

Well-posedness and Exponential Estimates for the Solutions to Neutral Stochastic Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay

Hussein K. Asker ^{a,b *}

a: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer Science and Mathematics,
Kufa University, Iraq

b:Department of Mathematics, College of science, Swansea University, UK

March 11, 2019

Abstract

In this work, neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay (NSFDEwID) have been addressed. By using the Euler-Maruyama scheme and a localization argument, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to NSFDEwID at the state space C_r under the local weak monotone condition, the weak coercivity condition and the global condition on the neutral term have been investigated. In addition, the \mathcal{L}^2 and exponential estimates of NSFDEwID have been studied.

Keywords: Neutral stochastic functional differential equations, Infinite delay, State space C_r , Euler-Maruyama scheme.

*Email:husseink.askar@uokufa.edu.iq

1 Introduction

Recently, the neutral stochastic functional differential equations (NSFDEs) have been addressed by many authors, who describe many dynamical systems. Among many other references, we would like to mention [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 20]. Many articles studied wellposedness of NSFDEs by imposing Lipschitz condition, for example see [3, 12, 22, 23]. However, it seemed to be frequently strong in case of the real world. In the last few decades there has been a growing interest in addressing the existence and uniqueness of stochastic functional differential systems under some weaker assumptions. For example [15, 16] have studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions with infinite delay at phase space $BC((-\infty; 0]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with norm $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} |\varphi(\theta)|$ under non-Lipschitz condition. Bao and Hou [2], under a non-Lipschitz condition and a weakened linear growth condition, the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to stochastic neutral partial functional differential equations have been investigated. Tan et al. [18] by the weak convergence approach have reviewed stability in distribution for NSFDEs. von Renesse [19] has used the Euler-Maruyama approximate for SFDEs to show that only weak one-sided local Lipschitz conditions are sufficient for local existence and uniqueness of strong solutions. Based on the approach presented above, the purpose of this paper is to investigate the existence and uniqueness under the local weak monotone condition, the weak coercivity condition and the global condition on neutral term which can be obtained in the state space C_r .

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2, recapitulates some basic definitions and notations which has been used to develop our results. Section 3, gives several sufficient conditions to prove the existence and uniqueness for the equation (2.2) and the main result. In section 4, the L^2 estimate and the exponential estimate have been proved.

2 Preliminary

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, we use the following notation. Let R^d denote the usual d -dimensional Euclidean space and $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm. If A is a vector or a matrix, its transpose is denoted by A^T ; and $|A| = \sqrt{\text{trace}(A^T A)}$ denotes its trace norm. Denote by $x^T y$ the inner product of x and y in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $C((-\infty, 0]; R^d)$ denote the family of all continuous functions from $(-\infty, 0]$ to R^d . We choose the state

space with the fading memory defined as follows: for given positive number r ,

$$C_r = \left\{ \varphi \in C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^d) : \|\varphi\|_r = \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} e^{r\theta} |\varphi(\theta)| < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, $(C_r, \|\cdot\|_r)$ is a Polish space. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, +\infty)}$ satisfying the usual conditions (i.e. it is right continuous and \mathcal{F}_0 contains all P-null sets). Let 1_B denote the indicator function of a set B. $M^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a family of process $\{x(t)\}_{a \leq t \leq b}$ in $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\mathbb{E} \int_a^b |x(t)|^2 dt < \infty$. Consider a d -dimensional NSFDEwID

$$d\{x(t) - D(x_t)\} = b(x_t)dt + \sigma(x_t)dw(t), \quad \text{on } t \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

with the initial data:

$$x_0 = \xi = \{\xi(\theta) : -\infty < \theta \leq 0\} \in C_r, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$x_t = x(t + \theta) : -\infty < \theta \leq 0$$

and $b, D : C_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$; $\sigma : C_r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ are Borel measurable, $w(t)$ is an m -dimensional Brownian motion. It should be pointed out that $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a point and a continuous adapted process $(x(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is called a solution to (2.2) with the initial value x_0 , if P-a.s.

$$x(t) = \xi(0) + D(x_t) - D(\xi) + \int_0^t b(x_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(x_s)dw(s) \quad a.s.,$$

while $x_t \in C_r$ is a continuous function on the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ taking values in \mathbb{R}^d and we call $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a functional solution to (2.2) with the initial value $x_0 = \xi \in C_r$.

3 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

Consider the NSFDEwID (2.2), in order to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions to NSFDEwID, we impose the local weak monotone condition **(A1)** and the weak coercivity condition **(A2)** (the condition on the drift coefficient) by developing the tricks adapted in [12, 14, 19, 21]. Under local weak monotonicity and weak coercivity, the existence and uniqueness for path-independent stochastic differential equations is due to Krylov [10], which have been extend in [[17], Chapter 3]. Under local weak monotone condition and weak coercive condition, [19] studied wellposedness of path-dependent SDEs with finite memory by following Kerylov's approach. The Theorem 3.2 extends the result of [19] to NSFDEwID. We assume:

(A1) (local weak monotone condition) There exists a constant $L_R > 0$ such that for any $\phi, \varphi \in C_r$ with $\|\varphi\|_r \vee \|\phi\|_r \leq R$,

$$2\langle \varphi(0) - \phi(0) - (D(\varphi) - D(\phi)), b(\varphi) - b(\phi) \rangle + \|\sigma(\varphi) - \sigma(\phi)\|_r^2 \leq L_R \|\varphi - \phi\|_r^2, \quad (3.1)$$

(A2) (Weak coercivity condition) There exist $L > 0$ such that:

$$2\langle \varphi(0) - D(\varphi), b(\varphi) \rangle \vee |\sigma(\varphi)|^2 \leq L(1 + \|\varphi\|_r^2), \quad (3.2)$$

(A3) There is a $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $\phi, \varphi \in C_r$,

$$|D(\phi) - D(\varphi)| \leq k\|\phi - \varphi\|_r \quad \text{and} \quad D(0) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1 For all $\varphi \in C_r$, $k \in (0, 1)$,

$$|\varphi(0) - D(\varphi)|^2 \leq (1 + k)^2 \|\varphi\|_r^2. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof: For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by the elementary inequality $|a + b|^p \leq [1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}}]^{p-1} \left(|a|^p + \frac{|b|^p}{\varepsilon} \right)$, we have:

$$|\varphi(0) - D(\varphi)|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left(|\varphi(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |D(\varphi)|^2 \right).$$

Hence, by **(A3)**:

$$|\varphi(0) - D(\varphi)|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left(|\varphi(0)|^2 + \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi\|_r^2 \right).$$

Noting that, by letting $\varepsilon = k$, and

$$|\varphi(0)|^2 \leq \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} e^{2r\theta} |\varphi(\theta)|^2 = \|\varphi\|_r^2,$$

the desired assertion follows. \square

Theorem 3.2 Under **(A1)**, **(A2)** and **(A3)**, the equation (2.2) admits a unique strong solution. Moreover, there exists constants $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t \wedge \alpha_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs} \|x_s^n\|_r^2 \right) \leq C_3 e^{C_2 t},$$

where, $C_2 = 2C(k\delta)C_2(Lk) + C(k\varepsilon_2)$ and $C_3 = C(k\delta) \left[(1 + 2(1 + k)^2) \mathbb{E} \|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{73L}{r} e^{2rT} \right]$.

Proof: Throughout the whole proof, we assume that $n, m \geq \frac{r}{\ln 2}$ are integers. Define the Euler-Maruyama scheme associated with (2.2) in the form

$$d[x^n(t) - D(\hat{x}_t^n)] = b(\hat{x}_t^n)dt + \sigma(\hat{x}_t^n)dw(t), \quad t > 0, \quad x_0^n = \hat{x}_0^n = x_0 = \xi, \quad (3.5)$$

where, for each fixed $t \geq 0$, $\hat{x}_t^n \in C_r$ is defined in the manner below

$$\hat{x}_t^n(\theta) := x^n((t + \theta) \wedge t_n), \quad \theta \in (-\infty, 0], \quad t_n := \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor}{n}. \quad (3.6)$$

We point out that all constants are independent of $n \geq 1$. By the fixed point theorem, the path-dependent NSFDE (3.5) has a unique solution by solving pice-wisely with the time step length $\frac{1}{n}$. For any $R > 3\|\xi\|_r^2$, define the stopping times

$$\tau_R^{(n)} := \inf \{t \geq 0 : |x^n(t)| \geq \frac{R}{3}\} \quad \alpha_R^{(n)} := \inf \{t \geq 0 : \|x_t^n\|_r \geq \frac{R}{3}\}. \quad (3.7)$$

by [Wu, [21]], we have $\tau_R^{(n)} = \alpha_R^{(n)}$. Observe that

$$\|x_t^n\|_r = \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} |x^n(t + \theta)|) \geq e^{r(t_n - t)} |x^n(t_n)|,$$

which, in addition to $n \geq \frac{r}{\ln 2}$, we have $e^{\frac{r}{n}} \leq 2$ yields that

$$|x^n(t_n)| \leq e^{r(t - t_n)} \|x_t^n\|_r = e^{r(t - \frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor}{n})} \|x_t^n\|_r = e^{r(\frac{nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor}{n})} \|x_t^n\|_r \leq e^{\frac{r}{n}} \|x_t^n\|_r \leq 2 \|x_t^n\|_r.$$

This, together with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{x}_t^n\|_r &= \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} \hat{x}_t^n(\theta)) = \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} x^n((t + \theta) \wedge t_n)) \\ &= \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} x^n((t + \theta) \wedge t_n) 1_{\{t + \theta \leq t_n\}}) + \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} x^n((t + \theta) \wedge t_n) 1_{\{t + \theta \geq t_n\}}) \\ &= \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} x^n(t + \theta)) + \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} x^n(t_n)) \\ &\leq \|x_t^n\|_r + |x^n(t_n)|, \end{aligned}$$

further leads to

$$\|\hat{x}_t^n\|_r \leq 3 \|x_t^n\|_r. \quad (3.8)$$

Let

$$C(R) := \sup_{\|\zeta\|_r \leq \frac{R}{3}} |b(\zeta)| < \infty. \quad \text{So, } |b(x_t^n)| \leq C(R) \quad R \in (3\|\xi\|_r^2, \infty), \quad t \in [0, \tau_R^{(n)}].$$

Then, it follows from (3.8) and the notation of $\tau_R^{(n)}$ that

$$|b(\hat{x}_t^n)| \leq C(R), \quad t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} = \alpha_R^{(n)}. \quad (3.9)$$

To show that $(x_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ converges in probability to some stochastic process $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $n, m \geq \frac{r}{\ln 2}$, set $z^{n,m}(t) := x^n(t) - x^m(t)$, $D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_t) := D(\hat{x}_t^n) - D(\hat{x}_t^m)$, $\hat{z}^{n,m}(t) := \hat{x}^n(t) - \hat{x}^m(t)$, $p_t^n := x_t^n - \hat{x}_t^n$ and $\Gamma^{n,m}(t) := z^{n,m}(t) - D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_t)$, by using the fact that x_t^n and x_t^m share the initial value with the elementary inequality and **(A3)**, we note that for any $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{k}{1-k}$ and $\varepsilon_2 > \frac{k}{1-k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2rt} \|z_t^{n,m}\|_r^2 &= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (e^{2rs} |z^{n,m}(s)|^2) \\ &= \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (e^{2rs} |z^{n,m}(s) - D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_s) + D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_s)|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-k} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (e^{2rs} |z^{n,m}(s) - D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_s)|^2) + k e^{2rt} \|\hat{x}_t^n - \hat{x}_t^m\|_r^2, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-k} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (e^{2rs} |\Gamma^{n,m}(s)|^2) + k(1 + \varepsilon_2) e^{2rt} \|p_t^n - p_t^m\|_r^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{k(1 + \varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_2} e^{2rt} \|x_t^n - x_t^m\|_r^2, \end{aligned}$$

note that $\delta := \frac{k(1 + \varepsilon_2)}{\varepsilon_2} < 1$, therefore

$$e^{2rt} \|z_t^{n,m}\|_r^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1-k)(1-\delta)} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (e^{2rs} |\Gamma^{n,m}(s)|^2) + \frac{k(1 + \varepsilon_2)}{1-\delta} e^{2rt} \|p_t^n - p_t^m\|_r^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Also, with the definition of $\tau_R^{(n)}$ and $\alpha_R^{(n)}$, (3.8) implies that

$$\|p_t^n\|_r \leq \frac{4}{3} R, \quad t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} \quad (3.11)$$

Now, for $t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} \wedge \tau_R^{(m)} = \alpha_R^{(n)} \wedge \alpha_R^{(m)}$, by the Itô formula, we derive from **(A1)**, (3.9),(3.10) (3.11) and **(A3)** that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(e^{2rt} |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2) &= e^{2rt} \{2r |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2 + 2\langle \Gamma^{n,m}(t), b(\hat{x}_t^n) - b(\hat{x}_t^m) \rangle \\
&\quad + \|\sigma(\hat{x}_t^n) - \sigma(\hat{x}_t^m)\|_r^2\} dt + dM^{n,m}(t) \\
&= e^{2rt} \{2r |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2 + 2\langle z^{n,m}(t) - D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_t) + \hat{z}^{n,m}(t) - \hat{z}^{n,m}(t), b(\hat{x}_t^n) - b(\hat{x}_t^m) \rangle \\
&\quad + \|\sigma(\hat{x}_t^n) - \sigma(\hat{x}_t^m)\|_r^2\} dt + dM^{n,m}(t) \\
&= e^{2rt} \{2r |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2 + 2\langle z^{n,m}(t) - \hat{z}^{n,m}(t), b(\hat{x}_t^n) - b(\hat{x}_t^m) \rangle + 2\langle \hat{z}^{n,m}(t) - D^{n,m}(\hat{x}_t), \\
&\quad b(\hat{x}_t^n) - b(\hat{x}_t^m) \rangle + \|\sigma(\hat{x}_t^n) - \sigma(\hat{x}_t^m)\|_r^2\} dt + dM^{n,m}(t) \\
&\leq e^{2rt} \{2r |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2 + 2\langle p_t^n(0) - p_t^m(0), b(\hat{x}_t^n) - b(\hat{x}_t^m) \rangle + L_R \|\hat{x}_t^n - \hat{x}_t^m\|_r^2\} dt \\
&\quad + dM^{n,m}(t) \tag{3.12} \\
&\leq e^{2rt} \{2r |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2 + 2|p_t^n(0) - p_t^m(0)| \cdot (|b(\hat{x}_t^n)| + |b(\hat{x}_t^m)|) \\
&\quad + 2L_R \|p_t^n - p_t^m\|_r^2 + 2L_R \|z_t^{n,m}\|_r^2\} dt + dM^{n,m}(t) \\
&\leq e^{2rt} \left\{ \left(2r + \frac{2L_R}{(1-k)(1-\delta)}\right) |\Gamma^{n,m}(t)|^2 + 4C(R)|p_t^n(0)| + 4C(R)|p_t^m(0)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2L_R \left(1 + \frac{k(1+\varepsilon_2)}{1-\delta}\right) \|p_t^n - p_t^m\|_r^2 \right\} dt + dM^{n,m}(t) \\
&\leq K \left\{ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (e^{2rs} |\Gamma^{n,m}(s)|^2 + e^{2rt} (\|p_t^n\|_r + \|p_t^m\|_r)) \right\} dt + dM^{n,m}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K \geq \left(2r + \frac{2L_R}{(1-k)(1-\delta)}\right) + \left(4C(R) + 4L_R \left(1 + \frac{k(1+\varepsilon_2)}{1-\delta}\right)\right) > 0$, $dM^{n,m}(t) = 2e^{2rt} \langle \Gamma^{n,m}(t), (\sigma(\hat{x}_t^n) - \sigma(\hat{x}_t^m)) dw(t) \rangle$. Thus, for fixed $T > 0$, each $p \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha > \frac{1+p}{1-p}$, in terms of stochastic Gronwall inequality [[19], Lemma 5.4], there exist constant $c > 0$ depending on p, α such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_R^{(n)} \wedge \tau_R^{(m)}} e^{2rt} |\Gamma^{n,m}(s)|^2 \right)^p &\leq \\
c \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} (\|p_t^n\|_r^\alpha 1_{\{t \leq \tau_R^{(n)}\}}) dt \right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} &+ c \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E} (\|p_t^m\|_r^\alpha 1_{\{t \leq \tau_R^{(m)}\}}) dt \right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to estimate p_t^n , for $\theta \leq 0$, note that (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
p_t^n(\theta) &= x^n(t+\theta) - \hat{x}^n(t+\theta) = x^n(t+\theta) - x^n((t+\theta) \wedge t_n) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } t+\theta \leq t_n, \\ D(\hat{x}_{t+\theta}^n) - D(\hat{x}_{t_n}^n) + \int_{t_n}^{t+\theta} b(\hat{x}_s^n) ds + \int_{t_n}^{t+\theta} \sigma(\hat{x}_s^n) dw(s), & \text{for } t+\theta > t_n, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

in view of **(A3)**, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p_t^n\|_r &= \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} |p_t^n(\theta)|) = \sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} (e^{r\theta} |x^n(t+\theta) - x^n((t+\theta) \wedge t_n)|) 1_{\{t+\theta \geq t_n\}} \\
&= e^{-rt} \sup_{t_n < s \leq t} (e^{rs} |x^n(s) - x^n(s \wedge t_n)|) \\
&\leq e^{-rt} \sup_{t_n \leq s \leq t} \left(e^{rs} |D(\hat{x}_s^n) - D(\hat{x}_{s \wedge t_n}^n)| + e^{rs} \int_{t_n}^s |b(\hat{x}_u^n)| du + e^{rs} \left| \int_{t_n}^s \sigma(\hat{x}_u^n) dw(u) \right| \right) \\
&\leq ke^{-rt} \sup_{t_n \leq s \leq t} \left(e^{rs} \|\hat{x}_s^n - \hat{x}_{s \wedge t_n}^n\|_r \right) + \int_{t_n}^t |b(\hat{x}_s^n)| ds + \sup_{t_n \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_{t_n}^s \sigma(\hat{x}_u^n) dw(u) \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{x}_s^n - \hat{x}_{s \wedge t_n}^n\|_r &= \sup_{-\infty \leq \theta \leq 0} \left(e^{r\theta} |\hat{x}^n(s+\theta) - \hat{x}^n((s+\theta) \wedge t_n)| \right) 1_{\{t_n \leq s+\theta\}} \\
&\leq e^{-rs} \sup_{t_n \leq u \leq s} (e^{ru} |\hat{x}^n(u) - \hat{x}^n(t_n)|) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$\|p_t^n\|_r \leq \int_{t_n}^t |b(\hat{x}_s^n)| ds + \sup_{t_n \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_{t_n}^s \sigma(\hat{x}_u^n) dw(u) \right|. \tag{3.15}$$

By means of (3.9), for any $t \geq 0$ and $t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} = \alpha_R^{(n)}$, we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_n}^{t \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} |b(\hat{x}_s^n)| ds \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C(R) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

On the other hand, the Burkhold-Davis-Gundy inequality, together with the local boundedness of σ , there exists a constant $M_R > 0$ such that, for any $t \geq 0$ and $t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} = \alpha_R^{(n)}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t_n \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} \left| \int_{t_n}^s \sigma(\hat{x}_s^n) dw(s) \right|^2 \right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_R}{n} = 0,$$

thus, from this with (3.15) and (3.16), we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} (\|p_t^n\|_r^{\alpha} 1_{\{t \leq \tau_R^{(n)}\}}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

Subsequently, for $p = \frac{1}{2}$ taking (3.13) and (3.10) into consideration implies that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_R^{(n)} \wedge \tau_R^{(m)}} \|x_t^n - x_t^m\|_r \right\} = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Next, to ensure that x^n converges in probability to a solution of (2.2), it remains to prove

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_R^{(n)} \leq T) = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Indeed, (3.18) and (3.19) yield that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|x_t^n - x_t^m\|_r \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

Whence, by keeping in mind that C_r is a Polish space under the metric $\|\cdot\|_r$ (completeness of $(C_r, \|\cdot\|_r)$), there exists a continuous adapted process stochastic process $(x_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ on C_r such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|x_t^n - x_t\|_r \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.20)$$

Then, by following the standard argument we can show that $(x_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is the unique functional solution to (2.2) under **(A1)**, **(A2)** and **(A3)**.

So, in what follows, it remains to show that (3.19) holds true. Set $\Gamma^n(t) = x^n(t) - D(\hat{x}_t^n)$. Note, by the elementary inequality and **(A3)** similar to (3.10) with $x_0^n = \xi$, for $\varepsilon > \frac{3k^2}{1-3k^2}$, that

$$e^{2rt} \|x_t^n\|_r^2 \leq \|\xi\|_r^2 + (1 + \varepsilon) \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \left(e^{2rs} |\Gamma^n(s)|^2 \right) + \frac{3k^2(1 + \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} e^{2rt} \|x_t^n\|_r^2,$$

set, $\gamma := \frac{3k^2(1 + \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} < 1$, thus

$$e^{2rt} \|x_t^n\|_r^2 \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 - \gamma} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \left(e^{2rs} |\Gamma^n(s)|^2 \right). \quad (3.21)$$

By Itô's formula, we deduce from Lemma 3.1, **(A3)**, (3.8), **(A2)**, and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2rt}|\Gamma^n(t)|^2 &= |\xi(0) - D(\xi)|^2 + \int_0^t e^{2rs} \left[2r|\Gamma^n(s)|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\langle x^n(s) - D(\hat{x}_s^n), b(\hat{x}_s^n) \rangle + \|\sigma(\hat{x}_s^n)\|_r^2 \right] ds + dN^n(t) \\
&\leq (1+k)^2 \|\xi\|_r^2 + \int_0^t e^{2rs} \left[4r|x^n(s)|^2 + 4rk^2 \|\hat{x}_s^n\|_r^2 + 2\langle x^n(s) - D(\hat{x}_s^n) + \hat{x}^n(s) - \hat{x}^n(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. b(\hat{x}_s^n) \rangle + \|\sigma(\hat{x}_s^n)\|_r^2 \right] ds + dN^n(t) \\
&\leq (1+k)^2 \|\xi\|_r^2 + \int_0^t e^{2rs} \left[4r\|x_s^n\|_r^2 + 12rk^2\|x_s^n\|_r^2 + 2\langle x^n(s) - \hat{x}^n(s), b(\hat{x}_s^n) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\langle \hat{x}^n(s) - D(\hat{x}_s^n), b(\hat{x}_s^n) \rangle + \|\sigma(\hat{x}_s^n)\|_r^2 \right] ds + dN^n(t) \\
&\leq (1+k)^2 \|\xi\|_r^2 + \int_0^t e^{2rs} \left[4r(1+3k^2)\|x_s^n\|_r^2 + 2|p_s^n(0)| |b(\hat{x}_s^n)| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + L(1 + \|\hat{x}_s^n\|_r^2) \right] ds + dN^n(t) \\
&= (1+k)^2 \|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{L}{2r} e^{2rt} + C_1(Lk) \int_0^t e^{2rs} \|x_s^n\|_r^2 ds + 2C(R) \int_0^t e^{2rs} |p_s^n(0)| ds + dN^n(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where, $C_1(Lk) = (4r(1+3k^2) + 3L)$ and $dN^n(t) = 2 \int_0^t e^{2rs} \langle \Gamma^n(s), \sigma(\hat{x}_s^n) dw(s) \rangle$. Thus for any $t \in [0, T]$, from (3.22) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs} |\Gamma^n(s)|^2 \right) &\leq (1+k)^2 \mathbb{E} \|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{L}{2r} e^{2rT} \\
&\quad + C_1(Lk) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{2rs} \|x_s^n\|_r^2 ds + 2C(R) \int_0^t e^{2rs} \mathbb{E} \left(|p_s^n(0)| 1_{\{s \leq \tau_R^{(n)}\}} \right) ds \tag{3.23} \\
&\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} \int_0^s e^{2ru} \langle \Gamma^n(u), \sigma(\hat{x}_u^n) dw(u) \rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, by the Burkholder - Davis - Gundy inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}}\int_0^s e^{2ru}[\Gamma^n(u)]^T\sigma(\hat{x}_u^n)dw(u)\right) \\
& \leq 8\sqrt{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|[\Gamma^n(u)]^T\sigma(\hat{x}_u^n)|^2du\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 12\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\Gamma^n(u)|^2|\sigma(\hat{x}_u^n)|^2du\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 12\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{0<u\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\Gamma^n(u)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\sigma(\hat{x}_u^n)|^2du\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\leq u\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\Gamma^n(u)|^2\right) + 72\mathbb{E}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\sigma(\hat{x}_u^n)|^2du \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0<u\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\Gamma^n(u)|^2\right) + 72L\mathbb{E}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}(1+\|\hat{x}_u^n\|_r^2)du \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0<u\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\Gamma^n(u)|^2\right) + 72L\mathbb{E}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}(1+3\|x_u^n\|_r^2)du \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0<u\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2ru}|\Gamma^n(u)|^2\right) + \frac{36L}{r}e^{2rT} + 216L\mathbb{E}\int_0^t e^{2ru}\|x_u^n\|_r^2du.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Plugging (3.24) into (3.23), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0<s\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs}|\Gamma^n(s)|^2\right) & \leq (1+k)^2\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{73L}{2r}e^{2rT} \\
& + C_2(Lk)\mathbb{E}\int_0^t e^{2rs}\|x_s^n\|_r^2ds + 2C(R)\int_0^t e^{2rs}\mathbb{E}\left(|p_s^n(0)|1_{\{s\leq\tau_R^{(n)}\}}\right)ds \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0<s\leq t\wedge\tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs}|\Gamma^n(s)|^2\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where, $C_2(Lk) = C_1(Lk) + 216L$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs} |\Gamma^n(s)|^2\right) &\leq 2(1+k)^2 \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{73L}{r} e^{2rT} \\ &\quad + 2C_2(Lk) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{2rs} \|x_s^n\|_r^2 ds \\ &\quad + 4C(R) \int_0^t e^{2rs} \mathbb{E}\left(|p_s^n(0)| 1_{\{s \leq \tau_R^{(n)}\}}\right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Considering (3.21), the (3.26) gives that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs} |\Gamma^n(s)|^2\right) &\leq \left[\left(2(1+k)^2 + \frac{2C_2(Lk)t}{1-\gamma}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{73L}{r} e^{2rT}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ 4C(R) \int_0^t e^{2rs} \mathbb{E}\left(|p_s^n(0)| 1_{\{s \leq \tau_R^{(n)}\}}\right) ds\right] + C_2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \Gamma^n(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

So, the Gronwall inequality yields that

$$\Gamma^{n,R}(t) \leq C_1 e^{C_2 T}, \quad (3.28)$$

where, $C_2 = \frac{2C_2(Lk)(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\gamma}$, and

$$C_1 = \left[\left(2(1+k)^2 + \frac{2C_2(Lk)t}{1-\gamma}\right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{73L}{r} e^{2rT} + 4C(R) \int_0^t e^{2rs} \mathbb{E}\left(|p_s^n(0)| 1_{\{s \leq \tau_R^{(n)}\}}\right) ds\right]$$

According to the notion of $\tau_R^{(n)}$, the event

$$\left\{\tau_R^{(n)} \leq T, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T \wedge \tau_R^{(n)}} |x^n(t)| < \frac{R}{4}\right\}$$

is empty set, which, together with (3.17), (3.28) and Chebyshev's inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_R^{(n)} \leq T) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_R^{(n)} \leq T, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} \wedge T} |x^n(t)| \geq \frac{R}{4}\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau_R^{(n)} \wedge T} |x^n(t)| \geq \frac{R}{4}\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{16\Gamma^{n,R}(T)}{R^2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

So, (3.19) holds. Finally, by (3.17), (3.18) and (3.28) and employing Fatous lemma for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain there exists constants $C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t \wedge \alpha_R^{(n)}} e^{2rs} \|x_s^n\|_r^2 \right) \leq C_3 e^{C_2 t},$$

where $C_3 = C(k\delta) \left[(1 + 2(1 + k)^2) \mathbb{E} \|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{73L}{r} e^{2rT} \right]$ and the proof complete. \square

4 Exponential estimate

Let $x(t)$ where $t \in [0, \infty)$, be a unique solution to NSFDEwID (2.2). In this section, first we derive the L^2 estimate, then we obtain the exponential estimate for the solution.

Theorem 4.1 *Under (A2) and (A3), for $t \geq 0$ there exists constants $C_4, C_5 > 0$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of (2.2), satisfies:*

$$E \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|^2 \right] \leq C_4 e^{C_5 t}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $C_4 = \frac{1}{1 - 2k^2} \left[\left(2k^2 e^{-2rt} + 4(1 + k)^2 + \frac{146L}{r} \right) \mathbb{E} \|\xi\|_r^2 + 292LT \right]$ and $C_5 = 292L$.

Proof: By using the inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ and (A3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|^2 \right) &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |D(x_s)|^2 \right) + 2\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2k^2 E \|x_t\|_r^2 + 2\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Applying Itô formula to $|x(t) - D(x_t)|^2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - D(x_t)|^2 &= |x(0) - D(\xi)|^2 + \int_0^t \left[2[x(s) - D(x_s)]^T b(x_s) + |\sigma(x_s)|^2 \right] ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^s [x(s) - D(x_s)]^T \sigma(x_s) dw(s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

taking expectation on both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) &= \mathbb{E}|x(0) - D(\xi)|^2 \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \int_0^s \left[2[x(u) - D(x_u)]^T b(x_u) + |\sigma(x_u)|^2\right] du\right) \\
&+ 2\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \int_0^s [x(u) - D(x_u)]^T \sigma(x_u) dw(u)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \int_0^s [x(u) - D(x_u)]^T \sigma(x_u) dw(u)\right) &\leq 8\sqrt{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t |[x(s) - D(x_s)]|^2 |\sigma(x_s)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 12\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2 |\sigma(x_s)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 12\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t |\sigma(x_s)|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) + 72\mathbb{E}\int_0^t |\sigma(x_s)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Substitute (4.5) into (4.4) and using Lemma 3.1, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) &\leq (1+k)^2\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \int_0^s \left[2[x(u) - D(x_u)]^T b(x_u) \right. \right. \\
&\left. \left. + |\sigma(x_u)|^2\right] du\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) + 72\mathbb{E}\int_0^t |\sigma(x_s)|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Applying assumption **(A2)**, one have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) &\leq (1+k)^2\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) + 73L\mathbb{E}\int_0^t (1 + \|x_s\|_r^2) ds \\
&= (1+k)^2\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) + 73LT + 73L\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|x_s\|_r^2 ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

this implies to

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s) - D(x_s)|^2\right) \leq 2(1+k)^2\mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 146LT + 146L\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|x_s\|_r^2 ds. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting (4.8) in (4.2), we obtain,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|^2\right) \leq 2k^2 E \|x_t\|_r^2 + 4(1+k)^2 \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 292LT + 292L \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|x_s\|_r^2 ds. \quad (4.9)$$

Now, correspond to the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|x_t\|_r^2 &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{-\infty < \theta \leq 0} e^{r\theta} |x_t(\theta)|\right)^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2rt} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 < s \leq t} |x(s)|^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

by substituting this into (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|^2\right) &\leq 2k^2 \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 4(1+k)^2 \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 292LT \\ &\quad + 292L \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[e^{-2rs} \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + \mathbb{E}\left(|x(s)|^2\right) \right] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

this yields to,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(|x(t)|^2\right) \leq \left[\left(2k^2 + 4(1+k)^2 + \frac{292L}{2r} \right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 292LT \right] + 292L \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(|x(s)|^2\right) ds, \quad (4.12)$$

so, by the Gronwall iniquity we get,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|^2\right) \leq C_4 e^{C_5 t}, \quad (4.13)$$

where, $C_4 = \left[\left(2k^2 + 4(1+k)^2 + \frac{292L}{2r} \right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 292LT \right]$ and $C_5 = 292L$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let assumption (A2) and (A3) hold. Then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |x(t)| \leq \hat{C}, \quad (4.14)$$

where $\hat{C} = \frac{292L}{2}$.

Proof: From the Theorem 4.1, for each $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{m-1 \leq t \leq m} x(s)\right] \leq C_4 e^{292Lm},$$

where $C_4 = \left[\left(2k^2 + 4(1+k)^2 + \frac{292L}{2r} \right) \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_r^2 + 292LT \right]$. By Chebyshev inequality we further obtain that

$$P\left\{w : \sup_{m-1 \leq t \leq m} |x(s)|^2 > e^{(292L+\epsilon)m}\right\} \leq C_4 e^{-\epsilon m}. \quad (4.15)$$

As the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_4 e^{-\epsilon m}$ is convergent, for almost all $w \in \Omega$, the Borel-Cantelli lemma yields that there exists a random integer $m_0 = m_0(w)$ such that

$$\sup_{m-1 \leq t \leq m} |x(t)|^2 \leq e^{(292L+\epsilon)m}, \text{ whenever } m \geq m_0,$$

That is, for $m-1 \leq t \leq m$ and $m \geq m_0$, we derive

$$|x(t)| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(292L+\epsilon)m}.$$

Hence for almost all $w \in \Omega$ if $m-1 \leq t \leq m$ and $m \geq m_0$, then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \text{Log}|x(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(292L + \epsilon)m,$$

the required claim (4.14) follows because $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. □

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Dr Jianhai Bao for his encouragement and kindly advice throughout this work, as well as Professor Chenggui Yuan for his supervision and remarks. This research was supported by Kufa University and the Iraqi Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research.

References

- [1] Bao, H. (2010). Existence and uniqueness of solutions to neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay in $L^p(\Omega, C_h)$. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 34(1), 45-58.

- [2] Bao, J., and Hou, Z. (2010). Existence of mild solutions to stochastic neutral partial functional differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 59(1), 207-214.
- [3] Bao, H. and Cao, J. (2009). Existence and uniqueness of solutions to neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 215(5), 1732-1743.
- [4] Bao, J., Yin, G., and Yuan, C. (2014). Ergodicity for functional stochastic differential equations and applications. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 98, 66-82.
- [5] Hale, J. K. (1967). A class of functional equations of neutral type.
- [6] Hale, J. K., and Lunel, S. M. V. (2013). *Introduction to functional differential equations* (Vol. 99). Springer Science and Business Media.
- [7] Huang, L., and Deng, F. (2008). Razumikhin-type theorems on stability of neutral stochastic functional differential equations. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 53(7), 1718-1723.
- [8] Kolmanovskii, V., Koroleva, N., Maizenberg, T., Mao, X., and Matasov, A. (2003). Neutral stochastic differential delay equations with Markovian switching.
- [9] Kolmanovskii, V., and Myshkis, A. (2012). *Applied theory of functional differential equations* (Vol. 85). Springer Science and Business Media.
- [10] Krylov, N. V. (1999). On Kolmogorov equations for finite dimensional diffusions. In *Stochastic PDEs and Kolmogorov Equations in Infinite Dimensions* (pp. 1-63). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [11] Mao, X. (1995). Exponential stability in mean square of neutral stochastic differential functional equations. *Systems and Control Letters*, 26(4), 245-251.
- [12] Mao, X. (2007). *Stochastic differential equations and applications*. Elsevier.
- [13] Mao, W., Hu, L., and Mao, X. (2017). Neutral stochastic functional differential equations with Lvy jumps under the local Lipschitz condition. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2017(1), 57.
- [14] Mohammed, S. E. A. (1984). *Stochastic functional differential equations* (No. 99). Pitman Advanced Publishing Program.
- [15] Ren, Y., and Xia, N. (2009). Existence, uniqueness and stability of the solutions to neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 210(1), 72-79.
- [16] Ren, Y. and Xia, N. (2009). A note on the existence and uniqueness of the solution to neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay. *Applied mathematics and computation*, 214(2), 457-461.
- [17] Röckner, M., and Prévôt, C. (2007). *A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [18] Tan, L., Jin, W., and Suo, Y. (2015). Stability in distribution of neutral stochastic functional differential equations. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 107, 27-36.
- [19] von Renesse, M. K., and Scheutzow, M. (2010). Existence and uniqueness of solutions of stochastic functional differential equations. *Random Operators and Stochastic Equations*, 18(3), 267-284.

- [20] Wei, F., and Cai, Y. (2013). Existence, uniqueness and stability of the solution to neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay under non-Lipschitz conditions. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2013(1), 151.
- [21] Wu, F., Yin, G., and Mei, H. (2017). Stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay: Existence and uniqueness of solutions, solution maps, Markov properties, and ergodicity. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 262(3), 1226-1252.
- [22] Xu, Y. and Hu, S. (2010). The existence and uniqueness of the solution for neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay in abstract space. *Acta applicandae mathematicae*, 110(2), 627-638.
- [23] Zhou, S. B. and Xue, M. G. (2008). The existence and uniqueness of the solutions for neutral stochastic functional differential equations with infinite delay. , 21(1), 75-83.