

KÄHLER HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS AND CHERN NUMBER INEQUALITIES

PING LI

ABSTRACT. We show in this article that Kähler hyperbolic manifolds satisfy a family of optimal Chern number inequalities and the equality cases can be attained by some compact ball quotients. These present restrictions to complex structures on negatively-curved compact Kähler manifolds, thus providing evidence to the rigidity conjecture of S.-T. Yau. The main ingredients in our proof are Gromov’s results on the L^2 -Hodge numbers, the -1 -phenomenon of the χ_y -genus and Hirzebruch’s proportionality principle. Similar methods can be applied to obtain parallel results on Kähler non-elliptic manifolds. In addition to these, we term a condition called “Kähler exactness”, which includes Kähler hyperbolic and non-elliptic manifolds and has been used by B.-L. Chen and X. Yang in their work, and show that the canonical bundle of a Kähler exact manifold of general type is ample. Some of its consequences and remarks are discussed as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us start the article by recalling two well-known conjectures related to the negativity of Riemannian sectional curvature, and their connections via the notion of “Kähler hyperbolicity” introduced by Gromov ([Gr91]). The first one, usually attributed to Hopf, is

Conjecture 1.1 (Hopf). The Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ of a compact $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold M with sectional curvature $K < 0$ (resp. $K \leq 0$) satisfies $(-1)^n \chi(M) > 0$ (resp. $(-1)^n \chi(M) \geq 0$).

This is true for $n = 1$ and 2 as the Gauss-Bonnet integrands in these two low-dimensional cases have the desired sign ([Ch55]) but is still open in its full generality for $n \geq 3$. Gromov introduced in [Gr91] the notion of “Kähler hyperbolicity”, which includes compact Kähler manifolds with negative (Riemannian) sectional curvature (“negatively-curved” for short) as special cases, and showed that the Euler characteristic of Kähler hyperbolic manifolds have the expected sign. As a consequence this settled Conjecture 1.1 for Kähler manifolds when $K < 0$. By extending Gromov’s idea and notion above to nonnegative version, Cao-Xavier and Jost-Zuo ([CX01], [JZ00]) independently introduced the concept of “Kähler non-ellipticity” and established a parallel result and consequently settled Conjecture 1.1 in the case of $K \leq 0$ for Kähler manifolds.

The second conjecture, which is due to S.-T. Yau ([Ya82, p. 678]) and can be viewed as a generalization of the classical Mostow rigidity theorem, is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q45, 57R20, 58J20.

Key words and phrases. Kähler hyperbolic manifold, Kähler non-elliptic manifold, Chern number inequality, Hirzebruch χ_y -genus, Hirzebruch’s proportionality principle, negative sectional curvature, non-positive sectional curvature, L^2 -Hodge number, Kobayashi hyperbolicity.

The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11722109).

Conjecture 1.2 (S.-T. Yau). The complex structure of a negatively-curved compact Kähler manifold M with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}M \geq 2$ is unique.

This was solved by F. Zheng ([Zh95]) when $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}M = 2$. By introducing in [Si80] the notion of “strongly negative curvature”, which is slightly stronger than the negativity of sectional curvature, Y.-T. Siu showed that a compact Kähler manifold homotopy equivalent to a compact Kähler manifold with strongly negative curvature is either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic to it, thus establishing the most general form of Conjecture 1.2 to date.

With these materials in mind, a natural question related to negatively-curved compact Kähler manifolds arises: whether the extra condition of Kählerness can lead to more constraints on their geometry and/or topology rather than merely saying that their Euler characteristic has the desired sign? On the other hand, if we are really able to deduce various geometric restrictions on them, these would provide some positive evidence towards Conjecture 1.2.

Recently B.-L. Chen and X. Yang made some important progress towards this question and the Hopf Conjecture 1.1 in two articles [CY18] and [CY17]. In the first one [CY18], They showed that a compact Kähler manifold homotopy equivalent to a negatively-curved compact Riemannian manifold admits a Kähler-Einstein metric of negative Ricci curvature ([CY18, Thm 1.1]). In fact they deduced this from the Aubin-Yau theorem by noting that the canonical bundle of a Kähler hyperbolic manifold is ample ([CY18, Thm 2.11]). Thanks to Yau’s Chern number inequality ([Ya77]), this implies that a complex n -dimensional Kähler hyperbolic manifold M satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad c_2(-c_1)^{n-2}[M] \geq \frac{n}{2(n+1)}(-c_1)^n[M],$$

with equality holds if and only if M is covered by the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . In their second article [CY17], they presented some sufficient conditions related to Kähler forms and fundamental groups for compact Kähler manifold to be Kähler hyperbolic or non-elliptic ([CY17, Thms 1.5, 1.6, 1.7]). Consequently this settles the Hopf Conjecture 1.1 in these situations. One of their sufficient conditions involved shall be termed “Kähler exactness” in our article (see Definition 2.6).

The main purpose of this article is to take a step further towards this question by showing that Kähler hyperbolic manifolds as well as Kähler non-elliptic manifolds indeed satisfy a family of optimal Chern number inequalities (Theorems 2.1 and 2.4). In addition to these, we shall term a condition called “Kähler exactness” used in [CY17], which include Kähler hyperbolic and non-elliptic manifolds, and show that a Kähler exact manifold of general type has ample canonical bundle (Theorem 2.8).

OUTLINE OF THIS ARTICLE

The rest of this article is structured as follows. In Section 2 our main results in this article (Theorems 2.1, 2.4 and 2.8) as well as their corollaries are stated, and along this line we set up some necessary notation and terminology. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to some background materials related to the proofs of main results. To be more precise, we review in Section 3 the Hirzebruch χ_y -genus, its -1 -phenomenon and Hirzebruch’s proportionality principle, which are the starting points of Theorem 2.1. Then in Section 4 we briefly recall the concept of L^2 -Hodge numbers, the relationship with the usual Hodge numbers via Atiyah’s L^2 -index

theorem, and some vanishing-type results on Kähler hyperbolic and non-elliptic manifolds. With these preliminaries in hand, in the last Section 5 we shall give the desired proofs of main results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Part of this article was completed when the author visited the Fields Institute in Toronto in May 2018. The author would like to thank it for the hospitality.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Before stating the main results, let us recall several notions due to Gromov ([Gr91]) and Hirzebruch ([Hi66]) respectively.

Assume that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and $\pi : (\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$ the universal covering with $\widetilde{g} := \pi^*(g)$. A (necessarily exact) differential form α on (M, g) is called *d-bounded* if $\alpha = d\beta$ and the norm

$$|\beta|_g := \sup_{x \in M} |\beta(x)|_{g(x)} < \infty.$$

A form α on (M, g) is called *\widetilde{d} -bounded* if $\pi^*(\alpha)$ is *d-bounded* on $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$. This concept is interesting only if \widetilde{M} is non-compact. With this understood, a compact Kähler manifold is called *Kähler hyperbolic* if it admits a Kähler metric such that its associated Kähler form is \widetilde{d} -bounded ([Gr91, p. 265]). Obviously this definition is meaningful for only non-compact \widetilde{M} .

Whether or not a form α is \widetilde{d} -bounded has homotopy invariance and depends only on its cohomology class $[\alpha]$, provided that the manifold M in question is compact, and all bounded closed k -forms ($k \geq 2$) on a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant are \widetilde{d} -bounded, which were all observed by Gromov in [Gr91] and detailed proofs can be founded in [CY18]. Typical examples of Kähler hyperbolic manifolds include compact Kähler manifolds homotopy equivalent to negatively-curved compact Riemannian manifolds, compact quotients of the bounded homogeneous symmetric domains in \mathbb{C}^n , and their submanifolds and products ([Gr91, p. 265]).

Given a compact complex n -dimensional manifold M , one can associate to a polynomial $\chi_y(M) \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$, called the *Hirzebruch χ_y -genus*, in terms of their Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}(M)$ as follows.

$$(2.1) \quad \chi_y(M) := \sum_{p=0}^n \chi^p(M) \cdot y^p := \sum_{p=0}^n \left[\sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{p,q}(M) \right] y^p.$$

For instance,

$$\chi_y(\mathbb{C}P^n) = \sum_{p=0}^n (-y)^p.$$

It is known that these $\chi^p(M)$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$) are indices of some Dolbeault-type elliptic operators and the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem tells us that $\chi^p(M)$ can be expressed in terms of rationally linear combinations of Chern numbers, and $\chi^0(M)$ is nothing but the Todd genus of M . For more details on this subject we refer the reader to Section 3.

With these concepts understood, now comes our first main result in this article.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that M is a complex n -dimensional Kähler hyperbolic manifold. Then M satisfies $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ optimal Chern number inequalities*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] &\geq (-1)^n A_i\left(\binom{n+1}{1}, \dots, \binom{n+1}{n}\right) \\ &= (-1)^n A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)[\mathbb{C}P^n], \quad 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

which can be determined by a recursive algorithm, and whose first three terms read as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_0(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] = (-1)^n c_n[M] \geq n + 1, \\ A_1(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] = (-1)^n \left[\frac{n(3n-5)}{2} c_n + c_1 c_{n-1} \right] [M] \geq 2(n-1)n(n+1), \\ A_2(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] = (-1)^n \left[n(15n^3 - 150n^2 + 485n - 502)c_n + 4(15n^2 - 85n + 108)c_1 c_{n-1} \right. \\ \quad \left. + 8(c_1^2 + 3c_2)c_{n-2} - 8(c_1^3 - 3c_1 c_2 + 3c_3)c_{n-3} \right] [M] \\ \quad \geq (-1)^n A_2\left(\binom{n+1}{1}, \dots, \binom{n+1}{n}\right). \end{array} \right.$$

Furthermore,

- (1) all the equality cases in (2.2) hold if M is a compact ball quotient with $\chi^0 = (-1)^n$,
- (2) the i -th equality case in (2.2) holds if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \chi^p(M) = (-1)^{n-p}, \quad 2i \leq p \leq n,$$

and

- (3) any equality case in the first $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \rfloor + 1$ ones in (2.2) holds if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \chi_y(M) = (-1)^n \chi_y(\mathbb{C}P^n).$$

Remark 2.2.

- (1) The first inequality in (2.2),

$$(-1)^n c_n[M] \geq n + 1,$$

is exactly an improved form of the inequality expected by the Hopf conjecture.

- (2) It is interesting to see that both the equality case in (1.1) and those in (2.2) are achieved by some compact quotients of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Nevertheless, in contrast to (1.1), we do not know if they are also necessary to the equality cases in (2.2).
- (3) As i increases the formula $A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ involves progressively more and more Chern numbers, which shall be clear in Section 3.

Compact Kähler manifolds homotopy equivalent to negatively-curved compact Riemannian manifolds are Kähler hyperbolic, as previously mentioned. So Theorem 2.2 yields the following consequence, which gives constraints on possible complex structures on such manifolds and thus provides some positive evidence to Yau's Conjecture 1.2.

Corollary 2.3. Compact Kähler manifolds homotopy equivalent to negatively-curved compact Riemannian manifolds satisfy the Chern number inequalities in (2.2) and various characterizations of their equality cases. In particular, they satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^n c_n[M] \geq n + 1, \\ (-1)^n \left[\frac{n(3n-5)}{2} c_n + c_1 c_{n-1} \right] [M] \geq 2(n-1)n(n+1). \end{cases}$$

When $n \geq 2$ (resp. $n \geq 3$), the first (resp. second) equality holds if and only if $\chi_y(M) = (-1)^n \chi_y(\mathbb{C}P^n)$.

In order to attack Conjecture 1.1 in the Kählerian case when $K \leq 0$ by extending Gromov’s idea, Cao-Xavier and Jost-Zuo ([CX01], [JZ00]) independently introduced the concept of “Kähler non-ellipticity”, which includes nonpositively curved compact Kähler manifolds, and showed that their Euler characteristics have the desired property. A (necessarily exact) differential form α on a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called d -sublinear if $\alpha = d\beta$ and

$$|\beta(x)|_{g(x)} \leq c[1 + \rho(x, x_0)], \quad \forall x \in M,$$

where c is a constant and $\rho(x, x_0)$ stands for the Riemannian distance between x and a base point x_0 . Clearly a d -bounded form is d -sublinear. This α is called \tilde{d} -sublinear if $\pi^*(\alpha)$ is d -sublinear on the universal covering (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) . A compact Kähler manifold is called *Kähler non-elliptic* if it admits a Kähler metric such that its associated Kähler form is \tilde{d} -sublinear. Similar to Kähler hyperbolic manifolds, it also turns out that any bounded and closed form on a complete nonpositively-curved Riemannian manifold is \tilde{d} -sublinear and the property of \tilde{d} -sublinearity has homotopy invariance ([CX01]).

With these understood, we have the following result for Kähler non-elliptic manifolds by applying a similar idea to the proof in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.4. *Any Kähler non-elliptic manifold satisfy the following $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ sharp Chern number inequalities:*

$$(2.5) \quad (-1)^n A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor.$$

In particular, these inequalities hold for compact Kähler manifolds homotopy equivalent to nonpositively-curved compact Riemannian manifolds.

Remark 2.5. The sharpness of (2.5) can be easily seen from the examples of complex tori as they are Kähler non-elliptic and their Chern numbers vanish.

In addition to the main results in [Gr91], Gromov showed that a Kähler hyperbolic manifold is of general type, and asked if its canonical bundle is ample ([Gr91, p. 267]). This was affirmatively answered by Chen and Yang in [CY18, Thm 2.11] based on some observations in algebraic geometry and they applied it to deduce one of their main results ([CY18, Thm 1.1]).

Our second main purpose in this article is to generalize the concepts of Kähler hyperbolicity and non-ellipticity by terming a condition by “Kähler exactness”, which has been used in [CY17], and show that a Kähler exact manifold of general type has ample canonical bundle. Recall that on a compact Kähler manifold any Kähler form is closed but can *never* be exact, which motivates us to introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.6. Let ω be a Kähler form on a compact Kähler manifold M and $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ the universal covering. This ω is called a *Kähler exact* form if $\pi^*\omega$ is an exact 2-form on \widetilde{M} , i.e., there exists a (globally defined) 1-form β on \widetilde{M} such that $\pi^*\omega = d\beta$. A compact Kähler manifold is called *Kähler exact* if it admits a Kähler exact form.

Remark 2.7.

- (1) M is compact exact only if its universal covering \widetilde{M} is non-compact.
- (2) By definitions Kähler hyperbolic and non-elliptic manifolds, and particularly compact Kähler manifolds homotopy equivalent to nonpositively curved compact Riemannian manifolds are Kähler exact. Chen-Yang gave in [CY17] some sufficient conditions for Kähler exact manifolds to be Kähler hyperbolic or non-elliptic.
- (3) It is immediate from the definition that compact complex submanifolds of Kähler exact manifolds are still Kähler exact.

Inspired by [CY18, Thm 2.11], we shall show in Section 5 the following result.

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that M is a Kähler exact manifold of general type. Then the canonical bundle of M is ample. This implies that M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric of negative Ricci curvature and satisfies the Chern number inequality (1.1).*

An immediate corollary of Theorem 2.8 is the following result, which is the counterpart to [CY18, Thm 1.1].

Corollary 2.9. If a compact Kähler manifold of general type is homotopy equivalent to a nonpositively curved compact Riemannian manifold, then its canonical bundle is ample and thus it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric of negative Ricci curvature and satisfies the Chern number inequality (1.1).

Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.9 are closely related to two conjectures of S. Kobayashi and F. Zheng respectively. Recall that a compact complex manifold M is called *Kobayashi hyperbolic* if every holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$ is constant. The following two conjectures related to Kobayashi hyperbolicity are due to S. Kobayashi ([Ko98, p. 370]) and F. Zheng ([Zh02, Thm 2]) respectively.

Conjecture 2.10 (Kobayashi). If a compact Kähler manifold is Kobayashi hyperbolic, then its canonical bundle must be ample.

Conjecture 2.11 (Zheng). If a nonpositively curved compact Kähler manifold is of general type, it must be Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Conjecture 2.11 was verified by Zheng himself in dimension two ([Zh02, Thm 2]). Gromov pointed out in [Gr91, p. 266] that Kähler hyperbolicity implies Kobayashi hyperbolicity. We refer the reader to [CX01, Thm 1.2] for an extension and a detailed proof. If Conjecture 2.10 were true, then [CY18, Thm 2.11] would follow immediately. In view of the fact that Kähler exact manifolds to some extent are generalizations of Kähler hyperbolic and non-elliptic manifolds, Theorem 2.8 presents some positive evidence to Conjecture 2.10. If both Conjectures 2.10 and 2.11 were true, then a nonpositively curved compact Kähler manifold of general type would have ample canonical bundle, which is a special case of Corollary 2.9 and has been observed in [Zh02, §2.4]. So Corollary 2.9 presents some positive evidence to Conjectures 2.10 and 2.11 somehow.

3. HIRZEBRUCH'S χ_y -GENUS AND PROPORTIONALITY PRINCIPLE

We briefly review the notion of the χ_y -genus, its -1 -phenomenon and Hirzebruch's proportionality principle respectively in the following three subsections.

3.1. The Hirzebruch χ_y -genus. The χ_y -genus was first introduced by Hirzebruch in his seminal book [Hi66] for projective manifolds and can be calculated via his celebrated Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem. The later Atiyah-Singer index theorem implies that it still holds for general compact (almost-)complex manifolds. To be more precise, let (M, J) be a compact complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$ and complex structure J . As usual we denote by $\bar{\partial}$ the d -bar operator which acts on the complex vector spaces $\Omega^{p,q}(M)$ ($0 \leq p, q \leq n$) of (p, q) -type complex-valued differential forms on (M, J) . The choice of a Hermitian metric on (M, J) enables us to define the formal adjoint $\bar{\partial}^*$ of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator. Then for each $0 \leq p \leq n$, we have the following Dolbeault-type elliptic operator D_p :

$$(3.1) \quad D_p := \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* : \bigoplus_{q \text{ even}} \Omega^{p,q}(M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q \text{ odd}} \Omega^{p,q}(M),$$

whose index is denoted by $\chi^p(M)$ in the notation of Hirzebruch in [Hi66]. The Hirzebruch χ_y -genus, denoted by $\chi_y(M)$, is the generating function of these indices $\chi^p(M)$:

$$\chi_y(M) := \sum_{p=0}^n \chi^p(M) \cdot y^p.$$

By definition

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi^p(M) &= \text{ind}(D_p) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\ker D_p) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{coker } D_p) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus_{q \text{ even}} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus_{q \text{ odd}} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{p,q}(M), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M)$ are the spaces of complex-valued $\bar{\partial}$ -harmonic forms and $h^{p,q}(M)$ the Hodge numbers of M . Consequently $\chi_y(M)$ has the desired expression (2.1):

$$\chi_y(M) = \sum_{p=0}^n \left[\sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{p,q} \right] y^p.$$

The general form of the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, which is a corollary of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, allows us to compute $\chi_y(M)$ in terms of the Chern numbers of M as follows

$$(3.3) \quad \chi_y(M) = \int_M \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i(1 + ye^{-x_i})}{1 - e^{-x_i}},$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n are formal Chern roots of (M, J) , i.e., the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial of x_1, \dots, x_n represents the i -th Chern class of (M, J) :

$$c_1 = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \quad c_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i x_j, \quad \dots, \quad c_n = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n.$$

This $\chi_y(M)$ famously satisfies

$$\chi_y(M) = (-y)^n \cdot \chi_{y^{-1}}(M),$$

which are equivalent to the relations $\chi^p = (-1)^n \chi^{n-p}$ and can be derived from either (3.3) or the Serre duality for the Hodge numbers ([GH78, p. 102]):

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^p &= \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{p,q} = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{n-p, n-q} \\ (3.4) \quad &= (-1)^n \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h^{n-p, q} \\ &= (-1)^n \chi^{n-p}. \end{aligned}$$

For three values of y , this χ_y -genus is an important invariant: $\chi_y(M)|_{y=-1}$ is the Euler characteristic of M , $\chi_y(M)|_{y=0} = \chi^0(M)$ is the Todd genus of M , and $\chi_y(M)|_{y=1}$ is the signature of M .

3.2. The -1 -phenomenon. The purpose of this subsection is to recall a -1 -phenomenon for the χ_y -genus.

Note that when n are small, the formulas of χ^p in terms of rationally linear combinations of Chern numbers can be explicitly written down. For example, χ^0 were listed in [Hi66, p. 14] when $n \leq 6$. However, these formulas become more and more complicated as n increases. So for *general* n there are *no explicit* formulas for these χ^p . Nevertheless, as we have mentioned, when evaluated at $y = -1$, $\chi_y(M)|_{y=-1}$ gives the Euler characteristic, which is equal to the top Chern number $c_n[M]$. Note that $\chi_y(M)|_{y=-1}$ is exactly the constant term in the Taylor expansion of $\chi_y(M)$ at $y = -1$. Indeed, several independent articles ([NR79], [LW90], [Sa96]), with different backgrounds, observed that, when expanding the right-hand side of (3.3) at $y = -1$, its first few coefficients for *general* n have *explicit* formulas in terms of Chern numbers. More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. If we denote by $K_j(M)$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$) the coefficients in the Taylor expansion of $\chi_y(M)$ at $y = -1$, i.e.,

$$(3.5) \quad \int_M \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i(1 + ye^{-x_i})}{1 - e^{-x_i}} =: \sum_{j=0}^n K_j(M) \cdot (y + 1)^j,$$

then we have

- (1) any K_{2i+1} is a linear combination of K_{2j} for $0 \leq j \leq i$ and so *we are only interested in K_{2i} for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,*
- (2) only the Chern classes

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2i-1}, c_{n-2i+1}, c_{n-2i+2}, \dots, c_n$$

are involved in the formula K_{2i} ,

- (3) there is a recursive algorithm to determine the formulas K_{2i} ,

and

(4) the first few terms are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_0(M) = c_n[M], \\ K_1(M) = -\frac{1}{2}nc_n[M], \\ K_2(M) = \frac{1}{12}\left[\frac{n(3n-5)}{2}c_n + c_1c_{n-1}\right][M] \\ K_3(M) = -\frac{1}{24}\left[\frac{n(n-2)(n-3)}{2}c_n + (n-2)c_1c_{n-1}\right][M] \\ K_4(M) = \frac{1}{5760}\left[n(15n^3 - 150n^2 + 485n - 502)c_n + 4(15n^2 - 85n + 108)c_1c_{n-1} \right. \\ \left. + 8(c_1^2 + 3c_2)c_{n-2} - 8(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3)c_{n-3}\right][M]. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. (1) can be seen in [Li17, Lemma 2.1]. (2) is presented in [Sa93, p. 300]. A recursive algorithm for calculating K_j was described in [LW90, p. 144]. The formulas K_j for $j \leq 6$ are presented respectively in [LW90, p. 141-143], [Sa96, p. 145] and [Sa93, p. 300]. \square

For the reader's convenience, we would like to end this subsection by briefly describing the history of the discoveries for these formulas and their applications, due to the author's best knowledge.

The formula K_2 appears implicitly in [NR79, p. 18] and explicitly in [LW90, p. 141-143]. Narasimhan-Ramanan applied K_2 to give a topological restriction on some moduli spaces of stable vector bundles over Riemann surfaces. Libgober-Wood applied K_2 to prove the uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types [LW90, Thms 1, 2]. Salamon applied K_2 to obtain a restriction on the Betti numbers of hyperKähler manifolds ([Sa96, Coro. 3.4, Thm 4.1]). In [Hi00], Hirzebruch applied K_1 , K_2 and K_3 to deduce a divisibility result on the Euler number of almost-complex manifolds with $c_1 = 0$. Inspired by these, the author investigated in [Li15] and [Li17] similar phenomena in pluri- χ_y -genus and elliptic genus and uniformly termed them by “-1-phenomena”. In a recent article [De15], Debarre extended the aforementioned Libgober-Wood's ideas to refine their results as well as presented the formulas K_j when $n \leq 9$.

3.3. Hirzebruch's proportionality principle. Let X be a bounded homogeneous symmetric domain in \mathbb{C}^n , which is a non-compact Hermitian symmetric space. Dual to X there is a naturally associated compact type Hermitian symmetric space \tilde{X} . Assume that Γ is a discrete group of automorphisms of X which has no fixed points and for which X/Γ is a compact quotient manifold. Then the celebrated Hirzebruch's proportionality principle asserts that the corresponding Chern numbers of X/Γ and \tilde{X} are proportional with an explicitly determined proportionality factor ([Hi58, p. 137], [Hi57], [Hi66, §22.3]).

Theorem 3.2 (Hirzebruch's proportionality principle). *For each partition λ of weight n , denote by $c_\lambda(X/\Gamma)$ and $c_\lambda(\tilde{X})$ the respective Chern numbers of X/Γ and \tilde{X} with respect to the partition λ . Then we have*

$$c_\lambda(X/\Gamma) = \chi^0(X/\Gamma) \cdot c_\lambda(\tilde{X}), \quad \forall \lambda,$$

where the proportionality factor is precisely the Todd genus $\chi^0(X/\Gamma)$ of X/Γ . In particular,

$$(3.6) \quad \chi_y(X/\Gamma) = \chi^0(X/\Gamma) \cdot \chi_y(\tilde{X}).$$

What we need in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is only a very special case of Theorem 3.2, which we record in the following example.

Example 3.3. Take the bounded homogeneous symmetric domain $X = \mathbb{B}^n$, the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Then its compact dual is $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{C}P^n$ and the proportionality factor $\chi^0(\mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma) = (-1)^n$ by our assumption in Theorem 2.1. Therefore (3.6) implies that

$$\chi_y(\mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma) = (-1)^n \cdot \chi_y(\mathbb{C}P^n),$$

and consequently by Proposition 3.1 we have

$$(3.7) \quad K_j(\mathbb{B}^n/\Gamma) = (-1)^n K_j(\mathbb{C}P^n).$$

4. L^2 -HODGE NUMBERS AND VANISHING-TYPE RESULTS

In this section we briefly review the basic facts on L^2 -Hodge numbers and indicate how to apply Atiyah's L^2 -index theorem to obtain the relationship between L^2 -Hodge numbers and the ordinary ones. The discussions here are sketchy and only for our later purpose. For a thorough treatment on these materials we refer the reader to the excellent book [Lü02].

4.1. L^2 -Hodge numbers. We assume throughout this subsection that (M, g, J) is a compact complex n -dimensional manifold with a Hermitian metric g , and

$$\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{J}) \longrightarrow (M, g, J)$$

its universal covering with $\pi_1(M)$ as an isometric group of deck transformations.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{M})$ be the spaces of L^2 -harmonic (p, q) -forms on $L^2\Omega^{p,q}(\tilde{M})$, the squared integrable (p, q) -forms on (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) , and denote by

$$\dim_{\pi_1(M)} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{M})$$

the *Von Neumann dimension* of $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{M})$ with respect to $\pi_1(M)$, which is a *nonnegative real number* in our situation. Its precise definition is not important in our article but only the following two basic facts are needed.

Lemma 4.1.

$$(4.1) \quad \dim_{\pi_1(M)} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{M}) = 0 \iff \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{M}) = \{0\},$$

and $\dim_{\pi_1(M)}(\cdot)$ is additive:

$$(4.2) \quad \dim_{\pi_1(M)}(A \oplus B) = \dim_{\pi_1(M)}A + \dim_{\pi_1(M)}B.$$

Then the L^2 -Hodge numbers of M , denoted by $h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M)$, are defined to be

$$h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M) := \dim_{\pi_1(M)} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\tilde{M}) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad (0 \leq p, q \leq n).$$

It turns out that $h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M)$ are independent of the Hermitian metric g and depend only on (M, J) .

The Dolbeault-type operators D_p in (3.1) can be lifted to $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{J})$:

$$\widetilde{D}_p : \bigoplus_{q \text{ even}} L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q \text{ odd}} L^2 \Omega^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}),$$

and one can define the L^2 -index of the lifted operators \widetilde{D}_p by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\pi_1(M)}(\widetilde{D}_p) &:= \dim_{\pi_1(M)}(\ker \widetilde{D}_p) - \dim_{\pi_1(M)}(\text{coker } \widetilde{D}_p) \\ &= \dim_{\pi_1(M)} \left[\bigoplus_{q \text{ even}} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) \right] - \dim_{\pi_1(M)} \left[\bigoplus_{q \text{ odd}} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{q \text{ even}} \dim_{\pi_1(M)} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) - \sum_{q \text{ odd}} \dim_{\pi_1(M)} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) \quad (\text{by (4.2)}) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M). \end{aligned}$$

The celebrated L^2 -index theorem of Atiyah ([At76]) asserts that

$$\text{ind}(D_p) = \text{ind}_{\pi_1(M)}(\widetilde{D}_p)$$

and so we have the following crucial identities between $\chi^p(M)$ and the L^2 -Hodge numbers $h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M)$:

$$(4.3) \quad \chi^p(M) = \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M).$$

4.2. Vanishing and non-vanishing type results. The following result is the main theorem in Gromov's seminal article [Gr91, p. 283].

Theorem 4.2 (Gromov). *Let M be a complex n -dimensional Kähler hyperbolic manifold. Then the spaces of L^2 -harmonic (p, q) -forms on its universal covering \widetilde{M} satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) = \{0\}, & p + q \neq n, \\ \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) \neq \{0\}, & p + q = n, \end{cases}$$

which, via the fact (4.1), is equivalent to

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M) = 0, & p + q \neq n, \\ h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M) > 0, & p + q = n. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.3. The proof for the vanishing type results in the first situations $p + q \neq n$ is a direct application of the L^2 version's Lefschetz theorem and is not difficult ([Gr91, p. 273, 1.2.B]), where the existence of a d -bounded Kähler form on \widetilde{M} plays a dominant role. The real hard part is the non-vanishing results in the second situations $p + q = n$, where a careful analysis on the lower bound of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on L^2 -harmonic forms was carried out in [Gr91, p. 274-285].

A direct consequence of Theorem 4.2 is the solution of the Hopf conjecture in the Kählerian case ([Gr91, p. 267]):

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^n \chi(M) &= (-1)^n \sum_p (-1)^p \chi^p(M) \\ &= \sum_p h_{(2)}^{p, n-p}(M) > 0. \quad (\text{by (4.3) and (4.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

By extending the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4.2 in the first situations $p + q \neq n$, Cao-Xavier and Jost-Zuo independently obtained the following ([CX01], [JZ00])

Theorem 4.4 (Cao-Xavier, Jost-Zuo). *Let M be a complex n -dimensional Kähler non-elliptic manifold. Then $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^{p,q}(\widetilde{M}) = \{0\}$ when $p + q \neq n$, i.e.,*

$$(4.6) \quad h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M) = 0, \quad p + q \neq n.$$

This implies from (4.5) that $(-1)^n \chi(M) \geq 0$ and thus settles the nonnegative version's Hopf conjecture in the Kählerian case.

5. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

With the background materials prepared in Sections 3 and 4, we are ready to prove our main results in this section.

5.1. Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.4. In this subsection we mainly show Theorem 2.1, from whose process Theorem 2.4 follows easily.

Assume now that M is a complex n -dimensional Kähler hyperbolic manifold. Then

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi^p(M) &= \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q h_{(2)}^{p,q}(M) \quad (\text{by (4.3)}) \\ &= (-1)^{n-p} h_{(2)}^{p, n-p}(M). \quad (\text{by (4.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\chi^p(M)$ is by definition an integer. On the other hand, we know from (4.4) that $h_{(2)}^{p, n-p}(M)$ is a *positive* real number. Therefore the equality (5.1) implies that $h_{(2)}^{p, n-p}(M)$ is indeed a positive integer and thus

$$(5.2) \quad h_{(2)}^{p, n-p}(M) \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq p \leq n.$$

Still following the notation in (3.5), we have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^n K_j(M) \cdot (y+1)^j &= (-1)^n \chi_y(M) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{p=0}^n \chi^p(M) \cdot y^p \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^n h_{(2)}^{p, n-p}(M) \cdot (-y)^p. \quad (\text{by (5.1)}) \end{aligned}$$

Now comparing the coefficients of the Taylor expansion at $y = -1$ on both sides of (5.3) yields

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^n K_j(M) &= \frac{\left[\sum_{p=0}^n h_{(2)}^{p,n-p}(M) (-y)^p \right]^{(j)}}{j!} \Big|_{y=-1} \quad (0! := 1) \\ &= (-1)^j \sum_{p=j}^n \binom{p}{j} h_{(2)}^{p,n-p}(M). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^{n+j} K_j(M) &= \sum_{p=j}^n \binom{p}{j} h_{(2)}^{p,n-p}(M) \\ &\geq \sum_{p=j}^n \binom{p}{j} \quad (\text{by (5.2)}) \\ &= (-1)^j \frac{\left[\sum_{p=0}^n (-y)^p \right]^{(j)}}{j!} \Big|_{y=-1} \\ &= (-1)^j \frac{\left[\chi_y(\mathbb{C}P^n) \right]^{(j)}}{j!} \Big|_{y=-1} \\ &= (-1)^j K_j(\mathbb{C}P^n). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] := (-1)^n K_{2i}(M), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Then it follows from (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)[M] &\geq (-1)^n A_i(c_1, \dots, c_n)[\mathbb{C}P^n] \\ &= (-1)^n A_i\left(\binom{n+1}{1}, \dots, \binom{n+1}{n}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

which produce the desired Chern number inequalities (2.2) and, together with Proposition 3.1, the formulas for the first three terms in Theorem 2.1.

Clearly the equality case in (5.5) holds if and only if

$$h_{(2)}^{p,n-p}(M) = 1, \quad j \leq p \leq n,$$

which, via (5.1), is equivalent to

$$(5.6) \quad \chi^p(M) = (-1)^{n-p}, \quad j \leq p \leq n,$$

which precisely give the equality characterization (2.3) in Theorem 2.1. Also note that, if $j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor$, the relations $\chi^p = (-1)^n \chi^{n-p}$ in (3.4) tell us that the $n - j + 1$ equalities in (5.6) indeed are equivalent to $\chi^p(M) = (-1)^{n-p}$ for all p , i.e.,

$$\chi_y(M) = (-1)^n \sum_{p=0}^n (-y)^p = (-1)^n \chi_y(\mathbb{C}P^n).$$

This gives the desired equality characterizations in (2.4) as $2i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor$ is equivalent to $i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \right\rfloor$.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that the equality cases in (2.2) can be realized by some compact quotients of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . But it has been done via (3.7) by applying the Hirzebruch's proportionality principle in Example 3.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

The proof above can be completely carried over to show Theorem 2.4 for Kähler non-elliptic manifolds by applying the vanishing-type results (4.6) in Theorem 4.4. The only difference is that in this case the conditions in (5.2) are unavailable and so accordingly the inequality (5.5) has to be weakened to

$$(-1)^{n+j}K_j(M) \geq 0,$$

which lead to the desired (2.5).

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let us complete this article by proving Theorem 2.8 in this last subsection.

It is well-known, by combining the Kodaira vanishing theorem and the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, that a projective manifold with ample canonical bundle is of general type. Conversely, the canonical bundle of a projective manifold of general type may not be ample. The following fact says that it is the case if an extra condition is assumed.

Lemma 5.1. *If a projective manifold of general type contains no rational curves, then its canonical bundle is ample.*

Proof. This fact should be well-known to experts. For example, this was listed in [De01, p. 219] as an exercise with hints and the details were carried out in the proof in [CY18, Thm 2.11]. \square

With this lemma in hand, we now proceed to prove Theorem 2.8.

Proof. First note that the manifold M in question is projective. Indeed, M being of general type implies that its canonical bundle is big and so M is Moishezon ([MM07, p. 88]). Together with the Kählerness condition we conclude from Moishezon's theorem that M is projective (cf. [MM07, p. 95]).

In view of Lemma 5.1, it now suffices to show that M contains no rational curves. The following arguments are parallel to those in [CY18, Thm 2.11].

Since M is Kähler exact, there exists a Kähler form ω on it such that $\pi^*\omega = d\beta$ for some 1-form β on \widetilde{M} . Assume that $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow M$ is a holomorphic map and we want to show that f is a constant map, i.e., $f^*(\omega) \equiv 0$. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering. Due to the simple-connectedness of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ the map f admits a lifting \widetilde{f} to \widetilde{M} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \widetilde{M} \\ & \nearrow \widetilde{f} & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{C}P^1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M, \end{array}$$

i.e., $f = \pi \circ \widetilde{f}$. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} f^*\omega = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} (\pi \circ \widetilde{f})^*(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \widetilde{f}^*(\pi^*\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \widetilde{f}^*(d\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} d(\widetilde{f}^*\beta) = 0.$$

This means that $f^*(\omega) \equiv 0$ and so f is a constant map, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.8 and this article. \square

REFERENCES

- [At76] M. Atiyah: *Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras*, Soc. Math. France, Astérisque, **32-33**, (1976), 43-72.
- [Ba06] W. Ballmann: *Lectures on Kähler manifolds*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2006.
- [CX01] J. Cao, F. Xavier: *Kähler parabolicity and the Euler number of compact manifolds of non-positive sectional curvature*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 483-491.
- [Ch55] S.-S. Chern: *On curvature and characteristic classes of a Riemannian manifold*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **20** (1955), 117-126.
- [CY18] B.-L. Chen, X. Yang: *Compact Kähler manifolds homotopic to negatively curved Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann. **370** (2018), 1477-1489.
- [CY17] B.-L. Chen, X. Yang: *On Euler characteristic and fundamental groups of compact manifolds*, arXiv:1711.03309v2.
- [De01] O. Debarre: *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [De15] O. Debarre: *Cohomological characterizations of the complex projective space*, arXiv:1512.04321.
- [GH78] P. Griffiths, J. Harris: *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [Gr91] M. Gromov: *Kähler hyperbolicity and L^2 -Hodge theory*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), 263-292.
- [Hi57] F. Hirzebruch: *Characteristic numbers of homogeneous domains*, In: Seminars on analytic functions. Vol. 2. Institute of Advanced Studies, Princeton, NJ, pp. 92-104.
- [Hi58] F. Hirzebruch: *Automorphe Formen und der Satz von Riemann-Roch*, In: 1958 Symposium Internacional de Topología Algebraica International Symposium on Algebraic Topology, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, pp. 129-144.
- [Hi66] F. Hirzebruch: *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, 3rd Edition, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [Hi00] F. Hirzebruch: *On the Euler Characteristic of Manifolds with $c_1 = 0$. A letter to V. Gritsenko*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **11** (2000), 805-807.
- [JZ00] J. Jost, K. Zuo: *Vanishing theorem for L^2 -cohomology on infinite coverings of compact Kähler manifolds and applications in algebraic geometry*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **8** (2000), 1-30.
- [Ko98] S. Kobayashi: *Hyperbolic complex spaces*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [Li15] P. Li: *-1 -Phenomena for the pluri χ_y -genus and elliptic genus*, Pacific J. Math. **273** (2015), 331-351.
- [Li17] P. Li: *The Hirzebruch χ_y -genus and Poincaré polynomial revisited*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **19** (2017), 19pp.
- [LW90] A. Libgober, J. Wood: *Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homology types*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1990), 139-154.
- [Lü02] W. Lück: *L^2 -invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics Series. Springer, Berlin (2002).
- [MM07] X. Ma, G. Marinescu: *Holomorphic Morse Inequalities and Bergman Kernels*, Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [NR79] M. S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan: *Generalized Prym Varieties as Fixed Points*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **39** (1979) 1-19.
- [Sa96] S. Salamon: *On the Cohomology of Kähler and Hyper-Kähler Manifolds*, Topology **35** (1996), 137-155.
- [Sa93] S. Salamon: *Cohomology of Kähler manifolds with $c_1 = 0$* , Manifolds and geometry (Pisa, 1993), 294-310, Sympos. Math., XXXVI, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [Si80] Y.-T. Siu: *The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Math. (2) **112** (1980), 73-111.
- [Ya77] S.-T. Yau: *Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **74** (1977), 1798-1799.
- [Ya82] S.-T. Yau: *Problem section*, In: S.-T. Yau (ed.) Seminar on Differential Geometry, Princeton University Press, Ann. Math. Studies, Princeton, **102** (1982), 669-706.
- [Zh95] F. Zheng: *First Pontrjagin form, rigidity and strong rigidity of nonpositively curved Kähler surfaces of general type*, Math. Z. **220** (1995), 159-169.

- [Zh02] F. Zheng: *Kodaira dimensions and hyperbolicity of nonpositively curved compact Kähler manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), 221-234.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TONGJI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200092, CHINA

E-mail address: pingli@tongji.edu.cn, pinglimath@gmail.com