

SET-THEORETICAL ENTROPIES OF EULER'S TOTIENT FUNCTION AND OTHER NUMBER THEORETICAL SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

FATEMAH AYATOLLAH ZADEH SHIRAZI, REZA YAGHMAEIAN

ABSTRACT. In the following text we show set-theoretical entropy of Euler's totient function and contravariant set-theoretical entropy of Dedekind psi function are zero. Also contravariant set-theoretical entropy of Euler's totient function and set-theoretical entropy of Dedekind psi function are $+\infty$. We pay attention to some of the other number theoretical special functions too. We continue our studies on Alexandroff topologies induced by Euler's totient function and Dedekind psi function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25, 11Y70, 33F99

Keywords: Alexandroff topology, Dedekind psi function, Euler's totient function, Infinite orbit number, Infinite anti-orbit number, Set-theoretical entropy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Various types of entropies have been studied in different branches of mathematics. In category Set one may consider set-theoretical and contravariant set-theoretical entropies of self-maps. Our main aim in this text is to study set-theoretical behaviour of some well-known number theoretical maps like Euler's totient function, Dedekind psi function and their generalizations. However set-theoretical and contravariant set-theoretical entropies of a "nice" self-maps have interactions with infinite orbit number's concept and infinite anti-orbit number's concept so we pay attention to these concepts too. We continue our studies in topological arising concepts in this regard, our main emphasis in topological point of view deals with Alexandroff topological spaces' approach.

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ the set of prime numbers. For finite set A by $\sharp A$ we mean the number of elements of A . Also we say $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ is finite fibre if $\lambda^{-1}(x)$ is finite for all $x \in X$.

Background on infinite orbit number and infinite anti-orbit number of a self-map. For self-map $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ we say the one-to-one sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is:

- an infinite λ -orbit if for all $n \geq 1$, $a_{n+1} = \lambda(a_n)$,
- an infinite λ -anti-orbit if for all $n \geq 1$, $a_n = \lambda(a_{n+1})$ (see e.g., [6, Definition 1.2] and [7, Definition 1.1]).

Moreover we set [4]:

$\mathfrak{o}(\lambda) := \sup(\{n \geq 1 : \text{there exists } n \text{ disjoint infinite } \lambda\text{-orbit sequences}\} \cup \{0\})$,
 $\mathfrak{a}(\lambda) := \sup(\{n \geq 1 : \text{there exists } n \text{ disjoint infinite } \lambda\text{-anti-orbit sequences}\} \cup \{0\})$,
 we call $\mathfrak{o}(\lambda)$ infinite orbit number of λ and $\mathfrak{a}(\lambda)$ infinite anti-orbit number of λ .

Background on set–theoretical and contravariant set–theoretical entropies.

For $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ and finite subset A of X the following limit exists

$$\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\lambda, A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp(A \cup \lambda(A) \cup \dots \cup \lambda^{n-1}(A))}{n}$$

and we call $\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\lambda) := \sup\{\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\lambda, B) : B \text{ is a finite subset of } X\}$ set–theoretical entropy of λ [2]. Moreover for finite fibre onto map $\mu : X \rightarrow X$ and finite subset A of X the following limit exists

$$\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\mu, A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp(A \cup \mu^{-1}(A) \cup \dots \cup \mu^{-(n-1)}(A))}{n}$$

and we call $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\mu) := \sup\{\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\mu, B) : B \text{ is a finite subset of } X\}$ set–theoretical entropy of μ . On the other hand if $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ is finite fibre and $sc(\lambda) := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \lambda^n(X)$, then $\lambda \upharpoonright_{sc(\lambda)} : sc(\lambda) \rightarrow sc(\lambda)$ is finite fibre and onto, we call $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\lambda) := \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\lambda \upharpoonright_{sc(\lambda)})$ contravariant set–theoretical entropy of λ [5].

Note 1.1. For $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ we have $\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{o}(\lambda)$ [2, Proposition 2.16], also for finite fibre $\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ we have $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{a}(\lambda)$ [5, Theorems 3.2, 3.9]

Some number theoretical special functions. Let’s recall the following functions ($n \geq 1$ and for convenient suppose all of them map 1 to 1):

- Jordan’s totient function (for $k \geq 1$): $J_k(n) = \#\{(s_1, \dots, s_k) : s_1, \dots, s_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \gcd(s_1, \dots, s_k, n) = 1\} (n^k \prod \{1 - \frac{1}{p^k} : p \in \mathbb{P}, p|n\})$ (so well–known Euler’s totient function φ is J_1) (see e.g., [13])
- Generalized Dedekind psi function (for $k \geq 1$): $\psi_k(n) = n^k \prod \{1 + \frac{1}{p^k} : p \in \mathbb{P}, p|n\} = \frac{J_{2k}(n)}{J_k(n)}$ (so well–known Dedekind psi function, $\psi (= \psi_1)$ is $\frac{J_2}{J_1}$) [12]
- Unitary totient function: $\varphi^*(n) = \prod \{p^\alpha - 1 : p^\alpha | n, p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n, p \in \mathbb{P}, \alpha \geq 1\}$ (see e.g., [8, 11])
- $\Omega(n) = \sum \{\alpha : p^\alpha | n, p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n, p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ [9]
- $\omega(n) = \sum \{1 : p^\alpha | n, p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n, p \in \mathbb{P}, \alpha \geq 1\}$
- $d_l(n) = \#\{(s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l : s_1 \cdots s_l = n\}$ ($l \geq 2$) (we denote d_2 with d) [9]
- $\sigma_l(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \leq n} d^l$ ($l \geq 2$) [9]

2. INFINITE ORBIT NUMBER AND INFINITE ANTI–ORBIT NUMBER OF φ

In this section we compute infinite orbit number and infinite anti–orbit number of Euler’s totient function, Dedekind psi function and some other well–known maps.

Lemma 2.1. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) \leq n$ we have $\mathfrak{o}(f) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an infinite f –orbit and for all $n \geq 1$ we have $f(n) \leq n$, thus $x_1, x_2 = f(x_1), x_3 = f^2(x_1), \dots \in \{1, 2, \dots, x_1\}$, thus $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is not infinite and one–to–one sequence. \square

Lemma 2.2. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) \geq n$ we have $\mathfrak{a}(f) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an infinite f –anti–orbit and for all $n \geq 1$ we have $f(n) \geq n$, thus for all $n \geq 1$ we have $x_1 = f^n(x_{n+1}) \geq f^{n-1}(x_{n+1}) \geq \dots \geq x_{n+1}$, so $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots \in \{1, 2, \dots, x_1\}$ thus $\{x_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is not infinite and one–to–one. \square

Lemma 2.3. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) > n$ for all $n > 1$, we have $\mathfrak{o}(f) > 0$.

Proof. Let $x \geq 2$, then $\{f^n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an infinite f –orbit, thus $\mathfrak{o}(f) > 0$. \square

Lemma 2.4. For $k \geq 1$ let $S_k := \{2^k 3^n\}_{n \geq 1}$, then S_1, S_2, \dots are disjoint infinite φ -anti-orbit sequences, so $\mathfrak{a}(\varphi) = +\infty$. In addition for all $n \geq 1$, $\varphi(n) \leq n$ so $\mathfrak{o}(\varphi) = 0$.

Proof. For $n, k, s, t \geq 1$ we have $\varphi(2^k 3^{n+1}) = 2^{k-1}(2-1)3^k(3-1) = 2^k 3^n$ moreover $2^k 3^n = 2^s 3^t$ if and only if $k = s$ and $n = t$. \square

Lemma 2.5. For $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$ let $S_p := \{x_n^p\}_{n \geq 1}$ with

- $x_1^p = p$,
- $x_{n+1}^p = p^{x_n^p - 1}$ ($n \geq 1$),

then $S_3, S_5, S_7, S_{11}, \dots$ are disjoint infinite d -anti-orbit sequences, so $\mathfrak{a}(d) = +\infty$. In addition for all $n \geq 1$, $d(n) \leq n$ so $\mathfrak{o}(d) = 0$.

Proof. For $n, m \geq 1$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$ we have $d(x_{n+1}^p) = d(p^{x_n^p - 1}) = x_n^p - 1 + 1 = x_n^p$ moreover if $x_n^p = x_m^q$ then the unique prime divisor of x_n^p is p and the unique prime divisor of x_m^q is q , so $p = q$ thus $x_n^p = x_m^p$ moreover for all $i \geq 1$ we have $x_i^p < x_{i+1}^p$, so $x_n^p = x_m^p$ leads to $n = m$. \square

Lemma 2.6. For $p \in \mathbb{P}$ let $S_p := \{x_n^p\}_{n \geq 1}$ with

- $x_1^p = p$,
- $x_{n+1}^p = p^{x_n^p}$ ($n \geq 1$),

then $S_2, S_3, S_5, S_7, S_{11}, \dots$ are disjoint infinite Ω -anti-orbit sequences, so $\mathfrak{a}(\Omega) = +\infty$. In addition for all $n \geq 1$, $\Omega(n) \leq n$ so $\mathfrak{o}(\Omega) = 0$.

Proof. For $n, m \geq 1$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ we have $\Omega(x_{n+1}^p) = \Omega(p^{x_n^p}) = x_n^p$ moreover if $x_n^p = x_m^q$ then the unique prime divisor of x_n^p is p and the unique prime divisor of x_m^q is q , so $p = q$ thus $x_n^p = x_m^p$ moreover for all $i \geq 1$ we have $x_i^p < x_{i+1}^p$, so $x_n^p = x_m^p$ leads to $n = m$. \square

Lemma 2.7. For $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$ let $S_p := \{x_n^p\}_{n \geq 1}$ with (suppose q_n is the n th prime number):

- $x_1^p = p = q_1$,
- $x_{n+1}^p = p q_{j+1} q_{j+2} \cdots q_{j+x_n^p - 1}$ ($n \geq 1$),

then $S_3, S_5, S_7, S_{11}, \dots$ are disjoint infinite ω -anti-orbit sequences, so $\mathfrak{a}(\omega) = +\infty$. In addition for all $n \geq 1$, $\omega(n) \leq n$ so $\mathfrak{o}(\omega) = 0$.

Proof. For $n, m \geq 1$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}$ we have

$$\omega(x_{n+1}^p) = \omega(p q_{j+1} q_{j+2} \cdots q_{j+x_n^p - 1}) = x_n^p$$

moreover if $x_n^p = x_m^q$ then the least prime divisor of x_n^p is p and the least prime divisor of x_m^q is q , so $p = q$ thus $x_n^p = x_m^p$ moreover for all $i \geq 1$ we have $x_i^p < x_{i+1}^p$, so $x_n^p = x_m^p$ leads to $n = m$. \square

Lemma 2.8. For $k \geq 1$ let $S_k := \{3^k 2^n\}_{n \geq 1}$, then S_1, S_2, \dots are disjoint infinite ψ -orbit sequences, so $\mathfrak{o}(\psi) = +\infty$. In addition for all $n \geq 1$, $\psi(n) \geq n$ so $\mathfrak{a}(\psi) = 0$.

Proof. For $n, m, s, t \geq 1$ we have $\psi(3^m 2^n) = 3^{m-1}(3+1)2^{n-1}(2+1) = 3^m 2^{n+1}$ moreover if $3^m 2^n = 3^s 2^t$ if and only if $m = s$ and $n = t$. \square

Lemma 2.9. For $k \geq 1$ let $S_k := \{2^{2^{n+1}k+2^n-1} 3\}_{n \geq 1}$, then S_1, S_2, \dots are disjoint infinite J_2 -orbit sequences, so $\mathfrak{o}(J_2) = +\infty$. In addition for all $n \geq 1$, $J_2(n) \geq n$ so $\mathfrak{a}(J_2) = 0$.

Proof. For $n, m, s, t \geq 1$ we have $J_2(2^{2^{n+1}m+2^n-1}\mathfrak{3}) = 2^{2(2^{n+1}m+2^n-1)-2}(2^2-1)3^{2-2}(3^2-1) = 2^{2(2^{n+1}m+2^n-1)+1}\mathfrak{3} = 2^{2^{(n+1)m+2^{n+1}-1}}\mathfrak{3}$ moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2^{n+1}m+2^n-1}\mathfrak{3} = 2^{2^{s+1}t+2^s-1}\mathfrak{3} &\Leftrightarrow 2^{n+1}m + 2^n - 1 = 2^{s+1}t + 2^s - 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2^n(2m + 1) = 2^s(2t + 1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow n = s \wedge m = t. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note 2.10. Suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a multiplicative function $g : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $h : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $f(1) = 1$ and $f(p^n) = p^{g(p,n)}h(p) (\geq 1)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $n \geq 1$. Also suppose there exist distinct $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $u, v \geq 1$ with $p^u = h(q)$ and $q^v = h(p)$ define $s, t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $s(x) = g(p, x) + u$, $t(x) = g(q, x) + v$. If there exists $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ $\begin{matrix} \text{is one-to-one,} \\ (n,m) \mapsto (s^n(x_n), t^m(y_m)) \end{matrix}$

then $\mathfrak{o}(f) = +\infty$ since for $S_n := \{p^{s^i(x_n)}q^{t^i(y_n)}\}_{i \geq 1}$, the sequences S_1, S_2, \dots are disjoint infinite f -orbit sequences (use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} f(p^{s^i(x_n)}q^{t^i(y_n)}) &= p^{g(p,s^i(x_n))}h(p)q^{g(q,t^i(y_n))}h(q) \\ &= p^{g(p,s^i(x_n))+u}q^{g(q,t^i(y_n))+v} = p^{s^{i+1}(x_n)}q^{t^{i+1}(y_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 are examples of the above construction.

Note 2.11. As a generalization of Note 2.10 suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a multiplicative function $g : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $h : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $f(1) = 1$ and $f(p^n) = p^{g(p,n)}h(p) (\geq 1)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $n \geq 1$. Also suppose there exist distinct $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{P}$ and $(u_1^i, \dots, u_m^i) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ (for $i = 1, \dots, m$) with $u_j^i + g(p_i, x) \geq 1$ for all i, j, x and $p_1^{u_1^i} \dots p_m^{u_m^i} = h(p_i)$ define $s_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $s_i(x) = g(p_i, x) + (u_1^i + \dots + u_m^i)$. If there exists $(x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots, x_m^1), (x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots, x_m^2), \dots \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{N}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^l$ $\begin{matrix} \text{is one-to-one,} \\ (i,j) \mapsto (s_1^i(x_j^1), s_2^i(x_j^2), \dots, s_m^i(x_j^m)) \end{matrix}$

$\{p_1^{s_1^i(x_n^1)}p_2^{s_2^i(x_n^2)} \dots p_m^{s_m^i(x_n^m)}\}_{i \geq 1}$, the sequences S_1, S_2, \dots are disjoint infinite f -orbit sequences.

Table 2.12. We have the following table:

	λ	$\mathfrak{o}(\lambda)$	$\mathfrak{a}(\lambda)$
1st. row	$\varphi = J_1, d(= d_2), \Omega, \omega$	0	$+\infty$
2nd. row	φ^*	0	
3rd. row	$J_2, \psi(= \psi_1)$	$+\infty$	0
4th. row	$\sigma_k, \psi_k, J_{k+2}(k \geq 1)$	> 0	0

Proof. For the 1st. row use Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7.

For the 2nd. row use Lemma 2.1

For the 3rd. row use Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9.

For the 4th. row use Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and the fact that for all $n \geq 2$ we have $\sigma_k(n) > n, \psi_k(n) > n, J_{k+2}(n) > n$ (for $k \geq 1$). □

Note 2.13. 1. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) \geq n$ (for all $n \geq 1$) we have $f^{-1}(m) \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ (for all $m \geq 1$) and $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is finite fibre, thus $\sigma_k, \psi_k, J_{k+1}$ (for $k \geq 1$) are finite fibre.

2. For distinct prime numbers p_1, \dots, p_n and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 1$ with $\varphi(p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n}) =$

m we have $p_i - 1 \leq m$ and $2^{\alpha_i - 1} \leq p_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \leq m$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, so $p_1, \dots, p_n \leq m + 1$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq \frac{\log m}{\log 2} + 1$ therefore

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n} \leq \prod \left\{ p^{\left\lceil \frac{\log m}{\log 2} + 1 \right\rceil} : p \in \mathbb{P}, p \leq m + 1 \right\},$$

hence for all $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(m) \subseteq \left\{ 1, \dots, \prod \left\{ p^{\left\lceil \frac{\log m}{\log 2} + 1 \right\rceil} : p \in \mathbb{P}, p \leq m + 1 \right\} \right\}.$$

Thus for all $m \geq 1$, $\varphi^{-1}(m)$ is finite and φ is finite fibre.

3. For $k \geq 2$ we have $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \omega^{-1} \cap \Omega^{-1}(1) \cap d_k^{-1}(k)$ thus ω, Ω, d_k are not finite fibre.

Table 2.14. By Table 2.12 and Note 2.13 we have the following table (where “–” indicates that for the corresponding case λ is not finite fibre and contravariant set-theoretical entropy of λ is undefined):

λ	$\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\lambda)$	$\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\lambda)$
$\varphi(= J_1)$	0	$+\infty$
Ω, ω	0	–
$J_2, \psi(= \psi_1)$	$+\infty$	0
$\sigma_k, \psi_k, J_{k+2}(k \geq 1)$	> 0	0

Problem 2.15. Consider $k \geq 1$:

- Compute $\mathfrak{a}(\varphi^*), \mathfrak{o}(d_{k+2}), \mathfrak{a}(d_{k+2})$.
- For $\lambda = \sigma_k, \psi_{k+1}, J_{k+2}$ compute $\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\lambda)$.

3. SOME NOTES ON EULER'S TOTIENT FUNCTION AND ALEXANDROFF TOPOLOGIES ON \mathbb{N}

We call topological space X Alexandroff, if intersection of any nonempty family of open sets is open [1]. In Alexandroff topological space (X, τ) for every $x \in X$ we denote the smallest open neighbourhood of $x \in X$ with $V(x, \tau)$. For $f : X \rightarrow X$:

- $\mathcal{B} = \{\bigcup\{f^{-n}(x) : n \geq 0\} : x \in X\}$
- $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \{\{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\} : x \in X\}$

are basis of Alexandroff topologies on X . We call topology generated by \mathcal{B} , functional Alexandroff topology on X (with respect to f) and denote this topology by τ_f [3]. We call topology generated by $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, Alexandroff topology on X with respect to f and denote this topology by $\overline{\tau}_f$ [10]. For $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $x \in X$, we have:

- $V(x, \tau_f) = \bigcup\{f^{-n}(x) : n \geq 0\}$,
- $V(x, \overline{\tau}_f) = \{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\}$.

As it has been mentioned in [4], set-theoretical entropies of $f : X \rightarrow X$ interact with cellularities of the above mentioned Alexandroff spaces on X . So we devote this section to arising Alexandroff topologies from some of number theoretical functions.

Lemma 3.1. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

1. if for $n \geq 1$ we have $f(n) \geq n$, then $V(k, \tau_f) \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$;

2. if for $n \geq 1$ we have $f(n) \leq n$, then $V(k, \bar{\tau}_f) \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Proof. 1) Suppose for all $n \geq 1$ we have $f(n) \geq n$. For $k \geq 1$ suppose $x \in V(k, \tau_f)$, then there exists $m \geq 0$ with $k = f^m(x) \geq x$.

2) Suppose for all $n \geq 1$ we have $f(n) \leq n$. For $k \geq 1$ suppose $x \in V(k, \bar{\tau}_f)$, then there exists $m \geq 0$ with $x = f^m(k) \leq k$. \square

Lemma 3.2. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if for $n > 1$ we have $f(n) < n$ and $f(1) = 1$, then $1 \in V(k, \bar{\tau}_f)$ and $(\mathbb{N}, \bar{\tau}_f)$ is connected. Also $V(1, \tau_f) = \mathbb{N}$ and (\mathbb{N}, τ_f) is connected too.

Proof. Suppose $m = \min V(k, \bar{\tau}_f)$, then $f(m) \in V(k, \bar{\tau}_f)$, so

$$m \geq f(m) \geq \min V(k, \bar{\tau}_f)$$

and $m = f(m)$ hence $m = 1$ and $1 \in V(k, \bar{\tau}_f)$. Since 1 belongs to every nonempty subset of $(\mathbb{N}, \bar{\tau}_f)$, thus it does not have any disjoint nonempty open subset and it is connected.

For all $n \geq 1$ we have $f^n(n) = 1$, so $n \in V(1, \tau_f)$ and $V(1, \tau_f) = \mathbb{N}$. For nonempty open subsets U, V of (\mathbb{N}, τ_f) with $\mathbb{N} = U \cup V$ we may suppose $1 \in U$ so $V(1, \tau_f) \subseteq U$ and $\mathbb{N} = U$ which leads to connectivity of (\mathbb{N}, τ_f) . \square

Lemma 3.3. For $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ suppose for $n > 1$ we have $f(n) \geq n$ and $f(1) = 1$, then $(\mathbb{N}, \bar{\tau}_f)$ and (\mathbb{N}, τ_f) are disconnected.

Proof. $\{1\}, \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ is a separation of $(\mathbb{N}, \bar{\tau}_f)$ (and (\mathbb{N}, τ_f)). \square

Example 3.4. For $1 \leq \alpha \leq \aleph_0$ suppose M is a partition of \mathbb{N} to α infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} . For $D \in M$ suppose $D = \{n_k^D\}_{k \geq 1}$ with $n_1^D < n_2^D < \dots$ and define $f_D : D \rightarrow D$ with $f_D(n_k^D) = n_{k+1}^D$ for $k \geq 1$, then for $f := \bigcup_{D \in M} f_D : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we have $f(n) > n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and M is the collection of all connected components of (\mathbb{N}, τ_f) .

Table 3.5. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we have following table:

λ	$(\mathbb{N}, \tau_\lambda)$ and $(\mathbb{N}, \bar{\tau}_\lambda)$
$\varphi(= J_1), \varphi^*, \omega, \Omega, d(= d_2)$	connected
$\sigma_k, \psi_k, J_{k+1}(k \geq 1)$	disconnected

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the research division of the University of Tehran for the grant which supported this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. S. Alexandroff, *Diskrete Raume*, Mat. Sbornik (N. S.) (2), 501–5018, 1937.
- [2] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, D. Dikranjan, *Set-theoretical entropy: A tool to compute topological entropy*, Proceedings ICTA 2011, Islamabad Pakistan, July 4–10, 2011 (Cambridge Scientific Publishers), 11–32, 2012.
- [3] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, N. Golestani, *Functional Alexandroff spaces*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (40, 4), 515–522, 2011.
- [4] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, S. Karimzadeh Dolatabad, S. Shamloo, *Interaction between cellularity of Alexandroff spaces and entropy of generalized shift maps*, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae (Vol 27, no. 3), 397–410, 2016.
- [5] D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, *Topological entropy and algebraic entropy for group endomorphisms*, Proceedings ICTA 2011, Islamabad Pakistan, July 4–10, 2011 (Cambridge Scientific Publishers), 133–214, 2012.

- [6] D. Dikranjan, A. Giordano Bruno, S. Virili, *Strings of group endomorphisms*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications (9, 6), 933–958, 2010.
- [7] A. Giordano Bruno, S. Virili, *String numbers of abelian groups*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications (11, 4), 125–161, 2012.
- [8] M. Lal, *Iterates of the unitary totient function*, Mathematics of Computation (Volume 28, Number 125), 301–302, 1974.
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, *Elementary methods in number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 195, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000
- [10] B. Richard, *Principal topologies and transformation semigroups*, Topology and its Applications (155, 15), 1644–1649, 2008.
- [11] J. Sandor, *The unitary totient maximum and minimum functions*, STUDIA UNIV. “BABES–BOLYAI”, MATHEMATICA (Volume L, Number 2), 91–100, 2005.
- [12] D. Suryanarayana, *A generalization of Dedekind's ψ -function*, Math. Student (37), 81–86, 1969.
- [13] S. Thajoddin, S. Vangipuram, *A note on Jordan's totient function*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (9, no. 12), 1156–1161, 1988.

Fatemah Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of Tehran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran (*e-mail*: fatemah@khayam.ut.ac.ir)

Reza Yaghmaeian, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of Tehran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran (*e-mail*: rezayaghma@yahoo.com)