

LEFT COUNITAL HOPF ALGEBRAS ON FREE NIJENHUIS ALGEBRAS

XING GAO, PENG LEI, AND TIANJIE ZHANG*

ABSTRACT. Factorization in algebra is an important problem. In this paper, we first obtain a unique factorization in free Nijenhuis algebras. By using of this unique factorization, we then define a coproduct and a left counital bialgebraic structure on a free Nijenhuis algebra. Finally, we prove that this left counital bialgebra is connected and hence obtain a left counital Hopf algebra on a free Nijenhuis algebra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Left counital bialgebra structures on free Nijenhuis algebras	2
2.1. A free Nijenhuis algebras on a set	2
2.2. The left counital bialgebra structure on free Nijenhuis algebras	5
3. Left counital Hopf algebra structures on free Nijenhuis algebras	12
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

A **Nijenhuis algebra** (R, N) is an associative algebra R equipped with a linear operator $N : R \rightarrow R$, called **Nijenhuis operator**, satisfying the **Nijenhuis equation**:

$$(1) \quad N(u)N(v) = N(N(u)v) + N(uN(v)) - N^2(uv) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in R.$$

The Lie algebra version of the associative Nijenhuis equation started in earnest in the 1950s [18]. In that paper, Nijenhuis introduced the crucial concept of Nijenhuis tensor, which fits closely into the distinguished concepts of Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket [8] and the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket. Thereafter, Magri et al. [15] studied the deformation of Lie brackets given by Nijenhuis operators. Recently, Sokolov et al. [10, 11] examined the Nijenhuis operators on Lie algebras in the context of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, which has a close relation with the Lie algebraic version of the Rota-Baxter equation recalled below [4, 13].

The Nijenhuis operator on an associative algebra can be originated to [5] in the study of quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems. In [7], K. Ebrahimi-Fard gave the construction of a free commutative associative Nijenhuis algebra on a commutative associative algebra, based on an augmented modified quasi-shuffle product. In [20], the associative Nijenhuis operators were constructed due to an application of a twisting operation on Hochschild complex by analogy with Drinfelds

Date: November 9, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W99, 08B20 16T10 16T05 16T30 .

Key words and phrases. Nijenhuis algebra, bracketed words, factorization, left counital bialgebra, left counital Hopf algebra.

*Corresponding author.

twisting operations. Latterly, Guo et al. constructed the free noncommutative associative Nijenhuis algebra on an algebra, and studied the associative Nijenhuis algebras with emphasis on the relationship between the category of Nijenhuis algebras and the category of NS algebras [16].

Hopf algebras, named after Heinz Hopf, occur naturally in algebraic topology, where they have broad connections with many areas in mathematics and mathematical physics [1, 6, 19]. Much of the research in Hopf algebras are on specific classes of examples. A crucial class of Hopf algebras is built from free objects in various contexts, such as free associative algebras, the enveloping algebras of Lie algebras, and the free objects in the category of dendriform algebras of Loday and of tridendriform algebras of Loday and Ronco [17]. It is worth to note that the classical Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees can be considered as a free operated algebra [14, 21].

The Nijenhuis equation can be viewed as the homogeneous version of the familiar **Rota-Baxter equation**:

$$P(u)P(v) = P(uP(v)) + P(P(u)v) + \lambda P(uv), \quad \text{for all } u, v \in R,$$

which gives the Rota-Baxter operator P on an associative algebra R . Here λ , called the weight of P , is a prefixed element in the base ring of the algebra R . See [2, 3, 12, 13] for further details and references. Hopf algebra structures were equipped on free noncommutative Rota-Baxter algebras [21], and Hopf algebra related structures were explored on free commutative Nijenhuis algebras [22]. Following closely these two inspiring works [21, 22], we equip Hopf type algebra related structures on free noncommutative Nijenhuis algebras in this paper, by making use of the construction of free Nijenhuis algebras via bracketed words given in [9, 16].

Here is the layout of this paper. In Section 2, we review the construction of free noncommutative Nijenhuis algebra on a set in terms of bracketed words, and then acquire a unique factorization of such bracketed words (Proposition 2.5). Using this unique factorization, we define a coproduct on a free Nijenhuis algebra, which, together with a left counity, turns the free Nijenhuis algebra into a left counital cocycle bialgebra (Theorem 2.14), satisfying an one-cocycle condition (Eq. 4). In the final Section 3, we grade the left counital bialgebra obtained in the previous section, and prove that it is connected and hence a left counital Hopf algebra (Theorem 3.6).

Convention. In this paper, all algebras are taken to be unitary associative (but not necessary commutative) over a unitary commutative ring \mathbf{k} , unless the contrary is specified. Also linear maps and tensor products are taken over \mathbf{k} . For any set Y , let $M(Y)$ and $S(Y)$ denote the free monoid and free semigroup generated by Y , respectively.

2. LEFT COUNITAL BIALGEBRA STRUCTURES ON FREE NIJENHUIS ALGEBRAS

In this section, we give a left counital cocycle bialgebra structure on a free Nijenhuis algebra. We first recall the construction of free Nijenhuis algebras by bracketed words.

2.1. A free Nijenhuis algebras on a set.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set. A free Nijenhuis algebra on X is a Nijenhuis algebra $(F_N(X), N_X)$ together with a map $j_X : X \rightarrow F_N(X)$ such that, for any Nijenhuis algebra (R, N) and any map $f : X \rightarrow R$, there is a unique Nijenhuis algebra homomorphism $\tilde{f} : F_N(X) \rightarrow R$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ j_X = f$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j_X} & F_N(X) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ & & R \end{array}$$

Free Nijenhuis algebras are quotients of free operated algebras, and the construction of free operated algebras was given in [13, 14]. We reproduce that construction here to review the notations.

Let $\lfloor Y \rfloor$ denote the set $\{\lfloor y \rfloor \mid y \in Y\}$. Thus $\lfloor Y \rfloor$ is a set indexed by Y but disjoint with Y . For a set X , we first let $\mathfrak{M}_0 := M(X)$ be the free monoid generated by X , where the identity is denoted by $\mathbf{1}$. Then we define $\mathfrak{M}_1 := M(X \cup \lfloor M(X) \rfloor)$ with $i_{0,1}$ being the natural injection

$$i_{0,1} : \mathfrak{M}_0 = M(X) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 = M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_0 \rfloor).$$

We identify \mathfrak{M}_0 with its image in \mathfrak{M}_1 . In particular, the identity $\mathbf{1}$ in \mathfrak{M}_0 is sent to $\mathbf{1}$ in \mathfrak{M}_1 .

Inductively assume that \mathfrak{M}_n has been defined for $n \geq 1$, and define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} := M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_n \rfloor).$$

Further assume that the embedding

$$i_{n-1,n} : \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n$$

has been obtained. Then we have the injection

$$\lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor \hookrightarrow \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_n \rfloor.$$

Thus by the freeness of $\mathfrak{M}_n = M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_n = M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_{n-1} \rfloor) \hookrightarrow M(X \cup \lfloor \mathfrak{M}_n \rfloor) = \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}.$$

We finally define the monoid

$$\mathfrak{M}(X) := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{M}_n = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{M}_n$$

with identity $\mathbf{1}$. Elements of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ are called **bracketed words in X** . Let $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ be the free \mathbf{k} -module spanned by $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Since the basis is a monoid, the multiplication on $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ can be extended via linearity to turn the \mathbf{k} -module $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ into an algebra, which we still denote by $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Similarly, we can extend the operator $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor : \mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X)$, which takes $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ to $\lfloor w \rfloor$, to an operator $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ on $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ by linearity and turn the algebra $\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ into an operated algebra.

Lemma 2.2. [13, 14] Let $i_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $j_X : \mathfrak{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X)$ be the natural embeddings. Then, with structures as above,

- (a) the triple $(\mathfrak{M}(X), \lfloor \cdot \rfloor, i_X)$ is the free operated monoid on X ; and
- (b) the triple $(\mathbf{k}\mathfrak{M}(X), \lfloor \cdot \rfloor, j_X \circ i_X)$ is the free operated algebra on X .

It was shown in [13] that every $w \in \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ has a unique **standard decomposition**:

$$w = w_1 \cdots w_m,$$

where w_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, is alternatively in the free semigroup $S(X)$ or in $\lfloor \mathfrak{M}(X) \rfloor := \{\lfloor u \rfloor \mid u \in \mathfrak{M}(X)\}$. We call m the **breadth** of w , denoted by $\text{bre}(w) = m$. If $w = \mathbf{1} \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$, we define $\text{bre}(w) = 0$. Elements $w \in \mathfrak{M}_n \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}$ are said to have **depth n** , denoted by $\text{dep}(w) = n$.

We now review a \mathbf{k} -basis of a free Nijenhuis algebra given in [9, 16], which is a subset of bracketed words. Let Y and Z be subsets of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$. Define the **alternating products** of Y and Z by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(Y, Z) = & \left(\bigcup_{r \geq 1} (Y \lfloor Z \rfloor)^r \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{r \geq 0} (Y \lfloor Z \rfloor)^r Y \right) \\ & \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{r \geq 1} (\lfloor Z \rfloor Y)^r \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{r \geq 0} (\lfloor Z \rfloor Y)^r \lfloor Z \rfloor \right) \bigcup \{\mathbf{1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recursively define

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 := M(X) \text{ and } \mathfrak{X}_n := \Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), n \geq 1.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{X}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_n \subseteq \dots$ and so we have

$$\mathfrak{X}_\infty := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{X}_n = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{X}_n.$$

Let $F_N(X) := \mathbf{k}\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ the free \mathbf{k} -module spanned by \mathfrak{X}_∞ . To make $F_N(X)$ into a Nijenhuis algebra, a Nijenhuis operator N_X and a product \diamond need to be equipped. Let w, w' be two basis elements in \mathfrak{X}_∞ . Define a linear operator $N_X : F_N(X) \rightarrow F_N(X)$ by setting

$$N_X(w) = \lfloor w \rfloor.$$

Next we define $w \diamond w'$ inductively on the sum $n := \text{dep}(w) + \text{dep}(w') \geq 0$. If $n = 0$, then $w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_0 = M(X)$ and define $w \diamond w' := xx'$, the concatenation in $M(X)$. Suppose that $w \diamond w'$ have been defined for all $w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $n \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$ and consider $w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $n = k + 1$. If $w = \mathbf{1}$ or $w' = \mathbf{1}$, without loss of generality, letting $w = \mathbf{1}$, then define $w \diamond w' := w'$. Assume $w \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $w' \neq \mathbf{1}$, and so $\text{bre}(w), \text{bre}(w') \geq 1$. Consider first $\text{bre}(w) = \text{bre}(w') = 1$. Then w and w' are in $S(X) \subset \mathfrak{X}_0$ or $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$ and can not be both in $S(X)$ since $n = k + 1 \geq 1$. We accordingly define

$$(2) \quad w \diamond w' = \begin{cases} ww', & \text{if } w \in S(X), w' \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor, \\ ww', & \text{if } w \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor, w' \in S(X), \\ \lfloor w \diamond \overline{w'} \rfloor + \lfloor \overline{w} \diamond w' \rfloor - \lfloor \lfloor \overline{w} \rfloor \diamond \overline{w'} \rfloor, & \text{if } w = \lfloor \overline{w} \rfloor, w' = \lfloor \overline{w'} \rfloor \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

Here the product in the first two cases is by concatenation and in the third case is by the induction hypothesis on n . Now consider $\text{bre}(w) \geq 1$ or $\text{bre}(w') \geq 1$. Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_m$ and $w' = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'}$ be the standard decompositions of w and w' . Define

$$(3) \quad w \diamond w' := w_1 \cdots w_{m-1} (w_m \diamond w'_1) w'_2 \cdots w'_{m'},$$

where $w_m \diamond w'_1$ is defined by Eq. (2) and the rest products are given by the concatenation.

Lemma 2.3. [9, 16] Let X be a set and $j_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rightarrow F_N(X)$ the natural injection. Then the quadruple $(F_N(X), \diamond, N_X, j_X)$ is the free Nijenhuis algebra on X .

For the rest of this paper, unless alternative notations are specifically given, we will use the infix notation $\lfloor w \rfloor$ interchangeably with $N_X(w)$ for any $w \in F_N(X)$. Write

$$\mathfrak{S} := X \sqcup \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor.$$

Definition 2.4. We call a sequence w_1, \dots, w_m from the set \mathfrak{S} **alternating** if no consecutive elements in the sequence are in $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$, that is, either w_i or w_{i+1} is in X for each $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$.

The following unique factorization sheds insight on the construction of a coproduct on $F_N(X)$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$. Then there is a unique alternating sequence w_1, \dots, w_m in \mathfrak{S} such that

$$w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m,$$

called the **alternating factorization** of w . We also call $\text{wid}(w) := m$ the **width** of w . If $w = \mathbf{1}$, we define $\text{wid}(w) := 0$.

Proof. (Existence). Suppose $w = u_1 \cdots u_n$ is the standard decomposition of w . Then u_1, \dots, u_n are alternatively in $S(X)$ and $\lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$. Expanding the factors that are in $S(X)$, we get

$$w = w_1 \cdots w_m, \text{ where } w_1, \dots, w_m \text{ is an alternating sequence.}$$

It follows from Eq. (2) that $w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m$.

(Uniqueness). Suppose w_1, \cdots, w_m and $w'_1, \cdots, w'_{m'}$ are two alternating sequences such that

$$w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m = w'_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w'_{m'}.$$

By Definition 2.4 and Eq. (2), we get

$$w_1 \cdots w_m = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m = w = w'_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w'_{m'} = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'},$$

and so $m = m'$ and $w_i = w'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ by the construction of \mathfrak{X}_∞ . \square

Remark 2.6. (a) The breadth and width of $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ are different. For example, let $w = x_1 x_2 x_3$ with $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$. Then $\text{bre}(w) = 1$ and $\text{wid}(w) = 3$.

(b) If the sequence w_1, \cdots, w_m is alternating, then, for each $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, w_i and w_{i+1} cannot be both in $[\mathfrak{X}_\infty]$. However, w_i and w_{i+1} may be both in X .

2.2. The left counital bialgebra structure on free Nijenhuis algebras. In this subsection, we use Proposition 2.5 to get a coproduct on $F_N(X)$, which, together with a left counit, makes $F_N(X)$ into a left counital coalgebra. The 1-cocycle condition $\Delta P = P \otimes \mathbf{1} + (\text{id} \otimes P)\Delta$, which is used to construct the Hopf algebra structure on free Rota-Baxter algebras [21], does not work for free Nijenhuis algebras. So Guo et al. proposed the following concepts [22].

Definition 2.7. (a) A **left counital coalgebra** is a triple (H, Δ, ε) , where the coproduct $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ satisfies the coassociativity: $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ and the left counit $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ satisfies the **left counicity**: $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta = \beta_\ell$, where $\beta_\ell : H \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \otimes H, u \mapsto 1 \otimes u$ for all $u \in H$.

(b) A **left counital bialgebra** is a quintuple $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$, where (H, m, u) is an algebra and (H, Δ, ε) is a left counital coalgebra such that Δ and ε are homomorphisms of algebras.

(c) A **left counital operated bialgebra** is a sextuple $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, P)$, where $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left counital bialgebra and (H, m, u, P) is an operated algebra, that is, an algebra (H, m, u) with a linear operator $P : H \rightarrow H$.

(d) A **left counital cocycle bialgebra** is a left counital operated bialgebra $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, P)$ satisfying the one-cocycle property:

$$(4) \quad \Delta P = (\text{id} \otimes P)\Delta.$$

Thanks to Eq. (4), we can construct a coproduct $\Delta : F_N(X) \rightarrow F_N(X) \otimes F_N(X)$ by defining $\Delta(w)$ for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ through an induction on the depth $\text{dep}(w)$. If $\text{dep}(w) = 0$, then $w \in \mathfrak{X}_0 = M(X)$. First we define

$$(5) \quad \Delta(w) := \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \text{ provided } w = \mathbf{1}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \Delta(w) := \mathbf{1} \otimes x \text{ provided } w = x \in X.$$

If $w = x_1 \cdots x_m \in S(X)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $x_i \in X$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $w = x_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond x_m$ by Eq. (2), and we define

$$(7) \quad \Delta(w) := \Delta(x_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(x_m).$$

Assume $\Delta(w)$ has been defined for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\text{dep}(w) \leq n$ and consider $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ with $\text{dep}(w) = n + 1$. In view of Proposition 2.5, there is a unique alternating sequence w_1, \cdots, w_m such that

$$w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m, \text{ where } w_1, \cdots, w_m \in \mathfrak{F}, m \geq 1.$$

If $m = 1$, then $w \in [\mathfrak{X}_\infty]$ by $\text{dep}(w) = n + 1 \geq 1$. So we can write $w := [\bar{w}]$ for some $\bar{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. Then we define by Eq. (4) that

$$(8) \quad \Delta(w) := \Delta([\bar{w}]) := (\text{id} \otimes N_X)\Delta(\bar{w}),$$

where $\Delta(\bar{w})$ is defined by the induction hypothesis. If $m \geq 2$, we define

$$(9) \quad \Delta(w) := \Delta(w_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(w_m),$$

where $\Delta(w_1), \dots, \Delta(w_m)$ are defined in Eq. (6) or Eq. (8). Note $\Delta(w)$ is well-defined by the uniqueness of the alternating factorization of w in Proposition 2.5. This completes the inductive definition of the coproduct Δ on $F_N(X)$. We shall tacitly denote by Δ the coproduct defined here throughout the remainder of the paper.

The following is an easy property of Δ , which will be used frequently.

Lemma 2.8. Let X be a set and $w \in M(X)$. Then $\Delta(w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes w$.

Proof. Consider first $w = \mathbf{1}$. Then $\Delta(w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \otimes w$ by Eq. (5). Consider next $w \neq \mathbf{1}$. Then we may write $w = x_1 \cdots x_m$, where $m \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Then it follows from Eqs. (6) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w) &= \Delta(x_1 \cdots x_m) = \Delta(x_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond \Delta(x_m) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes x_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond (\mathbf{1} \otimes x_m) = \mathbf{1} \otimes (x_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond x_m) \\ &= \mathbf{1} \otimes (x_1 \cdots x_m) = \mathbf{1} \otimes w, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

We are going to show the compatibility of Δ with the product \diamond . Let us begin with a simple case.

Lemma 2.9. Let X be a set and $u, v \in M(X)$. Then

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).$$

Proof. We have two cases to consider.

Case 1. $u = \mathbf{1}$ or $v = \mathbf{1}$. By symmetry, let $u = \mathbf{1}$. Then $\Delta(u) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ by Eq. (5) and so

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(v) = (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \diamond \Delta(v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).$$

Case 2. $u \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $v \neq \mathbf{1}$. Then we can write

$$u = x_1 \cdots x_p \text{ and } v = y_1 \cdots y_q,$$

where $p, q \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q \in X$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u \diamond v) &= \Delta(x_1 \cdots x_p y_1 \cdots y_q) \quad (\text{by Eq. (2)}) \\ &= \mathbf{1} \otimes (x_1 \cdots x_p y_1 \cdots y_q) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &= \mathbf{1} \otimes ((x_1 \cdots x_p) \diamond (y_1 \cdots y_q)) \quad (\text{by Eq. (3)}) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes (x_1 \cdots x_p)) \diamond (\mathbf{1} \otimes (y_1 \cdots y_q)) \\ &= \Delta(x_1 \cdots x_p) \diamond \Delta(y_1 \cdots y_q) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.10. Let X be a set and $u, v \in F_N(X)$. Then

$$(10) \quad \Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).$$

Proof. Since Δ is linear and \diamond is bilinear, we only need to prove the result for basis elements $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. If $u = \mathbf{1}$ or $v = \mathbf{1}$, without loss of generality, letting $u = \mathbf{1}$, then by Eq. (5),

$$\Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta(v) = (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \diamond \Delta(v) = \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).$$

Assume $u \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $v \neq \mathbf{1}$, and we proceed to prove this case by using induction on the sum of the depths

$$n := \text{dep}(u) + \text{dep}(v).$$

For the initial step of $n = 0$, we have $\text{dep}(u) = \text{dep}(v) = 0$ and so $u, v \in M(X)$. Then the result is valid from Lemma 2.9.

For the inductive step, assume the result holds for $n \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$. We reduce to prove the result by induction on the sum of the widths

$$m := \text{wid}(u) + \text{wid}(v) \geq 2.$$

If $m = 2$, then $\text{wid}(u) = \text{wid}(v) = 1$. Consider first $u \in X$ or $v \in X$. Then the result holds by Eq. (9). Consider next $u \notin X$ and $v \notin X$. Then by $\text{wid}(u) = \text{wid}(v) = 1$, we can write

$$u := [\bar{u}] \text{ and } v := [\bar{v}] \text{ for some } \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty.$$

Using the Sweedler notation, we denote by

$$\Delta(\bar{u}) = \sum_{(\bar{u})} \bar{u}_{(1)} \otimes \bar{u}_{(2)} \text{ and } \Delta(\bar{v}) = \sum_{(\bar{v})} \bar{v}_{(1)} \otimes \bar{v}_{(2)}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(u \diamond v) = \Delta([\bar{u}] \diamond [\bar{v}]) = \Delta([\bar{u}] \diamond [\bar{v}] + [\bar{u}] \diamond \bar{v} - [\bar{u}] \diamond \bar{v}]) \\ & = (\text{id} \otimes N_X) \Delta([\bar{u}] \diamond [\bar{v}] + [\bar{u}] \diamond \bar{v} - [\bar{u}] \diamond \bar{v}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\ & = (\text{id} \otimes N_X) (\Delta(\bar{u}) \diamond \Delta([\bar{v}]) + \Delta([\bar{u}]) \diamond \Delta(\bar{v}) - \Delta([\bar{u}] \diamond \bar{v})) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis on } n) \\ & = (\text{id} \otimes N_X) \left(\Delta(\bar{u}) \diamond ((\text{id} \otimes N_X) \Delta(\bar{v})) + ((\text{id} \otimes N_X) \Delta(\bar{u})) \diamond \Delta(\bar{v}) - (\text{id} \otimes N_X) (\Delta(\bar{u}) \diamond \Delta(\bar{v})) \right) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\ & = (\text{id} \otimes N_X) \left(\sum_{(\bar{u}), (\bar{v})} (\bar{u}_{(1)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(1)}) \otimes (\bar{u}_{(2)} \diamond [\bar{v}_{(2)}]) + \sum_{(\bar{u}), (\bar{v})} (\bar{u}_{(1)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(1)}) \otimes ([\bar{u}_{(2)}] \diamond \bar{v}_{(2)}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{(\bar{u}), (\bar{v})} (\bar{u}_{(1)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(1)}) \otimes [\bar{u}_{(2)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(2)}] \right) \\ & = \sum_{(\bar{u}), (\bar{v})} (\bar{u}_{(1)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(1)}) \otimes \left([\bar{u}_{(2)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(2)}] + [[\bar{u}_{(2)}] \diamond \bar{v}_{(2)}] - [[\bar{u}_{(2)}] \diamond \bar{v}_{(2)}] \right) \\ & = \sum_{(\bar{u}), (\bar{v})} (\bar{u}_{(1)} \diamond \bar{v}_{(1)}) \otimes ([\bar{u}_{(2)}] \diamond [\bar{v}_{(2)}]) \quad (\text{by Eq. (2)}) \\ & = \left(\sum_{(\bar{u})} \bar{u}_{(1)} \otimes [\bar{u}_{(2)}] \right) \diamond \left(\sum_{(\bar{v})} \bar{v}_{(1)} \otimes [\bar{v}_{(2)}] \right) \\ & = ((\text{id} \otimes N_X) \Delta(\bar{u})) \diamond ((\text{id} \otimes N_X) \Delta(\bar{v})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta(\lfloor \bar{u} \rfloor) \diamond \Delta(\lfloor \bar{v} \rfloor) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\
&= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the initial step of the induction on $\text{wid}(u) + \text{wid}(v)$.

Assume that Eq. (10) holds for the case of $n = k + 1$ and $2 \leq m \leq \ell$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m = \ell + 1$. Then $m \geq 3$ and so either $\text{wid}(u) \geq 2$ or $\text{wid}(v) \geq 2$. We have three cases to prove:

- (a) $\text{wid}(u) \geq 2, \text{wid}(v) \geq 2$;
- (b) $\text{wid}(u) \geq 2, \text{wid}(v) = 1$;
- (c) $\text{wid}(u) = 1, \text{wid}(v) \geq 2$.

We only prove the first case, because other cases are easier and can be treated in the same way. So suppose

$$\text{wid}(u) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{wid}(v) \geq 2.$$

From Proposition 2.5, there are unique alternating sequences u_1, \dots, u_p and v_1, \dots, v_q such that

$$u = u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_p \text{ and } v = v_1 \diamond \dots \diamond v_q,$$

and so

$$u \diamond v = u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_{p-1} \diamond (u_p \diamond v_1) \diamond v_2 \dots \diamond v_q.$$

If $u_p \notin \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$ or $v_1 \notin \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$, then by Eq. (9),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(u \diamond v) &= \Delta(u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_p \diamond v_1 \diamond \dots \diamond v_q) \\
&= \Delta(u_1) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(v_q) \\
&= \Delta(u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1 \diamond \dots \diamond v_q) \\
&= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).
\end{aligned}$$

If $u_p \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$ and $v_1 \in \lfloor \mathfrak{X}_\infty \rfloor$, let

$$u_p = \lfloor \bar{u}_p \rfloor \text{ and } v_1 = \lfloor \bar{v}_1 \rfloor \text{ for some } \bar{u}_p, \bar{v}_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty.$$

By Eq. (2), we may suppose

$$u_p \diamond v_1 = \sum_i c_i \lfloor w_i \rfloor, \text{ where each } c_i \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}, w_i \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty.$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(u \diamond v) &= \Delta(u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_{p-1} \diamond (u_p \diamond v_1) \diamond v_2 \diamond \dots \diamond v_q) \\
&= \sum_i c_i \Delta(u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_{p-1} \diamond \lfloor w_i \rfloor \diamond v_2 \diamond \dots \diamond v_q) \\
&= \sum_i c_i \Delta(u_1) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(u_{p-1}) \diamond \Delta(\lfloor w_i \rfloor) \diamond \Delta(v_2) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(v_q) \quad (\text{by Eq. (9)}) \\
&= \Delta(u_1) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(u_{p-1}) \diamond \Delta(u_p \diamond v_1) \diamond \Delta(v_2) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(v_q) \\
&= \Delta(u_1) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(u_{p-1}) \diamond \Delta(u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1) \diamond \Delta(v_2) \diamond \dots \diamond \Delta(v_q) \quad (\text{by the case of } m = 2) \\
&= \Delta(u_1 \diamond \dots \diamond u_p) \diamond \Delta(v_1 \diamond \dots \diamond v_q) \quad (\text{by Eq. (9)}) \\
&= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction on $\text{wid}(u) + \text{wid}(v) = m$ and so the induction on $\text{dep}(u) + \text{dep}(v) = n$. \square

Lemma 2.11. Let X be a set. Then Δ is a coproduct on $F_N(X)$, that is, Δ satisfies the coassociative law

$$(11) \quad (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) \text{ for } w \in F_N(X).$$

Proof. We prove the result by induction on depth $n := \text{dep}(w)$ of the basis elements $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. For the initial step of $n = 0$, we have $w \in M(X)$. By Lemma 2.8, we get $\Delta(w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes w$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) &= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathbf{1} \otimes w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \Delta(w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes w \\ &= \Delta(\mathbf{1}) \otimes w = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{1} \otimes w) = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w). \end{aligned}$$

For the inductive step, assume the result is true for the case of $n \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$. We next reduce to prove the result by induction on the width $m := \text{wid}(w)$. Since $\text{dep}(w) = k + 1 \geq 1$, we have $w \neq \mathbf{1}$ and so $m = \text{wid}(w) \geq 1$. If $m = 1$, since $\text{dep}(w) \geq 1$, we can write

$$w = [\bar{w}] = N_X(\bar{w}) \text{ for some } \bar{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_k.$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) &= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(N_X(\bar{w})) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\text{id} \otimes N_X)\Delta(\bar{w}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes (\Delta N_X))\Delta(\bar{w}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (5)}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes ((\text{id} \otimes N_X)\Delta))\Delta(\bar{w}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes N_X)(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(\bar{w}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes N_X)(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(\bar{w}) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis on } n) \\ &= (\Delta \otimes N_X)\Delta(\bar{w}) \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes N_X)\Delta(\bar{w}) \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the result is valid for the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m \leq \ell$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m = \ell + 1 \geq 2$. So we can suppose

$$w = w'_1 w'_2 = w'_1 \diamond w'_2,$$

where

$$\text{wid}(w'_1) + \text{wid}(w'_2) = \ell + 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq \text{wid}(w'_1), \text{wid}(w'_2) \leq \ell.$$

Using the Sweedler notation, we can write

$$\Delta(w'_1) = \sum_{(w'_1)} w'_{1(1)} \otimes w'_{1(2)} \text{ and } \Delta(w'_2) = \sum_{(w'_2)} w'_{2(1)} \otimes w'_{2(2)}.$$

By the induction hypothesis on m , we have

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w'_1) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w'_1) \text{ and } (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w'_2) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w'_2),$$

that is,

$$(12) \quad \sum_{(w'_1)} \Delta(w'_{1(1)}) \otimes w'_{1(2)} = \sum_{(w'_1)} w'_{1(1)} \otimes \Delta(w'_{1(2)}) \text{ and } \sum_{(w'_2)} \Delta(w'_{2(1)}) \otimes w'_{2(2)} = \sum_{(w'_2)} w'_{2(1)} \otimes \Delta(w'_{2(2)}).$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w) &= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(w'_1 \diamond w'_2) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(w'_1) \diamond \Delta(w'_2)) \quad (\text{by Eq. (10)}) \\
&= \sum_{(w'_1)} \sum_{(w'_2)} (w'_{1(1)} \diamond w'_{2(1)}) \otimes \Delta(w'_{1(2)} \diamond w'_{2(2)}) \quad (\text{by linearity}) \\
&= \sum_{(w'_1)} \sum_{(w'_2)} (w'_{1(1)} \diamond w'_{2(1)}) \otimes (\Delta(w'_{1(2)}) \diamond \Delta(w'_{2(2)})) \quad (\text{by Eq. (10)}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{(w'_1)} w'_{1(1)} \otimes \Delta(w'_{1(2)}) \right) \diamond \left(\sum_{(w'_2)} w'_{2(1)} \otimes \Delta(w'_{2(2)}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

With the similar argument, we can get

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) = \left(\sum_{(w'_1)} \Delta(w'_{1(1)}) \otimes w'_{1(2)} \right) \diamond \left(\sum_{(w'_2)} \Delta(w'_{2(1)}) \otimes w'_{2(2)} \right).$$

Thus Eq. (11) holds by Eq. (12). This completes the inductive proof. \square

We turn to construct a left counit on $F_N(X)$. Define a linear map:

$$(13) \quad \varepsilon : F_N(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{1} \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{k}}, w \mapsto 0 \text{ for } w \in \mathfrak{X}_{\infty} \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}.$$

The next result shows that ε is compatible with the product \diamond .

Lemma 2.12. Let X be a set and $u, v \in F_N(X)$. Then

$$\varepsilon(u \diamond v) = \varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v).$$

Proof. By linearity of ε , it is sufficient to prove the result for basis elements $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$. If $u = \mathbf{1}$ or $v = \mathbf{1}$, by symmetry, let $u = \mathbf{1}$. Then $\varepsilon(u) = 1_{\mathbf{k}}$ and

$$\varepsilon(u \diamond v) = \varepsilon(v) = 1_{\mathbf{k}}\varepsilon(v) = \varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v).$$

Suppose $u \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $v \neq \mathbf{1}$. Then $u \diamond v \neq \mathbf{1}$ and so by Eq. (13)

$$\varepsilon(u \diamond v) = 0 = \varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v),$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.13. Let X be a set. Then the ε given in Eq. (13) is a left counit on $F_N(X)$, that is, ε satisfies the left counicity

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) = \beta_{\ell}(w) \text{ for } w \in F_N(X),$$

where $\beta_{\ell} : F_N(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \otimes F_N(X)$ is given by $w \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w$.

Proof. We prove the result by induction on depth $n := \text{dep}(w)$ of basis elements $w \in \mathfrak{X}_{\infty}$. For the initial step of $n = 0$, we have $w \in M(X)$. By Lemma 2.8, we obtain $\Delta(w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes w$ and so

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) = (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\mathbf{1} \otimes w) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{1}) \otimes w = 1_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes w = \beta_{\ell}(w).$$

For the induction step, assume the result is true for the case of $n \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$. We next reduce to prove the result by induction on the width $m := \text{wid}(w)$.

Since $\text{dep}(w) = k + 1 \geq 1$, we have $w \neq \mathbf{1}$ and so $m = \text{wid}(w) \geq 1$. If $m = 1$, since $\text{dep}(w) \geq 1$, we can write

$$w = N_X(\bar{w}) \text{ for some } \bar{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_k,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(N_X(\bar{w})) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes N_X)\Delta(\bar{w}) \quad (\text{by Eq. (8)}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes N_X)(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(\bar{w}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes N_X)(\mathbf{1}_k \otimes \bar{w}) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis on } n) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_k \otimes w = \beta_\ell(w). \end{aligned}$$

Assume the result holds for the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m \leq \ell$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m = \ell + 1 \geq 2$. So we can write

$$w = w_1 \diamond w_2,$$

where

$$\text{wid}(w_1) + \text{wid}(w_2) = \ell + 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq \text{wid}(w_1), \text{wid}(w_2) \leq \ell.$$

Using the Sweedler notation, we may write

$$\Delta(w_1) = \sum_{(w_1)} w_{1(1)} \otimes w_{1(2)} \text{ and } \Delta(w_2) = \sum_{(w_2)} w_{2(1)} \otimes w_{2(2)}.$$

From the induction on m , we get

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w_1) = \beta_\ell(w_1) \text{ and } (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w_2) = \beta_\ell(w_2),$$

that is,

$$(14) \quad \sum_{(w_1)} \varepsilon(w_{1(1)}) \otimes w_{1(2)} = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes w_1 \text{ and } \sum_{(w_2)} \varepsilon(w_{2(1)}) \otimes w_{2(2)} = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes w_2,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(w_1 \diamond w_2) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(w_1) \diamond \Delta(w_2)) \quad (\text{by Eq. (10)}) \\ &= \sum_{(w_1), (w_2)} \varepsilon(w_{1(1)} \diamond w_{2(1)}) \otimes (w_{1(2)} \diamond w_{2(2)}) \\ &= \sum_{(w_1), (w_2)} (\varepsilon(w_{1(1)})\varepsilon(w_{2(1)})) \otimes (w_{1(2)} \diamond w_{2(2)}) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.12}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{(w_1)} \varepsilon(w_{1(1)}) \otimes w_{1(2)} \right) \left(\sum_{(w_2)} \varepsilon(w_{2(1)}) \otimes w_{2(2)} \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_k \otimes w_1)(\mathbf{1}_k \otimes w_2) \quad (\text{by Eq. (14)}) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_k \otimes (w_1 \diamond w_2) = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes w = \beta_\ell(w). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction on the width $\text{wid}(w)$ and hence the induction on the depth $\text{dep}(w)$. \square

Now we put the pieces together to state our main result of this section. Define a linear map

$$u : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow F_N(X), \quad \mathbf{1}_k \mapsto \mathbf{1}.$$

Theorem 2.14. *Let X be a set. Then the sextuple $(F_N(X), \diamond, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, N_X)$ is a left counital cocycle bialgebra.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, the quadruple $(F_N(X), \diamond, u, N_X)$ is an operated algebra. From Lemmas 2.11 and 2.13, the triple $(F_N(X), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left counital coalgebra. Finally, $(F_N(X), \diamond, u, \Delta, \varepsilon, N_X)$ is a left counital cocycle bialgebra by Eq. (8) and Lemmas 2.10, 2.12. \square

3. LEFT COUNITAL HOPF ALGEBRA STRUCTURES ON FREE NIJENHUIS ALGEBRAS

This section is devoted to a left counital Hopf algebraic structure on a free Nijenhuis algebra $F_N(X)$. All algebras considered in this section are assumed to be of characteristic zero. The following concepts are from [22, Definitions 4.1 and 4.4].

Definition 3.1. A left counital bialgebra $(H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is called a **graded left counital bialgebra** if there are \mathbf{k} -modules $H^{(n)}, n \geq 0$, of H such that

- (a) $H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^{(n)}$;
- (b) $H^{(p)}H^{(q)} \subseteq H^{(p+q)}$;
- (c) $\Delta(H^{(n)}) \subseteq (H^{(0)} \otimes H^{(n)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p>0, q>0}} H^{(p)} \otimes H^{(q)} \right)$ for $n \geq 0$.

Further H is called **connected** if in addition $H^{(0)} = \text{im}u (= \mathbf{k})$ and $\ker \varepsilon = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} H^{(n)}$.

Let A be an algebra and C a left counital coalgebra. Denote $R := \text{Hom}(C, A)$. For $f, g \in R$, the **convolution product** of f and g can still be defined by

$$f * g := m_A(f \otimes g)\Delta_C.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $H = (H, m, u, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a left counital bialgebra. Let $e := u\varepsilon$.

- (a) A linear map S of H is called a **right antipode** for H if

$$\text{id}_H * S = e.$$

- (b) A left counital bialgebra with a right antipode is called a **left counital right antipode Hopf algebra** or simply a **left counital Hopf algebra**.

In setting of connected graded left counital bialgebras, right antipodes come for free.

Lemma 3.3. ([22, Theorem 4.6]) A connected graded left counital bialgebra is a left counital Hopf algebra.

There is no accident that $F_N(X)$ is a connected graded left counital bialgebra, as we will soon see. For a $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$, define the **degree** of w to be

$$(15) \quad \deg(w) := \deg_X(w) + \deg_{N_X}(w),$$

where $\deg_{N_X}(w)$ (resp. $\deg_X(w)$) denotes the number of occurrences of N_X (resp. $x \in X$) in w . For example, $\deg([x]) = 2$ and $\deg(x[x]) = 3$. Define

$$F_N^{(n)} := \mathbf{k}\{w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty \mid \deg(w) = n\}, \text{ where } n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(16) \quad F_N(X) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_N^{(n)}, \quad F_N^{(0)} = \mathbf{k} \text{ and } N_X(F_N^{(n)}) \subseteq F_N^{(n+1)}.$$

Here the inclusion follows from $\deg(N_X(w)) = \deg(w) + 1$ for $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. We will show below that the grading as above is compatible with the product \diamond and coproduct Δ on $F_N(X)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let X be a set and $F_N(X)$ the free Nijenhuis algebra on X . Then

$$(17) \quad F_N^{(p)} \diamond F_N^{(q)} \subseteq F_N^{(p+q)} \text{ for all } p, q \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $w \in F_N^{(p)}$ and $w' \in F_N^{(q)}$ be basis elements in \mathfrak{X}_∞ . By Proposition 2.5, we may suppose

$$w = w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_m \text{ and } w' = w'_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w'_{m'},$$

where $\text{wid}(w) = m$, $\text{wid}(w') = m'$ and $m, m' \geq 0$. We prove the result by induction on the sum $s := p + q \geq 0$. For the initial step of $s = 0$, we have $p = q = 0$ and so $w = w' = \mathbf{1}$ by Eq. (16). Hence

$$w \diamond w' = \mathbf{1} \in F_N^{(0)} = F_N^{(p+q)}.$$

For the induction step, assume the result is true for the case of $s \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$, and consider the case of $s = k + 1$. Under this assumption, we reduce to prove the result by induction on the sum of widths $t := m + m'$. Since $s = k + 1 \geq 1$, we have $t = m + m' \geq 1$. If $t = 1$, then either $m = 0$ and $m' = 1$ or $m = 1$ and $m' = 0$. Without loss of generality, let $m = 0$ and $m' = 1$. Then $w = \mathbf{1}$, $p = 0$ and so

$$w \diamond w' = w' \in F_N^{(q)} = F_N^{(p+q)}.$$

Assume the result is valid for the case of $s = k + 1$ and $t \leq \ell$, and consider the case of $s = k + 1$ and $t = \ell + 1 \geq 2$. We have three cases to consider

- (a) $\text{wid}(w) \geq 2$, $\text{wid}(w') \geq 2$;
- (b) $\text{wid}(w) \geq 2$, $\text{wid}(w') = 1$;
- (c) $\text{wid}(w) = 1$, $\text{wid}(w') \geq 2$.

Here we only supply the explicit proof of the first case, because other cases are easier and can be checked similarly. Suppose

$$\text{wid}(w) \geq 2 \text{ and } \text{wid}(w') \geq 2,$$

and denote by

$$\widetilde{w} := w_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond w_{m-1} \text{ and } \widetilde{w}' := w'_2 \diamond \cdots \diamond w'_{m'}.$$

Then

$$w = \widetilde{w} \diamond w_m \text{ and } w' = w'_1 \diamond \widetilde{w}'$$

and

$$(18) \quad p = \deg(w) = \deg(\widetilde{w}) + \deg(w_m) \text{ and } q = \deg(w') = \deg(w'_1) + \deg(\widetilde{w}').$$

By the associativity of the product \diamond and Definition 2.4,

$$w \diamond w' = (\widetilde{w} \diamond w_m) \diamond (w'_1 \diamond \widetilde{w}') = \widetilde{w} \diamond (w_m \diamond w'_1) \diamond \widetilde{w}' = \widetilde{w} (w_m \diamond w'_1) \widetilde{w}',$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} w \diamond w' &= \widetilde{w} (w_m \diamond w'_1) \widetilde{w}' \\ &\in \widetilde{w} F_N^{(\deg(w_m) + \deg(w'_1))} \widetilde{w}' \quad (\text{by induction hypothesis on } t) \\ &\subseteq F_N^{(\deg(\widetilde{w}) + \deg(w_m) + \deg(w'_1) + \deg(\widetilde{w}'))} \quad (\text{by Eq. (15)}) \\ &= F_N^{(p+q)} \quad (\text{by Eq. (18)}). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the induction on t and hence the induction on s . \square

Lemma 3.5. Let X be a set and $F_N(X)$ the free Nijenhuis algebra on X . Then

$$\Delta(F_N^{(n)}) \subseteq (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(n)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right) \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $w \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$ be a basis element of $F_N(X)$. We proceed to prove the result by induction on $n = \deg(w) \geq 0$. For the initial step of $n = \deg(w) = 0$, we have $w = \mathbf{1}$ and so by Eq. (5)

$$\Delta(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \in F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(0)}.$$

For the induction step, assume the result is true for the case of $n \leq k$ for a $k \geq 0$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$. Under this assumption, we reduce to prove the result by induction on the width $m = \text{wid}(w)$. Since $n = \deg(w) \geq 1$, we have $w \neq \mathbf{1}$ and so $m = \text{wid}(w) \geq 1$.

If $m = 1$, then either $w = x$ for some $x \in X$ or $w = N_X(\bar{w})$ for some $\bar{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$. If $w = x$ for some $x \in X$, then $n = \deg(w) = 1$ and

$$\Delta(w) = \mathbf{1} \otimes x \in F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(1)} = F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(n)}.$$

If $w = N_X(\bar{w})$ for some $\bar{w} \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty$, then

$$\deg(\bar{w}) = \deg(w) - 1 = n - 1 = k.$$

Using the induction hypothesis on n , we get

$$\Delta(\bar{w}) \in (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(k)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=k \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right).$$

By Eqs. (8) and (16),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w) &= \Delta(N_X(\bar{w})) = (\text{id} \otimes N_X)\Delta(\bar{w}) \\ &\in \left(F_N^{(0)} \otimes N_X(F_N^{(k)}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=k \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes N_X(F_N^{(q)}) \right) \\ &\subseteq (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(k+1)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=k+1 \\ p>0, q>1}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right) \\ &\subseteq (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(k+1)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=k+1 \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume the result holds for the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m \leq \ell$, and consider the case of $n = k + 1$ and $m = \ell + 1 \geq 2$. From Proposition 2.5, we may write

$$w = u \diamond v, \text{ where } u, v \in \mathfrak{X}_\infty \text{ and } 0 < \text{wid}(u), \text{wid}(v) < \ell + 1.$$

Using the induction hypothesis on m , we get

$$\Delta(u) \in (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(\deg(u))}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=\deg(u) \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right)$$

and

$$\Delta(v) \in (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(\deg(v))}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p'+q'=\deg(v) \\ p'>0, q'>0}} F_N^{(p')} \otimes F_N^{(q')} \right).$$

Since $w = u \diamond v = uv$, we have

$$(19) \quad \deg(u) + \deg(v) = \deg(w) = n = k + 1.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w) &= \Delta(u) \diamond \Delta(v) \\ &\in \left((F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(\deg(u))}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=\deg(u) \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right) \right) \diamond \left((F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(\deg(v))}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p'+q'=\deg(v) \\ p'>0, q'>0}} F_N^{(p')} \otimes F_N^{(q')} \right) \right) \\ &= (F_N^{(0)} \diamond F_N^{(0)}) \otimes (F_N^{(\deg(u))} \diamond F_N^{(\deg(v))}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p'+q'=\deg(v) \\ p'>0, q'>0}} (F_N^{(0)} \diamond F_N^{(p')}) \otimes (F_N^{(\deg(u))} \diamond F_N^{(q')}) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=\deg(u) \\ p>0, q>0}} (F_N^{(p)} \diamond F_N^{(0)}) \otimes (F_N^{(q)} \diamond F_N^{(\deg(v))}) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=\deg(u), p'+q'=\deg(v) \\ p>0, q>0, p'>0, q'>0}} (F_N^{(p)} \diamond F_N^{(p')}) \otimes (F_N^{(q)} \diamond F_N^{(q')}) \right) \\ &\subseteq (F_N^{(0)} \otimes F_N^{(n)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p>0, q>0}} F_N^{(p)} \otimes F_N^{(q)} \right) \quad (\text{by Eqs. (17) and (19)}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction on $\text{wid}(w)$ and hence the induction on $\deg(w)$. \square

Now we arrive at the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a set and $F_N(X)$ the free Nijenhuis algebra on X . Then the quintuple $(F_N(X), \diamond, N_X, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a connected graded left counital bialgebra and hence a left counital Hopf algebra.*

Proof. The $(F_N(X), \diamond, N_X, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a left counital bialgebra by Theorem 2.14, and further is graded by Eq. (16) and Lemmas 3.4, 3.5. The connectedness follows from Eqs. (13) and (16). Therefore the result is valid from Lemma 3.3. \square

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501466), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. lzujbky-2017-162), and the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (Grant No. 17JR5 RA175) and Shandong Province (No. ZR2016AM02).

We thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions helping to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abe, Hopf Algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, L. Guo, W. Keigher and K. Ono, Baxter algebras and Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 4639-4656.
- [3] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731-742.
- [4] A. A. Belavin and V. G. Drinfeld, Triangle Equations and Simple Lie-Algebras, *Classic Reviews in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, 1. Harwood Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, 1998, vii, 91 pp.

- [5] J. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, Quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A*, **15**, (2000), 4797-4810.
- [6] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, renormalization and non-commutative geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1998), 203-242.
- [7] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, On the associative Nijenhuis Relation, *Electrical J. Combin.* **11**(1) (2004), R38.
- [8] A. Frölicher and A. Nijenhuis, Theory of vector valued differential forms. Part I, *Indag. Math.* **18** (1956), 338-360.
- [9] X. Gao, L. Guo, W. Sit and S. Zheng, Rota-Baxter type operators, rewriting systems and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Symbolic Computation*, to appear, arXiv:1412.8055v1.
- [10] I. Z. Golubchik and V. V. Sokolov, One more type of classical Yang-Baxter equation, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **34** (2000), 296-298.
- [11] I. Z. Golubchik and V. V. Sokolov, Generalized Operator Yang-Baxter Equations, Integrable ODEs and Nonassociative Algebras, *J. of Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **7** (2000), 184-197.
- [12] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. in Math.* **150** (2000), 117-149.
- [13] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press, 2012.
- [14] L. Guo, Operated semigroups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics* **29** (2009), 35-62.
- [15] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35-81.
- [16] P. Lei and L. Guo, Nijenhuis algebras, NS algebras and N-dendriform algebras, *Frontiers of Mathematics in China* **7**(5), 2012, 827-846.
- [17] J. L. Loday, M. O. Ronco, Trialgebras and families of polytopes, in "Homotopy Theory: Relations with algebraic Geometry, Group Cohomology, and Algebraic \mathbf{k} -theory", *Contemporary Mathematics* **346** (2004), 369-398.
- [18] A. Nijenhuis, X_{n-1} -forming sets of eigenvectors. *Indag. Math.* **13** (1951) 200-212.
- [19] M. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [20] K. Uchino, Twisting on associative algebras and Rota-Baxter type operators, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **4** (2010) 349-379.
- [21] T. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Hopf algebras of rooted forests, cocycles and free Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016) 101701, arXiv:1605.09531.
- [22] S. Zheng and L. Guo, Left counital Hopf algebra structure on free commutative Nijenhuis algebras, arXiv:1711.04823v1.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730000, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: gaoxing@lzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPLEX SYSTEMS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, GANSU 730000, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: leip@lzu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NINGXIA UNIVERSITY, YINCHUAN, NINGXIA 750021, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: tjzhangmath@nxu.edu.cn