

Variable Weak Hardy Spaces $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Associated with Operators Satisfying Davies-Gaffney Estimates

Ciqiang Zhuo and Dachun Yang *

Abstract Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a variable exponent function satisfying the globally log-Hölder continuous condition and L a one to one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$, which has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus and satisfies the Davies-Gaffney estimates. In this article, the authors introduce the variable weak Hardy space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with L via the corresponding square function. Its molecular characterization is then established by means of the atomic decomposition of the variable weak tent space $WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is also obtained in this article. In particular, when L is non-negative and self-adjoint, the authors obtain the atomic characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As an application of the molecular characterization, when L is the second-order divergence form elliptic operator with complex bounded measurable coefficient, the authors prove that the associated Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the variable weak Hardy space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, when L is non-negative and self-adjoint with the kernels of $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfying the Gauss upper bound estimates, the atomic characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is further used to characterize the space via non-tangential maximal functions.

1 Introduction

The variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a generalization of the classical Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, via replacing the constant exponent p by the exponent function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, which consists of all measurable functions f such that, for some $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|f(x)|/\lambda]^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

The study of variable Lebesgue spaces can be traced back to Orlicz [38] and have been the subject of more intensive study since the early 1990s because of their intrinsic interest for applications in harmonic analysis [13, 14, 17, 18, 35], in partial differential equations and variation calculus [2, 25, 42], in fluid dynamics [1, 41] and image processing [11].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B30; Secondary 42B35, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. weak Hardy space, variable exponent, operator, Davies-Gaffney estimate, atom, molecule, maximal function.

The first author is supported by the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province, the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 17B159) and Hunan Natural Science Foundation (Grant No: 2018JJ3321). This project is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11701174, 11571039, 11761131002 and 11726621).

*Corresponding author/May 20, 2018/Final Version

Moreover, Nakai and Sawano [37] introduced the variable Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p(\cdot)$ satisfying the globally log-Hölder continuous condition and, independently, Cruz-Uribe and Wang [16] also investigated the space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p(\cdot)$ satisfying some conditions slightly weaker than those used in [37]. Later, Sawano [43] extended the atomic characterization of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which also improves the corresponding result in [37], Zhuo et al. [52] established characterizations of $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via intrinsic square functions and Yang et al. [50] characterized the space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by means of Riesz transforms. Furthermore, in [46], Yan et al. introduced the variable weak Hardy space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p(\cdot)$ satisfying the globally log-Hölder continuous condition and proved the boundedness of convolutional δ -type Calderón-Zygmund operators from $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ including the critical case $p_- = \frac{n}{n+\delta}$.

The main purpose of this article is to introduce and investigate the variable weak Hardy space associated with operator L on \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recall that function spaces (especially Hardy spaces) associated with various operators have been inspired great interests in recent years; see, for example, [6, 8, 9, 19, 20, 21, 28, 29, 32, 49, 48, 51]. Particularly, using the Lusin area function associated with operator, Auscher et al. [6] initially introduced the Hardy space $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with an operator L whose heat kernel has a pointwise Gaussian upper bound and established its molecular characterization. Based on this, Duong and Yan [20, 21] introduced BMO-type spaces associated with L and proved that they are dual spaces of $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Yan [45] further generalized these results to the Hardy spaces $H_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, 1]$ but close to 1 and their dual spaces. Moreover, Jiang et al. [34] investigated the Orlicz-Hardy space and its dual space associated with such an operator L . Later, Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying the weaker condition, the so-called Davies-Gaffney type estimates, were studied in [8, 9, 10, 27, 28, 29] and their references. In particular, Cao et al. [10] introduced and investigated weak Hardy spaces $WH_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with operators satisfying k -Davies-Gaffney estimates.

Very recently, via mixing up the concepts of variable function spaces and functions spaces associated with operators, the real-variable theory of variable Hardy spaces associated with operators attracts a lot of attention; see [4, 47, 48, 49, 51]. More precisely, when $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is variable exponent function satisfies the globally log-Hölder continuous condition, in [49], the authors first studied variable Hardy spaces associated with operators L on \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where L is a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and generates an analytic semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ with kernels having pointwise upper bounds. The molecular characterization and the dual space of $H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were also established in [49]. Under an additional assumption that L is non-negative self-adjoint, the atomic characterization and those characterizations in terms of maximal functions, including non-tangential maximal functions and radial maximal functions, were obtained in [51]. Moreover, variable Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates were introduced and investigated in [48], and local Hardy spaces with variable exponents associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates were studied in [4].

Motivated by the above results, especially by [10, 48, 46], it is the main target of this article to establish a real-variable theory of variable weak Hardy spaces associated to a class of differential operators and study their applications. Precisely, let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be a variable exponent satisfying the globally log-Hölder continuous condition [see (2.7) and (2.8) below], and L a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\omega \in (0, \pi/2)$, which has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus and satisfies the Davies-Gaffney estimates, namely, Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2

below. Then we introduce the variable weak Hardy space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 2.8 below). By first obtaining the atomic decomposition of variable weak tent space, we establish the molecular characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is further used to obtain its atomic characterization when L is non-negative self-adjoint. Moreover, when L satisfies Gaussian upper bound estimates (see Remark 2.4(ii) below), we characterize the space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by means of non-negative maximal functions. In particular, when L is a second-order divergence form elliptic operator with complex bounded measurable coefficients, namely, $L := -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$ [see (2.4) below for its definition], the boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ from $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the variable weak Hardy space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is established by using its molecular characterization.

This article is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we first describe Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 imposed on the considered operator L of the present article. Then we recall some notation and notions on variable (weak) Lebesgue spaces and introduce the definition of the variable weak Hardy space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the square function of the heat semigroup generated by L .

In Section 3, we introduce the variable weak tent space $WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and then establish its atomic decomposition (see Theorem 3.2 below) via the Whitney-type covering lemma and the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued inequality of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator \mathcal{M} on the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 3.6 below). We point out that, in the atomic decomposition of each element in $WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, an explicit relation between the supports of $T^{p(\cdot)}$ -atoms and the corresponding coefficients is obtained, which plays a key role in establishing the weak molecular and atomic characterizations of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Sections 3 and 4.

Section 4 is devoted to the weak molecular characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 4.7 below), which is an immediate consequence of Propositions 4.4 and 4.6 below. In the proof of the inclusion of the weak molecular Hardy space $WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Propositions 4.4), we make full use of a key lemma obtained by Sawano in [43, Lemma 4.1] (also restated as in Lemma 4.5 below), which reduces some estimates on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norms for some series of functions into dealing with $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norms for the corresponding functions, and also borrow some ideas from the proof of [46, Theorem 4.4].

In Section 5, we establish the weak atomic characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 5.2 below), under an additional assumption that L is non-negative self-adjoint. Indeed, by some arguments similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we show that the weak atomic Hardy space $WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subspace of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The converse inclusion depends on the bounded holomorphic functional calculi and the operator $\Pi_{\phi,L}$ [see (5.1) below], which maps a $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atom into a $(p(\cdot), 2, M)$ -atom (see Lemma 5.3 below).

In particular, in Section 6, when L is a non-negative self-adjoint linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies the Gaussian upper bound estimates [see Remark 2.4(ii) for more details], we characterize $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of non-tangential maximal functions (see Corollary 6.3 below). We first establish non-tangential maximal function characterizations of the weak atomic Hardy space $WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by some arguments similar to those used in the proof of [51, Theorem 1.11]. Then Corollary 6.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 5.2 and 6.2.

As an application of the molecular decomposition of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obtained in Proposition 4.6, in Section 7, when L is a second-order divergence form elliptic operator [see Remark 2.4(i) below], we prove that the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the variable weak Hardy

$WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [46] (see Theorem 7.6 below).

We end this section by making some conventions on notation. Throughout this article, we denote by C a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. We also use $C_{(\alpha, \beta, \dots)}$ to denote a positive constant depending on the parameters α, β, \dots . The symbol $f \lesssim g$ means that $f \leq Cg$. If $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$, then we write $f \sim g$. For any measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by E^c the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ and by χ_E the characteristic function of E . For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the symbol $[a]$ denotes the largest integer m such that $m \leq a$. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} := \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$. For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma_\alpha(x) := \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |y - x| < \alpha t\}.$$

If $\alpha = 1$, we simply write $\Gamma(x)$ instead of $\Gamma_\alpha(x)$.

For any ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\alpha B := B(x_B, \alpha r_B)$,

$$(1.2) \quad U_0(B) := B \quad \text{and} \quad U_j(B) := (2^j B) \setminus (2^{j-1} B).$$

For any $p \in [1, \infty]$, p' denotes its conjugate number, namely, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of all Schwartz functions, equipped with the well-known topology determined by a countable family of seminorms, and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all Schwartz distributions, equipped with the weak-* topology. For any $r \in (0, \infty)$, denote by $L_{\text{loc}}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all locally r -integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n and, for any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $L^r(E)$ be the set of all measurable functions f on E such that $\|f\|_{L^r(E)} := [\int_E |f(x)|^r dx]^{1/r} < \infty$.

2 The weak Hardy space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, we first make two assumptions on considered operators L , which are used through the whole article and then introduce the weak Hardy space associated with the operator L after recalling some notions about the (weak) variable Lebesgue spaces on \mathbb{R}^n .

2.1 Two assumptions of operators L

Before giving the assumptions on operators L studied in this article, we first recall some knowledge about bounded holomorphic functional calculi introduced by McIntosh [36] (see also [3]).

Let $\omega \in [0, \pi)$. The closed and the open ω sectors, S_ω and S_ω^0 , are defined, respectively, by setting

$$S_\omega := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \omega\} \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_\omega^0 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg z| < \omega\}.$$

A closed and densely defined operator T in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be of type ω if

- (i) the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T is contained in S_ω .
- (ii) for any $\theta \in (\omega, \pi)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(\theta)}$ such that, for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_\theta$,

$$|z| \left\| (zI - T)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C_{(\theta)},$$

here and hereafter, $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ denotes the set of all continuous linear operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself and, for any $S \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the operator norm of S is denoted by $\|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}$.

For any $\mu \in (0, \pi)$, define

$$H_\infty(S_\mu^0) := \left\{ f : S_\mu^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is holomorphic and } \|f\|_{L^\infty(S_\mu^0)} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\Psi(S_\mu^0) := \left\{ f \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0) : \exists \alpha, C \in (0, \infty) \text{ such that } |f(z)| \leq \frac{C|z|^\alpha}{1 + |z|^{2\alpha}}, \forall z \in S_\mu^0 \right\}.$$

For any $\omega \in [0, \pi)$, let T be a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ with $\mu \in (\omega, \pi)$, the operator $\psi(T) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined by setting

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(T) := \int_\gamma \psi(\xi)(\xi I - T)^{-1} d\xi,$$

where $\gamma := \{re^{iv} : r \in (0, \infty)\} \cup \{re^{-iv} : r \in (0, \infty)\}$, $v \in (\omega, \mu)$, is a curve consisting of two rays parameterized anti-clockwise. It is easy to see that the integral in (2.1) is absolutely convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the definition of $\psi(T)$ is independent of the choice of $v \in (\omega, \mu)$ (see [3, Lecture 2]). It is well known that the above holomorphic functional calculus defined on $\Psi(S_\mu^0)$ can be extended to $H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$ by a limiting procedure (see [36]). Let $0 \leq \omega < \mu < \pi$. Recall that the operator T is said to have a *bounded holomorphic functional calculus* in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there exists a positive constant $C_{(\omega, \mu)}$, depending on ω and μ , such that, for any $\psi \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$,

$$(2.2) \quad \|\psi(T)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C_{(\omega, \mu)} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(S_\mu^0)}.$$

By [3, Theorem F], we know that, if (2.2) holds true for some $\mu \in (\omega, \pi)$, then it also holds true for any $\mu \in (\omega, \pi)$.

We now make the following two assumptions on the operator L .

Assumption 2.1. L is a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$, and has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus.

Assumption 2.2. The semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ generated by L satisfies the *Davies-Gaffney estimates*, namely, there exist positive constants C and c such that, for any closed subsets E and F of \mathbb{R}^n and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset E$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|e^{-tL}(f)\|_{L^2(F)} \leq C e^{-c \frac{[\text{dist}(E, F)]^2}{t}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}.$$

Here and hereafter, for any subsets E and F of \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\text{dist}(E, F) := \inf\{|x - y| : x \in E, y \in F\}.$$

Remark 2.3. (i) Let T be a one-to-one operator of type ω in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in [0, \pi/2)$. Then it follows from [39, Theorem 1.45] that T generates a bounded holomorphic semigroup $\{e^{-zT}\}_{z \in S_{\pi/2-\omega}^0}$ on the open sector $S_{\pi/2-\omega}^0$.

(ii) Let L be an operator satisfying Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2. Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the family $\{(tL)^k e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ of operators satisfies the Davies-Gaffney estimates (2.3) (see, for example, [48, Remrk 2.5(i)]). In particular, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, the operator $(tL)^k e^{-tL}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.4. Following [48, Remark 2.6], examples of operators satisfying Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 include:

- (i) the second-order divergence form elliptic operator with complex bounded coefficients as in [28, 29]. Recall that a matrix $A(x) := (A_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$ of complex-valued measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n is said to satisfy the *elliptic condition* if there exist positive constants $\lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle A(x)\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \Lambda|\xi||\eta|,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the *inner product* in \mathbb{C}^n and $\Re \xi$ denotes the *real part* of ξ . For such a matrix $A(x)$, the associated *second-order divergence form elliptic operator* L is defined by setting, for any $f \in D(L)$,

$$(2.4) \quad Lf := -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla f),$$

which is interpreted in the weak sense via a sesquilinear form. Here and hereafter, $D(L)$ denotes the domain of L .

- (ii) the one-to-one non-negative self-adjoint operator L having the *Gaussian upper bounds*, namely, there exist positive constants C and c such that, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|p_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{n/2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}\right),$$

where p_t denotes the kernel of e^{-tL} .

- (iii) the *Schrödinger operator* $-\Delta + V$ on \mathbb{R}^n with the non-negative potential $V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is not identically zero.

2.2 The definition of variable weak Hardy spaces $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

A measurable function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *variable exponent*. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the collection of all variable exponents $p(\cdot)$ satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad 0 < p_- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) =: p_+ < \infty.$$

For any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *variable Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that, for some $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|f(x)|/\lambda]^{p(x)} dx < \infty$, equipped with the *Luxemburg* (also known as the *Luxemburg-Nakano*) *quasi-norm*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right]^{p(x)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Remark 2.5. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) It is easy to see that, for any $s \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\| |f|^s \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^{sp(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^s.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f, g \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|\lambda f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and

$$\|f + g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p,$$

here and hereafter,

$$(2.6) \quad \underline{p} := \min\{p_-, 1\}$$

with p_- as in (2.5). Particularly, when $p_- \in [1, \infty)$, $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space (see [18, Theorem 3.2.7]).

(ii) If there exist $\delta, c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|f(x)|/\delta]^{p(x)} dx \leq c$, then it is easy to see that $\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\delta$, where C is a positive constant independent of δ , but depending on p_- (or p_+) and c .

A function $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to satisfy the *globally log-Hölder continuous condition*, denoted by $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if there exist positive constants $C_{\log}(p)$ and C_∞ , and $p_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(2.7) \quad |p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C_{\log}(p)}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad |p(x) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{C_\infty}{\log(e + |x|)}.$$

Definition 2.6. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The *variable weak Lebesgue space* $WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{\alpha \in (0, \infty)} \alpha \left\| \chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |f(x)| > \alpha\}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Remark 2.7. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(i) Then $\|\cdot\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ defines a quasi-norm on $WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, $\|f\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ almost everywhere; for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|\lambda f\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and, for any $f, g \in WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f + g\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq 2^p \left[\|f\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \|g\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right],$$

where \underline{p} is as in (2.6).

(ii) By the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem (see [5, 40] and also [23, Exercise 1.4.6]), we know that there exists a positive constant $v \in (0, 1)$ such that, for any $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^R$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^R |f_j| \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^v \leq 4 \left[\sum_{j=1}^R \|f_j\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^v \right].$$

Assume that the operator L satisfies Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the *square function* $S_{L,k}$ associated with L is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$S_{L,k}(f)(x) := \left[\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \left| (t^2 L)^k e^{-t^2 L}(f)(y) \right|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right]^{1/2},$$

where $\Gamma(x) := \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |y - x| < t\}$. In particular, when $k = 1$, we write S_L instead of $S_{L,k}$. Notice that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $S_{L,k}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, by the Fubini theorem, we know that, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [S_{L,k}(f)(x)]^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{|y-x|<t} \left| (t^2 L)^k e^{-t^2 L}(f)(y) \right|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \left| (t^2 L)^k e^{-t^2 L}(f)(y) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{t} dy \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step in (2.9) is from [3, Theorem F] (see also [27, (4.1)]).

Now we introduce the variable weak Hardy space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 2.8. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L satisfy Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2. A function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be in $\mathbb{W}H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $S_L(f) \in WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; moreover, define $\|f\|_{\mathbb{W}H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|S_L(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then the *variable weak Hardy space* $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, associated to the operator L , is defined to be the completion of $\mathbb{W}H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the *quasi-norm* $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{W}H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Remark 2.9. (i) When $p(\cdot) \equiv \text{constant} \in (0, 1]$, the space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was studied in [10] as a special case. Indeed, in [10], Cao et al. assumed that the operator satisfies the k -Davies-Gaffney estimates.

(ii) We point out that, differently from the Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, 1]$, in which the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see the proof of [37, Theorem 4.5]), the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not dense in the variable weak Hardy space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ even in the constant case (see Fefferman and Soria [22] and see also He [26]). When $L := -\Delta$, the variable weak Hardy space $WH_\Delta^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined as in Definition 2.8 coincides with the space

$$\overline{WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{W}H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}},$$

namely, the closure of $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{W}H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and hence is a proper subspace of $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3 Variable weak tent spaces $WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$

In this section, we first introduce the variable weak tent space $WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and then give its atomic decomposition, which is used later to establish atomic and molecular characterizations of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any measurable function f on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$A(f)(x) := \left[\iint_{\Gamma(x)} |f(y, t)|^2 dy dt \right]^{1/2}.$$

For any $q \in (0, \infty)$, the *tent space* $T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ is defined to be the space of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} := \|A(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Definition 3.1. Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The variable weak tent space $WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} such that

$$\|f\|_{WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} := \|A(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the *tent over O* is defined by setting

$$\widehat{O} := \{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \text{dist}(y, O^c) \geq t\}.$$

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall that a measurable function a on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} is called a $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atom if there exists a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp } a \subset \widehat{B}$;
- (ii) for any $q \in (1, \infty)$, $\|a\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \leq |B|^{1/q} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}$.

We point out that the notion of $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atoms was first introduced in [51]. For any $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 < p_- \leq p_+ \leq 1$, any sequences $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of balls in \mathbb{R}^n , let

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) := \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\frac{|\lambda_j| \chi_{B_j}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where p_- is as in (2.5).

The main result of this section is stated as follows.

Theorem 3.2. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Then, for each $F \in WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, there exists a sequence $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atoms associated, respectively, to the balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- (i) $F = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j}$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , where $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;
- (ii) there exists a positive constant C , independent of F , such that

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \leq C \|F\|_{WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})};$$

(iii) *there exist $c \in (0, 1)$ and $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_{i,j}} \leq M_0$.*

To prove Theorem 3.2, we need some known facts as follows (see, for example, [32]). Let F be a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $O := F^{\complement}$. Assume that $|O| < \infty$. For any fixed $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to have the *global γ -density* with respect to F if, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, $|B(x, t) \cap F|/|B(x, t)| \geq \gamma$. Denote by F_γ^* the set of all such x and let $O_\gamma^* := (F_\gamma^*)^{\complement}$. Then $O \subset O_\gamma^*$,

$$O_\gamma^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}(\chi_O)(x) > 1 - \gamma\},$$

O_γ^* is open and there exists a positive constant $C_{(\gamma)}$, depending on γ , such that $|O_\gamma^*| \leq C_{(\gamma)}|O|$. Here and hereafter, \mathcal{M} denotes the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, namely, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(f)(x) := \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls of \mathbb{R}^n containing x . For any $v \in (0, \infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $\mathcal{R}_v F$ the union of all cones with vertices in F , namely, $\mathcal{R}_v F := \bigcup_{x \in F} \Gamma_v(x)$.

The following lemma is just [32, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $v, \eta \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exist positive constants $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and C such that, for any closed subset F of \mathbb{R}^n with F^{\complement} having finite measure and any non-negative measurable function H on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} ,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_v(F_\gamma^*)} H(y, t) t^n dy dt \leq C \int_F \left\{ \int_{\gamma\eta} H(y, t) dy dt \right\} dx.$$

We also need the following well-known Whitney-type covering lemma (see, for example, [24, Lemma 2.6]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and $C_0 \in [1, \infty)$ a positive constant. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $r(x) := d(x, \Omega^{\complement})/(2C_0)$. Then there exist two sequences $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points contained in Ω and $\{r_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} := \{r(x_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that*

- (i) $\{B(x_i, \frac{r_i}{2})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are disjoint;
- (ii) $\Omega = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B(x_i, r_i)$;
- (iii) for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $B(x_i, C_0 r_i) \subset \Omega$;
- (iv) for any $x \in B(x_i, C_0 r_i)$, $C_0 r_i \leq d(x, \Omega^{\complement}) \leq 3C_0 r_i$;
- (v) for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a point $x_i^* \in \Omega^{\complement}$ such that $d(x_i^*, x_i) < 3C_0 r_i$;
- (vi) there exists a positive constant M_0 such that, for any $x \in \Omega$,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B(x_i, C_0 r_i)}(x) \leq M_0.$$

The following result is just [51, Lemma 2.6] (For the case when $p_- \in (1, \infty)$, see also [31, Corollary 3.4]).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any balls B_1, B_2 of \mathbb{R}^n with $B_1 \subset B_2$,*

$$C^{-1} \left(\frac{|B_1|}{|B_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \leq \frac{\|\chi_{B_1}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B_2}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C \left(\frac{|B_1|}{|B_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}}.$$

The following Fefferman-Stein vector-valued inequality of the maximal operator \mathcal{M} on the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was obtained in [15, Corollary 2.1].

Lemma 3.6. *Let $r \in (1, \infty)$ and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequences $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable functions,*

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{M}(f_j)]^r \right\}^{1/r} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where \mathcal{M} denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator as in (3.1).

Remark 3.7. (i) Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta \in [1, \infty)$. Then, by Lemma 3.6 and the fact that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \min\{p_-, 1\})$, $\chi_{\beta B} \leq \beta^{\frac{n}{r}} [\mathcal{M}(\chi_B)]^{\frac{1}{r}}$, we conclude that there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequence $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of balls of \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{\beta B_j} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \beta^{\frac{n}{r}} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_j} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(ii) Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_- \in (1, \infty)$. Then, as a special case of Lemma 3.6, we know that there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 3.8. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of balls of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying that there exist $c \in (0, 1]$ and $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_j} \leq M_0$. Then, by Remark 3.7(i), we further conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\min\{p_-, 1\}}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_j} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\min\{p_-, 1\}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\min\{p_-, 1\}}} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_j} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{\min\{p_-, 1\}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{\min\{p_-, 1\}}} \\ &\sim \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\min\{p_-, 1\}}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_j} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_j} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equivalent positive constants are independent of $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $F \in WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$O_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : A(F)(x) > 2^i\}.$$

Then, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $O_{i+1} \subset O_i$. Since $F \in WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |O_i| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{\chi_{O_i}(x)}{\|\chi_{O_i}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p(x)} \|\chi_{O_i}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(x)} dx \leq \max \left\{ \|\chi_{O_i}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-}, \|\chi_{O_i}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ 2^{-ip_-}, 2^{-ip_+} \right\} \|F\|_{WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let γ be as in Lemma 3.3. In what follows, we denote $(O_i)_\gamma^*$ simply by O_i^* . For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, using Lemma 3.4, we obtain a Whitney-type covering $\{\widetilde{B}_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of O_i^* . For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\Delta_{i,j} := \left[\widetilde{B}_{i,j} \times (0, \infty) \right] \cap \left(\widehat{O}_i^* \setminus \widehat{O}_{i+1}^* \right),$$

$$a_{i,j}(y, t) := 2^{-i} \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} F(y, t) \chi_{\Delta_{i,j}}(y, t), \quad \forall (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1},$$

and $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where \widehat{O}_i^* denotes the tent over O_i^* . By an argument similar to that used in the proof of [30, Theorem 3.2], we find that

$$\text{supp } F \subset \left[\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{O}_i^* \right) \cup E \right],$$

where $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ satisfies $\int_E \frac{dy dt}{t} = 0$. From this, we further deduce that

$$(3.2) \quad F = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j}$$

almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

Next we show that, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{i,j}$ is a $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atom supported on

$$3\widehat{C}_0 \widetilde{B}_{i,j} =: B_{i,j} := B(x_{B_{i,j}}, r_{B_{i,j}}),$$

where C_0 is as in Lemma 3.4. Indeed, by Lemma 3.4(iv) and the definitions of $\Delta_{i,j}$ and \widehat{O}_i^* , we conclude that $\Delta_{i,j} \subset B_{i,j}$, namely, $\text{supp } a_{i,j} \subset B_{i,j}$. Moreover, for any $(y, t) \in \Delta_{i,j}$, by the fact that $\Delta_{i,j} \subset \widehat{O}_i^*$ and Lemma 3.4(iv) again, we easily find that $t \leq \text{dist}(y, (O_i^*)^c) < r_{B_{i,j}}$. Then, by this, Lemma 3.3, the Hölder inequality and the fact that

$$\Delta_{i,j} \subset \left(\widehat{O}_{i+1}^* \right)^c = \mathcal{R}_1 \left((O_{i+1}^*)^c \right),$$

we know that, for any $H \in T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ with $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $\|H\|_{T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \leq 1$,

$$|\langle a_{i,j}, H \rangle| := \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} a_{i,j}(y, t) H(y, t) \chi_{\Delta_{i,j}}(y, t) \frac{dy dt}{t} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{R}_1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus O_{i+1}^*)} |a_{i,j}(y,t)H(y,t)|\chi_{\Delta_{i,j}}(y,t) \frac{dy dt}{t} \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus O_{i+1}^*} \left\{ \int_0^{r_{B_{i,j}}} \int_{|y-x|<t} |a_{i,j}(y,t)H(y,t)|\chi_{\Delta_{i,j}}(y,t) \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\} dx \\
&\sim \int_{(1+3C_0)\bar{B}_{i,j} \setminus O_{i+1}} \left\{ \int_0^{r_{B_{i,j}}} \int_{|y-x|<t} |a_{i,j}(y,t)H(y,t)|\chi_{\Delta_{i,j}}(y,t) \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\} dx \\
&\lesssim \left\{ \int_{(1+3C_0)\bar{B}_{i,j} \setminus O_{i+1}} |A(a_{i,j})(x)|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|A(H)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-i} \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \|H\|_{T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \left\{ \int_{(1+3C_0)\bar{B}_{i,j} \setminus O_{i+1}} |A(F)(x)|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim |B_{i,j}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $A(F)(y,t) \leq 2^{i+1}$ for any $(y,t) \in O_{i+1}^C$ in the last inequality. From this, Lemma 3.5 and the dual relation $(T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}))^* = T^{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ (see [12]), where $(T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}))^*$ denotes the dual space of $T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, we deduce that, for any $q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|a_{i,j}\|_{T^q(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \lesssim |B_{i,j}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \sim |B_{i,j}|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.$$

Thus, $a_{i,j}$ is a $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atom associated to the ball $B_{i,j}$, which, combined with (3.2), implies (i).

To show (ii), by Remark 3.7(i) and Lemma 3.4, we find that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) &= \left\| \left\{ 2^{ip_-} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim 2^i \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{\frac{1}{5}B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^i \|\chi_{O_i^*}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with Remark 3.7(ii) and the fact that $\chi_{O_i^*} \lesssim [\mathcal{M}(\chi_{O_i^*}^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}}$ with $r \in (0, p_-)$, further implies that

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim 2^i \|\mathcal{M}(\chi_{O_i^*}^r)\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim 2^i \|\chi_{O_i^*}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|F\|_{WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}.$$

Therefore, the conclusion (ii) holds true.

The conclusion of (iii) is just an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4(vi). This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

4 Molecular characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, we establish a molecular characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 4.7) by using the atomic decomposition of variable weak tent spaces obtained in Theorem 3.2.

Definition 4.1. Assume $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. A function $m \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -molecule if $m \in R(L^M)$ (the range of L^M) and there exists a ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, such that, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\|(r_B^{-2}L^{-1})^k(m)\|_{L^2(U_j(B))} \leq 2^{-j\varepsilon}|2^jB|^{1/2}\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1},$$

where $U_j(B)$ is as in (1.2).

Remark 4.2. Let m be a $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -molecule as in Definition 4.1 associated to the ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\varepsilon \in (\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$, then it is easy to see that, for any $k \in \{0, \dots, M\}$,

$$\|(r_B^{-2}L^{-1})^k(m)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|B|^{1/2}\|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}$$

with C being a positive constant independent of m , k and B .

Definition 4.3. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that $\{m_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -molecules associated, respectively, to balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{R}^n and numbers $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

- (i) for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^j\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;
- (ii) there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \leq C;$$

- (iii) there exists a positive constant $c \in (0, 1]$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_{i,j}}(x) \leq M_0$$

with M_0 being a positive constant independent of x and i .

Then, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j}$$

is called a *weak molecular $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -representation* of f if the above summation converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The *weak molecular Hardy space $WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$* is then defined to be the completion of the space

$$WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f : f \text{ has a weak molecular } (p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L\text{-representation}\}$$

with respect to the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all weak molecular $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -representations of f as above.

Now we have the following first main result of this section.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L satisfy Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2. Assume that $\varepsilon \in (\frac{n}{p_-}, \infty)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (\frac{n}{2}[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathbb{WH}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{\mathbb{WH}_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathbb{WH}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

To prove Proposition 4.4, we need the following useful variant of [43, Lemma 4.1], which is just [46, Lemma 4.5].

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in (\max\{1, p_+\}, \infty)$ and $r \in (0, p_-]$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any sequence $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of balls in \mathbb{R}^n , $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and measurable functions $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying that, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{supp } a_j \subset B_j$ and $\|a_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq |B_j|^{1/q}$,*

$$\left\| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j a_j|^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j \chi_{B_j}|^r \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.4. Let $f \in \mathbb{WH}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by its definition, we know that there exists a sequence $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)$ -molecules, associated to the balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying Definition 4.3(iii), such that

$$(4.1) \quad f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{WH}_{L,M}^{p(\cdot),\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, by (4.1) and the fact that S_L is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we find that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| S_L(f) - S_L \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0,$$

which implies that there exists a subsequence of $S_L(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j})$ (without loss of generality, we may use the same notation as the original sequence) such that, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$S_L(f)(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_L \left(\sum_{|i| \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j} \right)(x).$$

For any given $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, let $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $2^{i_0} \leq \alpha < 2^{i_0+1}$. Then, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$S_L(f)(x) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j})(x)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} + \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \right] |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j})(x) =: G_1(x) + G_2(x).$$

Moreover, it holds true that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S_L(f)(x) > \alpha\} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \| \chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : G_1(x) > \alpha/2\}} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \| \chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : G_2(x) > \alpha/2\}} \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} =: G_{1,1} + G_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $G_{1,1}$, let $b \in (0, p_-)$, $q \in (1, \min\{2, 1/b\})$ and $a \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{q})$. Then, by the Hölder inequality, we find that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(x) & \leq \left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{iaq'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{-iaq} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j})(x) \right]^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \frac{2^{i_0 a}}{(2^{a q} - 1)^{1/q}} \left\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{-iaq} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j})(x) \right]^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where q' denotes the conjugate exponent of q , namely, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. From this, together with the fact that $b \in (0, p_-)$, Remark 2.5(i) and the well-known inequality that, for any $d \in (0, 1]$ and $\{\theta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$(4.3) \quad \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\theta_j| \right)^d \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\theta_j|^d,$$

we deduce that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{1,1} & \leq \left\| \chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{2^{i_0 a}}{(2^{a q} - 1)^{1/q}} (\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{-iaq} [\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j})(x)]^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} > 2^{i_0-1}\}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left\| \sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{-iaq} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j}) \right]^q \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left\| \sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{-iaqb} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| S_L(m_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})} \right]^{qb} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left\| \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(m_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})}]^{qb} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^b \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

By [48, (3.12)], we know that there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -molecule m , associated to a ball $B := B(x_B, r_B)$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\|S_L(m)\|_{L^2(U_k(B))} \leq C 2^{-i\varepsilon} |2^k B|^{1/2} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.$$

This further implies that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.5) \quad \left\| \left[\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(m_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})} \right]^q \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-k\varepsilon q} |2^k B_{i,j}|^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

Since $\frac{n}{\varepsilon q} > p_-$, it follows that we can choose a positive constant r such that $r \in (\frac{n}{\varepsilon q b}, \frac{p_-}{b})$. By this, (4.5), Lemma 4.5 and Remark 3.7(i), we find that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{1,1} &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} 2^{-k\varepsilon q b} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{2^k B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{b}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} 2^{-k\varepsilon q b} 2^{kn/r} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{b}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^b \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-i[q(a-1)+1]b} 2^{-k\varepsilon q b} 2^{kn/r} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^i \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we used the fact that $a \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{q})$.

To estimate $G_{1,2}$, since $\varepsilon \in (\frac{n}{p_-}, \infty)$, it follows that there exists $s \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{n}{\varepsilon s p_-} < 1$. Then, by (4.3) and choosing $r_1 \in (\frac{n}{\varepsilon s p_-}, 1)$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{1,2} &\lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\| \sum_{i=r_0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(m_{i,j}) \right]^{r_1} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\| \sum_{i=r_0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left[2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(m_{i,j}) \right]^{r_1 p_-} \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{i r_1 p_-} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(m_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})} \right]^{r_1 p_-} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}. \end{aligned}$$

By this, (4.5) with q therein replaced by r_1 , (4.7), Lemma 4.5 and Remarks 3.7 and 3.8, we further conclude that, for any $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$(4.8) \quad G_{1,2} \lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{i r_1 p_-} 2^{-k \varepsilon r_1 p_-} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{2^k B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{ir_1 p_-} 2^{-k \varepsilon r_1 p_-} 2^{kn/s} \left\| \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\|^{1/p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \\
&\lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} 2^{ir_1 p_-} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \\
&\lesssim \alpha^{r_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} 2^{ir_1 p_-} 2^{-ip_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, combining (4.2), (4.6) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\alpha \in (0, \infty)} \alpha \|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S_L(f)(x) > \alpha\}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

The second main result of this section is stated as follows.

Proposition 4.6. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. If $f \in WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ with C being a positive constant independent of f .*

Proof. Let $f \in WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by its definition and the boundedness of $t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}$ from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $T^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [3, Theorem F]), we find that

$$t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f) \in T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \cap WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}).$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.2, we know that there exist sequences $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers and $\{b_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atoms associated, respectively, to balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} b_{i,j}$$

almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $\lambda_{i,j} = 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and

$$(4.9) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f)\|_{WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \sim \|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, by [32, Proposition 3.1], we conclude that the decomposition

$$(4.10) \quad t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} b_{i,j}$$

also holds true in $T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. By the bounded holomorphic functional calculi for L , we find that

$$(4.11) \quad f = C_{(M)} \int_0^\infty (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} (t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f)) \frac{dt}{t} =: \pi_{M,L}(t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(f))$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_{(M)}$ is a positive constant such that $C_{(M)} \int_0^\infty t^{2(M+2)} e^{-2t^2} \frac{dt}{t} = 1$ and the operator $\pi_{M,L}$ is defined by setting, for any $F \in T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\pi_{M,L}(F)(x) := \int_0^\infty (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} (F(\cdot, t))(x) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Since $\pi_{M,L}$ is bounded from $T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for example, [8, Proposition 4.5(i)]), it follows from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$(4.12) \quad f = C_{(M)} \pi_{\pi,L} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} b_{i,j} \right) = C_{(M)} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} \pi_{M,L}(b_{i,j}) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Notice that, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_{M,L}(a_{i,j})$ is a $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -molecule associated to the ball $B_{i,j}$, up to a harmless constant multiple (see [48, Lemma 3.11]). Therefore, by this, we further find that (4.12) is a weak molecular $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -representation of f , which, combined with (4.9), implies that $f \in WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.6. \square

Combining Propositions 4.4 and 4.6, we immediately conclude the following molecular characterization of $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the details being omitted.

Theorem 4.7. *Let L satisfy Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 and $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$. Assume $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (\frac{p_+}{2}[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (\frac{p_+}{p_-}, \infty)$. Then the spaces $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $WH_{L,M}^{p(\cdot), \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincide with equivalent quasi-norms.*

Remark 4.8. When $p(\cdot) \equiv \text{constant} \in (0, 1]$, Theorem 4.7 goes back to [10, Theorem 2.21].

5 Atomic characterization of $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, we mainly establish an atomic characterization of the variable weak Hardy space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under an additional condition on L , namely, L is non-negative self-adjoint. We begin with the following notion.

Definition 5.1. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, L be a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B := B(x_B, r_B)$ be a ball with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$. A function $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atom associated with some ball $B := B(x_B, r_B)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) there exists a function b belongs to the domain of L^M such that $a = L^M b$;
- (ii) for any $\ell \in \{0, \dots, M\}$, $\text{supp}(L^\ell b) \subset B$;
- (iii) for any $\ell \in \{0, \dots, M\}$, $\|(r_B^2 L)^\ell b\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq r_B^{2M} |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atoms associated with balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is called a *weak atomic $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -representation of f* if

- (i) $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;
(ii) there exists a positive constant C , independent of f , such that

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \leq C;$$

- (iii) there exists a positive constant $c \in (0, 1]$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{c\chi_{B_{i,j}}}(x) \leq M_0$ with M_0 being a positive constant independent of x and i .

The *weak atomic Hardy space* $WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the completion of the space

$$WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : f \text{ has a weak atomic } (p(\cdot), 2, M)_L \text{-representation} \right\}$$

with respect to the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left[\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \right],$$

where the infimum is taken over all weak atomic $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -representations of f as above.

The weak atomic characterization of the space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is stated as follows.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$ and L satisfy Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2. If L is non-negative self-adjoint and $M \in (\frac{n}{2}[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], \infty) \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms.

If L is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, for any bounded Borel measurable function $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the operator $F(L)$, defined by the formula

$$F(L) := \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) dE_L(\lambda),$$

where $E_L(\lambda)$ denotes the spectral decomposition associated with L , is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $M \in (0, \infty)$, ϕ_0 be a given even Schwartz function on \mathbb{R} and $\text{supp } \phi_0 \subset (-1, 1)$. Let Φ be the Fourier transform of ϕ_0 , namely, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_0(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx$. Then define the operator $\Pi_{\Phi,L}$ by setting, for any $F \in T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(5.1) \quad \Pi_{\Phi,L}(F)(x) := C_{(\Phi,M)} \int_0^\infty (t^2 L)^{M+1} \Phi(t\sqrt{L})(F(\cdot, t))(x) \frac{dt}{t},$$

where $C_{(\Phi,M)}$ is the positive constant such that

$$C_{(\Phi,M)} \int_0^\infty t^{2(M+1)} \Phi(t) t^2 e^{-t^2} \frac{dt}{t} = 1.$$

Moreover, $\Pi_{\Phi,L}$ is bounded from $T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for example, [33, Proposition 4.2(ii)]).

By an argument similar to that used in the proof of [27, Lemma 4.11] (see also [51, Proposition 2.5(i)]), we obtain the following conclusion, the details being omitted.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, L and $p(\cdot)$ be as in Theorem 5.2. If a is a $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atom associated with the ball B , then there exists a positive constant C , independent of a , such that $C\Pi_{\Phi,L}(a)$ is a $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atom associated with the ball $2B$.*

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. To prove Theorem 5.2, it suffices to show that

$$WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{W}H_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms.

We first prove the inclusion

$$\mathbb{W}H_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $f \in \mathbb{W}H_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then it follows from its definition that there exist sequences $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of numbers and $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atoms associated, respectively, to balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lambda_{i,j} = 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$,

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1]$ satisfying $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_{i,j}} \lesssim 1$ with the implicit positive constant independent of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we have

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{W}H_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For any given $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, let $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $2^{i_0} \leq \alpha < 2^{i_0+1}$. Then, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S_L(f)(x) > \alpha\} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \|\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_1(x) > \alpha/2\}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : H_2(x) > \alpha/2\}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} =: H_{1,1} + H_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that, for any $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atom a associated to some ball $B := B(x_B, r_B)$, with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$, it holds true that, for any $\beta \in (0, M)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(5.2) \quad \|S_L(a)\|_{L^2(U_k(B))} \lesssim 2^{-2k\beta} |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1},$$

where $U_k(B) := [2^k B] \setminus [2^{k-1} B]$.

To prove this claim, by the boundedness of the operator S_L on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the definition of $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atom, it suffices to show (5.2) for $k \geq 5$. To this end, by the Minkowski inequality, we first write

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_L(a)\|_{L^2(U_k(B))} & \lesssim \left\{ \int_{U_k(B)} \int_0^{r_B} \int_{|y-x|<t} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(a)(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \left\{ \int_{U_k(B)} \int_{r_B}^{\frac{\text{dist}(x,B)}{4}} \int_{|y-x|<t} \dots \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\{ \int_{U_k(B)} \int_{\frac{\text{dist}(x,B)}{4}}^{\infty} \int_{|y-x|<t} \cdots \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For J_1 , let $E_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, U_k(B)) < r_B\}$. Then $\text{dist}(E_1, B) > 2^{k-3}r_B$. From this, combined with the fact that $\text{dist}(U_k(B), B) > 2^{k-2}r_B$ for $k \geq 5$ and Assumption 2.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad J_1 & \lesssim \left\{ \int_0^{r_B} \int_{E_1} \int_{|y-x|<t} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(a)(y, t)|^2 dx \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \sim \left\{ \int_0^{r_B} \left\| t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(a) \right\|_{L^2(E_1)}^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left\{ \int_0^{r_B} e^{-c \frac{[\text{dist}(E_1, B)]^4}{t^4}} \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_{L^2(B)} \\
& \lesssim \left\{ \int_0^{r_B} \frac{t^{4\alpha_1}}{[\text{dist}(E_1, B)]^{4\alpha_1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a\|_{L^2(B)} \lesssim 2^{-2k\alpha_1} |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where α_1 is chosen such that $\alpha \in (0, M)$.

For J_2 , let $E_2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(x, U_k(B)) < \frac{\text{dist}(x, B)}{4}\}$. Then it is easy to see that $\text{dist}(E_2, B) > 2^{k-3}r_B$, which, together with Remark 2.3(ii), further implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad J_2 & \lesssim \left\{ \int_{r_B}^{\infty} \int_{E_2} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(a)(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left\{ \int_{r_B}^{\infty} \left\| (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L}(L^{-M} a) \right\|_{L^2(E_2)}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left\{ \int_{r_B}^{\infty} \frac{t^{4\alpha_2}}{[\text{dist}(E_2, B)]^{4\alpha_2}} \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|L^{-M} a\|_{L^2(B)} \lesssim 2^{-2k\alpha_2} |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where α_2 is chosen such that $\alpha_2 \in (0, M)$.

For J_3 , observe that, for any $x \in U_k(B)$, $\text{dist}(x, B) \geq 2^{k-2}r_B$. It follows, from Remark 2.3(ii) again, that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad J_3 & \lesssim \left\{ \int_{2^{k-2}r_B}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|y-x|<t} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L}(a)(y, t)|^2 dx \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left\{ \int_{2^{k-2}r_B}^{\infty} \left\| (t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L}(L^{-M} a) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left\{ \int_{2^{k-2}r_B}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(L^{-M} a)\|_{L^2(B)} \lesssim 2^{-2kM} |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.3), (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6), we conclude that (5.2) holds true.

Now we turn to estimate $H_{1,1}$ and $H_{1,2}$. Let $b \in (0, p_-)$, $q \in (1, \min\{2, \frac{1}{b}\})$ and $a \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{q})$.

Then, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of (4.4), we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{1,1} &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(a_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})}]^{qb} \right\}^{\frac{1}{b}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^b \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the above claim (5.2), we find that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(5.8) \quad \left\| [\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(a_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})}]^q \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-kq(2\beta + \frac{n}{2})} |2^k B_{i,j}|^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

We choose $\beta \in (\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}), M)$ and $r \in (\frac{p_-}{bq}, 1)$. Then $\beta \in (\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{rqb} - \frac{1}{2}), M)$ and hence

$$q\left(2\beta + \frac{n}{2}\right) > \frac{n}{r}.$$

Thus, by this, (5.8), (5.7), Lemma 4.5 and Remarks 3.7(i) and 3.8, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,1} &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} 2^{-kq(2\beta + \frac{n}{2})b} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{2^k B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{b}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^b \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left[\sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} 2^{-kq(2\beta + \frac{n}{2})b} 2^{kn/r} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{b}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^b \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-i_0 q(1-a)} \left\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^{i_0-1} 2^{-iq(a-1)b} 2^{-ib} \left[\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^i \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^b \right\}^{\frac{1}{b}} \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{WH_{Lat,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last inequality, we used the fact that $a \in (0, 1 - \frac{1}{q})$.

For $H_{1,2}$, since $\beta \in (\frac{n}{2}[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], M)$, it follows that there exist $r_2, s \in (0, 1)$ such that $\beta \in (\frac{n}{2}[\frac{1}{r_2 s p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], M)$. Then, by (4.3), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,2} &\lesssim \alpha^{r_2} \left\| \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(a_{i,j})]^{r_2} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{r_2} \left\| \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} [2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(a_{i,j})]^{r_2 p_-} \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \alpha^{r_2} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{ir_2 p_-} \left\| \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} S_L(a_{i,j}) \chi_{U_k(B_{i,j})} \right] \right]^{r_2 p_-} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}}.$$

From this, together with (5.2), Lemma 4.5, Remark 3.8, (5.8) with q therein replaced by r_2 and the fact that $(2\beta + \frac{n}{2})r_2 p_- > \frac{n}{s}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{1,2} &\lesssim \alpha^{r_2} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{ir_2 p_-} 2^{-k(2\beta + \frac{n}{2})r_2 p_-} \left\| \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{2^k B_{i,j}} \right] \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{r_2} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{ir_2 p_-} 2^{-k(2\beta + \frac{n}{2})r_2 p_-} 2^{kn/s} \left\| \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right] \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{r_2} \left\{ \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} 2^{ir_2 p_-} 2^{-ip_-} \left[\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^i \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^{p_-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $r_2 \in (0, 1)$ in the last inequality.

Combining the estimates of $\mathbf{H}_{1,1}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{1,2}$, we finally conclude that

$$(5.9) \quad \|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S_L(f)(x) > \alpha\}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathbf{H}_{1,1} + \mathbf{H}_{1,2} \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which further implies that $\|S_L(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, namely, $f \in WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Conversely, we prove that $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset WH_{L,at,M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $f \in WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Then by its definition and the boundedness of $t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}$ from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $T^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}(f) \in WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \cap T^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. From this and Theorem 3.2, we deduce that there exist sequences $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{b_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $T^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ -atoms associated, respectively, to balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} b_{i,j}$$

almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $\lambda_{i,j} = 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and

$$(5.10) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}(f)\|_{WT^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \sim \|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, by the bounded holomorphic functional calculi for L , we know that

$$f = C_{(\Phi, M)} \int_0^{\infty} (t^2 L)^{M+1} \Phi(t \sqrt{L}) (t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}(f)) \frac{dt}{t} = \Pi_{\Phi, L}(t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}(f))$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_{(\Phi, M)}$ is the positive constant same as in (5.1). Therefore, we have

$$(5.11) \quad f = \Pi_{\Phi, L}(t^2 Le^{-t^2 L}(f)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} \Pi_{\Phi, L}(b_{i,j}) =: \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j},$$

where the above equalities hold true in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 5.3, we know that $a_{i,j}$ is a $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atom associated with $2B_{i,j}$ up to a positive harmless constant multiple. Thus, (5.11) is a weak atomic $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -representation of f , which, combined with (5.10), implies that f belongs to $\mathbb{W}H_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by Remarks 3.7(i) and 3.8, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathbb{W}H_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,j} \chi_{2B_{i,j}}}{\|\chi_{2B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^{p^-} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{2B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\sim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{W}H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.2. \square

6 Non-tangential maximal function characterizations

The main purpose of this section is to establish non-tangential maximal function characterizations of the weak Hardy space $\mathbb{W}H_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with L as in Remark 2.4(ii), namely, L is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whose heat kernels satisfying the Gaussian upper bound estimates. To this end, we begin with the following notion.

Definition 6.1. (i) Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function with $\phi(0) = 1$ and L be as in Remark 2.4(ii). For any $a \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *non-tangential maximal function* $\phi_{L,\nabla,a}(f)$ of f is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\phi_{L,\nabla,a}(f)(x) := \sup_{t \in (0, \infty), |y-x| < at} |\phi(t\sqrt{L})(f)(y)|.$$

A function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be in the set $\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $\phi_{L,\nabla,a}^*(f) \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; moreover, define $\|f\|_{\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\phi_{L,\nabla,a}^*(f)\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then the *variable weak Hardy space* $\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the completion of $\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Particularly, if $\phi(x) := e^{-x^2}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then we use $f_{L,\nabla}^*$ to denote $\phi_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f)$ and, in this case, denote the space $\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ simply by $\mathbb{W}H_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the *grand non-tangential maximal function* $\mathcal{G}_{L,\nabla}^*(f)$ of f by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{L,\nabla}^*(f)(x) := \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})} \phi_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f)(x),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of all even functions $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\phi(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x|)^N \left| \frac{d^k \phi(x)}{dx^k} \right|^2 dx \leq 1$$

with N being a large enough number depending on $p(\cdot)$ and n . Then the *variable weak Hardy space* $WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined in the same way as $WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but with $\phi_{L,\nabla,a}^*$ replaced by $\mathcal{G}_{L,\nabla}^*(f)$.

The non-tangential maximal function characterizations of the space $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are stated as follows.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p_+ \in (0, 1]$, $M \in (\frac{n}{2}[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], \infty)$ and L be a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as in Remark 2.4(ii), where p_- and p_+ are given by (2.5). Then, for any $a \in (0, \infty)$ and ϕ as in Definition 6.1, the spaces $WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincide with equivalent quasi-norms.*

By Theorems 6.2 and 5.2, we immediately obtain the following corollary, the details being omitted.

Corollary 6.3. *Under the same notation as in Theorem 6.2, it holds true that the spaces*

$$WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n), WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

coincide with equivalent quasi-norms.

To prove Theorem 6.2, we need several lemmas. The following conclusion is just [46, Corollary 3.3].

Lemma 6.4. *Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator \mathcal{M} is bounded on $WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Lemma 6.5. *Let L be a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as in Remark 2.4(ii), $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function with $\varphi(0) = 1$. If $\lambda \in (n/p_-, \infty)$, then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$(6.1) \quad \|\varphi_{L,\nabla,\alpha_1}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^\lambda \|\varphi_{L,\nabla,\alpha_2}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. For any Borel measurable function F on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , define its *non-tangential maximal function* $M_{\alpha,\nabla}(F)$ with aperture $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M_{\alpha,\nabla}(F)(x) := \sup_{t \in (0, \infty), |y-x| < \alpha t} |F(y, t)|.$$

By the proof of [51, Lemma 3.1], we know that, for any $\lambda \in (n/p_-, \infty)$,

$$(6.2) \quad \sup_{\substack{t \in (0, \infty) \\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n}} |F(y, t)| \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\alpha t}\right)^{-\lambda} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^\lambda \left\{ \mathcal{M}([M_{\alpha_2,\nabla}(F)]^{n/\lambda})(x) \right\}^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}.$$

From this, the boundedness of \mathcal{M} on $WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 6.4) and the fact that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M_{\alpha_1,\nabla}(F)(x) \lesssim \sup_{\substack{t \in (0, \infty) \\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n}} |F(y, t)| \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\alpha t}\right)^{-\lambda},$$

we deduce that

$$\|M_{\alpha_1, \nabla}(F)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^\lambda \|M_{\alpha_2, \nabla}(F)\|.$$

By this and taking $F(x, t) := \varphi(t\sqrt{L})(f)(x)$ with any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, we conclude that (6.1) holds true, which completes the proof of Lemma 6.5. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let L be a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as in Remark 2.4(ii), $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be even functions with $\psi_1(0) = 1 = \psi_2(0)$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C \in (0, \infty)$, depending on ψ_1, ψ_2, α_1 and α_2 , such that, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|(\psi_1)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_1}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|(\psi_2)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_2}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let $\psi := \psi_1 - \psi_2$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\|(\psi_1)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_1}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|(\psi)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_1}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|(\psi_2)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_1}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, to prove this lemma, it suffices to show that

$$(6.3) \quad \|(\psi)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_1}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|(\psi_2)_{L, \nabla, \alpha_2}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

due to Lemma 6.5. Moreover, by Lemma 6.5 again, we may assume that $\alpha_1 = 1 = \alpha_2$. By [44, (3.3) and (3.4)], we find that, for any $\lambda \in (n/p_-, 2M)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\psi_{L, \nabla, 1}^*(f)(x) \lesssim \sup_{t \in (0, \infty), y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_2(t\sqrt{L})(y)| \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{t}\right)^{-\lambda},$$

which, combined with (6.2) and Lemma 6.4, implies that (6.3) holds true. This finishes the proof of Lemma 6.6. \square

We now prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. We first show the following inclusion

$$(6.4) \quad \left[WH_{L, \text{at}, M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right] \subset \left[WH_{L, \text{max}}^{p(\cdot), \mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right].$$

Let $f \in WH_{L, \text{at}, M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then f has a weak atomic $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -representation

$$(6.5) \quad f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atoms associated, respectively, with balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

satisfying that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{cB_{i,j}} \lesssim 1$ with $c \in (0, 1]$ being a positive constant independent of i and j , and

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L, \text{at}, M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To prove $f \in WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it suffices to show that

$$(6.6) \quad \|f\|_{WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mathcal{G}_{L,\nabla}^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\tilde{\psi}(x) := [\phi(0)]^{-1}\phi(x) - e^{-x^2}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{G}_{L,\nabla}^*(f) \leq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\psi}_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f) + f_{L,\nabla}^*.$$

Moreover, by some arguments similar to those used in the proofs of [44, (3.3) and (3.4)], we find that, for any $\lambda_0 \in (n/p_-, 2M)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\psi}_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f)(x) &\lesssim \sup_{s \in (0,\infty), z \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)} \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{s}\right)^{-\lambda_0} \\ &\sim \sup_{\substack{s \in (0,\infty) \\ z \in \mathbb{R}^n}} |e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)}| \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{s}\right)^{-\lambda_0} \left\{ \chi_{|z-x| < s}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{2^{k-1}s \leq |x-z| < 2^k s}(z) \right\} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\lambda_0} \sup_{s \in (0,\infty), |z-x| < 2^k s} |e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)}|. \end{aligned}$$

From this, together with the Fatou lemma in $WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [46, Lemma 2.12]) and Remark 2.7(ii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\psi}_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f) \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\| \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N 2^{-k\lambda_0} \sup_{s \in (0,\infty), |z-x| < 2^k s} |e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)}| \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N 2^{-k\lambda_0} \sup_{s \in (0,\infty), |z-x| < 2^k s} |e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)}| \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N 2^{-k\lambda_0 v} \left\| \sup_{s \in (0,\infty), |z-x| < 2^k s} |e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)}| \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^v \right\}^{1/v}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 6.5 and choosing $\lambda \in (n/p_-, \lambda_0)$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\psi}_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f) \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\lambda_0 v} 2^{k\lambda v} \left\| \sup_{s \in (0,\infty), |z-x| < s} |e^{-s^2 L(f)(z)}| \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^v \right\}^{1/v} \\ &\lesssim \|f_{L,\nabla}^*\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by this, (6.7) and Remark 2.7(i), we know that

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{L,\nabla}^*\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\psi}_{L,\nabla,1}^*(f) + f_{L,\nabla}^* \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f_{L,\nabla}^*\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Therefore, to prove (6.6), we only need to show

$$(6.8) \quad \|f_{L,\nabla}^*\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since f has a weak atomic $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -representation (6.5), it follows that

$$\|f_{L,\nabla}^*\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{i,j}| (a_{i,j})_{L,\nabla}^* \right\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of [51, (3.10)], we find that, for any $x \in (4B_{i,j})^c$,

$$(a_{i,j})_{L,\nabla}^*(x) \lesssim \frac{[r_{B_{i,j}}]^{n+\delta}}{|x - x_{B_{i,j}}|^{n+\delta}} \frac{1}{\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}},$$

where $\delta \in (n[\frac{1}{p_-} - 1], 2M)$, $r_{B_{i,j}}$ and $x_{B_{i,j}}$ denote, respectively, the radius and the center of the ball $B_{i,j}$. Then, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of (5.9), we conclude that (6.8) holds true. This finishes the proof of (6.4).

Next, we prove

$$(6.9) \quad [WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)] \subset [WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)].$$

Let $f \in WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To prove $f \in WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lemma 6.6, we only need to show that

$$(6.10) \quad \|f\|_{WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp}(\phi_0) \subset (-1, 1)$, $\Phi := \widehat{\phi_0}$. Then, by the functional calculi, we know that

$$f = C_{(M,\Phi)} \int_0^\infty (t^2 L)^{M+1} \Phi(t\sqrt{L}) e^{-t^2 L} (f) \frac{dt}{t} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $C_{(M,\Phi)}$ is a positive constant same as in (5.1). Following [44, p. 476], define a function η by setting,

$$\eta(x) := C_{(M,\Phi)} \int_1^\infty (tx)^{2M+2} \Phi(tx) e^{-t^2 x^2} \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

and $\eta(0) := 1$. Then $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is an even function and, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$C_{(M,\Phi)} \int_a^b (t^2 L)^{M+1} \Phi(t\sqrt{L}) e^{-t^2 L} (f) \frac{dt}{t} = \eta(a\sqrt{L})(f) - \eta(b\sqrt{L})(f).$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\mathcal{N}_L^*(f)(x) := \sup_{t \in (0, \infty), |y-x| < 5\sqrt{nt}} \left[|t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} (f)(y)| + |\eta(t\sqrt{L})(f)(y)| \right]$$

and, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $O_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{N}_L^*(f)(x) > 2^i\}$. Then f has the following decomposition

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} a_{i,j} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

(see [44, pp. 476-479] for more details). Here, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{i,j}$ is a $(p(\cdot), 2, M)_L$ -atom, associated with the ball $30B_{i,j}$, and $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|30B_{i,j}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where $\{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the Whitney decomposition of O_i satisfying that $O_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_{i,j}$, $\{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ have disjoint interiors and the property of finite overlap. Moreover, by Remark 3.7(i) and Lemma 6.6, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{30B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \right) &\sim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^i \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{30B_{i,j}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^i \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_{i,j}} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^i \|\chi_{O_i}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_L^*(f)\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.10). This finishes the proof of (6.9).

Finally, the inclusion

$$\left[WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right] \subset \left[WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right]$$

is just a consequence of Lemma 6.6. From this, together with (6.4) and (6.9), we deduce that

$$\left[WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right] = \left[WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right] = \left[WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right],$$

which, combined with a density argument, implies that the spaces

$$WH_{L,\text{at},M}^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n), WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\phi,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } WH_{L,\max}^{p(\cdot),\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

coincide with equivalent quasi-norms. This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.2. \square

7 Boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$

In this section, let L be the second-order divergence form elliptic operator as in Remark 2.4(i). Define the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ by setting, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\nabla L^{-1/2}(f)(x) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \nabla e^{-sL}(f)(x) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

Then we prove that the operator $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the variable weak Hardy space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [46]. Recall that the domain of $L^{1/2}$ coincides with the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [7, Theorem 1.4]). Therefore, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L^{-1/2}(f) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla L^{-1/2}(f)$ stands for the distributional derivatives of $L^{-1/2}(f)$.

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\beta| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N |D^\beta \psi(x)| \leq 1 \right\},$$

where, for any $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$ and $D^\beta := (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^{\beta_1} \dots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^{\beta_n}$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *grand maximal function* $f_{N,+}^*$ of f is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f_{N,+}^*(x) := \sup\{|\psi_t * f(x)| : t \in (0, \infty), \psi \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

where, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi_t(\xi) := t^{-n} \psi(\xi/t)$.

Definition 7.1. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $N \in (\frac{n}{\min\{p_-, 1\}} + n + 1, \infty)$ with p_- as in (2.5). Then the *variable weak Hardy space* $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by setting

$$WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|f_{N,+}^*\|_{WL^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Remark 7.2. It was proved in [46, Theorem 3.7] that the space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the choice of $N \in (\frac{n}{\min\{p_-, 1\}} + n + 1, \infty)$.

Moreover, the space $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits the following molecular characterization, which was obtained in [46, Theorem 5.3].

Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. A measurable function m is called a $(p(\cdot), q, s, \varepsilon)$ -*molecule* associated with some ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if

- (i) for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\|m\|_{L^q(U_j(B))} \leq 2^{-j\varepsilon} |U_j(B)|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}$, where $U_j(B)$ is given by (1.2).
- (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(x) x^\beta dx = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\beta| \leq s$.

Definition 7.3. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $s \in (\frac{n}{p_-} - n - 1, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$ with p_- as in (2.5). The *variable weak molecular Hardy space* $WH_{\text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), q, s, \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the space of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which can be decomposed as $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\{m_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of $(p(\cdot), q, s, \varepsilon)$ -molecules associated, respectively, with balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} := \{\tilde{A} 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$$

with \tilde{A} being a positive constant independent of i and j , and there exist positive constants A and C such that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{CB_{i,j}}(x) \leq A$.

Moreover, for any $f \in WH_{\text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), q, s, \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define

$$\|f\|_{WH_{\text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), q, s, \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left[\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,j} \chi_{B_{i,j}}}{\|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right],$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of f as above.

Lemma 7.4. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in (\max\{p_+, 1\}, \infty]$, $s \in (\frac{n}{p_-} - n - 1, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\varepsilon \in (n + s + 1, \infty)$, where p_+ and p_- are as in (2.5). Then $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = WH_{\text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), q, s, \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent quasi-norms.

Remark 7.5. If the variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ satisfies that $\frac{n}{n+1} < p_- \leq p_+ \leq 1$, then $\frac{n}{p_-} - n - 1 < 0$. Thus, in this case, we can take $s = 0$ and $\varepsilon > n + 1 > \frac{n}{p_-}$ in Lemma 7.4.

Theorem 7.6. Let $p(\cdot) \in C^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{n}{n+1} < p_- \leq p_+ \leq 1$ and L be the second-order divergence form elliptic operator as in (2.4), where p_- and p_+ are given by (2.5). Then the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $f \in WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by Proposition 4.6, we find that, for any given $\varepsilon \in (\frac{n}{p_-}, \infty)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N} \cap (\frac{n}{2}[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{2}], \infty)$, there exist sequences $\{m_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(p(\cdot), M, \varepsilon)_L$ -molecules associated, respectively, with balls $\{B_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and numbers $\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

- (i) $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} m_{i,j}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_{i,j} := 2^i \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;
- (iii)

$$(7.1) \quad \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)};$$

- (iv) there exists a positive constant $c \in (0, 1]$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{c\chi_{B_{i,j}}}(x) \leq M_0$ with M_0 being a positive constant independent of x and i .

Moreover, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of [48, Theorem 5.17], we know that, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\nabla L^{-1/2}(m_{i,j})\|_{L^2(U_k(B_{i,j}))} \leq 2^{-k \min\{\varepsilon, 2M + \frac{n}{2}\}} |2^k B_{i,j}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\chi_{B_{i,j}}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla L^{-1/2}(m_{i,j})(x) dx = 0$, namely, $\nabla L^{-1/2}(m_{i,j})$ is a $(p(\cdot), 2, 0, \varepsilon)$ -molecule associated with $B_{i,j}$. From this, together with the boundedness of $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and (7.1), we deduce that

$$\nabla L^{-1/2}(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{i,j} \nabla L^{-1/2}(m_{i,j})$$

belongs to $WH_{\text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), 2, 0, \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By this, (7.1), Lemma 7.4 and Remark 7.5, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla L^{-1/2}(f)\|_{WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\sim \|\nabla L^{-1/2}(f)\|_{WH_{\text{mol}}^{p(\cdot), 2, 0, \varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \{B_{i,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \lesssim \|f\|_{WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Riesz transform $\nabla L^{-1/2}$ is bounded from $WH_L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $WH^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 7.6. \square

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 164 (2002), 213-259.
- [2] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Gradient estimates for the $p(x)$ -Laplacean system, J. Reine Angew. Math. 584 (2005), 117-148.
- [3] D. Albrecht, X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Operator theory and harmonic analysis, in: Instructional Workshop on Analysis and Geometry, Part III (Canberra, 1995), 77-136, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ., 34, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1996.
- [4] V. Almeida, J. J. Betancor, E. Dalmasso and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, Local Hardy spaces with variable exponents associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates, arXiv: 1712.06710.
- [5] T. Aoki, Locally bounded linear topological spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 18 (1942), 588-594.

- [6] P. Auscher, X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Boundedness of Banach space valued singular integral operators and Hardy spaces, unpublished manuscript, 2005.
- [7] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, M. Lacey, A. McIntosh and Ph. Tchamitchian, The solution of the Kato square root problem for second order elliptic operators on \mathbb{R}^n , *Ann. of Math. (2)* 156 (2002), 633-654.
- [8] T. A. Bui, J. Cao, L. D. Ky, D. Yang and S. Yang, Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying reinforced off-diagonal estimates, *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces* 1 (2013), 69-129.
- [9] T. A. Bui, J. Cao, L. D. Ky, D. Yang and S. Yang, Weighted Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying reinforced off-diagonal estimates, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 17 (2013), 1127-1166.
- [10] J. Cao, D.-C. Chang, H. Wu and D. Yang, Weak Hardy spaces $WH_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated to operators satisfying k-Davies-Gaffney estimates, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 16 (2015), 1205-1255.
- [11] Y. Chen, W. Guo, Q. Zeng and Y. Liu, A nonstandard smoothing in reconstruction of apparent diffusion coefficient profiles from diffusion weighted images, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* 2 (2008), 205-224.
- [12] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Anal.* 62 (1985), 304-335.
- [13] D. Cruz-Uribe, The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on variable- L^p spaces, in: *Seminar of Mathematical Analysis (Malaga/Seville, 2002/2003)*, 147-156, Colecc. Abierta, 64, Univ. Sevilla Secr. Publ., Seville, 2003.
- [14] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, *Variable Lebesgue Spaces. Foundations and Harmonic Analysis, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [15] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez, The boundedness of classical operators on variable L^p spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 31 (2006), 239-264.
- [16] D. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. D. Wang, Variable Hardy spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 63 (2014), 447-493.
- [17] L. Diening, Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 7 (2004), 245-253.
- [18] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Lecture Notes in Math. 2017, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [19] X. T. Duong and J. Li, Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates and bounded holomorphic functional calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.* 264 (2013), 1409-1437.
- [20] X. T. Duong and L. Yan, New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation, and applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 58 (2005), 1375-1420.
- [21] X. T. Duong and L. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (2005), 943-973.
- [22] R. Fefferman and F. Soria, The space weak H^1 , *Studia Math.* 85 (1986), 1-16.
- [23] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Third edition, Graduate Texts in Math. 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [24] L. Grafakos, L. Liu and D. Yang, Maximal function characterizations of Hardy spaces on RD-spaces and their applications, *Sci. China Ser. A* 51 (2008), 2253-2284.

- [25] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and V. Latvala, Minimizers of the variable exponent, non-uniformly convex Dirichlet energy, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 89 (2008), 174-197.
- [26] D. He, Square function characterization of weak Hardy spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 20 (2014), 1083-1110.
- [27] S. Hofmann, G. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 214 (2011), no. 1007, vi+78 pp.
- [28] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, *Math. Ann.* 344 (2009), 37-116.
- [29] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and A. McIntosh, Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in L^p , Sobolev and Hardy spaces, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) 44 (2011), 723-800.
- [30] S. Hou, D. Yang and S. Yang, Lusin area function and molecular characterizations of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces and their applications, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 15 (2013), 1350029, no. 6, 37 pp.
- [31] M. Izuki, Vector-valued inequalities on Herz spaces and characterizations of Herz-Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 45 (65) (2010), 475-503.
- [32] R. Jiang and D. Yang, New Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with divergence form elliptic operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010), 1167-1224.
- [33] R. Jiang and D. Yang, Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 13 (2011), 331-373.
- [34] R. Jiang, D. Yang and Y. Zhou, Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators, *Sci. China Ser. A* 52 (2009), 1042-1080.
- [35] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 41 (116) (1991), 592-618.
- [36] A. McIntosh, Operators which have an H^∞ functional calculus, in: *Miniconference on Operator Theory and Partial Differential Equations* (North Ryde, 1986), 210-231, *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, 14, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1986.
- [37] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262 (2012), 3665-3748.
- [38] W. Orlicz, Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen, *Studia Math.* 3 (1931), 200-211.
- [39] E. M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of heat equations on domains*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [40] S. Rolewicz, On a certain class of linear metric spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Cl. Trois.* 5 (1957), 471-473.
- [41] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [42] M. Sanchón and J. Urbano, Entropy solutions for the $p(x)$ -Laplace equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009), 6387-6405.
- [43] Y. Sawano, Atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces with variable exponents and its application to bounded linear operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 77 (2013), 123-148.
- [44] L. Song and L. Yan, A maximal function characterization for Hardy spaces associated to nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates, *Adv. Math.* 287 (2016), 463-484.

- [45] L. Yan, Classes of Hardy spaces associated with operators, duality theorem and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360 (2008), 4383-4408.
- [46] X. Yan, D. Yang, W. Yuan and C. Zhuo, Variable weak Hardy spaces and their applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 271 (2016), 2822-2887.
- [47] D. Yang, W. Yuan and C. Zhuo, A survey on some variable function spaces, in: *Function Spaces and Inequalities*, 299-335, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 206, Springer, Singapore, 2017.
- [48] D. Yang, J. Zhang and C. Zhuo, Variable Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [49] D. Yang and C. Zhuo, Molecular characterizations and dualities of variable exponent Hardy spaces associated with operators, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 41 (2016), 357-398.
- [50] D. Yang, C. Zhuo and E. Nakai, Characterizations of variable exponent Hardy spaces via Riesz transforms, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 29 (2016), 245-270.
- [51] C. Zhuo and D. Yang, Maximal function characterizations of variable Hardy spaces associated with non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Gaussian estimates, *Nonlinear Anal.* 141 (2016), 16-42.
- [52] C. Zhuo, D. Yang and Y. Liang, Intrinsic square function characterizations of Hardy spaces with variable exponents, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 39 (2016), 1541-1577.

Ciqiang Zhuo

Key Laboratory of High Performance Computing and Stochastic Information Processing (HPC-SIP) (Ministry of Education of China), College of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China

E-mail: cqzhuo87@hunnu.edu.cn (C. Zhuo)

Dachun Yang (Corresponding author)

Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education of China), School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

E-mail: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn (D. Yang)