

SELECTIVE SEPARABILITY ON SPACES WITH AN ANALYTIC TOPOLOGY

JAVIER CAMARGO AND CARLOS UZCÁTEGUI

ABSTRACT. We study two form of selective selective separability, SS and SS^+ , on countable spaces with an analytic topology. We show several Ramsey type properties which imply SS . For analytic spaces X , SS^+ is equivalent to have that the collection of dense sets is a G_δ subset of 2^X , and also equivalent to the existence of a weak base which is an F_σ -subset of 2^X . We study several examples of analytic spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study some combinatorial properties of countable topological spaces. We will focus on spaces with a definable topology ([17, 18]), that is to say, the topology of the space, viewed as a subset of 2^X , has to be a definable set. Typically, the topology will be assumed to be an analytic subset of 2^X . The interplay between combinatorial properties of a space and the descriptive complexity of the topology itself has shown to be quite fruitful [6, 15, 17, 18, 19].

A topological space X is *selectively separable*, denoted SS , if for any sequence $(D_n)_n$ of dense subsets of X there is a finite $a_n \subseteq D_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigcup_n a_n$ is dense in X . This notion was introduced by Scheeper [14] and has received a lot of attention ever since (see for instance [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9]). Bella et al. [4] showed that every separable space with countable fan tightness is SS . On the other hand, Barman and Dow [1] showed that every separable Fréchet space is also SS . In section 3 we present several combinatorial properties which implies SS .

Shibakov [15] showed a stronger result when the topology of the space is analytic. He showed that any Fréchet countable space with an analytic topology has a countable π -base (and thus it is SS). The existence of a countable π -base provides a characterization of a property quite similar to SS , it is a property related to a game naturally associated to a selection principle. Let G_1 be the two player game defined as follows. Player I plays dense subsets of X and Player II picks a point from the set played by I. So a run of the game consists of a sequence of pairs (D_n, x_n) where D_n is a dense set played by I and x_n is the response of II such that $x_n \in D_n$. We say that II wins if $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense. Scheeper [14] showed that X has a countable π -base if, and only if, II has a winning strategy for G_1 . For the property SS a similar game, denoted G_{fin} , is defined as before but now player II picks a finite subset of the dense set played by I. A space X has the property SS^+ , if II has a winning strategy for G_{fin} . We show that, for X with an analytic topology, the game G_{fin} is determined. We also show that SS^+ is characterized by the existence of a F_σ weak π -base (that is, a F_σ subset \mathcal{P} of 2^X such that every set in \mathcal{P} has non empty interior and every non empty open set contains a set from \mathcal{P}), which turns out to be also equivalent to having that the collection of dense subsets of X is a G_δ subset of 2^X . We compare this notion of a weak base with that of a σ -compactlike family introduced in [2]. Our characterization of SS^+ allows to show very easily that the product of two SS^+ spaces with analytic topology is also SS^+ . A result that

Date: March 25, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54H05; Secondary 54D65.

Key words and phrases. Ramsey ideals, p^+ -ideals, q^+ -ideals, selective separability, SS^+ .

The authors thank La Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Extensión de la Universidad Industrial de Santander for the financial support for this work, which is part of the VIE project # C-2018-05.

holds in general as shown by Barman-Dow [2]. However our proof is different. We analyze a space constructed by Barman-Dow [2] which is SS and not SS^+ and show it has an analytic topology and has countable fan tightness. Finally, in the last section of the paper we present several examples of countable spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

An *ideal* on a set X is a collection \mathcal{I} of subsets of X satisfying: (i) $A \subseteq B$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$. (ii) If $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$. (iii) $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$. We will always assume that an ideal contains all finite subsets of X . If \mathcal{I} is an ideal on X , then $\mathcal{I}^+ = \{A \subseteq X : A \notin \mathcal{I}\}$. Fin denotes the ideal of finite subsets of the non negative integers \mathbb{N} . We denote by $A^{<\omega}$ the collection of finite sequences of elements of A . If s is a finite sequence on A and $i \in A$, $|s|$ denotes its length and $s \widehat{i}$ the sequence obtained concatenating s with i . For $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ and $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, let $s \prec \alpha$ if $\alpha(i) = s(i)$ for all $i < |s|$ and $[s] = \{\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} : s \prec \alpha\}$. The collection of all $[s]$ with $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ is a basis of clopen sets for $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $a \in \text{Fin}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $a \sqsubseteq A$ if a is an initial segment of A , i.e., $a = A \cap \{0, \dots, n\}$, where $n = \max a$. For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by A/m the set $\{n \in A : m < n\}$ and by $A \upharpoonright m$ the set $A \cap \{0, \dots, m-1\}$.

Let X be a topological space and $x \in X$. All spaces are assumed to be regular and T_1 . A space is *crowded* if does not have isolated points. A collection \mathcal{B} of non empty open sets is a π -*base*, if every non empty open set contains an element of \mathcal{B} . For every non isolated point x , we use the following ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_x = \{A \subseteq X : x \notin \overline{A \setminus \{x\}}\}.$$

The ideal of nowhere dense subsets of X is denoted by $\text{nwd}(X)$.

Now we recall some combinatorial properties of ideals. We put $A \subseteq^* B$ if $A \setminus B$ is finite.

(p⁺) \mathcal{I} is **p⁺**, if for every decreasing sequence $(A_n)_n$ of sets in \mathcal{I}^+ , there is $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $A \subseteq^* A_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following [11], we say that \mathcal{I} is **p⁻**, if for every decreasing sequence $(A_n)_n$ of sets in \mathcal{I}^+ such that $A_n \setminus A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}$, there is $B \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $B \subseteq^* A_n$ for all n .

The following notion was suggested by some results in [7, 8]. By a scheme we understand a collection $(A_s)_{s \in 2^{<\omega}}$ such that $A_s = A_{s \smallfrown 0} \cup A_{s \smallfrown 1}$ and $A_{s \smallfrown 0} \cap A_{s \smallfrown 1} = \emptyset$ for all $s \in 2^{<\omega}$. An ideal is **wp⁺**, if for every scheme $(A_s)_{s \in 2^{<\omega}}$ with $A_\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}^+$, there is $B \in \mathcal{I}^+$ and $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $B \subseteq^* A_{\alpha \upharpoonright n}$ for all n .

(q⁺) \mathcal{I} is **q⁺**, if for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ and every partition $(F_n)_n$ of A into finite sets, there is $S \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $S \subseteq A$ and $S \cap F_n$ has at most one element for each n . Such sets S are called *selectors* for the partition. If we allow partitions with pieces in \mathcal{I} , we say that the ideal is *weakly selective* **ws** [11] (also called weakly Ramsey in [13]). Another natural variation is as follows: For every partition $(F_n)_n$ of a set $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ with each piece F_n in \mathcal{I} , there is $S \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $S \subseteq A$ and $S \cap F_n$ is finite for all n . It is known that the last property is equivalent to **p⁻** (see Theorem 3.1).

If \mathcal{F} is a filter over \mathbb{N} , recall that $A \in \mathcal{F}^+$ if $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \mathcal{F}$. If \mathcal{F}^* is the dual ideal of \mathcal{F} , then $\mathcal{F}^+ = (\mathcal{F}^*)^+$. Thus we say that a filter \mathcal{F} is **q⁺**, if its dual ideal \mathcal{F}^* is **q⁺**.

We say that an ideal \mathcal{I} is *Ramsey*, usually denoted by $\mathcal{I}^+ \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$, if for every $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ and every coloring $c : A^{[2]} \rightarrow 2$, there is $B \subseteq A$ in \mathcal{I}^+ homogeneous for c , i.e. c restricted to $B^{[2]}$ is constant.

A point x of a topological space X is called a *Fréchet point*, if for every $A \in \mathcal{I}_x^+$ there is a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in A converging to x . We will say that x is a **q⁺-point**, if \mathcal{I}_x^+ is **q⁺**. We say that space is a **q⁺-space**, if every point is **q⁺**. For each of the combinatorial notion about ideal introduced above, we define analogously the corresponding notion for points on a space.

Now we recall some other combinatorial properties of a topological space.

(SS) X is *selectively separable* [14] (see also [4]), if for any sequence $(D_n)_n$ of dense subsets of X there is $a_n \subseteq D_n$ finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigcup_n a_n$ is dense in X .

(SS⁺) Consider the following game G_{fin} . Player I picks a dense set D_n ; player II picks a finite $a_n \subseteq D_n$. Player II wins if $\bigcup_n a_n$ is dense. X is SS^+ if player II has a winning strategy for G_{fin} ([1], see also [14]). Notice that if X is not SS , then player I has an obvious winning strategy. In Example 4.9 we show that the converse does not hold.


(RS) X is R -separable [3], if for any sequence $(D_n)_n$ of dense subsets of X there is $x_n \in D_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in X .

A space X has the *countable fan tightness at x* , if for every sequence $(A_n)_n$ with $x \in \overline{A_n}$ for all n , there are finite sets $K_n \subseteq A_n$ for all n such that $x \in \overline{\bigcup_n K_n}$. This last property is known to be equivalent to \mathbf{p}^+ (see for instance [13, Theorem 3.6]).

A subset A of a Polish space is called *analytic*, if it is a continuous image of a Polish space. Equivalently, if there is a continuous function $f : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ with range A , where $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the space of irrationals. For instance, every Borel subset of a Polish space is analytic. A general reference for all descriptive set theoretic notions used in this paper is [12]. We say that a topology τ over a countable set X is *analytic*, if τ is analytic as a subset of the cantor cube 2^X (identifying subsets of X with characteristic functions) [15, 17, 18, 19], in this case we will say that X is an *analytic space*. A regular countable space is analytic if, and only if, it is homeomorphic to a subspace of $C_p(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ (see [17]). If there is a base \mathcal{B} of X such that \mathcal{B} is a F_σ (Borel) subset of 2^X , then we say that X has a F_σ (Borel) base. In general, if X has a Borel base, then the topology of X is analytic.

3. \mathbf{p}^+ , \mathbf{q}^+ AND SS

In this section we show the following implications:



We start with the ideal theoretic notions. Some of the implications above are known, however for the sake of completeness we include some of the proofs.

Theorem 3.1. *The following hold for ideals on a countable set.*

- (i) \mathbf{p}^+ implies \mathbf{wp}^+ .
- (ii) \mathbf{q}^+ and \mathbf{wp}^+ together is equivalent to Ramsey.
- (iii) Ramsey implies \mathbf{ws} .
- (iv) \mathbf{wp}^+ implies \mathbf{p}^- .
- (v) \mathbf{p}^- is equivalent to saying that for every partition $(F_n)_n$ of a set $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ with each piece F_n in \mathcal{I} , there is $S \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $S \subseteq A$ and $S \cap F_n$ is finite for all n .
- (vi) \mathbf{ws} is equivalent to \mathbf{p}^- together with \mathbf{q}^+ .

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on a countable set X .

- (i) Suppose \mathcal{I} is \mathbf{p}^+ . Let $(A_s)_{s \in 2^{<\omega}}$ be a scheme with $A_\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}^+$. Let $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $A_{\alpha|n} \in \mathcal{I}^+$. Now we can applied \mathbf{p}^+ to $(A_{\alpha|n})_n$ and finish the proof.
- (ii) See [8, Theorem 3.16].
- (iii) Let $(A_k)_k$ be a partition of a set $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that each $A_k \in \mathcal{I}$. Consider the following coloring: $c(\{x, y\}) = 0$, if $x, y \in A_k$ for some k . Let H be a c -homogeneous set in \mathcal{I}^+ . Then H cannot be a subset of any A_k , thus it has to be 1-homogeneous and thus a selector.

- (iv) Suppose \mathcal{I} is \mathbf{wp}^+ . To see that \mathcal{I} is \mathbf{p}^- , let $(A_n)_n$ be a decreasing sequence of sets in \mathcal{I}^+ such that $A_n \setminus A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}$. Consider the following scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} B_\emptyset &= A_0, \\ B_{\langle 0 \rangle} &= A_0 \setminus A_1 \text{ and } B_{\langle 1 \rangle} = A_1, \\ B_{\langle 10 \rangle} &= A_1 \setminus A_2 \text{ and } B_{\langle 11 \rangle} = A_2, \\ B_{\langle 11\dots 10 \rangle} &= A_k \setminus A_{k+1} \text{ and } B_{\langle 11\dots 11 \rangle} = A_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

and B_s can be chosen arbitrarily, if s is not of the form $\hat{t}i$ where t is a constant sequence with value 1.

By \mathbf{wp}^+ , there is $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $C \subseteq^* B_{\alpha \upharpoonright m}$ for all m (in fact, it is clear that the only possibility is that α is the constant sequence equal to 1). Hence $C \cap A_n$ is finite for all n .

- (v) This result is well known but for the sake of completeness we include a proof. Suppose \mathcal{I} satisfies the second property and we show it is \mathbf{p}^- . Let $(A_n)_n$ be a decreasing sequence of sets in \mathcal{I}^+ such that $A_n \setminus A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ for all n . Let $F_n = A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$. Then $(F_n)_n$ is a partition of A_0 and each $F_n \in \mathcal{I}$. By hypothesis, there is $S \in \mathcal{I}^+$ such that $S \cap F_n$ is finite. Then clearly $S \subseteq^* A_n$ for all n . Thus \mathcal{I} is \mathbf{p}^- . The other implication is shown analogously.
- (vi) This is Theorem 3.2 of [11]. We include a proof for the sake of completeness. We use the equivalent version of \mathbf{p}^- given in (v). Clearly \mathbf{ws} implies \mathbf{p}^- and \mathbf{q}^+ . To check the converse, let $A \in \mathcal{I}^+$ and $(A_n)_n$ be a partition of A such that $A_n \notin \mathcal{I}^+$ for all n . By \mathbf{p}^- there are finite sets $F_n \subseteq A_n$ such that $B = \bigcup F_n$ belongs to \mathcal{I}^+ . From \mathbf{q}^+ , applied to B and the partition $(F_n)_n$, we get the required selector. \square

The following result is well known (see, for instance, Theorem 3.3. of [11]).

Theorem 3.2. *Every non trivial F_σ ideal is \mathbf{p}^+ .*

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a countable space with a F_σ basis. Then every point of X is \mathbf{p}^+ and thus X is SS .*

Proof. Suppose that X has an F_σ base, then it is easy to check that \mathcal{I}_x is F_σ for all x . \square

We recall that any countable subspace of $C_p(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ admits a F_σ basis (see [17]).

Theorem 3.4. ([18]) *Every point of a countable sequential space is \mathbf{q}^+ .*

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose X is a countable sequential space. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is Fréchet.
- (ii) Every point is \mathbf{ws} .
- (iii) Every point is \mathbf{p}^- .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose X is Fréchet. Let $x \in X$, $A \in \mathcal{I}_x^+$ and $(F_n)_n$ be a partition of A such that each $F_n \in \mathcal{I}_x$. We will show that there is a selector in \mathcal{I}_x^+ . Since X is Fréchet, then there is a sequence $(x_n)_n$ in A converging to x . Since each $F_n \in \mathcal{I}_x$, then there are only finitely many elements of the sequence in each F_n . Thus we can find a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ which contains at most one point of each piece F_n .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Straightforward.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose every point is \mathbf{p}^- . Since X is sequential, regular and countable, it suffices to show that X does not contain a copy of the Arens space. In fact, it is easy to check that the property of being \mathbf{p}^- is hereditary and the Arens space is not \mathbf{p}^- (see §5.2). \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a countable crowded space.*

- (i) *If every point is \mathbf{p}^- , then X is SS .*
- (ii) *If every point is \mathbf{ws} , then X is RS .*

Proof. (i) Let $(D_n)_n$ be a sequence of dense subsets of X . Let $(L_k)_k$ be a partition of \mathbb{N} into infinite subsets. Let $(x_k)_k$ be an enumeration of X and $(O_n^k)_{n \in L_k}$ be a maximal family of open disjoint subsets of X such that $x_k \notin \overline{O_n^k}$ for all $n \in L_k$. Since each D_n is dense, from the maximality we get that, for every k , $\bigcup_{n \in L_k} O_n^k \cap D_n$ accumulates to x_k . Since each $O_n^k \cap D_n \in \mathcal{I}_{x_k}$ and x_k is a \mathbf{p}^- -point, then for every k and $n \in L_k$ there is $E_n^k \subseteq O_n^k \cap D_n$ finite such that $\bigcup_{n \in L_k} E_n^k \in \mathcal{I}_{x_k}^+$ for all k . Then $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \in L_k} E_n^k$ is the required dense set.

(ii) As before, but using \mathbf{ws} we can assure that $|E_n^k| = 1$ for all k and all $n \in L_k$. □

The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorems 3.5 and 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. (*Barman-Dow* [1]) *Every countable Fréchet space is RS.*

Our next example shows that \mathbf{p}^+ does not imply *RS*.

Example 3.8. Let $X = CL(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ be the collection of all clopen subsets of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as a subspace of $2^{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$. Then X has a F_σ base (see [17]) and thus it is \mathbf{p}^+ and thus *SS* (see Corollary 3.3). It is also known that X is not *RS* (see [3]). We include a proof for the sake of completeness. Let $s, t \in 2^n$, we say that s and t are *linked* if there is a sequence $u \in 2^{n-1}$ such that $s = u \hat{\ } i$ and $t = u \hat{\ } j$ with $i + j = 1$. Each $x \in X$ is a finite union of sets of the form $[s]$ for $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ (see §2). For k a positive integer, we say that a $x \in X$ is *k-adequated*, if x can be written as $[s_1] \cup \dots \cup [s_m]$ with each $s_i \in 2^k$ and any pair of them are not linked. Let $A_k = \{x \in X : x \text{ is } k\text{-adequated}\}$. Notice that $\bigcup_{k \in B} A_k$ is dense in X for any infinite set $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Let $(B_i)_i$ be a partition of \mathbb{N} into infinite sets and let $D_i = \bigcup_{k \in B_i} A_k$. Then $(D_i)_i$ witnesses that *RS* fails. In fact, for any selection $x_i \in D_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it is easy to construct an $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\alpha \notin x_i$ for all i . Since $\{x \in X : \alpha \in x\}$ is an open set, then $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not dense in X .

4. THE PROPERTY SS^+

As we said in the introduction, Scheepers [14] showed that the existence of a countable π -base is equivalent to required that player II has a winning strategy in the game G_1 . In this section we are going to show an analogous result for the game G_{fin} .

Let us say that a collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of a space X is a *weak base* (respectively *weak π -base*) if every set in \mathcal{P} has non empty interior and for every non empty open set W and every $x \in W$, there is $V \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in \text{int}(V) \subseteq V \subseteq W$ (respectively, for every non empty open set W there is $V \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $V \subseteq W$). Observe that if X has a countable weak π -base, then it obviously has a countable π -base. The relevance of this notion is when we impose a complexity restriction on \mathcal{P} (for instance, to require \mathcal{P} to be F_σ).

We denote by $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ the collection of all dense subsets of X . Our first observation is the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a countable analytic space. Then $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is co-analytic.* □

Proof. Let τ be the topology of X . Then $D \notin \mathfrak{D}(X)$ if, and only if, there is $V \in \tau$ such that $V \cap E = \emptyset$. Hence the complement of $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is a projection of an analytic set and thus it is analytic. □

We present in §5.4 an example of an analytic space X such that $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is not Borel.

Proposition 4.2. *Let Y be a countable set. Suppose $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq 2^Y$ is closed upwards, i.e. whenever $D \subseteq E$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}$, then $E \in \mathfrak{D}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathfrak{D} is G_δ .
- (ii) There are closed sets $F_n \subseteq 2^Y$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$2^Y \setminus \mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_n \{E : \exists V \in F_n, E \cap V = \emptyset\}.$$

Proof. It is clear that (ii) implies that the complement of \mathfrak{D} is F_σ . Suppose now that \mathfrak{D} is G_δ . Let $(K_n)_n$ be closed sets in 2^Y such that

$$2^X \setminus \mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_n K_n.$$

Consider $F_n = \{V \subseteq Y : Y \setminus V \in K_n\}$. We claim that $(F_n)_n$ satisfies (ii). In fact, suppose $E \notin \mathfrak{D}$. Then there is n such that $E \in K_n$. Hence $V = Y \setminus E \in F_n$ and $V \cap E = \emptyset$. Conversely, suppose $V \in F_n$ and $E \cap V = \emptyset$. Since $Y \setminus V \notin \mathfrak{D}$ and $E \subseteq Y \setminus V$, then $E \notin \mathfrak{D}$. To see that each F_n is closed in 2^Y recall that the map $A \mapsto Y \setminus A$ is an homeomorphism of 2^Y to itself. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a countable crowded space.*

- (i) *If X has a F_σ base, then \mathcal{I}_x is F_σ for all $x \in X$.*
- (ii) *If \mathcal{I}_x is F_σ for all $x \in X$, then X has a F_σ weak base.*
- (iii) *X has a F_σ weak π -base if, and only if, $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is G_δ .*

Proof. (ii) Let $\mathcal{F}_x = \{X \setminus A : A \in \mathcal{I}_x\}$. If \mathcal{I}_x is F_σ , then so is \mathcal{F}_x . Then $\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x$ is a F_σ weak base for X .

(iii) Suppose $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is G_δ and let $(F_n)_n$ be a sequence of closed sets satisfying (ii) in Proposition 4.2. We claim that $\bigcup_n F_n$ is a weak π -base for X . First we show that if $V \in F_n$, then V has non empty interior. Since $(X \setminus V) \cap V = \emptyset$, then by (ii), $X \setminus V \notin \mathfrak{D}(X)$. Thus V has non empty interior. Now let W be a nonempty open set. Then $X \setminus W \notin \mathfrak{D}(X)$. Thus there is n and $V \in F_n$ such that $(X \setminus W) \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus $V \subseteq W$. Conversely, suppose $\bigcup_n F_n$ is a F_σ weak π -base for X . Then it is easy to verify that $(F_n)_n$ satisfies (ii) in Proposition 4.2. \square

As we saw in Example 3.8, $CL(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ is a countable space with a F_σ base. Since $CL(2^{\mathbb{N}})$ does not satisfy RS , it cannot have a countable π -base.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a countable crowded analytic space. Then the game G_{fin} is determined. More precisely:*

- (i) *If $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is G_δ , then II has a winning strategy for G_{fin} .*
- (ii) *If $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is not G_δ , then I has a winning strategy for G_{fin} .*

Proof. We assume w.l.o.g. that $X = \mathbb{N}$ and, for simplicity, we will write \mathfrak{D} in place of $\mathfrak{D}(X)$. For each $a \in \text{Fin}$, let $[[a]]$ be $\{A \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} : a \subseteq A\}$. Notice that if $O \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is open and $A \in O$ with A infinite, then there is $a \in \text{Fin}$ such that $A \in [[a]] \subseteq O$.

Suppose \mathfrak{D} is G_δ and let $(O_n)_n$ be a decreasing sequence of open dense sets such that $\bigcap_n O_n = \mathfrak{D}$. We will describe a winning strategy of player II for the game G_{fin} . Let X_0 be the first move of player I. Since $X_0 \in O_0$, player II chooses $a_0 \subset X_0$ such that $[[a_0]] \subseteq O_0$. Suppose $\langle X_0, a_0, \dots, X_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, X_n \rangle$ has been played such that X_m is dense for all $m \leq n$, a_m is a finite subset of X_m and $[[a_0 \cup \dots \cup a_m]] \subseteq O_m$ for all $m < n$. Since $Y = (X_n / \max(a_0 \cup \dots \cup a_{n-1})) \cup (a_0 \cup \dots \cup a_{n-1})$ is dense in X , there is a finite set $a_n \subset Y$ such that $\max(a_0 \cup \dots \cup a_{n-1}) < \min a_n$ and $[[a_0 \cup \dots \cup a_n]] \subseteq O_n$. Clearly $\bigcup_n a_n \in \bigcap_m O_m$ and hence it is dense. This is a winning strategy for II.

Conversely, suppose \mathfrak{D} is not G_δ . Since \mathfrak{D} is co-analytic, we use Hurewicz's theorem [12, Theorem 21.18] to find a countable set $Q \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ homeomorphic to the rationals such that $\overline{Q} \cap \mathfrak{D} = Q$. We will describe a winning strategy for player I. Let $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an enumeration of Q . Player I starts playing $X_0 = D_0$. Suppose player II plays a finite set $a_0 \subset X_0$. Since Q has no isolated points, there is k such that $a_0 \subset D_k$ and $D_k \neq D_0$; so let l_1 be the first such k . Let m_1 be such that $D_0 \upharpoonright m_1 \neq D_{l_1} \upharpoonright m_1$ and $a_0 \subset D_{l_1} \upharpoonright m_1$. Then I plays D_{l_1}/m_1 . In general, assume the strategy has been defined up round n such that if $\langle X_0, a_0, \dots, X_{n-1} \rangle$ has been played such that player I followed this strategy, then there are natural numbers l_j and m_j for $j < n$ such that, for $i \leq n-1$,

- (i) $X_i = D_{l_i}/m_i$,
- (ii) $a_0 \cup a_1 \cup \dots \cup a_{i-1} \subset D_{l_i} \upharpoonright m_i$,
- (iii) $D_{l_{i-1}} \upharpoonright m_{i-1} \sqsubset D_{l_i}$,
- (iv) $D_{l_i} \upharpoonright m_i \neq D_j \upharpoonright m_j$ for all $j \leq i$.

Then II plays a finite set $a_{n-1} \subset D_{l_{n-1}}/m_{n-1} = X_{n-1}$. For the next move, as Q has no isolated points, there are l_n and m_n such that $a_0 \cup a_1 \cup \dots \cup a_{n-1} \subset D_{l_n} \upharpoonright m_n$, $D_{l_{n-1}} \upharpoonright m_{n-1} \sqsubset D_{l_n}$ and $D_{l_n} \upharpoonright m_n \neq D_j \upharpoonright m_j$ for all $j \leq n$. Then I plays $X_n = D_{l_n}/m_n$.

Finally, let $E = \bigcup_i a_i$ and $F = \bigcup_i (D_{l_i} \upharpoonright m_i)$. Then by (ii) $E \subseteq F$ and by (iii) $F \in \overline{Q}$. By (iv), $F \not\subseteq Q$ and therefore neither F nor E are dense. Hence this strategy is winning for player I. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a countable crowded analytic space. Then X is SS^+ if, and only if, X has a F_σ weak π -base.*

Barman and Dow [2] introduced the notion of a compactlike family which was instrumental for their study of the property SS^+ . A collection \mathcal{C} of subset of a space X is *compactlike*, if for all $D \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$ there is $a \subseteq D$ finite such that $a \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$. A space X is σ -compactlike, if there is a sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_n$ of compactlike families of open subsets of X such that the topology of X is equal to $\bigcup_n \mathcal{C}_n$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be a countable space. Let \mathcal{C} be a family of subsets of X . Then*

- (i) \mathcal{C} is compactlike if, and only if, every set in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ (closure in 2^X) has non empty interior.
- (ii) If \mathcal{C} is compactlike, then $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is also compactlike.
- (iii) If X is σ -compactlike, then X has a F_σ weak base.

Proof. (i) Suppose \mathcal{C} is compactlike. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. If V has empty interior, then $D = X \setminus V$ is dense. But this contradict the definition of a compactlike family. Conversely, suppose that every set in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ has non empty interior. Suppose \mathcal{C} is not compactlike and let D be a dense set such that for all finite subset a of D there is $V \in \mathcal{C}$ missing a . Let $(x_n)_n$ be an enumeration of D and pick $V_n \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \cap V_n = \emptyset$ for all n . Since $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is compact, then there is $(n_k)_k$ increasing such that V_{n_k} converges to some $V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Let W be the interior of V and m such that $x_m \in W \cap D$. Thus there is k such that $n_k > m$ and $x_m \in V_{n_k}$ which is a contradiction.

(iii) Let \mathcal{C}_n be compactlike families of open sets such that the topology of X is equal to $\bigcup_n \mathcal{C}_n$. Then by (i) and (ii), $\bigcup_n \overline{\mathcal{C}_n}$ is a F_σ weak base for X . \square

Barman and Dow [2] showed that a countable space satisfies SS^+ if, and only if, it is σ -compactlike. Now we can show some other equivalent characterization of SS^+

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a countable space. The following are equivalente*

- (i) X is SS^+ .
- (ii) X is σ -compactlike.
- (iii) X has a weak F_σ base.
- (iv) X has a weak F_σ π -base.
- (v) $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is G_δ .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) was shown in [2]. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from Proposition 4.6. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is obvious. (iv) \Rightarrow (v) follows from Proposition 4.3. (v) \Rightarrow (i) follows from the proof of Theorem 4.4(i), just observe that in that proof the hypothesis that the topology of X is analytic is not necessary. \square

Barman and Dow [2] have shown that the product of two countable SS^+ spaces is SS^+ . Their proof is based in the characterization of SS^+ in terms of compactlike families which makes an extensive use of ultrafilters. We present below a different proof for analytic spaces.

Theorem 4.8. *Let X and Y be countable crowded analytic spaces. If X and Y are SS^+ , then so is $X \times Y$.*

Proof. It is clear that $X \times Y$ has an analytic topology. By Corollary 4.5, it suffices to show that having a F_σ weak π -base is a productive property. Let $(F_n)_n$ and $(G_n)_n$ be closed subsets of 2^X and 2^Y , respectively, such that $\bigcup_n F_n$ and $\bigcup_n G_n$ are weak π -bases for X and Y respectively. Let $L_n^m = \{V \times W : V \in F_n \text{ and } W \in G_m\}$. Then each L_n^m is a closed subset of $2^{X \times Y}$ as the map $(A, B) \in 2^X \times 2^Y \mapsto A \times B \in 2^{X \times Y}$ is continuous. To finish the argument we observe that $\bigcup_{n,m} L_n^m$ is clearly a weak π -base for $X \times Y$. \square

Barman and Dow [2, Theorem 2.12] constructed a countable space X which is SS and not SS^+ . We recall its definition in order to verify it has an analytic topology. We also show that it is not SS^+ using Theorem 4.4. They constructed that space to show that SS^+ is not preserved by unions, in contrast to what happen with SS which is preserved by unions [9]. In fact, there are two disjoint subspaces A and B such that $X = A \cup B$, A and B are SS^+ , however X is not SS^+ but it is (hereditarily) SS . Moreover, A and B both have a F_σ base.

Example 4.9. We use α, β , etc. to denote elements of the Cantor set $2^\mathbb{N}$. For each $\alpha \in 2^\mathbb{N}$, let $\alpha^* \in 2^\mathbb{N}$ be the flipping of α in the first value, i.e. $\alpha^*(0) = 1 - \alpha(0)$ and $\alpha^*(n) = \alpha(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Consider the following subset of $2^{2^\mathbb{N}}$

$$Z = \{z \in 2^{2^\mathbb{N}} : z(\alpha) \cdot z(\alpha^*) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in 2^\mathbb{N}\}.$$

We need to modify the collection of clopen subsets of $2^\mathbb{N}$. Let Q be the collection of eventually zero sequences. Then Q is a copy of the rationals inside $2^\mathbb{N}$. For each $z \subseteq 2^\mathbb{N}$, let

$$z_* = z \setminus Q \cup \{\alpha^* : \alpha \in z \cap Q\}.$$

Consider the map f from $2^{2^\mathbb{N}}$ to itself given by $f(z) = z_*$. Notice that $(z_*)_* = z$ for all $z \subseteq 2^\mathbb{N}$. Clearly f is an homeomorphism. Moreover $Z = f[Z]$.

Let $A = CL(2^\mathbb{N}) \cap Z$, $B = \{z_* : z \in A\}$ and $X = A \cup B$. Notice that A and B are homeomorphic and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Since $CL(2^\mathbb{N})$ has a F_σ base (see [17]), then both A and B has a F_σ base. We will show that X has analytic topology, is \mathbf{p}^+ and not SS^+ . To see that the topology of X is analytic, we just need to check that the standard subbase of X is analytic. In fact, for each $\alpha \in 2^\mathbb{N}$ consider the subbasic open sets of X

$$[\alpha; 1] = \{x \in X : \alpha \in x\} \quad \text{and} \quad [\alpha; 0] = \{x \in X : \alpha \notin x\}.$$

We claim that the functions from $2^\mathbb{N}$ to 2^X , $\alpha \mapsto [\alpha; i]$ are Borel measurable for $i = 0, 1$. Let us check for $i = 1$. Fix $x_0 \in X$, it suffices to verify that $N = \{\alpha \in 2^\mathbb{N} : x_0 \in [\alpha; 1]\}$ is Borel. Obviously $N = x_0$. Notice that x_0 is either a clopen subset of $2^\mathbb{N}$ or it is of the form z_* for some $z \in A$ which is obviously a Borel set. Hence the collection of all subbasic open sets of the form $[\alpha; 0]$ or $[\alpha; 1]$ is the Borel image of the Cantor space and thus is a analytic subbase for X . Therefore the topology of X is also analytic (see [17]). Since both A and B are \mathbf{p}^+ , then it is easy to verify that X is also \mathbf{p}^+ .

Now we will show that X is not SS^+ by showing that $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is not G_δ . For that end we will find a copy of the rationals $Q_1 \subseteq 2^X$ such that $\overline{Q_1} \cap \mathfrak{D}(X) = Q_1$. Consider

$$Q_1 = \{[q; 0] \cap A \cup [q^*; 0] \cap B : q \in Q\}.$$

We claim that $\overline{Q_1} = Q_1 \cup \{[\alpha; 0] : \alpha \in 2^\mathbb{N} \setminus Q\}$. We state several facts in order to verify it. For notational simplicity, let

$$D_\beta = [\beta; 0] \cap A \cup [\beta^*; 0] \cap B$$

for each $\beta \in 2^\mathbb{N}$.

- (1) The map $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto [\alpha; 0] \cap A$ is continuous: This follows immediately from the fact that every element of A is a clopen subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.
- (2) If $q_n \in Q$ and $q_n \rightarrow \alpha$ with $\alpha \notin Q$, then $[q_n; 0] \cap B \rightarrow [\alpha^*; 0] \cap B$: Let $z_* \in [\alpha^*; 0] \cap B$ with $z \in A$, in particular, z is clopen. Since $\alpha^* \notin z_*$ and $\alpha \notin Q$, then $\alpha^* \notin z$. Clearly $q_n^* \rightarrow \alpha^*$, thus $q_n^* \notin z$ eventually. Therefore $q_n \notin z_*$ eventually. That is to say $z_* \in [q_n; 0] \cap B$ eventually. Analogously, one shows that if $z_* \notin [\alpha^*; 0] \cap B$, then $z_* \notin [q_n; 0] \cap A$ eventually.
- (3) If $q_n \in Q$ and $q_n \rightarrow \alpha$ with $\alpha \in Q$, then $[q_n; 0] \cap B \rightarrow [\alpha; 0] \cap B$: This is shown analogously as before.
- (4) The map $q \in Q \mapsto D_q$ is continuous: Let $q_n \in Q$ converging to $q \in Q$. Then $D_{q_n} = [q_n; 0] \cap A \cup [q_n^*; 0] \cap B$ converges to $[q; 0] \cap A \cup [q^*; 0] \cap B$ by (1), (3) and the fact that $q_n^* \rightarrow q^*$.
- (5) Suppose $D_{q_n} \rightarrow D$ for some sequence $(q_n)_n$ in Q converging to some $\alpha \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $\alpha \in Q$, then $D = D_\alpha$ (notice that $D_{q_n} = [q_n; 0] \cap A \cup [q_n^*; 0] \cap B$ converges to $[\alpha; 0] \cap A \cup [\alpha^*; 0] \cap B = D_\alpha$). On the other hand, if $\alpha \notin Q$, then $D = [\alpha; 0]$ (notice that $D_{q_n} = [q_n; 0] \cap A \cup [q_n^*; 0] \cap B$ converges to $[\alpha; 0] \cap A \cup [\alpha; 0] \cap B = [\alpha; 0]$).
- (6) D_β is dense for every β and $[\alpha; 0]$ is not dense for every α .

From (4), Q_1 has no isolated points and hence it is homeomorphic to the rationals. Finally $\overline{Q_1} \cap \mathfrak{D}(X) = Q_1$ by (6).

This example also shows that having a winning strategy for player I in G_{fin} does not imply the failure of SS . As this space is analytic, the game G_{fin} is determined and, in fact, player I has a winning strategy because the space is not SS^+ (by Theorem 4.4). However, X is SS . On the other hand, notice that in general when a space is not SS , player I has an obvious winning strategy.

5. SOME EXAMPLES

Now we present examples to illustrate that some of implications shown in the previous section are strict. Sequentiality, SS and SS^+ are the only notions we are considering which are strictly topological (i.e. they are not reduced to a combinatorial property of the ideal \mathcal{I}_x). We will present examples showing that SS^+ , \mathbf{p}^- and \mathbf{q}^+ are independent, i.e. all boolean combination of them are realizable by analytic spaces.

It is well known that filters (or dually, ideals) are viewed as spaces with only one non isolated point. We recall this basic construction. Suppose $Z = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a space such that ∞ is the only accumulation point. Then $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \infty \in \text{int}_Z(A \cup \{\infty\})\}$ is the neighborhood filter of ∞ . Conversely, given a filter \mathcal{F} over \mathbb{N} , we define a topology on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ by declaring that each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is isolated and \mathcal{F} is the neighborhood filter of ∞ . We denote this space by $Z(\mathcal{F})$. It is clear that the combinatorial properties of \mathcal{F} and $Z(\mathcal{F})$ are the same. Since in $Z(\mathcal{F})$ all points except ∞ are isolated, we use other methods to associate a crowded space to a filter. We give two different such constructions. The first one is defined on $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ and is a generalization of Arkhangel'skiĭ-Franklin space. The second one uses inverse limits.

We need some general facts about analytic ideals and topologies.

Theorem 5.1. (*Jalali-Naini, Talagrand [16, Theorem 1, p. 32]*) *Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal over a countable set X containing all finite subsets of X . Suppose \mathcal{I} has the Baire property as a subset of 2^X . Then there is a partition $(K_n)_n$ of X into finite sets such that $\bigcup_{i \in A} K_i \notin \mathcal{I}$ for all infinite $A \subseteq X$.*

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be a countable analytic crowded space and $A \subseteq X$. For each partition $(F_n)_n$ of A into finite sets there is a coarser partition $(E_n)_n$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in M} E_n$ is dense in A for every infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. For each $x \in \overline{A}$, the ideal \mathcal{I}_x (restricted to A) is analytic and thus it has the Baire property. By Theorem 5.1, there is a partition $(G_n^x)_n$ of $A \setminus \{x\}$ into finite sets such that $x \in \overline{\bigcup_{n \in M} G_n^x}$ for

every infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Fix a point $x \in \overline{A}$. Now we define by recursion a new partition $(E_n^x)_n$ with the property for any infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{i \in M} E_i$ accumulates to x .

We will omit the superscript x . Let a_0 be a finite set such that $G_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in a_0} F_n$. Pick n_1 such that $G_{n_1} \cap (\bigcup_{n \in a_0} F_n) = \emptyset$ and let a_1 be a finite set disjoint from a_0 and such that $G_{n_1} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in a_1} F_n$. Then we construct a sequence of disjoint finite sets $(a_i)_i$ and a sequence of integers $(n_i)_i$ such that $G_{n_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in a_i} F_n$ and $G_{n_i} \cap \bigcup_{n \in a_{i-1}} F_n = \emptyset$. Let $(k_i)_i$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_k a_k$. Let $E_i = F_{k_i} \cup \bigcup_{n \in a_i} F_n$. Then $(E_i)_i$ is a partition with the property that for any infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{i \in M} E_i$ accumulates to x .

For each $x \in \overline{A}$, fix a partition $(E_n^x)_n$ (coarser than $(F_n)_n$) as before. The required partition $P = (E_n)_n$ can be constructed recursively so that for each $x \in \overline{A}$, P contains infinitely many of the blocks of the partition $(E_n^x)_n$. \square

5.1. Ideals. We present some example of ideals satisfying some of the properties we have considered. Probably they are known, we have included them to illustrate some of the combinatorial properties and also because we will use them later to construct crowded spaces with similar properties.

Example 5.3. We present an example of a **ws** and not Ramsey ideal. It is well known that $\text{nwd}(\mathbb{Q})^+$ is not Ramsey (see for instance [8]). We include the proof for the sake of completeness. Let $(x_n)_n$ be an enumeration of \mathbb{Q} and define a coloring as follows: $c : \mathbb{Q}^{[2]} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, for $r < q$ in \mathbb{Q} put $c\{q, r\} = 0$ iff $q = x_n, r = x_m$ and $n < m$. Then any homogeneous set for c is the range of a strictly monotone subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ and hence is nowhere dense.

We show that $\text{nwd}(\mathbb{Q})^+$ is **ws**. Let $A \notin \text{nwd}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $(F_n)_n$ be a partition of A with each F_n a **nwd** set. Let $(V_n)_n$ be an enumeration of an open basis for $W = \text{int}(\overline{A})$. By recursion we define a sequence of points $(x_n)_n$ and a sequence of integers $(n_k)_k$. Pick $x_0 \in V_0 \cap A$ and let n_0 be such that $x_0 \in F_{n_0}$. Since $E_0 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_0} F_k$ is **nwd** and $V_1 \cap A$ is not **nwd**, then pick $x_1 \in (V_1 \cap A) \setminus E_0$. Let n_1 be such that $x_1 \in F_{n_1}$. As $E_1 = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_1} F_k$ is **nwd** and $V_2 \cap A$ is not **nwd**, then pick $x_2 \in (V_2 \cap A) \setminus E_1$ and n_2 such that $x_2 \in F_{n_2}$ and so on. The set $S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a selector for $(F_n)_n$ which is dense in W . \square

Example 5.4. The Fubini product $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ of two ideals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} over \mathbb{N} is the ideal over $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$A \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow \{n : \{m : (n, m) \in A\} \notin \mathcal{J}\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ is not \mathbf{p}^- for all ideals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} . In fact, consider the sets $A_n = \{n\} \times \mathbb{N}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then each $A_n \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$, $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} = \bigcup_n A_n$ and for any $S \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $S \cap A_n$ is finite for all n , we have that $S \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$. Thus $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ is not \mathbf{p}^- (see Proposition 3.1).

If \mathcal{J} is not \mathbf{q}^+ , then $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ is not \mathbf{q}^+ for any ideal \mathcal{I} . In fact, let $A \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $(L_m)_m$ be a partition of A into finite sets such that any selector for $(L_m)_m$ belongs to \mathcal{J} . Consider the set $B = \mathbb{N} \times A$. It is clear that $B \notin \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ and $B = \bigcup_{n,m} \{n\} \times L_m$. Let $S \subseteq B$ be such that $S \cap (\{n\} \times L_m)$ is finite for all n and m . We claim that $S \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$. In fact, otherwise there is n such that $S' = \{m : (n, m) \in S\} \notin \mathcal{J}$ and S' is a selector for $(L_m)_m$, which is a contradiction.

We show below in Theorem 5.10 that the converse is also true for analytic ideal, i.e. $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$ is \mathbf{q}^+ , when \mathcal{I} is an analytic \mathbf{q}^+ ideal.

Example 5.5. We recall a well known example of a \mathbf{p}^+ but not \mathbf{q}^+ ideal. We include it for the sake of completeness. Let $(K_n)_n$ be a partition of \mathbb{N} into finite sets such that $|K_n| < |K_{n+1}|$ for all n . Let \mathcal{I} be the collection of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_n |A \cap K_n| < \infty$. Then \mathcal{I} is an ideal and clearly the partition $(K_n)_n$ shows that \mathcal{I} is not \mathbf{q}^+ . It is easy to see that \mathcal{I} is an F_σ ideal and therefore it is \mathbf{p}^+ . \square

5.2. **The space $Seq(\mathcal{F})$.** In this section we present a method to construct non SS spaces. We use a well-known construction of a family of topologies on $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$, following the presentation given in [18]. These topologies are defined using filters \mathcal{F} over \mathbb{N} . Define a topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ over $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ by letting a subset U of $\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ be open if and only if

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \widehat{s}n \in U\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } s \in U.$$

Let $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ denote the space $(\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}, \tau_{\mathcal{F}})$. This space is T_2 , zero dimensional and has no isolated points. Notice that when \mathcal{F} is the filter of co-finite sets, then $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ is homeomorphic to the Arkhanglel'skiĭ-Franklin space Seq and thus Arens space is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{\leq 2}$ as a subspace of Seq . It is also clear that $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ is analytic if \mathcal{F} is analytic (see [20] for more descriptive set theoretic properties of this space). Now we characterize the closure operator of $Seq(\mathcal{F})$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ and put

$$c(A) = A \cup \{s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \widehat{s}n \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}^+\}.$$

We can iterate this operator and define $c_{\alpha}(A)$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$ as follows: $c_{\alpha+1}(A) = c(c_{\alpha}(A))$ and $c_{\lambda}(A) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} c_{\alpha}(A)$ for λ limit. Then

$$\overline{A} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} c_{\alpha}(A).$$

For $s \in \overline{A}$, we define $rk(s, A)$ to be the smallest α such that $s \in c_{\alpha}(A)$.

Lemma 5.6. *For each $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ and every $s \in \overline{A}$, there is $D \subseteq A$ such that $s \in \overline{D}$ and $rk(t, E) \leq rk(s, A)$ for all $E \subseteq D$ and every $t \in \overline{E}$.*

Proof. By induction on $rk(s, A)$. If $rk(s, A) = 0$, then let $D = \{s\}$. Suppose $\alpha = rk(s, A) > 0$ and the conclusion holds for sets with rank smaller than α . By the definition of the rank, we know that

$$H = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \widehat{s}n \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} c_{\beta}(A)\} \in \mathcal{F}^+.$$

Let $A_n = N_{\widehat{s}n} \cap A$ for $n \in H$. Notice that if $n \in H$, then $rk(\widehat{s}n, A) = rk(\widehat{s}n, A_n) < \alpha$. For each $n \in H$, by inductive hypothesis applied to $\widehat{s}n$ and A_n , there is $D_n \subseteq A_n$ such that $\widehat{s}n \in \overline{D_n}$ and $rk(t, E) \leq rk(\widehat{s}n, A_n)$ for all $E \subseteq D_n$ and every $t \in \overline{E}$. Let D be the union of the D_n 's. Then D satisfies the conclusion. □

Theorem 5.7. *$Seq(\mathcal{F})$ is not SS for any filter \mathcal{F} . In particular, $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ is not \mathbf{p}^- .*

Proof. Consider $D_n = \{s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : |s| \geq n\}$. Then each D_n is dense in $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ but for any $F_n \subseteq D_n$ finite, the set $\bigcup_n F_n$ is not dense in $Seq(\mathcal{F})$. □

The previous result implies that, among the properties we are considering, the only ones that $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ could possibly satisfy are sequentiality and \mathbf{q}^+ . When this space is sequential have been already characterized as stated in the following theorem. Recall that a filter \mathcal{F} is *Fréchet* if for all $A \in \mathcal{F}^+$ there is $B \subseteq A$ such that every infinite subset of B belongs to \mathcal{F}^+ .

Theorem 5.8. ([18]) *$Seq(\mathcal{F})$ is sequential if, and only if, \mathcal{F} is Fréchet.*

In [10] were constructed \aleph_1 non homeomorphic spaces of the form $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ with \mathcal{F} a Fréchet analytic filter.

Now we address the question of when $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ is \mathbf{q}^+ .

Theorem 5.9. *Let \mathcal{F} be a analytic filter on \mathbb{N} . Then $Seq(\mathcal{F})$ is a \mathbf{q}^+ if, and only if, \mathcal{F} is \mathbf{q}^+ .*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not \mathbf{q}^+ . We will show that $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F})$ is not \mathbf{q}^+ at \emptyset . Let $A \in \mathcal{F}^+$ and $(F_n)_n$ be a partition of A into finite sets such that every selector belong to \mathcal{F}^* . Let $B = \{\widehat{s}n : n \in A\}$. Clearly $\emptyset \in \overline{B}$ and the corresponding partition $G_m = \{\widehat{s}n : n \in F_m\}$ of B does not have a selector which accumulates to \emptyset .

Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{F} is \mathbf{q}^+ . For each $s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$, let

$$\mathcal{I}_s = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : s \notin \overline{A}\},$$

where the closure is in $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F})$. We need to show that each \mathcal{I}_s is \mathbf{q}^+ . Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$ with $s \in \overline{A}$ and $(F_n)_n$ be a partition of A into finite sets. Let α be a countable ordinal such that $s \in c_\alpha(A)$. We will show, by induction on α , that there is a selector for $(F_n)_n$ in \mathcal{I}_s^+ . If $s \in c(A)$, then $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \widehat{s}n \in A\} \in \mathcal{F}^+$. As $(F_n)_n$ induces a partition of B and \mathcal{F} is \mathbf{q}^+ , there is a selector $S \in \mathcal{F}^+$ for the induced partition. Then $\{\widehat{s}n : n \in S\}$ is the required selector for $(F_n)_n$.

Suppose now that the result holds for every A and every $s \in c_\beta(A)$ with $\beta < \alpha$. Let $s \in c_\alpha(A)$ and $(F_n)_n$ a partition of A . Since the topology of $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F})$ is analytic, then by Proposition 5.2 we can assume that $\bigcup_{k \in M} F_k$ is dense in A for every infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Let $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \widehat{s}n \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} c_\gamma(A)\}$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}^+$. Notice that $\widehat{s}n \in \overline{A \cap N_{\widehat{s}n}}$ and $\widehat{s}n \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} c_\gamma(A \cap N_{\widehat{s}n})$ for every $n \in B$. By Lemma 5.6, by passing to a subset of $A \cap N_{\widehat{s}n}$, we can assume that $\widehat{s}n \in \overline{A \cap N_{\widehat{s}n}}$ and $rk(t, E) \leq rk(\widehat{s}n, A \cap N_{\widehat{s}n}) < \alpha$ for all $E \subseteq A \cap N_{\widehat{s}n}$ and every $t \in \overline{E}$.

Let $(M_n)_{n \in B}$ be a partition of \mathbb{N} into infinite sets. Let $A_n = \bigcup_{k \in M_n} F_k$, for $n \in B$. Since each A_n is dense in A , then $\widehat{s}n \in \overline{A_n}$ for $n \in B$ and by construction, $rk(\widehat{s}n, A_n) < \alpha$. Let P_n be the partition that $(F_m)_{m \in M_n}$ induces on $A_n \cap N_{\widehat{s}n}$. By the inductive hypothesis, for each $n \in B$, there is a selector $S_n \in \mathcal{I}_{\widehat{s}n}^+$ for P_n . Then $\bigcup_{n \in B} S_n$ is a selector of the original partition and it belongs to \mathcal{I}_s^+ . \square

Corollary 5.10. *Let \mathcal{I} be an analytic \mathbf{q}^+ ideal over \mathbb{N} . Then $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$ is \mathbf{q}^+ .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be the dual filter of \mathcal{I} and $X = \mathbb{N}^2 \cup \{\emptyset\}$ as a subspace of $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F})$. Then \emptyset is an accumulation point of X . It is easy to verify that

$$\mathcal{I}_\emptyset = \{A \subseteq X : \emptyset \notin \overline{A}\} = \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}.$$

Since $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F})$ is \mathbf{q}^+ , then so is X and thus $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$ is also \mathbf{q}^+ .

The argument above can be easily modified to show that $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ is \mathbf{q}^+ when both \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are \mathbf{q}^+ . \square

Example 5.11. *Seq is \mathbf{q}^+ (as Fin is \mathbf{q}^+) and it is not SS .*

Example 5.12. *Let \mathcal{F} be a non \mathbf{q}^+ filter (for instance, see Example 5.5). Then by Theorems 5.9 and 5.7, $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F})$ is neither \mathbf{q}^+ nor SS .*

5.3. Inverse limits. In this section we use inverse limits to construct, for each boolean combination of the properties \mathbf{p}^- and \mathbf{q}^+ , a crowded SS^+ analytic space satisfying them. Notice first that the rationals are \mathbf{p}^+ , \mathbf{q}^+ and SS^+ by being first countable.

We recall a construction and some examples of countable spaces presented in [6]. Let Z be a space and $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ continuous. As usual, we denote by $\varprojlim\{Z, f\}$ the inverse limit of the constant sequence $\{Z; f\}$ which is defined by

$$X_\infty = \{(x_n)_n \in Z^\mathbb{N} : x_n = f(x_{n+1}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The projection functions $\pi_n : X_\infty \rightarrow Z$ are defined by $\pi_n(x_m)_m = x_n$.

Theorem 5.13. *Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on \mathbb{N} and $Z = Z(\mathcal{F})$. Let $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous and closed surjection such that $f(\infty) = \infty$. Let $X_\infty = \varprojlim\{Z, f\}$. Then*

- (i) *Every subspace of X_∞ has a countable π -base. In particular, X_∞ is hereditarily SS^+ .*

- (ii) If \mathcal{F} is \mathbf{q}^+ , then every countable subspace of X_∞ is also \mathbf{q}^+ .
- (iii) If \mathcal{F} is \mathbf{p}^+ , then X_∞ is \mathbf{p}^+ .
- (iv) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $x_n \in \pi_1^{-1}(n)$ and let $Y = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{p\}$, where $p \in X_\infty$ is the constant sequence ∞ . Then the map $i : Z \rightarrow X_\infty$ given by $i(n) = x_n$ and $i(\infty) = p$ is an embedding.

Proof. (ii)-(iv) were proven in [6]. To show (i), let $Y \subseteq X_\infty$. Since each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is isolated in Z , then $\{\pi_m^{-1}(n) \cap Y : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a π -base for Y . □

Example 5.14. *There exists a crowded countable analytic space X which is \mathbf{q}^+ , SS^+ and not \mathbf{p}^- .*

The space X will be a subspace of an inverse limit of the form $\varprojlim\{Z, f\}$. We first define the space Z . Consider the following ideal on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$:

$$\text{Fin} \times \text{Fin} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \{m \in \mathbb{N} : (n, m) \in A\} \notin \text{Fin}\} \in \text{Fin}\}.$$

Let $Z = Z(\mathcal{F})$ where \mathcal{F} is the dual filter of $\text{Fin} \times \text{Fin}$. Since $\text{Fin} \times \text{Fin}$ is analytic, then it is clear that the topology of Z is analytic. Z is \mathbf{q}^+ , as $\text{Fin} \times \text{Fin}$ is \mathbf{q}^+ (see Corollary 5.10). Z is not \mathbf{p}^- , as $\text{Fin} \times \text{Fin}$ is not \mathbf{p}^- (see Example 5.4).

Let $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be any function such that:

- (a) $f(\infty) = \infty$;
- (b) $f^{-1}(\{n\} \times \mathbb{N}) = \{n\} \times \mathbb{N}$, for each n , and $f^{-1}((n, m))$ has two points for each $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

It is not difficult to see that f is a continuous, closed and open surjection. Let $X_\infty = \varprojlim\{Z, f\}$. In [6, Example 1] it was shown that every countable subspace of X_∞ has an analytic topology, is \mathbf{q}^+ and SS . By Proposition 5.13, there is an embedding $i : Z \rightarrow X_\infty$ such that $|\pi_1^{-1}(n, m) \cap i(Z)| = 1$, for each $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. By (b), X_∞ has no isolated points, so let $D_{n,m} \subseteq \pi_1^{-1}(n, m)$ be a countable set without isolated points such that $|D_{n,m} \cap i(Z)| = 1$, for each $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Let $X = \bigcup_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} D_{n,m} \cup \{p\}$. Since $i(Z) \subseteq X$ and $i(Z)$ is not \mathbf{p}^- , then X neither is \mathbf{p}^- .

Example 5.15. *There exists a regular crowded space X with a F_σ basis (thus \mathbf{p}^+ and SS^+) which is not \mathbf{q}^+ .*

Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal given in Example 5.5 associated to a partition $(K_n)_n$ of \mathbb{N} . Let $Z = Z(\mathcal{I}^*)$. It is clear that the topology of Z is analytic. It is obvious that Z is not \mathbf{q}^+ . Notice that \mathcal{I} is a F_σ subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$, therefore Z is \mathbf{p}^+ (see Theorem 3.2). Let $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be any surjective function such that:

- (a) $f(\infty) = \infty$;
- (b) $f^{-1}(K_n) = K_{n+1}$, for each n , and $f^{-1}(x)$ has two points for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is not difficult to see that f is a continuous, closed and open map. Let $X_\infty = \varprojlim\{Z, f\}$. In [6, Example 2] it was shown that every countable subspace of X_∞ has a F_σ basis and thus it is SS .

By (b), X_∞ has no isolated points. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $D_n \subseteq \pi_1^{-1}(n)$ be a countable set without isolated points. Let $X = \bigcup_n D_n \cup \{p\}$. By Proposition 5.13, there is an embedding from Z into X , therefore X is not \mathbf{q}^+ .

Example 5.16. *There exists a crowded countable analytic space X which is SS^+ and neither \mathbf{q}^+ nor \mathbf{p}^- .*

Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal given in Example 5.5 associated to a partition $(K_n)_n$ of \mathbb{N} . Then as we have seen $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$ is neither \mathbf{q}^+ nor \mathbf{p}^- . Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an onto map such that $f^{-1}(K_n) = K_{n+1}$, for each n , and $f^{-1}(x)$ has two points for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $Z = Z(\mathcal{J}^*)$. Consider $g : Z \rightarrow Z$ given by $g(n, m) = (n, f(m))$ and $g(\infty) = \infty$. Let $X_\infty = \varprojlim\{Z, g\}$. As in the previous example,

let $D_{(n,m)} \subseteq \pi_1^{-1}((n,m))$ be a countable set without isolated points, for each $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Let $X = \bigcup_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} D_{(n,m)} \cup \{p\}$. By Proposition 5.13, there is an embedding from Z into X . Therefore X is the required space.

5.4. Complexity of $\mathfrak{D}(X)$. We have seen that $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is co-analytic when the topology of X is analytic. The aim of this section is to show that there are examples where the complexity of $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ is co-analytic and not Borel, i.e. it is as high as possible.

Theorem 5.17. *Let \mathcal{F} be an analytic filter. Then $\mathfrak{D}(\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F}))$ is a complete co-analytic set.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{F} is an analytic filter, then the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ is analytic and hence $\mathfrak{D}(\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F}))$ is co-analytic. For simplicity, put $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F}))$. Let Tree be the collection of trees on \mathbb{N} and WFT the collection of well founded trees on \mathbb{N} . It is a classical fact that Tree is a Polish space (as a subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}}$) and WFT is a complete co-analytic set (see [12, Section 32B]). To see that \mathfrak{D} is complete co-analytic we define a Borel reduction of WFT into \mathfrak{D} , that is to say, a Borel map $G : \text{Tree} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}}$ such that T is well founded iff $G(T) \in \mathfrak{D}$. Consider $F : \text{Tree} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}}$ given by

$$F(T) = \{s \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : \exists t \in T [|t| = |s| \ \& \ \forall n < |t|, t(n) \leq s(n)] \}.$$

Let $G : \text{Tree} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}^{<\omega}}$ be given by $G(T) = \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} \setminus F(T)$. We will show that G is the required Borel reduction.

Claim 1: T is a well founded tree iff $F(T)$ is a well founded tree.

Proof: Notice that $F(T)$ is also a tree and $T \subseteq F(T)$. So it remains to show that if $F(T)$ is not well founded, then so is T . Let α be an infinite branch of $F(T)$. Consider

$$S = \{t \in T : t(n) \leq \alpha(n) \text{ for all } n < \text{lh}(t)\}.$$

Then S is a finitely branching subtree of T and moreover S is infinite (as for all n there is $t_n \in T$ such that $t_n \leq \alpha|n$). So S is not well founded. \square

Claim 2: If T is not well founded, then $\text{int}_{\tau_{\mathcal{F}}}(F(T)) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Let α be an infinite branch of $F(T)$ and consider

$$V = \{t \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega} : \alpha(i) \leq t(i) \text{ for all } i < \text{lh}(t)\}.$$

Then $V \subseteq F(T)$ and $V \in \tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ (if $t \in V$ and $|t| = m$, then $\hat{t}j \in V$ for all $j \geq \alpha(m)$). \square

Claim 3: If S is a well founded tree, then $S \in \text{nwd}(\text{Seq}(\mathcal{F}))$.

Proof: Since S is a tree, then it is closed in Seq and therefore it is also $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ -closed. Thus it suffices to check that $\text{int}_{\tau_{\mathcal{F}}}(S) = \emptyset$. But this is obvious, since any $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ basic nbhd inside S will provide an infinite branch in S . \square

Finally, we check that G is the required Borel reduction of WFT into \mathfrak{D} . Clearly F is a Borel function and so is G . Now, if $T \in \text{WFT}$, then by Claim 3, $G(T)$ contains a open dense set. On the other hand, if T is not well founded, by Claim 2, $G(T)$ is not dense. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Barman and A. Dow. Selective separability and SS^+ . *Topology Proc.*, 37:181–204, 2011.
- [2] D. Barman and A. Dow. Proper forcing axiom and selective separability. *Top. and its Appl.*, 159(3):806 – 813, 2012.
- [3] A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, and M. Matveev. Variations of selective separability. *Top. and its Appl.*, 156(7):1241 – 1252, 2009.
- [4] A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M. Matveev, and V. Tkachuk. Selective separability: general facts and behavior in countable spaces. *Topology Proceedings*, 32:15–30, 2008.
- [5] A. Bella. When is a Pixley-Roy hyperspace SS^+ ? *Top. and its Appl.*, 160(1):99 – 104, 2013.

- [6] J. Camargo and C. Uzcátegui. Some topological and combinatorial properties preserved by inverse limits. *Accepted by Mathematica Slovaca*, 2018.
- [7] I. Farah. How many boolean algebras $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/I$ are there? *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 46:999–1033, 2003.
- [8] R. Fillipów, N. Mrozek, I. Reclaw, and P. Szuca. Ideal version of Ramsey’s theorem. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 61(2):289–308, 2011.
- [9] G. Gruenhage and M. Sakai. Selective separability and its variations. *Topology and its Applications*, 158(12):1352 – 1359, 2011.
- [10] F. Guevara and C. Uzcátegui. Fréchet Borel ideals with Borel orthogonal. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 152(1):141–163, 2018.
- [11] M. Hrušák, D. Meza-Alcántara, E. Thümmel, and C. Uzcátegui. Ramsey type properties of ideals. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 168(11):2022–2049, 2017.
- [12] A. S. Kechris. *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [13] N. Samet and B. Tsaban. Superfilters, Ramsey theory, and van der Waerden’s Theorem. *Top. and its Appl.*, 156:2659–2669, 2009.
- [14] M. Scheepers. Combinatorics of open covers VI: Selectors for sequences of dense sets. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 22(1):109–130, 1999.
- [15] A. Shibakov. On sequential analytic groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(9):4087–4096, 2017.
- [16] S. Todorčević. *Topics in Topology*. LNM 1652. Springer, 1997.
- [17] S. Todorčević and C. Uzcátegui. Analytic topologies over countable sets. *Top. and its Appl.*, 111(3):299–326, 2001.
- [18] S. Todorčević and C. Uzcátegui. Analytic k -spaces. *Top. and its Appl.*, 146-147:511–526, 2005.
- [19] S. Todorčević and C. Uzcátegui. A nodec regular analytic space. *Top. and its Appl.*, 166:85–91, 2014.
- [20] C. Uzcátegui. On the complexity of the subspaces of S_ω . *Fund. Math.*, 176:1–16, 2003.

* ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD INDUSTRIAL DE SANTANDER, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CARRERA 27 CALLE 9, BUCARAMANGA, SANTANDER, A.A. 678, COLOMBIA.

E-mail address: `jcamargo@saber.uis.edu.co`

** ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD INDUSTRIAL DE SANTANDER, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CARRERA 27 CALLE 9, BUCARAMANGA, SANTANDER, A.A. 678, COLOMBIA. CENTRO INTERDISCIPLINARIO DE LÓGICA Y ÁLGEBRA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES, MÉRIDA, VENEZUELA.

E-mail address: `cuzcatea@saber.uis.edu.co`