

REVIEW

Digital Transformation in Airport Ground Operations

Ivan Kovynyov¹ and Ralf Mikut^{2*}

*Correspondence:
ralf.mikut@kit.edu

²Institute for Automation and Applied Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

How has digital transformation changed airport ground operations? Although the relevant peer-reviewed literature emphasizes the role of cost savings as a key driver behind digitalization of airport ground operations, the focus is on data-driven, customer-centric innovations. This paper argues that ground handling agents are deploying new technologies mainly to boost process efficiency and to cut costs. Our research shows that ground handling agents are embracing current trends to craft new business models and develop new revenue streams. In this paper, we examine the ground handling agent's value chain and identify areas that are strongly affected by digital transformation and those that are not. We discuss different business scenarios for digital technology and link them with relevant research, such as automated service data capturing, new digital services for passengers, big data, indoor navigation, and wearables in airport ground operations. We assess the maturity level of discussed technologies using NASA technology readiness levels.

Keywords: Digitalization; Ground handling; Business process automation; Big data; Digital services; Technologies for improving network efficiency; Air transport; IT technologies for transport data collection and analysis

1 Introduction

Digital transformation has been described in various industries, such as travel [1], tourism [2], medical [3], insurance [4], consumer [5], high-tech [6], energy [7, 8], public sector [9], and education [10]. It appears, however, that there are a paucity of published in-depth, systematic analyses that examine airport ground operations; although it might have relevant potential for more efficient and sustainable processes (e.g., by reduced fuel consumption [11]) and an improve customer experience [12]. The objective of this paper is to fill this gap by providing a resource that contains a comprehensive review of major research directions, methods and applications focused on the digital transformation of airport ground operations.

Our findings are organized as follows: First, we construct a working definition of the term digital transformation. Second, we provide an overview of an airport ground operations value chain. Next, we present a comprehensive collection of references that consider the digital transformation of airport ground operations, classifying these papers according to their respective business processes within the value chain. We discuss a real business scenario of integrated invoicing at Swissport International Ltd. We conclude this paper by highlighting key observations and offering recommendations for future research.

2 A working definition of digital transformation

Digital transformation, also referred to as digitalization [13], has become a very popular term in academia and industry, yet it lacks a clear definition. In our research, we attempted to draw a clear boundary between digital transformation and simple application of information and communication technology (ICT). To this purpose, we developed a working definition and used this to select papers for our review.

New digital technologies are seen as a key driver of digital transformation, but there is no consensus about the impact of digital transformation on business. Some researchers argue that digital transformation uses technology to improve the performance of enterprises [14] and to enable major business improvements [15]. Other papers suggest that digital transformation facilitates changes in business models, provides new revenue opportunities [13], and creates new digital businesses [16]. For this paper, we decided to use a definition that reflects the general tenor of the current debate. We defined digital transformation as *the use of new digital technologies, such as cloud, mobile, big data, social media and connectivity technologies, to improve customer experience, streamline operations or create new business models.*

We did not review papers that are related to, though not strictly part of, digital transformation unless they contained at least one direct application of new digital technologies – either to improve customer experience, streamline operations or to create new business models. Returning to the definition, let us now look at each of four main elements that we considered:

Usage of new digital technologies: Current industry publications agree that major technologies accelerating digital transformation are cloud, mobile, big data, social media, and connectivity, especially smart sensors and internet of things [17, 14]. In our research, we relied on the following definitions of these technologies: Cloud computing provides shared computer processing resources on demand [18, 19]. Mobile technology involves using mobile devices to access mobile applications, data, and to communicate [17]. Big data are high-volume, high-velocity and high-variety data available in structured and unstructured form that require new ways of information processing for enhanced analyses and decision making [20]. Social media cover technologies that facilitate social interactions and are enabled by Internet or mobile device, such as wikis, blogs, social networks, and web conferencing [17]. Smart sensors are inexpensive wireless sensors of small size with an on-board microprocessor [21].

Improving customer experience: Customer service has changed and shifted towards digital self-service, such as self-service banking (funds transfer, account history, bill payments), self-service gasoline stations, self-service scanning and check-out lanes at grocery stores, electronic voting, and self-service check-in kiosks at airports [22]. Self-service technology offers a broad set of benefits to consumers: it is available outside of regular business hours, saves time, protects privacy, and other benefits. Businesses invest in self-service technology to reduce costs and free up workers from routine transactions [22]. Despite these various benefits, the current literature has raised concerns about technology-based self-service: self-service simply shifts the work to the customer, reduces employment opportunities, eliminates both customer choice and human contact [23], and is often inaccessible for elderly and disabled consumers [24]. In this review, we provided some examples

of self-service technologies in airport ground operations. We have paid particular attention to concerns associated with using these technologies.

Streamlining operations: Companies historically have used automation to make processes more efficient and reliable, such as in the areas of enterprise resource planning [25, 26], manufacturing, research and development. Presently, process automation is often used in context of digital transformation. Industry publications report that companies drive digital transformation by automating internal processes [14, 27]. Is this just another case of *déjà vu*? Earlier papers claim that process automation leads to fundamental changes in the business [28]. More recent publications argue that digital transformation has impacts beyond simply the automation of existing tasks and activities: it provides new digital services and creates new business processes. Unlike automation (modernization) in the past decades, which has focused on technology application and management, current digital transformation addresses the effects and implications of technological change [29]. In this review, we included scientific papers that proposed how to embrace digital transformation and industry publications, showing how companies respond to digital transformation by automating internal processes. We did not consider papers reporting simple application of ICT technology in process automation, such as automating workflows, going paperless or simply adding a digital representation of written information (digitizing information), or reducing human factors.

New business models: Companies apply new technologies not only to their core business, but use them to find new profit pools [27, 30]. In this context, digital transformation is often used as a framework with digital disruption. Digital disruption is a technological innovation that exerts a negative impact on existing industries and creates new business opportunities [30]. The literature reports several examples of how digital disruption has changed media [31, 32], financial [33, 34], and consumer [35] industries in the past decades.


3 Value chain of airport ground operations

Airport ground operations, also referred to as ground handling, cover those services required by an airline between landing and take-off of the aircraft, such as marshaling of aircraft, (un)loading, refueling, cleaning, catering, baggage handling, passenger handling, cargo handling, aircraft maintenance, and aviation security services. In Figure 1, the role of the ground handling agent is shown within the scope of normal airport procedures for passenger service. The services are grouped by landside (prior to clearing security) and airside (after clearing security). The value contribution of ground handling agents within the overall aviation value chain can be summarized as preparing the aircraft from its ground-time until the next flight.

In Figure 2, we present a value chain [37, 38] that illustrates the key processes. These processes provide service to the customer. The value chain can be divided into five core processes for airport ground handling operations.

Core business processes relate directly to the creation and delivery of ground handling services and reflect the essential functions of any ground handling agent:


- Passenger handling consists of the arrival (passenger de-boarding, transfer, baggage delivery, lost & found services, arrival lounge) and departure processes (ticketing and reservation, check-in, waiting area, lounge, boarding).



- Aircraft preparation involves parking, (un)loading, load control, fueling, push-back and towing, deicing and others.
- Baggage handling covers, for instance, baggage drop-off, x-raying, sorting, load planning, loading and transportation.
- Cargo handling consists of such processes as (un)loading, customs clearance, x-raying, storage and others.

Supporting processes facilitate execution of core processes:

- Planning & scheduling process involves subprocesses like demand planning, shift planning, rostering (i.e. scheduling periods of duty and assignment of employees to particular shifts), daily personnel disposition and task scheduling.
- Human resources (HR) management and training deals with employee recruitment, training demand planning, training preparation and delivery, quality assurance, payroll and dismissal.
- Commercial processes cover negotiations of prices, tariffs and service level agreements (SLA), customer relationship management and contract entry.
- Financial processes typically involve subprocesses such as service data capturing, invoicing, financial accounting (accounts payable, accounts receivable, balance sheet, asset accounting), financial statements, management accounting, and treasury.
- Management processes include target setting, monitoring, reporting of key performance indicators (KPI), incentives, and leadership.



- Procurement process focuses on buying ground support equipment (GSE) and consulting services.
- IT process consists of solution delivery, service operations and support, and controlling.

4 Applications

The papers for this section were selected based on our working definition of digital transformation, which we described previously. We categorized each paper according to the process of the ground handler’s value chain involved (see Table 1 for core processes and Table 2 for supporting processes).

For the sake of simplicity, passenger arrival and passenger departure are referred to as passenger handling.

We carried out the search for papers using a wide range of electronic libraries across the world, electronic journal collections, general web searches, communication with authors and interviews with industry experts (airlines, airports, ground handling companies).

Based on our interviews, we identified further examples of digital transformation in airport ground operations; however, we were unable to locate papers relevant to these scenarios. We have included these examples in Table 1 and Table 2, and introduced selected scenarios in detail in this section.

4.1 Passenger Handling

Self-service check-in kiosks are computer terminals for passenger check-in which remove the need for ground staff (Fig. 3). Previously, airlines had only dedicated kiosks for their own passengers; today, kiosks share check-in applications for multiple airlines [48]. Shared kiosks bring several benefits: airports can better utilize the limited space in airport terminals, airlines eliminate capital spending, since they buy these services directly from a ground handling agent, ground handling agents can cut operating costs by engaging fewer ground staff for passenger check-in, and passengers can save time as they can use any kiosk instead of having to search

Table 1 Key areas of digital transformation of airport ground operations (core processes)

Business process	Business scenario	CUS	OPS	BIZ	Authors
Passenger handling	Indoor navigation	*		*	Darvishy et al. [39], Fallah et al. [40], Hat el al. [41], Odijk and Kleijer [42], Radaha et al. [43]
	Digital processing of irregularity vouchers	*	*		McCollough et al. [44]
	Self-service check-in kiosks	*	*		Abdelaziz et al. [45], Castillo-Manzano et al. [46], Chang and Yang [47], Howes [48], Ku and Chen [49], Liljander et al. [50], Wittmer [51]
	Self-boarding	*	*		Jaffer and Timbrell [52]
	Smart wheelchairs	*	*		Berkvens et al. [53], Morales et al. [54], Romero et al. [55]
	Smart wearables	*	*		n.a.
	Biometric services	*	*		Oostveen et al. [56], Palmer and Hurrey [57], Sumner [58], Sasse [59], Scherer and Ceschi [60]
Baggage handling	RFID baggage tags	*	*		Berrada and Salih-alj [61], Bite [62], Datta et al. [63], DeVries [64], Mishra and Mishra [65], Zhang et al. [66]
	Automated baggage drop-off	*	*		Jaffer and Timbrell [52], Wittmer [51]
	Digital bag tags	*	*		n.a.
	Self-tagging	*	*		n.a.
	Lost luggage kiosks	*	*		n.a.
	Real-time luggage tracking	*	*		Sennou et al. [67]
	Advanced analytics	*	*		n.a.
Lounge services	Lounge access gates	*	*		n.a.
	New lounge ticket types	*		*	n.a.

* Business scenario addresses: CUS = improving customer experience, OPS = streamlining operations, BIZ = creating new business models.

Table 2 Key areas of digital transformation of airport ground operations (supporting processes)

Business process	Business scenario	CUS	OPS	BIZ	Authors
Planning & Scheduling	Automated centralized planning		*		Herbers [68], Herbers and Kutschka [69], Ernst et al. [70], Ip et al. [71], Ernst et al. [72], Dowling et al. [73], Mason and Ryan [74], Stolletz and Zamorano [75], Brusco et al. [76], Stolletz [77], Chu [78], Dorndorf [79], Keller and Kruse [80]
	De-peaking		*		Kisseleff and Luethi [81], Luethi and Nash [82]
	Shift trading		*	*	n.a.
GSE management	Automated GSE scheduling and routing		*		Padron et al. [83], Norin et al. [84], Kuhn and Loth [85]
HR & Training	Digital employee profiles		*		n.a.
	Web-based training		*		n.a.
	Integrated employee life-cycle management		*		n.a.
	Mobile apps and social-media for corporate communications		*		n.a.
Financial process	Integrated invoicing		*		Kovynyov et al. [86, 36]
Management process	Integrated KPI reporting		*		Schmidberger et al. [87]
IT process	Digital workplace and virtualization		*		n.a.

* Business scenario addresses: CUS = improving customer experience, OPS = streamlining operations, BIZ = creating new business models.

for dedicated kiosks. Ground handling agents install self-service check-in facilities and promote them by engaging floor-walkers to assist passengers in the land-side of check-in areas [51].



Figure 3 Self-service check-in at Zurich airport (Photo courtesy Swissport)

Self-boarding: Quick boarding gates allow passengers to self-scan the boarding pass at the gate. After the boarding pass has been verified, the gates are released and the passenger can proceed to the aircraft. In this arrangement, ground staff are not involved into the passenger boarding and can focus on supervisory tasks or special cases. This helps reduce the need for ground staff, and ground handling agents are able to further reduce operating costs. Current literature argues that self-boarding has a positive impact on customer satisfaction, because it significantly reduces processing times at the gate [52]. Quick boarding gates also record various operational data which can offer more insight into customer behavior or efficiency of the boarding process, such as processing times by flight and gate, passenger groups using boarding gates, and passenger distribution over the time.

Indoor navigation: Many recently published papers study indoor navigation in airport terminals [41], indoor navigation for passengers with reduced mobility [39], for transit passengers [43], and location-based services at airports [42]. An overview of the development of this technology can be traced through earlier review papers [40]. Currently, ground handling agents provide special assistance services for elderly passengers, those with reduced mobility, and unaccompanied minors. The impact of indoor navigation on this aspect of the business has not yet been described in the literature. Indoor navigation technologies have the potential to disrupt the market for airport assistance services, if passengers adopt this technology and instead use a mobile device to navigate, rather than booking special assistance services.

Smart wheelchairs: Recent papers report some applications of autonomous, self-driving GSE vehicles [88]. Further applications of autonomous driving technologies are reported in automobile, truck, public transportation, industrial and military

services [89]. So far, we have not found any papers on self-driving vehicles within airport terminals. However, we believe that providing self-driving cars within the airport terminal can open up new possibilities in the special assistance of passengers with reduced mobility. Currently, ground staff drive passengers using golf-carts or in wheelchairs through the airport terminal. We believe that ground handling agents can reduce the amount of ground staff employed by using self-driving, electrically powered wheelchairs or golf-carts with manual and visual control systems [55].

Digital processing of irregularity vouchers: Irregularity vouchers are free cash vouchers for meals, hotel accommodation, airport transfers, and bag replacement provided to passengers by an airline (or ground handling agent on behalf of the airline) in case of a flight delay. The vouchers are usually personalized. The vouchers are redeemed from the airline and are for either pre-arranged services or a maximum indicated value. Usually, airlines have a preexisting agreement with specific hotels regarding accommodation and rates. For meals, vouchers are in many cases presented to multiple vendors and usually include a maximum redemption value. Some merchants who accept such vouchers in bulk, redeem them from the airline using electronic data processing. Businesses that do not receive many vouchers or do not use an electronic system usually physically mail the vouchers to the airline or ground handling agent in order to receive payment. We believe that digital processing of irregularity vouchers has significantly reduced processing costs for service providers, airlines and ground handling agents. Irregularity vouchers have been extensively studied in the literature relating to customer satisfaction after service failure and recovery [44]. However, so far, we have not found any publications describing digital processing of irregularity vouchers.

Smart wearables: Smart watches, miniature wrist-mounted computers with a time-keeping functionality and an array of sensors [90], are widely used by passengers at airports. With a smart watch, passengers can get alerts on gate changes or flight delays, scan a boarding pass at security late or at the gate. As the smart watch is permanently worn on the wrist, ground handling agents can use this channel to broadcast real-time information to passengers outside of traditional visual display monitors, voice announcements and send messages to other mobile devices. We have some found some evidence of pilot projects with smart watches. For example, a major U.S. airline announced a trial of smart glasses and smart watches, using the products to greet passengers by name, provide real-time travel information and start the check-in process before the passenger even reached the front door of the terminal. Nonetheless, we have not found any papers that describe the usage of smart watches in the passenger handling. We propose the following for future research directions: indoor navigation at airports using smart watches for visually impaired passengers, sharing health data for cross-border disease control, and emergency notifications on smart watches.

Biometric services: Biometrics are automated person identification using physiological characteristics (face, fingerprints, hand geometry, handwriting, iris, retinal, vein, voice) [91]. Current research reports several applications of biometric technology at airports, such as airport security, biometric travel documents, airport access control [58], biometrics in security, biometrics in baggage claim [60], airport immigration systems [59, 56, 57], and seamless travel [91].

4.2 Baggage Handling

Recent innovations in the area of baggage handling are mainly driven by the following trends: airlines boost productivity by reducing ground times (timeframe between landing and take-off), seat capacity of new aircraft increases, and timely delivery of baggage became part of the service level agreements between ground handling agents and airlines. Clearly, these trends introduce new requirements to baggage handling systems and related processes in terms of correctness and speed of baggage processing. Consider now some examples how did ground handling agents respond.

RFID baggage tags: the radio frequency identification (RFID) technology enables identification from a distance and does not require a line of sight, unlike the bar-code technology [92]. The benefits of RFID baggage tags have been extensively discussed in the current literature: RFID tags can improve baggage tracing [66], baggage routing during air transit [63], and reduce the amount of misrouted luggage [64]. Furthermore, RFID tags can incorporate additional data such as manufacturer, product type, and even measure environmental factors such as temperature [93]. RFID systems can identify many different tags located in the same general area without human assistance. Embedded in barcode labels, RFID tags could eliminate the need for manual inspections and routing by ground handling agents. At the moment, RFID tags are inserted into paper and then attached as paper labels to the baggage (Fig. 4).



Self-tagging is one of latest ideas for bag tagging. Passengers tag their own bags, print luggage tags at home and track their bags on smartphones. In this context, digital bag tags are gaining more importance. **Digital bag tags** are the digital alternative to conventional paper-based baggage tags. Bags receive a permanent bag tag that displays a digital bar-code. Airlines or ground handling agents are able to change this bar-code remotely, if the flight plan has changed or a passenger has been re-toured. Combined with a tracking device, that is stored inside the bag, passengers are able to track the luggage on smartphone in real-time.

Automated baggage drop-off: Combined with home-printed or electronic bag tags, passengers can use fully automated machines to receive passenger-tagged bags without any interaction with ground staff or airline employees. Several airports have already installed automated bag-drop machines [52].

Lost luggage kiosks are self-service computer terminals for reporting lost baggage. They are connected to the global database for lost luggage and help passengers to report delayed or missing bags upon arrival (see Figure 5). In order to report lost luggage, a passenger scans the boarding pass, describes the missing item and enters contact details for the delivery, for when the luggage has been found. Passengers can obtain the latest information to the report by accessing a website and entering the number of their report.



Figure 5 Lost luggage kiosks at Geneva Airport (Photo courtesy Swissport International Ltd.)

Advanced analytics in baggage handling: Performance-related contracts between ground handling agents and airlines require reliable data on speed and efficiency of baggage handling systems, such as in-time delivery of baggage (first bag, last bag), processing times, and correctness of baggage processing. In addition, ground handling agents collect and maintain data pockets on operational baggage flow, develop simulations and models to understand past behavior and performance of baggage handling systems.

4.3 Lounge Services

Lounge access gates: Most passengers use status-based access or class-of-travel access rather than membership. Status passengers can swipe the card in order to access a lounge. Passengers with business class or first class tickets are required to show the boarding pass to enter the lounge. Some cardmembers may receive access privileges to the lounges. In order to access the lounge, cardmembers must present their card. Furthermore, some passengers are allowed to bring guests. Ground handling agents need to know such regulations for several airlines and lounge clubs. In addition, lounge personnel need to be able to apply these rules immediately when a particular passenger is attempting to enter a lounge. To this purpose, ground

handling agents have installed automated access gates at lounge entrances. The access gate is connected to a back-end application with the rule sheet. As soon as a passenger has swiped the card or scanned the boarding pass, the application applies the rule sheet and decides if the passenger is able to access the lounge.

New lounge ticket types: Until recently, ground handling agents have been selling single entries to airlines or directly to passengers. Today, ground handling agents have begun introducing new types of products, such as family tickets and lounge access vouchers offered by tour operators. Family tickets can be booked in advance and offer group access to the lounge. Family tickets are sold for a fixed price irrespective of the status or booking class of the passengers. Furthermore, ground handling agents have begun forming cooperations with charter airlines and tour operators, in order to include lounge access into offered travel packages. New types of products introduce new and possible greater obligations on ground handling agent's IT systems: lounge access gates need to be coordinated with family tickets and tour operator tickets, invoicing systems need to be able to price and bill the tickets appropriately, and data analytics facilities need to be able to analyze customer behavior and provide insights into the new product types.

4.4 Staff Planning and Scheduling

Since labor costs in airport ground operations account for 60 to 80 percent of the total cost, automation of staff planning and scheduling is a top priority for every ground handling agent [94]. The development of research on rostering and task scheduling of airport ground staff can be traced through earlier review papers [68, 69, 70, 71, 72].

Automated centralized planning is the most common approach reported in the literature. This approach is based on prior demand modeling and stepwise reduction of the planning horizon. Usually, the planning process begins six months in advance, using the flight schedule forecast for the next season (winter or summer). The aggregate flight schedule forecast is achieved via the production management system, and is likely to be just a distribution of flights by month, airline and destination. The aggregate flight schedule forecast is used for holiday planning and strategic workforce planning. Two months in advance, the aggregate forecast is transformed into a detailed flight schedule forecast. Based on the detailed forecast, the shift plan is compiled. The shift plan is a result of balancing demand quantified in the flight schedule forecast against the available workforce. The shift plan includes the distribution of different shifts per day throughout the month and associated skills required for performing these shifts. The shift plan is usually performed using a dedicated planning application. Next, the shift plan is transformed into the rostering plan. The rostering plan assigns employees to particular shifts and is prepared at least two weeks before its application [73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78]. The rostering plan is usually completed using the time and attendance system. Hours prior, the rostering plan is incorporated with the task schedule [79]. The task schedule assigns particular tasks to the shifts. Usually, the task schedule is created using a dedicated real-time application with broadcasting capacity to the employees' hand-helds.

De-peaking is a strategy whereby air traffic between peak and off-peak times is scheduled so as to distribute traffic more evenly throughout the day. Conventional

planning approaches do not include de-peaking by default. Ground handling agents operating at hub airports incorporated additional de-peaking strategies into their planning procedures [81, 82].

Shift trading is an on-line service to exchange work shifts. As soon as a new shift plan is announced, employees can request shift trades. Shift trading brings many benefits to ground handling agents: it helps eliminate planning conflicts along the way, it provides a collaborative environment to employees and gives the freedom of choice (both are highly appreciated by employees, which increases employee satisfaction), and employee self-organization is more cost effective for the company. We observed two organizational models for shift trading – either it is incorporated into the time and attendance recording system, or results as a product of from employee self-organization, via a satellite website or closed groups in social networks. Employee-led shift trading has the potential to create new business models.

4.5 GSE Management

Ground support equipment (GSE) is usually found on the ramp (i.e. servicing area of airport, also referred to as the apron). GSE equipment includes, for instance, refuellers, container loaders, belt loaders, transporters, water trucks, ground power units, air starter units, lavatory service vehicles, catering vehicles, passenger boarding stairs, passenger buses, pushback tractors, de-icing vehicles, container dollies, cargo pallets, and others.

Automated GSE scheduling is one of the most common applications in this area. It minimizes the total number of GSE vehicles required to handle flights and, consequently, reduces ground handling agent's capital expenditures. Recent literature describes advanced scheduling methods for GSE vehicles [83] and deicing trucks [84]. Advanced scheduling and routing algorithms minimize the overall apron traffic and reduce ground handling agent's fuel costs [85]. Ground handling agents use GPS localization and tracking of GSE vehicles to collect data on effective use, and use them for scheduling and forecasting.

4.6 HR & Training

The data on digital transformation in the human resources (HR) & training processes in airport ground operations are scarce. Based on our interviews with industry experts, we were able to identify some business scenarios that illustrate how digital transformation has changed the HR & training processes:

Digital employee profiles: Ground handling agents have a large number of employees and need to access employee information quickly. Digital employee profiles create a centralized overview of employees by integrating multiple sources of employee-related information: personal (age, gender, address, civil status), financial (compensation, including rewards and benefits), qualifications (certifications, trainings, skills, performance reviews), and workforce data (productivity, absences, holidays, overtime balances).

Web-based trainings: Ground handling agents started using web-based training instead of classroom training. Web-based training involves using browser-based learning programs available on the corporate intranet. Such trainings can be accessed as desktop applications or from a mobile device (e.g. tablet or smartphone).

Web-based training includes single and recurrent standard training programs (e.g. code of conduct, health and safety), management training (e.g. anti-corruption and fair competition guidelines), and technical training for operational staff (e.g. dangerous goods, security regulations, customer service). Most web-based training programs are oriented to knowledge transfer (e.g. new regulations, rules, procedures) and do not require interaction with trainers. Web-based training frees up in-house trainers from routine tasks and reduces training costs. Furthermore, web-based training can be easily translated into other languages or enhanced using local information.

Mobile apps and social media for corporate communications: Ground handling agents need to be able to distribute the latest news, reports and corporate announcements to a large number of employees in a timely fashion. Operational staff, in particular, need to be promptly informed about security deficits, bomb threats, aircraft damages, irregularities in airport operations, and other considerations. Consequently, many of ground handling agents have installed visual displays in lunch rooms and offices, developed corporate mobile apps or started engaging with employees via social media networks.

Integrated employee lifecycle management considers all steps an employee follows during their time within a ground handling company. This includes recruitment, on-boarding, goal setting and performance reviews, personal development, talent management, succession planning, and departure (retirement, dismissal, leave). Ground handling agents manage large numbers of employees and must be cost-sensitive. HR organizations need to be highly efficient in executing their tasks. For example, they might improve cost-effectiveness of advertising by tapping in to social networks to engage with potential candidates, publishing job openings via internal job markets, or ensure job application submissions are quick and easy to review, responding to candidates promptly, relying on cost-effective selections methods.

Table 3 Key performance management ratios

Operational ratios	Total hours worked per departing flight Total minutes worked per departing passenger
Financial ratios	Direct cost per flight departure Labor cost per flight departure Total revenues per flight departure
HR ratios	Overhead ratio (overhead : total FTEs*) Absence ratio (absence hours : total work hours) Staff turnover rate (entries & leaves : average number of employees)
Safety & quality ratios	Employee injuries per 100 flights On-time performance (delay minutes per 100 flights) Aircraft damage ratio (severe aircraft damages per 100 flights)

* FTE = full time equivalent

Source: our analysis and Schmidberger et al. [87]

4.7 Management Process

Integrated KPI reporting: Table 3 shows a summary of ratios used by ground handling agents to measure and track corporate performance. These ratios are designed to address key areas of concern, which include operations, finance, human resources, safety and quality. The calculation of these ratios requires an intelligent combination of information from multiple sources, such as the latest worked hours

from the time and attendance system (for operational rations), as well numbers of handled flights and passengers maintained in the production management system. Similarly, financial ratios require data from the payroll system, the enterprise resource planning (ERP) system and the production management system. All ratios should be calculated automatically and provided to the management at least on a daily basis. Consequently, ground handling agents invest in consolidation, standardization and active management of data pockets providing this kind of information. Advanced analytics and big data technologies create the possibility to develop further insight into the business. In our research, we were not able to find any evidence that ground handling agents are using these technologies in the context of such business scenarios.

4.8 Integrated Invoicing: a Case Study

We provide a real business scenario showing how digital transformation has changed financial and commercial processes in airport ground operations. The ground handling agent Swissport International Ltd. undertook a program to radically automate and standardize the invoicing process and codify customer contracts in the invoicing system [86, 36]. The insights presented here consider the results of this program.

The program executed by Swissport is one example of digital transformation for the following reasons:

- new digital technologies (e.g. smart sensors, embedded devices, mobile apps) were used to establish a connection between data capturing facilities and the invoicing system;
- big data technologies and advanced analytics were employed to ensure data quality;
- the financial department and technology staff collaborated to digitize customer contracts and enter all customer-related prices, tariffs and incentives into the invoicing system. These measures drove changes in business processes and systems, enabling automated processing of invoices;
- process automation halved manual workloads and significantly reduced processing times during billing cycles;
- new technology eased data sharing with business partners and facilitated e-billing (i.e. digital transmission of invoices to customers);
- customers saw substantial improvements through new invoice formats and greater consistency in the way the services were presented on the invoice, leading to improved customer satisfaction and retention.




Figure 6 High-level architecture of the integrated invoicing

Figure 6 shows the high-level architecture of integrated invoicing aligned to key steps of the invoicing process (i.e. data capturing, data consolidation, invoice issue). In this section, we describe each of these procedural steps and discuss their solutions in detail.

Digital transformation of the invoicing process may have its origin in automation of data capture. A ground handling agent usually provides services at different places: on the ramp (servicing area of the airport), inside the airport terminal, and in airport lounges. Clearly, the company may face challenges in collecting, consolidating and reporting all relevant data about performed services. Consequently, Swissport began automation of data capture. Related digitalization initiatives addressed particular service groups one by one, such as ramp, lounge and deicing services. After the majority of digitalization initiatives were implemented, the company developed their capacity to automatically collect and store information regarding customer services.




Figure 7 Handhelds used to record ramp services (Photo courtesy of Swissport)

The following are some examples of how ground handling agents collect the flight and service data:

- flight data are imported from the airport operational database (AODB);
- ramp services (e.g. loading equipment) are tracked by employees using handheld devices (Fig. 7);
- ground support equipment (e.g. passenger boarding steps) employed during aircraft turnaround are tracked by means of GPS tracking and localization sensors;
- the usage of deicing fluids and hot water is captured by sensors installed on de-icing trucks;
- ground power units record the time required for aircraft batteries to recharge, using integrated sensors;
- lounge entries are recorded using control gates when a passenger swipes the cards or scans the ticket;
- lost and found services are recorded using mobile apps and dedicated desktop applications;
- other infrequent or irregular services are captured on paper receipts (e.g. meal vouchers, taxi vouchers, handling work orders for private aviation flights, handling of VIP flights, special check-in counter reservations).

Once all the data on these services have been collected, they need to be consolidated and verified. In order to achieve this, the ground handling agent created a data-mart, which functions like a central repository for all flight and service data was created. The data-mart is designed to connect to multiple data sources at regular intervals, consolidate service data and, in the last step, link services to the corresponding flight. The service data can be then verified automatically using data-mining methods [95, 96].

Finally, flight and service data are finally uploaded to the enterprise resource planning (ERP) system. The ERP system uses mapping tables to recognize the customer and the product or service (i.e. material) sold to the customer. Based on this information, the system automatically creates the sales order containing all individual items sold to the customer. All price data are included in the ERP system. The ERP system uses the price data to determine customer prices for the purchased items. Ultimately, the sales orders are billed and the invoices are transferred to the customer.

The ERP system integrates the invoicing as well as accounting capabilities, which is an advantage over other billing and invoicing solutions that are separate from the accounting systems. Once the invoice has been created and transferred to the customer, the system automatically creates corresponding positions on the customer account in the general ledger.

After all, this case study presents a best practice approach for implementing data intensive ERP systems. However, some contributions are novel and relate specifically to the field of airport ground operations:

- Key automated data flows across the ground handling agent and his external partners are introduced and discussed. In addition, key automated data flows inside the ground handling agent's organization are outlined and corresponding implications on the solution architecture are stated;
- This case study explains why digital transformation projects in airport ground operations require high data quality and short data processing times. In addition, it shows how heterogeneous data collection procedures in airport ground operations have affected the overall design of the new invoicing solution;
- This case study describes how specific circumstances in airport ground operations can be transferred into a standardized industry best practice solution without compromising on quality and performance. New procedures are lean, simple and, obviously, can be easily understood by anyone from outside the aviation industry;
- The business scenario reported in this case study describes a digitalization initiative that has been successfully implemented without any interruptions in regular operations of the ground handling agent. Immediately after its introduction, the new invoicing solution has started to generate significant benefits towards costs, processing times and data quality, which is not obvious within a highly competitive environment of airport ground operations.

5 Discussion

The scenarios of digital transformation in airport ground operations and related technologies discussed in the previous section differ significantly in their maturity.

So, we assessed these technologies and applications with respect to their impact and major improvements. In this section, we share key results of this assessment.

We rated all technologies and applications from the previous section according to their usefulness and maturity using a unified framework with clear evaluation criteria. On top of that, we developed hints and ideas for future research directions for rated technologies. We selected the technology readiness levels (TRLs) as a qualified measurement system to access maturity level of the related technologies.

TRLs were initially introduced by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to evaluate new technologies developed for space missions [97] and, later on, generalized for all kinds of technology assessments[98]. TRLs are widely used in academia and industry, e.g. mandatory technology assessments for Horizon 2020[98] (a 80 billion Euro research program funded by the European Union), evaluation of cyber-physical systems [99], monitoring and evaluation of system development process [100].

TRLs are based on a scale from 1 to 9. TRL 1 is the lowest level and TRL 9 is the highest. Then a technology is at TRL 1, there are preliminary results of scientific research are available and have been successfully translated into future research development. In the end, after a technology has been "mission proved", it achieves TRL 9 (cf. Table 4).

Table 4 Overview of technology readiness levels [97]

TRL 1	Basic principles observed and reported
TRL 2	Technology concept and/or application formulated
TRL 3	Analytical and experimental critical function and characteristic proof of concept
TRL 4	Component or subsystem validated in laboratory environment
TRL 5	Component, subsystem or system validated in relevant environment
TRL 6	Component, subsystem, system or prototype demonstrated in relevant end-to-end environment
TRL 7	System prototype demonstrated in an operational environment
TRL 8	System completed and "mission qualified" through test and demonstrated in an operational environment
TRL 9	System "mission proven" through successful mission operations

As previously mentioned, we have evaluated the technologies discussed in the previous section against the parameters for each TRL and then assigned a TRL rating based on reported applications, relevant research papers and our interviews with industry experts. We summarized our key results in Tables 5 (core processes) and 6 (supporting processes). The applications reported in these tables are grouped by their position within the value chain of an airport ground handling agent (Figure 2).

A considerable number of technologies has already achieved the highest TRL, e.g. self-service check-in kiosks, self-boarding, automated baggage drop-off. There is a wide range of scientific papers and industry reports available stating a broad commercial usage of these technologies (see the previous section for examples). Such technologies are "mission proven" and have been successfully operated in actual missions.

Another big set of technologies is currently at TRL 8, e.g. biometric services, digital bag tags, baggage self-tagging. These technologies have been tested and "mission qualified". We were able to find the evidence of the first commercial usage of these technologies, e.g. in terms of a successful pilot implementation.

Table 5 TRL ratings for technologies relating to core processes of a ground handling agent

Business process	Technology or business scenario	TRL rating
Passenger handling	Indoor navigation	8
	Digital processing of irregularity vouchers	2
	Self-service check-in kiosks	9
	Self-boarding	9
	Smart wheelchairs	2
	Smart wearables	6
Baggage handling	Biometric services	8
	RFID baggage tags	8
	Automated baggage drop-off	9
	Digital bag tags	8
	Self-tagging	8
	Lost luggage kiosks	9
	Real-time luggage tracking	2
Advanced analytics in baggage handling	7	
Lounge services	Lounge access gates	9
	New lounge ticket types	2

Table 6 TRL ratings for technologies relating to supporting processes of a ground handling agent

Business process	Technology or business scenario	TRL rating
Planning & Scheduling	Automated centralized planning	9
	De-peakng	9
	Shift trading	7
GSE management	Automated GSE scheduling and routing	6
HR & Training	Digital employee profiles	6
	Web-based training	9
	Integrated employee lifecycle management	2
	Mobile apps and social-media for corporate communications	9
Financial process	Integrated invoicing	9
Management process	Integrated KPI reporting	7
IT process	Digital workplace and virtualization	7

We rated the technologies with system prototypes working in operational environment on full-scale realistic problems at TRL 7. Consider, for instance, the shift-trading. Some ground handling agents are using shift-trading systems with partial functionality. General engineering feasibility is fully demonstrated. Limited documentation is available. Furthermore, products covering partial functionality are available for commercial usage. In order to achieve the next TRL, system prototypes covering all key functionality need to be developed and integrated with existing operational software and hardware systems. These prototypes need to demonstrate full operational feasibility. For instance, several shift-trading systems are not fully integrated with time and attendance systems. The trades are put into the time and attendance systems manually by the back office staff or uploaded a-synchronously to the system as last step adjustments.

Consider now the usage of smart wearables in the airport ground operations. Smart wearables represent a bunch of technologies, e.g. smart watches and smart glasses. We rated these technologies at TRL 7 as well. We were able to find scientific papers and industry publications reporting well-functioning prototypes in operational environment, e.g. the pilot usage of smart glasses by ground handling staff at the lounge entry. Nonetheless, we were not able to find any evidence of a broad commercial usage of smart wearables in airport ground handling operations.

TRL 6 technologies have a full functioning prototype or a representational model, but they have not been tested in operational environment on full-scale realistic problems yet. Consider, for instance, the automated GSE scheduling and routing. Component and subsystem prototypes have been successfully demonstrated in operational environment; partially integrated with existing hardware and software systems. Products covering partial functionality for commercial usage are available, e.g. tracking of GSE vehicles and routing of de-icing trucks. In order to achieve the next TRL, end-to-end-software prototypes need to be developed and connected to existing systems conforming with target operating environment. New prototypes need to be tested in relevant environment and provide evidence of meeting expected performance. Scaling requirements need to be defined.

On top of that, we were able to identify a set of technologies at the very early stage of development. For instance, smart wheelchairs, real-time luggage tracking and digital processing of lounge vouchers are currently at TRL 2. Practical applications of these technologies have been successfully identified, but they are speculative. No experimental proof or detailed analysis are available to support the conjecture. Both analytical and laboratory studies are required to see if the technology is viable and ready to proceed through the further development process. For instance, a proof-of-concept model for digital processing of vouchers needs to be constructed in order to achieve TRL 3.

Overall, ground handling agents employ a broad set of high TRL technologies (TRL 6 and higher). Many of these technologies deliver cost reductions and efficiency improvements to ground handling agents and are considered to be state-of-the-art technologies. Additionally, ground handling agents are seeking further differentiation and invest into new technologies (represented by low TRL technologies) in order to outpace competitors in terms of process efficiency and new revenue streams.

In addition to the necessary implementation in industry (including testing and improving new technologies, linking information flows etc.), some scientific challenges have to be solved. We have listed below some proposed research directions:

- 1 **Quantitative criteria for the evaluation of technologies:** Ground handling agents usually employ a number of quantitative criteria in order to evaluate technologies and make investment decisions relating to the use of new technologies. For instance, low TRL technologies are basically evaluated against a number of technical criteria, e.g. minimal and maximal position error in indoor navigation. High TRL technologies are usually assessed in terms of economic prospects, e.g. expected return on investment, expected cost and staff reductions, new revenue streams based on new digital products or services. Unfortunately, we were not able to obtain reliable data on such technology assessments. Our interviews with industry experts indicate that ground handling agents are reluctant to publish such data because they consider them as part of the competitive advantage. We propose to develop generic evaluation criteria and procedures which can be used by ground handling agents to assess technologies and build reliable business cases.
- 2 **Incorporate uncertainty into forecasting and planning models:** Several tasks and activities in airport ground handling are affected by uncertain

and random events, e.g. flight delays, utilized capacity in flights, weather conditions and technical incidents. In contrast to another industries, the most forecasting and planning models used in the airport ground operations do not consider this kind of uncertainty explicitly (see forecasting of renewable generation and customer load in power systems [101], optionally using Big Data frameworks as Apache Spark [102]). By incorporating uncertainty into planning and forecasting models, we expect a significant increase of the robustness and reliability of developed plans.

- 3 **Multi-objective optimization:** The majority of underlying optimization problems in planning and scheduling are formulated as optimization problems under technological or financial restrictions. The incorporated optimization function does not include such factors as customer satisfaction, employee satisfaction or ecological footprint. We believe that such optimization criteria can be incorporated into the existing optimization models at a low cost and without significant increase in recurring costs. On top of that, several optimization problems are formulated in terms of local optimization, e.g. reducing the number of check-in staff, and do not consider the optimization across the entire value chain. Hopefully, we were able to find some rare evidence of globally formulated optimization problems, e.g. considering the trade-off between minimum staff requirement against the quality of service.
- 4 **Use of collected data to generate new business models:** The reported applications relating to the usage of enterprise data are focused basically on increasing process efficiency. We were able to find only a limited number of scenarios where ground handling agents were using available data to a greater extend or to generate new business models. For instance, globally acting ground handling agents have a large amount of data on flight delays and can use them to optimize flight schedule forecasts by exchanging these data across the local stations. On top of that, collected data may be a powerful resource for a new service offering to the airlines, e.g., passenger preferences for different airlines on the same routes and estimating the potential of promising direct routes to avoid changed flights. Such tasks require prototypical projects of ground handling agents together with research institutions or consulting companies with a digitalization profile.

6 Conclusions

We found that the majority of digitalization initiatives in airport ground operations focus on operational improvements of airport ground operations. In the core processes of the airport ground operations value chain, the digitalization is mainly driven by cost pressures. The majority of customer-centric innovations contribute further cost reductions to ground handling agents, as well as benefits to the customers through time savings, improved service quality and transparency. Several passenger-related services have shifted towards digital self-service. Recent publications discuss several different digitalization scenarios in the passenger and baggage handling; however, some topics remain underrepresented, such as lounge service digitalization.

In supporting processes of the airport ground operations value chain, ground handling agents are trying to achieve further cost reductions by improving planning and

scheduling procedures. We were able to find several papers on relevant methods and models, but very few discussed concrete solutions for implementation. Other supporting processes of the value chain, such as HR, IT, management and financial processes, were only infrequently discussed. Nonetheless, we identified sound digitalization scenarios relating to these processes, such as digital employee profiles, web-based trainings, mobile apps and social media for corporate communications, integrated KPI reporting, and integrated invoicing.

Ground handling agents rarely use new digital technologies to create new business models and disrupt markets; however, we identified various scenarios where digitalization has the potential to create new business models, such as with recent approaches to shift-trading, lounge ticketing and indoor navigation. Ground handling agents are engaging with business partners in order to create new revenue streams, such as baggage pickup and delivery at home, cooperation with taxis, duty-free shops in arrival lounges, lounge tickets sold by charter airlines and tour operators.

With these types of changes, the capacity to collect and analyze data has increased in importance. Ground handling agents are investing to consolidate, standardize and actively manage data pockets, in order to provide information on passenger flow, efficiency of baggage-handling systems, timeliness of flights, speed and effectiveness of passenger handling, and others. Ground handling agents aim to become more responsive and efficient by using this kind of information in operational and tactical decisions.

Based on our findings, we believe that the followings topics may be important future research directions in the area of airport ground operation digitalization: new cooperation modes across various business partners at airports, seamless travel, new digital business models, digital security solutions, multi-objective optimization, advanced forecasting and planning models with incorporated factors of uncertainty, general evaluation criteria for technology assessment in airport ground handling, and data collection and advanced analytics for operational decision making.

Declarations:**Acknowledgments**

The authors also acknowledge careful English language editing by Rebecca Klady.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author details

¹kobaltblau Management Consultants AG, Bleicherweg 10, 8002 Zurich, Switzerland. ²Institute for Automation and Applied Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany.

References

1. Fonzone, A., Schmöcker, J.-D., Viti, F.: New Services, New Travelers, Old Models? Directions to Pioneer Public Transport Models in the Era of Big Data. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems* **20**(4), 311–315 (2016)
2. Minghetti, V., Buhalis, D.: Digital Divide in Tourism. *Journal of Travel Research* **49**(3), 267–281 (2010). doi:[10.1177/0047287509346843](https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287509346843)
3. Agarwal, R., Gao, G., DesRoches, C., Jha, A.K.: Research commentary - the digital transformation of healthcare: Current status and the road ahead. *Information Systems Research* **21**(4), 796–809 (2013). doi:[10.1287/isre.1100.0327](https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1100.0327)

4. Singh, N.: Selling Life Insurance in New Era of Digitalisation: A Study in Indian Prospective. *Biz and Bytes* **6**, 202–211 (2015)
5. Mäenpää, R., Korhonen, J.J.: Digitalization in Retail: The Impact on Competition. *Science And Technology* (7), 89–102 (2015)
6. Harvard Business Review Analytic Services: Digital Transformation in the High-Tech Industry. Technical report (2014)
7. Hagenmeyer, V., Kemal Çakmak, H., Döpmeier, C., Faulwasser, T., Isele, J., Keller, H.B., Kohlhepp, P., Kühnapfel, U., Stucky, U., Waczowicz, S., Mikut, R.: Information and Communication Technology in Energy Lab 2.0: Smart Energies System Simulation and Control Center with an Open-Street-Map-Based Power Flow Simulation Example. *Energy Technology* **4**(1), 145–162 (2016). doi:[10.1002/ente.201500304](https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201500304)
8. Fang, X., Misra, S., Xue, G., Yang, D.: Smart Grid — The New and Improved Power Grid: A Survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* **14**(4), 944–980 (2012). doi:[10.1109/SURV.2011.101911.00087](https://doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2011.101911.00087). [arXiv:1406.0223v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0223v1)
9. West, D.M.: E-Government and the Transformation of Service Delivery and Citizen Attitudes. *Public Administration Review* **64**(1), 15–27 (2004)
10. Kähkipuro, P.: Case Aalto University - Digital Transformation in Higher Education. *Science And Technology* (7), 81–88 (2015)
11. Singh, V., Sharma, S.K.: Fuel consumption optimization in air transport: A review, classification, critique, simple meta-analysis, and future research implications. *European Transport Research Review* **7**(2), 12 (2015)
12. Wattanacharoensil, W., Schuckert, M., Graham, A.: An airport experience framework from a tourism perspective. *Transport Reviews* **36**(3), 318–340 (2016)
13. Gartner: Gartner IT Glossary (2018)
14. Westerman, G., Calmédjane, C., Bonnet, D., Ferraris, P., McAfee, A.: Digital Transformation: A Road-Map for Billion-Dollar Organizations. MIT Center for Digital Business and Caggemini Consulting, 1–68 (2011)
15. Caggemini: Big & Fast Data : The Rise of Insight-Driven Business, 23 (2015)
16. McDonald, M.P., Rowsell-Jones, A.: The Digital Edge: Exploiting Information and Technology for Business Advantage. Gartner, Inc., Stamford (2012)
17. Harvard Business Review Analytic Services: Digital Transformation of Business. Technical report (2015)
18. Hassan, Q.F.: Demystifying Cloud Security. *CrossTalk*, 16–21 (2011)
19. Dinh, H.T., Lee, C., Niyato, D., Wang, P.: A Survey of Mobile Cloud Computing: Architecture, Applications, and Approaches. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing* **13**(18), 1587–1611 (2011)
20. Laney, D.: META Delta. *Application Delivery Strategies* **949**(February 2001), 4 (2001). doi:[10.1016/j.infsof.2008.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2008.09.005). [0402594v3](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2008.09.005)
21. Spencer, B.F., Ruiz-Sandoval, M.E., Kurata, N.: Smart Sensing Technology: Opportunities and Challenges. *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* **11**(4), 349–368 (2004). doi:[10.1002/stc.48](https://doi.org/10.1002/stc.48)
22. Castro, D., Atkinson, R., Ezell, S.: Embracing the Self-Service Economy. *The Information Technology & Innovation Foundation* (April), 47 (2010)
23. Reinders, M.J., Dabholkar, P.A., Frambach, R.T.: Consequences of Forcing Consumers to Use Technology-Based Self-Service. *Journal of Service Research* **11**(2), 107–123 (2008). doi:[10.1177/1094670508324297](https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670508324297)
24. Petrie, H., Darzentas, J.S., Power, C.: Self-Service Terminals for Older and Disabled Users: Attitudes of Key Stakeholders. In: *International Conference on Computers for Handicapped Persons*, pp. 340–347. Springer, Cham (2014). doi:[10.1007/978-3-319-08596-8-53](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08596-8-53)
25. Shehab, E.M., Sharp, M.W., Supramaniam, L., Spedding, T.A.: Enterprise Resource Planning - An Integrative Review. *Business Process Management Journal* **10**(4), 359–386 (2004). doi:[10.1108/14637150410548056](https://doi.org/10.1108/14637150410548056)
26. Samaranayake, P.: Business Process Integration, Automation, and Optimization in ERP: Integrated Approach Using Enhanced Process Models. *Business Process Management Journal* **15**(4), 504–526 (2009). doi:[10.1108/14637150910975516](https://doi.org/10.1108/14637150910975516)
27. Brown, B., Schuler, S., Sikes, J.: Capturing the upside of technology-driven threats. *McKinsey Center for Business Technology. Perspectives on Digital Business* (January), 1–84 (2012)
28. Venkatraman, N.: IT-Enabled Business Transformation - From Automation to Business Scope Redefinition. *Sloan Management Review* **35**(2), 73–87 (1994)
29. Lynch, C.: From Automation to Transformation. *Educause Review* **35**(February), 60–68 (2000)
30. Bower, J.L., Christensen, C.M.: Disruptive Technologies: Catching the Wave. *Harvard Business Review* **73**(1), 43–53 (1995). doi:[10.1016/0024-6301\(95\)91075-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(95)91075-1)
31. Gilbert, R.J.: E-books: A Tale of Digital Disruption. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* **29**(3), 165–184 (2012)
32. Karimi, J., Walter, Z.: The Role of Dynamic Capabilities in Responding to Digital Disruption: A Factor-Based Study of the Newspaper Industry. *Journal of Management Information Systems* **32**(1), 39–81 (2015). doi:[10.1080/07421222.2015.1029380](https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2015.1029380)
33. Ondrus, J., Pigneur, Y., Ecole, I.: A Disruption Analysis in the Mobile Payment Market. In: *Proceedings of the 38th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences* (2005). doi:[10.1109/HICSS.2005.9](https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2005.9)
34. Dermine, J.: Digital Banking and Market Disruption: a Sense of Déjà Vu? *Financial Stability Review - Banque de France* **20**(April), 17–24 (2016)
35. Rigby, D.: The Future of Shopping. *Harvard Business Review* (December), 1–17 (2011)
36. Kovynov, I., Devaquet, G., Riesen, B.: Automation of Data Intensive Invoicing in Airport Logistics. at - *Automatisierungstechnik* **64**(7), 567–580 (2016). doi:[10.1515/auto-2016-0015](https://doi.org/10.1515/auto-2016-0015)
37. Porter, M.: *The Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. Free Press, NY (1985)
38. Porter, M.: *The Value Chain and Competitive Advantage. Understanding Business Processes*, 50–66 (2001)
39. Darvishy, A., Hutter, H.-P., Früh, P., Horvath, A., Berner, D.: Personal Mobile Assistant for Air Passengers with Disabilities. Technical report, ZHAW Zurich University of Applied Sciences (2008)

40. Fallah, N., Apostolopoulos, I., Bekris, K., Folmer, E.: Indoor Human Navigation Systems: A Survey. *Interacting with Computers* **25**(1), 21–33 (2013). doi:[10.1093/iwc/iws010](https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iws010)
41. Han, D., Jung, S., Lee, M., Yoon, G.: Building a Practical Wi-Fi-Based Indoor Navigation System. *IEEE Pervasive Computing* **13**(2), 72–79 (2014). doi:[10.1109/MPRV.2014.24](https://doi.org/10.1109/MPRV.2014.24)
42. Odijk, D., Kleijer, F.: Can GPS be Used for Location-Based Services at Schiphol Airport, the Netherlands? 5th Workshop on Positioning, Navigation and Communication 2008, WPNC'08 **2008**, 143–148 (2008). doi:[10.1109/WPNC.2008.4510368](https://doi.org/10.1109/WPNC.2008.4510368)
43. Radaha, T.R.: Mobile Indoor Navigation Application for Airport Transits. Master Thesis, Purdue University, Purdue University (2013)
44. McCollough, M.A., Berry, L.L., Yadav, M.S.: An Empirical Investigation of Customer Satisfaction after Service Failure and Recovery. *Journal of Service Research* **3**(2), 121–137 (2000). doi:[10.1177/109467050032002](https://doi.org/10.1177/109467050032002)
45. Abdelaziz, S.G., Hegazy, A.A., Elabbassy, A.: Study of Airport Self-Service Technology within Experimental Research of Check-In Techniques. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues* **7**(3), 17–26 (2010)
46. Castillo-Manzano, J.I., López-Valpuesta, L.: Check-In Services and Passenger Behaviour: Self Service Technologies in Airport Systems. *Computers in Human Behavior* **29**(6), 2431–2437 (2013)
47. Chang, H.L., Yang, C.H.: Do Airline Self-Service Check-In Kiosks Meet the Needs of Passengers? *Tourism Management* **29**(5), 980–993 (2008). doi:[10.1016/j.tourman.2007.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2007.12.002)
48. Howes, J.: On the Cusp of CUSS. *Kiosk Europe* (Spring), 14–18 (2006)
49. Ku, E.C.S., Chen, C.D.: Fitting Facilities to Self-Service Technology Usage: Evidence from Kiosks in Taiwan Airport. *Journal of Air Transport Management* **32**, 87–94 (2013). doi:[10.1016/j.jairtraman.2013.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2013.07.001)
50. Liljander, V., Gillberg, F., Gummerus, J., van Riel, A.: Technology Readiness and the Evaluation and Adoption of Self-Service Technologies. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* **13**(3), 177–191 (2006). doi:[10.1016/j.jretconser.2005.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2005.08.004)
51. Wittmer, A.: Acceptance of Self-Service Check-in at Zurich Airport. *Research in Transportation Business and Management* **1**(1), 136–143 (2011). doi:[10.1016/j.rtbm.2011.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rtbm.2011.06.001)
52. Jaffer, S., Timbrell, G.: Digital Strategy in Airports. In: 25th Australasian Conference on Information System (2014)
53. Berkvens, R., Rymenants, W., Weyn, M., Sleutel, S., Loockx, W., Sleutel, S.: Autonomous Wheelchair: Concept and Exploration. In: AMBIENT 2012, The Second International Conference on Ambient Computing, Applications, Services and Technologies, pp. 38–44 (2012)
54. Morales, Y., Even, J., Kallakuri, N., Ikeda, T., Shinozawa, K., Kondo, T., Hagita, N.: Visibility Analysis for Autonomous Vehicle Comfortable Navigation. In: Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 2197–2202 (2014). doi:[10.1109/ICRA.2014.6907162](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA.2014.6907162)
55. Romero, B., Camacho, A., Varona, J., Delgado, C., Velázquez, R.: A Low-Cost Electric Power Wheelchair with Manual and Vision-Based Control Systems. In: IEEE AFRICON Conference, vol. 2, pp. 1–9 (2009). doi:[10.1109/AFRCON.2009.5308554](https://doi.org/10.1109/AFRCON.2009.5308554)
56. Oostveen, A.-M., Kaufmann, M., Krempel, E., Grasmann, G.: Automated Border Control: A Comparative Usability Study at Two European Airports. *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Interfaces and Human Computer Interaction (IHCI)* (July) (2014)
57. Palmer, A.J., Hurrey, C.: Ten Reasons Why IRIS Needed 20:20 Foresight: Some Lessons for Introducing Biometric Border Control Systems. In: 2012 European Intelligence and Security Informatics Conference, EISIC 2012, pp. 311–316 (2012). doi:[10.1109/EISIC.2012.31](https://doi.org/10.1109/EISIC.2012.31)
58. Sumner, K.M.: Airport Security: Examining the Current State of Acceptance of Biometrics and the Propensity of Adopting Biometric Technology for Airport Access Control. PhD thesis, University of Central Florida (2007)
59. Sasse, A.M.: Red-Eye Blink, Bendy Shuffle, and the Yuck Factor: A User Experience of Biometric Airport Systems. *IEEE Security and Privacy* **5**(3), 78–81 (2007)
60. Scherer, K.R., Ceschi, G.: Criteria for Emotion Recognition from Verbal and Nonverbal Expression: Studying Baggage Loss in the Airport. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* **26**(3), 327–339 (2000). doi:[10.1177/0146167200265006](https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167200265006)
61. Berrada, A., Salih-alj, Y.: An Efficient RFID-based Tracking System for Airport Luggage. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Information Technology* **4**(3), 64–73 (2015)
62. Bite, K.E.: Improving on Passenger and Baggage Processes at Airports with RFID. *Sustainable Radio Frequency Identification Solutions* (February) (2010)
63. Datta, T., Sheet, D., Si, A.K., Biswas, S.D., Ghosh, D.: RFID-based Airport Logistics Management. Technical Report January, Institute of Engineering and Management, Salt Lake City, Kolkata, INDIA (2008)
64. DeVries, P.D.: The State of RFID for Effective Baggage Tracking in the Airline Industry. *International Journal Of Mobile Communications* **6**(2), 151–164 (2008). doi:[10.1504/IJMC.2008.016574](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMC.2008.016574)
65. Mishra, D., Mishra, A.: Improving Baggage Tracking, Security and Customer Services with RFID in the Airline Industry. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica* **7**(2), 139–154 (2010)
66. Zhang, T., Ouyang, Y., He, Y.: Traceable Air Baggage Handling System Based on RFID tags in the Airport. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* **3**(1), 106–115 (2008)
67. Sennou, A.S., Berrada, A., Salih-Alj, Y., Assem, N.: An interactive RFID-based bracelet for airport luggage tracking system. In: Proceedings - International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation, ISMS, pp. 40–44 (2013). doi:[10.1109/ISMS.2013.29](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMS.2013.29)
68. Herbers, J.: Models and Algorithms for Ground Staff Scheduling on Airports. PhD thesis, RWTH Aachen (2005)
69. Herbers, J., Kutschka, M.: An Insight to Aviation: Rostering Ground Personnel in Practice. In: Operations Research Proceedings 2014, pp. 349–355. Springer, Cham (2016)
70. Ernst, A.T., Jiang, H., Krishnamoorthy, M., Sier, D.: Staff scheduling and rostering: A review of applications, methods and models. *European Journal of Operational Research* **153**(1), 3–27 (2004). doi:[10.1016/S0377-2217\(03\)00095-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(03)00095-X)
71. Ip, W.H., Chung, N., Ho, G.: Using Integer Programming for Airport Service Planning in Staff Scheduling.

- International Journal of Engineering Business Management 2(2), 85–92 (2010). doi:[10.5772/9716](https://doi.org/10.5772/9716)
72. Ernst, A.T., Jiang, H., Krishnamoorthy, M., Owens, B., Sier, D.: An Annotated Bibliography of Personnel Scheduling and Rostering. *Annals of Operations Research* 127, 21–144 (2004). doi:[10.1023/B:ANOR.0000019087.46656.e2](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:ANOR.0000019087.46656.e2). arXiv:[1112.2903v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1112.2903v1)
 73. Dowling, D., Krishnamoorthy, M., Mackettzie, H., Sier, D.: Staff Rostering at a Large International Airport. *Annals of Operations Research* 72(1-4), 125–147 (1997). doi:[10.1023/A:1018992120116](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018992120116)
 74. Mason, A.J., Ryan, D.M.: Integration Simulation, Heuristic and Optimization Approaches to Staff Scheduling. *Operations Research* 46(2), 161–175 (1998)
 75. Stolletz, R., Zamorano, E.: A Rolling Planning Horizon Heuristic for Scheduling Agents with Different Qualifications. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 68, 39–52 (2014). doi:[10.1016/j.tre.2014.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2014.05.002)
 76. Brusco, M.J., Jacobs, L.W., Bongiorno, R.J., Lyons, D.V., Tang, B.: Improving Personnel Scheduling at Airline Stations. *Operations Research* 43(5), 741–751 (1995). doi:[10.1287/opre.43.5.741](https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.43.5.741)
 77. Stolletz, R.: Operational Workforce Planning for Check-in Counters at Airports. *Transportation Review. Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 46(3), 414–425 (2010)
 78. Chu, S.: Generating, Scheduling and Rostering of Shift Crew-Duties: Applications at the Hong Kong International Airport. *European Journal of Operational Research* 177(3), 1764–1778 (2007)
 79. Dorndorf, U.: Staff and Resource Scheduling at Airports. In: *Operations Research Proceedings*, pp. 3–7 (2006)
 80. Keller, A., Kruse, R.: Fuzzy Rule Generation for Transfer Passenger Analysis. In: *1st International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSDK02)*, Singapore (2002)
 81. Kisseleff, B., Lüthi, M.: Analysis of Depeaking Effects for Zurich Airport's Ground Handler. *8th Swiss Transportation Research Conference* (2008)
 82. Luethi, M., Nash, A.: De-Peaking Strategies for Improving Airport Ground Operations Productivity at Mid-Sized Hubs. Technical report, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich (2009)
 83. Padrón, S., Guimaranes, D., Ramos, J.J., Fitouri-Trabelsi, S.: A Bi-Objective Approach for Scheduling Ground-Handling Vehicles in Airports. *Computers and Operations Research* 71(August), 34–53 (2016). doi:[10.1016/j.cor.2015.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2015.12.010)
 84. Norin, A., Yuan, D., Granberg, T.A., Värbrand, P.: Scheduling De-Icing Vehicles within Airport Logistics: A Heuristic Algorithm and Performance Evaluation. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 63(8), 1116–1125 (2012). doi:[10.1057/jors.2011.100](https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.2011.100)
 85. Kuhn, K., Loth, S.: Airport Service Vehicle Scheduling. In: *Eighth USA/Europe Air Traffic Management Research and Development Seminar (ATM2009)* (2009)
 86. Kovynyov, I.: Konzeption eines Revenue Information Management Systems Für Swissport International Ltd. Bachelor Thesis, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe (2010)
 87. Schmidberger, S., Bals, L., Hartmann, E., Jahns, C.: Ground Handling Services at European Hub Airports: Development of a Performance Measurement System for Benchmarking. *International Journal of Production Economics* 117(1), 104–116 (2009). doi:[10.1016/j.ijpe.2008.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2008.10.006)
 88. Morris, R., Chang, M.L., Archer, R., Li, E.V.C., Thompson, S., Franke, J.L., Garrett, R.C., Mcguire, K., Hemann, G.: Self-Driving Aircraft Towing Vehicles: A Preliminary Report The Case for Autonomous Towing (August 2016), 41–48 (2015)
 89. Bishop, R.: Intelligent Vehicle Applications Worldwide. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 15(1), 78–81 (2000). doi:[10.1109/5254.820333](https://doi.org/10.1109/5254.820333)
 90. Rawassizadeh, R., Price, B.A., Petre, M.: Wearables: Has the Age of Smartwatches Finally Arrived? *Communications of the ACM* 58(1), 45–47 (2015). doi:[10.1145/2629633](https://doi.org/10.1145/2629633)
 91. Heracleous, L., Wirtz, J.: Biometrics: the Next Frontier in Service Excellence, Productivity and Security in the Service Sector. *Managing Service Quality* 16(1), 12–22 (2006). doi:[10.1108/09604520610639937](https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520610639937)
 92. Want, R.: An Introduction to RFID Technology. *IEEE Pervasive Computing* 5(1), 25–33 (2006)
 93. Hunt, V.D., Puglia, A., Puglia, M.: RFID - A Guide to Radio Frequency Identification, pp. 1–214. A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey (2007). doi:[10.1002/9780470112250](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470112250)
 94. Herbers, J.: Im Takt des internationalen Flugverkehrs. In: *Zukunftsperspektiven des Operations Research*, pp. 165–174. Springer, Wiesbaden (2014)
 95. Ramkumar, T., Hariharan, S., Selvamuthukumar, S.: A Survey on Mining Multiple Data Sources. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 3(1), 1–11 (2013). doi:[10.1002/widm.1077](https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1077)
 96. Mikut, R., Reischl, M.: Data Mining Tools. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 1(5), 431–443 (2011). doi:[10.1002/widm.24](https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.24)
 97. Mankins, J.C.: Technology readiness assessments: A retrospective. *Acta Astronautica* 65(9-10), 1216–1223 (2009)
 98. European Commission: EN Horizon 2010, Work Programme 2016-2017, Annex G: Technology Readiness Levels. Technical report (2017). doi:[10.1007/s11757-014-0258-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11757-014-0258-3)
 99. Leitao, P., Colombo, A.W., Karnouskos, S.: Industrial Automation based on Cyber-Physical Systems Technologies: Prototype Implementations and Challenges. *Computers in Industry* 81, 11–25 (2016). doi:[10.1016/j.compind.2015.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2015.08.004)
 100. Magnaye, R., Sauser, B.J., Ramirez-Marquez, J.E.: System Development Planning Using Readiness Levels in a Cost of Development Minimization Model. *Systems Engineering* 13(4), 311–323 (2010)
 101. González Ordiano, J., Waczowicz, S., Hagenmeyer, V., Mikut, R.: Energy forecasting tools and services. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 8(2), 1235 (2018). doi:[10.1002/widm.1235](https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1235)
 102. González Ordiano, J.Á., Bartschat, A., Ludwig, N., Braun, E., Waczowicz, S., Renkamp, N., Peter, N., Döpmeier, C., Mikut, R., Hagenmeyer, V.: Concept and Benchmark Results for Big Data Energy Forecasting based on Apache Spark. *Journal of Big Data* 5(1), 11 (2018)