemma 3. It remains to construct the K_n .

Fix n and consider Bernoulli(2^{-n}) percolation on $V(B)$, independently from all other randomness that we have (the unimodular graph and the iid labels). For every pair of open vertices x and y such that every vertex of B on the path between x and y is closed, choose a connected finite subgraph $C_{x,y}$ of minimal size of B^+ that contains both x and y . Let K_n be the union of all these $C_{x,y}$. Then the K_n are connected. We will show that they also satisfy item 2.

As in the proof of Lemma 5, choose a random uniform isomorphism between B and \mathbb{Z} that maps o to the origin, for simpler reference. When convenient, we will refer to the vertices of B as elements of \mathbb{Z} . For an arbitrary $x \in V(B)$, let x_+ be the smallest $x_+ > x$ that is open, and let x_- be the largest $x_- \leq x$ that is open. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose M such that $\mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_{B^+}(o, m)/m) \leq \epsilon/2$ for every $m \geq M$, and choose n_0 so that $\mathbf{P}(o_+ \leq M) < \epsilon/2$ whenever $n \geq n_0$. An M with this first property exists by Lemma 5. Define $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{x,x_+} : x \text{ open}\}$. We have $K_n = \cup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C$. Define the following mass transport: let o send mass $\frac{1}{o_+ - o_-}$ to every vertex of C_{o_-, o_+} . The expected mass received is $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \mathbf{P}(o \text{ is in exactly } i \text{ elements of } \mathcal{C}) \geq \mathbf{P}(o \text{ is in some element of } \mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{P}(o \in K_n)$. The expected mass sent out is $\mathbf{E}(|C_{o_-, o_+}|/|o_+ - o_-|) \leq 2 \mathbf{E}(|\text{dist}_{B^+}(o, o_+)|/|o_+ - o_-|) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(o_+ = j) \mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_{B^+}(o, j)/j)$, using the independence of the percolation process. The first M terms of this sum are less than $\epsilon/2$, while the sum $\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(o_+ = j) \mathbf{E}(\text{dist}_{B^+}(o, j)/j)$ is also bounded by $\epsilon/2$. We obtain that $\mathbf{P}(o \in K_n) < \epsilon$, as we wanted.

□

References

- [1] Aldous, D., Lyons, R. (2007) Processes on unimodular random networks *Electron. J. Probab.* **12**, 1454-1508.
- [2] Benjamini, I., Lyons, R., Peres, Y., Schramm, O. (1999) Group-invariant percolation on graphs *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9**, 29-66.
- [3] Timár, Á. (2004) Tree and Grid Factors of General Point Processes, *Electronic Communications in Probability* **9**, 53-59.
- [4] Timár, Á. (2017) A nonamenable “factor” of a euclidean space, preprint, [arXiv:1712.08210](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.08210).