

On integers that are covering numbers of groups

Martino Garonzi, Luise-Charlotte Kappe, and Eric Swartz

ABSTRACT. The covering number of a group G , denoted by $\sigma(G)$, is the size of a minimal collection of proper subgroups of G whose union is G . We investigate which integers are covering numbers of groups. We determine which integers 129 or smaller are covering numbers, and we determine precisely or bound the covering number of every primitive monolithic group with a degree of primitivity at most 129 by introducing effective new computational techniques. Furthermore, we prove that, if \mathcal{F}_1 is the family of finite groups G such that all proper quotients of G are solvable, then $\mathbb{N} - \{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ is infinite, which provides further evidence that infinitely many integers are not covering numbers. Finally, we prove that every integer of the form $(q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$, where $m \neq 3$ and q is a prime power, is a covering number, generalizing a result of Cohn.

1. Introduction

A group G is said to have a *finite cover* by subgroups if it is the union of finitely many proper subgroups. A cover of size n of a group G is called a *minimal cover* if no cover of G has fewer than n subgroups. Following J. H. E. Cohn [8], we call the size of a minimal cover of a group G the *covering number*, denoted by $\sigma(G)$. For a survey of results about the covering number of groups (and related results about analogously defined covering numbers of other algebraic structures), see [26].

The parameter $\sigma(G)$ has received a great deal of attention in recent years. One reason for this is the connection to sets of pairwise generators of a group. For a finite noncyclic group G that can be generated by two elements, define $\omega(G)$ to be the largest integer n such that there exists a set S of size n consisting of elements of G such that every pair of distinct elements of S generates G . The covering number $\sigma(G)$ provides a natural and often tight upper bound for $\omega(G)$; see [3, 4, 5, 23] for investigations on the relationship between these two parameters for various simple and almost simple groups.

It suffices to restrict our attention to finite groups when determining covering numbers, since, by a result of B. H. Neumann [32], a group is the union of finitely many proper subgroups if and only if it has a finite noncyclic homomorphic image. Moreover, if a finite cover of a group G exists, then we may realize a finite homomorphic image of G by taking the quotient over the normal core of the intersection of the subgroups belonging to the finite cover. Determining the covering number of a group G predates Cohn's 1994 publication [8]. It is easy to show that no group is the union of two proper subgroups. Already in 1926, Scorza [35] characterized groups having covering number 3 as those groups which have a homomorphic image isomorphic to the Klein four-group, a result forgotten and rediscovered later.

Cohn conjectures in [8] that the covering number of a noncyclic solvable group has the form $p^d + 1$, where p is a prime and d is a positive integer, and he shows that $\sigma(A_5) = 10$ and $\sigma(S_5) = 16$. In [37], Tomkinson proves Cohn's conjecture and shows that there is no group with $\sigma(G) = 7$. In addition, he conjectures that there are no groups with covering number 11, 13, or 15. Tomkinson's conjecture is confirmed only for the case $n = 11$ in [12]. In fact, in [1] it is shown that $\sigma(S_6) = 13$ and in [7] that $\sigma(\text{PSL}(2, 7)) = 15$. Furthermore, Tomkinson suggests that it might be of interest to investigate minimal covers of nonsolvable and, in particular, simple groups. For an overview of the recent contributions addressing this question, we refer to [27]. The real question arising out of Tomkinson's results is to find all integers that are covering

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D60; Secondary 20B15.

Key words and phrases. Subgroup cover; Primitive group.

MG acknowledges the support of the Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF) and the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES).

numbers and ascertain whether there are infinitely many integers that are not covering numbers. The aim of this paper is to investigate which integers are covering numbers of groups.

To show that there are no groups G for which $\sigma(G) = 7$, Tomkinson [37] proved that any group that can be covered by seven subgroups can actually be covered by fewer than seven subgroups. In the long run, this is not the way to attack this problem. In [12], the authors observe that if a group G exists with $\sigma(G) = n$, then there must exist a group H with $\sigma(H) = n$ that has no homomorphic image with covering number n , making H minimal in this sense. The authors of [12] leverage this idea to show that no such group G can exist with $\sigma(G) = 11$. Originally introduced in [12] and following [19], we say that a group G is σ -elementary if $\sigma(G) < \sigma(G/N)$ for every nontrivial normal subgroup N of G . For convenience, we say that the covering number of a cyclic group is infinite. In [19], the first author of this paper shows that a finite σ -elementary nonabelian group with covering number less than 26 is either of affine type or an almost simple group with socle of prime index, which can then be used to determine which integers less than or equal to 25 are covering numbers. From earlier results and those in [19], we have that the integers less than 26 that are not covering numbers are 2, 7, 11, 19, 22, and 25.

In this paper, we extend this classification of integers that are covering numbers up to 129. We formulate this result by listing all integers between 26 and 129 that are not covering numbers.

THEOREM 1.1. *The integers between 26 and 129 which are not covering numbers are 27, 34, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 66, 69, 70, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 81, 83, 87, 88, 89, 91, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 99, 100, 101, 103, 105, 106, 107, 109, 111, 112, 113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 123, 124, 125.*

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 4.5, Proposition 6.1, and Table 6. To prove this result, we need to identify all potential candidates for σ -elementary groups with covering number between 26 and 129. Toward this end, we show in Theorem 4.5 that the σ -elementary groups in question are among the groups with a unique minimal normal subgroup and degree of primitivity not exceeding 129. We say a finite group is *primitive* if it admits a maximal subgroup M with trivial normal core, and the index of M in G is called the primitivity degree of G with respect to M . A finite group that has a unique minimal normal subgroup is called *monolithic*.

This characterization allows us to decide if a given integer in the range is a covering number or not. Using GAP [17], we determine all nonsolvable monolithic groups with degree of primitivity between 26 and 129. According to Theorem 4.5, these are the candidates for nonabelian σ -elementary groups with covering number between 26 and 129 that are not solvable. It is then necessary to determine – or, at least, bound – the covering number of these groups. Either these results are known, or, if not, we have to attain them ourselves.

Computationally, there are two main methods that we use. The first is a method developed in [27], where a program in GAP creates a system of equations that can be solved (either partially or totally) by the linear optimization software GUROBI [21]. This method, which we refer to as Algorithm KNS, is detailed in Section 5.1. The second method is introduced for the first time in this paper and is presented in Section 5.2. It is essentially a greedy algorithm, which works roughly as follows. To build a cover, we take entire conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups. Given a group G , we determine in GAP the minimum number of subgroups from a single conjugacy class of maximal subgroups needed to cover each conjugacy class of elements, and we choose the conjugacy class of elements that requires the maximum number of subgroups (among these minimums). Rather than spending time checking precisely which maximal subgroups are absolutely necessary (something that the first method will do), all subgroups from the entire class of subgroups are chosen to be part of a cover. All conjugacy classes of elements that are covered by subgroups in this class of maximal subgroups are removed, and this process is repeated until all elements of the group are covered. Perhaps surprisingly, the cover produced this way is frequently a minimal cover and can be verified as such quickly using a simple calculation detailed in Lemma 5.1. While the first method is extremely precise, it is often time and memory consuming, and it becomes impractical for groups of order more than half a million on a machine with a Core i7 processor and 16 GB of RAM. The second method, while cruder, runs much faster in practice, and can essentially always be used to provide both upper and lower bounds for the covering number when GAP can determine the maximal subgroups and conjugacy classes of a given group. Pseudocode for this method is provided in Algorithm GKS. When neither of these methods is totally effective, ad hoc methods are used; for this, see Appendix A.

It is a natural question to ask if every nonabelian σ -elementary group is a monolithic primitive group. In this respect, the authors of [12] make the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.2. [12] *Every nonabelian σ -elementary group is a monolithic primitive group.*

So far, no counterexamples to Conjecture 1.2 are known. In Remark 4.3, it is observed that if $\sigma(X) < 2\sigma^*(X)$ (see Definition 2.4) for all primitive monolithic groups X with a nonabelian socle, then Conjecture 1.2 is true. (See also the preceding Lemma 4.2, which represents how close we are to proving Conjecture 1.2.) For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we establish in the proof of Theorem 4.5 that this inequality holds at least when $\sigma(X) < 130$. The question arises if this inequality can be extended to bounds larger than 130. On the other hand, the techniques used to determine the covering numbers of the candidate groups with primitivity degree at most 129 were often pushed to the limit. (For instance, there is one group in particular whose covering number cannot be determined to any smaller range than between 138 and 166 using current methods; for this, see Table 11.) Extending the bound of the primitivity degree may then necessitate new methods (or the use of extremely powerful computers) for determining covering numbers.

After the conjectures of Tomkinson [37] were settled, i.e., precisely which integers up to 18 are not covering numbers, only three out of the 17 integers between 2 and 18 were found not to be covering numbers, around 18%. One possibility is that there are only finitely many integers that are not covering numbers. The statistics following Theorem 1.1 tell us that around fifty percent of the integers less than 130 are not covering numbers, leading us to make the following conjecture with confidence.

CONJECTURE 1.3. *Let \mathcal{E} be the set of all integers that are covering numbers. Then, the set $\mathbb{N} - \mathcal{E}$ is infinite, in other words there are infinitely many natural numbers that are not covering numbers.*

Our results here about integers that are not covering numbers in a certain range were obtained by determining the complement in this range. Indications are that a proof of Conjecture 1.3 requires a similar approach. By [12, Theorem 1], a σ -elementary group G with no abelian minimal normal subgroups is of one of two types:

- (1) G is a primitive monolithic group such that $G/\text{soc}(G)$ is cyclic, or
- (2) $G/\text{soc}(G)$ is nonsolvable, and all the nonabelian composition factors of $G/\text{soc}(G)$ are alternating groups of odd degree.

The following result represents progress toward proving Conjecture 1.3 by dealing with the groups of type (1).

THEOREM 1.4. *Let \mathcal{G} be the family of primitive monolithic groups G with nonabelian socle such that $G/\text{soc}(G)$ is cyclic. Then there exists a constant c such that for every $x > 0$,*

$$|\{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}, \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq cx^{5/6}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{N} - \{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is infinite.

Given Tomkinson's result about the covering number of solvable groups and in light of Conjecture 1.2 and Theorem 1.4, it is perhaps probable even that almost all integers are not covering numbers; that is, we make the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{E}(n) := \{m : m \leq n, \sigma(G) = m \text{ for some group } G\}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{E}(n)|}{n} = 0.$$

As a corollary of Theorem 1.4, we prove the following result, which can be viewed as additional evidence for the validity of Conjecture 1.3.

COROLLARY 1.6. *Let \mathcal{F}_1 be the family of finite groups G such that all proper quotients of G are solvable. Then the set $\mathbb{N} - \{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$ is infinite.*

Indeed, one may view Corollary 1.6 as a generalization of Tomkinson's result about the covering numbers of solvable groups (see Proposition 6.1 (ii)) in the following sense. Let \mathcal{F}_n denote the set of all finite groups with at most n nonsolvable quotients. Note that $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_j$ for all $i < j$, and, if we define $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$, then \mathcal{F} is the family of all finite groups. If for a collection of groups \mathcal{C} we define

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C}) := \{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

then Conjecture 1.3 is that $\mathbb{N} - \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ is infinite. A consequence of Tomkinson's result is that $\mathbb{N} - \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is infinite, and Corollary 1.6 above states that $\mathbb{N} - \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_1)$ is infinite. The next step toward proving Conjecture 1.3 would be to prove that $\mathbb{N} - \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_2)$ is infinite, and for this one needs to determine (among other things) the covering number of affine groups, i.e., primitive groups with an abelian socle. As a first step in this process, we prove the following.

THEOREM 1.7. *Let $q = p^d$, where p is prime and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $n \geq 1$, $n \neq 2$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\sigma(\text{AGL}(n, q)) = \sigma(\text{ASL}(n, q)) = \frac{q^{n+1} - 1}{q - 1}.$$

In particular, for all $m \geq 2$, $m \neq 3$, $(q^m - 1)/(q - 1)$ is a covering number.

This result can also be viewed as a generalization of a result of Cohn [8, Corollary to Lemma 17]. There it is shown that all integers of the form $(q^2 - 1)/(q - 1) = q + 1$, where q is a prime power, are covering numbers.

Our hope is to obtain further density results along the lines of Theorem 1.4 for σ -elementary groups and eventually arrive at a proof of Conjecture 1.3. Other than the remaining primitive groups with abelian socle, we would like to highlight the family of wreath products $S \text{ wr } K$, where S is a nonabelian simple group and K is a transitive group of degree k . These groups are an archetypal case among those that remain, and it would be interesting to prove results for this family in particular.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains preparatory results for subsequent sections, especially the following two sections. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.4, the density result for a certain class of σ -elementary groups, and Section 4 contains the proof of the necessary condition that a nonabelian σ -elementary group G with $\sigma(G) \leq 129$ is a monolithic primitive group with a degree of primitivity of at most 129. Section 5 details the two main computational methods used to determine covering numbers.

The covering numbers or estimates of them are known for some classes of monolithic primitive groups that are candidates to be σ -elementary groups with covering number at most 129, and these results are summarized in Section 6. The covering number for groups in two other families of monolithic primitive groups, the affine general linear groups and the affine special linear groups, are determined in Section 7, which also shows that every integer of the form $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, where q is a prime power and $n > 3$, is a covering number. All our results for calculations and bounds are summarized in tables in Section 8, among which we have a list of the nonsolvable σ -elementary groups with $\sigma(G) \leq 129$ in Table 6. If p is a prime and d is a positive integer, then this table together with the integers in this range of the form $p^d + 1$ (that is, the covering numbers of solvable groups by [37]) establish Theorem 1.1. Finally, Appendix A contains calculations or bounds for the covering number for the various groups that could not be dealt with using the methods of the previous sections.

2. Background

In this section for the convenience of the reader we begin with some well-known concepts used throughout this paper and present some relevant definitions and lemmas which can be found in earlier publications addressing this topic. The uninitiated reader is encouraged to consult [14] or [34].

The *socle* of a finite group G , denoted $\text{soc}(G)$, is the subgroup of G generated by the minimal normal subgroups of G , and, in fact, the socle of G is a direct product of some minimal normal subgroups of G . A finite group G is said to be *monolithic* if it admits a unique minimal normal subgroup, which therefore equals the socle of G .

A finite group G is said to be *primitive* if it admits a maximal subgroup M such that $M_G = \bigcap_{g \in G} g^{-1} M g$ (the *normal core* of M) is trivial, and in this case the index $|G : M|$ is called a *primitivity degree* (or *degree of primitivity*) of G ; a primitive group in general has many primitivity degrees. This definition for abstract groups is equivalent to the permutation group definition; that is, if Ω is a finite set, then $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is primitive on the set Ω if and only if G is transitive on and stabilizes no nontrivial partition of Ω . (The equivalence can be seen by taking the set of right cosets of M in G to be Ω under the action of right multiplication.) It is well-known that a finite primitive group G is either monolithic or it admits precisely two minimal normal subgroups, and, in this case, such minimal normal subgroups are nonabelian and isomorphic; see, for instance, [2, Theorem 1.1.7].

Any minimal normal subgroup of a finite group G is *characteristically simple*, so it has the form S^r for some simple group S (which could be abelian) and some positive integer r . A minimal normal subgroup N of G is said to be *supplemented* if it admits a *supplement* in G , that is, a proper subgroup H of G such that $HN = G$, and *complemented* if it admits a *complement* in G , that is, a supplement H such that $H \cap N = \{1\}$. The minimal normal subgroup N is said to be *Frattini* if it is contained in the Frattini subgroup of G , which is the intersection of the maximal subgroups of G , and *non-Frattini*, otherwise. (Note that a Frattini minimal normal subgroup is automatically abelian, since the Frattini subgroup is nilpotent.)

We now present some results regarding the Frattini subgroup and minimal normal subgroups. For a minimal normal subgroup N of G , being non-Frattini is equivalent to being supplemented, where the supplement of N is any maximal subgroup of G which does not contain N . For an abelian minimal normal subgroup N of G , being supplemented is equivalent to being complemented: if H is a supplement of N in G , then $H \cap N \neq N$, since $HN = G$; $H \cap N$ is normal in H , since N normal in G ; and $H \cap N$ is normal in N , since N is abelian. Hence, we have $N \cap H \trianglelefteq NH = G$, and $N \cap H = \{1\}$, since N a minimal normal subgroup. Also, observe that a monolithic group G is primitive if and only if the Frattini subgroup of G is trivial: indeed, if G is primitive, then it is clear from the definition that the Frattini subgroup of G is trivial; conversely, suppose that G is monolithic with trivial Frattini subgroup. Since G is monolithic, the socle of G is contained in every nontrivial normal subgroup of G , and, since G has trivial Frattini subgroup, there must exist a maximal subgroup with trivial normal core, since, otherwise, the Frattini subgroup would contain the socle. Hence, G is primitive.

Following [13], the *primitive monolithic group* X_N associated to a non-Frattini minimal normal subgroup N of a group G is defined as follows, based on whether or not N is abelian:

- If N is abelian, then there exists a complement H of N in G . Then $C_H(N) \trianglelefteq G$ and we define $X_N := G/C_H(N)$.
- If N is nonabelian, then we define $X_N := G/C_G(N)$.

The following observations can be proved easily. In the case when is N abelian the primitive monolithic group associated to N depends on the choice of complement; however, choosing a different complement gives an isomorphic primitive group. In any case, X_N is a primitive monolithic group with socle isomorphic with N (the socle is $NC_H(N)/C_H(N)$ in the first case and $NC_G(N)/C_G(N)$ in the second case). Observe that if G is itself primitive and monolithic, then G coincides with the primitive monolithic group associated with its socle: if G is primitive and monolithic with socle N , then the centralizer of N in G is trivial if N is nonabelian and equals N if N is abelian; otherwise, a larger centralizer would give rise to a nontrivial normal subgroup not containing N in both cases.

Let $\sigma(G)$ denote the covering number of G , with $\sigma(G) = \infty$ if G is cyclic (with the convention that $n < \infty$ for all integers n). It is easy to see that $\sigma(G) \leq \sigma(G/N)$ for all normal subgroups N of G , and, with this in mind, we have the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.1. *A finite noncyclic group G is said to be σ -elementary if $\sigma(G) < \sigma(G/N)$ for all nontrivial normal subgroups N of G .*

In the following result, $\Phi(G)$ denotes the Frattini subgroup of G .

LEMMA 2.2 ([12] Corollary 14). *Let G be a finite σ -elementary group. If G is abelian, then $G \cong C_p \times C_p$ for some prime p . If G is nonabelian, then the following hold:*

- (1) *The Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of G is trivial.*
- (2) *G has at most one abelian minimal normal subgroup;*
- (3) *Let $\text{soc}(G) = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_n$ be the socle of G , where N_1, \dots, N_n are the minimal normal subgroups of G . Then G is a subdirect product of the primitive monolithic groups X_i associated to the N_i 's, and the natural map from G to $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ given by the natural projections of G onto each X_i is injective.*

We also note the following additional structural result.

LEMMA 2.3 ([8] Theorem 4). *If G is a nonabelian σ -elementary group, then the center of G is trivial.*

The following definition provides a concept that is useful for bounding the covering number of a group from below.

DEFINITION 2.4 ([12] Definition 15). *Let X be a primitive monolithic group with socle N . If Ω is an arbitrary union of cosets of N in X , define $\sigma_\Omega(X)$ to be the smallest number of supplements of N in X needed to cover Ω . Define*

$$\sigma^*(X) := \min\{\sigma_\Omega(X) \mid \Omega = \bigcup_i \omega_i N, \langle \Omega \rangle = X\}.$$

The values of $\sigma^*(X_i)$, where the X_i are as in Lemma 2.2, provide a lower bound for $\sigma(G)$ when G is a σ -elementary group in terms of the primitive monolithic groups associated to its minimal normal subgroups. The following is a useful lower bound.

LEMMA 2.5 ([12] Proposition 16). *Let G be a nonabelian group, let $\text{soc}(G) = N_1 \times \dots \times N_n$, and let X_1, \dots, X_n be the primitive monolithic groups associated to N_1, \dots, N_n , respectively. If G is σ -elementary, then*

$$\sigma^*(X_1) + \dots + \sigma^*(X_n) \leq \sigma(G).$$

For a primitive monolithic group X with socle N , we denote by $\ell_X(N)$ the minimal index of a proper supplement of N in X . In other words, $\ell_X(N)$ is the smallest primitivity degree of X .

LEMMA 2.6 ([12] Remark 17). *If X is a primitive monolithic group, then*

$$\sigma^*(X) \geq \ell_X(\text{soc}(X)).$$

If G is a nonabelian σ -elementary group, N_1, \dots, N_n are the minimal normal subgroups of G , and X_1, \dots, X_n are the primitive monolithic groups associated to N_1, \dots, N_n , respectively, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{X_i}(N_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^*(X_i) \leq \sigma(G).$$

In particular, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the group X_i has primitivity degree at most $\sigma(G)$.

The following lemmas from [12] are critical in later proofs. Note that Lemma 2.9 below includes information contained in the proof as well as the statement of [12, Proposition 10].

LEMMA 2.7 ([12] Lemma 18). *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group X . If a set of subgroups of X covers a coset yN of N in X , then it also covers every coset $y^\alpha N$ with α prime to $|y|$.*

LEMMA 2.8 ([12] Proposition 21). *Let G be a nonabelian σ -elementary group. If a proper quotient G/N is solvable, then it is cyclic.*

LEMMA 2.9 ([12] Proposition 10). *Let G be a group. If V is a complemented normal abelian subgroup of G and $V \cap Z(G) = \{1\}$, then $\sigma(G) \leq 2|V| - 1$. In particular, if V is a minimal normal subgroup, where $q = |\text{End}_G(V)|$ and $|V| = q^n$, and H is a complement of V in G , then the collection*

$$\{H^v : v \in V\} \cup \{C_H(W)V : W \leq V, \dim_{\text{GF}(q)}(W) = 1\}$$

is a cover for G and

$$\sigma(G) \leq 1 + q + \dots + q^n = \frac{q^{n+1} - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Finally, the following lemmas prove to be extremely useful when calculating covering numbers. The first lemma is a straightforward criterion for showing that a maximal subgroup is contained in any minimal cover containing only maximal subgroups.

LEMMA 2.10. [19, Lemma 1] *If H is a maximal subgroup of a group G and $\sigma(H) > \sigma(G)$, then H appears in every minimal cover of G containing only maximal subgroups. In particular, if H is maximal and non-normal then $\sigma(H) < [G : H]$ implies $\sigma(G) \geq \sigma(H)$.*

The final lemma of this section is due to Detomi and Lucchini (also proved independently by S. M. Jafarian Amiri [24]) and is useful when proving results about covering numbers of primitive groups with an elementary abelian minimal normal subgroup.

LEMMA 2.11. [12, Corollary 6] *If G is a primitive group with stabilizer H and unique abelian minimal normal subgroup N , then $\sigma(G) \geq |N| + 1$ or $\sigma(G) = \sigma(H)$.*

3. A density result

One of the main problems about group coverings is the following: what does the set of numbers of the form $\sigma(G)$, where G is a finite group, look like?

Recall that Conjecture 1.3 hypothesizes that there are infinitely many natural numbers that are not covering numbers. A good strategy to approach this conjecture is the following: first, find a specific (and “easy” to handle) family \mathcal{F} of groups such that $\{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{F}\} = \mathcal{E}$ and then deal with the family \mathcal{F} . If Conjecture 1.2 is true, then, because we clearly can choose as \mathcal{F} the family of σ -elementary groups, we may choose as \mathcal{F} the family of primitive monolithic groups. An important subfamily of it is the family of primitive monolithic groups whose quotient over the socle is cyclic, since the proper solvable quotients of σ -elementary groups are cyclic. In this section, we show that the density of the values $\sigma(G)$ for G a primitive monolithic group with $G/\text{soc}(G)$ cyclic is zero; specifically, setting \mathcal{G} to be the family of such primitive monolithic groups, we show that

$$|\{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}, \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq cx^{5/6}$$

for some constant c . This implies that $\mathbb{N} - \{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is infinite.

Before we can proceed with the proof of the main theorem in this section, we need some preparatory results. The first can be considered part of the O’Nan-Scott Theorem (see [2, Remark 1.1.40]). Let G be a primitive monolithic group with nonabelian socle $N = T^m$. Let H be a maximal subgroup of G such that $N \not\subseteq H$, i.e. $HN = G$ and H supplements N . Suppose $H \cap N \neq \{1\}$, i.e., H does not complement N . Since N is a minimal normal subgroup of G and H is a maximal subgroup of G not containing N , $H = N_G(H \cap N)$. In the following, let $X := N_G(T_1)/C_G(T_1)$, which is an almost simple group with socle $T_1 C_G(T_1)/C_G(T_1) \cong T$. There are two possibilities for the intersection $H \cap N$, and the primitive group G is described as having one of two types, depending on the possibility. These two types and some basic properties of each are described as follows.

- (1) **Product type.** In this case, the projections $H \cap N \rightarrow T_i$ are not surjective. This implies that there exists a subgroup M of T , which is an intersection of T with a maximal subgroup of X , such that $N_X(M)$ supplements T in X , and there exist elements $a_2, \dots, a_m \in T$ such that $H \cap N$ equals

$$M \times M^{a_2} \times \dots \times M^{a_m}.$$

- (2) **Diagonal type.** In this case, the projections $H \cap N \rightarrow T_i$ are surjective. This implies that there exists a minimal H -invariant partition P of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ into imprimitivity blocks of the action of H on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $H \cap N$ equals

$$\prod_{D \in P} (H \cap N)^{\pi_D},$$

and, for each $D \in P$, the projection $(H \cap N)^{\pi_D}$ is a *full diagonal* subgroup of $\prod_{i \in D} T_i$. (Following [2, Definition 1.1.37], a subgroup H of $\prod_{i \in D} T_i$ is said to be *full diagonal* if each projection $\pi_i : H \rightarrow T_i$ is an isomorphism.)

For a finite nonabelian simple group T , denote by $m(T)$ the minimal index of a proper subgroup of G , which is equal to the minimal degree of a transitive permutation representation of T . Recall that $\ell_G(N)$ denotes the minimal index of a proper supplement of N in G . The following lemma provides information about $\ell_G(N)$ for primitive monolithic groups G with socle N .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let G be a primitive monolithic group with socle N . If N is abelian, then $\ell_G(N) = |N|$. If N is nonabelian, then write $N = T^r$ with T a nonabelian simple group. Let H be a maximal subgroup of G supplementing N .*

- (i) *If H complements N , then $|G : H| = |N| = |T|^r$.*
- (ii) *If H has product type, then $H = N_G(M \times M^{a_2} \times \dots \times M^{a_r})$ for some subgroup M of T of the form $Y \cap T$, where Y is a maximal subgroup of X supplementing T , and $|G : H| = |T : M|^r$.*
- (iii) *If H has diagonal type, then $H = N_G(\Delta)$, where Δ is a product of r/c diagonal subgroups (in the sense of the above description of diagonal type) with c a prime divisor of r larger than 1, and $|G : H| = |T|^{r-r/c}$.*

Moreover $\ell_G(N) \geq m(T)^r$.

PROOF. Suppose N is abelian. Since G is primitive, N is non-Frattini, so it is complemented and each of its complements have index $\ell_G(N) = |N|$.

Suppose N is nonabelian. The three listed facts in the statement follow easily from the fact that $|G : H| = |N : H \cap N|$. Now let us prove that $\ell_G(N) \geq m(T)^r$. Since $m(T)^r \leq |T : M|^r$ for every proper subgroup M of T , it suffices to show that $m(T)^r \leq |T|^{r-r/c}$ for every divisor $c > 1$ of r . For this, it is enough to show that $m(T)^r \leq |T|^{r/2}$, i.e., $m(T)^2 \leq |T|$. This is true by inspection, using [11]. \square

Next, we will prove a technical lemma which basically says under the right conditions that, if a set of numbers is “small,” then the set of all possible powers of those numbers is also small.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let A be a subset of \mathbb{N} , and for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let*

$$\theta(x) := |\{n \in A : n \leq x\}|.$$

If there exists a constant c such that $\log(x)\theta(x^{1/2}) \leq c\theta(x)$ for every $x > 0$, then there exists a constant d such that

$$|\{n^k : n \in A, k \in \mathbb{N}, n^k \leq x\}| \leq d\theta(x)$$

for every $x > 0$.

PROOF. Let $N(x)$ be the smallest natural number such that $2^{N(x)} > x$. Clearly there exists a constant b such that $N(x) \leq b \log(x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |\{n^k : n \in A, k \in \mathbb{N}, n^k \leq x\}| &\leq \theta(x) + \theta(x^{1/2}) + \dots + \theta(x^{1/N(x)}) \\ &\leq \theta(x) + N(x)\theta(x^{1/2}) \leq d\theta(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $d = 1 + bc$. \square

The following result is due to Frobenius; see [10, Section 5] for a modern treatment. Here, if H and K are two groups and $K \leq S_n$, then $H \text{ wr } K$ denotes the wreath product between H and K , i.e., the semidirect product $H^n \rtimes K$, where K acts on H^n by permuting the coordinates.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let H be a subgroup of the finite group G , let x_1, \dots, x_n be a right transversal for H in G , and let ξ be any homomorphism with domain H . Then the map $G \rightarrow \xi(H) \text{ wr } S_n$ given by*

$$x \mapsto (\xi(x_1 x x_1^{-1}), \dots, \xi(x_n x x_n^{-1}))\pi,$$

where $\pi \in S_n$ satisfies $x_i x x_i^{-1} \in H$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, is a well-defined homomorphism with kernel equal to the normal core $(\ker \xi)_G$.

Next, in the following remark we establish some notation and basic results about monolithic groups with a nonabelian socle.

REMARK 3.4. *Let G be a monolithic group with socle $N = \text{soc}(G) = T_1 \times \dots \times T_m$, where T_1, \dots, T_m are pairwise isomorphic nonabelian simple groups. We also define $X := N_G(T_1)/C_G(T_1)$, which is an almost-simple group with socle $T := T_1 C_G(T_1)/C_G(T_1) \cong T_1$. The minimal normal subgroups of $T^m = T_1 \times \dots \times T_m$ are precisely its factors T_1, \dots, T_m . Since automorphisms send minimal normal subgroups to minimal normal subgroups, it follows that G acts on the m factors of N . Let $\rho : G \rightarrow S_m$ be the homomorphism induced by the conjugation action of G on the set $\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$. The group $K := \rho(G)$ is a transitive permutation group of degree m . Choosing $H := N_G(T_1)$ and $\xi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_1)$, the homomorphism given by the conjugation action of H on T_1 , by Theorem 3.3, we see that G embeds in the wreath product $X \text{ wr } K$.*

We need some consequences of the classification of finite simple groups (henceforth CFSG); see [20]. For T a finite nonabelian simple group, recall that $m(T)$ denotes the minimal index of a proper subgroup of T . Clearly $m(A_n) = n$, and the value of $m(T)$ when T is a group of Lie type can be found in [11, Table 1].

LEMMA 3.5. *Let T be a nonabelian finite simple group.*

- (1) *There exists a constant c such that $|\text{Out}(T)| \leq c \log(m(T))$.*
- (2) *If T is non-alternating and not of the form $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, then there are at most $c x^{1/2} / \log(x)$ groups T such that $m(T) \leq x$, where c is a constant.*

PROOF. Item (1) follows from CFSG by inspection. For (2), by CFSG, if q is the size of the base field and T is not $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, then there always is a constant b such that $bq^2 \leq m(T)$ so that $m(T) \leq x$ implies $q \leq (x/b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and by the Prime Number Theorem and Lemma 3.2, there are at most $cx^{\frac{1}{2}}/\log(x)$ choices for q , where c is a constant. For a given q , we need only consider the constant number of families where $m(T) \sim q^2$. Indeed, if $bq^3 \leq m(T)$, then we may replace the square root by a cube root, and there are on the order of $\log(x)$ possible values of n , and so there are at most $(dx^{\frac{1}{3}}/\log(x)) \cdot \log(x)$ total possibilities outside the families when $m(T) \sim q^2$, where d is a constant. The result follows. \square

Let \mathcal{G} be a family of monolithic σ -elementary groups with nonabelian socle, and for $G \in \mathcal{G}$, let $\text{soc}(G) = T^k$ for T a nonabelian simple group and let $n_\sigma(G) := m(T)^k$. The following lemma provides bounds for the number of integers that are covering numbers of groups in \mathcal{G} in terms of the number of integers that are of the form $n_\sigma(G)$ for $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let \mathcal{H} be a subfamily of \mathcal{G} . Define*

$$A := \{\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{H}\}, \quad B := \{n_\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Let $g(x)$ be a function such that, for all $n \leq x$,

$$|\{G \in \mathcal{H} : n_\sigma(G) = n\}| \leq g(x).$$

Then

$$|\{n \in A : n \leq x\}| \leq g(x) \cdot |\{n \in B : n \leq x\}|.$$

PROOF. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{n \in A : n \leq x\}| &\leq |\{G \in \mathcal{H} : \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq |\{G \in \mathcal{H} : n_\sigma(G) \leq x\}| \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} |\{G \in \mathcal{H} : n_\sigma(G) = n\}| \leq g(x) \cdot |\{n \in B : n \leq x\}|. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Next, we restate a lemma from [37], since there is a misprint in the original version.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let N be a proper subgroup of the finite group G . Let U_1, \dots, U_k be proper subgroups of G containing N and V_1, \dots, V_k be subgroups such that $V_i N = G$ with $|G : V_i| = \beta_i$ and $\beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_k$. If*

$$G = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_h \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k,$$

where $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_h \neq G$, then $\beta_1 \leq k$. Furthermore, if $\beta_1 = k$, then $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_k = k$ and $V_i \cap V_j \leq U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_h$ for all $i \neq j$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.6.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. Let us use the notation established in Remark 3.4. Let g be an element of G which generates G modulo $\text{soc}(G)$. We know that G embeds in the wreath product $X \text{ wr } K$, so g has the form $(x_1, \dots, x_k)\tau$, where $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $\tau \in K$ is a k -cycle in S_k that generates K . Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that τ is the k -cycle $(1\ 2 \ \dots \ k)$. Observe that conjugating τ by $(1, 1, \dots, 1, x)$ for any $x \in X$ gives $(1, 1, \dots, 1, x, x^{-1})\tau$, and conjugating elements of T^k by τ has the effect of ‘‘cycling’’ the coordinates. This implies that up to replacing G by a conjugate of G in $X \text{ wr } K$ we may assume that $g = (1, \dots, 1, x)\tau$, where x is a generator of X modulo T ; such a generator x must exist since $G/\text{soc}(G)$ is cyclic. Since $X/T \leq \text{Out}(T)$, this implies that in \mathcal{G} there are at most $|\text{Out}(T)|$ isomorphism classes of groups G with given socle T^k .

We claim that for fixed $j \leq x$ the number of simple groups T with $m(T) = j$ is at most $cx^{\frac{1}{3}}$, where c is a constant. This is transparent in the case of sporadic and alternating groups. Groups of Lie type are parametrized by two numbers, q and n , where q is the size of the base field and n is the dimension of the vector space. A simple inspection using [11, Table 1] shows that, if $m(S) = j \leq x$, then $q^{n-1} \leq x$, and for any $n \leq 3$ we have exactly one choice for q . This in turn gives a bounded number of choices for T since the table is finite. For $n \geq 4$ we have $q \leq x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and at most $d \log(x)$ choices for n , so, using Lemma 3.2 and the Prime Number Theorem, we find at most $d \log(x)x^{\frac{1}{3}}/\log(x^{\frac{1}{3}})$ choices for T , where d is a constant, giving an upper bound of $3dx^{\frac{1}{3}}$. This holds for every table entry, and the claim now follows from the fact that the table has a constant number of entries.

By Lemma 3.5 (1) there exists a positive constant d such that, when setting $g(x) = d \log(x)x^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we have

$$|\{G \in \mathcal{G} : n_\sigma(G) = n\}| \leq g(n) \leq g(x)$$

for every $n \leq x$, observing that there are $o(1) \log(x)$ choices for $m(S)$, and hence k is uniquely determined. We are going to use this function $g(x)$ below when we apply Lemma 3.6.

Let \mathcal{A} be the family of the alternating groups A_n with $n \geq 5$, and let \mathcal{P} be the set of simple groups isomorphic to $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ with q a prime power. As in Lemma 3.5 (2), let \mathcal{S} be the family of the nonabelian simple groups not in $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{A}$. Observe that \mathcal{G} is a disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{G}_i$ where

$$\mathcal{G}_1 := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : k \geq 1, T \in \mathcal{S}\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_2 := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : k = 1, T \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_3 := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : k = 2, T \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_4 := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : k \geq 3, T \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_5 := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : k = 1, T \in \mathcal{P}\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_6 := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : k \geq 2, T \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.7, $n_\sigma(G) \leq \ell_G(\text{soc}(G)) \leq \sigma(G)$.

Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.5 (2), we see that

$$|\{n_\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_1, \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq c_1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} / \log(x).$$

Using that $n^3 \leq \sigma(A_n), \sigma(S_n)$ for n large (see [31, Theorem 3.1] and [29, Theorem 9.2]) and that $\text{Aut}(A_n) = S_n$ for n large, we see that

$$|\{G \in \mathcal{G}_2 : \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq c_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Since $m(A_n) = n$ and $\text{Aut}(A_n \times A_n) = \text{Aut}(A_n) \text{ wr } C_2$, we clearly have

$$|\{G \in \mathcal{G}_3 : \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq c_3 x^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|\{n_\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_4, \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq c_4 x^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

If $G \in \mathcal{G}_5$ and q is the size of the base field, then $q \leq x$. If q is not a prime, then by the Prime Number Theorem there are at most $o(1)x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such q , since, if $q = p^f$ with p prime and $f > 1$, then $p^2 \leq p^f = q$ gives at most $o(1)x^{\frac{1}{2}} / \log(x)$ choices for p and at most $o(1) \log(x)$ choices for f . Using that for p a large prime we have $p^2/2 \leq \sigma(\text{PSL}(2, p)) = \sigma(\text{PGL}(2, p))$ (see [7]) and that $\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(2, p)) = \text{PGL}(2, p)$, together with the Prime Number Theorem, we see that

$$|\{G \in \mathcal{G}_5 : \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq c_5 x^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Again using the Prime Number Theorem and Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$|\{n_\sigma(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}_6, \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \leq c_6 x^{\frac{1}{2}} / \log(x).$$

Combining the above with Lemma 3.6, where $g(x) = d \log(x)x^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{G \in \mathcal{G} : \sigma(G) \leq x\}| \\ & \leq c_1 d x^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{3}} + c_2 x^{\frac{1}{3}} + c_3 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_4 d x^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{1}{3}} \log(x) + c_5 x^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_6 d x^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is at most $cx^{5/6}$ with c a constant, completing the proof. \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.6. Since the covering numbers of solvable groups are of the form $q + 1$ with q a prime power (by Tomkinson's result [37]), we know that there are infinitely many natural numbers that are not the covering number of a solvable group. Let now $G \in \mathcal{F}$ be nonsolvable. Up to replacing G with a suitable σ -elementary quotient G_0 of G such that $\sigma(G) = \sigma(G_0)$, we may assume that G is σ -elementary. The group G must have a unique minimal normal subgroup N , where N is nonabelian; otherwise, if N and L are two minimal normal subgroups of G , then N is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/L , which is solvable, and L is isomorphic to a subgroup of G/N , which is solvable as well, contradicting the fact that G is nonsolvable. Since G is σ -elementary and G/N is solvable, G/N is cyclic. Moreover, $\Phi(G) = \{1\}$ by Lemma 2.2. This implies that G is a primitive monolithic group with $G/\text{soc}(G)$ cyclic, and now the result follows by Theorem 1.4. \square

4. Nonabelian σ -elementary groups whose covering number is at most 129

In this section, we prove that any nonabelian σ -elementary group with covering number at most 129 is both primitive and monolithic. When combined with the calculations of Section 8 and Appendix A, this allows us to determine precisely which integers less than or equal to 129 are covering numbers of finite groups. The main theorem in this section is an easy consequence of the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let G be a primitive monolithic group with nonabelian socle N . Then there exists a set $\{g_1N, \dots, g_kN\}$ generating G/N with the property that*

$$\sigma(\langle g_i, N \rangle) \leq \sigma^*(G) + \omega(|g_iN|_{G/N})$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, where $|g_iN|_{G/N}$ denotes the order of g_iN in G/N and $\omega(m)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of m .

PROOF. There exists a set $\{g_1N, \dots, g_kN\}$ generating G/N with the property that $\sigma^*(G) = \sigma_\Omega(G)$, where $\Omega = g_1N \cup \dots \cup g_kN$. In particular, for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have $\sigma_{g_iN}(G) \leq \sigma^*(G)$. If H is a proper supplement of N in G , then $H \cap \langle g_i, N \rangle$ is a proper supplement of N in $\langle g_i, N \rangle$, and therefore g_iN is contained in a union of $\sigma_{g_iN}(G)$ proper subgroups of $\langle g_i, N \rangle$. By Lemma 2.7, in order to cover $\langle g_i, N \rangle$ with proper subgroups it suffices to use a family of proper subgroups covering g_iN and the maximal subgroups of $\langle g_i, N \rangle$ containing N . This implies that

$$\sigma(\langle g_i, N \rangle) \leq \sigma_{g_iN}(G) + \omega(|g_iN|_{G/N}) \leq \sigma^*(G) + \omega(|g_iN|_{G/N}),$$

concluding the proof. \square

LEMMA 4.2. *Let n be a fixed positive integer. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of monolithic primitive groups X of primitivity degree at most n , with nonabelian socle N , where X/N is either nonsolvable or cyclic, and where $\sigma^*(X) \leq 2n + 1$. If for all $X \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $\sigma(X) < 2\sigma^*(X)$, then every nonabelian σ -elementary group G with $\sigma(G) \leq 2n + 1$ is primitive and monolithic.*

PROOF. Let G be a nonabelian σ -elementary group, and let $\text{soc}(G) = N_1 \times \dots \times N_t$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that at most one of the N_i 's is abelian. So we may assume that N_i is nonabelian whenever $i \geq 2$. We need to show that $t = 1$, so assume for the purpose of contradiction that $t \geq 2$. Let X_i be the primitive monolithic group associated with N_i for all $i = 1, \dots, t$. By Lemma 2.5 we know that $\sum_{i=1}^t \sigma^*(X_i) \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2n + 1$ for all i ; in particular, $\sigma^*(X_i) \leq 2n + 1$ for all i . We consider the two possible cases.

Assume first that N_1 is abelian. In this case, since the center of G is trivial by Lemma 2.3, $\sigma(G) < 2|N_1|$ by Lemma 2.9, and, since $\ell_{X_1}(N_1) = |N_1|$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma(G) + \ell_{X_2}(N_2) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t \sigma^*(X_i) \leq \sigma(G).$$

This means $2\ell_{X_2}(N_2) \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2n + 1$, and therefore $\ell_{X_2}(N_2) \leq n$. Since X_2 is a quotient of G , we conclude that X_2/N_2 is either nonsolvable or cyclic by Lemma 2.8, implying $\sigma(X_2) < 2\sigma^*(X_2)$ by hypothesis. Since X_2 is a quotient of G , we have $\sigma(G) \leq \sigma(X_2) < 2\sigma^*(X_2)$, and, by Lemma 2.6, we have $\ell_{X_2}(N_2) \leq \sigma^*(X_2)$. Combining this with Lemma 2.9 yields

$$\ell_{X_1}(N_1) + \ell_{X_2}(N_2) \leq |N_1| + \sigma^*(X_2) \leq \sigma(G) < \min\{2|N_1|, 2\sigma^*(X_2)\}.$$

But $|N_1| + \sigma^*(X_2) < 2|N_1|$ implies $\sigma^*(X_2) < |N_1|$ and $|N_1| + \sigma^*(X_2) < 2\sigma^*(X_2)$ implies $|N_1| < \sigma^*(X_2)$, a contradiction.

We may thus assume N_1 is nonabelian. In this case, we may assume that

$$\min\{\sigma^*(X_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\} = \sigma^*(X_1).$$

Therefore,

$$2\ell_{X_1}(N_1) \leq 2\sigma^*(X_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t \sigma^*(X_i) \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2n + 1,$$

which implies $\ell_{X_1}(N_1) \leq n$, and so, since X_1 is a quotient of G , we have that X_1/N_1 is either nonsolvable or cyclic by Lemma 2.8, we have $\sigma(X_1) < 2\sigma^*(X_1)$ by hypothesis. Hence

$$t \cdot \sigma^*(X_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t \sigma^*(X_i) \leq \sigma(G) \leq \sigma(X_1) < 2\sigma^*(X_1),$$

which contradicts the fact that $t \geq 2$, completing the proof. \square

REMARK 4.3. *If $\sigma(X) < 2\sigma^*(X)$ for all primitive monolithic groups X with a nonabelian socle, then Lemma 4.2 implies that Conjecture 1.2 is true.*

LEMMA 4.4. *Let X be a primitive monolithic group with nonabelian socle N . If X/N is a cyclic p -group for some prime p then $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma^*(X) + 1 < 2\sigma^*(X)$.*

PROOF. Since X/N is a cyclic p -group, it admits exactly one maximal subgroup. Therefore a union Ω of cosets of N in X generates X if and only if it contains a coset xN , where x does not belong to the unique maximal subgroup of X containing N . It follows that there exists such x with $\sigma^*(X) = \sigma_{xN}(X)$. Observe that since X/N is a p -group, we may choose such an x of p -power order. Now we can cover xN with a family \mathcal{K} consisting of $\sigma^*(X)$ supplements of N , which therefore cover all the cosets $x^k N$ with k coprime to p by Lemma 2.7. What is left to cover is every coset $x^{p^k} N$ for $k \geq 1$. Thus adding $\langle N, x^p \rangle \neq X$, we conclude that $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma^*(X) + 1$. \square

Our main result in this section is now an easy consequence of the above lemmas.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let G be a nonabelian σ -elementary group with $\sigma(G) \leq 129$. Then G is primitive and monolithic with primitivity degree at most 129.*

PROOF. We show that G is primitive and monolithic. By Lemma 4.2, to do so it is enough to show that $\sigma(X) < 2\sigma^*(X)$ whenever X is a primitive monolithic group of degree at most 64 satisfying each of the following three conditions: (1) X has nonabelian socle N , (2) X/N is either nonsolvable or cyclic, and (3) $\sigma^*(X) \leq 129$. Let X be such a group. If X/N is a cyclic p -group for some prime p , then Lemma 4.4 implies $\sigma(X) < 2\sigma^*(X)$. Now assume X/N is not a cyclic p -group. A GAP check shows that the only possibility is $X \cong \text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(2, 27))$, in which case $X/N \cong C_6$ and $\ell_X(N) = 28$. In this case, Lemma 4.1 implies that either $\sigma(X) \leq \sigma^*(X) + 2 < 2\sigma^*(X)$, or, for one of the g_i 's in this lemma, $\langle N, g_i \rangle \cong \text{PGL}(2, 27)$, and so $\sigma^*(X) \geq \sigma(\text{PGL}(2, 27)) - 1 = 378$ holds, a contradiction to $\sigma^*(X) \leq 129$. Thus $\sigma(X) < 2\sigma^*(X)$. Lemma 2.6 implies that the smallest primitivity degree of G is at most $\sigma(G)$. \square

5. Computational methods

In this section, we outline the computational methods used to prove Theorem 1.1. By Tomkinson's result (see Proposition 6.1 below), it suffices to consider nonsolvable σ -elementary groups, and by Theorem 4.5, any nonabelian σ -elementary group G with $\sigma(G) \leq 129$ is primitive and monolithic with a primitivity degree of at most 129. Using GAP, we are able to list every nonsolvable primitive group with degree of primitivity at most 129. The covering number of many of these groups is known; see Section 6 below. Moreover, the covering number of affine general linear groups and affine special linear groups when $n \geq 3$ are determined in Section 7.

All remaining groups, i.e., those groups not explicitly discussed in Sections 6 and 7, are listed in Tables 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, along with a reference as to how the computation was completed for each group. In many cases, the group has a noncyclic solvable homomorphic image whose covering number is the same as the original group. In these cases, the homomorphic image is listed in the reference column. For many primitive groups of affine type – that is, those that have a unique elementary abelian minimal normal subgroup – a result due to Detomi and Lucchini (also proved independently by S. M. Jafarian Amiri [24]) can be used: if G is such a primitive group with elementary abelian minimal normal subgroup N and point stabilizer H in the primitive action and $\sigma(H) < |N|$, then $\sigma(G) = \sigma(H)$; see Lemma 2.11.

The covering number of many other groups can be computed exactly using either linear programming methods or other computational techniques. The details are discussed in Subsections 5.1 and 5.2, respectively. There are only a few groups whose covering number cannot be determined using these methods, and they are considered on an ad hoc basis in Appendix A.

5.1. Linear programming methods. In [27], the authors created a program in GAP [17] that takes as input a group G , a list \mathcal{E} of elements of G , a list \mathcal{M} of maximal subgroups of G , and the name of a file of type .lp to which output is written. This output file is read by the linear optimization software GUROBI [21], which then determines the least number of subgroups conjugate to one of the subgroups in \mathcal{M} needed to cover the elements conjugate to the elements of \mathcal{E} . This function is referred to in the remainder of the paper as “Algorithm KNS,” and the GAP code for this program can be found in [27].

For a group of order approximately 500000 or less, Algorithm KNS generally will return a .lp file within 24 hours. The calculations done here were completed with a laptop that has a Core i7 processor and 16 GB of RAM. The optimization software GUROBI sometimes is able to determine the exact covering number within seconds; other times, the program runs out of memory, but is still able to provide good bounds. For instance, the previous bounds on the covering number of J_2 were $380 \leq \sigma(J_2) \leq 1220$, given in [23]. With the aid of Algorithm KNS and GUROBI, we have improved these bounds to $1063 \leq \sigma(J_2) \leq 1121$.

5.2. A verification method for minimal covers and a greedy algorithm. Maróti introduced the following technique for showing that a cover is minimal. Following [31], if $\Pi \subseteq G$, we define $\sigma(\Pi)$ to be the least integer m such that Π is a subset of the set-theoretic union of m subgroups of G ; clearly, $\sigma(\Pi) \leq \sigma(G)$. A set $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ of m proper subgroups of G is *definitely unbeatable* on Π if both of the following conditions hold:

- (i) the elements of Π are partitioned among the subgroups in \mathcal{H} , and
- (ii) for all subgroups $K \leq G$ that are not contained in \mathcal{H} , we have $|K \cap \Pi| \leq |H_i \cap \Pi|$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$.

If \mathcal{H} is definitely unbeatable on Π , then $|\mathcal{H}| = \sigma(\Pi) \leq \sigma(G)$.

However, definite unbeatability is often too stringent a condition. With this in mind, a more complicated but more generally applicable condition was introduced in [36]. The following lemma is a slight modification of that condition (in that the parameter $c(M)$ may equal 1 here) and is useful in cases when the minimal cover is not unique.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let Π be a union of conjugacy classes of elements of G ; let $I \subseteq I_G$, where I_G is an index set for the conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of G ; and let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i$ be a cover of Π such that each \mathcal{M}_i denotes a conjugacy class of maximal subgroups, the elements of Π are partitioned among the subgroups in \mathcal{C} , and each subgroup in \mathcal{C} contains elements of Π . For a maximal subgroup $M \notin \mathcal{C}$, define*

$$c(M) := \sum_{i \in I} \frac{|M \cap \Pi_i|}{|M_i \cap \Pi_i|},$$

where M_i is a maximal subgroup in \mathcal{M}_i . If $c(M) \leq 1$ for all maximal subgroups $M \notin \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is a minimal cover of the elements of Π . Moreover, if $c(M) < 1$ for all maximal subgroups $M \notin \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} is the unique minimal cover of the elements of Π that uses only maximal subgroups.

PROOF. The statement with $c(M) < 1$ was proved in [36]; the proof here is nearly identical, save for some strict inequalities being changed to allow for equalities, but it is included for the sake of completeness. Let \mathcal{C} and Π be as in the statement of the lemma, and assume that $c(M) \leq 1$ for all maximal subgroups not in \mathcal{C} . Suppose that \mathcal{B} is another cover of the elements of Π , and let $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \setminus (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B})$. The collection \mathcal{C}' consists only of subgroups from classes \mathcal{M}_i , where $i \in I$, and we let a_i be the number of subgroups from \mathcal{M}_i in \mathcal{C}' . Similarly, the collection \mathcal{B}' consists only of subgroups from classes \mathcal{M}_j , where $j \notin I$, and we let b_j be the number of subgroups from \mathcal{M}_j in \mathcal{B}' . Note that, since \mathcal{B} is a different cover, for some $j \notin I$, we have $b_j > 0$.

By removing a_i subgroups in class \mathcal{M}_i from \mathcal{C} , the new subgroups in \mathcal{B}' must cover the elements of Π that were in these subgroups. Hence, for all $i \in I$, if M_k denotes a subgroup in class \mathcal{M}_k for each k ,

$$a_i |M_i \cap \Pi_i| \leq \sum_{j \notin I} b_j |M_j \cap \Pi_i|,$$

which in turn implies that, for all $i \in I$, we have

$$a_i \leq \sum_{j \notin I} b_j \frac{|M_j \cap \Pi_i|}{|M_i \cap \Pi_i|}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{C}'| &= \sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \notin I} b_j \frac{|M_j \cap \Pi_i|}{|M_i \cap \Pi_i|} = \sum_{j \notin I} \sum_{i \in I} b_j \frac{|M_j \cap \Pi_i|}{|M_i \cap \Pi_i|} \\ &= \sum_{j \notin I} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \frac{|M_j \cap \Pi_i|}{|M_i \cap \Pi_i|} \right) b_j = \sum_{j \notin I} c(M_j) b_j \leq \sum_{j \notin I} b_j = |\mathcal{B}'|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{C}'| + |\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{B}'| + |\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{B}|.$$

Hence, any other cover of the elements of Π using only maximal subgroups contains at least as many subgroups as \mathcal{C} . Therefore, \mathcal{C} is a minimal cover of the elements of Π . \square

Algorithm GKS COVERINGNUMBERBOUNDS

Input: A finite group G .

Output: A triple (ℓ, u, c) , where $\ell \leq \sigma(G) \leq u$ and c is TRUE if it is verified that $\sigma(G) = u$ and FALSE otherwise.

- 1: max := list of representatives of each class of maximal subgroups of G
 - 2: $eltM$:= for each subgroup M in max , a list of representatives of each conjugacy class of elements of M
 - 3: $conj$:= list of nonidentity conjugacy classes of elements of G
 - 4: u := 0
 - 5: $minlist$:= an empty list
 - 6: $cvalues$:= list with every entry 0 of length the size of max
 - 7: **while** $conj$ is nonempty **do**
 - 8: $elts$:= for each class x^G left in $conj$, the elements of $eltM$ that are in x^G
 - 9: $ints$:= for each class x^G left in $conj$, a list of the sizes of the intersection of x^G with each subgroup M in max , created using the list $elts$ by summing the sizes of the conjugacy classes in M over the set of elements in $eltM$ that are in x^G
 - 10: $mins$:= for each class x^G left in $conj$, the minimum number of subgroups needed to cover x^G , calculated by dividing the size of x^G by the maximum intersection size from $ints$ corresponding to x^G
 - 11: $best$:= maximum of $mins$, which can be thought of as the minimum number of subgroups needed at this stage to get a cover
 - 12: **add** $best$ to $minlist$
 - 13: x_0^G := the conjugacy class in $conj$ that needed $best$ subgroups to be covered
 - 14: M_0 := a maximal subgroup from max from the class used to cover x_0^G with $best$ subgroups
 - 15: $cvalueupdate$:= list with entry $|M \cap x_0^G| / |M_0 \cap x_0^G|$ for each $M \in max$
 - 16: $cvalue$:= $cvalue + cvalueupdate$ (addition is entrywise)
 - 17: **if** $best \neq |G : M_0|$ **then**
 - 18: c := FALSE
 - 19: u := $u + |G : M_0|$
 - 20: $conj$:= any remaining conjugacy classes that do not intersect M_0
 - 21: ℓ := the first entry in $minlist$
 - 22: **if** $c = \text{TRUE}$ **then**
 - 23: **for** i in $cvalue$ **do**
 - 24: **if** $i > 1$ **then**
 - 25: c := FALSE
 - return** (ℓ, u, c)
-

In practice, there is often a union of conjugacy classes Π of elements of G and a minimal cover \mathcal{C} of the elements of Π that satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1. We can design an algorithm exploiting this idea that works roughly as follows: each conjugacy class of elements and representatives for each conjugacy class of maximal subgroups are computed in GAP. Next, the conjugacy class x^G of elements that requires the

most maximal subgroups to cover is determined. Greedily, we take as part of a cover all subgroups from a conjugacy class \mathcal{M} of maximal subgroups that most efficiently covers x^G . All elements that are covered by the subgroups of \mathcal{M} are removed, and this process is repeated again and again until all elements are covered. Often, the cover produced this way is a minimal cover, and this can typically be verified by using Lemma 5.1. Even if the cover is not verifiably minimal, the function returns upper and lower bounds for $\sigma(G)$. The steps of this procedure are listed in Algorithm GKS, which is written in pseudocode.

We remark that, while it would be “simpler” to calculate *ints* in Step 10 of Algorithm GKS by taking the intersection of class x^G with each subgroup in *max*, for many groups the sizes of the conjugacy classes are quite large, and it is much faster for such groups to calculate the intersection sizes as described in the pseudocode. Algorithm GKS can also be altered to return additional information, such as the classes x_0^G and subgroups M_0 chosen in various iterations of the **while** loop, which is useful for ad hoc calculations like those in Appendix A.

6. Known bounds on and values of covering numbers

We collect in this section a list of known results regarding the covering number of specific families of groups. We use the notation S_n to refer to the symmetric group of degree n and A_n to refer to the alternating group of degree n . The first proposition combines the results of Cohn and Tomkinson and completely solves the problem of which integers are covering numbers of solvable groups. In the tables we also indicate the smallest primitivity degree $m(G)$ of any given primitive group G .

- PROPOSITION 6.1. (i) [8, Corollary to Lemma 17] *For every prime p and positive integer d , there exists a group G with covering number $p^d + 1$.*
(ii) [37, Theorem 2.2] *Let G be a finite solvable group and let H/K be the smallest chief factor of G having more than one complement in G . Then $\sigma(G) = |H/K| + 1$. In particular, the covering number of any (noncyclic) solvable group has the form $p^d + 1$, where p is a prime and d is a positive integer.*

The following table summarizes what is currently known about covering numbers of symmetric groups.

Group	$m(G)$	Covering Number	Citation
S_5	5	16	[8]
S_6	6	13	[1]
S_8	8	64	[27]
S_9	9	256	[27]
S_{10}	10	221	[27]
S_{12}	12	761	[27]
S_{14}	14	3096	[33]
S_{18}	18	36773	[36]
$S_{6k}, k \geq 4$	$6k$	$\frac{1}{2} \binom{6k}{3k} + \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} \binom{6k}{i}$	[36]
$S_{2k+1}, k \neq 4$	$2k + 1$	2^{2k}	[31]
$S_{2k}, k \geq 16$	$2k$	$> \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$	[31]

TABLE 1. Covering numbers of symmetric groups

The following table summarizes what is currently known about covering numbers of alternating groups.

Group	$m(G)$	Covering Number	Citation
A_5	5	10	[8]
A_6	6	16	[7]
A_7	7	31	[28]
A_8	8	71	[28]
A_9	9	157	[16]
A_{10}	10	256	[31]
A_{11}	11	2751	[16]
A_{4k+2}	$4k+2$	2^{4k}	[31]
$A_n, n \geq 12$	n	$\geq 2^{n-2}$	[31]

TABLE 2. Covering numbers of alternating groups

The following table summarizes what is currently in the literature regarding covering numbers of projective linear groups of dimension 2.

Group	$m(G)$	Covering Number	Citation
$\text{PSL}(2, 5)$	6	10	[8]
$\text{PGL}(2, 5)$	6	16	[8]
$\text{PSL}(2, 7)$	7	15	[7]
$\text{PGL}(2, 7)$	8	29	[7]
$\text{PSL}(2, 9)$	10	16	[7]
$\text{PGL}(2, 9)$	10	46	[7]
$\text{PTL}(2, 8)$	9	29	[19]
$\text{PSL}(2, q), \text{PGL}(2, q), q \geq 8$ even	$q+1$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)$	[7]
$\text{PSL}(2, q), \text{PGL}(2, q), q > 9$ odd	$q+1$	$\frac{1}{2}q(q+1)+1$	[7]

TABLE 3. Covering numbers of 2-dimensional linear groups

By [30], if $q = 2^{2m+1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\sigma(\text{Sz}(q)) = \frac{1}{2}q^2(q^2 + 1)$.

The following table summarizes what is currently in the literature regarding covering numbers of sporadic simple groups with a degree of primitivity less than or equal to 129. Using Algorithm KNS and GUROBI [21], we have improved the bounds for J_2 to $1063 \leq \sigma(J_2) \leq 1121$.

Group	$m(G)$	Covering Number	Citation
M_{11}	11	23	[22]
M_{12}	12	208	[27]
M_{22}	22	771	[22]
M_{23}	23	41079	[22]
M_{24}	24	3336	[15]
HS	100	1376	[23]
J_2	100	≥ 380	[23]

TABLE 4. Covering numbers of various sporadic simple groups

The following result was the main application of Lemma 2.11 in [24] and proves results about 2-dimensional affine general linear groups.

LEMMA 6.2. [24] *Let $p > 3$ be a prime. Then $\sigma(\text{AGL}(2, p)) = p(p+1)/2 + 1$.*

However, when combined with the results about $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ and $\text{PGL}(2, q)$ when q is not a prime (see Table 3), Lemma 2.11 can be used to prove the following stronger result.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let q be a prime power, $q \geq 4$. Then $\sigma(\text{AGL}(2, q)) = \sigma(\text{ASL}(2, q)) = \sigma(\text{PSL}(2, q))$, and consequently $\text{AGL}(2, q)$ and $\text{ASL}(2, q)$ are never σ -elementary when $q \geq 4$.*

Finally, we have the following result about the covering numbers of two other primitive groups.

PROPOSITION 6.4. [18] *For $A_5 \text{ wr } 2$ and $(A_5 \times A_5) : 4$ we have:*

- (i) $\sigma(A_5 \text{ wr } 2) = 57$;
- (ii) $\sigma((A_5 \times A_5) : 4) = 126$, where $(A_5 \times A_5) : 4$ is the preimage of the normal cyclic subgroup of order 4 in D_8 via $\text{Aut}(A_5 \times A_5) \rightarrow \text{Out}(A_5 \times A_5) \cong D_8$.

7. Covering affine general linear groups and affine special linear groups with proper subgroups

This section is dedicated to proving Theorem 1.7, which can be interpreted as a generalization of Cohn's result [8] listed in Proposition 6.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7. Since the proof is analogous for $\text{ASL}(n, q)$, we will only show the result in each case for $\text{AGL}(n, q)$.

First, if $n = 1$, then we have $\sigma(\text{AGL}(1, q)) = q + 1 = (q^2 - 1)/(q - 1)$. This follows from [37, Lemma 2.1].

When $n \geq 3$, we consider the group $\text{AGL}(n, q)$. By Lemma 2.9,

$$\sigma(\text{AGL}(n, q)) \leq (q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1).$$

It remains to show that there is no smaller cover.

Let $\text{AGL}(n, q) = V \rtimes H$, where V is the underlying vector space over $\text{GF}(q)$ (defined additively) and H , which is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(n, q)$, is the stabilizer of $0 \in V$. Define the cover $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ to be the union of two classes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 of maximal subgroups. Let \mathcal{C}_1 consist of all point stabilizers of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$ in its natural primitive action on q^n points; that is, \mathcal{C}_1 consists of all conjugates of H in $\text{AGL}(n, q)$. In this case, $|\mathcal{C}_1| = q^n$. Let \mathcal{C}_2 consist of all subgroups isomorphic to $V \rtimes K$, where V is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$ of order q^n and K is the stabilizer of a 1-dimensional subspace. Since there are $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ lines through the origin, there are $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ such groups in \mathcal{C}_2 . This implies that $|\mathcal{C}| = (q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$. By Lemma 2.9, the collection \mathcal{C} is a cover of the elements of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$.

We will show that no smaller collection of subgroups can cover the elements of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$. First, by a result of Kantor [25], the only maximal subgroups of $\text{GL}(n, q)$ containing Singer cycles are field extension groups isomorphic to $\text{GL}(n/b, q^b)$, where $b > 1$ is a divisor of n . Moreover, the Singer cycles are partitioned among these subgroups. Since

$$q^{n(n-1)}(q-1)^n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^{n-1-i}) \leq |\text{GL}(n, q)| = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^i) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} q^n = q^{n^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\text{GL}(n, q)) &\geq \frac{|\text{GL}(n, q)|}{|\text{GL}(n/b, q^b)|} \geq \frac{q^{n(n-1)}(q-1)^n}{(q^b)^{(n/b)^2}} \\ &= q^{n^2(1-\frac{1}{b})-n}(q-1)^n \geq q^{\frac{n^2}{2}-n}(q-1)^n \geq \frac{q^{n+1}-1}{q-1}, \end{aligned}$$

when $n \geq 4$ and when $n = 3$ and $q \geq 3$. When $n = 3$ and $q = 2$, we have $\text{GL}(3, 2) \cong \text{PSL}(2, 7)$, and hence $\sigma(\text{GL}(3, 2)) = \sigma(\text{PSL}(2, 7)) = 15$ by Table 3. By Lemma 2.10, the groups in \mathcal{C}_1 must appear in any minimal cover of the elements of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$.

In V , there is a natural bijection between 1-dimensional subspaces and hyperplanes, and so for any nonzero $v \in V$ we define $\phi(v)$ to be the hyperplane of V such that $V = \langle v \rangle \oplus \phi(v)$. Let $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$, and, if s is a Singer cycle on $\phi(v)$ that fixes both $0 \in \phi(v)$ and v , then define g to be element of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$ that corresponds to s followed by a translation by v . The element g fixes no points of V . To see this, consider $w \in V$. Since $\phi(v)$ complements $\langle v \rangle$, there exist a unique $a \in \text{GF}(q)$ and $u \in \phi(v)$ such that $w = av + u$. If $w^g = w$, this implies that $(a+1)v + u^s = av + u$, that is, we have $v = u - u^s \in \phi(v)$, a contradiction. Hence g is not contained in any group in \mathcal{C}_1 .

Moreover, $|g| = p \cdot (q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$, since translation by v has order p and the Singer cycle on $\phi(v)$ fixes v and hence commutes with translation by v . For nonzero vectors $v_1, v_2 \in V$, let g_1 be an element that corresponds to a Singer cycle on the hyperplane $\phi(v_1)$ followed by translation by v_1 , and let g_2 be an element that corresponds to a Singer cycle on the hyperplane $\phi(v_2)$ followed by translation by v_2 . Then g_1^p

and g_2^p are Singer cycles of hyperplanes, and both g_1^p and g_2^p are elements of H . By [4, Theorem 4.1 (2)] (see also [5]), if $n \geq 4$, $(n, q) \neq (4, 2), (11, 2)$, and a maximal subgroup M of H contains g_1^p and g_2^p , then both g_1^p and g_2^p leave the same 1-dimensional subspace (and hyperplane) fixed; that is, $\langle v_1 \rangle = \langle v_2 \rangle$. When $n = 3$, we can derive the same result using [6, Tables 8.3-8.4] by considering a primitive prime divisor of $q^3 - 1$ when $q \neq 4$. Furthermore, when $(n, q) = (3, 4), (4, 2)$, the result follows by computation in GAP, and, when $(n, q) = (11, 2)$, the result follows by considering [6, Tables 8.70-8.71]. We deduce from the above that, if $\langle v_1 \rangle \neq \langle v_2 \rangle$, then $\langle g_1^p, g_2^p \rangle = H$. Since g_1 fixes no points of V and H is the stabilizer of $0 \in V$, it follows that $g_1 \notin H$. Because H is a maximal subgroup of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$ and $g_1 \notin H$, this implies that

$$\text{AGL}(n, q) = \langle g_1, H \rangle = \langle g_1, g_2^p \rangle \leq \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle,$$

and in this case g_1 and g_2 generate all of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$. Hence, if g_1 and g_2 do not stabilize the same 1-dimensional subspace, they pairwise generate all of $\text{AGL}(n, q)$. Therefore, we need at least as many subgroups as there are 1-dimensional subspaces to cover the elements of this type, i.e., we need at least $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ subgroups in addition to those from \mathcal{C}_1 , and the result follows. \square

It is unclear which integers of the form $(q^3 - 1)/(q - 1) = q^2 + q + 1$, where q is a prime power, are covering numbers. What is known so far is summarized in Table 5, and there does not appear to be any clear pattern. The smallest open case is when $q = 11$, and no group has been found yet with covering number $133 = 11^2 + 11 + 1$.

q	$q^2 + q + 1$	Covering number?	σ -elementary groups
2	7	No	\emptyset
3	13	Yes	S_6
4	21	No	\emptyset
5	31	Yes	$A_7, \text{AGL}(4, 2)$
7	57	Yes	$A_5 \text{ wr } 2$
8	73	Yes	$(A_6 \times A_6) : 4$
9	91	No	\emptyset
11	133	?	?

TABLE 5. Integers of the form $q^2 + q + 1$ and whether or not they are covering numbers

8. Tables and computational results

The purpose of this section is to provide a summary of the calculations of the covering numbers of the primitive monolithic groups with a degree of primitivity of at most 129. We remark that Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 4.5, Proposition 6.1, and Table 6. Table 6 contains the complete list of nonsolvable σ -elementary groups G where $\sigma(G) \leq 129$, which summarizes the information about nonsolvable σ -elementary groups. Table 6 follows from the known results in Section 6 and the results of calculations which are listed in Tables 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Covering Number	Nonsolvable σ -elementary groups
10	A_5
13	S_6
15	$\text{PSL}(2, 7)$
16	S_5, A_6
23	M_{11}
29	$\text{PGL}(2, 7), \text{PTL}(2, 8)$
31	$A_7, \text{AGL}(4, 2)$
36	$\text{PSL}(2, 8)$
40	$\text{ASL}(3, 3), \text{AGL}(3, 3)$
46	$M_{10}, \text{PGL}(2, 9)$
57	$A_5 \text{ wr } 2$
60	$\text{PTU}(3, 3)$
63	$\text{AGL}(5, 2)$
64	$S_7, S_8, \text{PSU}(3, 3), \text{PSp}(4, 3) : 2, \text{Sp}(6, 2)$
67	$\text{PSL}(2, 11), \text{PGL}(2, 11), \text{PSp}(4, 3)$
71	A_8
73	$(A_6 \times A_6) : 4$
85	$\text{ASL}(3, 4), \text{AGL}(3, 4), \text{A}\Sigma\text{L}(3, 4)$
86	$\text{PTL}(2, 16), \text{PSL}(2, 16).2$
92	$\text{PSL}(2, 13), \text{PGL}(2, 13)$
114	$\text{PSL}(2, 7) \text{ wr } 2$
121	$\text{ASL}(4, 3), \text{AGL}(4, 3)$
126	$(A_5 \times A_5) : 4$
127	$\text{AGL}(6, 2), \text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(2, 25)$

TABLE 6. The nonsolvable σ -elementary groups G with $\sigma(G) \leq 129$

The following tables list groups and their covering numbers, along with references. Excluded are groups whose covering number was determined previously. Specifically, we have excluded the groups $S_n, A_n, \text{PSL}(2, q), \text{PGL}(2, q), \text{PTL}(2, 8), \text{Sz}(q), M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, HS, \text{AGL}(2, q), A_5 \text{ wr } 2$, and $(A_5 \times A_5) : 4$, since these are dealt with in Section 6. In the reference column, when a specific group H is listed, it means that the group has H as a homomorphic image and the same covering number as H . For instance, “ S_3 ” means the group projects onto the symmetric group S_3 and has covering number 4, since $\sigma(S_3) = 4$. If it says “Algorithm [KNS](#)” in the reference column, this means that Algorithm [KNS](#) was used with representatives of all conjugacy classes of elements and representatives of all conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups as inputs to generate a .lp file that was then optimized using GUROBI [21]. Different groups in GAP can be given the same name; for instance, $(A_6 \times A_6).2^2$ means some extension of $A_6 \times A_6$ by a Klein 4-group. When it says “(all such groups)” in a table, we mean that all such groups listed by GAP with that name and degree of primitivity have the same covering number. In Table 9, there are two groups listed as $(A_6 \times A_6).4$, and they are distinguished by saying that one is “(#16 in the list)” and the other is “(#18 in the list).” This is referring to the position in the list of all primitive groups of degree 100 that is generated by GAP.

Group	Degree	Covering Number	Reference
$P\Gamma L(2, 9)$	10	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
M_{10}	10	46	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(3, 3)$	13	157	Algorithm KNS
$A\Gamma L(2, 4)$	16	4	S_3
$2^4 : A_5$	16	10	Lemma 2.11
$2^4 : S_6$	16	13	Lemma 2.11
$ASL(2, 4) : 2$	16	16	Algorithm KNS
$2^4 : A_6, 2^4 : S_5$	16	16	Lemma 2.11
$AGL(4, 2)$	16	31	Theorem 1.7
$2^4 : A_7$	16	31	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(2, 16).2$	17	86	Algorithm KNS
$P\Gamma L(2, 16)$	17	86	Algorithm KNS
$P\Gamma L(3, 4)$	21	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSL(3, 4)$	21	141	Algorithm KNS
$P\Sigma L(3, 4)$	21	141	Algorithm KNS
$PGL(3, 4)$	21	981	Algorithm KNS
$M_{22} : 2$	22	331	Algorithm GKS
$(A_5 \times A_5) : 2^2, S_5 \text{ wr } 2$	25	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$ASL(2, 5) : 2$	25	10	Lemma 2.11
$P\Gamma L(2, 25)$	26	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$P\Sigma L(2, 25)$	26	127	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(2, 25).2_3$	26	326	Algorithm KNS
$ASL(3, 3), AGL(3, 3)$	27	40	Theorem 1.7
$PSp(4, 3) : 2$	27	64	Algorithm KNS
$PSp(4, 3)$	27	67	Algorithm KNS
$P\Sigma L(2, 27)$	28	≥ 167	Algorithm KNS
$P\Gamma U(3, 3)$	28	60	Algorithm KNS
$PSU(3, 3)$	28	64	Algorithm KNS
$Sp(6, 2)$	28	64	Algorithm GKS
$P\Gamma L(2, 27)$	28	353	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(5, 2)$	31	64698	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(3, 5)$	31	4031	Algorithm KNS
$AGL(5, 2)$	32	63	Theorem 1.7
$P\Gamma L(2, 32)$	33	497	Algorithm KNS
$(A_6 \times A_6) : 2^2, S_6 \text{ wr } 2$	36	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6) : 4$	36	73	Algorithm KNS
$A_6 \text{ wr } 2$	36	137	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(4, 3), PGL(4, 3)$	40	2146	Algorithm GKS
$(A_7 \times A_7) : 2^2, S_7 \text{ wr } 2$	49	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$ASL(2, 7) : 2, ASL(2, 7) : 3$	49	15	Lemma 2.11
$PSL(3, 2) \text{ wr } 2$	49	114	Algorithm KNS
$(A_7 \times A_7) : 4$	49	1716	Algorithm GKS
$A_7 \text{ wr } 2$	49	≥ 447	Proposition A.2 (i)
$P\Gamma L(2, 49)$	50	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSU(3, 5), PSU(3, 5) : 2$	50	176	Algorithm GKS
$P\Sigma L(2, 49)$	50	226	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(2, 49).2_3$	50	1226	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(3, 3).2$	52	170	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(3, 4).2^2$	56	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSL(3, 4).2_1$	56	162	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(3, 4).2_2$	56	≥ 138	Algorithm KNS

TABLE 7. Covering numbers of various nonsolvable primitive groups of degree at most 56

Group	Degree	Covering Number	Reference
PSL(3, 4).2 ₃	56	141	Algorithm KNS
PSL(3, 7), PGL(3, 7)	57	32985	Algorithm GKS
PSL(6, 2)	63	≥ 56313	Algorithm GKS
2 ⁶ : (S ₃ × GL(3, 2)), (A ₈ × A ₈).2 ²	64	3	C ₂ × C ₂
S ₈ wr 2, (PSL(2, 7) × PSL(2, 7)).2 ²	64	3	C ₂ × C ₂
PGL(2, 7) wr 2	64	3	C ₂ × C ₂
AGL(3, 4)	64	4	S ₃
2 ⁶ : (S ₃ × GL(3, 2))	64	4	S ₃
AGL(2, 8)	64	8	C ₇ : C ₃
2 ⁶ : (3.S ₆)	64	13	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : (3 × GL(3, 2))	64	15	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : (3.A ₆)	64	16	Lemma 2.11
AΣL(2, 8)	64	29	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : PGL(2, 7)	64	15	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : A ₇	64	31	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : ΣU(3, 3)	64	60	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : Sp(6, 2)	64	64	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : GO ⁻ (6, 2)	64	64	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : S ₈	64	64	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : S ₇	64	64	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : SU(3, 3)	64	64	Lemma 2.11
2 ⁶ : O ⁻ (6, 2)	64	67	Proposition A.1 (i)
2 ⁶ : A ₈	64	71	Proposition A.1 (ii)
AΣL(3, 4)	64	85	Algorithm GKS
ASL(3, 4), AGL(3, 4)	64	85	Theorem 1.7
2 ⁶ : (GL(3, 2) wr 2)	64	114	Lemma 2.11
AGL(6, 2)	64	127	Theorem 1.7
(PSL(2, 7) × PSL(2, 7)).4	64	498	Proposition A.1 (iii)
(A ₈ × A ₈).4	64	2074	Algorithm GKS
A ₈ wr 2	64	3426	Algorithm GKS
PSL(2, 7) wr 2	64	114	Algorithm KNS
PSU(3, 4).2, PFU(3, 4)	65	274	Algorithm GKS
PSL(2, 64).2, PFL(2, 64)	65	586	Algorithm GKS
Sz(8) : 3	65	1457	Algorithm GKS
PSU(3, 4)	65	1745	Algorithm KNS
PSL(2, 64).3	65	2080	Proposition A.1 (iv)
PSL(2, 16).2	68	86	Algorithm KNS
PFL(3, 8)	73	56138	Algorithm GKS
PSL(3, 8)	73	75337	Algorithm GKS
3 ⁴ : SL(2, 9) : 2 ² , 3 ⁴ : 2.A ₆ : D ₈	81	3	C ₂ × C ₂
3 ⁴ : 2.A ₆ : Q ₈ , AGL(2, 9)	81	3	C ₂ × C ₂
3 ⁴ : 4.S ₅ , 3 ⁴ : 4.S ₅ , 3 ⁴ : 8.S ₅	81	3	C ₂ × C ₂
3 ⁴ : (2 × S ₆), 3 ⁴ : (2 × A ₆ .2)	81	3	C ₂ × C ₂
3 ⁴ : 2.PFL(2, 9), 3 ⁴ : (2 × S ₅)	81	3	C ₂ × C ₂
(A ₉ × A ₉).2 ² , S ₉ wr 2	81	3	C ₂ × C ₂
(PSL(2, 8) × PSL(2, 8)).S ₃ , PΣL(2, 8) wr 2	81	4	S ₃
3 ⁴ : 2.A ₅ , 3 ⁴ : 4.A ₅ , 3 ⁴ : 8.A ₅	81	10	Lemma 2.11
3 ⁴ : 2 ¹⁺⁴ .A ₅ , 3 ⁴ : A ₅ , 3 ⁴ : 2.A ₅	81	10	Lemma 2.11

TABLE 8. Covering numbers of various nonsolvable primitive groups of degree 56 to 81

Group	Degree	Covering Number	Reference
$3^4 : 2.S_6, 3^4 : S_6$	81	13	Lemma 2.11
$3^4 : 2.A_6, 3^4 : 4.A_6, 3^4 : 8.A_6$	81	16	Lemma 2.11
$3^4 : 2.A_5 : 2, 3^4 : 2.A_5 : 2, 3^4 : 2^{1+4}.A_5 : 2$	81	16	Lemma 2.11
$3^4 : A_6, 3^4 : (2 \times A_6), 3^4 : S_5, 3^4 : S_5$	81	16	Lemma 2.11
$3^4 : 4.A_6.2, 3^4 : A_6.2, 3^4 : 2.PGL(2, 9)$	81	46	Lemma 2.11
$3^4 : Sp(4, 3) : 2$	81	64	Lemma 2.11
$3^4 : Sp(4, 3)$	81	67	Lemma 2.11
$ASL(4, 3), AGL(4, 3)$	81	121	Theorem 1.7
$PSL(2, 8) \text{ wr } 2$	81	586	Proposition A.1 (v)
$(PSL(2, 8) \times PSL(2, 8)).6$	81	586	Algorithm GKS
$(A_9 \times A_9).4$	81	24310	Algorithm GKS
$A_9 \text{ wr } 2$	81	≥ 10978	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(2, 81).2^2, P\Gamma L(2, 81)$	82	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSL(2, 81).4$	82	452	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(2, 81).4$	82	3322	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(2, 81).2$	82	≥ 621	Algorithm KNS
$P\Omega(4, 4)$	85	256	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(4, 4)$	85	24277	Algorithm GKS
$P\Sigma L(4, 4)$	85	45778	Algorithm GKS
$P\Omega(4, 4).2$	85	≥ 196	Proposition A.2 (ii)
$P\Gamma L(3, 9)$	91	7652	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(3, 9)$	91	155611	Algorithm GKS
$(A_{10} \times A_{10}).2^2, S_{10} \text{ wr } 2$	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6).2^2$ (all such groups)	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6).D_8$ (all such groups)	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6).2^3$	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6).(2 \times 4)$ (all such groups)	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6).(2 \times D_8)$ (all such groups)	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$P\Gamma L(2, 9) \text{ wr } 2$	100	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$(A_6 \times A_6).4$ (#16 in list)	100	1387	Proposition A.1 (vi)
$(A_6 \times A_6).4$ (#18 in list)	100	2026	Algorithm GKS
$J_2.2$	100	2921	Algorithm GKS
$A_{10} \text{ wr } 2$	100	30377	Algorithm GKS
J_2	100	≥ 1063	Algorithm KNS
$HS : 2$	100	≥ 11859	Proposition A.2 (iii)
$(A_{10} \times A_{10}).4$	100	≥ 22746	Proposition A.2 (iv)
$PSL(3, 4).2^2, PSL(3, 4).D_{12}$	105	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSL(3, 4).S_3$	105	4	S_3
$PSL(3, 4).6$	105	386	Algorithm GKS
$PSU(4, 3).2^2$ (all such groups)	112	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSU(4, 3).D_8$	112	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$PSU(4, 3)$	112	≥ 344	Proposition A.2 (v)
$PSU(4, 3).2_1$	112	≥ 256	Proposition A.2 (vi)
$PSU(4, 3).2_2$	112	≥ 239	Proposition A.2 (vii)
$PSU(4, 3).2_3$	112	≥ 412	Proposition A.2 (viii)
$PSU(4, 3).4$	112	≥ 540	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(3, 3).2$	117	170	Algorithm KNS

TABLE 9. Covering numbers of various nonsolvable primitive groups of degree 81 to 117

Group	Degree	Covering Number	Reference
$\text{PSL}(4, 3)$	117	2146	Algorithm GKS
$\text{PSL}(4, 3).2$	117	≥ 242	Proposition A.2 (ix)
$\text{PSO}^-(8, 2)$	119	256	Algorithm GKS
$\text{O}^-(8, 2)$	119	≥ 25706	Proposition A.2 (x)
$\text{PSL}(3, 4).2^2$	120	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$\text{Sp}(8, 2)$	120	256	Proposition A.1 (vii)
$\text{PSO}^+(8, 2)$	120	256	Algorithm GKS
$\text{O}^+(8, 2)$	120	≥ 204	Proposition A.2 (xi)
$(A_{11} \times A_{11}).2^2, S_{11} \text{ wr } 2$	121	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$11^2 : (2.A_5), 11^2 : (5 \times 2.A_5)$	121	10	Lemma 2.11
$11^2 : (\text{SL}(2, 11) : 2), 11^2 : (5 \times \text{SL}(2, 11))$	121	67	Lemma 2.11
$M_{11} \text{ wr } 2$	121	266	Algorithm GKS
$A_{11} \text{ wr } 2$	121	6380772	Algorithm GKS
$\text{PSL}(2, 11) \text{ wr } 2$	121	≥ 570	Proposition A.2 (xii)
$\text{PSL}(5, 3)$	121	≥ 393030144	Proposition A.3 (i)
$(A_{11} \times A_{11}).4$	121	≥ 213444	Proposition A.3 (ii)
$\text{PTL}(2, 121)$	122	3	$C_2 \times C_2$
$\text{PSL}(2, 121).2_3$	122	7382	Algorithm GKS
$\text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(2, 121)$	122	≥ 671	Algorithm GKS
$A_5 \text{ wr } S_3, A_5^3.S_3, (A_5 \times A_5 \times A_5).S_4$	125	4	S_3
$(A_5 \times A_5 \times A_5).2^2.3, S_5 \text{ wr } 3$	125	5	A_4
$5^3 : A_5, 5^3 : (2 \times A_5), 5^3 : (4 \times A_5)$	125	10	Lemma 2.11
$5^3 : S_5, 5^3 : (2.S_5)$	125	16	Lemma 2.11
$\text{ASL}(3, 5), \text{AGL}(3, 5)$	125	156	Theorem 1.7
$5^3 : (\text{SL}(3, 5) : 2)$	125	156	Algorithm GKS
$A_5 \text{ wr } 3$	125	≥ 216	Algorithm GKS
$(A_5 \times A_5 \times A_5).6$	125	≥ 1000	Algorithm GKS
$\text{PTU}(3, 5)$	126	4	S_3
$\text{P}\Sigma\text{L}(2, 125)$	126	7876	Algorithm GKS
$\text{PGU}(3, 5)$	126	≥ 6000	Algorithm GKS
$\text{PTL}(2, 125)$	126	≥ 7750	Algorithm GKS
$\text{PSL}(7, 2)$	127	≥ 184308203520	Proposition A.3 (iii)
$\text{AGL}(7, 2)$	128	255	Theorem 1.7
$\text{PTL}(2, 128)$	129	8129	Algorithm GKS

TABLE 10. Covering numbers of various nonsolvable primitive groups of degree 117 to 129

We conclude this section with a table that lists the primitive monolithic groups G such that G has a degree of primitivity at most 129 and $\sigma(G)$ has not yet been determined exactly, and, for each such group G , Table [11](#) lists the best known bounds on $\sigma(G)$.

Group	Degree	Lower bound	Upper bound	Reference
$P\Sigma L(2, 27)$	28	167	184	Algorithm KNS
$A_7 \text{ wr } 2$	49	447	667	Proposition A.2 (i)
$PSL(3, 4).2_2$	56	138	166	Algorithm KNS
$PSL(6, 2)$	63	56313	57010	Algorithm GKS
$A_9 \text{ wr } 2$	81	10978	30178	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(2, 81).2$	82	621	731	Algorithm KNS
$PSP(4, 4).2$	85	196	222	Proposition A.2 (ii)
J_2	100	1063	1121	Algorithm KNS
$HS : 2$	100	11859	22375	Proposition A.2 (iii)
$(A_{10} \times A_{10}).4$	100	22746	30377	Proposition A.2 (iv)
$PSU(4, 3)$	112	344	442	Proposition A.2 (v)
$PSU(4, 3).2_1$	112	256	554	Proposition A.2 (vi)
$PSU(4, 3).2_2$	112	239	365	Proposition A.2 (vii)
$PSU(4, 3).2_3$	112	412	554	Proposition A.2 (viii)
$PSU(4, 3).4$	112	540	652	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(4, 3).2$	117	242	365	Proposition A.2 (ix)
$O^-(8, 2)$	119	25706	26283	Proposition A.2 (x)
$O^+(8, 2)$	120	204	765	Proposition A.2 (xi)
$PSL(2, 11) \text{ wr } 2$	121	570	926	Proposition A.2 (xii)
$PSL(5, 3)$	121	393030144		Proposition A.3 (i)
$(A_{11} \times A_{11}).4$	121	213444		Proposition A.3 (ii)
$P\Sigma L(2, 121)$	122	671	794	Algorithm GKS
$A_5 \text{ wr } 3$	125	216	342	Algorithm GKS
$(A_5 \times A_5 \times A_5).6$	125	1000	1217	Algorithm GKS
$PGU(3, 5)$	126	6000	6526	Algorithm GKS
$P\Gamma L(2, 125)$	126	7750	7876	Algorithm GKS
$PSL(7, 2)$	127	184308203520		Proposition A.3 (iii)

TABLE 11. Bounds on covering numbers of various nonsolvable primitive groups

A. Calculations for specific groups

We use the following notation in the tables. The notation \mathcal{M}_i indicates a conjugacy class of maximal subgroups. Below the symbol \mathcal{M}_i , the number in parentheses indicates the number of conjugate subgroups in the class. The notation “ $cl_{m,j}$ ” refers to a class of elements of order m ; the “ j ” will be omitted when we are considering a single class of this order. If the (cl_m, \mathcal{M}_i) -entry of the table is n_k , then each subgroup of \mathcal{M}_i contains n elements of class cl_m , and each element in cl_m is contained in k subgroups of \mathcal{M}_i . Instead of writing n_1 , we will write n, P to indicate that the elements of cl_m are partitioned among the subgroups in \mathcal{M}_i . If the (cl_m, \mathcal{M}_i) -entry is written as n , then the information about how many subgroups in \mathcal{M}_i contain a given element of cl_m is unimportant to the proof and is omitted. We observe that the smallest primitivity degree of each of the following subgroups is an index of one of its maximal subgroups, and hence this value appears as an index in the corresponding table (when such a table is provided).

One argument that is used repeatedly in the following propositions is the following, which we state here for emphasis: if there are c elements from class cl_j remaining to be covered and the (cl_j, \mathcal{M}_i) -entry of the table is n_k , then at least $\lceil c/n \rceil$ subgroups from \mathcal{M}_i are needed to cover the c elements of class cl_j . In particular, if a class of maximal subgroups \mathcal{M}_i has size m and the (cl_j, \mathcal{M}_i) -entry of the table is n_k , then at least m/k subgroups from \mathcal{M}_i are needed to cover the elements of class cl_j .

PROPOSITION A.1. *We have the following covering number values:*

- (i) $\sigma(2^6 : O^-(6, 2)) = 67$;
- (ii) $\sigma(2^6 : A_8) = 71$;
- (iii) $\sigma((PSL(2, 7) \times PSL(2, 7)).4) = 498$;

- (iv) $\sigma(\text{PSL}(2, 64).3) = 2080$;
- (v) $\sigma(\text{PSL}(2, 8) \text{ wr } 2) = 586$;
- (vi) $\sigma((A_6 \times A_6).4) = 1387$;
- (vii) $\sigma(\text{Sp}(8, 2)) = 256$.

PROOF. (i) Using Algorithm [GKS](#), we have that $\sigma(\text{O}^-(6, 2)) = 67$, and so $\sigma(2^6 : \text{O}^-(6, 2)) \leq 67$. Suppose, for the purpose of contradiction, that $\sigma(2^6 : \text{O}^-(6, 2)) < 67$, and let \mathcal{B} be this smaller cover. By Lemma [2.10](#), this means that all 64 conjugates of the point stabilizer in the primitive action on 64 points are contained in \mathcal{B} . By GAP [\[17\]](#), there is a class of elements of order 12 that are not contained in the point stabilizers. The most number of elements of this class that are contained in a maximal subgroup is 1920, and so at least an additional 18 subgroups are needed to cover this class. However, $64 + 18 > 67$, a contradiction to minimality. Therefore, the covering number of $2^6 : \text{O}^-(6, 2)$ is 67.

(ii) Since $\sigma(A_8) = 71$, if $\sigma(2^6 : A_8) < 71$, then by Lemma [2.10](#), any minimal cover of $2^6 : A_8$ would have to contain all maximal subgroups isomorphic to A_8 . However, by GAP, there are a total of 128 maximal subgroups isomorphic to A_8 , a contradiction.

(iii) By GAP, there are four classes of maximal subgroups, and we have the following distribution of elements:

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (64)	\mathcal{M}_3 (441)	\mathcal{M}_4 (784)
cl_{24}	4704, P	0	0	0
cl_{16}	0	0	16, P	0
$cl_{12,1}$	0	294 ₂	0	12, P
$cl_{12,2}$	0	294 ₂	0	12, P

TABLE 12. Element distribution in $\text{PSL}(2, 7)^2.4$

The unique minimal normal subgroup is the only class containing elements from cl_{24} . Moreover, the elements of cl_{16} are partitioned among the 441 subgroups in \mathcal{M}_3 , so these 441 subgroups are also contained in a minimal cover. Only the two classes $cl_{12,1}$ and $cl_{12,2}$ are left uncovered after including these 442 subgroups. Using Algorithm [KNS](#) and GUROBI [\[21\]](#) for the elements in these two classes, we find that the minimal cover of these two classes contains 56 subgroups. Therefore, the covering number of $(\text{PSL}(2, 7) \times \text{PSL}(2, 7)).4$ is 498.

(iv) By GAP, we have the following distribution of elements:

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (65)	\mathcal{M}_3 (520)	\mathcal{M}_4 (2016)	\mathcal{M}_5 (2080)	\mathcal{M}_6 (4368)
cl_{63}	12480, P	384	0	0	6	0
cl_{15}	0	0	0	26, P	0	12
$cl_{9,1}$	0	2688 ₂	168	0	42	0
$cl_{9,2}$	0	2688 ₂	168	0	42	0

TABLE 13. Element distribution in $\text{PSL}(2, 64).3$

The classes cl_{15} , $cl_{9,1}$, and $cl_{9,2}$ are not contained in the minimal normal subgroup in \mathcal{M}_1 . The elements of cl_{15} are partitioned among the 2016 subgroups of \mathcal{M}_4 , and no subgroup contains more elements of cl_{15} than a subgroup in \mathcal{M}_4 does. Using Algorithm [KNS](#) and GUROBI, the minimal cover of $cl_{9,1}$ and $cl_{9,2}$ has size 64, and calculations in GAP show that a random choice of 64 subgroups from \mathcal{M}_2 (say, the first 64 in a given list, excluding the last) plus the 2016 aforementioned maximal subgroups from \mathcal{M}_4 are a cover. Therefore, the covering number of $\text{PSL}(2, 64).3$ is 2080.

(v) By GAP, we have the following distribution of elements in $\text{PSL}(2, 8) \text{ wr } 2$:

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (504)	\mathcal{M}_3 (81)	\mathcal{M}_4 (784)	\mathcal{M}_5 (1296)
cl_{63}	8064, P	0	0	0	0
cl_{18}	0	56, P	0	36	0
cl_4	0	0	392, P	162	98

TABLE 14. Element distribution in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 8) \mathrm{wr} 2$

By Algorithm [KNS](#) and GUROBI, the subgroups from \mathcal{M}_2 and \mathcal{M}_3 are a minimal cover of cl_{18} and cl_4 . However, these two classes together are not a cover, whereas including the minimal normal subgroup from \mathcal{M}_1 with these is a cover. Therefore, the covering number of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 8) \mathrm{wr} 2$ is 586.

(vi) Here $(A_6 \times A_6).4$ is number 16 of the list `AllPrimitiveGroups(NrMovedPoints,100)` returned by GAP. A class of elements of order 40 is only contained in the minimal normal subgroup, and a class of elements of order 20 is only contained in the 1296 subgroups from another class. The only elements not covered by this class are four classes of elements of order 16. By Algorithm [KNS](#) and GUROBI, a minimal cover of these elements contains 90 subgroups. The result follows.

(vii) Using Algorithm [GKS](#), $\sigma(\mathrm{O}^+(8, 2) : 2) = \sigma(\mathrm{O}^-(8, 2) : 2) = 256$. By Lemma [2.10](#), if $\sigma(\mathrm{Sp}(8, 2)) < 256$, then all maximal subgroups isomorphic to either $\mathrm{O}^+(8, 2) : 2$ or $\mathrm{O}^-(8, 2) : 2$ are in such a minimal cover. However, there are $136 + 120 = 256$ such subgroups, so $\sigma(\mathrm{Sp}(8, 2)) \geq 256$. On the other hand, calculations in GAP show that all 256 subgroups isomorphic to either $\mathrm{O}^+(8, 2) : 2$ or $\mathrm{O}^-(8, 2) : 2$ are a cover. The result follows. \square

PROPOSITION A.2. *We have the following lower and upper bounds for the indicated covering number values:*

- (i) $447 \leq \sigma(A_7 \mathrm{wr} 2) \leq 667$;
- (ii) $196 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSp}(4, 4).2) \leq 222$;
- (iii) $11859 \leq \sigma(HS : 2) \leq 22375$;
- (iv) $22746 \leq \sigma((A_{10} \times A_{10}).4) \leq 30377$;
- (v) $344 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSU}(4, 3)) \leq 442$;
- (vi) $256 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSU}(4, 3).2) \leq 554$;
- (vii) $239 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSU}(4, 3).2) \leq 365$;
- (viii) $412 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSU}(4, 3).2) \leq 554$;
- (ix) $242 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSL}(4, 3).2) \leq 365$;
- (x) $25706 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{O}^-(8, 2)) \leq 26283$;
- (xi) $204 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{O}^+(8, 2)) \leq 765$;
- (xii) $570 \leq \sigma(\mathrm{PSL}(2, 11) \mathrm{wr} 2) \leq 926$.

PROOF. (i) Using GAP, we find the following distribution of elements:

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (2520)	\mathcal{M}_3 (2520)	\mathcal{M}_4 (1225)	\mathcal{M}_5 (441)	\mathcal{M}_6 (49)	\mathcal{M}_7 (225)	\mathcal{M}_8 (225)
cl_{14}	0	360	0	0	0	0	4032, P	4032, P
cl_{12}	0	0	420	432	2400 ₂	0	0	0
cl_3	39200, P	0	0	320	0	3200	0	0

TABLE 15. Element distribution in $A_7 \mathrm{wr} 2$

Either \mathcal{M}_7 or \mathcal{M}_8 along with the minimal normal subgroup in \mathcal{M}_1 constitute a minimal cover of cl_{14} and cl_{12} . For the lower bound, it takes at least 221 subgroups from \mathcal{M}_5 to cover the elements cl_{12} . The upper bound comes from Algorithm [GKS](#).

(ii) First, by GAP, we have the following distribution of elements:

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (85)	\mathcal{M}_3 (85)	\mathcal{M}_4 (120)	\mathcal{M}_5 (120)	\mathcal{M}_6 (136)	\mathcal{M}_7 (136)	\mathcal{M}_8 (1360)
cl_{10}	0	0	0	0	0	1440, P	1440, P	144
cl_8	0	1440, P	1440, P	2040 ₂	2040 ₂	0	0	0

TABLE 16. Element distribution in $\text{PSp}(4, 4).2$

The elements of cl_{10} are partitioned among the subgroups in \mathcal{M}_6 and \mathcal{M}_7 in each class, and each of these classes contains 136 subgroups, so at least 136 subgroups are necessary to cover these elements. On the other hand, no maximal subgroup containing an element of cl_{10} contains an element from cl_8 . The most number of elements from cl_8 in a single maximal subgroup is 2040, and each element of cl_8 is contained in exactly two of the 120 subgroups in each of \mathcal{M}_4 or \mathcal{M}_5 . Hence it takes at least $120/2$ subgroups to cover these elements, giving a lower bound of $136 + 60 = 196$. On the other hand, using GAP, it can be verified that the minimal normal subgroup in \mathcal{M}_1 together with \mathcal{M}_2 and \mathcal{M}_6 is a cover, giving the upper bound of 222.

(iii) By GAP, we have the following distribution of elements in $HS : 2$:

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (100)	\mathcal{M}_3 (1100)	\mathcal{M}_4 (1100)	\mathcal{M}_5 (3850)
cl_{11}	8064000, P	80640, P	0	0	0
cl_{30}	0	0	0	2688, P	0
$cl_{20,1}$	0	0	0	0	0
$cl_{20,2}$	0	0	0	0	0
cl_{10}	0	88704, P	8064	0	2304

TABLE 17. Element distribution in $HS : 2$

	\mathcal{M}_6 (4125)	\mathcal{M}_7 (5775)	\mathcal{M}_8 (15400)	\mathcal{M}_9 (22176)	\mathcal{M}_{10} (36960)
cl_{11}	0	0	0	0	0
cl_{30}	0	0	0	0	80
$cl_{20,1}$	0	768, P	0	200, P	0
$cl_{20,1}$	0	0	288, P	400 ₂	120, P
cl_{10}	0	0	0	400, P	0

TABLE 18. Element distribution in $HS : 2$, cont.

Now, using GAP, the subgroups in classes \mathcal{M}_2 , \mathcal{M}_4 , \mathcal{M}_7 , and \mathcal{M}_8 form a cover, giving the upper bound. On the other hand, the elements of cl_{30} are covered by the 1100 maximal subgroups in \mathcal{M}_4 . At least 5775 different subgroups are needed for $cl_{20,1}$, and the minimal normal subgroup in \mathcal{M}_1 is a minimal cover of a class of elements of order 11. At this point, at most 2442000 elements can possibly be covered from $cl_{20,2}$, being $120 \cdot 1100 + 5775 \cdot 400 = 2442000$. Since $15400 \cdot 288 - (120 \cdot 1100 + 5775 \cdot 400) = 1993200$, this leaves at least 1993200 elements still uncovered. The most elements of this class in any maximal subgroup is 400, which means at least an additional 4983 subgroups are required to cover these elements. Since $1100 + 5775 + 1 + 4983 = 11859$, the covering number is bounded below by 11859.

(iv) Using GAP, we obtain the following information about some classes of elements in $(A_{10} \times A_{10}).4$.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (44100)	\mathcal{M}_3 (14400)	\mathcal{M}_4 (2025)
cl_{72}	182891520000, P	0	0	0
cl_{20}	0	0	0	0
cl_{28}	0	0	3259200, P	232243200
$cl_{24,1}$	0	2073600	12700800 ₂	0
$cl_{24,2}$	0	2073600	12700800 ₂	0

TABLE 19. Element distribution in $(A_{10} \times A_{10}).4$

	\mathcal{M}_5 (100)	\mathcal{M}_6 (893025)	\mathcal{M}_7 (15876)	\mathcal{M}_8 (6350400)
cl_{72}	0	0	0	0
cl_{20}	0	737280	41472000, P	103680
cl_{28}	4702924800, P	0	0	0
$cl_{24,1}$	0	307200	0	0
$cl_{24,2}$	0	307200	0	0

TABLE 20. Element distribution in $(A_{10} \times A_{10}).4$, cont.

Using Algorithm [GKS](#), the subgroups in classes \mathcal{M}_1 , \mathcal{M}_3 , \mathcal{M}_5 and \mathcal{M}_7 collectively form a cover, giving the upper bound. On the other hand, the information in Tables [19](#) and [20](#) shows the necessity of the subgroup in \mathcal{M}_1 to cover the elements in cl_{72} , and it takes at least 15876 additional subgroups to cover cl_{20} . At this point, since

$$14400 \cdot \frac{12700800}{2} - 15876 \cdot 307200 = 86568652800,$$

at least 86568652800 elements from each of $cl_{24,1}$ and $cl_{24,2}$ are still uncovered. Because

$$\frac{86568652800}{12700800} = 6816,$$

at least 6816 subgroups are still needed to cover the elements from these classes. Noting that

$$\left\lceil \frac{470292480000 - 6816 \cdot 32659200}{4702924800} \right\rceil = 53,$$

at least 53 more subgroups from \mathcal{M}_5 are needed to cover the elements of cl_{28} , giving a lower bound of 22746.

(v) Using GAP, we have the following information about elements of $\text{PSU}(4, 3)$.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (112)	\mathcal{M}_2 (126)	\mathcal{M}_3 (126)	\mathcal{M}_4 (162)	\mathcal{M}_5 (162)	\mathcal{M}_6 (280)	\mathcal{M}_7 (540)	\mathcal{M}_8 (567)
cl_7	0	0	0	2880, P	2880, P	0	864, P	0
$cl_{9,1}$	1080, P	2880 ₃	0	0	0	432, P	0	0
$cl_{9,2}$	1080, P	0	2880 ₃	0	0	432, P	0	0
cl_8	0	0	0	0	0	2916 ₂	1512 ₂	720, P

TABLE 21. Element distribution in $\text{PSU}(4, 3)$

	\mathcal{M}_9 (567)	\mathcal{M}_{10} (1296)	\mathcal{M}_{11} (1296)	\mathcal{M}_{12} (1296)	\mathcal{M}_{13} (1296)	\mathcal{M}_{14} (2835)	\mathcal{M}_{15} (4536)	\mathcal{M}_{16} (4536)
cl_7	0	360	360	360	360	0	0	0
$cl_{9,1}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$cl_{9,2}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
cl_8	720, P	0	0	0	0	144	180	180

TABLE 22. Element distribution in $\text{PSU}(4, 3)$, cont.

First, Algorithm [GKS](#) shows that the 442 subgroups in \mathcal{M}_4 and \mathcal{M}_6 form a cover. On the other hand, the information in Tables [21](#) and [22](#) shows that at least 162 subgroups are needed to cover cl_7 . Suppose that we use $162 - m$ subgroups from \mathcal{M}_4 and \mathcal{M}_5 and that we use m_7 subgroups from \mathcal{M}_7 . This means we use $(162 - m) + m_7$ groups to cover cl_7 . This implies that $864m_7 \geq 2880m$, that is, this implies that $m \leq 3m_7/10$, and so $162 + (m_7 - m) \geq 162 + 7m_7/10$. For each group that we use from class \mathcal{M}_7 , potentially 1512 elements from cl_8 are covered. Since

$$\frac{408240 - 1512m_7}{2916} = 140 - \frac{14m_7}{27},$$

we still need at least $140 - 14m_7/27$ groups to cover cl_8 . Noting that

$$\left(162 + \frac{7m_7}{10}\right) + \left(140 - \frac{14m_7}{27}\right) = 302 + \frac{49m_7}{270} \geq 302,$$

at least 302 subgroups are required to cover classes cl_7 and cl_8 . Since $120960 - 140 \cdot 432 = 60480$, at the very least 60480 of the elements from each of $cl_{9,1}$ and $cl_{9,2}$ are still uncovered. Because $2 \cdot 60480/2880 = 42$, an additional 42 subgroups are needed, and hence at least 344 subgroups are needed to cover $\text{PSU}(4, 3)$.

(vi) $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$ is the group $\text{U}(4, 3).2_1$ in the ATLAS [\[9\]](#). Using GAP, we have the following information about elements of $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (112)	\mathcal{M}_3 (126)	\mathcal{M}_4 (126)	\mathcal{M}_5 (162)	\mathcal{M}_6 (162)	\mathcal{M}_7 (280)
cl_{14}	0	0	0	0	2880, P	2880, P	0
cl_{10}	0	11664 ₂	5184, P	5184, P	0	0	0
cl_6	0	0	0	0	0	0	108, P

TABLE 23. Element distribution in $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$

	\mathcal{M}_8 (540)	\mathcal{M}_9 (567)	\mathcal{M}_{10} (567)	\mathcal{M}_{11} (2835)	\mathcal{M}_{12} (4536)	\mathcal{M}_{13} (4536)
cl_{14}	864, P	0	0	0	0	0
cl_{10}	0	0	0	0	144	144
cl_6	560 ₁₀	0	0	96 ₉	0	0

TABLE 24. Element distribution in $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$, cont.

First, using GAP, the subgroups in \mathcal{M}_2 , \mathcal{M}_5 , \mathcal{M}_7 are a cover, giving the upper bound of 554. On the other hand, examining Tables [23](#) and [24](#), we see that at least 54 subgroups are needed to cover cl_6 . Supposing that 54 subgroups from \mathcal{M}_8 are used to cover cl_6 , which would be optimal, we would then have covered $864 \cdot 54$ elements from cl_{14} . Since

$$\lceil 864(540 - 54)/2880 \rceil = 146,$$

at least 146 subgroups are still needed to cover cl_{14} . Finally, at least 56 subgroups are still needed to cover cl_{10} , since no subgroup that contains an element of cl_{14} or cl_6 contains an element of cl_{10} . Therefore, at least 256 subgroups are needed to cover $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$.

(vii) $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$ is the group $\text{U}(4, 3).2_2$ in the ATLAS [9]. Using GAP, we have the following information about elements of $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (112)	\mathcal{M}_3 (126)	\mathcal{M}_4 (126)	\mathcal{M}_5 (280)	\mathcal{M}_6 (540)
cl_{10}	0	0	$5184, P$	$5184, P$	0	0
cl_{18}	0	$3240, P$	0	2880	1296	0
$cl_{12,1}$	0	$4860, P$	4320	0	0	0
$cl_{12,2}$	0	0	0	4320_2	972	2016
cl_8	0	0	6480_2	0	2916	1512
cl_7	$933120, P$	0	0	0	0	1728

TABLE 25. Element distribution in $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$

	\mathcal{M}_7 (567)	\mathcal{M}_8 (567)	\mathcal{M}_9 (1296)	\mathcal{M}_{10} (1296)	\mathcal{M}_{11} (2835)
cl_{10}	0	2304	504	504	0
cl_{18}	0	0	0	0	0
$cl_{12,1}$	960	0	420	420	192
$cl_{12,2}$	0	0	0	0	96
cl_8	720	720	0	0	144
cl_7	0	0	720	720	0

TABLE 26. Element distribution in $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$, cont.

Using GAP, we see that the subgroups in $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3$, and \mathcal{M}_4 constitute a cover, demonstrating the upper bound. On the other hand, Tables 25 and 26 show that at least 126 subgroups are needed for cl_{10} . Assume that m_3 subgroups from \mathcal{M}_3 and m_4 subgroups from \mathcal{M}_4 are used in the cover; thus $m_3 + m_4 \geq 126$. Since

$$\frac{112 \cdot 4860 - 4320m_3}{4860} = 112 - \frac{8m_3}{9}, \quad \frac{112 \cdot 3240 - 2880m_4}{3240} = 112 - \frac{8m_4}{9},$$

at least $112 - 8m_3/9$ subgroups are still needed to cover elements from $cl_{12,1}$ and at least $112 - 8m_4/9$ subgroups are still needed to cover elements from cl_{18} . Because

$$\left(112 - \frac{8m_3}{9}\right) + \left(112 - \frac{8m_4}{9}\right) = 224 - \frac{8}{9} \cdot (m_3 + m_4),$$

at least $224 - 8(m_3 + m_4)/9$ subgroups are needed to cover the remaining elements from these two classes. Since

$$(m_3 + m_4) + \left(224 - \frac{8}{9} \cdot (m_3 + m_4)\right) = 224 + \frac{1}{9}(m_3 + m_4) \geq 224 + \frac{1}{9} \cdot 126 = 238,$$

at least 238 subgroups are needed to cover classes $cl_{10}, cl_{12,1}$, and cl_{18} , collectively. Nothing from cl_7 has yet been covered, so the subgroup in \mathcal{M}_1 is still needed. This gives the lower bound of 239.

(viii) $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$ is the group $\text{U}(4, 3).2_3$ in the ATLAS [9]. Using GAP, we note the following distribution of elements in $\text{PSU}(4, 3).2$.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (112)	\mathcal{M}_3 (162)	\mathcal{M}_4 (162)	\mathcal{M}_5 (280)	\mathcal{M}_6 (540)
cl_{24}	0	0	0	0	$972, P$	0
cl_{10}	0	0	8064_2	0	0	0
cl_8	0	14580_2	0	10080	0	0

TABLE 27. Element distribution in PSU(4, 3).2

	\mathcal{M}_7 (2835)	\mathcal{M}_8 (4536)	\mathcal{M}_9 (4536)	\mathcal{M}_{10} (45366)	\mathcal{M}_{11} (8505)
cl_{24}	96	0	0	0	0
cl_{10}	0	144	144	144	0
cl_8	288	0	0	360	96

TABLE 28. Element distribution in PSU(4, 3).2, cont.

Using GAP, we see that the subgroups in \mathcal{M}_2 , \mathcal{M}_3 , and \mathcal{M}_5 constitute a cover, giving the upper bound. On the other hand, Tables 27 and 28 show that it takes at least 280 subgroups to cover the elements in cl_{24} . No maximal subgroup that contains elements from cl_{24} contains elements of cl_{10} , so it takes at least $162/2$ subgroups to cover these elements. Finally, because

$$\left\lceil \frac{112 \cdot \frac{14580}{2} - 288 \cdot 280}{14580} \right\rceil = 51,$$

it takes at least an additional 51 subgroups to cover the elements of cl_8 . Hence it takes at least 412 groups to cover these three classes. The result follows.

(ix) The upper bound comes from using Algorithm GKS. On the other hand, using GAP, we have the following distribution of elements in PSL(4, 3).2.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (117)	\mathcal{M}_3 (117)	\mathcal{M}_4 (130)	\mathcal{M}_5 (520)	\mathcal{M}_6 (1080)	\mathcal{M}_7 (2106)	\mathcal{M}_8 (8424)	\mathcal{M}_9 (10530)
$cl_{12,1}$	0	0	$4320, P$	$3888, P$	0	0	240	0	48
$cl_{12,2}$	0	$4320, P$	0	$3888, P$	0	0	240	0	48
cl_6	0	0	0	10368_2	$1296, P$	1872	0	240	64
cl_{20}	$606528, P$	0	0	0	0	0	288	0	0
cl_8	0	$6480, P$	$6480, P$	0	2916_2	1404	0	180	0
$cl_{10,1}$	0	10368_2	0	0	0	0	288	0	0
$cl_{10,2}$	0	0	10368_2	0	0	0	288	0	0

TABLE 29. Element distribution in PSL(4, 3).2

Assume that $117 - m_{2,3}$ subgroups are used from classes \mathcal{M}_2 and \mathcal{M}_3 to cover cl_8 . In this case, an additional m subgroups from classes \mathcal{M}_5 , \mathcal{M}_6 , and \mathcal{M}_8 are needed to cover cl_8 . Now, $6480m_{2,3} \leq 2916m$, and so $117 - m_{2,3} + m \geq 117 + 11m/20$. At this point, we have covered at most $1872m$ elements of cl_6 , and so there are $673920 - 1872m$ elements still to cover. Since

$$\frac{673920 - 1872m}{10368} = 65 - \frac{13m}{72},$$

at least $65 - 13m/72$ subgroups are needed to cover the remaining elements of cl_6 . So far, we have used at least 182 subgroups, since

$$\left(117 + \frac{11m}{20}\right) + \left(65 - \frac{13m}{72}\right) = 182 + \frac{133m}{360} \geq 182.$$

None of the subgroups that contain elements from cl_6 or cl_8 contain elements from cl_{20} , so including the subgroup from \mathcal{M}_1 means at least 183 subgroups are needed to cover cl_6 , cl_8 , and cl_{20} . Of the elements in $cl_{12,1}$ and $cl_{12,2}$, we have covered at most $4320 \cdot 117 + 3888 \cdot 65$, which leaves at least 252720 still uncovered. This means at least an additional $\lceil 252720/4320 \rceil$ more subgroups are needed, and, since $\lceil 252720/4320 \rceil = 59$, we have a lower bound of 242 subgroups.

(x) The upper bound comes from Algorithm [GKS](#). Using GAP, we have the following distribution of elements in $O^-(8, 2)$.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (119)	\mathcal{M}_2 (136)	\mathcal{M}_3 (765)	\mathcal{M}_4 (1071)	\mathcal{M}_5 (1632)	\mathcal{M}_6 (24192)	\mathcal{M}_7 (45696)	\mathcal{M}_8 (1175040)
cl_{17}	0	0	0	0	0	480, P	0	0
cl_{30}	0	0	0	6144, P	0	0	144	0
cl_{21}	0	0	24576 ₂	0	5760	0	0	0
cl_9	368640 ₂	161280, P	0	0	0	0	0	0
cl_{15}	0	96768 ₃	0	0	5376	0	96	0

TABLE 30. Element distribution in $O^-(8, 2)$

It is clear from Table 30 that at least $24192 + 1071$ subgroups are needed to cover cl_{17} and cl_{30} . No maximal subgroups that contain elements in cl_{17} or cl_{30} contain elements in cl_{21} , so at least another $\lceil 765/2 \rceil$ are needed. Finally, no subgroup that contains elements in cl_{17} , cl_{30} , or cl_{21} contains elements in cl_9 , which takes at least $\lceil 119/2 \rceil$ additional subgroups, giving a lower bound of 25706.

(xi) Algorithm [GKS](#) shows that the covering number of $O^+(8, 2)$ is at most 765. Using GAP, we have the following element distribution.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (120)	\mathcal{M}_2 (120)	\mathcal{M}_3 (120)	\mathcal{M}_4 (135)	\mathcal{M}_5 (135)	\mathcal{M}_6 (135)	\mathcal{M}_7 (960)	\mathcal{M}_8 (960)
$cl_{15,1}$	0	0	96768, P	172032 ₂	0	0	0	0
$cl_{15,2}$	96768, P	0	0	0	0	172032 ₂	24192	0
$cl_{15,3}$	0	96768, P	0	0	172032 ₂	0	0	24192

TABLE 31. Element distribution in $O^+(8, 2)$

	\mathcal{M}_9 (960)	\mathcal{M}_{10} (1120)	\mathcal{M}_{11} (1120)	\mathcal{M}_{12} (1120)	\mathcal{M}_{13} (1575)	\mathcal{M}_{14} (11200)	\mathcal{M}_{15} (12096)	\mathcal{M}_{16} (12096)	\mathcal{M}_{17} (12096)
$cl_{15,1}$	24192	0	10368	0	0	0	0	960	0
$cl_{15,2}$	0	0	0	10368	0	0	0	0	960
$cl_{15,3}$	0	10368	0	0	0	0	960	0	0

TABLE 32. Element distribution in $O^+(8, 2)$, cont.

It is clear from Tables 31 and 32 that no maximal subgroup contains elements from more than one of the classes $cl_{15,1}$, $cl_{15,2}$, or $cl_{15,3}$. A minimal cover for each class consists of at least $\lceil 135/2 \rceil$ subgroups, and so at least 204 subgroups are needed in any cover.

(xii) The upper bound comes from Algorithm GKS. Using GAP, we have the following distribution of elements.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (660)	\mathcal{M}_3 (660)	\mathcal{M}_4 (121)	\mathcal{M}_5 (121)	\mathcal{M}_6 (144)	\mathcal{M}_7 (3025)
cl_{12}	0	0	220_2	0	0	0	24
cl_{22}	0	$60, P$	0	0	0	$275, P$	0
$cl_{6,1}$	0	$220, P$	0	1200_2	1200_2	0	24
$cl_{6,2}$	$220, P$	0	0	0	0	0	4

TABLE 33. Element distribution in $\text{PSL}(2, 11)$ wr 2

Examining Table 33, it is clear that at least $660/2 + 144$ subgroups are needed to cover cl_{12} and cl_{22} , since these elements lie in disjoint classes of maximal subgroups. Since

$$\left\lceil \frac{145200 - 144 \cdot 220}{1200} \right\rceil = 95,$$

at least 95 more subgroups needed for $cl_{6,1}$. Finally, not all elements from $cl_{6,2}$ are covered, and so the subgroup from \mathcal{M}_1 is needed, giving the lower bound of 570. \square

PROPOSITION A.3. *We have the following lower bounds for the indicated covering number values:*

- (i) $\sigma(\text{PSL}(5, 3)) \geq 393030144$;
- (ii) $\sigma((A_{11} \times A_{11}).4) \geq 213444$;
- (iii) $\sigma(\text{PSL}(7, 2)) \geq 184308203520$.

PROOF. (i) A Sylow 11-subgroup of $\text{PSL}(5, 3)$ has order 121 and is cyclic. Using GAP (and/or [6, Tables 8.18-8.19]), there are 8 classes of maximal subgroups, and only one has order divisible by 121 (and hence is the only maximal subgroup containing an element of order 121). A maximal subgroup in this class is isomorphic to $121 : 5$, and the index of one of these groups in G is 393030144. The result follows.

(ii) By Algorithm GKS (or, more accurately, one iteration of the loop in Algorithm GKS), there exists a class cl_{60} of elements of order 60 that are distributed as follows.

	\mathcal{M}_1 (1)	\mathcal{M}_2 (213444)	\mathcal{M}_3 (108900)	\mathcal{M}_4 (27225)	\mathcal{M}_5 (3025)	\mathcal{M}_6 (121)	\mathcal{M}_7 (131681894400)
cl_{60}	0	124416000	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 34. Element distribution in $(A_{11} \times A_{11}).4$

The elements in the class cl_{60} are partitioned among the subgroups in \mathcal{M}_2 , so at least 213444 subgroups are needed.

(iii) This follows immediately from considering the elements of order $2^7 - 1$ and the result of Kantor [25] that only field extension subgroups contain a Singer cycle. \square

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi, F. Ashraf, and S. M. Shaker. The symmetric group of degree six can be covered by 13 and no fewer proper subgroups. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)*, 30(1):57–58, 2007.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L. M. Ezquerro. *Classes of Finite Groups*. Springer, 2006.
- [3] S. R. Blackburn. Sets of permutations that generate the symmetric group pairwise. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 113(7):1572–1581, 2006.
- [4] J. R. Britnell, A. Evseev, R. M. Guralnick, P. E. Holmes, and A. Maróti. Sets of elements that pairwise generate a linear group. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 115(3):442–465, 2008.
- [5] J. R. Britnell, A. Evseev, R. M. Guralnick, P. E. Holmes, and A. Maróti. Corrigendum to “Sets of elements that pairwise generate a linear group” [J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 115 (3) (2008) 442–465]. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 118(3):1152–1153, 2011.
- [6] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt, and C. M. Roney-Dougal. *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [7] R. A. Bryce, V. Fedri, and L. Serena. Subgroup coverings of some linear groups. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 60(2):227–238, 1999.
- [8] J. H. E. Cohn. On n -sum groups. *Math. Scand.*, 75(1):44–58, 1994.
- [9] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of Finite Groups* Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [10] J. Cossey, O. H. Kegel, L. G. Kovács, Maximal Frattini extensions. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 35 (1980), no. 3, 210–217.
- [11] E. Damian and A. Lucchini, On the Dirichlet polynomial of finite groups of Lie type. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* Vol. 115, 2006.
- [12] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini. On the structure of primitive n -sum groups. *Cubo* 10(3):195–210, 2008.
- [13] E. Detomi and A. Lucchini. Crowns and factorization of the probabilistic zeta function of a finite group. *J. Algebra* 265(2):651–668, 2003.
- [14] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer. *Permutation Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 163. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [15] M. Epstein and S. Magliveras. The covering number of M_{24} . *J. Algebra Comb. Discrete Struct. Appl.*, 3(3):155–158, 2016.
- [16] M. Epstein, S. Magliveras, and D. Nikolova-Popova. The covering numbers of A_9 and A_{11} . *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 101:23–36, 2017.
- [17] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.5*, 2014.
- [18] M. Garonzi. Covering certain monolithic groups with proper subgroups. *Comm. Algebra*, 41(2):471–491, 2013.
- [19] M. Garonzi. Finite groups that are the union of at most 25 proper subgroups. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 12(4):1350002, 11, 2013.
- [20] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon. *The classification of the finite simple groups*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 40.1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [21] Gurobi Optimizer Reference Manual, Gurobi Optimization, Inc., 2014, (<http://www.gurobi.com>).
- [22] P. E. Holmes. Subgroup coverings of some sporadic groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 113(6):1204–1213, 2006.
- [23] P. E. Holmes and A. Maróti. Pairwise generating and covering sporadic simple groups. *J. Algebra*, 324(1):25–35, 2010.
- [24] S. M. Jafarian Amiri. On minimal subgroup covering of some affine primitive permutation groups. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 9(6):985–987, 2010.
- [25] W. M. Kantor. Linear groups containing a Singer cycle. *J. Algebra*, 62:232–234, 1980.
- [26] L.-C. Kappe. Finite coverings: a journey through groups, loops, rings and semigroups. In *Group theory, combinatorics, and computing*, volume 611 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 79–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [27] L.-C. Kappe, D. Nikolova-Popova, and E. Swartz. On the covering number of small symmetric groups and some sporadic simple groups. *Groups Complex. Cryptol.* 8(2):135–154, 2016.
- [28] L.-C. Kappe and J. L. Redden. On the covering number of small alternating groups. In *Computational group theory and the theory of groups, II*, volume 511 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 109–125. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [29] A. Lucchini, A. Maróti. On nonabelian simple groups and Kneser graphs. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 30 (2009), no. 4, 549–66.
- [30] M. Lucido. On the covers of finite groups. In *Groups St. Andrews 2001 in Oxford. Vol. II*, volume 305 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 395–399. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [31] A. Maróti. Covering the symmetric groups with proper subgroups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 110(1):97–111, 2005.
- [32] B. H. Neumann. Groups covered by permutable subsets. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 29:236–248, 1954.
- [33] R. Oppenheim and E. Swartz. On the covering number of S_{14} . *Involve*, 12(1):89–96, 2019.
- [34] D. J. S. Robinson. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [35] G. Scorza. I gruppi che possono pensarsi come somma di tre loro sottogruppi. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, 5:216–218, 1926.
- [36] E. Swartz. On the covering number of symmetric groups having degree divisible by six. *Discrete Math.* 339(11):2593–2604, 2016.
- [37] M. J. Tomkinson. Groups as the union of proper subgroups. *Math. Scand.*, 81(2):191–198, 1997.

(Garonzi) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, BRASÍLIA, DF 70910-900, BRASIL
E-mail address: `mgaronzi@gmail.com`

(Kappe) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BINGHAMTON, BINGHAMTON,
NY 13902-6000, USA
E-mail address: `menger@math.binghamton.edu`

(Swartz) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF WILLIAM & MARY, P.O. BOX 8795, WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23187-
8795, USA
E-mail address: `easwartz@wm.edu`