Poisson brackets of even symplectic forms on the algebra of differential forms

J. Monterde and J. A. Vallejo

Departament de Geometria i Topologia.

Universitat de València (Spain).

e-mail: juan.l.monterde@uv.es, jose.a.vallejo@uv.es

July 12, 2021

Abstract

Given a symplectic form and a pseudo-riemannian metric on a manifold, a non degenerate even Poisson bracket on the algebra of differential forms is defined and its properties are studied. A comparison with the Koszul-Schouten bracket is established.

1 Introduction

The extension of a classical Poisson bracket to a bracket defined on differential forms is a topic studied by many authors ([4], [7], [8], [9]). Let us consider the problems posed by this extension.

Let M be a differentiable manifold of dimension n, and let $\Omega(M) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \Omega^{k}(M)$ be the algebra of differential forms on M. We want to consider Poisson brackets on $\Omega(M)$ and, as this space has a natural grading, we need to generalize the usual definition to this graded setting. Thus, a graded Poisson bracket on $\Omega(M)$ of \mathbb{Z} -degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, will be a mapping $[-, -]: \Omega(M) \times \Omega(M) \longrightarrow \Omega(M)$ verifying the conditions (here $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega(M)$ and |-| denotes the degree in $\Omega(M)$):

- 1. \mathbb{R} -bilinearity
- 2. $|[\![\alpha, \beta]\!]| = |\alpha| + |\beta| + k$
- 3. $\llbracket \alpha, \beta \rrbracket = -(-1)^{(\alpha+k)(\beta+k)} \llbracket \beta, \alpha \rrbracket$ (graded commutativity)
- 4. $\llbracket \alpha, \beta \wedge \gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket \alpha, \beta \rrbracket \wedge \gamma + (-1)^{(\alpha+k)\beta}\beta \wedge \llbracket \alpha, \gamma \rrbracket$ (Leibniz rule)

5. $[\![\alpha, [\![\beta, \gamma]\!]\!] = [\![\![\alpha, \beta]\!], \gamma]\!] + (-1)^{(\alpha+k)(\beta+k)}[\![\beta, [\![\alpha, \gamma]\!]\!]$ (graded Jacobi identity).

So, we see that a Poisson bracket on $\Omega(M)$ can be even or odd, depending on $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The best known brackets are those of odd degree; a first step towards this process of passing from functions to differential forms can be found in [1] where a definition of a bracket on differential 1-forms satisfying a Jacobi identity is presented. The extension of this bracket to another defined on the whole algebra of differential forms (which we call here the Koszul-Schouten bracket and denote $[\![-, -]\!]_{KS}$) was given in [6], with odd degree, and has been used and generalized ever since in many ways. Its simple definition and its good behavior with respect to the exterior derivative make it a nice candidate to be used for applications in Physics and Geometry.

However, if we assume a Poisson bracket $\{_, _\}$ defined on M, the odd brackets such as the Koszul-Schouten, are not objects that could be called extensions of $\{_, _\}$, as they do not satisfy

$$\pi_{(0)}(\llbracket f,h\rrbracket) = \{f,h\},\$$

where $\pi_{(0)}$ denotes the 0-degree term.

Our purpose in this paper is to look for a definition of an even graded Poisson bracket on $\Omega(M)$ which satisfies the preceding condition, with the additional requirement that the symplectic form associated to the bracket should be as simple as possible, allowing the explicit computations of such things as Hamiltonian vector fields.

As it is well known, the Jacobi identity is a highly nonlinear condition and this fact makes it hard to find graded Poisson brackets. In the non degenerate case, one can study symplectic forms instead of Poisson brackets. The Jacobi condition is then translated into a linear condition: a non degenerate 2-form is symplectic if it is closed. This is what Rothstein did in [13] where he studied even symplectic forms on any graded manifold, and where that condition is always verified.

In this paper [13], the author states that any even symplectic form on a graded manifold $(M, \Gamma(\Lambda E))$ is the pull-back, by an automorphism of $\Gamma(\Lambda E)$, of a symplectic form of \mathbb{Z} -degree (0) + (2). Moreover, this (0) + (2)-symplectic form can be parametrized by three objects: a symplectic form ω on the base manifold M; a non degenerate bilinear form g, on the fiber bundle E^* , and a connection, ∇ , on E.

We shall be dealing with the graded manifold defined by the cotangent bundle; that is $E = T^*M$, so that the differential forms on M are graded functions. Now, since the space of connections has an affine structure, and since a non degenerate bilinear form g on TM is a pseudoriemannian metric on Mwhich has a canonically associated connection, its Levi-Civita connection, we can replace ∇ by a tensor field $L \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2 T^*M)$. Therefore, a class of even graded symplectic forms can be parametrized with these three objects on the base manifold: a symplectic form, a pseudoriemannian metric and the tensor field L. In the odd case, a result similar to that of Rothstein particularized to the graded manifold $(M, \Omega(M))$ (see [2]), states that any odd symplectic form on $(M, \Omega(M))$ is the pull-back by an automorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} \Omega(M)$ of a symplectic form of \mathbb{Z} -degree 1. Moreover, this symplectic form of \mathbb{Z} -degree 1 can be parametrized just by one object: a bundle isomorphism, P, between tangent and cotangent bundles of the base manifold. The odd symplectic form is a graded exact form $d^G \lambda_P$ (in a sense that will be explained in the next section), where λ_P is a graded 1-form associated to the isomorphism P and d^G is the graded exterior derivative (see Section 2 for the definitions).

Among all the derivations of the algebra of differential forms, i.e, graded vector fields of the graded manifold $(M, \Omega(M))$, there is a distinguished one: the exterior derivative, d. It is natural to ask for the odd graded symplectic forms that admits it as a Hamiltonian vector field. In [2], it is proved that these forms are precisely those which come from a bundle isomorphism between tangent and cotangent bundles induced by a symplectic form, ω , on the base manifold M. Moreover, in this case d is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to ω (denoted $D_{\omega} = d$), considered as a graded function. The odd Poisson bracket associated to $d^G \lambda_{\omega}$ is exactly the Koszul-Schouten bracket [6].

In the same paper [2], it is shown that d can never be a Hamiltonian vector field for an even symplectic form. But we can ask if replacing d for another derivation allows us to maintain the analogy with the odd case. As we will see the answer is in the affirmative with the derivation i_J playing the rôle of d, i.e, the insertion of the tensor field J defined by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$.

	Odd	Even
Obtained as	$\Theta = \varphi^*(\Theta_P)$	$\Theta = \varphi^*(\Theta_{\omega,g,L})$
$(\varphi\in\operatorname{Aut}\Omega(M))$		
Characterizing	$\mathbf{d} \in \mathrm{Ham}(\Theta_P)$	$i_J \in \operatorname{Ham}(\Theta_{\omega,g,L})$
condition	$\iff P \text{ induced by } \omega$	$\iff i_J L = 0$
Hamiltonian vector	d	i_J
field for ω		

All this can be gathered together in the following table:

Moreover, a subclass of even symplectic forms can be selected by its special properties which make them easier to work with. This subclass is obtained by demanding L = 0, or, equivalently, that the insertion of d on the even symplectic form be equal to λ_{ω} . Let us remark that this subclass is defined just through a symplectic form, ω , and a pseudoriemannian metric both on the manifold M. Just with this two ingredients, and with no condition between them, it is possible to define a true extension of the classical Poisson bracket associated to ω .

There are many situations where this two ingredients are present: Kähler manifolds, para-complex geometry, Lagrangian problems defined through a Riemannian metric on a manifold, ... Our belief is that, because of the naturality of the even symplectic form and nice relations with the Koszul-Schouten bracket, the associated extended even Poisson bracket will find its applications in such kind of situations.

In this work we make a detailed study of the properties of this class of even symplectic manifolds along with those of the corresponding induced graded Poisson structure. One of the results we obtain (see Theorem 1), states a certain "integrability property" of the Koszul-Schouten (odd graded) bracket and the even graded Poisson bracket we define, this property being expressed in terms of the graded symplectic forms which they induce. Also, we give some examples and applications related to complex geometry.

2 Graded forms on $\Omega(M)$

Let $\Omega(M;TM) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \Omega^{k}(M;TM)$ be the graded left $\Omega(M)$ -module of the vector-valued differential forms. We adopt the convention that if v is an element of a graded module and the notation |v| is used, we are tacitly assuming that v is homogeneous of degree |v|. The graded left module $\Omega(M;TM)$ can also be viewed as a graded right $\Omega(M)$ -module with the multiplication $S \wedge \alpha = (-1)^{|S||\alpha|} \alpha \wedge S$, for $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$ and $S \in \Omega(M;TM)$. Let $\text{Der}\Omega(M)$ be the graded right $\Omega(M)$ -module of all derivations on $\Omega(M)$. $\text{Der}\Omega(M)$ is a graded Lie algebra with the usual graded commutator. Unless otherwise stated, *linear* will mean \mathbb{R} -linear.

The natural grading of the algebra $\Omega(M)$ is the \mathbb{Z} -grading, but sometimes we will also refer to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. In this case, homogeneous elements, or any other homogeneous structures, will be called even, if k = 0, or odd if k = 1.

Recall that the elements of the graded module of derivations, $\text{Der}\Omega(M)$, can be regarded as graded vector fields on the graded manifold based on $\Omega(M)$. By analogy, a graded differential form is an $\Omega(M)$ -multilinear alternating graded homomorphism from the module of graded vector fields into $\Omega(M)$ (We shall refer to [5] for definitions).

Being a graded homomorphism of graded modules, a graded differential form has a degree. Thus, we can define a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -bigrading on the module of graded differential forms and we will say that a graded differential form λ has bidegree $(p, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ if

$$\lambda : \operatorname{Der}\Omega(M) \times \overset{p}{\ldots} \times \operatorname{Der}\Omega(M) \longrightarrow \Omega(M)$$

and if, for all $D_1, ..., D_p \in \text{Der}\Omega(M)$,

$$|\langle D_1, ..., D_p; \lambda \rangle| = \sum_{i=1}^p |D_i| + k.$$

Remark 1 In Physics literature, p is called the cohomological degree.

Using this bigrading, any graded p-differential form λ can be decomposed as a sum $\lambda = \lambda_{(0)} + ... + \lambda_{(n)}$, where $\lambda_{(i)}$ is an homogeneous graded form of bidegree (p, i).

The insertion operator is defined as usual. If λ is a graded form of bidegree (p, k) and D is a derivation of degree |D|, then $\iota_D \lambda$ (or $\iota(D)\lambda$) is the graded form of bidegree (p - 1, k + |D|) defined by

$$\langle D_1, \ldots, D_{p-1}; \iota_D \lambda \rangle := \langle D_1, \ldots, D_{p-1}, D; \lambda \rangle.$$

This implies that the bidegree of the operator $\iota(D)$ is (-1, |D|).

We shall denote by d^G the graded exterior differential. (See [5] for details.) In particular, for a graded 0-form $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$, $\langle D; d^G \alpha \rangle = D(\alpha)$, and for a graded 1-form, λ , on $\Omega(M)$ we have

$$\langle D_1, D_2; (\mathrm{d}^G \lambda) \rangle = D_1(\langle D_2; \lambda \rangle) - (-1)^{|D_1||D_2|} D_2(\langle D_1; \lambda \rangle) - \langle [D_1, D_2]; \lambda \rangle.$$

The graded exterior differential is an operator of bidegree (1, 0).

A fundamental result is the following corollary to a theorem by Kostant (4.7 in [5]).

Proposition 2 Every d^G -closed graded form of bidegree (p,k) with k > 0 is exact.

Other familiar operators on ordinary manifolds also have counterparts on graded manifolds. If $D \in \text{Der}\Omega(M)$, then the Lie operator \mathcal{L}_D^G is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_D^G = \iota(D) \circ \mathrm{d}^G + \mathrm{d}^G \circ \iota(D)$$

Note that \mathcal{L}_D^G is an operator of bidegree (0, |D|). We also have some formulae similar to the classic ones, as $[\mathcal{L}_D^G, \mathbf{d}^G] = 0$, or $[\mathcal{L}_D^G, \iota_E] = \iota_{[D, E]}$.

Now, we extend to the graded case some important concepts of Hamiltonian (symplectic) mechanics.

Definition 3 Let Θ be a graded symplectic form of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\Omega(M)$, *i.e.* a d^G-closed, non degenerate graded 2-form.

- 1. A graded vector field D is a Hamiltonian graded vector field if the graded 1-form $\iota(D)\Theta$ is d^G -exact; i.e, if there exits $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$, such that $\iota(D)\Theta = d^G \alpha$. We shall denote a Hamiltonian D by D_{α} in order to emphasize the differential form on M to which D is associated, and sometimes even D_{α}^{Θ} .
- 2. D is a locally Hamiltonian graded vector field if the graded 1-form $\iota(D)\Theta$ is d^G-closed. Equivalently, $\mathcal{L}_D^G \Theta = 0$.

Remark 4 As a consequence of corollary 2.1, every locally Hamiltonian graded vector field of positive degree is a Hamiltonian graded vector field.

Note that $Der\Omega(M)$ is a graded locally free $\Omega(M)$ -module (this is a consequence of a classical theorem of Frölicher-Nijenhuis), so any graded form is uniquely determined by its action on derivations $\{i_X, \mathcal{L}_X\}$, where i_X and \mathcal{L}_X are the insertion operator and the Lie derivative with respect to a vector field X on M, respectively.

3 Definition of a class of even symplectic forms

As it has been mentioned in the introduction, an even symplectic form on a graded manifold can be modeled by three objects: an usual symplectic form on the base manifold, a pseudoriemannian metric on the characteristic bundle, and a connection in the fiber bundle.

In the particular case of the graded manifold $(M, \Omega(M))$, it is possible to define even symplectic forms without the need of any linear connection. We shall start with a symplectic form ω , on a differentiable manifold M, together with a pseudoriemannian metric on M, g.

Let us define the graded 2-form Θ_{ω} , on $(M, \Omega(M))$, as follows: for any pair of derivations on $\Omega(M)$, D_1, D_2

$$< D_1, D_2; \Theta_\omega > := \omega(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2),$$

where \tilde{D} is the unique vector field on M defined by $\tilde{D}(f) = \pi_0(D(f))$, for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ (here π_0 is the projection assigning to each differential form its 0-degree component). For example, $\langle \mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{L}_Y; \Theta_\omega \rangle = \omega(X, Y)$.

According to the \mathbb{Z} -bigraduation of the module of graded symplectic forms, Θ_{ω} has bidegree (2,0) and it is degenerate. Indeed, we have $\langle i_X, D; \Theta_{\omega} \rangle = 0$ for any derivation D. So, in order to define a graded symplectic form we need to add another term.

To introduce this new term, recall that as a consequence of the Frölicher-Nijenhuis theorem, if $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ is a local basis of vector fields, then $\{\mathcal{L}_{X_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{X_n}, i_{X_1}, \ldots, i_{X_n}\}$ is a graded basis of the $\Omega(M)$ -graded module of derivations.

On the other hand, given the pseudoriemannian metric g on M, we can define the graded 1-form λ_g as follows. Given any vector field X we have

$$\langle i_X; \lambda_g \rangle = g(X, _) = \flat(X)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_X; \lambda_g \rangle = d(g(X, _)) = d\,\flat(X).$$
(1)

where b(X) denotes the 1-form canonically associated to a vector field X "lowering indexes" with the metric g.

For an arbitrary derivation D, we extend this definition by $\Omega(M)$ -linearity. According to the \mathbb{Z} -bigraduation of the module of graded symplectic forms, λ_g has bidegree (1,2).

Proposition 5 The graded 2 form $\Theta_{\omega,g} := \Theta_{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} d^G \lambda_g$ is an even symplectic form on $(M, \Omega(M))$.

Proof. Simply note that Θ_{ω} is a closed form as we can see after some computations. In fact,

$$\left\langle D_1, D_2, D_3; \mathrm{d}^G \,\Theta_\omega \right\rangle = (\mathrm{d}\,\omega)(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2, \tilde{D}_3) = 0,$$

because ω is a usual symplectic form. The non degeneracy follows immediately from the next result. \blacksquare

Proposition 6 The expression of the even symplectic form $\Theta_{\omega,g}$ with respect to the local basis is given by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{L}_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle &= \omega(X,Y) + \alpha(X,Y) \text{ where } \alpha(X,Y) \in \Omega^2(M) \text{ and} \\ &(\alpha(X,Y))(U,V) = g(\nabla_U Y, \nabla_V X) - g(\nabla_U X, \nabla_V Y) - R(X,Y,U,V), \\ \langle \mathcal{L}_X, i_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle &= g(\nabla_- X,Y), \\ &\langle i_X, i_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = g(X,Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y, U, V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g and R its Riemann curvature tensor.

Proof. It is just a matter of computation using the definitions. Note that these expressions imply

$$\det \tilde{\Omega}_{\omega,g} = \det \omega \det g \neq 0,$$

where $\Omega_{\omega,g}$ is the matrix built with the 0-degree terms of the entries of $\Omega_{\omega,g}$, and that the condition for an arbitrary graded symplectic form Λ to be nondegenerated, is precisely that its associated $\tilde{\Lambda}$ has det $\tilde{\Lambda} \neq 0$ (see [5] for details).

Remark 7 Alternatively, we could use $\{\nabla_{X_1}, ..., \nabla_{X_n}, i_{X_1}, ..., i_{X_n}\}$ as a local basis of derivations. Then, a straightforward computation using the relation $\mathcal{L}_X = \nabla_X + i_{\nabla_x X}$ gives

$$\langle \nabla_X, \nabla_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = \omega(X, Y) - g(R(X, Y)_{-}, _),$$

$$\langle \nabla_X, i_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle i_X, i_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = g(X, Y).$$

The family of even symplectic forms thus defined $\Theta_{\omega,g}$ is just a subclass of even symplectic forms of bidegree (2, 0 + 2). Now, we give some indications about the general case (see [10] for details).

The most general even graded symplectic form Θ , can be written as

$$\Theta = \Theta_{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \,\mathrm{d}^G \,\lambda_{g,L} := \Theta_{\omega,g,L}$$

where g, ω are a metric and a symplectic form on the base manifold M (as before) respectively, Θ_{ω} has been already defined and $L \in T^{(1,2)}(M)$. The graded 1-form $\lambda_{q,L}$ is defined by

$$\langle i_X; \lambda_{g,L} \rangle = g(X, _) = \flat(X), \langle \mathcal{L}_X; \lambda_{g,L} \rangle = d \flat(X) + L(X; _, _).$$

Let us also recall how to construct an odd graded symplectic form, concretely the Koszul-Schouten form (see [6]). For this, we only need a usual symplectic form on the base manifold, ω , and to define the graded 1-form λ_{ω} by

Note that while λ_g has bidegree (1,2), λ_{ω} has bidegree (1,-1). Now, the Koszul-Schouten form Θ_{KS} is the odd graded exact form given by

$$\Theta_{KS} = \mathrm{d}^G \,\lambda_\omega.$$

4 Relationship with the Koszul-Schouten bracket and characterization of the class $\Theta_{\omega,q}$

As we have just seen, Koszul has given a way to construct, from a symplectic manifold $(M; \omega)$, an odd graded symplectic structure on the graded manifold $(M, \Omega(M))$. The corresponding Poisson bracket, called the Koszul-Schouten bracket, is extremely simple, and this situation is in strong contrast with that of even graded symplectic forms, which are harder to handle.

The class of graded forms of type $\Theta_{\omega,g}$, enjoy several properties that make them relatively easier to work with. We can understand these properties by showing an intimate relation with the Koszul-Schouten form, which is characteristic of this class.

Lemma 8 Let λ_q be defined as in Section 3. Then

$$\langle \mathbf{d}; \lambda_g \rangle = 0.$$

Proof. It is based on a coordinate computation, using the fact that $d = \mathcal{L}_{Id}$. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, then:

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{d}; \lambda_g \rangle \left(A, B, C \right) &= (\mathbf{d} \, x^k \wedge \langle \mathcal{L}_{\partial_k}; \lambda_g \rangle) (A, B, C) = \\ &= (\mathbf{d} \, x^k \wedge \mathbf{d} \, g(\partial_k, \ldots)) (A, B, C) = \\ &= A^k . (Bg(\partial_k, C) - Cg(\partial_k, B)) - \\ B^k . (Ag(\partial_k, C) - Cg(\partial_k, A)) + \\ C^k . (Ag(\partial_k, B) - Bg(\partial_k, A)) - \\ A^k . g(\partial_k, [B, C]) + B^k . g(\partial_k, [A, C]) - C^k . g(\partial_k, [A, B]) \\ g(A, [B, C]) + g(B, [A, C]) - g(C, [A, B]) = 0. \end{split}$$

Theorem 9 With the preceding notations, $\iota_d \Theta_{\omega,g} = \lambda_{\omega}$ (where λ_{ω} is given by (2)) so

$$\mathcal{L}^G_{\mathrm{d}}\Theta_{\omega,g}=\Theta_{KS}.$$

Proof. If we consider $\iota_d \Theta_{\omega,g}$ acting on basic derivations, and make repeated use of Lemma 8, we find $(Y \in \mathcal{X}(M))$ on \mathcal{L}_Y :

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_Y, \mathbf{d}; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_Y, \mathbf{d}; \Theta_{\omega} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathcal{L}_Y, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{d}^G \lambda_g \right\rangle = \omega(Y, _) - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathcal{L}_Y; \lambda_g \right\rangle$$

= $\omega(Y, _) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d} Y_\flat = \omega(Y, _) = \left\langle \mathcal{L}_Y; \lambda_\omega \right\rangle,$

and on ι_Y :

$$2 \langle \iota_Y, \mathbf{d}; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = \left\langle \iota_Y, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{d}^G \lambda_g \right\rangle = \mathbf{d} \langle \iota_Y; \lambda_g \rangle - \left\langle [\iota_Y, \mathbf{d}]; \lambda_g \right\rangle$$
$$= \mathbf{d} Y_{\flat} - \left\langle \mathcal{L}_Y; \lambda_g \right\rangle = \mathbf{d} Y_{\flat} - \mathbf{d} Y_{\flat} = 0 = 2 \left\langle \iota_Y; \lambda_\omega \right\rangle.$$

Remark 10 J. Grabowski (see [4]), has constructed an even bracket on differential forms which is a kind of "integral" of the Koszul-Schouten one, in the sense that (if $[-,-]_G$ denotes Grabowski's bracket)

$$\mathbf{d}\llbracket \alpha, \beta \rrbracket_G = \llbracket \mathbf{d} \, \alpha, \mathbf{d} \, \beta \rrbracket_{KS}.$$

The problem with this bracket, is that it does not satisfy Leibniz's rule, so it is not possible to speak about Hamiltonian vector fields (they are not derivations). But following this, we also could say that $[-, -]_{\Theta_{\omega,g}}$ is an integral of the Koszul-Schouten bracket, in view of the theorem, as its associated symplectic form $\Theta_{\omega,g}$ gives through a (graded Lie) derivative the Koszul-Schouten form Θ_{KS} . Another bracket (which is neither graded Poisson, but a Loday one) with similar properties is due to Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach (see [7]).

Let us see an interesting consequence of this result. In the odd graded case, the fact that d is a graded Hamiltonian vector field, translates in a very useful relation: if $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$, then knowing $D_{\alpha}^{\Theta_{KS}}$ we also know $D_{d\alpha}^{\Theta_{KS}}$, as the formula

$$D_{\mathrm{d}\,\alpha}^{\Theta_{KS}} = [\mathrm{d}, D_{\alpha}^{\Theta_{KS}}] \tag{3}$$

holds. Indeed, this relation is simply expressing the fact that d is a derivation of the Koszul-Schouten bracket,

$$\mathbf{d}\llbracket\alpha,\beta\rrbracket_{\Theta_{KS}}-\llbracket\mathbf{d}\,\alpha,\beta\rrbracket_{\Theta_{KS}}-\llbracket\alpha,\mathbf{d}\,\beta\rrbracket_{\Theta_{KS}}=0.$$

In the even case, as already mentioned, d can be never a graded Hamiltonian vector field, so we expect a different relation. What is remarkable, is that the failure of (3) is given by the Koszul-Schouten form in a direct way.

Corollary 11 Let $\alpha \in \Omega(M)$ be any differential form. Then

$$D_{\mathrm{d}\alpha} = [\mathrm{d}, D_{\alpha}] + (-1)^{\alpha} \Theta_{\omega,q}^{-1}(\iota_{D_{\alpha}} \Theta_{KS}).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Proof. Just compute, making use of some facts like $[\mathcal{L}_D^G, \mathbf{d}^G] = 0$, for any derivation D on $\Omega(M)$, and $[\mathcal{L}_D^G, \iota_E] = \iota_{[D,E]}$ for any derivations D, E:

$$\iota_{[\mathrm{d},D_{\alpha}]}\Theta_{\omega,g} = [\mathcal{L}^{G}_{\mathrm{d}},\iota_{D_{\alpha}}]\Theta_{\omega,g} = (\mathcal{L}^{G}_{\mathrm{d}}\circ\iota_{D_{\alpha}} - (-1)^{\alpha}\iota_{D_{\alpha}}\circ\mathcal{L}^{G}_{\mathrm{d}})\Theta_{\omega,g} =$$
$$= \mathcal{L}^{G}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{d}^{G}\alpha) - (-1)^{\alpha}\iota_{D_{\alpha}}\Omega_{KS} = \mathrm{d}^{G}\mathrm{d}\alpha - (-1)^{\alpha}\iota_{D_{\alpha}}\Omega_{KS} =$$
$$= \iota_{D_{\mathrm{d}}\alpha}\Theta_{\omega,g} - (-1)^{\alpha}\iota_{D_{\alpha}}\Omega_{KS}$$

Translated in terms of graded Poisson brackets, this reads

$$\mathbf{d}\llbracket \alpha, \beta \rrbracket_{\Theta_{\omega,g}} - \llbracket \mathbf{d} \, \alpha, \beta \rrbracket_{\Theta_{\omega,g}} - \llbracket \alpha, \mathbf{d} \, \beta \rrbracket_{\Theta_{\omega,g}} = \langle D_{\alpha}, D_{\beta}; \Theta_{KS} \rangle,$$

so the Koszul-Schouten form appears as an object that forbids the possibility for d of being a graded Hamiltonian vector field with respect to the class of forms $\Theta_{\omega,q}$.

Now, we can ask what condition on a general graded even symplectic form on $(M, \Omega(M))$ assures us a relation as in the theorem.

Theorem 12 With the notations of section 3,

$$\iota_{\mathbf{d}}\Theta_{\omega,g,L} = \lambda_{\omega}$$

if and only if $L \equiv 0$. Thus, this property characterizes the subclass of forms $\Theta_{\omega,g}$.

Proof. Recall that we can express the most general even symplectic form Θ as

$$\Theta = \Theta_{\omega,g,L} = \Theta_{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} d^G \lambda_g + \frac{1}{2} d^G \lambda_L$$

with $L \in \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Omega^2(M)$, where λ_L is given by $(X \in \mathcal{X}(M))$

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_X; \lambda_L \rangle = L(X) \in \Omega^2(M)$$

 $\langle i_X; \lambda_L \rangle = 0,$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_X; \lambda_g \rangle = \mathrm{d}(g(X)) = \mathrm{d}\,\flat(X) \langle i_X; \lambda_g \rangle = g(X) = \flat(X).$$

Now, we only have to compute the action of $\iota_{d}\Theta_{\omega,g,L} \in \Omega^{1}_{G}$ on the basic derivations i_{X}, \mathcal{L}_{X} . Thus,

$$\langle i_X, \mathbf{d}; \Theta_{\omega,g,L} \rangle = \langle i_X, \mathbf{d}; \Theta_{\omega} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle i_X, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{d}^G \lambda_g \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle i_X, \mathbf{d}; \mathbf{d}^G \lambda_L \right\rangle =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} i_X \left\langle \mathbf{d}; \lambda_L \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} L(X).$$

To determine $i_X \langle d; \lambda_L \rangle$, we proceed locally, and write $d = \mathcal{L}_{Id} = d x^i \wedge L_{\partial_{x^i}}$; then, a direct calculation shows that $i_X \langle d; \lambda_L \rangle = L(X) - d x^i \wedge i_X L(\partial_{x^i})$, and so $\langle i_X, d; \Theta_{\omega,g,L} \rangle = -d x^i \wedge i_X L(\partial_{x^i})$. Evaluating on $Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, we obtain

$$2\langle i_X, \mathbf{d}; \Theta_{\omega,g,L} \rangle = L(Z; X, Y) - L(Y; X, Z).$$

Assume now that $\iota_{d}\Theta_{\omega,g,L} = \lambda_{\omega}$. Then, by the definition of λ_{ω} , L(Z; X, Y) - L(Y; X, Z) = 0, and this, along with the antisymmetry in the two last arguments (recall $L(X; _, _) \in \Omega^2(M)$), implies that L is symmetric in its two first arguments. But, then

$$L(Y; Z, X) = L(Z; Y, X) = -L(Z; X, Y) = -L(X; Z, Y) = -L(Y; Z, X),$$

so L = 0.

Reciprocally, if it is L = 0, then $\Theta_{\omega,g,L} = \Theta_{\omega,g}$ and, as we have just seen, in this case $\iota_{d}\Theta_{\omega,g,L} = \lambda_{\omega}$.

5 Computation of the graded hamiltonian vector fields and graded Poisson brackets

In the preceding section, we have given an expression for determining the graded hamiltonian vector fields for differentials $d\alpha$, $D_{d\alpha}$ (see corollary 1), when one knows the corresponding D_{α} . But we can improve this result and, in fact, we can derive explicit expressions for D_f and D_{df} when $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$; thus linearity will enable us to compute any hamiltonian graded vector field.

We will begin by determining D_f . At first sight, it can be a non-homogeneous derivation of the form $D_f = D_f^{-1} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_f^i$ (each D_f^i is an homogeneous term, with the superindex denoting the degree), but it is actually simpler.

Lemma 13 The hamiltonian graded vector field D_f only has terms in even

degrees.

Proof. A straightforward checking of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree at both members of the equation $\iota_{D_f^{(even)}}\Omega_{\omega,g} + \iota_{D_f^{(odd)}}\Omega_{\omega,g} = d^G f$, implies that $D_f^{(odd)} = 0$.

As a consequence of this result, we will write

$$D_f = D_f^{(even)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_f^{2i}.$$

Remark 14 Note that the sum is finite, the number of terms being bounded by $\dim M$.

On the other hand, a theorem analogous to that of Frölicher-Nijenhuis (see [11]), guarantees that given a connection ∇ on M, each homogeneous derivation D_f^{2i} can be decomposed in the form

$$D_f^{2i} = \nabla_{K_f^{2i}} + i_{L_f^{2i}} \tag{5}$$

where $K_f^{2i} \in \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Omega^{2i}(M), L_f^{2i} \in \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Omega^{2i+1}(M)$. What we are now going to prove, is that the tensors K_f^{2i} can be computed recursively, while the L_f^{2i} are zero.

Remark 15 Having in mind (5), we will write

$$K_f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_f^{2i} , \ L_f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_f}^{2i} L_f^{2i}$$

so that $D_f = \nabla_{K_f} + i_{L_f}$.

Lemma 16 With the preceding notations, $L_f \equiv 0$.

Proof. Consider the graded 1-form $d^G f = \iota_{D_f} \Omega_{\omega,g}$, and make it act upon the derivation $i_X \in \mathcal{D}_1^{-1}$, with $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Proposition 17 With the preceding notations

$$K_f^{2i} = (-1)^i J^{-1}(R(_,_)(J^{-1}(\overset{i}{\dots}J^{-1}(R(_,_)X_f)\dots))),$$

where $J \in T^{(1,1)}(M)$ is the tensor field determined by $\omega(X,Y) = g(JX,Y)$, $R \in \Omega^2(M;TM)$ is the curvature of g and X_f is the hamiltonian vector field of f with respect to ω . In particular, we have the recursion formula

$$K_f^{2i} = -J^{-1}(R(_,_)K_f^{2(i-1)})$$

Proof. This time, we study the action of the graded 1-form $d^G f = \iota_{D_f} \Omega_{\omega,g}$ on the basic derivations of the type $\nabla_Y, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$:

$$Y(f) = \left\langle \nabla_Y; \iota_{D_f} \Omega_{\omega,g} \right\rangle = \omega(Y, K_f^0 + K_f^2(_,_) + ...) + g(R(Y, K_f^0 + K_f^2(_,_) + ...)_{_,_}).$$

Note that the right member belongs to $\Omega^{0+2+\cdots}(M)$. Now, equate terms with the same degree. For degree 0, we have

$$Y(f) = \omega(Y, K_f^0) = \mathrm{d} f(Y) = i_{X_f} \omega(Y)$$

 \mathbf{so}

$$K_f^0 = X_f \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

Now, the degree 2 terms give

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \omega(Y, K_f^2(_,_)) + g(R(Y, K_f^0)_,_) = \\ &= -g(Y, J(K_f^2(_,_))) + R(_,_, X_f, Y) = \\ &= -g(Y, J(K_f^2(_,_))) - g(Y, R(_,_)X_f) \end{split}$$

thus

$$J(K_f^2(\underline{\ },\underline{\ })) = -R(\underline{\ },\underline{\ })X_f$$

and

$$K_f^2 = -J^{-1}(R(_,_)X_f)$$

(we have made use of the Riemann curvature tensor R(U, V, W, Z) = -g(R(U, V)W, Z)and its symmetries).

Iterating this procedure, for the terms of degree 2i we get

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \omega(Y, K_f^{2i}(_, ..., _)) + g(R(Y, K_f^{2(i-1)}(_, ..., _))_, _) = \\ &= -g(Y, J(K_f^{2i}(_, ..., _))) - g(R(_, _)K_f^{2(i-1)}(_, ..., _), Y) \end{split}$$

and the claim follows. \blacksquare

Thus, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 18 If we write $K_f^{(even)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_f^{2i}$ (where K_f^{2i} is defined in Proposition17), then $D_f = \nabla_{K_f^{(even)}}$.

Note also that we have obtained in the proof:

$$K_f^0 = X_f.$$

Now, the same analysis can be applied to find the graded hamiltonian vector field of a differential $df, f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. It must verify the equation

$$\iota_{D_{df}}\Omega_{\omega,g} = \mathrm{d}^G \, df,$$

and so it must have the form

$$D_{df} = i_{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_f^{2i}} + \nabla_{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_f^{2i+1}},$$

where $N_f^{2i} \in \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Omega^{2i}(M), K_f^{2i+1} \in \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Omega^{2i+1}(M)$. However, as for

the case of D_f , this formula can be simplified a lot with some computations similar to those of the preceding Proposition.

Theorem 19 With the preceding notations,

$$D_{df} = i_{\natural df} + \nabla_{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_f^{2i+1}} = i_{\natural df} + \nabla_{K_f^{(odd)}},$$

where $\natural \equiv g^{-1}$ is the musical isomorphism induced by the metric.

Now, with the same techniques as in the case of the K_f^{2i} 's, we can obtain a recursion formula for the tensors K_f^{2i+1} .

Proposition 20 With the preceding notations, we have

$$K_{f}^{2(i+1)+1}=J^{-1}(R(_,_)K_{f}^{2i+1}),\ for\ i>0$$

and

$$\omega(X, K_f^1) = \nabla_X(df).$$

Remark 21 The formulae we have obtained, are general and make no assumptions on g, ω or J. But for the cases of interest, some compatibility relation between g and ω exists, which translates into a condition on J; the most common one, is to consider Kähler manifolds, and then the equivalent conditions $\nabla \omega = 0, \nabla J = 0$, are satisfied. From now on, we will take (M, g, ω, J) a Kähler manifold, unless otherwise stated.

We know that the recursion formula for the tensors K_f^{2i} begins with $K_f^0 = X_f$. Now, under the hypothesis of Kähler manifolds, we will deduce the first term of the K_f^{2i+1} 's.

Remark 22 We will make use of the definition and properties of the exterior covariant derivative d^{∇} , which is a derivation of the algebra of vector-valued differential forms (see [9]).

Lemma 23 With the preceding notations

$$K_f^1 = -d^{\nabla} X_f.$$

Proof. The tensor K_f^1 is characterized by the condition

$$\omega(Y, K_f^1) = \nabla_Y(df), \ Y \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$

and this is an identity between 1-forms, so we make it act upon a vector field $U \in \mathcal{X}(M)$:

$$\omega(Y, K_f^1(U)) = (\nabla_Y(df))(U) =$$

= $\nabla_Y(df(U)) - df(\nabla_Y(U)) =$
= $Y(U(f)) - (\nabla_Y U)(f).$

On the other hand:

$$\omega(Y, -(d^{\nabla}X_f)(U)) = \omega(Y, \nabla_U X_f),$$

and with M Kähler $(d^{\nabla}\omega = 0)$:

$$-\omega(Y, \nabla_U X_f) = -\nabla_U(\omega(Y, X_f)) + \omega(\nabla_U Y, X_f) =$$

= $U(Y(f)) - (\nabla_U Y)(f).$

Thus,

$$\omega(Y, K_f^1(U)) - \omega(Y, -(d^{\nabla}X_f)(U)) = 0,$$

and, from the non degeneracy of ω , $K_f^1 = -d^{\nabla} X_f$.

This result could also be written

$$K_f^1 = -d^{\nabla} K_f^0,$$

and so we can ask if a similar relation holds between the tensors K_f^{2i+1} and K_f^{2i} for any i > 0.

Proposition 24 With the preceding notations,

$$K_f^{2i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} d^{\nabla} K_f^{2i}$$

Proof. We will proceed by induction (the case i = 0 has been just proved). Assuming that

$$K_f^{2i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} d^\nabla K_f^{2i}$$

we have, from the recursion formula for the K_f^{2i+1} 's:

$$K_f^{2(i+1)+1} = J^{-1}(R(\underline{\ },\underline{\ })K_f^{2i+1}) = (-1)^{i+1}J^{-1}(R(\underline{\ },\underline{\ })d^{\nabla}K_f^{2i}).$$

Now, by the properties of a Kähler manifold $(d^{\nabla}J = 0 = d^{\nabla}R)$,

$$\begin{split} K_f^{2(i+1)+1} &= (-1)^{i+1} d^{\nabla} (J^{-1}(R(_,_)K_f^{2i})) = \\ &= (-1)^{i+1} d^{\nabla} (-K_f^{2(i+1)}) = \\ &= (-1)^{(i+1)+1} d^{\nabla} K_f^{2(i+1)} \end{split}$$

5.1 Explicit expressions for the Poisson brackets

Now we can give the general explicit expressions for the Poisson brackets corresponding to the graded symplectic form $\Omega_{\omega,g}$. The computations leading to these are quite tedious, but straightforward, so we will omite them.

If $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega(M)$ are differential forms, their Poisson bracket induced by $\Omega_{\omega,g}$ is denoted by $[\![\alpha, \beta]\!]_{\Omega_{\omega,g}}$. By linearity and Leibniz property, in order to compute it we only need the bracket between functions and/or exact 1-forms, and the formulae are $(f, h \in C^{\infty}(M))$:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket f,h \rrbracket_{\Omega_{\omega,g}} &= \{f,h\} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R(K_{f}^{2i},X_{h},_,_) \\ \llbracket f,dh \rrbracket_{\Omega_{\omega,g}} &= d\{f,h\} - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega(d^{\nabla}K_{f}^{2i},X_{h}) \\ &+ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (R(d^{\nabla}K_{f}^{2i},X_{h},_,_) + R(K_{f}^{2i},d^{\nabla}X_{h},_,_)) \\ \llbracket df,dh \rrbracket_{\Omega_{\omega,g}} &= g^{-1}(df,dh) \\ &+ g(d^{\nabla}\#df,d^{\nabla}X_{h}) + R(\#df,X_{h},_,_) + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \omega(X_{h},d^{\nabla}K_{f}^{2i+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (R(d^{\nabla}K_{f}^{2i+1},X_{h},_,_) + R(K_{f}^{2i+1},d^{\nabla}X_{h},_,_)). \end{split}$$

Here, $\{_, _\}$ is the Poisson bracket associated to $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$.

6 The graded Hamiltonian vector field associated to a symplectic form on the base manifold

In this section we want to compute a special graded Hamiltonian vector field. If we have a base manifold (M, ω, g) , a distinguished graded Hamiltonian function is $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$, so it is natural to ask what is its corresponding graded Hamiltonian vector field. We know that in the odd case, this is nothing but d, and also we know that this is not possible in the even one. In the introduction, we have said that i_J (with J given by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$) plays the rôle of d, and we will prove now this fact completing the table appearing there. **Lemma 25** Let g be a pseudoriemannian metric on a differentiable manifold M and let $J \in \Omega^1(M; TM)$ such that

$$g(JX,Y) = -g(X,JY),$$

then, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

$$g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) = g((\nabla_X J)Z, Y),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to g.

Proof. A straightforward computation.

Theorem 26 Let ω be a symplectic form and g a pseudoriemannian metric on a differentiable manifold, M. Let $\Theta_{\omega,g}$ be the associated even symplectic form. The graded Hamiltonian vector field associated to the graded function $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is $D_{\omega} = i_J$ with $J \in \Omega^1(M; TM)$ defined by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Proof. Let us check that, as graded 1-forms,

$$\iota_{i,I}\Theta_{\omega,q} = \mathrm{d}^G\,\omega.$$

Given a vector field Y, thanks to Proposition 6,

$$\langle i_J, i_Y; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = g(J, Y) = -\omega(\underline{\ }, Y) = \\ = -\omega(Y, \underline{\ }) = -i_Y \omega = -\left\langle i_Y; \mathrm{d}^G \omega \right\rangle.$$

before continuing with the computations, note that a consequence of the definition of J is that

$$g(JX,Y) = -g(X,JY),$$
(6)

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Moreover, this implies that

$$\iota_{i_J}\lambda_g = 2\omega. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, $(\iota_{i_J}\lambda_g)(X,Y) = \langle i_J; \lambda_g \rangle (X,Y) = g(JX,Y) - g(JY,X) = 2\omega(X,Y).$

Now, for any vector field Y, by the definition of the graded even symplectic form and the graded exterior derivative,

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{Y}, i_{J}; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{L}_{Y}, i_{J}; \frac{1}{2} d^{G} \lambda_{g} \right\rangle =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{Y} < i_{J}; \lambda_{g} > -i_{J} < \mathcal{L}_{Y}; \lambda_{g} > - < [\mathcal{L}_{Y}, i_{J}]; \lambda_{g} >) =$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{Y} \omega - \frac{1}{2} i_{J} (g(Y, _)) - \frac{1}{2} < i_{\mathcal{L}_{Y}J}; \lambda_{g} >,$$

where we have applied (1), (7) and the formula for the commutator of the derivations \mathcal{L}_Y and i_J .

A long but straightforward computation using Lemma 13, by virtue of (6), shows that the terms $i_J(g(Y, _)) + \langle i_{\mathcal{L}_Y J}; \lambda_g \rangle$ vanish. Therefore, for all $Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$,

$$\langle i_Y, i_J; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = \left\langle i_Y; \mathrm{d}^G \omega \right\rangle$$
$$\langle \mathcal{L}_Y, i_J; \Theta_{\omega,g} \rangle = \left\langle \mathcal{L}_Y; \mathrm{d}^G \omega \right\rangle$$

This implies that for all derivations $D \in \text{Der }\Omega(M)$, $< D, i_J; \Theta_{\omega,g} > = < D; d^G \omega >$, i.e, $\iota_{i_J} \Theta_{\omega,g} = d^G \omega$.

We have just seen that a subset of the even graded symplectic forms of \mathbb{Z} -degree (0) + (2) has the property that i_J is a Hamiltonian vector field. Now, we give a result telling us how the most general forms in which this property remains true are.

Theorem 27 Let $\Theta = \Theta_{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} d^G \lambda_{g,L}$ be an arbitrary even symplectic form of \mathbb{Z} -degree (0)+(2). Then, i_J is a locally Hamiltonian graded vector field for Θ if and only if L is such that L(X; JY, Z) = -L(X; Y, JZ) for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, where $J \in \Omega^1(M; TM)$ defined by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$.

Proof. Recall that, as we mentioned at the end of the preceding section, any even symplectic form of \mathbb{Z} -degree (0) + (2) is uniquely determined by a symplectic form ω , a pseudoriemannian metric g, and a tensor field $L: TM \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*M$. For the particular case we are considering, we have

$$\Theta = \Theta_{\omega,g,L} = \Theta_{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} d^G \lambda_{(g,L)} = \Theta_{\omega,g} + \frac{1}{2} d^G \lambda_{(0,L)}.$$
 (8)

If i_J is a locally Hamiltonian vector field, then

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_{i_J}^G \Theta = \mathrm{d}^G \iota_{i_J} \Theta = \mathrm{d}^G (\iota_{i_J} \Theta_{\omega,g} + \frac{1}{2} \iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)}) =$$

$$= \mathrm{d}^G (\mathrm{d}^G \omega + \frac{1}{2} \iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}^G \iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)}.$$
(9)

But note that the closed graded 1-form $\iota_{i_J} d^G \lambda_{(0,L)}$ has \mathbb{Z} -degree 2. Therefore it is exact, i.e., there exists $\alpha \in \Omega^2(M)$ (including the factor $\frac{1}{2}$) such that

$$\iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)} = \mathrm{d}^G \alpha.$$

This implies that i_J is globally Hamiltonian since $\iota_{i_J}\Theta = d^G(\omega + \alpha)$. Now, as $\iota_{i_J}\Omega = d^G\omega = \iota_{i_J}\Theta_{\omega,g}$, then $\iota_{i_J} d^G\lambda_{(0,L)} = 0$. We shall prove next that $\alpha = 0$. Indeed, since

$$\langle i_X, i_J; \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)} \rangle = 0 \tag{10}$$

we get $0 = i_X \alpha$ for all X. Then $\alpha = 0$. Finally, we shall prove that L verifies the required condition by a direct calculation. For any $Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$,

$$0 = \langle \mathcal{L}_X, i_J; \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)} \rangle (Y,Z)$$

$$= (-i_J(L(X)) - \langle i_{[X,J]}; \lambda_{(0,L)} \rangle)(Y,Z)$$

$$= -i_J(L(X))(Y,Z) = -L(X;JY,Z) - L(X;Y,JZ).$$
(11)

Therefore L(X; JY, Z) = -L(X; Y, JZ).

For the converse, assume now this condition on L. As before,

$$\mathcal{L}_{i_J}^G \Theta = \mathrm{d}^G \iota_{i_J} \Theta = \mathrm{d}^G (\iota_{i_J} \Theta_{\omega,g} + \iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)}) =$$

= $\mathrm{d}^G \mathrm{d}^G \omega + \mathrm{d}^G \iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)} =$
= $\mathrm{d}^G \iota_{i_J} \mathrm{d}^G \lambda_{(0,L)}$

But from (10) and (11), we have $\iota_{i_J} d^G \lambda_{(0,L)} = 0$, so $\mathcal{L}_{i_J}^G \Omega = 0$.

Thus, in order to be i_J Hamiltonian, $L(X; _, _)$ must present the same property as g in Lemma 25.

7 Some applications and examples

In the preceding sections, we have obtained the necessary and sufficient condition that a (1, 1)-tensor field J must fulfil in order to induce a derivation i_J which is a graded Hamiltonian vector field with respect to the graded symplectic form $\Omega_{\omega,g}$. Now, we would like to present some examples of this situation. The condition on J, is expressed in the form of a relation between J, g and ω similar to that existing in the field of complex differential geometry:

$$\omega(X,Y) = g(JX,Y) \qquad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$

but this relation is also characteristic of another kind of geometry (maybe not so widespread as the complex one), called paracomplex geometry, a survey of which can be consulted in [3], where numerous applications to subjects as Finsler spaces, quantizable coadjoint orbits or negatively curved manifolds are referenced. Indeed, there is a close parallelism between both geometries, and the main difference is the replacement of the restriction on J to be an almost complex structure (in the case of complex geometry) by demanding J to be an almost product structure (in the case of the paracomplex one).

For the sake of completeness and comparison, we recall now the basic definitions of complex and paracomplex geometry.

Definition 28 Let M be a manifold and $J \in T^{(1,1)}M$. We say that

- 1. J is an almost product structure, if $J^2 = Id$,
- 2. J is an almost complex structure, if $J^2 = -Id$.

Definition 29 An almost para-Hermitian manifold (M, g, J), is a manifold M endowed with an almost product structure J and a pseudoriemannian metric g, compatible in the sense that

$$g(JX, JY) = -g(X, Y) \quad , \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$
(12)

Definition 30 An almost Hermitian manifold (M, h, K), is a manifold M endowed with an almost complex structure K and a riemannian metric g, compatible in the sense that

$$h(KX, KY) = h(X, Y) \quad , \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$
(13)

Remark 31 Note that, in any case, the hypothesis of lemma 25 is satisfied.

For an almost para-Hermitian manifold (M, g, J), we can define its fundamental 2-form α as

$$\alpha(X,Y) = g(JX,Y),$$

and similarly, for an almost-Hermitian manifold (M, h, K), its fundamental 2-form β is

$$\beta(X, Y) = h(KX, Y).$$

Definition 32 An almost para-Kähler manifold is an almost para-Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) such that its fundamental 2-form α is closed, that is $d\alpha = 0$.

Definition 33 An almost Kähler manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold (M, h, K) such that its fundamental 2-form β is closed, that is $d\beta = 0$.

Remark 34 In any of the preceding definitions, the prefix "almost" can be dropped if an integrability condition is imposed on J (resp. K).

Now, as a direct consequence of our previous work, we can give a charaterization of these structures in terms of graded Hamiltonian vector fields.

Theorem 35 Let (M, f, L) be either an almost para-Hermitian or an almost Hermitian manifold, with $\gamma \in \Omega^2(M)$ the corresponding fundamental 2-form. Then, $L \in T^{(1,1)}M$ is the unique tensor field for which i_L is the graded Hamiltonian vector field associated to γ with respect to the graded symplectic form $\Omega_{\gamma,f}$.

Proof. This result is just a corollary to Theorem 13.

Remark 36 In particular, this result applies to (almost) para-Kähler and (almost) Kähler manifolds.

In order to get a feeling of how paracomplex geometry enter into Mechanics, let us consider a very simple example. Later, we will show how it can be used to give a characterization of some canonical structures on the tangent space of a manifold.

Let (M, g) be a pseudoriemannian manifold, and let $L \in C^{\infty}(TM)$ be the Lagrangian defined by the quadratic form associated to g; in the local coordinates $\{q^i, v^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of TM (n being the dimension of M), it is written as $L(v) = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(q)v^iv^j, v \in T_qM$.

It is well known that L generates a symplectic form on TM, denoted by ω_L , which in coordinates has the expression

$$\omega_L = d(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i} dq^i) = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^j \partial v^i} dq^j \wedge dq^i + \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^j \partial v^i} dv^j \wedge dq^i,$$

so, for the particular Lagrangian we are considering, it reduces to

$$\omega_L = \partial_k g_{il} dq^j \wedge dq^i + g_{ij} dv^j \wedge dq^i.$$
⁽¹⁴⁾

Now, we will employ the theory of horizontal lifts of tensor fields to the tangent space of a manifold, which can be consulted in [14]. The lifting is understood to be associated to a connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on M; let $\overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^i$ be its Christoffel symbols in the coordinates $(q^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Then, a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ gives rise to its horizontal and vertical lifts with respect to $\overline{\nabla}, X^h, X^v$, and a canonical almost product on TM is defined by putting

$$J(X^v) := X^v$$
$$J(X^h) := -X^h.$$

This can be written matricially as

$$J \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

The dual coframe adapted to $\bar{\nabla}$ is $\{\theta^i, \eta^i\}_{i=1}^n$, where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta^i & := & dq^i \\ \\ \eta^i & := & dv^i + \bar{\Gamma}^i_{ik} v^j dq^k \end{array}$$

We can define the horizontal lift to TM of a (0,2)-tensor field S as $S^{H}_{\bar{\nabla}}$, through

$$\begin{split} S^{H}_{\bar{\nabla}}(X^{v},Y^{v}) &= 0\\ S^{H}_{\bar{\nabla}}(X^{v},Y^{h}) &= S^{H}_{\bar{\nabla}}(X^{h},Y^{v}) = (S(X,Y))^{v}\\ S^{H}_{\bar{\nabla}}(X^{h},Y^{h}) &= 0, \end{split}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, so if $S = S_{ij} dq^i \otimes dq^j$, then in the adapted coframe

$$S^{H}_{\bar{\nabla}} = S_{ij}\theta^{i} \otimes \eta^{j} + S_{ij}\eta^{i} \otimes \theta^{j}$$

Consider now the particular case of the symmetric connection $\overline{\nabla}$ defined locally from g (and thus associated to L) as $\overline{\Gamma}_{lk}^j = \frac{1}{2}g^{jm}\partial_m g_{lk}$. Then, we can lift the metric g to a metric $g\frac{H}{\nabla}$ in TM with the expression $g_{\overline{\nabla}}^H = g_{ij}\theta^i \otimes \eta^j + g_{ij}\eta^i \otimes \theta^j$, or, in matrix form,

$$g_{\bar{\nabla}}^{H} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (16)

Remark 37 In the coordinates $\{q^i, v^i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$, we have

$$g^{H}_{\overline{
abla}} = (\partial_k g_{ij}) v^l dq^i \otimes dq^k + 2g_{ij} dq^i \otimes dv^j.$$

On the other hand, from (14) it is easy to see that ω_L can be represented by the matrix

$$\omega_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ -g & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

corresponding to the expression in the adapted coframe $\omega_L = g_{ij}\theta^i \otimes \eta^j - g_{ij}\eta^i \otimes \theta^j$.

But now, from (15), (16) and (17) we have

$$\omega_L(A,B) = g_{\overline{\nabla}}^H(JA,B), \qquad \forall A, B \in \mathcal{X}(TM).$$
(18)

In this expression, ω_L is a symplectic form and g_{∇}^H is a metric, so it resembles the characteristic relation of the Kähler geometry, except for the fact that here $J^2 = Id$, and not $J^2 = -Id$. This is precisely the main feature of paracomplex geometry, as we have seen. So, $(TM, g_{\nabla}^H, J, \omega_L)$ is a para-Kähler manifold.

Remark 38 A whole class of examples of this kind, treated in the spirit of Finsler geometry, can be consulted in [12].

We finish with an application of this example from Mechanics to Geometry.

Proposition 39 The canonical almost product structure J on TM is such that i_J is the unique graded Hamiltonian vector field for ω_L with respect to $\Omega_{\omega_L,g_{\nabla}^H}$, this being independent of the pseudoriemannian metric g chosen.

Proof. This is just a corollary of Theorem 14.

Acknowledgements: The first author (J.M.) wants to acknowledge Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach for her encouragement after reading a first version of this paper. The second author (J.A.V) wants to express his gratitude to the CIMAT, Guanajuato (México), for its warm hospitality during his stay there, when part of this work was done. Special thanks are due to Adolfo Sánchez-Valenzuela and Mitchell Rothstein for very helpful discussions.

Work partially supported by a Grant from the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Cultura, ref. PB-97-1386.

References

- R. Abraham, J. E. Marsden: Foundations of Mechanics. 2nd. Ed. The Benjamin Cummings Publ. Comp. Reading (Mass), 1978.
- [2] J. V. Beltrán, J. Monterde: Graded Poisson structures on the algebra of differential forms. *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **70** (1995) 383-402.

- [3] V. Cruceanu, P. Fortuny and P. M. Gadea: A survey on Paracomplex Geometry. *Rocky Mountain J. of Maths.* 26, (1996), 83-115.
- [4] J. Grabowski: Z-graded extensions of Poisson brackets. *Reviews in Math.* Phys. 9 (1997) 1-27.
- [5] B. Kostant: Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory, and prequantization, in Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1975), pp. 177–306. Lecture Notes in Math, Vol 570, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [6] J. L. Koszul: Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et Cohomologie. Astérisque, Hors série 1985, 257-271.
- [7] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach: From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras. Annals Inst. Fourier, 46, 5 (1996) 1243–1274.
- [8] P. W. Michor: A generalization of Hamiltonian mechanics. Journal of Geometry an Physics, Vol 2 (1985), 67–82.
- [9] P. W. Michor: Remarks on the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket. *Diff. Geom.* and its appls. Proceedings of the Conference. Brno (1986) 197-220.
- [10] J. Monterde: A characterization of graded symplectic structures. Differential Geometry and its Applications. 2 (1992) 81-97.
- [11] J. Monterde, A. Montesinos: Integral curves of derivations. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. Vol 6, 2 (1988), 177-189.
- [12] V. Oproiu: A pseudo-riemannian structure in Lagrangian geometry. Ann. Stiin. ale. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" XXXIII, a. I. A. Mat. (1987), 239-254.
- [13] M. Rothstein: The structure of supersymplectic supermanifolds. Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics (Rapallo, 1990), 331–343, Lecture Notes in Phys. 375 Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [14] K. Yano and T. Ishihara: Tangent and cotangent bundles. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.