

Effects of Viral and Cytokine Delays on Dynamics of Autoimmunity

F. Fatehi Chenar, Y.N. Kyrychko, K.B. Blyuss*

Department of Mathematics, University of Sussex, Falmer,
Brighton, BN1 9QH, United Kingdom

March 5, 2022

Abstract

A major contribution to the onset and development of autoimmune disease is known to come from infections. An important practical problem is identifying the precise mechanism by which the breakdown of immune tolerance as a result of immune response to infection leads to autoimmunity. In this paper, we develop a mathematical model of immune response to a viral infection, which includes T cells with different activation thresholds, regulatory T cells (Tregs), and a cytokine mediating immune dynamics. Particular emphasis is made on the role of time delays associated with the processes of infection and mounting the immune response. Stability analysis of various steady states of the model allows us to identify parameter regions associated with different types of immune behaviour, such as, normal clearance of infection, chronic infection, and autoimmune dynamics. Numerical simulations are used to illustrate different dynamical regimes, and to identify basins of attraction of different dynamical states. An important result of the analysis is that not only the parameters of the system, but also the initial level of infection and the initial state of the immune system determine the progress and outcome of the dynamics.

1 Introduction

An immune system can only be viewed as effective when it can robustly identify and destroy pathogen-infected cells, while also distinguishing such cells from healthy cells. In the case of breakdown of immune tolerance, the immune system fails to discriminate between self-antigens and foreign antigens, which results in autoimmune disease, i.e., undesired destruction of healthy cells. Under normal conditions, once foreign epitopes are presented on antigen presenting cells (APCs) to T cells, this results in the proliferation of T cells and eliciting their effector function. While this mechanism is responsible for a successful clearance of infection, cross-reactivity between epitopes associated with foreign and self-antigens can lead to a T cell response against healthy host cells [1, 2].

For many autoimmune diseases, the disease occurs in a specific organ or part of the body, such as retina in uveitis, central nervous system in multiple sclerosis, or pancreatic β -cells in type-1 diabetes [3, 4, 5]. It is extremely difficult to identify the specific causes of autoimmunity in individual patients, as it usually has contributions from a number of internal and external factors, including a genetic predisposition, age, previous immune challenges, exposure to pathogens etc., [6, 7, 8, 9]. Even though genetic predisposition is known to play a very significant role, it is believed that some additional environmental triggers are required for the onset of autoimmunity, and these are usually represented by infections [10, 11]. A very recent work has experimentally identified a gut bacterium that, when present in mice and humans, can migrate to other parts of the body, facilitating subsequent triggering of autoimmune disease in those organs [12]. Various mechanisms of onset

*Corresponding author. Email: k.blyuss@sussex.ac.uk

of pathogen-induced autoimmune disease have been identified, including bystander activation [13] and molecular mimicry [14, 15], which is particularly important in the context of autoimmunity caused by viral infections.

A number of mathematical models have looked into dynamics of onset and development of autoimmune disease. Segel et al. [16] analysed interactions between effector and regulatory T cells in the context of T cell vaccination, without explicitly specifying possible causes of autoimmunity. Similar models were later studied by Borghans et al. [17, 18] who demonstrated possible onset of autoimmune state, defined as stable above-threshold oscillations in the number of autoreactive cells, as a result of interactions between regulatory and autoreactive T cells. León et al. [19, 20, 21] and Carneiro et al. [22] have studied interactions between different T cells, with an emphasis on the suppressing role of regulatory T cells in the dynamics of immune response and control of autoimmunity. Alexander and Wahl [23] have also looked into the role of regulatory T cells, in particular focusing on their interactions with professional APCs and effector cells for the purpose of controlling immune response. Iwami et al. [24, 25] explicitly included a separate compartment representing the viral population in their models of immune response, and showed that the functional form of the growth function for susceptible host cells can have a significant effect on the resulting immune dynamics. Despite being able to explain the emergence of autoimmunity as a by-product of immune response to infection, these models were not able to exhibit another practically important dynamical regime of normal viral clearance. For the case of pathogen-induced autoimmunity arising through bystander activation, Burroughs et al. [26, 27, 28] have developed a model that investigates interactions between T cells and interleukin-2 (IL-2), an important cytokine, in mediating the onset of autoimmunity.

Among various parts of the immune system involved in coordinating an effective immune response, a particularly significant role is known to be played by the T cells, with experimental evidence suggesting that regulatory T cells are vitally important for controlling autoimmunity [29, 30, 31, 32]. To account for this fact in mathematical models, Alexander and Wahl [23] and Burroughs et al. [26, 27] have explicitly included a separate compartment for regulatory T cells that are activated by autoantigens and suppress the activity of autoreactive T cells. Another framework for modelling the effects of T cells on autoimmune dynamics is by using the idea that T cells have different or *tunable activation thresholds* (TAT), which result in different immune functionality of the same T cells, and also allow T cells to adjust their response to stimulation by autoantigens. This approach was proposed for the analysis of the peripheral and central T cell dynamics [33, 34, 35], it has also been used to study differences in activation/response thresholds that are dependent on the activation state of the T cell [36]. Murine and human experiments have confirmed that activation of T cells can indeed change dynamically during their circulation [37, 38, 39, 40]. To model this feature, van den Berg and Rand [41], and Scherer et al. [42] developed stochastic models for the tuning of activation thresholds.

Blyuss and Nicholson [43, 44] have proposed a mathematical model of autoimmunity resulting from immune response to a viral infection through a mechanism of molecular mimicry. This model explicitly includes the virus population and two types of T cells with different activation thresholds, and it also accounts for a biologically realistic scenario where infection and autoimmune response can occur in different organs of the host. Besides the normal viral clearance and chronic infection, in some parameter regime the model also exhibits an autoimmune state characterised by stable oscillations in the amounts of cell populations. From a clinical perspective, such behaviour is to be expected, as it is associated with relapses and remissions that have been observed in a number of autoimmune diseases, such as autoimmune thyroid disease, MS, and uveitis [45, 46, 47]. One deficiency of this model is the fact that the oscillations associated with autoimmune regime can only occur if the amount of free virus and the number of infected cells are also exhibiting oscillations, while in clinical and laboratory settings, autoimmunity usually occurs after the initial infection has been fully cleared. To overcome this limitation, Fatehi et al. [48] have recently developed a more advanced model that also includes regulatory T cells and cytokines, which has allowed the authors to obtain a more realistic representation of immune response and various dynamical regimes. A particularly important practical insight provided by this model is the observation that it is not only the system parameters, but also the initial level of infection and the initial state of the immune

system, that determine whether the host will just successfully clear the infection, or will proceed to develop autoimmunity. Approaching the same problem from another perspective, Fatehi et al. [49] have investigated the role of stochasticity in driving the dynamics of immune response and determining which of the immune states is more likely to be attained. The authors have also determined an experimentally important characterisation of autoimmune state, as provided by the dependence of variance in cell populations on various system parameters.

In this paper, we develop and analyse a model of autoimmune dynamics, with particular focus on the role of time delays associated with different aspects of immune response, as well as an inhibiting effect of regulatory T cells on secretion of IL-2. In the next section, we introduce the model and discuss its basic properties. Section 3 contains systematic analysis of all steady states, including conditions for their feasibility and stability. Section 4 contains a bifurcation analysis of the model and demonstrates various types of behaviour that the system exhibits depending on parameters and initial conditions, which includes identification of attraction basins of various states. The paper concludes in Section 5 with the discussion of results.

2 Model Derivation

To understand how interactions between different parts of the immune system and the cytokine can lead to autoimmunity, we consider a model illustrated in a diagram shown in Figure 1. In this model, unlike earlier work of Blyuss and Nicholson [43, 44], we consider a situation where a viral infection and possible autoimmunity occur in the same organ of the host. The healthy host cells, whose number is denoted by $A(t)$, in the absence of infection are assumed to grow logistically with linear growth rate r and carrying capacity N , and they acquire infection at rate β from the infected cells $F(t)$. Since experimental evidence suggests that antibodies play a secondary role compared to T cells [50], and autoimmunity can develop even in the absence of B cells [51], we do not include antibody response in the model, but focus solely on the dynamics of T cell populations. Naïve (inactivated) T cells $T_{in}(t)$ are assumed to be in homeostasis [43, 24, 25], and once they are activated through interaction with infected cells, which occurs at rate α , a proportion p_1 of them will go on to differentiate into additional regulatory T cells, a fraction p_2 will become normal activated T cells $T_{nor}(t)$ able to destroy infected cells at rate μ_F upon recognition of foreign antigen present on their surface. The remaining proportion of $(1 - p_1 - p_2)$ of T cells will become autoreactive T cells $T_{aut}(t)$ that, in light of their lower activation threshold will be eliminating both infected cells and healthy host cells at rate μ_a due to the above-mentioned cross-reactivity between some epitopes in self- and foreign antigens. Regulatory T cells $T_{reg}(t)$ are assumed to be in their own homeostasis [52], and their main contribution to immune dynamics lies in suppressing autoreactive T cells at rate δ_1 . To reduce the dimensionality of the model, it is assumed that the process of viral production is occurring very fast compared to other characteristic timescales of the model, thus the viral population can be represented by its quasi-steady-state approximation, i.e., it is taken to be proportional to the number of infected cells, and this eliminates the need for a separate compartment for free virus.

A number of different cytokines mediate immune response to infection, and in the context of T cell dynamics, a particular important role is played by interleukin 2 (IL-2), represented by the variable $I(t)$ in the model, which acts to enhance the proliferation of T cells, which, in turn, secrete further IL-2. One of the actions of regulatory T cells is to suppress the expression of IL-2 [53], which is only produced by the activated T cells, but not by the regulatory T cells [54, 55]. To represent this mathematically, we will assume that T_{nor} and T_{aut} produce IL-2 at rates σ_1 and σ_2 , and conversely, IL-2 enhances proliferation of T_{reg} , T_{nor} and T_{aut} at rates ρ_1 , ρ_2 , and ρ_3 . We include in the model suppression of IL-2 by regulatory T cells at rate δ_2 , in a manner similar to Burroughs et al. [28].

While the production of new virus particles by infected cells is assumed to be fast, we explicitly include in the model time delay τ_1 associated with the actual process of infection, which includes multiple stages of the *eclipse phase* of viral life cycle, such as virus attachment, cell penetration and uncoating [56, 57]. We also include the time delay τ_2 associated with simulation and proliferation




Figure 1: A schematic diagram of immune response to infection. Blue indicates host cells (susceptible and infected), red denotes different T cells (naïve, regulatory, normal activated, and autoreactive T cells), yellow shows cytokines (interleukin 2). τ_i inside each of the subnetworks shows the time delay associated with that process.

of T cells by IL-2, and the time delay τ_3 between antigen encounter and resulting T cell expansion [58].

With the above assumptions, the complete model takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA}{dt} &= rA \left(1 - \frac{A}{N}\right) - \beta AF - \mu_a T_{aut} A, \\
\frac{dF}{dt} &= \beta A (t - \tau_1) F (t - \tau_1) - d_F F - \mu_F T_{nor} F - \mu_a T_{aut} F, \\
\frac{dT_{in}}{dt} &= \lambda_{in} - d_{in} T_{in} - \alpha T_{in} F, \\
\frac{dT_{reg}}{dt} &= \lambda_r - d_r T_{reg} + p_1 \alpha T_{in} (t - \tau_3) F (t - \tau_3) + \rho_1 I (t - \tau_2) T_{reg} (t - \tau_2), \\
\frac{dT_{nor}}{dt} &= p_2 \alpha T_{in} (t - \tau_3) F (t - \tau_3) - d_n T_{nor} + \rho_2 I (t - \tau_2) T_{nor} (t - \tau_2), \\
\frac{dT_{aut}}{dt} &= (1 - p_1 - p_2) \alpha T_{in} (t - \tau_3) F (t - \tau_3) - d_a T_{aut} - \delta_1 T_{reg} T_{aut} + \rho_3 I (t - \tau_2) T_{aut} (t - \tau_2), \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \sigma_1 T_{nor} + \sigma_2 T_{aut} - \delta_2 T_{reg} I - d_i I.
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing non-dimensional variables

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{t} &= rt, \quad A = N\hat{A}, \quad F = N\hat{F}, \quad T_{in} = \frac{\lambda_{in}}{d_{in}}\hat{T}_{in}, \quad T_{reg} = \frac{\lambda_{in}}{d_{in}}\hat{T}_{reg}, \\
T_{nor} &= \frac{\lambda_{in}}{d_{in}}\hat{T}_{nor}, \quad T_{aut} = \frac{\lambda_{in}}{d_{in}}\hat{T}_{aut}, \quad I = \frac{\lambda_{in}}{d_{in}}\hat{I},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta} &= \frac{\beta N}{r}, \quad \hat{\mu}_a = \frac{\mu_a \lambda_{in}}{r d_{in}}, \quad \hat{d}_F = \frac{d_F}{r}, \quad \hat{\mu}_F = \frac{\mu_F \lambda_{in}}{r d_{in}}, \quad \hat{d}_{in} = \frac{d_{in}}{r}, \quad \hat{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha N}{r}, \\
\hat{\lambda}_r &= \frac{\lambda_r d_{in}}{\lambda_{in} r}, \quad \hat{d}_r = \frac{d_r}{r}, \quad \hat{d}_n = \frac{d_n}{r}, \quad \hat{d}_a = \frac{d_a}{r}, \quad \hat{\rho}_i = \frac{\rho_i \lambda_{in}}{r d_{in}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\
\hat{\delta}_1 &= \frac{\delta_1 \lambda_{in}}{r d_{in}}, \quad \hat{\delta}_2 = \frac{\delta_2 \lambda_{in}}{r d_{in}}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_1 = \frac{\sigma_1}{r}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_2 = \frac{\sigma_2}{r}, \quad \hat{d}_i = \frac{d_i}{r},
\end{aligned}$$

yields a rescaled model

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA}{dt} &= A(1 - A) - \beta AF - \mu_a T_{aut} A, \\
\frac{dF}{dT} &= \beta A (T - \tau_1) F (T - \tau_1) - d_F F - \mu_F T_{nor} F - \mu_a T_{aut} F, \\
\frac{dT_{in}}{dT} &= d_{in} (1 - T_{in}) - \alpha T_{in} F, \\
\frac{dT_{reg}}{dT} &= \lambda_r - d_r T_{reg} + p_1 \alpha T_{in} (T - \tau_3) F (T - \tau_3) + \rho_1 I (T - \tau_2) T_{reg} (T - \tau_2), \\
\frac{dT_{nor}}{dT} &= p_2 \alpha T_{in} (T - \tau_3) F (T - \tau_3) - d_n T_{nor} + \rho_2 I (T - \tau_2) T_{nor} (T - \tau_2), \\
\frac{dT_{aut}}{dT} &= (1 - p_1 - p_2) \alpha T_{in} (T - \tau_3) F (T - \tau_3) - d_a T_{aut} - \delta_1 T_{reg} T_{aut} + \rho_3 I (T - \tau_2) T_{aut} (T - \tau_2), \\
\frac{dI}{dT} &= \sigma_1 T_{nor} + \sigma_2 T_{aut} - \delta_2 T_{reg} I - d_i I,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where all hats in variables and parameters have been dropped for simplicity of notation, and all parameters are assumed to be positive. It is easy to show that this system is well-posed, i.e., solutions with non-negative initial conditions remain non-negative for all $t \geq 0$.

As a first step in the analysis of model (1), we look at its steady states

$$S^* = (A^*, F^*, T_{in}^*, T_{reg}^*, T_{nor}^*, T_{aut}^*, I^*),$$

that can be found by equating to zero the right-hand sides of Equation (1) and solving the resulting system of algebraic equations, deferring the discussion of conditionally stable steady states to Section 3. First, we consider a situation where there are no infected cells at a steady state, i.e., $F^* = 0$, which immediately implies $T_{in}^* = 1$. In this case, there are four possible combinations of steady states depending on whether T_{nor}^* and T_{aut}^* are each equal to zero or positive. If $T_{nor}^* = T_{aut}^* = 0$, there are two steady states

$$S_1^* = \left(0, 0, 1, \frac{\lambda_r}{d_r}, 0, 0, 0\right), \quad S_2^* = \left(1, 0, 1, \frac{\lambda_r}{d_r}, 0, 0, 0\right),$$

of which S_1^* is always unstable, and S_2^* is a disease-free conditionally stable steady state.

For $T_{nor}^* \neq 0$ and $T_{aut}^* = 0$, we again have two steady states

$$S_3^* = \left(0, 0, 1, \frac{\lambda_r \rho_2}{\rho_2 d_r - \rho_1 d_n}, T_{nor}^*, 0, \frac{d_n}{\rho_2}\right), \quad S_4^* = \left(1, 0, 1, \frac{\lambda_r \rho_2}{\rho_2 d_r - \rho_1 d_n}, T_{nor}^*, 0, \frac{d_n}{\rho_2}\right),$$

where $T_{nor}^* = \frac{d_n (\lambda_r \delta_2 \rho_2 + d_i d_r \rho_2 - d_i d_n \rho_1)}{\rho_2 \sigma_1 (\rho_2 d_r - \rho_1 d_n)}$, but they are both unstable for any values of parameters. In the case when $T_{nor}^* = 0$ and $T_{aut}^* \neq 0$, we have two further steady states S_5^* and S_6^* ,

$$S_5^* = \left(0, 0, 1, T_{reg}^*, 0, \frac{(d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*)(d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*)}{\rho_3 \sigma_2}, \frac{d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*}{\rho_3}\right),$$

$$S_6^* = \left(A^*, 0, 1, T_{reg}^*, 0, \frac{(d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*)(d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*)}{\rho_3 \sigma_2}, \frac{d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*}{\rho_3}\right),$$

where $A^* = 1 - \frac{\mu_a (d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*)(d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*)}{\rho_3 \sigma_2}$, and

$$T_{reg}^* = \frac{d_r \rho_3 - \rho_1 d_a \pm \sqrt{(d_r \rho_3 - \rho_1 d_a)^2 - 4 \rho_1 \delta_1 \lambda_r \rho_3}}{2 \rho_1 \delta}.$$

The steady state S_5^* has $A^* = 0$, which implies the death of host cells, whereas the steady state S_6^* corresponds to an autoimmune regime. The steady state S_7^* with $T_{nor}^* \neq 0$ and $T_{aut}^* \neq 0$ exists only for a particular combination of parameters, namely, when

$$\delta_1 \rho_2^2 \lambda_r = (\rho_3 d_n - \rho_2 d_a)(\rho_2 d_r - \rho_1 d_n),$$

and is always unstable. Finally, when $F^* \neq 0$, the system (1) can have a steady state S_8^* with all of its components being positive, but it does not appear possible to find a closed form expression for this state.

In summary, besides the unconditionally unstable steady states, the model (1) has at most for conditionally stable steady states: the disease-free steady state S_2^* , the steady state with the death of host cells S_5^* , the autoimmune steady state S_6^* , and the persistent or chronic steady state S_8^* .

3 Stability Analysis of the Steady States

3.1 Stability Analysis of the Disease-Free Steady State

Linearising the system (1) near the disease-free steady state S_2^* yields the following equation for characteristic roots λ

$$\lambda + d_F - \beta e^{-\lambda \tau_1} = 0. \quad (2)$$

If $d_F < \beta$, the above equation always has a real positive root for any value $\tau_1 \geq 0$, implying that the disease-free steady state is always unstable for any value of the time delays. If, however, the

condition $d_F > \beta$ holds, the disease-free steady state is stable for $\tau_1 = 0$. To find out whether it can lose stability for $\tau_1 > 0$, we look for solutions of Equation (2) in the form $\lambda = i\omega$. Separating real and imaginary parts yields

$$d_F = \beta \cos(\omega\tau_1),$$

$$\omega = -\beta \sin(\omega\tau_1).$$

Squaring and adding these two equations gives the following equation for potential Hopf frequency ω

$$\omega^2 + d_F^2 - \beta^2 = 0.$$

since $d_F > \beta$, this equation does not have real roots for ω , suggesting that there can be no roots of the form $\lambda = i\omega$ of the characteristic Equation (2). This implies that in the case $d_F > \beta$ the disease-free steady state S_2^* is stable for all values of the time delay $\tau_1 \geq 0$.

3.2 Stability Analysis of the Death, Autoimmune and Chronic Steady States

The steady state S_5^* (respectively, S_6^*) is stable if

$$P < \frac{d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*}{\rho_3} < \frac{d_n}{\rho_2}, \quad (3)$$

and all roots of the following equation have negative real part

$$\Delta(\tau_2, \lambda) = p_2(\lambda)e^{-2\lambda\tau_2} + p_1(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau_2} + p_0(\lambda) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(\lambda) &= \frac{\rho_1 (d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*)^2}{\rho_3} (\lambda + 2d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*), \\ p_1(\lambda) &= -\frac{(d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*)}{\rho_3} \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_3)\lambda^2 + [\rho_1 (d_i + d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*) + \rho_3 (d_r + 2d_i + 2\delta_2 T_{reg}^*)] \lambda \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_i(\rho_1 d_a + 2d_r \rho_3) + \delta_2 T_{reg}^* (-\rho_1 \delta_1 T_{reg}^* + 2d_r \rho_3) \right\}, \\ p_0(\lambda) &= (\lambda + d_r) (\lambda + d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*) (\lambda + d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_2}{\mu_a (d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*)}, & \text{for } S_5^*, \\ \frac{\sigma_2 (\beta - d_F)}{\mu_a (1 + \beta) (d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*)}, & \text{for } S_6^*. \end{cases}$$

This steady state undergoes a steady-state bifurcation if

$$\frac{d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*}{\rho_3} = P, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*}{\rho_3} = \frac{d_n}{\rho_2}, \quad \text{or} \quad \delta_1 \rho_1 (T_{reg}^*)^2 = \lambda_r \rho_3. \quad (5)$$

For $\tau_2 = 0$ these steady states are stable if T_{reg}^* satisfies (3) and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \rho_1 (T_{reg}^*)^2 &> \lambda_r \rho_3, \\ a_5 (T_{reg}^*)^5 + a_4 (T_{reg}^*)^4 + a_3 (T_{reg}^*)^3 + a_2 (T_{reg}^*)^2 + a_1 T_{reg}^* + a_0 &> 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_5 &= -\delta_1 \delta_2 (\delta_1 \rho_1 - \delta_2 \rho_1 + \delta_2 \rho_3), \quad a_4 = d_a \delta_2 (\delta_2 \rho_2 - \delta_1 \rho_1 - \delta_2 \rho_3) - d_i \delta_1 (\delta_1 \rho_1 - \delta_2 \rho_1 + 2\delta_2 \rho_3), \\ a_3 &= -d_i \delta_1 (d_a \rho_1 + d_i \rho_3) + d_a d_i \delta_2 (\rho_1 - 2\rho_3) + \lambda_r \delta_2 (\delta_1 \rho_1 + \delta_2 \rho_3), \\ a_2 &= -d_a d_i^2 \rho_3 + \lambda_r \delta_2 (d_a \rho_1 + 2d_i \rho_3), \quad a_1 = \lambda_r \rho_3 (d_i^2 + \delta_2 \lambda_r), \quad a_0 = d_i \rho_3 \lambda_r^2. \end{aligned}$$

To investigate whether stability can be lost for $\tau_2 > 0$, we use an iterative procedure described in [59, 60] to determine a function $F(\omega)$, whose roots give the Hopf frequency associated with purely imaginary roots of Equation (4). Substituting $\lambda = i\omega$ into Equation (4), we define $\Delta^{(1)}(\tau_2, \lambda)$ as

$$\Delta^{(1)}(\tau_2, \lambda) = \overline{p_0(i\omega)}\Delta(\tau_2, i\omega) - p_2(i\omega)e^{-2i\omega\tau_2}\overline{\Delta(\tau_2, i\omega)} = p_0^{(1)}(i\omega) + p_1^{(1)}(i\omega)e^{-i\omega\tau_2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{(1)}(i\omega) &= |p_0(i\omega)|^2 - |p_2(i\omega)|^2, \\ p_1^{(1)}(i\omega) &= \overline{p_0(i\omega)}p_1(i\omega) - \overline{p_1(i\omega)}p_2(i\omega), \end{aligned}$$

and the bar denotes the complex conjugate. If we define

$$F(\omega) = \left| p_0^{(1)}(i\omega) \right|^2 - \left| p_1^{(1)}(i\omega) \right|^2,$$

then $\Delta(\tau_2, i\omega) = 0$ whenever ω is a root of $F(\omega) = 0$. The function $F(\omega)$ has the explicit form

$$F(\omega) = \omega^{12} + b_{10}\omega^{10} + b_8\omega^8 + b_6\omega^6 + b_4\omega^4 + b_2\omega^2 + b_0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= \frac{(\delta_1 d_a + T_{reg}^*)^4}{\rho_3^4} (d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*) (2T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 + d_a \rho_1 - d_r \rho_3) \\ &\quad \left[(d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*) (d_a \rho_1 + 3d_r \rho_3) + 2d_i \rho_1 (d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*) \right] \\ &\quad \left[\rho_1 (d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*) (2d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*) - d_r \rho_3 (d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The explicit formulae for other coefficients of $F(\omega)$ can be found in Appendix 5. Introducing $s = \omega^2$, the equation $F(\omega) = 0$ can be equivalently rewritten as follows,

$$h(s) = s^6 + b_{10}s^5 + b_8s^4 + b_6s^3 + b_4s^2 + b_2s + b_0 = 0. \quad (7)$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that Equation (7) has six distinct positive roots denoted by s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6 , which means that the equation $F(\omega) = 0$ has six positive roots

$$\omega_i = \sqrt{s_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 6.$$

Substituting $\lambda_k = i\omega_k$ into Equation (4) gives

$$\tau_{k,j} = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[\arctan \left(\frac{\omega_k ((\rho_1 + \rho_3)\omega_k^4 + f_2\omega_k^2 + f_0)}{(\rho_3 Z - d_r \rho_1 - \rho_3^2 I^* - \rho_1 \delta_2 T_{reg}^*) \omega_k^4 + g_2 \omega_k^2 + g_0} \right) + j\pi \right],$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= -\rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 I^{*3} Z - \rho_1 \rho_3 (2\rho_1 + 3\rho_3) I^{*2} Z^2 + \rho_1 \rho_3 T_{reg}^* (-\delta_1 \rho_1 + 3\delta_2 \rho_1 + \delta_2 \rho_3) I^{*2} Z \\ &\quad - T_{reg}^{*2} \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 \rho_3 I^{*2} - T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 I^* Z^2 + d_r \rho_3 (-\delta_1 \rho_1 T_{reg}^* + d_r \rho_3) I^* Z \\ &\quad + d_r (-T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 + 2d_r \rho_3) Z^2, \\ f_2 &= -\rho_1^2 \rho_3 I^{*2} + \rho_3^2 I^* Z + (\rho_1 + 2\rho_3) Z^2 + \rho_1 T_{reg}^* (\delta_1 - \delta_2) Z - d_r (T_{reg}^* \delta_2 \rho_1 - d_r \rho_3), \\ g_0 &= \rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 I^{*3} (2Z^2 - 3T_{reg}^* \delta_2 Z + T_{reg}^{*2} \delta_2^2) + \rho_1 \rho_3 (-2T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 + 3d_r \rho_3) I^{*2} Z^2 \\ &\quad + \rho_1 \rho_3 \delta_2 T_{reg}^* (T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 - d_r \rho_3) I^{*2} Z + d_r \rho_3 (T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 - 2d_r \rho_3) I^* Z^2, \\ g_2 &= \rho_3 I^* (\rho_1^2 \rho_3 I^{*2} - \rho_1^2 I^* Z - 2\rho_3 Z^2 - T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 Z - d_r^2 \rho_3) - \rho_1 (d_r + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*) Z^2 \\ &\quad + d_r (-T_{reg}^* \delta_1 \rho_1 + T_{reg}^* \delta_2 \rho_1 + d_r \rho_3) Z, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I^* = \frac{d_a + \delta_1 T_{reg}^*}{\rho_3}, \quad Z = d_i + \delta_2 T_{reg}^*.$$

This allows us to find

$$\tau^* = \tau_{k_0,0} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq 6} \{\tau_{k,0}\}, \quad \omega_0 = \omega_{k_0},$$

as the first time delay for which the roots of the characteristic Equation (4) cross the imaginary axis. To determine whether these steady states actually undergo a Hopf bifurcation at $\tau_2 = \tau^*$, we have to compute the sign of $d\text{Re}[\lambda(\tau^*)]/d\tau_2$. For $\tau = \tau^*$, $\lambda(\tau^*) = i\omega_0$, and we also define $s_0 = \omega_0^2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $h'(s_0) \neq 0$ and $p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0) \neq 0$. Then the following transversality condition holds*

$$\text{sgn} \left\{ \left. \frac{d\text{Re}(\lambda)}{d\tau_2} \right|_{\tau_2=\tau^*} \right\} = \text{sgn}[p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0)h'(s_0)].$$

Proof. Considering $p_j(i\omega_0) = x_j(\omega_0) + iy_j(\omega_0)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0) &= x_0^2 + y_0^2 - x_2^2 - y_2^2, \\ p_1^{(1)}(i\omega_0) &= (x_0x_1 + y_0y_1 - x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) + (x_0y_1 + x_2y_1 - x_1y_0 - x_1y_2)i, \end{aligned}$$

where all x_j and y_j are expressed in terms of system parameters and steady state values of the variables. Substituting these expressions into $\Delta(\tau_2, i\omega_0) = 0$ and $\Delta^{(1)}(\tau_2, i\omega_0) = 0$, and then separating real and imaginary parts gives

$$\begin{cases} x_2 \cos(2\omega_0\tau^*) + y_2 \sin(2\omega_0\tau^*) + x_1 \cos(\omega_0\tau^*) + y_1 \sin(\omega_0\tau^*) = -x_0, \\ y_2 \cos(2\omega_0\tau^*) - x_2 \sin(2\omega_0\tau^*) + y_1 \cos(\omega_0\tau^*) - x_1 \sin(\omega_0\tau^*) = -y_0, \\ (x_0x_1 + y_0y_1 - x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) \cos(\omega_0\tau^*) + (x_0y_1 + x_2y_1 - x_1y_0 - x_1y_2) \sin(\omega_0\tau^*) \\ \quad = -x_0^2 - y_0^2 + x_2^2 + y_2^2, \\ (x_0y_1 + x_2y_1 - x_1y_0 - x_1y_2) \cos(\omega_0\tau^*) - (x_0x_1 + y_0y_1 - x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) \sin(\omega_0\tau^*) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving this system of equations provides the values of $\sin(\omega_0\tau^*)$, $\cos(\omega_0\tau^*)$, $\sin(2\omega_0\tau^*)$, and $\cos(2\omega_0\tau^*)$. Taking the derivative of Equation (4) with respect to τ_2 , one finds

$$\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau_2} \right)^{-1} = \frac{p_2'(\lambda)e^{-2\lambda\tau_2} + p_1'(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau_2} + p_0'(\lambda)}{\lambda(2p_2(\lambda)e^{-2\lambda\tau_2} + p_1(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau_2})} - \frac{\tau_2}{\lambda}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left. \frac{d\text{Re}(\lambda)}{d\tau_2} \right|_{\tau_2=\tau^*} \right)^{-1} = \text{Re} \left\{ \left. \frac{p_2'(\lambda)e^{-2\lambda\tau_2} + p_1'(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau_2} + p_0'(\lambda)}{\lambda(2p_2(\lambda)e^{-2\lambda\tau_2} + p_1(\lambda)e^{-\lambda\tau_2})} \right\}_{\tau_2=\tau^*} - \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\tau_2}{\lambda} \right\}_{\tau_2=\tau^*} \\ &= \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{p_2'(i\omega_0)e^{-2i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_1'(i\omega_0)e^{-i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_0'(i\omega_0)}{i\omega_0(2p_2(i\omega_0)e^{-2i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_1(i\omega_0)e^{-i\omega_0\tau_2})} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_0} \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{p_2'(i\omega_0)e^{-2i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_1'(i\omega_0)e^{-i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_0'(i\omega_0)}{2p_2(i\omega_0)e^{-2i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_1(i\omega_0)e^{-i\omega_0\tau_2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda\omega_0} \left[-x_2x_2' - y_2y_2' + x_0x_0' + y_0y_0' + (x_2y_1' - y_2x_1' + x_0y_1' - x_1'y_0) \sin(\omega_0\tau^*) \right. \\ & \quad + (x_0x_1' + y_0y_1' - x_1'x_2 - y_1'y_2) \cos(\omega_0\tau^*) + (x_2y_0' - x_0'y_2 + x_0y_2' - x_2'y_0) \sin(2\omega_0\tau^*) \\ & \quad \left. + (x_0x_2' + y_0y_2' - x_0'x_2 - y_0'y_2) \cos(2\omega_0\tau^*) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Lambda = |2p_2(i\omega_0)e^{-2i\omega_0\tau_2} + p_1(i\omega_0)e^{-i\omega_0\tau_2}|^2.$$




Figure 2: Regions of feasibility and stability of the steady states S_5^* and S_6^* with parameter values from Table 1 (a), and with $\mu_a = 10$ (b). Black and red curves indicate the boundaries of feasibility and the steady-state bifurcation, whereas dashed lines (blue/brown) show the boundaries of Hopf bifurcation of the steady states S_5^* and S_6^* , respectively, with ‘fH’ indicating the fold-Hopf bifurcation. The first digit of the index refers to S_5^* , while the second corresponds to S_6^* , and they indicate that in that parameter region the respective steady state is unfeasible (index is ‘0’), stable (index is ‘1’), unstable via Hopf bifurcation with a periodic solution around this steady state (index is ‘2’), or unstable via a steady-state bifurcation (index is ‘3’). In all plots, the condition $\beta < d_F$ holds, so the disease-free steady state S_2^* is also stable.

Substituting the values of $\sin(\omega_0\tau^*)$, $\cos(\omega_0\tau^*)$, $\sin(2\omega_0\tau^*)$, and $\cos(2\omega_0\tau^*)$ found earlier gives

$$\left(\left. \frac{d \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}{d \tau_2} \right|_{\tau_2=\tau^*} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Lambda \omega_0} \frac{F'(\omega_0)}{2 p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0)} = \frac{h'(s_0)}{\Lambda p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn} \left\{ \left. \frac{d \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}{d \tau_2} \right|_{\tau_2=\tau^*} \right\} &= \operatorname{sgn} \left\{ \left(\left. \frac{d \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}{d \tau_2} \right|_{\tau_2=\tau^*} \right)^{-1} \right\} = \operatorname{sgn} \left\{ \frac{h'(s_0)}{\Lambda p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0)} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}[p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0)h'(s_0)], \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

We can now formulate the main result concerning stability of the steady states S_5^* and S_6^* .

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose the value of T_{reg}^* satisfies conditions (3) and (6). If Equation (7) has at least one positive root s_0 , and $p_0^{(1)}(i\omega_0)h'(s_0) > 0$ with $\omega_0 = \sqrt{s_0}$, then the steady state S_5^* (respectively, S_6^*) is stable for $0 \leq \tau_2 < \tau^*$, unstable for $\tau_2 > \tau^*$, and undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $\tau_2 = \tau^*$.*

Since T_{reg}^* satisfies conditions (3) and (6), the steady state S_5^*/S_6^* is stable for $\tau_2 = 0$. Lemma 3.1 then ensures that τ^* is the first positive value of the time delay τ_2 , for which the roots of the characteristic Equation (4) cross the imaginary axis with positive speed. Hence, the steady state S_5^*/S_6^* is stable for $0 \leq \tau_2 < \tau^*$, unstable for $\tau_2 > \tau^*$, and undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $\tau_2 = \tau^*$.

Remark. *A similar result can be formulated for a subcritical Hopf bifurcation of the steady state S_5^*/S_6^* at some higher value of τ_2 .*

Table 1: Table of parameter values.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
β	1	ρ_3	2
μ_a	20	d_n	1
d_F	1.1	d_a	0.001
μ_F	6	δ_1	0.0025
d_{in}	1	δ_2	0.001
α	0.4	σ_1	0.15
λ_r	3	σ_2	0.33
d_r	0.4	d_i	0.6
p_1	0.4	τ_1	1.4
p_2	0.4	τ_2	0.6
ρ_1	10	τ_3	0.6
ρ_2	0.8		

The only remaining steady state is the persistent (chronic) equilibrium S_8^* with all of its components being positive. Since it did not prove possible to find a closed form expression for this steady state, its stability also has to be studied numerically.

4 Numerical Stability Analysis and Simulations

To investigate the role of different parameters in the dynamics of model (1), in this section we perform a detailed numerical bifurcation analysis and simulations of this model. Stability of different steady states is determined numerically by computing the largest real part of the characteristic eigenvalues, which is achieved by using a pseudospectral method implemented in a traceDDE suite in MATLAB [61].

Analytical results from the previous section suggest that at $\beta = d_F$, the disease-free steady state S_2^* undergoes a transcritical bifurcation. For $\beta < d_F$, the disease-free steady state S_2^* is stable, and the chronic steady state is infeasible. On the contrary, when $\beta > d_F$, the disease-free steady state S_2^* is unstable, and in this case it makes sense to investigate stability of the chronic steady state. Therefore, these two cases are considered separately, and as a first step we fix the baseline values as given in Table 1. For this choice of parameters, we have $d_F - \beta > 0$, implying that S_2^* is always stable, and Figure 2 illustrates how the stability of S_5^* and S_6^* is affected by parameters. This figure indicates that the steady states S_5^* and S_6^* are only biologically feasible if the regulatory T cells do not grow too rapidly and do not clear autoreactive T cells too quickly. Importantly, Figure 2 shows that the value of the rate δ_2 of clearance of IL-2 by regulatory T cells does not have any effect on the thresholds of λ_r and δ_1 , where the steady states S_5^* and S_6^* lose their feasibility. Moreover, if λ_r and δ_1 are small, then increasing the rate δ_2 at which Tregs inhibit the production of IL-2 makes S_6^* become unfeasible, resulting in a stable steady state S_5^* , which has the zero population of host cells A . On the other hand, the steady state S_6^* associated with autoimmune responses is favoured for higher values of δ_1 and λ_r . In the case stable periodic solutions around these steady states, increasing δ_2 results in the disappearance of oscillations and stabilisation of the associated steady state. At the intersection of the lines of Hopf bifurcation and the steady-state bifurcation, as determined by Theorem 3.2 and conditions (5), one has the co-dimension two fold-Hopf (also known as zero-Hopf or saddle-node Hopf) bifurcation [62].

Since our earlier analysis showed that stability of the steady states S_5^*/S_6^* is affected by the time delay τ_2 , in Figure 3 we consider stability of these equilibria depending on τ_2 and the rate δ_2 . For the steady state S_5^* , if the effect of IL-2 on promoting proliferation of T cells is fast (i.e., τ_2 is small), there is a large range of δ_2 , starting with some very low values, for which S_5^* is stable. Increasing




Figure 3: Stability of the steady states S_5^* (a), and S_6^* (b) with parameter values from Table 1. White area shows the region where the steady state S_6^* is infeasible. Colour code denotes $\max[\text{Re}(\lambda)]$ for the steady states when they are feasible. In all plots the condition $d_F > \beta$ holds, so the disease-free steady state S_2^* is stable. Basins of attraction of different steady states depending on the initial conditions (c), with other initial conditions specified in (8), and parameter values from Table 1, except for $\tau_2 = 18$. Cyan and pink areas are the basins of attraction of S_2^* and S_6^* , respectively.

the time delay τ_2 results in the Hopf bifurcation of this steady state as described in Theorem 3.2. One should note that for intermediate values of δ_2 , the steady state S_5^* undergoes stability switches, whereby increasing the delay τ_2 further results in a subcritical Hopf bifurcation, which stabilises S_5^* , but after some number of such stability switches eventually the steady state S_5^* is unstable. For higher still values of δ_2 , the steady state S_5^* remains stable for an entire range of τ_2 values, and the only way to lose its stability is via a steady state bifurcation as given by (5). In the case of autoimmune steady state S_6^* , the situation is somewhat different in that increasing δ_2 beyond some critical values makes this steady state biologically infeasible. At the same time, for an entire range of δ_2 values where it is feasible, this steady state exhibits a single loss of stability through a Hopf bifurcation for some critical value of the time delay τ_2 , in agreement with Theorem 3.2.

As mentioned earlier, for parameter values used in Figure 3, the disease-free steady state S_2^* is stable. Hence, the system exhibits a bi-stability between a disease-free state and either stable steady states S_5^*/S_6^* , or periodic solutions around these steady states. To investigate this bi-stability, we choose parameter values as in Table 1 except for $\tau_2 = 18$, which corresponds to a stable steady state S_6^* , and we fix initial conditions for state variables as follows,

$$(A(0), T_{in}(0), T_{nor}(0), T_{aut}(0), I(0)) = (0.9, 0.8, 0, 0, 0), \quad (8)$$

except for initial amounts of infected cells and regulatory T cells that are allowed to vary. Figure 3c illustrates the bi-stability between S_2^* and S_6^* in terms of their basins of attraction. It is worth noting that recently significant research in approximation theory and meshless interpolation has focused on developing techniques for detection and analysis of attraction basins [63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68]. Figure 3c suggests that for very large initial amounts of regulatory T cells, the system converges to the disease-free steady state. It also indicates that if the initial amount of infected cells is very small or is bigger than some specific value, then the infection will be cleared. Interestingly, increasing the initial amount of the regulatory T cells results in a larger range of initial amounts of infection, for which the system tends to a stable autoimmune state S_6^* . In Figure 3b we discovered that increasing τ_2 makes the autoimmune steady state S_6^* undergo a Hopf bifurcation, in which case the system will exhibit a bi-stability between stable S_2^* and a periodic solution around S_6^* . Our numerical investigation suggests that the shape of basins of attraction in this case is qualitatively similar to that shown in Figure 3c, with the basin of attraction of the stable steady state S_6^* being replaced by the basin of attraction of the periodic solution around this steady state.

Figure 4 shows temporary evolution of the system (1) in the regime of bi-stability between a stable disease-free steady state S_2^* and a stable autoimmune steady state S_6^* (similar pattern of be-




Figure 4: Numerical solutions of the model with parameters values from Table 1, except for $\tau_2 = 18$. (a,b) Stable disease-free steady state S_2^* for $F(0) = 0.18$, and $T_{reg}(0) = 100$. (c,d) Transient oscillations settling on a stable steady state S_6^* for $F(0) = 0.18$, and $T_{reg}(0) = 10$. (e,f) Autoimmune dynamics represented by periodic oscillations around the steady state S_6^* for $\tau_2 = 32$, $F(0) = 0.18$, and $T_{reg}(0) = 10$.

haviour is exhibited in the case of bi-stability between S_2^* and S_5^*). It also illustrates how the system develops a periodic solution around the steady state S_6^* for a higher value of τ_2 . Periodic oscillations around the steady state S_6^* biologically correspond to a genuine autoimmune state: after the initial infection is cleared, the system exhibits sustained endogenous oscillations, characterised by periods of significant reduction in the number of organ cells through a negative action of autoreactive T cells, separated by periods of quiescence. This type of behaviour is often observed in clinical manifestations of autoimmune disease [44, 45, 46, 47]. This result has substantial biological significance as effectively it suggests that even for the same kinetic parameters of immune response, the ultimate state of the system, which can be either a successful clearance of infection without lasting consequences, or progression to autoimmunity, also depends on the strength of the initial infection and of the initial state of the immune system, as represented by the initial number of regulatory T cells.

Next we consider a situation where $\beta > d_F$, so the disease-free steady state is unstable, and the system can have three steady states S_5^* , S_6^* and S_8^* . Our earlier results [48] suggest that in the case where regulatory T cells do not inhibit the production of IL-2, i.e., for $\delta_2 = 0$, the steady state S_6^* is stable. Figure 5 shows regions of feasibility and stability of these steady states depending on δ_2 and τ_2 in this case. One observes that S_5^* and S_6^* , whose stability boundaries are determined by Theorem 3.2, exhibit the same behaviour as in Figure 3, namely, for S_5^* increasing τ_2 causes multiple stability switches for smaller values of δ_2 , and the steady state is unstable for very small δ_2 and stable for large δ_2 ; in contrast, S_5^* exhibits a single loss of stability via Hopf bifurcation at




Figure 5: Stability of S_5^* (a), S_6^* (b), and S_8^* (c), with parameter values from Table 1, except for $\beta = 1.4$ and $\sigma_2 = 1$, so that $\beta > d_F$. White area shows the region where the steady state is infeasible. Colour code denotes $\max[\text{Re}(\lambda)]$ for each steady states when it is feasible. (d) Summary of stability results. Green indicates the region where S_6^* and S_8^* are stable, and S_5^* is unstable, whereas red is the area where S_5^* and S_8^* are stable, and S_6^* is infeasible. Yellow is where S_8^* is stable, S_5^* is unstable, and S_6^* is infeasible. Purple shows the region where S_6^* is stable, but S_5^* and S_8^* are unstable. Blue and cyan indicate the regions where S_5^* and S_6^* are unstable, but S_8^* is stable or unstable, respectively.




Figure 6: Bi-stability analysis of the steady states S_5^* , S_6^* , and S_8^* with the same parameter values as in Figure 5, except for (a) $\delta_2 = 0.1$, (b) $\delta_2 = 0.02$. Yellow indicates the basin of attraction of the chronic steady state S_8^* , purple is the basin of attraction of periodic solutions around S_8^* . Red and pink are the basins of attraction of the steady states S_5^* and S_6^* , respectively.

some critical value of the time delay τ_2 , which itself increases with δ_2 . Behaviour of S_8^* is similar to that of S_5^* in that there are multiple stability switches for increasing value of τ_2 and small to intermediate values of δ_2 , while for high values of δ_2 , the chronic steady state S_8^* is stable for all values of τ_2 . Figure 5d divides the δ_2 - τ_2 plane into different regions based on feasibility and stability of these steady states and shows that increasing δ_2 makes the autoimmune steady state S_6^* infeasible. In other regions, the system can exhibit a bi-stability between a stable steady state S_8^* and either a stable steady state S_5^* , or a periodic solution around S_5^* .

Figure 6 illustrates the basins of attraction of the steady states S_5^* , S_6^* and S_8^* , as well as periodic solutions around S_8^* . Figure 6a shows the basins of attraction of the steady states S_5^* and S_8^* and demonstrates that if the initial number of regulatory T cells or infected cells is sufficiently high, or the initial amount of infected cells is very low, the immune response neither eliminates infection nor clears autoreactive T cells, and the system approaches the stable steady state S_5^* . Figure 6b illustrates bi-stability between the stable steady state S_6^* and a periodic solution around S_8^* , and has a different behaviour to than shown in Figure 6a. This figure suggests that for a specific range of $F(0)$ the system converges to a stable autoimmune state S_6^* for all values of $T_{reg}(0)$. However, if the initial number of infected cells is very high or very low, the system instead develops a periodic solution around the steady state S_8^* associated with chronic infection.

Figure 7 illustrates a regime of bi-stability between a stable steady state S_6^* and a periodic solution around S_8^* for combinations of initial conditions indicated by crossed in Figure 6b. It also illustrates how the system develops a stable solution around the steady state S_8^* for a higher value of τ_2 . This figure shows that by increasing the initial number of infected cells the behaviour of the system changes, as it then approaches the autoimmune steady state S_6^* . Interestingly, one can observe that for high values of $F(0)$ the system can eliminate the infection, but it cannot clear the autoreactive T cells, in which case the system converges to S_6^* . On the other hand, for a smaller number of infected cells the system develops a periodic solution around the endemic steady state.

Figure 8 shows how the stability of the chronic infection steady state S_8^* changes with respect to time delays. Figure 8a indicates that for small values of τ_2 (i.e., when the influence of IL-2 on




Figure 7: Numerical solutions of the model with same parameters values as Figure 6b. **(a,b)** Stable steady state S_6^* for $F(0) = 0.002$ and $T_{\text{reg}}(0) = 200$. **(c,d)** Periodic oscillations around the steady state S_6^* for $F(0) = 0.001$ and $T_{\text{reg}}(0) = 200$. **(e,f)** Transient oscillations settling on a stable steady state S_6^* for $\tau_2 = 25$, $F(0) = 0.001$ and $T_{\text{reg}}(0) = 200$.

proliferation of T cells is occurring quite rapidly), the steady state S_8^* is stable, and increasing the time delay τ_1 associated with viral eclipse phase does not have an effect on its stability. At the same time, **if** τ_2 exceeds some specific value, by increasing τ_1 the chronic steady state switches between being stable or unstable. Figure 8b demonstrates a different behaviour, suggesting that for each value of τ_1 , there is small range of τ_3 values where S_8^* is stable, but for smaller and larger values of τ_3 it is unstable. For intermediate values of the eclipse phase delay τ_1 , there is an additional narrow range of τ_3 values where S_8^* is stable. Figure 8c illustrates that for very small, respectively very large, values of τ_3 , the chronic infection steady state is stable, respectively unstable for any value of τ_2 ; for intermediate values of τ_3 , this steady state undergoes a finite number of stability switches for increasing values of τ_2 and eventually becomes unstable.

It should be noted Figure 8 shows that unlike τ_1 and τ_2 , once the steady state S_8^* loses stability via Hopf bifurcation due to increasing τ_3 , it cannot regain stability for higher values of τ_3 .




Figure 8: Colour code denotes $\max[\text{Re}(\lambda)]$ for the endemic steady state S_8^* depending on $\tau_1 - \tau_2$ (a), $\tau_1 - \tau_3$ (b), and $\tau_3 - \tau_2$ (c), with the parameter values taken from Table 1, except for $\beta = 1.4$, $\sigma_2 = 1$, and $\delta_2 = 0.04$.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have developed and analysed a time-delayed model of immune response to a viral infection, which accounts for T cells with different activation thresholds, a cytokine mediating T cell proliferation, as well as regulatory T cells. Particular attention is paid to the dual suppressive role of regulatory T cells in terms of reducing the amount of autoreactive T cells, and also inhibiting IL-2. To achieve better biological realism of the model, we have explicitly included time delays associated with the eclipse phase of the virus life cycle, stimulation/proliferation of T cells by IL-2, and suppression of IL-2 by regulatory T cells. Depending on the values of parameters, the system can have four steady states: the disease-free state, the state characterised by the death of host cells, the autoimmune state, and a state of chronic infection. We have established conditions for stability and steady-state or Hopf bifurcations of these steady states in terms of system parameters.

In the case where the natural death rate of infected cells exceeds the infection rate, the immune system is able to clear the infection, and the disease-free steady state is stable. In this regime, the system can also support the autoimmune steady state or the steady state with the death of host cells, either of which can be stable, or give rise to a periodic solution emerging via Hopf bifurcation. In the opposite case, when the natural death rate of infected cells is smaller than the infection rate, the disease-free steady state is unstable, but it is possible to have a bi-stability between the other three steady states or periodic solutions around them. To better understand bi-stability between different dynamical regimes, we have used numerical simulations to identify basins of attraction of different steady states and periodic solutions depending on the initial level of infection and the initial number of regulatory T cells. The fact that for the same parameter values the system can exhibit bi-stability between a disease-free steady state and an autoimmune state, represented by sustained periodic oscillations following the clearance of infection, is very important from a clinical point of view, as effectively it suggests that the progress and eventual outcome of viral infection is also determined by the strength of infection and the initial state of the immune system. One counter-intuitive observation is that in the case of bi-stability with a disease-free steady state, for higher initial numbers of regulatory T cells, the autoimmune steady state is actually stable for a wider range of initial levels of infection. In this regime of bi-stability, increasing the time delay associated with the positive impact of IL-2 on proliferation of T cells results in the loss of stability of autoimmune steady state and emergence of autoimmune dynamics, characterised by stable periodic oscillations. On the contrary, in the case where the disease-free steady state is unstable, increasing this time delay results in stabilisation of the chronic infection.

There are several directions in which the work presented in this paper can be extended. One direction is exploration of the contributions from other components of immune response, more specifically, antibodies and memory T cells, to the onset and progress of autoimmunity [69, 70]. This is particularly important from the perspective that clinically the onset of autoimmune disease is often

taking place on a much longer scale than the timescale of a regular immune response to a viral infection, so memory T cells can be expected to play a more substantial role. While our model has focused on one specific growth cytokine IL-2, a number of other cytokines, such as IL-7 [71], TNF/ β and IL-10 [29], are known to significantly affect homeostasis and proliferation of different types of T cells, as well as mediate their efficiency in eliminating the infection. Including these immune mediators explicitly in the model can provide further significant insights into the dynamics of immune response, as has been recently demonstrated on the example of a detailed model of immune response to hepatitis B [72]. Another biologically relevant and mathematically challenging problem is the investigation of the interplay between stochasticity, which is known to be an intrinsic feature of immune response [73, 49], and effects of time delays associated with various aspects of immune dynamics.

Appendix

Coefficients of Equation (7) for Hopf frequency are given below.

$$\begin{aligned}
b_2 = & \left(-\delta_1^4 \delta_2^4 \rho_1^2 Y^2 + 2 d_r^2 \delta_1^4 \delta_2^4 \right) T_{reg}^*{}^8 + \left(-2 \delta_1^3 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \left(d_a \delta_2 \rho_1 + d_i \delta_1 \rho_1 - 2 d_r \delta_2 \rho_3 \right) I^{*2} + \right. \\
& 8 d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \delta_2^3 \left(d_a \delta_2 + d_i \delta_1 \right) \left. \right) T_{reg}^*{}^7 + \left(-2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_1^2 \rho_3 \left(4 \delta_1 \rho_1 + \delta_2 \rho_1 + 5 \delta_2 \rho_3 \right) I^{*4} - \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \left(d_a^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& 2 d_a d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 8 d_a d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \\
& \left. \left. d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 8 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + 2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \left(6 d_a^2 \delta_2^2 + 16 d_a d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 + 6 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 + d_r^2 \delta_1^2 + d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \right) \right) T_{reg}^*{}^6 + \\
& \left(-2 \rho_3 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \delta_1 \left(6 d_a \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_a \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 9 d_i \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + 5 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 22 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 6 d_r \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& 2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \left. \right) I^{*4} + 2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \left(d_a^2 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a^2 d_r \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + d_a d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 10 d_a d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \\
& 3 d_a d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_a d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 8 d_a d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 8 d_a d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 + 6 d_i^2 d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 - \\
& 3 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 8 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 16 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \\
& \left. 2 d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_r^3 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 \right) I^{*2} + 4 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \left(2 d_a^3 \delta_2^3 + 12 d_a^2 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 + 12 d_a d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 + 2 d_a d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 + \right. \\
& \left. d_a d_r^2 \delta_2^3 + 2 d_i^3 \delta_1^3 + d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^3 + 2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \right) \left. \right) T_{reg}^*{}^5 + \left(-2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 \left(\delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& 2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \left. \right) I^{*6} - 2 \rho_3 \delta_2 \rho_1 \left(2 d_a^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + d_a^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 9 d_a d_i \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 24 d_a d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_a d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - \right. \\
& 4 d_a d_r \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 + 4 d_i^2 \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 + 8 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 33 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 9 d_i d_r \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 + 9 d_i d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - \\
& \left. 20 d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 6 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*4} + \\
& \left(2 d_a^3 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a^2 d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 7 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1^2 - \right. \\
& 14 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 8 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^4 \rho_3^2 + 2 d_a d_i^3 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 12 d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 6 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - \\
& 12 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 42 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1^2 - 8 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 64 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 + \\
& 2 d_a d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_a d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1^3 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^4 \rho_1^2 - 6 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 14 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \\
& 2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 48 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + 10 d_i d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_i d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \\
& 5 d_r^4 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_r^4 \delta_2^4 \rho_3^2 \left. \right) I^{*2} + 2 d_r^2 \left(d_a^4 \delta_2^4 + 16 d_a^3 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^3 + 36 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 + 6 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 + \right. \\
& \left. d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^4 + 16 d_a d_i^3 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 + 8 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \delta_2 + 8 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^3 + d_i^4 \delta_1^4 + d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^4 + 6 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \right) \left. \right) T_{reg}^*{}^4 + \\
& \left(-2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 \left(d_a \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_i \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + 5 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 14 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_i \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. 12 d_i \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \right) I^{*6} + 2 \rho_3 \rho_1 \left(2 d_a^2 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_a^2 d_i \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 7 d_a^2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \\
& 4 d_a d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 30 d_a d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 15 d_a d_i d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_a d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 20 d_a d_i d_r \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 + \\
& 8 d_a d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_i^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 20 d_i^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_i^2 d_r \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_i^2 d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - \\
& 7 d_i^2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 36 d_i^2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + 6 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 20 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \\
& \left. 20 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 + 3 d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_r^3 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*4} + \left(2 d_a^3 d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_a^3 d_i d_r \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_a^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - \right. \\
& 4 d_a^3 d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a^2 d_i^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 8 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 6 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - \\
& 36 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 32 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 - 2 d_a^2 d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a^2 d_r^3 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \\
& \left. 4 d_a d_i^3 d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 36 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 24 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 96 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 8 d_a d_i d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 20 d_a d_i d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_a d_i d_r^3 \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \\
& 10 d_a d_r^4 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 2 d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 32 d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - \\
& 2 d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_1^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 10 d_i d_r^4 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - 16 d_i d_r^4 \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 \Big) I^{*2} + \\
& 8 d_r^2 \left(d_a^4 d_i \delta_2^3 + 6 d_a^3 d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 + d_a^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2^2 + 6 d_i^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 d_a^2 + 3 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 + d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^3 + \right. \\
& \left. d_a d_i^4 \delta_1^3 + d_a d_i^2 \delta_1^3 d_r^2 + 3 d_a d_i^2 \delta_1 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 + d_i^3 \delta_1^2 \delta_2 d_r^2 \right) T_{reg}^*{}^3 + \left(2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^4 \rho_3^4 I^{*8} - \rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 \left(d_a^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6 d_a d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 18 d_a d_i \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + 16 d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 40 d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_i^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 20 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 52 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + 4 d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 18 d_i d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 5 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*6} + \right. \\
& \left. 2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \left(2 d_a^3 d_i \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - d_a^3 d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 12 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 3 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 5 d_a^2 d_i d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2 d_a^2 d_i d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a d_i^3 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_a d_i^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_a d_i^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 8 d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 36 d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + 14 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + 3 d_a d_r^3 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_i^4 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 7 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 28 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + 3 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 22 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 3 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 36 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 + 9 d_i d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - 12 d_i d_r^3 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*4} + \left(-d_a^4 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - d_a^4 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - \right. \\
& \left. 2 d_a^3 d_i^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_a^3 d_i^2 d_r \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 6 d_a^3 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 10 d_a^3 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_a^3 d_r^3 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \\
& \left. d_a^2 d_i^4 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 20 d_a^2 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 7 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 6 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 30 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \\
& \left. 2 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 12 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 48 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 16 d_a^2 d_i d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 10 d_a^2 d_i d_r^3 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \\
& \left. 5 d_a^2 d_r^4 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_a d_i^4 d_r \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 10 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - \right. \\
& \left. 24 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 64 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - 10 d_a d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 16 d_a d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 12 d_a d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \\
& \left. 20 d_a d_i d_r^4 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - d_i^4 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_i^4 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 8 d_i^4 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \rho_3^2 + 2 d_i^3 d_r^3 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_i^3 d_r^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \right. \\
& \left. 5 d_i^2 d_r^4 \delta_1^2 \rho_3^2 - 24 d_i^2 d_r^4 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + 2 d_r^2 \left(6 d_a^4 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 + d_a^4 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 + 16 d_a^3 d_i^3 \delta_1 \delta_2 + 8 d_a^3 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 + \right. \\
& \left. 6 d_a^2 d_i^4 \delta_1^2 + 6 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 + 6 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 + 8 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \delta_2 + d_i^4 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 \right) T_{reg}^*{}^2 + \left(8 d_i \delta_2 \rho_1^4 \rho_3^4 I^{*8} - \right. \\
& \left. 4 d_i \rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 \left(d_a^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a d_i \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_a d_i \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 3 d_a d_r \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_i^2 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_i^2 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + d_i^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8 d_i^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_i^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + 2 d_i d_r \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 6 d_i d_r \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 3 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*6} + 2 d_i \rho_1 \rho_3 \left(4 d_a^3 d_i \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_a^3 d_r \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 5 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 3 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 8 d_a^2 d_i^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 5 d_a^2 d_i d_r \delta_1 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_a^2 d_i d_r \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8 d_a^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a d_i^3 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 3 d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 28 d_a d_i^2 d_r \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + 8 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 12 d_a d_i d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + 9 d_a d_r^3 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - 2 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 8 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1 \rho_3^2 + 8 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_3^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 28 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + 6 d_i d_r^3 \delta_1 \rho_3^2 - 12 d_i d_r^3 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*4} - 2 d_i \left(d_a^4 d_i^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + d_a^4 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \\
& \left. d_a^3 d_i^3 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_a^3 d_i^2 d_r \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 3 d_a^3 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a^3 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a^3 d_r^3 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a^2 d_i^3 d_r \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \right. \\
& \left. d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 6 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 16 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 7 d_a^2 d_i d_r^3 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 4 d_a^2 d_i d_r^3 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 5 d_a^2 d_r^4 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 + d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_a d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \rho_3^2 - \right. \\
& \left. 2 d_a d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 6 d_a d_i^2 d_r^3 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 5 d_a d_i d_r^4 \delta_1 \rho_3^2 + 8 d_i^2 d_r^4 \delta_2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + 4 d_a d_i d_r^2 \left(2 d_a^3 d_i^2 \delta_2 + \right. \\
& \left. d_a^3 d_r^2 \delta_2 + 2 d_a^2 d_i^3 \delta_1 + 2 d_a^2 d_i d_r^2 \delta_1 + 2 d_a d_i^2 d_r^2 \delta_2 + d_i^3 d_r^2 \delta_1 \right) T_{reg}^*{}^8 + 8 d_i^2 \rho_1^4 \rho_3^4 I^{*8} - d_i^2 \rho_1^2 \rho_3^2 \left(5 d_a^2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 8 d_a d_i \rho_1 \rho_3 + 12 d_a d_r \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_i^2 \rho_1^2 + 16 d_i^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 16 d_i^2 \rho_3^2 - 8 d_i d_r \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_r^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*6} + 2 d_i^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \left(d_a^3 d_i \rho_1^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 3 d_a^3 d_r \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a^2 d_i^2 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a^2 d_i^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_a^2 d_i d_r \rho_1^2 + 5 d_a^2 d_r^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a d_i^2 d_r \rho_1^2 + 8 d_a d_i^2 d_r \rho_3^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 4 d_a d_i d_r^2 \rho_3^2 + 6 d_a d_r^3 \rho_3^2 + 4 d_i^2 d_r^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_i^2 d_r^2 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_i d_r^3 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*4} - d_i^2 \left(d_a^4 d_i^2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a^4 d_r^2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 4 d_a^3 d_i^2 d_r \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a^3 d_i d_r^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 6 d_a^3 d_r^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 2 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \rho_1^2 + 4 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \rho_3^2 - \right. \\
& \left. 2 d_a^2 d_i d_r^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 5 d_a^2 d_r^4 \rho_3^2 + 4 d_a d_i^2 d_r^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_i^2 d_r^4 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + 2 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 \left(d_a^2 d_i^2 + d_a^2 d_r^2 + \right. \\
& \left. d_i^2 d_r^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_4 = & T_{reg}^*{}^8 \delta_1^4 \delta_2^4 + 4 \delta_1^3 \delta_2^3 \left(d_a \delta_2 + d_i \delta_1 \right) T_{reg}^*{}^7 + \left(-\delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \left(2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 + 2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 6 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 4 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + 2 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \left(3 d_a^2 \delta_2^2 + 8 d_a d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 + 3 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 + 2 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 + 2 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \right) T_{reg}^*{}^6 + \right. \\
& \left(-2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \left(3 d_a \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_a \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 8 d_a \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_a \delta_2^3 \rho_3^2 + d_i \delta_1^3 \rho_1^2 + 3 d_i \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8 d_i \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 + 2 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 8 d_i \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 - d_r \delta_1^2 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_r \delta_1 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_r \delta_2^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 \right) I^{*2} + \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\delta_1\delta_2\left(d_a^3\delta_2^3 + 6d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2 + 6d_ad_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2 + 4d_ad_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2 + 2d_ad_r^2\delta_2^3 + d_i^3\delta_1^3 + 2d_id_r^2\delta_1^3 + \right. \\
& \left. 4d_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\right)T_{reg}^*{}^5 + \left(2\rho_3\delta_2\rho_1\left(4\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 + 4\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 6\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 4\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 + 4\delta_2^3\rho_3^2\right)Y^4 + \left(-7d_a^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 2d_a^2\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1^2 - 14d_a^2\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_a^2\delta_2^4\rho_3^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6d_ad_i\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 12d_ad_i\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 42d_ad_i\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_ad_i\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1^2 - 8d_ad_i\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 32d_ad_i\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_3^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2d_ad_r\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 8d_ad_r\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - d_i^2\delta_1^4\rho_1^2 - 6d_i^2\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 14d_i^2\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 12d_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 24d_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 + 10d_id_r\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 12d_id_r\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - d_r^2\delta_1^4\rho_1^2 - 2d_r^2\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6d_r^2\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 3d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 2d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 10d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1^2 - 6d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_r^2\delta_2^4\rho_3^2\right)I^{*2} + d_a^4\delta_2^4 + 16d_a^3d_i\delta_1\delta_2^3 + 36d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2 + 24d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2 + 4d_a^2d_r^2\delta_2^4 + 16d_ad_i^3\delta_1^3\delta_2 + \right. \\
& \left. 32d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1^3\delta_2 + 32d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^3 + d_i^4\delta_1^4 + 4d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^4 + 24d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2 + d_r^4\delta_1^4 + 4d_r^4\delta_1^2\delta_2^2 + \right. \\
& \left. d_r^4\delta_2^4\right)T_{reg}^*{}^4 + \left(2\rho_3\rho_1\left(10d_a\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 2d_a\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 8d_a\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_a\delta_2^3\rho_3^2 + 3d_i\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 3d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 6d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 20d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 12d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 2d_i\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 + 20d_i\delta_2^3\rho_3^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_r\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 - 2d_r\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 5d_r\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 3d_r\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 4d_r\delta_2^3\rho_3^2\right)I^{*4} + \left(-4d_a^3\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 4d_a^3\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_a^2d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 6d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 36d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_a^2d_i\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 16d_a^2d_i\delta_2^3\rho_3^2 - 2d_a^2d_r\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4d_a^2d_r\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_ad_i^2\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 - 12d_ad_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 36d_ad_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_ad_i^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 24d_ad_i^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 48d_ad_i^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 8d_ad_id_r\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 20d_ad_id_r\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_ad_id_r\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_ad_r^2\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 - 4d_ad_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 16d_ad_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_ad_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 4d_ad_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 20d_ad_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 4d_ad_r^2\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_i^3\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4d_i^3\delta_1^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_i^3\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 12d_i^3\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 16d_i^3\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_3^2 - 2d_i^2d_r\delta_1^3\rho_1\rho_3 + 8d_i^2d_r\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 12d_i^2d_r\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_id_r^2\delta_1^3\rho_1^2 - 4d_id_r^2\delta_1^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_id_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 8d_id_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 20d_id_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_3^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6d_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 16d_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_id_r^2\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3 - 32d_id_r^2\delta_2^3\rho_3^2 - 2d_r^3\delta_1^3\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_r^3\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2d_r^3\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_r^3\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3\right)I^{*2} + 4d_a^4d_i\delta_2^3 + 24d_a^3d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2^2 + 16d_a^3d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2 + 24d_i^3\delta_1^2\delta_2d_a^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 48d_a^2d_id_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2 + 16d_a^2d_id_r^2\delta_2^3 + 4d_ad_i^4\delta_1^3 + 16d_ad_i^2\delta_1^3d_r^2 + 48d_ad_i^2\delta_1d_r^2\delta_2^2 + 4d_ad_r^4\delta_1^3 + \right. \\
& \left. 8d_ad_r^4\delta_1\delta_2^2 + 16d_i^3\delta_1^2\delta_2d_r^2 + 8d_id_r^4\delta_1^2\delta_2 + 4d_id_r^4\delta_2^3\right)T_{reg}^*{}^3 + \left(-\rho_1^2\rho_3^2\left(\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 + 2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + \delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 5\delta_2^2\rho_3^2\right)I^{*6} + 2\rho_3\rho_1\left(8d_a^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - d_a^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 4d_a^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 7d_ad_i\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 + 2d_ad_i\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 14d_ad_i\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_ad_i\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 12d_ad_i\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 + 3d_ad_r\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 4d_ad_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_ad_r\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 3d_ad_r\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 + 4d_i^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 + 3d_i^2\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 22d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 12d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 + 3d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 36d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - d_id_r\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 7d_id_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 9d_id_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2 - 2d_id_r\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 12d_id_r\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 + d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2 + 4d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 3d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2\right)I^{*4} + \left(- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_a^4\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 6d_a^3d_i\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 10d_a^3d_i\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_a^3d_r\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 7d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 6d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 30d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_a^2d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 12d_a^2d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 24d_a^2d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 16d_a^2d_id_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 10d_a^2d_id_r\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 6d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 2d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 14d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_a^2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - 2d_a^2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 10d_a^2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 - 4d_ad_i^3\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 10d_ad_i^3\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_ad_i^3\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 24d_ad_i^3\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 32d_ad_i^3\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 10d_ad_i^2d_r\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 16d_ad_i^2d_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 12d_ad_i^2d_r\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 10d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 16d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 40d_ad_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2 - 10d_ad_id_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 6d_ad_r^3\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_ad_r^3\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_ad_r^3\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - d_i^4\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 4d_i^4\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_i^4\delta_1^2\rho_3^2 + 2d_i^3d_r\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_i^3d_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 3d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2 - 6d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 10d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_3^2 - 6d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 14d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 12d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - 48d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2 + 2d_id_r^3\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3 + 10d_id_r^3\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3 - d_r^4\delta_1^2\rho_3^2 - 5d_r^4\delta_2^2\rho_3^2\right)I^{*2} + \right. \\
& \left. 6d_a^4d_i^2\delta_2^2 + 4d_a^4d_r^2\delta_2^2 + 16d_a^3d_i^3\delta_1\delta_2 + 32d_a^3d_id_r^2\delta_1\delta_2 + 6d_a^2d_i^4\delta_1^2 + 24d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2 + 24d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2^2 + \right. \\
& \left. 6d_a^2d_r^4\delta_1^2 + 4d_a^2d_r^4\delta_2^2 + 32d_ad_i^3d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2 + 16d_ad_id_r^4\delta_1\delta_2 + 4d_i^4d_r^2\delta_1^2 + 4d_i^2d_r^4\delta_1^2 + 6d_i^2d_r^4\delta_2^2\right)T_{reg}^*{}^2 + \\
& \left(-2\rho_1^2\rho_3^2\left(d_a\delta_1\rho_1^2 + 2d_a\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + d_i\delta_1\rho_1^2 + 2d_i\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_i\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 6d_i\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 6d_i\delta_2\rho_3^2 + d_r\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2d_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3\right)I^{*6} + 2\rho_3\rho_1\left(2d_a^3\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 5d_a^2d_i\delta_1\rho_1^2 + d_a^2d_i\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 8d_a^2d_i\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 3d_a^2d_r\delta_1\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2d_a^2d_r\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 6d_ad_i^2\delta_1\rho_1^2 + 8d_ad_i^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_ad_i^2\delta_2\rho_1^2 + 12d_ad_i^2\delta_2\rho_3^2 - 2d_ad_id_r\delta_1\rho_1^2 + d_ad_id_r\delta_2\rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 9d_ad_id_r\delta_2\rho_3^2 + 2d_ad_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 + 2d_ad_r^2\delta_2\rho_3^2 + 3d_i^3\delta_1\rho_1^2 + 8d_i^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 + 4d_i^3\delta_1\rho_3^2 + 3d_i^3\delta_2\rho_1^2 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_i^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 28d_i^3\delta_2\rho_3^2 - d_i^2d_r\delta_1\rho_1^2 + 6d_i^2d_r\delta_1\rho_3^2 - 4d_i^2d_r\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 12d_i^2d_r\delta_2\rho_3^2 + 2d_id_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. d_id_r^2\delta_1\rho_3^2 + 8d_id_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 + 9d_id_r^2\delta_2\rho_3^2 + d_r^3\delta_1\rho_3^2 - 2d_r^3\delta_2\rho_3^2\right)I^{*4} + \left(-2d_a^4d_i\delta_2\rho_1^2 - 6d_a^3d_i^2\delta_1\rho_1^2 - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_a^3d_i^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 8d_a^3d_id_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 4d_a^3d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1^2 - 4d_a^3d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3 - 2d_a^2d_i^3\delta_1\rho_1^2 - 8d_a^2d_i^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3 - \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4d_a^2d_i^3\delta_2\rho_1^2-12d_a^2d_i^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-16d_a^2d_i^3\delta_2\rho_3^2-14d_a^2d_i^2d_r\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+8d_a^2d_i^2d_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1^2- \\
& 8d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1^2-8d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-20d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_3^2-6d_a^2d_r^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+4d_a^2d_r^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3- \\
& 2d_a^2d_i^4\delta_1\rho_1^2-8d_a^2d_i^4\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-8d_a^2d_i^4\delta_1\rho_3^2+4d_a^2d_i^3d_r\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-12d_a^2d_i^3d_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-6d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1^2- \\
& 12d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-20d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_3^2-8d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3+4d_a^2d_i^2d_r^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-8d_a^2d_i^2d_r^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_r^4\delta_1\rho_3^2- \\
& 2d_i^3d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1^2-4d_i^3d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i^3d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1^2-12d_i^3d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-32d_i^3d_r^2\delta_2\rho_3^2-2d_i^2d_r^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+ \\
& 8d_i^2d_r^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-10d_i^2d_r^4\delta_2\rho_3^2)I^{*2}+4d_a^4d_i^3\delta_2+8d_a^4d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2+4d_a^3d_i^4\delta_1+16d_a^3d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1+4d_a^3d_r^4\delta_1+ \\
& 16d_a^2d_i^3d_r^2\delta_2+8d_a^2d_i^2d_r^4\delta_2+8d_a^2d_i^4d_r^2\delta_1+8d_a^2d_i^2d_r^4\delta_1+4d_i^3d_r^4\delta_2)T_{reg}^*+I^{*8}\rho_1^4\rho_3^4-\rho_1^2\rho_3^2(d_a^2\rho_1^2+ \\
& 2d_a^2d_i\rho_1\rho_3+2d_a^2d_r\rho_1\rho_3+5d_i^2\rho_1^2+12d_i^2\rho_1\rho_3+8d_i^2\rho_3^2-2d_i^2d_r\rho_1\rho_3+d_r^2\rho_3^2)I^{*6}+2\rho_3\rho_1(d_a^3d_i\rho_1^2+ \\
& d_a^3d_r\rho_1^2+3d_a^2d_i^2\rho_1^2+5d_a^2d_i^2\rho_1\rho_3-d_a^2d_i^2d_r\rho_1^2+d_a^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3+d_a^2d_i^3\rho_1^2+4d_a^2d_i^3\rho_3^2+3d_a^2d_i^2d_r\rho_1^2+ \\
& 6d_a^2d_i^2d_r\rho_3^2+d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\rho_3^2+d_a^2d_r^3\rho_3^2+2d_i^4\rho_1^2+4d_i^4\rho_1\rho_3+8d_i^4\rho_3^2-d_i^3d_r\rho_1^2-4d_i^3d_r\rho_3^2+ \\
& 5d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3+6d_i^2d_r^2\rho_3^2-d_i^2d_r^3\rho_3^2)I^{*4}+\left(-2d_a^4d_i^2\rho_1^2-d_a^4d_r^2\rho_1^2-2d_a^3d_i^3\rho_1\rho_3-6d_a^3d_i^2d_r\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \\
& \left. 2d_a^3d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^3d_r^3\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_i^4\rho_1^2-4d_a^2d_i^4\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_i^4\rho_3^2+2d_a^2d_i^3d_r\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1^2- \right. \\
& \left. 6d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3-10d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\rho_3^2+2d_a^2d_i^2d_r^3\rho_1\rho_3-d_a^2d_r^4\rho_3^2-4d_a^2d_i^4d_r\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_i^3d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \\
& \left. 6d_a^2d_i^2d_r^3\rho_1\rho_3-d_i^4d_r^2\rho_1^2-4d_i^4d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3-8d_i^4d_r^2\rho_3^2+2d_i^3d_r^3\rho_1\rho_3-5d_i^2d_r^4\rho_3^2)I^{*2}+d_a^4d_i^4+ \right. \\
& \left. 4d_a^4d_i^2d_r^2+d_a^4d_r^4+4d_a^2d_i^4d_r^2+4d_a^2d_i^2d_r^4+d_i^4d_r^4. \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_6 &= 2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2(\delta_1^2+\delta_2^2)T_{reg}^{*6}+4\delta_1\delta_2(2d_a\delta_1^2\delta_2+d_a\delta_2^3+d_i\delta_1^3+2d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2)T_{reg}^{*5}+\left(\left(-\delta_1^4\rho_1^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1^2-6\delta_1^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-3\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2-2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-5\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2-2\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1^2-6\delta_1\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4\delta_2^4\rho_3^2\right)I^{*2}+12d_a^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2+2d_a^2\delta_2^4+16d_a^2d_i\delta_1^3\delta_2+16d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2^3+2d_i^2\delta_1^4+12d_i^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2+2d_r^2\delta_1^4+ \right. \\
& \left. 8d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2^2+2d_r^2\delta_2^4\right)T_{reg}^{*4}+\left(\left(-4d_a\delta_1^3\rho_1^2-4d_a\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2-16d_a\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4d_a\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-10d_a\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_3^2-4d_a\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i\delta_1^3\rho_1^2-4d_i\delta_1^3\rho_1\rho_3-4d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1^2-8d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 10d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_3^2-6d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1^2-16d_i\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-4d_i\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3-16d_i\delta_2^3\rho_3^2-2d_r\delta_1^3\rho_1\rho_3+4d_r\delta_1^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3+ \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2d_r\delta_1\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3+4d_r\delta_2^3\rho_1\rho_3\right)I^{*2}+8d_a^3\delta_1\delta_2^2+24d_a^2d_i\delta_1^2\delta_2+8d_a^2d_i\delta_2^3+8d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1^3+24d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2^2+ \right. \\
& \left. 8d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1^3+16d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2^2+8d_i^3\delta_1^2\delta_2+16d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2\delta_2+8d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2^3\right)T_{reg}^{*3}+\left(2\rho_1\rho_3(\delta_1^2\rho_1^2+\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3+ \right. \\
& \left. 4\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2+2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3+2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2-\delta_2^2\rho_1^2+4\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3+3\delta_2^2\rho_3^2)I^{*4}+\left(-6d_a^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2-2d_a^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 14d_a^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2-2d_a^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-5d_a^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2-4d_a^2d_i\delta_1^2\rho_1^2-10d_a^2d_i\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3-6d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 16d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-20d_a^2d_i\delta_1\delta_2\rho_3^2-10d_a^2d_i\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-6d_a^2d_r\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3+8d_a^2d_r\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_r\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 3d_i^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2-6d_i^2\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3-5d_i^2\delta_1^2\rho_3^2-6d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2-14d_i^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2-12d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 24d_i^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2+2d_i^2d_r\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3+10d_i^2d_r\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1^2-2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_r^2\delta_1^2\rho_3^2-2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 6d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1^2-2d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_1\rho_3-10d_r^2\delta_2^2\rho_3^2\right)I^{*2}+2d_a^4\delta_2^2+16d_a^3d_i\delta_1\delta_2+12d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1^2+ \right. \\
& \left. 12d_a^2d_i^2\delta_2^2+12d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1^2+8d_a^2d_r^2\delta_2^2+16d_a^2d_i^3\delta_1\delta_2+32d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\delta_2+2d_i^4\delta_1^2+8d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1^2+ \right. \\
& \left. 12d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2^2+2d_r^4\delta_1^2+2d_r^4\delta_2^2\right)T_{reg}^{*2}+\left(2\rho_1\rho_3(2d_a\delta_1\rho_1^2+2d_a\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+2d_a\delta_2\rho_1^2+2d_a\delta_2\rho_3^2+ \right. \\
& \left. 3d_i\delta_1\rho_1^2+2d_i\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+d_i\delta_1\rho_3^2+d_i\delta_2\rho_1^2+8d_i\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3+9d_i\delta_2\rho_3^2+d_r\delta_1\rho_1^2+d_r\delta_1\rho_3^2-2d_r\delta_2\rho_1^2- \right. \\
& \left. 2d_r\delta_2\rho_3^2)I^{*4}+\left(-4d_a^3\delta_1\rho_1^2-4d_a^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_i\delta_1\rho_1^2-8d_a^2d_i\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_i\delta_2\rho_1^2-8d_a^2d_i\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 10d_a^2d_i\delta_2\rho_3^2-6d_a^2d_r\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+4d_a^2d_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-6d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1\rho_1^2-12d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-10d_a^2d_i^2\delta_1\rho_3^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_a^2d_i^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3+4d_a^2d_i^2d_r\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-8d_a^2d_i^2d_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1^2-4d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_3^2- \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4d_a^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i^3\delta_1\rho_1^2-4d_i^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i^3\delta_2\rho_1^2-12d_i^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-16d_i^3\delta_2\rho_3^2-2d_i^2d_r\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+ \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 8d_i^2d_r\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1^2-4d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1^2-8d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3-20d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2\rho_3^2-2d_r^3\delta_1\rho_1\rho_3+ \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 4d_r^3\delta_2\rho_1\rho_3\right)Y^2+4d_a^4d_i\delta_2+8d_a^3d_i^2\delta_1+8d_a^3d_r^2\delta_1+8d_a^2d_i^3\delta_2+16d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_2+4d_a^2d_i^4\delta_1+ \right. \\
& \left. 16d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\delta_1+4d_a^2d_r^4\delta_1+8d_i^3d_r^2\delta_2+4d_i^2d_r^4\delta_2\right)T_{reg}^*-\rho_1^2\rho_3^2(\rho_1+\rho_3)^2I^{*6}+2\rho_1\rho_3(d_a^2\rho_1^2+ \\
& d_a^2\rho_1\rho_3+d_a^2d_i\rho_1^2+d_a^2d_i\rho_3^2+d_a^2d_r\rho_1^2+d_a^2d_r\rho_3^2+3d_i^2\rho_1^2+5d_i^2\rho_1\rho_3+6d_i^2\rho_3^2-d_i^2d_r\rho_1^2- \\
& d_i^2d_r\rho_3^2+d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3+d_r^2\rho_3^2)Y^4+\left(-d_a^4\rho_1^2-2d_a^3d_i\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^3d_r\rho_1\rho_3-4d_a^2d_i^2\rho_1^2-6d_a^2d_i^2\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \\
& \left. 5d_a^2d_i^2\rho_3^2+2d_a^2d_i^2d_r\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_r^2\rho_1^2-2d_a^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_r^2\rho_3^2-2d_a^2d_i^3\rho_1\rho_3-6d_a^2d_i^2d_r\rho_1\rho_3- \right. \\
& \left. 2d_a^2d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3-2d_a^2d_r^3\rho_1\rho_3-d_i^4\rho_1^2-4d_i^4\rho_1\rho_3-4d_i^4\rho_3^2+2d_i^3d_r\rho_1\rho_3-2d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1^2-6d_i^2d_r^2\rho_1\rho_3- \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$10 d_i^2 d_r^2 \rho_3^2 + 2 d_i d_r^3 \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_r^4 \rho_3^2) I^{*2} + 2 d_a^4 d_i^2 + 2 d_a^4 d_r^2 + 2 d_a^2 d_i^4 + 8 d_a^2 d_i^2 d_r^2 + 2 d_a^2 d_r^4 + 2 d_i^4 d_r^2 + 2 d_i^2 d_r^4.$$

$$b_8 = \left(\delta_1^4 + 4 \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 + \delta_2^4 \right) T_{reg}^{*4} + \left(4 d_a \delta_1^3 + 8 d_a \delta_1 \delta_2^2 + 8 d_i \delta_1^2 \delta_2 + 4 d_i \delta_2^3 \right) T_{reg}^{*3} + \left(\left(-2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 \delta_1^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \delta_1^2 \rho_3^2 - 2 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 6 \delta_1 \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - \delta_2^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 \delta_2^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 5 \delta_2^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + 6 d_a^2 \delta_1^2 + 4 d_a^2 \delta_2^2 + 16 d_a d_i \delta_1 \delta_2 + 4 d_i^2 \delta_1^2 + 6 d_i^2 \delta_2^2 + 4 d_r^2 \delta_1^2 + 4 d_r^2 \delta_2^2 \right) T_{reg}^{*2} + \left(\left(-4 d_a \delta_1 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_a \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_a \delta_1 \rho_3^2 - 4 d_a \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_i \delta_1 \rho_1^2 - 4 d_i \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_i \delta_2 \rho_1^2 - 8 d_i \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 10 d_i \delta_2 \rho_3^2 - 2 d_r \delta_1 \rho_1 \rho_3 + 4 d_r \delta_2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \right) I^{*2} + 4 d_a^3 \delta_1 + 8 d_a^2 d_i \delta_2 + 8 d_a d_i^2 \delta_1 + 8 d_a d_r^2 \delta_1 + 4 d_i^3 \delta_2 + 8 d_i d_r^2 \delta_2 \right) T_{reg}^{*} + 2 \rho_1 \rho_3 \left(\rho_1^2 + \rho_3 \rho_1 + \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*4} + \left(-2 d_a^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_a^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_a^2 \rho_3^2 - 2 d_a d_i \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_a d_r \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_i^2 \rho_1^2 - 6 d_i^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 5 d_i^2 \rho_3^2 + 2 d_i d_r \rho_1 \rho_3 - d_r^2 \rho_1^2 - 2 d_r^2 \rho_1 \rho_3 - 2 d_r^2 \rho_3^2 \right) I^{*2} + d_a^4 + 4 d_a^2 d_i^2 + 4 d_a^2 d_r^2 + d_i^4 + 4 d_i^2 d_r^2 + d_r^4.$$

$$b_{10} = \left(2 \delta_1^2 + 2 \delta_2^2 \right) T_{reg}^{*2} + \left(4 d_a \delta_1 + 4 d_i \delta_2 \right) T_{reg}^{*} - \left(\rho_1 + \rho_3 \right)^2 I^{*2} + 2 d_a^2 + 2 d_i^2 + 2 d_r^2.$$

References

- [1] Mason, D., A very high level of crossreactivity is an essential feature of the T-cell receptor, *Immunol. Today* **1998**, *19*, 395–404.
- [2] Anderson, A.C.; Waldner, H.; Turchin, V.; Jabs, C.; Prabhu Das, M.; Kuchroo, V.K.; Nicholson, L.B., Autoantigen responsive T cell clones demonstrate unfocused TCR cross-reactivity towards multiple related ligands: Implications for autoimmunity, *Cell. Immunol.* **2000**, *202*, 88–96.
- [3] Kerr, E.C.; Copland, D.A.; Dick, A.D.; Nicholson, L.B., The dynamics of leukocyte infiltration in experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis, *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* **2008**, *27*, 527–535.
- [4] Prat, E.; Martin, R., The immunopathogenesis of multiple sclerosis, *J. Rehabil. Res. Dev.* **2002**, *39*, 187–200.
- [5] Santamaria, P., The long and winding road to understanding and conquering type 1 diabetes, *Immunity* **2010**, *32*, 437–445.
- [6] Root-Bernstein, R.; Fairweather, D., Unresolved issues in theories of autoimmune disease using myocarditis as a framework, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2015**, *375*, 101–123.
- [7] Caforio, A.L.P.; Iliceto, S., Genetically determined myocarditis: Clinical presentation and immunological characteristics, *Curr. Opin. Cardiol.* **2008**, *23*, 219–226.
- [8] Li, H.S.; Ligons, D.L.; Rose, N.R., Genetic complexity of autoimmune myocarditis, *Autoimmun. Rev.* **2008**, *7*, 168–173.
- [9] Guilherme, L.; Köhler, K.F.; Postol, E.; Kalil, J., Genes, autoimmunity and pathogenesis of rheumatic heart disease, *Ann. Pediatr. Cardiol.* **2011**, *4*, 13–21.
- [10] Germolic, D.; Kono, D.H.; Pfau, J.C.; Pollard, K.M., Animal models used to examine the role of environment in the development of autoimmune disease: Findings from an NIEHS Expert Panel Workshop, *J. Autoimmun.* **2012**, *39*, 285–293.
- [11] Mallampalli, M.P.; Davies, E.; Wood, D.; Robertson, H.; Polato, F.; Carter, C.L., Role of environment and sex differences in the development of autoimmune disease: A roundtable meeting report, *J. Women's Health* **2013**, *22*, 578–586.
- [12] Manfredo Vieira, S.; Hiltensperger, M.; Kumar, V.; Zegarra-Ruiz, D.; Dehne, C.; Khan, N.; Costa, F.R.C.; Tiniakou, E.; Greiling, T.; et al., Translocation of a gut pathobiont drives autoimmunity in mice and humans, *Science* **2018**, *359*, 1156–1161.
- [13] Fujinami, R.S., Can virus infections trigger autoimmune disease? *J. Autoimmun.* **2001**, *16*, 229–234.

- [14] Von Herrath, M.G.; Oldstone, M.B.A., Virus-induced autoimmune disease, *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **1996**, *8*, 878–885.
- [15] Ercolini, A.M.; Miller, S.D., The role of infections in autoimmune disease, *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **2009**, *155*, 1–15.
- [16] Segel, L.A.; Jäger, E.; Elias, D.; Cohen, I.R., A quantitative model of autoimmune disease and T-cell vaccination: Does more mean less? *Immunol. Today* **1995**, *16*, 80–84.
- [17] Borghans, J.A.M.; De Boer, R.J., A minimal model for T-cell vaccination, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **1995**, *259*, 173–178.
- [18] Borghans, J.A.M.; De Boer, R.J.; Sercarz, E.; Kumar, V., T cell vaccination in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: A mathematical model, *J. Immunol.* **1998**, *161*, 1087–1093.
- [19] León, K.; Perez, R.; Lage, A.; Carneiro, J., Modelling T-cell-mediated suppression dependent on interactions in multicellular conjugates, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2000**, *207*, 231–254.
- [20] León, K.; Lage, A.; Carneiro, J., Tolerance and immunity in a mathematical model of T-cell mediated suppression, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2003**, *225*, 107–126.
- [21] León, K.; Faro, J.; Lage, A.; Carneiro, J., Inverse correlation between the incidences of autoimmune disease and infection predicted by a model of T cell mediated tolerance, *J. Autoimmun.* **2004**, *22*, 31–42.
- [22] Carneiro, J.; Paixão, T.; Milutinovic, D.; Sousa, J.; Leon, K.; Gardner, R.; Faro, J., Immunological self-tolerance: Lessons from mathematical modeling, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **2005**, *184*, 77–100.
- [23] Alexander, H.K.; Wahl, L.M., Self-tolerance and autoimmunity in a regulatory T cell model, *Bull. Math. Biol.* **2011**, *73*, 33–71.
- [24] Iwami, S.; Takeuchi, Y.; Miura, Y.; Sasaki, T.; Kajiwara, T., Dynamical properties of autoimmune disease models: Tolerance, flare-up, dormancy, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2007**, *246*, 646–659.
- [25] Iwami, S.; Takeuchi, Y.; Iwamoto, K.; Naruo, Y.; Yasukawa, M., A mathematical design of vector vaccine against autoimmune disease, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2009**, *256*, 382–392.
- [26] Burroughs, N.J.; Ferreira, M.; Oliveira, B.M.P.M.; Pinto, A.A., Autoimmunity arising from bystander proliferation of T cells in an immune response model, *Math. Comput. Model.* **2011**, *53*, 1389–1393.
- [27] Burroughs, N.J.; Ferreira, M.; Oliveira, B.M.P.M.; Pinto, A.A., A transcritical bifurcation in an immune response model, *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2011**, *17*, 1101–1106.
- [28] Burroughs, N.J.; de Oliveira, B.M.P.M.; Pinto, A.A., Regulatory T cell adjustment of quorum growth thresholds and the control of local immune responses, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2006**, *241*, 134–141.
- [29] Sakaguchi, S., Naturally arising CD4⁺ regulatory T cells for immunologic self-tolerance and negative control of immune responses, *Ann. Rev. Immunol.* **2004**, *22*, 531–562.
- [30] Josefowicz, S.Z.; Lu, L.F.; Rudensky, A.Y., Regulatory T cells: Mechanisms of differentiation and function, *Ann. Rev. Immunol.* **2012**, *30*, 531–564.
- [31] Fontenot, J.D.; Gavin, M.A.; Rudensky, A.Y., Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells, *Nat. Immunol.* **2003**, *4*, 330–336.
- [32] Khattri, R.; Cox, T.; Yasayko, S.A.; Ramsdell, F., An essential role for Scurfin in CD4⁺CD25⁺ T regulatory cells, *Nat. Immunol.* **2003**, *4*, 337–342.
- [33] Grossman, Z.; Paul, W.E., Adaptive cellular interactions in the immune system: The tunable activation threshold and the significance of subthreshold responses, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1992**, *89*, 10365–10369.
- [34] Grossman, Z.; Paul, W.E., Self-tolerance: Context dependent tuning of T cell antigen recognition, *Semin. Immunol.* **2000**, *12*, 197–203.

- [35] Grossman, Z.; Singer, A., Tuning of activation thresholds explains flexibility in the selection and development of T cells in the thymus, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, *93*, 14747–14752.
- [36] Altan-Bonnet, G.; Germain, R.N., Modeling T cell antigen discrimination based on feedback control of digital ERK responses, *PLoS Biol.* **2005**, *3*, e356.
- [37] Bitmansour, A.D.; Douek, D.C.; Maino, V.C.; Picker, L.J., Direct ex vivo analysis of human CD4⁺ memory T cell activation requirements at the single clonotype level, *J. Immunol.* **2002**, *169*, 1207–1218.
- [38] Nicholson, L.B.; Anderson, A.C.; Kuchroo, V.K., Tuning T cell activation threshold and effector function with cross-reactive peptide ligands, *Int. Immunol.* **2000**, *12*, 205–213.
- [39] Römer, P.S.; Berr, S.; Avota, E.; Na, S.Y.; Battaglia, M.; ten Berge, I.; Einsele, H.; Hünig, T., Preculture of PBMC at high cell density increases sensitivity of T-cell responses, revealing cytokine release by CD28 superagonist TGN1412, *Blood* **2011**, *118*, 6772–6782.
- [40] Stefanová, I.; Dorfman, J.R.; Germain, R.N., Self-recognition promotes the foreign antigen sensitivity of naive T lymphocytes, *Nature* **2002**, *420*, 429–434.
- [41] van den Berg, H.A.; Rand, D.A., Dynamics of T cell activation threshold tuning, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2004**, *228*, 397–416.
- [42] Scherer, A.; Noest, A.; de Boer, R.J., Activation-threshold tuning in an affinity model for the T-cell repertoire, *Proc. R. Soc. B* **2004**, *271*, 609–616.
- [43] Blyuss, K.B.; Nicholson, L.B., The role of tunable activation thresholds in the dynamics of autoimmunity, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2012**, *308*, 45–55.
- [44] Blyuss, K.B.; Nicholson, L.B., Understanding the roles of activation threshold and infections in the dynamics of autoimmune disease, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2015**, *375*, 13–20.
- [45] Ben Ezra, D.; Forrester, J.V., Fundal white dots: The spectrum of a similar pathological process, *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **1995**, *79*, 856–860.
- [46] Davies, T.F.; Evered, D.C.; Rees Smith, B.; Yeo, P.P.B.; Clark, F.; Hall, R., Value of thyroid-stimulating-antibody determinations in predicting the short-term thyrotoxic relapse in Graves’ disease, *Lancet* **1977**, *309*, 1181–1182.
- [47] Nylander, A.; Hafler, D.A., Multiple sclerosis, *J. Clin. Investig.* **2012**, *122*, 1180–1188.
- [48] Fatehi, F.; Kyrychko, Y.N.; Blyuss, K.B., Interactions between cytokines and T cells in the dynamics of autoimmunity, 2018, submitted.
- [49] Fatehi, F.; Kyrychko, S.N.; Ross, A.; Kyrychko, Y.N.; Blyuss, K.B., Stochastic effects in autoimmune dynamics, *Front. Physiol.* **2018**, *9*, 45.
- [50] Wu, H.J.; Ivanov, I.I.; Darce, J.; Hattori, K.; Shima, T.; Umesaki, Y.; Littman, D.R.; Benoist, C.; Mathis, D., Gut-residing segmented filamentous bacteria drive autoimmune arthritis via T helper 17 cells, *Immunity* **2010**, *32*, 815–827.
- [51] Wolf, S.D.; Dittel, B.N.; Hardardottir, F.; Janeway, C.A., Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis induction in genetically B cell-deficient mice, *J. Exp. Med.* **1996**, *184*, 2271–2278.
- [52] Baltcheva, I.; Codarri, L.; Pantaleo, G.; Le Boudec, J.Y., Lifelong dynamics of human CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells: Insights from in vivo data and mathematical modeling, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2010**, *266*, 307–322.
- [53] Shevach, E.M.; McHugh, R.S.; Piccirillo, C.A.; Thornton, A.M., Control of T-cell activation by CD4⁺ CD25⁺ suppressor T cells, *Immunol. Rev.* **2001**, *182*, 58–67.
- [54] Abbas, A.K.; Lichtman, A.H.; Pillai, S., *Cellular and Molecular Immunology*; Elsevier: Philadelphia, USA, 2015.
- [55] Thornton, A.M.; Shevach, E.M., CD4⁺CD25⁺ immunoregulatory T cells suppress polyclonal T cell activation in vitro by inhibiting interleukin 2 production, *J. Exp. Med.* **1998**, *188*, 287–296.

- [56] Baccam, P.; Beauchemin, C.; Macken, C.A.; Hayden, F.G.; Perelson, A.S., Kinetics of influenza A virus infection in humans, *J. Virol.* **2006**, *80*, 7590–7599.
- [57] Pawelek, K.A.; Huynh, G.T.; Quinlivan, M.; Cullinane, A.; Rong, L.; Perelson, A.S., Modeling within-host dynamics of influenza virus infection including immune responses, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2012**, *8*, e1002588.
- [58] Kim, P.S.; Lee, P.P.; Levy, D., Modeling regulation mechanisms in the immune system, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2007**, *246*, 33–69.
- [59] Li, J.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Z., Two effective stability criteria for linear time-delay systems with complex coefficients, *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.* **2011**, *24*, 835.
- [60] Rahman, B.; Blyuss, K.B.; Kyrychko, Y.N., Dynamics of neural systems with discrete and distributed time delays, *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.* **2015**, *14*, 2069–2095.
- [61] Breda, D.; Maset, S.; Vermiglio, R., Numerical computation of characteristic multipliers for linear time periodic coefficients delay differential equations, *IFAC Proc. Vol.* **2006**, *39*, 163–168.
- [62] Kuznetsov, Y.A., *Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory*; Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- [63] Cavoretto, R.; Rossi, A.D.; Perracchione, E.; Venturino, E., Reliable approximation of separatrix manifolds in competition models with safety niches, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **2015**, *92*, 1826–1837.
- [64] Cavoretto, R.; De Rossi, A.; Perracchione, E.; Venturino, E., Robust approximation algorithms for the detection of attraction basins in dynamical systems, *J. Sci. Comput.* **2016**, *68*, 395–415.
- [65] De Rossi, A.; Perracchione, E.; Venturino, E., Fast strategy for PU interpolation: An application for the reconstruction of separatrix manifolds, *Dolomites Res. Notes Approx.* **2016**, *9*, 3–12.
- [66] Francomano, E.; Hilker, F.M.; Paliaga, M.; Venturino, E., On basins of attraction for a predator-prey model via meshless approximation, In *AIP Conference Proceedings*; AIP Publishing: Melville, NY, USA, 2016; Volume 1776, p. 070007.
- [67] Cavoretto, R.; De Rossi, A.; Perracchione, E.; Venturino, E., Graphical representation of separatrices of attraction basins in two and three-dimensional dynamical systems, *Int. J. Comput. Methods* **2017**, *14*, 1750008.
- [68] Francomano, E.; Hilker, F.M.; Paliaga, M.; Venturino, E., Separatrix reconstruction to identify tipping points in an eco-epidemiological model, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2018**, *318*, 80–91.
- [69] Skapenko, A.; Leipe, J.; Lipsky, P.E.; Schulze-Koops, H., The role of the T cell in autoimmune inflammation, *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **2005**, *7* (Suppl. 2), S4–S14.
- [70] Antia, R.; Ganusov, V.V.; Ahmed, R., The role of models in understanding CD8⁺ T-cell memory, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2005**, *5*, 101–111.
- [71] Schluns, K.S.; Kieper, W.C.; Jameson, S.C.; Lefrancois, L., Interleukin-7 mediates the homeostasis of naïve and memory CD8 T cells in vivo, *Nat. Immunol.* **2000**, *1*, 426–432.
- [72] Fatehi Chenar, F.; Kyrychko, Y.N.; Blyuss, K.B., Mathematical model of immune response to hepatitis B, *J. Theor. Biol.* **2018**, *447*, 98–110.
- [73] Perelson, A.S.; Weisbuch, G., Immunology for physicists, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1997**, *69*, 1219–1267.