

Euclid: Homogeneity in the search of the Dark Sector

Pierros Ntelis and Anne Ealet

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France



In the era of precision cosmology, we investigate an novel method to probe the Dark Universe. By studying the fractality of the universe, we estimate a characteristic scale of homogeneity. Using the Flagship representative catalogue of Euclid Mission at an effective redshift $z_{eff} = 1.15$, we measure this scale $R_H = 85 \pm 1 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ at %-level, consistent at 1σ with the theoretical prediction, i.e. $R_H^{th} = 86h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$. We have shown with a Fisher analysis that the homogeneity scale is a complementary cosmological probe which will help us understand the nature of Dark Energy and Dark Matter of our universe.

1 Introduction

The ΛCDM model is the most predominant model describing the Universe today and it is based on the Cosmological Principle. This Principle states that the universe is homogeneous and isotropic on scales large enough. The purpose of our research is to estimate the power of Euclid to measure the scale at which the universe becomes homogeneous. This method was investigated in ¹. Euclid is a promising future satellite that is going to map the 3D structure of our universe and allows us to perform such measurement.

1.1 Euclid Mission

It is a satellite project² that is going to give us first light in 2021. The mission will use an 1.2 SiC mirror telescope that is going to fly in the Sun-Earth L2 Lagrange point for 6 years. This satellite is illustrated in left part of figure 1. It will perform:

- Imaging with the *VIS* instrument at optical regime: $550 < \lambda/nm < 900$
- Photometry with the *NISP* Instrument at *Y, J, H* bands: $900 < \lambda/nm < 2000$
- Slitless Spectroscopy with *NISP* with:
 - $R = 380$ (low resolution, fast reduction)
 - $920 < \lambda/nm < 1850$
 - 30 Million Targets per 4000 sec




Figure 1: Left: Euclid Satellite which is going to be launched in 2020 to study the Dark Universe. Right: Euclid representative galaxy simulation catalogue that is going to be surveyed by the satellite.

- Cover an area of 15000 deg^2
- Main Objectives:
 - Large scale structure science
 - Baryon Acoustic Oscillations
 - Weak Gravitational Lensing
 - Ameliorate SuperNovae Measurements

To probe Dark Matter, Dark Energy and the growth of structures in the late universe.

1.2 Euclid Galaxy Simulation

We have used a dedicated N-body Simulation, which is representative of Euclid Survey³. The galaxy mock has been produced using a Halo Occupation Distribution pipeline developed by the Institut de Cincies de l’Espai (ICE) and Port d’Informacio Cientifica (PIC) in Barcelona, and it is based on the 2 trillion dark-matter particle Flagship run produced by U.Zurich^{3,4}. With a size of $L_{box} = 3780h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, it is largest Simulation ever build in terms of number of particles (2.6 Billion galaxies). A magnitude limit at the Hydrogen band is at $mag - H < 26$ and with a flux limit of $\log_{10}(f_{H\alpha}) < -16$. Spanning in the redshift regime of $0 < z < 2.3$ with an area of 5000 deg^2 it covers a volume of $30(\text{Gpc}/h)^3$. This simulation is illustrated in the right part of figure 1. The Halo Occupation Distribution algorithm and the galaxy mock pipeline is under development⁵.

2 Methodology

We measure the Fractal Correlation Dimension as a function of scales, $D_2(r)$. This quantity is directly related to the average number of galaxies within a cell of a spherical volume, $N(r)$, via the equation:

$$D_2(r) = \frac{d \ln N(r)}{d \ln r} \quad (1)$$

We get that for $D_2 < 3$, an inhomogeneous distribution, $D_2 = 3$, a homogeneous and for $D_2 > 3$, a super-homogeneous distribution. The 1% homogeneity defines the characteristic scale:

$$D_2(R_H) = 2.97. \quad (2)$$

To produce the 3D galaxy map from the observational data we convert the redshift, z , Right Ascension, R.A. and Declination, DEC, into cartesian comoving coordinates according to a flat Λ CDM model:

$$\chi(z) = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{(\Omega_b + \Omega_{cdm})(1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda} \quad (3)$$




Figure 2: Fractal Correlation Dimension versus the scales, $D_2(r)$ for the Flagship Euclid like galaxy simulation.

with the Planck 2015 fiducial cosmology

$$(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_b, h, n_s, \ln [10^{10} A_s]) = (0.32, 0.68, 0.01, 0.67, 0.97, 3.09) \quad (4)$$

3 Results

In the left panel of figure 2 we present the result of the measured fractal correlation dimension versus the radius of the count-spheres for the Euclid-like Flagship Galaxy Simulation at effective redshift $z_{eff} = 1.15$. The black data points have been calculate using an empirical approach of estimating the errors. The fractal correlation dimension is increasing with the sizes of count-in-spheres. This shows the the Universe becomes homogeneous at large scales. The 1% homogeneity is denoted with the red dash line while pure homogeneity is given by the black dash line. We perform a spline fit around the threshold of 1% homogeneity in order to determine the homogeneity scale. We measure the characteristic scale of homogeneity on the representative catalogue at $R_H = 84.4 \pm 1.3 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ consistent at 1σ with the theoretical prediction $R_H^{th} = 86 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$, which is given by a fit of the fiducial ΛCDM model to the D_2 measurement for a bias $b = 1.919 \pm 0.023$.

3.1 Empirical Error

In order to see how the error on the Homogeneity Scale scales with future experiments we vary the density n , volume, V and photometric redshift error, σ_z of 100 qpm mock catalogues that follow the *BOSS CMASS* galaxy sample⁶. We find that the Precision of the Fractal Correlation Dimension depends only on the Volume according to the empirical formula:

$$\sigma_{D_2}^{\text{emp}} = (0.0063 \mp 0.0021) \sqrt{\frac{2.16 h^{-3} \text{Gpc}^3}{V}} D_2 \quad (5)$$

This estimate is conservatively 30% accurate in the scales $[50 - 120] \text{Mpc}/h$ where we measure the homogeneity scale.




Figure 3: Fisher 1σ and 2σ contours prediction of different probes combined with the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). R_H is the homogeneity scale and R_{BAO} is the Baryon Acoustic Oscillations scale.

3.2 Study Dark Matter and Dark Energy

We perform a Fisher Analysis Forecast to estimate the sensitivity of the Homogeneity Scale against the ratio density of Cold Dark Matter, ω_{cdm} , the Dark Energy ratio density, Ω_Λ , the dimensionless Hubble constant, h and the equation of state parametrised by w_0 .

The diagram 3 shows the 1σ and 2σ contours of the aforementioned cosmological parameters using the latest Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) measurements, and those combined with the Homogeneity scale and the BAO scale. Clearly the homogeneity scale provides an extra information, that will help us investigate the Alternative Cosmological Scenarios.

4 Conclusions

We conclude that the universe has a fractal-like behaviour at small scales due to clustering and behaves as a homogeneous fluid at large scales. We have estimated that it will be possible to measure the homogeneity scale with Euclid. Using the Flagship representative catalogue, we find a characteristic scale of homogeneity at $R_H = 84.4 \pm 1.3 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ with a Euclid Like Galaxy Simulation at %-level. The measurement is consistent at 1σ with the theoretical prediction. We have shown with a Fisher Analysis that the homogeneity scale is a complementary cosmological probe and will help us understand the nature of Dark Energy and Dark Matter of our universe.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Moriond scientific committee that select us to present our research in the form of poster in this wonderful environment. Special thanks to JC Hamilton, JM Le Goff, J rich, N Busca, S. Escoffier, A. Tilquin, and W. Gillard. The computations were performed in the scientific computer MARDEC of IN2P3/CNRS. PN is supported by CNES.

References

1. P. Ntelis hal.in2p3.fr/te1-01674537.
2. R.Laureijs et al. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.3193>.

3. Cosmohub Portal <https://cosmohub.pic.es/>
4. Potter et al. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08621>
5. Castander et al. 2018 (in preparation)
6. P. Ntelis et al. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.02159>