

Explicit solution for a two-phase fractional Stefan problem with a heat flux condition at the fixed face

SABRINA D. ROSCANI AND DOMINGO A. TARZIA
 CONICET - Depto. Matemática, FCE, Univ. Austral,
 Paraguay 1950, S2000FZF Rosario, Argentina
 (sabrinaroscani@gmail.com, dtarzia@austral.edu.ar)

Abstract:

A generalized Neumann solution for the two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem for a semi-infinite material with constant initial temperature and a particular heat flux condition at the fixed face is obtained, when a restriction on data is satisfied. The fractional derivative in the Caputo sense of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ respect on the temporal variable is considered in two governing heat equations and in one of the conditions for the free boundary. Furthermore, we find a relationship between this fractional free boundary problem and another one with a constant temperature condition at the fixed face and based on that fact, we obtain an inequality for the coefficient which characterizes the fractional phase-change interface obtained in Roscani–Tarzia, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 24 (2014), 237-249. We also recover the restriction on data and the classical Neumann solution, through the error function, for the classical two-phase Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem for the case $\alpha = 1$.

Keywords: Caputo Fractional Derivative, Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan Problem, Neumann Solutions, heat flux boundary condition, temperature boundary condition.

1 Introduction

In the last decades the fractional diffusion equation has been extensively studied [8, 17, 19, 21–23, 29] and in the recent years some works on fractional free boundary problems (that is, free boundary problems where a fractional derivative is involved), were published [2, 3, 6, 13, 24–26, 34–36]. In particular, in [14], the classical Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem was studied by using the fractional derivative of order $\frac{1}{2}$.

Recall that free boundary problems for the one-dimensional classical heat equation are problems linked to the processes of melting and freezing, which have a latent heat condition at the solid-liquid interface connecting the velocity of the free boundary and the heat fluxes of the two temperatures corresponding to the solid and liquid phases. This kind

of problems are known in the literature as Stefan problems or, more precisely, as Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problems. We remark that the first work on phase–change problems was done by G. Lamé and B.P. Clapeyron in 1831 [16] by studying the solidification of the Earth planet, which has been missing in the scientific literature for more than a century. Next, sixty years later, the phase–change problem was continued by J. Stefan through several works around year 1890 [30] by studying the melting of the polar ice. For this reason, we call these kind of problems as Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problems or simply by Stefan problems.

Nowadays, there exist thousands of papers on the classical Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem, for example the books [1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12, 18, 28] and the large bibliography given in [32]. Especially, a review on explicit solutions with moving boundaries was given in [33].

In this paper, a generalized Neumann solution for the two–phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem for a semi–infinite domain is obtained when a constant initial data and a Neumann boundary condition at the fixed face are considered. Recently, a generalized Neumann solution for the two–phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem for a semi–infinite domain with constant initial data and a Dirichlet condition at the fixed face was given in [26].

So, the classical time derivative will be replaced by a fractional derivative in the sense of Caputo of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, which is present in the two governing heat equations and in one of the governing conditions for the free boundary. The fractional Caputo derivative is defined in [5] as:

$$D^\alpha f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\alpha} f'(\tau) d\tau, & 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ f'(t), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where Γ is the Gamma function defined in \mathbb{R}^+ by the following expression:

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

It is known that the fractional Caputo derivative verifies that [15]:
For every $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$D^\alpha \text{ is a linear operator in } W^1(0, b) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^1(0, b) : f' \in L^1(0, b)\}, \quad (2)$$

$$D^\alpha(C) = 0 \quad \text{for every constant } C \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

and

$$D^\alpha(t^\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)} t^{\beta-\alpha} \quad \text{for every constant } \beta > -1. \quad (4)$$

Now we define the two functions (Wright and Mainardi functions) which are very important in order to obtain the explicit solution given in the following Sections.

The Wright function is defined in [37] as:

$$W(x; \rho; \beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n! \Gamma(\rho n + \beta)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho > -1, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5)$$

and the Mainardi function, which is a particular case of the Wright functions, is defined in [11] as:

$$M_\rho(x) = W(-x, -\rho, 1 - \rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n}{n! \Gamma(-\rho n + 1 - \rho)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1. \quad (6)$$

Proposition 1. *Some basic properties of the Wright function are the following:*

1. [15] *The Wright function (5) is a differentiable function for every $\rho > -1$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(x; \rho; \beta) = W(x; \rho; \beta + \rho).$$

2. [25] $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} W\left(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1\right) = W\left(-x; -\frac{1}{2}; 1\right) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$, and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} 1 - W\left(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}; 1\right) = 1 - W\left(-x; -\frac{1}{2}; 1\right) = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$.

3. [22] *For all $\alpha, c \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$D^\alpha \left(x^{\beta-1} W(-cx^{-\rho}, -\rho, \beta) \right) = x^{\beta-\alpha-1} W(-cx^{-\rho}, -\rho, \beta - \alpha).$$

4. [25] *For every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $W\left(-x, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)$ is a positive and strictly decreasing function in \mathbb{R}^+ such that $0 < W\left(-x, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) < 1$.*
5. [38] *For every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $\beta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} W\left(-x, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, \beta\right) = 0. \quad (7)$$

In [31] the following classical phase-change problem was studied:

Problem: Find the free boundary $x = s(t)$, and the temperatures $T_s = T_s(x, t)$ and $T_l = T_l(x, t)$ such that the following equations and conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & T_{st} - \lambda_s^2 T_{sxx} = 0, & x > s(t), t > 0, \\
(ii) \quad & T_{lt} - \lambda_l^2 T_{lxx} = 0, & 0 < x < s(t), t > 0 \\
(iii) \quad & s(0) = 0, \\
(iv) \quad & T_s(x, 0) = T_s(\infty, t) = T_i < T_m & x > 0, t > 0, \\
(v) \quad & T_s(s(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\
(vi) \quad & T_l(s(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\
(vii) \quad & k_s T_{sx}(s(t), t) - k_l T_{lx}(s(t), t) = \rho l \dot{s}(t), & t > 0, \\
(viii) \quad & k_l T_{lx}(0, t) = -\frac{q_0}{t^{1/2}}, & t > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\lambda_s^2 = \frac{k_s}{\rho c_s}$, $\lambda_l^2 = \frac{k_l}{\rho c_l}$, k_s , c_s and k_l, c_l are the diffusion, conductivity and specific heat coefficients of the solid and liquid phases respectively, ρ is the common density of mass, l is the latent heat of fusion by unit of mass, T_i is the constant initial temperature, T_m is the melting temperature and q_0 is the coefficient which characterizes the heat flux at the fixed face $x = 0$.

The explicit solution to Problem (8) was obtained in [31] through the error function, when the following restriction is satisfied by data:

$$q_0 > \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\lambda_s \sqrt{\pi}}. \tag{9}$$

In this paper we consider a ‘‘fractional melting problem’’, of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, for the semi-infinite material $x > 0$ with an initial constant ‘‘fractional temperature’’ and a ‘‘fractional heat flux boundary condition’’ at the face $x = 0$. We will use a Caputo derivative operator, which converges to the classical derivative when α tends to 1. The interesting aspect is that for the limit case ($\alpha = 1$) the results obtained for this generalization coincide with the results of the classical case. So, in view of the analogy that exists between the classical case and the fractional one, we make an abuse of language by using terminologies such as ‘‘fractional temperature’’, ‘‘fractional heat equation’’ or ‘‘fractional Stefan condition’’. These terms go hand in hand with the generalization proposed in the sense of operators and we do not pretend to give them a physical approach. It is necessary to point that the physical approach associated to this type of operators is of our current interest, mainly because of the mathematical coherence that the results have together with their convergence to the classical known results.

So, the problem to be studied is the following:

Problem: Find the fractional free boundary $x = r(t)$, defined for $t > 0$, and the fractional temperature $\Theta = \Theta(x, t)$, defined for $x > 0$, $t > 0$, such that the following equations and conditions are satisfied ($0 < \alpha < 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & D_t^\alpha \Theta_s - \lambda_s^2 \Theta_{sxx} = 0, & x > r(t), t > 0, \\
(ii) \quad & D_t^\alpha \Theta_l - \lambda_l^2 \Theta_{lxx} = 0, & 0 < x < r(t), t > 0 \\
(iii) \quad & r(0) = 0, \\
(iv) \quad & \Theta_s(x, 0) = \Theta_s(\infty, t) = T_i < T_m & x > 0, t > 0, \\
(v) \quad & \Theta_s(r(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\
(vi) \quad & \Theta_l(r(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\
(vii) \quad & k_s \Theta_{sx}(r(t), t) - k_l \Theta_{lx}(r(t), t) = \rho l D^\alpha r(t), & t > 0, \\
(viii) \quad & k_l \Theta_{lx}(0, t) = -\frac{q_0}{t^{\alpha/2}}, & t > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Note that the suffix t in the operator D^α denotes that the fractional derivative is taken in the t -variable.

In Section 2, a necessary condition for the coefficient $q_0 > 0$, which characterizes the fractional heat flux boundary condition at the face $x = 0$, is obtained in order to have an instantaneous two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem.

In Section 3, we give a sufficient condition for the coefficient $q_0 > 0$ (which coincides with the necessary condition for q given in Section 2) in order to obtain a generalized Neumann solution for the two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem (10) for a semi-infinite material with a constant initial condition and a fractional heat flux boundary condition at the fixed face $x = 0$. This solution is given as a function of the Wright and Mainardi functions.

Moreover, when $\alpha = 1$, we recover the Neumann solution, through the error function, for the classical two-phase Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem given in [31], when an inequality for the coefficient that characterizes the heat flux boundary condition is satisfied.

In Section 4, we consider two two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problems having a fractional heat flux and a fractional temperature boundary conditions on the fixed face $x = 0$, respectively and a possible equivalence between them is analyzed.

In Section 5, an inequality for the coefficient which characterizes the free boundary of the two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem with a fractional temperature boundary condition given recently in [26], is also obtained.

In Section 6, we recover the results obtained in [25] for the one-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem as a particular case of the present work (see Sections 3 and 4).

2 Necessary condition to obtain an instantaneous two-phase fractional Stefan problem with a heat flux boundary condition at the fixed face

In order to obtain a necessary condition for data to have an instantaneous phase-change process for problem (10) we consider the following fractional heat conduction problem of

order $0 < \alpha < 1$ for the solid phase in the first quadrant with an initial constant temperature and a heat flux boundary condition at $x = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & D_t^\alpha \Theta - \lambda_s^2 \Theta_{xx} = 0, \quad x > 0, t > 0, \\ (ii) \quad & \Theta(x, 0) = T_i, \quad x > 0, \\ (iii) \quad & k_s \Theta_x(0, t) = -\frac{q_0}{t^{\alpha/2}}, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Lemma 1. *We have:*

1. *The solution of the fractional heat problem (11) is given by*

$$\Theta(x, t) = T_i + \frac{q_0 \lambda_s \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_s} W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right), \quad x > 0, t > 0. \quad (12)$$

2. *If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequalities*

$$0 < q_0 \leq \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\lambda_s \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}, \quad (13)$$

then problem (10) is only a fractional heat conduction problem for the initial solid phase. By the contrary, if

$$q_0 > \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\lambda_s \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}, \quad (14)$$

then (14) is a necessary condition for data which ensures an instantaneous fractional phase-change problem (10).

Proof. 1. From Proposition 1 items 1 and 3, and properties (2) and (3), we can state that

$$\Theta(x, t) = a + b \left[1 - W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right], \quad x > 0, t > 0, \quad (15)$$

is a solution to the fractional diffusion equation (11 - i), where a and b are two constants to be determined.

Taking the derivative of (15) with respect to x , by using Proposition 1 item 1, we get

$$\Theta_x(x, t) = \frac{b}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}} M_{\alpha/2}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}\right). \quad (16)$$

From conditions (11 - ii) and (11 - iii), and being $M_{\alpha/2}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}$ and $W\left(0, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) = 1$, we obtain that

$$a = T_i + \frac{q_0 \lambda_s}{k_s} \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2), \quad b = -\frac{q_0 \lambda_s \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_s}, \quad (17)$$

that is, we obtain the expression (12) as a solution to problem (11).

2. From Proposition 1 items 4 and 5, it results that function (12)

$$\Theta(x, t) = T_i + \frac{q_0 \lambda_s \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_s} W \left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right)$$

is a decreasing function in the variable x for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\Theta(\infty, t) = T_i$ is a constant for all $t > 0$. Therefore problem (10) has an instantaneous fractional phase-change problem if and only if the constant temperature at the boundary $x = 0$ is greater than the melting temperature T_m , that is if and only if

$$T_i + \frac{q_0 \lambda_s}{k_s} \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2) > T_m,$$

which is equivalent to have that inequality (14) holds. □

Remark 1. When $\alpha = 1$, the inequality (14) is given by (9) because $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$, which was first established in [31].

2.1 Sufficient condition to obtain an instantaneous two-phase-fractional Stefan problem with a heat flux boundary condition at the fixed face

In this Section, we study a two-phase Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem for the time fractional diffusion equation, of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, with an initial constant temperature and a heat flux boundary condition at the face $x = 0$ given by the differential equations and initial and boundary conditions given in problem (10). Taking into account the result in the previous Section and the method developed in [26], an explicit solution to problem (10) can be obtained. In fact, we have the following result:

Proposition 2. Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14) then there exists an instantaneous fractional phase-change (melting) process and the problem (10) has the generalized Neumann explicit solution given by:

$$\Theta_l(x, t) = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_l} \left[W \left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) - W \left(-\lambda_l \mu_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

$$\Theta_s(x, t) = T_i + (T_m - T_i) \frac{W \left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right)}{W \left(-\mu_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right)} \quad (19)$$

$$r(t) = \mu_\alpha \lambda_s t^{\alpha/2} \quad (20)$$

where the coefficient $\mu_\alpha > 0$ is a solution of the following equation:

$$G_\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} x, \quad x > 0 \quad (21)$$

with

$$G_\alpha(x) = \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{\rho l \lambda_s} M_{\alpha/2}(\lambda x) - \frac{k_s (T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2} F_{2\alpha}(x), \quad (22)$$

$$F_{2\alpha}(x) = \frac{M_{\alpha/2}(x)}{W\left(-x, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_l} > 0. \quad (24)$$

Proof. Following [26], we propose the following solution:

$$\Theta_l(x, t) = A + B \left[1 - W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right] \quad (25)$$

$$\Theta_s(x, t) = C + D \left[1 - W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right] \quad (26)$$

$$r(t) = \mu \lambda_s t^{\alpha/2} \quad (27)$$

where the coefficients A, B, C, D and μ are constants and must be determined. According to the results in the previous Section and the linearity of the fractional derivative, functions Θ_s and Θ_l are solutions of the fractional diffusion equations (10 – i) and (10 – ii), respectively. Starting from conditions (10 – vi) and (10 – viii), we obtain the following system of two equations:

$$T_m = \Theta_l(r(t), t) = A + B \left[1 - W\left(\mu \lambda, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right] \quad (28)$$

$$-\frac{q_0}{t^{\alpha/2}} = k_l \Theta_{l\infty}(0, t) = \frac{B k_l}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}} M_{\alpha/2}(0), \quad (29)$$

from which we obtain:

$$A = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{k_l} \left[1 - W\left(-\mu \lambda_l, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right], \quad B = \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{k_l}. \quad (30)$$

Then, the fractional temperature of the liquid phase is given by (18).

From conditions (10 – iv) and (10 – v) we have the system of equations:

$$T_i = \Theta_s(x, 0) = C + D, \quad (31)$$

$$T_m = \Theta_s(r(t), t) = C + D \left[1 - W \left(-\mu, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \right], \quad (32)$$

and then we have:

$$C = T_i + \frac{T_m - T_i}{W \left(-\mu, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right)}, \quad D = -\frac{T_m - T_i}{W \left(-\mu, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right)}. \quad (33)$$

Therefore, the fractional temperature of the solid phase is given by (19).

In order to determine the coefficient $\mu > 0$ we must consider the fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan condition (10 – *vii*) which, taking into account Proposition 1 and (4), gives us the equation (21).

It was proved in [26] that $F_{2\alpha}(+\infty) = +\infty$, then the function $G_\alpha = G_\alpha(x)$, defined by (43), has the following properties:

$$G_\alpha(0^+) = \frac{q_0 \lambda_l}{\rho l \lambda_s} - \frac{k_s (T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2 \Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})}, \quad G_\alpha(+\infty) = -\infty. \quad (34)$$

From the continuity of G_α (due to Proposition 1 and 4) and (34)), it yields that equation (21) has a solution $\mu_\alpha > 0$ if $G_\alpha(0^+) > 0$ which is verified under condition (14). Then, the solution $\{(18), (19), (20)\}$ holds. □

Remark 2. *The solution of the equation (21) will be unique if we can prove that function G_α is a strictly decreasing function in \mathbb{R}^+ , or equivalently if we can prove that function $F_{2\alpha}$ is an increasing function in \mathbb{R}^+ (taking into account that function $M_{\alpha/2}$ is a decreasing function).*

Function $F_{2\alpha}(x) = \frac{M_{\alpha/2}(x)}{W(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1)}$ is a continuous positive function, which is a quotient of two decreasing functions. Some graphics are presented below:

We can see in the graphics that $F_{2\alpha}$ is an increasing function for every chosen parameter. We wonder if this situation is true for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. A simple computation gives that $F_{2\alpha}$ is an increasing function if and only if


$$[M_{\alpha/2}(x)]^2 - W \left(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \cdot W \left(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \alpha \right) > 0. \quad (35)$$

We have proved in [27] that for every $x > 0$,


$$\Gamma(1 - \alpha) W \left(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 - \alpha \right) > \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) M_{\alpha/2}(x) > W \left(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) > 0, \quad (36)$$

but this is not a sufficient condition to prove (35).

Also, the inequality (35) is a Turán-type inequality for Wright functions of parameter $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \in$



(a) $F_{2\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1/16, 1/8, 1/4, 3/8$ and $1/2$.



(b) $F_{2\alpha}$ for $\alpha = 1/2, 5/8, 7/8, 3/4$ and $15/16$.

$(-1, 0)$. An analogue result for Wright functions with positive parameter was proved in [20], that is, it was proved that

$$[W(x; \alpha, \beta + \alpha)]^2 - W(x; \alpha, \beta)W(x; \alpha, \beta + 2\alpha) \geq 0, \quad \forall x > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$$

So we state the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. The function $F_{2\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $F_{2\alpha}(x) = \frac{M_{\alpha/2}(x)}{W(-x; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1)}$ is an increasing function with $F_{2\alpha}(0^+) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})}$ and $F_{2\alpha}(+\infty) = +\infty$.

Theorem 1. Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14), under the assumption of Conjecture 1, then $\{(18), (19), (20)\}$ is the unique generalized Nuemann similarity-solution to the free boundary problem (10), where μ_α is the unique solution to equation (21).

Remark 3. If (x, t) is in the liquid face ($0 < x < s(t), t > 0$), then $0 < x < \lambda_s \mu t^{\alpha/2}$, or equivalently $0 < \frac{x}{t^{\alpha/2}} < \lambda_s \mu$. Multiplying by λ_l gives $0 < \frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}} < \lambda \mu$. Then, from Proposition 1 item 4 it follows that $W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) - W\left(-\lambda_l \mu_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) > 0$ and therefore the explicit temperature of the liquid phase corresponding to problem (10) satisfy the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_l(x, t) &= T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_l} \left[W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) - W\left(-\lambda_l \mu_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right] \\ &> T_m, \quad 0 < x < r(t), \quad t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Analogously the explicit temperature of the solid phase corresponding to problem (10) satisfy the following inequality

$$T_i < \Theta_s(x, t) < T_m, \quad x > r(t), \quad t > 0. \quad (38)$$

Proposition 3. Let $T_i < T_m$ be. By considering $\alpha = 1$ in Proposition 2, we recover the classical Neumann explicit solution and the inequality (9) for the coefficient which characterized the heat flux at $x = 0$ obtained in [31].

Proof. As it was said in Remark 1, the inequality (9) is recovered because $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$. By the other side,

$$\Theta_l(x, t) = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_l} \left[W \left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{1/2}}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right) - W \left(-\lambda_l \mu_1, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \right] \quad (39)$$

$$\Theta_s(x, t) = T_i + (T_m - T_i) \frac{W \left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{1/2}}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)}{W \left(-\mu_1, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)} \quad (40)$$

$$r(t) = \mu_1 \lambda_s t^{1/2} \quad (41)$$

where the coefficient $\mu = \mu_1 > 0$ is the solution of equation:

$$G_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} x, \quad x > 0 \quad (42)$$

with

$$G_1(x) = \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1/2)}{\rho l \lambda_s} M_{1/2}(\lambda x) - \frac{k_s (T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2} F_2(x), \quad (43)$$

$$F_2(x) = \frac{M_{1/2}(x)}{W \left(-x, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)} \quad (44)$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_l} > 0. \quad (45)$$

Taking into account that $\Gamma(3/2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$, $M_{1/2}(x) = e^{-(x/2)^2}$ and that $W \left(-x, -\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right) = \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)$ (see [25]), it results that

$$\Theta_s^1(x, t) = T_i + (T_m - T_i) \frac{\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}} \right)}{\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{2} \right)}, \quad (46)$$

$$\Theta_l^1(x, t) = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \sqrt{\pi}}{k_l} \left[\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{1/2}} \right) - \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{\lambda \mu_1}{2} \right) \right], \quad (47)$$

$$r_1(t) = \mu_1 \lambda_s \sqrt{t}, \quad (48)$$

where $\mu_1 > 0$ is the solution of the equation:

$$\frac{q_0}{\rho l \lambda_s} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2 x^2}{4}\right) - \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)}{\operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} = \frac{x}{2}, \quad x > 0 \quad (49)$$

or equivalently, $\frac{\mu_1}{2}$ is a solution of the equation:

$$\frac{q_0}{\rho l \lambda_s} \exp(-\lambda^2 x^2) - \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\exp(-x^2)}{\operatorname{erfc}(x)} = x, \quad x > 0. \quad (50)$$

Therefore, the tender $\{\Theta_s^1(x, t), \Theta_l^1(x, t), r_1(t)\}$, where $\mu_1/2$ is the solution of the equation (50), is the solution of the problem (8) given in [31]. □

Theorem 2. . *Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14) and the Conjecture 1 holds, then the similarity-solution to the problem (10) converges to the similarity-solution to the classical Lamé-Clapeyron-Stefan problem (8) when $\alpha \rightarrow 1^-$.*

2.2 Two-phase fractional Stefan problems with a heat flux and a temperature boundary condition at the fixed face admitting the same similarity solution

Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14), then the solution of the problem (10) is given by (18), (19) and (20) where μ_α is a solution of the equation (21). In this case, we can compute the liquid temperature Θ_l at the fixed face $x = 0$, which is given by:

$$\Theta_l(0^+, t) = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_l} \left[1 - W\left(-\lambda \mu_\alpha; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) \right] > T_m, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (51)$$

Since this temperature is greater than T_m the melting temperature and it is constant for all positive time, we can consider the following fractional free boundary problem:

Problem: Find the free boundary $x = s(t)$, defined for $t > 0$, and the temperature $T = T(x, t)$, defined for $x > 0, t > 0$ such that the following equations and conditions are satisfied ($0 < \alpha < 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad & D_t^\alpha T_s - \lambda_s^2 T_{sxx} = 0, & x > s(t), t > 0, \\
(ii) \quad & D_t^\alpha T_l - \lambda_l^2 T_{lxx} = 0, & 0 < x < s(t), t > 0 \\
(iii) \quad & s(0) = 0, \\
(iv) \quad & T_s(x, 0) = T_s(+\infty, t) = T_i < T_m & x > 0, t > 0, \\
(v) \quad & T_s(s(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\
(vi) \quad & T_l(s(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\
(vii) \quad & k_s T_{sx}(s(t), t) - k_l T_{lx}(s(t), t) = \rho l D_t^\alpha s(t), & t > 0, \\
(viii) \quad & T(0, t) = T_0, & t > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where the imposed temperature T_0 at the fixed face $x = 0$ is greater than the melting temperature, that is $T_0 > T_m$. The problem (52) was recently solved in [26] and the solution is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_m < T_l(x, t) &= T_m + (T_0 - T_m) \frac{W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) - W\left(-\lambda \xi_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)}{1 - W\left(-\lambda \xi_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)} \\
&= T_0 - (T_0 - T_m) \frac{1 - W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_l t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)}{1 - W\left(-\lambda \xi_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)}, \quad 0 < x < s(t), \quad t > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i < T_s(x, t) &= T_i + (T_m - T_i) \frac{W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)}{W\left(-\xi_\alpha, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)} = \\
&= T_m - (T_m - T_i) \left[1 - \frac{W\left(-\frac{x}{\lambda_s t^{\alpha/2}}, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)}{W\left(-\xi_\alpha; \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)} \right] < T_m, \quad x > s(t), t > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

$$s(t) = \xi_\alpha \lambda_s t^{\alpha/2} \tag{55}$$

where the coefficient $\xi = \xi_\alpha > 0$ is a solution of the following equation:

$$F_\alpha(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} x, \quad x > 0 \tag{56}$$

with

$$F_\alpha(x) = \frac{k_l(T_0 - T_m)}{\rho l \lambda_s \lambda_l} F_{1\alpha}(\lambda x) - \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2} F_{2\alpha}(x), \tag{57}$$

$$F_{1\alpha}(x) = \frac{M_{\alpha/2}(x)}{1 - W\left(-x, -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)} \tag{58}$$

and $F_{2\alpha}$ was defined in (44).

Proposition 4. *Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14) then both free boundary problems (10) and (52) with data T_0 given by*

$$T_0 = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_l} \left[1 - W \left(-\lambda \mu_a l; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \right] \quad (59)$$

admit the same similarity solutions.

Proof. Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14) then the solution of the free boundary problem (10) is given by (18)–(20), where the coefficient μ_α is a solution of equation (21). In this case, the temperature at the fixed face $x = 0$ is given by (51) and therefore we can now consider the free boundary problem (52) with data (52 – vii) at the fixed face $x = 0$, where T_0 is defined by (51). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(\mu_\alpha) &= \frac{k_l(T_0 - T_m)}{\rho l \lambda_s \lambda_l} F_{1\alpha}(\lambda \mu_\alpha) - \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2} F_{2\alpha}(\mu_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{q_0 \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{\rho l \lambda_s} \left[1 - W \left(-\lambda \mu_\alpha; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \right] F_{1\alpha}(\lambda \mu_\alpha) - \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2} F_{2\alpha}(\mu_\alpha) \quad (60) \\ &= \frac{q_0 \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{\rho l \lambda_s} M_{\alpha/2}(\lambda \mu_\alpha) - \frac{k_s(T_m - T_i)}{\rho l \lambda_s^2} F_{2\alpha}(\mu_\alpha) = G_\alpha(\mu_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can affirm that μ_α is a solution to (21) if and only if μ_α is a solution to (56). Therefore, we have solutions given by (53)–(55) and (18)–(20) to problems (52) and (10) respectively, where the coefficient $\xi_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$.

Clearly, for every $0 < \alpha < 1$, it results that $r(t) = s(t)$ for all $t > 0$. Moreover $T_s(x, t) = \Theta_s(x, t)$ and $T_l(x, t) = \Theta_l(x, t)$, and the thesis holds. \square

Theorem 3. *Let $T_i < T_m$ be. If the coefficient q_0 satisfies the inequality (14), under the assumption of conjecture 1, then the free boundary problem (10) is equivalent to the free boundary problem (52), in the sense of similarity solutions, with data T_0 given by:*

$$T_0 = T_m + \frac{q_0 \lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}{k_l} \left[1 - W \left(-\lambda \mu_\alpha; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 \right) \right]. \quad (61)$$

Proof. If the Conjecture 1 is true, then equation (56) admits a unique positive solution.

2.3 Inequality for the coefficient which characterizes the free boundary for the two-phase fractional Stefan problem with a temperature boundary condition at the fixed face

Now, we consider problem (52) with data $T_i < T_m < T_0$, whose solution given by (53)–(56) has been recently obtained in [26].

Theorem 4. *The coefficient ξ_α which characterizes the phase-change interface (55) of the free boundary problem (52) verifies the inequality*

$$1 - W\left(-\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_l}\xi_\alpha; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right) < \frac{T_0 - T_m}{T_m - T_i} \frac{k_l \lambda_s}{k_s \lambda_l}. \quad (62)$$

Proof. If we consider the solution (53)-(56) of the free boundary problem (52) where the coefficient $\xi_\alpha > 0$ is a solution of the equation (56) for data $T_0 > T_m$, then, by taking into account Proposition 1, we have that the corresponding coefficient q_0 (which characterizes the heat flux boundary condition (10 – viii) on the fixed face $x = 0$) is given by:

$$q_0 = \frac{T_0 - T_m}{1 - W\left(-\lambda\mu_\alpha; -\frac{\alpha}{2}, 1\right)} \frac{k_l}{\lambda_l \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}. \quad (63)$$

and then we can compute the coefficient q_0 . Therefore, the inequality (14) for q_0 is transformed in the inequality (62) for the coefficient ξ_α defined in [26], and therefore the result holds. \square

Remark 4. *If we consider $\alpha = 1$ in the inequality (62) we obtain the inequality*

$$\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_l} \frac{\mu_1}{2}\right) < \frac{T_0 - T_m}{T_m - T_i} \frac{k_l \lambda_s}{k_s \lambda_l} \quad (64)$$

given in [31] for the Neumann solution for the classical two-phase Stefan problem.

3 The One-Phase Fractional Stefan Problem

In [25], the following two one-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problems were studied:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & D_t^\alpha \Theta - \lambda^2 \Theta_{xx} = 0, & 0 < x < r(t), t > 0 \\ (ii) \quad & r(0) = 0, \\ (iii) \quad & \Theta(r(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\ (iv) \quad & -k\Theta_x(r(t), t) = \rho l D_t^\alpha r(t), & t > 0, \\ (v) \quad & k\Theta_x(0, t) = -\frac{q_0}{t^{\alpha/2}}, & t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & D_t^\alpha T - \lambda^2 T_{xx} = 0, & 0 < x < s(t), t > 0 \\ (ii) \quad & s(0) = 0, \\ (iii) \quad & T(s(t), t) = T_m, & t > 0, \\ (iv) \quad & -kT_x(s(t), t) = \rho l D_t^\alpha s(t), & t > 0, \\ (v) \quad & kT_x(0, t) = T_0, & t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where $\lambda^2 = \frac{k}{\rho c}$. These two problems can be considered as particular cases of the free boundary problems (10) and (52) respectively.

Corollary 1. *The results given in [25] for the one-phase fractional Stefan problems (65) and (66) can be recovered by taking $T_i = T_m$ in the free boundary problems (10) and (52) respectively.*

Proof. It is sufficient to observe that the inequality (14) is automatically verified if we take $T_i = T_m$ because $q_0 > 0$. Then the two free boundary problems (65) and (66) are equivalent respect on similarity solutions.

4 Conclusions

- We have obtained a generalized Neumann solution for the two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem for a semi-infinite material with a constant initial condition and a heat flux boundary condition on the fixed face $x = 0$, when a restriction on data is satisfied. The explicit solution is given through the Wright and Mainardi functions.
- When $\alpha = 1$, we have recovered the Neumann solution through the error function for the corresponding classical two-phase Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem given in [31]. We also recover the inequality for the corresponding coefficient that characterizes the heat flux boundary condition at $x = 0$.
- We have proposed a conjecture, from which it can be proved the equivalence between the two-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problems with a heat flux and a temperature boundary conditions on the fixed face $x = 0$ for similarity solutions. Moreover, an inequality for the coefficient which characterizes the free boundary given in [26] was obtained.
- We have recovered the results obtained in [25] for the one-phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem as a particular case of the present work by taking $T_i = T_m$.

5 Acknowledgements

The present work has been sponsored by the Projects PIP N° 0275 from CONICET–Univ. Austral, and ANPCyT PICTO Austral N°0090 (Rosario, Argentina). The result of the Proposition 2 was communicated by the second author in the 1st Pan–Anamerican Congress on Computational Mechanics (Buenos Aires, 22–29 April 2015).

References

- [1] V. Alexiades and A. D. Solomon. *Mathematical Modelling of Melting and Freezing Processes*. Hemisphere, 1993.

- [2] C. Atkinson. Moving boundary problems for time fractional and composition dependent diffusion. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, 15(2):207–221, 2012.
- [3] M. Błasik and M. Klimek. Numerical solution of the one phase 1D fractional Stefan problem using the front fixing method. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 38(15):3214–3228, 2015.
- [4] J. R. Cannon. *The One-Dimensional Heat Equation*. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [5] M. Caputo. Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent. II. *Geophysical Journal International*, 13:529–539, 1967.
- [6] A. N. Ceretani and D. A. Tarzia. Determination of two unknown thermal coefficients through an inverse one-phase fractional Stefan problem. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, 20(2):399–421, 2017.
- [7] J. Crank. *Free and Moving Boundary Problems*. Clarendon Press, 1984.
- [8] S. D. Eidelman, S. D. Ivasyshen, and A. N. Kochubei. *Analytic Methods in the Theory of Differential and Pseudo-Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. Birkhäuser Verlag, 2004.
- [9] C. M. Elliott and J. R. Ockendon. *Weak and Variational Methods for Moving Boundary Problems*, volume 59. Pitman, London, 1982.
- [10] A. Fasano. Mathematical models of some diffusive processes with free boundary. *MAT-Serie A*, 11:1–128, 2005.
- [11] R. Gorenflo, Y. Luchko, and F. Mainardi. Analytical properties and applications of the Wright function. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, 2(4):383–414, 1999.
- [12] S. C. Gupta. *The Classical Stefan Problem. Basic Concepts, Modelling and Analysis*. Elsevier, 2003.
- [13] L. Junyi and X. Mingyu. Some exact solutions to stefan problems with fractional differential equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 351:536–542, 2009.
- [14] L.P. Kholpanov, Z.E. Zaklev, and V.A. Fedotov. Neumann-Lamé-Clapeyron-Stefan Problem and its solution using Fractional Differential-Integral Calculus. *Theoretical Foundations of Chemical Engineering*, 37:113–121, 2003.
- [15] A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava, and J. Trujillo. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Vol. 204 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. Elsevier Science B. V., 2006.

- [16] G. Lamé and B. P. Clapeyron. Mémoire sur la solidification par refroidissement d'un globe liquide. *Annales de Chimie et de Physique 2^e série*, 47:250–256, 1831.
- [17] Y. Luchko, F. Mainardi, and G. Pagnini. The fundamental solution of the space–time fractional diffusion equation. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, 4(2):153–192, 2001.
- [18] V. J. Lunardini. *Heat Transfer with Freezing and Thawing*. Elsevier, 1991.
- [19] F. Mainardi. *Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity*. Imperial College Press, 2010.
- [20] K. Mehretz. Functional inequalities for the wright functions. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 28(2):130–144, 2017.
- [21] Y. Povstenko. *Linear Fractional Diffusion–wave Equation for Scientists and Engineers*. Springer, 2015.
- [22] A. V. Pskhu. *Partial Differential Equations of Fractional Order (in Russian)*. Nauka, Moscow, 2005.
- [23] A. V. Pskhu. The fundamental solution of a diffusion-wave equation of fractional order. *Izvestiya: Mathematics*, 73(2):351–392, 2009.
- [24] A. K. S. Rajeev and A. K. Singh. Homotopy analysis method for a fractional Stefan problem. *Nonlinear Science Letters A*, 8(1):50–59, 2017.
- [25] S. Roscani and E. Santillan Marcus. Two equivalent Stefan's problems for the time–fractional diffusion equation. *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, 16(4):802–815, 2013.
- [26] S. Roscani and D. Tarzia. A generalized Neumann solution for the two–phase fractional Lamé–Clapeyron–Stefan problem. *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, 24(2):237–249, 2014.
- [27] S. Roscani and D. Tarzia. Two different fractional Stefan problems which are convergent to the same classical Stefan problem. Available in <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.07620>, 2017.
- [28] L. I. Rubinstein. *The Stefan Problem*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 27, American Mathematical Society, 1971.
- [29] K. Sakamoto and M. Yamamoto. Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion–wave equations and applications to some inverse problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 382:426–447, 2011.

- [30] J. Stefan. Über einige probleme der theorie der Wärmeleitung. *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche classe*, 98:473–484, 1889.
- [31] D. A. Tarzia. An inequality for the coefficient σ of the free boundary $s(t) = 2\sigma\sqrt{t}$ of the Neumann solution for the two-phase Stefan problem. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 39:491–497, 1981.
- [32] D. A. Tarzia. A bibliography on moving–free boundary problems for the heat diffusion equation. the Stefan and related problems. *MAT–Serie A*, 2:1–297, 2000.
- [33] D. A. Tarzia. *Explicit and Approximated Solutions for Heat and Mass Transfer Problems with a Moving Interface*, chapter 20, Advanced Topics in Mass Transfer, pages 439–484. Prof. Mohamed El-Amin (Ed.), Intech, Rijeka, 2011.
- [34] D. A. Tarzia. Determination of one unknown thermal coefficient through the one-phase fractional Lamé-Clapeyron-Stefan problem. *Applied Mathematics*, 6:2182–2191, 2015.
- [35] V. R. Voller. Fractional Stefan problems. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 74:269–277, 2014.
- [36] V. R. Voller, F. Falcini, and R. Garra. Fractional Stefan problems exhibiting lumped and distributed latent–heat memory effects. *Physical Review E*, 87:042401, 2013.
- [37] E. M. Wright. On the coefficients of power series having exponential singularities. *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, 8:71–79, 1933.
- [38] E. M. Wright. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized bessel funtion. *Proceedings of the London mathematical society (2)*, 38:257–270, 1934.