

ON THE BOUNDARY COMPONENTS OF CENTRAL STREAMS IN THE TWO SLOPES CASE

NOBUHIRO HIGUCHI

Abstract

In 2004 Oort studied the foliation on the space of p -divisible groups. In his theory, special leaves called central streams play an important role. It is still meaningful to investigate central streams, for example, there remain a lot of unknown things on the boundaries of central streams. In this paper, we classify the boundary components of the central stream for a Newton polygon consisting of two segments, where one slope is less than $1/2$ and the other slope is greater than $1/2$. Moreover we determine the generic Newton polygon of each boundary component using this classification.

1 Introduction

In [11, p. 1023], Oort introduced the notion of minimal p -divisible groups, where p -divisible groups are often called Barsotti-Tate groups. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Oort showed in [11, 1.2] that they have the following special property: Let X be a minimal p -divisible group over k . Let Y be a p -divisible group over k . If $X[p] \simeq Y[p]$, then $X \simeq Y$, where $X[p]$ is the kernel of p -multiplication $p : X \rightarrow X$. For a Newton polygon ξ , we have a minimal p -divisible group $H(\xi)$. See Section 2.1 (2) for the definition of $H(\xi)$. A minimal p -divisible group is a p -divisible group which is isomorphic to $H(\xi)$ for some ξ over an algebraically closed field.

Let X_0 be a p -divisible group over k . Let $\text{Def}(X_0) = \text{Spf}(\Gamma)$ be the deformation space of X_0 . Here, the deformation space is the formal scheme pro-representing the functor $\text{Art}_k \rightarrow \text{Set}$ sending R to the set of isomorphism classes of p -divisible groups X over R satisfying $X_k \cong X_0$, where Art_k is the category of local Artinian rings with residue field k . It was proved by de Jong in [4, 2.4.4] that the category of p -divisible groups over $\text{Spf}(\Gamma)$ is equivalent to the category of p -divisible groups over $\Delta := \text{Spec}(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \text{Spf}(\Gamma)$ be the universal p -divisible groups, and let \mathfrak{X} be the p -divisible groups over Δ obtained from \mathfrak{X}' by the equivalence above.

In [10, 2.1], for a p -divisible group Y over k and a k -scheme S , Oort introduced a locally closed subset $\mathcal{C}_Y(S)$ for a p -divisible group \mathcal{Y} over S characterized by $s \in \mathcal{C}_Y(S)$ if and only if \mathcal{Y}_s is isomorphic to Y over an algebraically closed field containing $k(s)$ and k . He called $\mathcal{C}_Y(S)$ the leaf associated with Y in S ; see (3) in Section 2.1 for the details. We are interested in the case that

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary:14L15 Group schemes; Secondary:14L05 formal groups, p -divisible group; 14K10 algebraic moduli, classification.

Key words and phrases. p -divisible group; deformation space; Newton polygons.

$(\mathcal{Y}, S) = (\mathfrak{X}, \Delta)$. In particular, if Y is minimal, he called the leaf the *central stream*. The notion of central streams is a “central” tool in the theory of foliations.

Let X and Y be p -divisible groups over k . We say that X appears as a *specialization* of Y if there exists a family of p -divisible group $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ with discrete valuation ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) in characteristic p such that \mathfrak{X}_L is isomorphic to Y over an algebraically closed field containing L and k , and \mathfrak{X}_κ is isomorphic to X over an algebraically closed field containing κ and k , where $L = \text{frac } R$ is the field of fractions of R , and $\kappa = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is the residue field of R . We say that a specialization X of Y is *generic* if $\ell(X[p]) = \ell(Y[p]) - 1$ holds, where $\ell(X[p])$ is the length of the element of the Weyl group corresponding to $X[p]$; see the paragraph below Corollary 1.2 for this correspondence between elements of the Weyl group and p -kernels of p -divisible groups.

Let ξ and ζ be Newton polygons. See the paragraph containing (2) for the definition of Newton polygons. We say $\zeta \prec \xi$ if each point of ζ is above or on ξ . Moreover, we say that $\zeta \prec \xi$ is *saturated* if there exists no Newton polygon η such that $\zeta \not\preceq \eta \not\preceq \xi$.

In this paper, we will give two main results. The first result (Theorem 4.1) classifies the boundary components of certain central streams. See Section 4 for the statement as we need some notation given in Section 3 to state the first result. From this result, we expect that Conjecture 4.13 stated in Section 4 is true. This conjecture says that it suffices to deal with central streams associated to Newton polygons consisting of two segments in order to classify boundary components of arbitrary central streams. The second result is

Theorem 1.1. Let ξ be a Newton polygon consisting of two segments with slopes λ and λ' satisfying $\lambda < 1/2 < \lambda'$. Let X be an arbitrary generic specialization of $H(\xi)$. Then there exists a Newton polygon ζ satisfying that

- (i) $\zeta \prec \xi$ is saturated, and
- (ii) $H(\zeta)$ appears as a specialization of X .

With the same notation as Theorem 1.1, by Grothendieck-Katz [5, 2.3.1], we have

$$\zeta \prec \text{NP}(X) \not\preceq \xi,$$

where $\text{NP}(X)$ is the Newton polygon of X . Here we note that $\text{NP}(X) \neq \xi$ will be proved in Lemma 2.2 below. Then the saturatedness of $\zeta \prec \xi$ implies $\text{NP}(X) = \zeta$ and therefore

Corollary 1.2. Let ξ and X be as in Theorem 1.1. Then $\text{NP}(X) \prec \xi$ is saturated.

For a finite flat commutative group scheme G over some base scheme, we say that G is a *truncated Barsotti-Tate group of level one* (abbreviated as BT_1) if Frobenius \mathcal{F} and Verschiebung \mathcal{V} on G satisfy that $\text{Ker } \mathcal{F} = \text{Im } \mathcal{V}$ and $\text{Ker } \mathcal{V} = \text{Im } \mathcal{F}$. The dimension of $\text{BT}_1 G$ is defined by $\log_p \text{rk}(\text{Ker } \mathcal{F})$. Let W be the Weyl group of the general linear group GL_h . By Kraft [6], Oort [9] and Moonen-Wedhorn [8], for each algebraically closed field k , there exists a canonical one-to-one correspondence

$$\{\text{BT}_1 \text{'s over } k \text{ of height } h \text{ and dimension } d\} \leftrightarrow {}^J W$$

for a subset ${}^J W$ of W depending on h and d ; see the paragraph below Example 2.6 for the definition of ${}^J W$ and this correspondence. Let w and w' be elements of ${}^J W$. We say $w' \subset w$ if there exists a discrete valuation ring R of characteristic p such that there exists a finite flat commutative group scheme $G \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ satisfying that $G_{\overline{\kappa}}$ is a BT_1 of type w' , and $G_{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}$ is a BT_1 of type w , where L

is the fractional field of R , and κ is the residue field R/\mathfrak{m} with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . We say that w' is a *generic specialization* of w if $w' \subset w$ and $\ell(w') = \ell(w) - 1$ holds.

The reason to use the word “generic” comes from the following fact. For a p -divisible group X_0 of type w , i.e., for a p -divisible group such that its p -kernel corresponds to $w \in {}^JW$, let $\mathcal{S}_w(\Delta)$ be the reduced subscheme of $\Delta = \text{Spec}(\Gamma)$ consisting of s satisfying that $\mathfrak{X}_s[p]$ is of type w . Then it is known that $\dim \mathcal{S}_w(\Delta) = \ell(w)$ and $\mathcal{S}_w(\Delta)$ is non-empty; see [13, 6.10] and [7, 3.1.6]. This justifies the terminology “generic” for elements w of JW .

For a Newton polygon ξ , let w_ξ be the element of JW corresponding to $H(\xi)[p]$. Using notation of the Weyl group, by [2, 4.1], Theorem 1.1 is paraphrased as

Theorem 1.3. Let ξ be a Newton polygon consisting of two segments, where one slope is less than $1/2$ and the other is larger than $1/2$. For an arbitrary generic specialization $w \in {}^JW$ of w_ξ , there exists a Newton polygon ζ such that

- (i) $\zeta \prec \xi$ is saturated, and
- (ii) $w_\zeta \subset w$.

The results of this paper lead us to expect that Theorem 1.3 can be generalized to the case that ξ is an arbitrary Newton polygon:

Conjecture 1.4. For an arbitrary Newton polygon ξ , let $w \in {}^JW$ be a generic specialization of w_ξ . Then there exists a Newton polygon ζ such that

- (i) $\zeta \prec \xi$ is saturated, and
- (ii) $w_\zeta \subset w$.

If Conjecture 1.4 stated in Section 4 holds, then for Newton polygons ξ , the two segments case is essential to show Conjecture 1.4.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall definitions of p -divisible groups, truncated Dieudonné modules of level one and related matters. Moreover, we introduce a notion of “arrowed binary sequences”. The proofs of our main results are described using this notion. In Section 3, to show the first result, we give explanations about tools which are used to construct specializations combinatorially, and show some properties of these tools. In Section 4, we give a proof of Theorem 4.1. This theorem classifies boundary components of central streams. In Section 5, we see the key proposition (Proposition 5.1) which is used to prove the second result, and we show Theorem 1.3.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we recall definitions of p -divisible groups, central leaves, minimal p -divisible groups, central streams and DM_1 's. Finally, we introduce the notion of arrowed binary sequences; see Definition 2.8, used in the proofs of main theorems.

2.1 p -divisible groups and Dieudonné modules

First, let us recall the definition of p -divisible groups. Let p be a prime number. Let h be a non-negative integer. Let S be a scheme in characteristic p . We say that X is a p -divisible group (Barsotti-Tate group) of height h over S if X is an inductive system $X = (G_v, i_v)_{v \geq 1}$ for natural numbers v , where G_v is a finite locally free commutative group scheme over S of order p^{vh} , and for each v , there exists the exact sequence of commutative group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow G_v \xrightarrow{i_v} G_{v+1} \xrightarrow{p^v} G_{v+1},$$

where i_v is a canonical inclusion. Let $X = (G_v, i_v)_{v \geq 1}$ be a p -divisible group over S . For an arbitrary scheme T over S , we have the p -divisible group X_T over T which is defined by $(G_v \times_S T, i_v \times \text{id})_{v \geq 1}$. In particular, if T is a closed point s over S , then the p -divisible group X_s is called fiber of X over s .

Let K be a perfect field of characteristic p . We denote by $W(K)$ the ring of Witt-vectors with coefficients in K . Let σ be the Frobenius over K . We denote by the same symbol σ the Frobenius over $W(K)$ if no confusion can occur. We say that M is a *Dieudonné module over K* if M is a finite $W(K)$ -module equipped with σ -linear homomorphism $F : M \rightarrow M$ and σ^{-1} -linear homomorphism $V : M \rightarrow M$ satisfying that $F \circ V$ and $V \circ F$ is equal to the multiplication by p . We use the covariant Dieudonné theory, which says that there exists a canonical categorical equivalence \mathbb{D} from the category of p -divisible groups (resp. finite commutative group schemes) over K to that of Dieudonné modules over K which are free as $W(K)$ -modules (resp. are of finite length).

Here, let us recall the notion of minimal p -divisible groups. We define a p -divisible group $H_{m,n}$ over \mathbb{F}_p as follows: $H_{m,n}$ is of dimension n , and its Serre-dual is of dimension m . Moreover, the Dieudonné module is obtained by

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{D}(H_{m,n}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \mathbb{Z}_p e_i,$$

where $h = m + n$, and \mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of p -adic integers. For the basis e_i , operations F and V satisfy that $F e_i = e_{i-m}$, $V e_i = e_{i-n}$ and $e_{i-h} = p e_i$.

Let $\{(m_i, n_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, z}$ be a finite number of pairs of coprime non-negative integers endowed with a non-increasing order of $\lambda_i := n_i/h_i$ with $h_i = m_i + n_i$, i.e., we have $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_z$. A *Newton polygon* $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^z (m_i, n_i)$ is a lower convex polygon in \mathbb{R}^2 , breaking on integral coordinates, consisting of slopes λ_i . We call each coprime pair (m_i, n_i) *segment*.

For a Newton polygon $\xi = \sum_i (m_i, n_i)$, we set a p -divisible group

$$(2) \quad H(\xi) = \bigoplus_i H_{m_i, n_i}.$$

We say that a p -divisible group X is *minimal* if there exists a Newton polygon ξ such that X is isomorphic to $H(\xi)$ over an algebraically closed field. For a p -divisible group Y , there exists an isogeny from Y to $H(\xi)$ over an algebraically closed field for some Newton polygon ξ . This ξ is called the *Newton polygon of Y* , which is denoted by $\text{NP}(Y)$.

In [10, 2.1], for a p -divisible group \mathcal{Y} of height h over a scheme S in characteristic p and for a p -divisible group Y over a field of characteristic p , Oort gave the definition of a *leaf* by

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{C}_Y(S) = \{s \in S \mid \mathcal{Y}_s \text{ is isomorphic to } Y \text{ over an algebraically closed field}\},$$

which is considered as a locally closed subscheme of S by giving $\mathcal{C}_Y(S)$ the induced reduced structure.

Let K be a field of characteristic p , and let X be a p -divisible group over K . Let $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ be a p -divisible group of height h and dimension d over a noetherian scheme S over K . Let ξ be a Newton polygon starting at $(0, 0)$, ending at (h, d) . We write

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{W}_\xi^0(S) = \{s \in S \mid \text{NP}(\mathcal{Y}_s) = \xi\}.$$

By Grothendieck-Katz [5], we know that $\mathcal{W}_\xi^0(S) \subset S$ is locally closed. We recall Oort's result on leaves:

Theorem 2.1 ([10], Theorem 2.2). Let K be a field, and let $X_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be a p -divisible group over K . Set $\xi = \text{NP}(X_0)$. Let $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ be an excellent scheme over K . For a p -divisible group $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{C}_{X_0}(S) \subset \mathcal{W}_\xi^0(S)$$

is a closed subset.

Using Theorem 2.1, we show

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a specialization of the minimal p -divisible group $H(\xi)$ for a Newton polygon ξ . Assume that X is not isomorphic to $H(\xi)$ over an algebraically closed field. Then $\text{NP}(X) \not\leq \xi$.

Proof. It suffices to show the case that X is a p -divisible group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . Let R be a discrete valuation ring over k . Let L denote the fractional field of R . Let $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be a p -divisible group satisfying that $\mathfrak{X}_k \simeq X$ and $\mathfrak{X}_L \simeq H(\xi)_L$. Suppose that $\text{NP}(X) = \xi$ were true. By applying Theorem 2.1 to $(\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S) = (\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R))$ and $X_0 = H(\xi)_k$, we have $X \simeq H(\xi)_k$. This is a contradiction. \square

For a p -divisible group X , the kernel of p -multiplication $p : X \rightarrow X$ is called the p -kernel of X , denoted by $X[p]$. The Dieudonné module of $X[p]$ makes a truncated Dieudonné module of level one, defined below:

Definition 2.3. Let N be a K -vector space of finite dimension. Let F and V be a σ -linear map and a σ^{-1} -linear map respectively from N to itself. A triple (N, F, V) is a *truncated Dieudonné module of level one* over K (abbreviated as DM_1) if the above F and V satisfy that $\text{Ker } F = \text{Im } V$ and $\text{Im } F = \text{Ker } V$. We say that a $\text{DM}_1(N, F, V)$ is of height h and dimension d if $\dim_K N = h$ and $\dim_K N/VN = d$.

Let us recall the notion of specializations. Let R be a commutative ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ be the Frobenius endomorphism defined by $\sigma(a) = a^p$. Then the definition of DM_1 's over the ring R is given as follows:

Definition 2.4. A DM_1 over R of height h is a quintuple $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}, C, D, F, V^{-1})$ satisfying

- (i) \mathcal{N} is a locally free R -module of rank h ,
- (ii) C and D are submodules of \mathcal{N} which are locally direct summands of \mathcal{N} ,
- (iii) $F : (\mathcal{N}/C) \otimes_{R, \sigma} R \rightarrow D$ and $V^{-1} : C \otimes_{R, \sigma} R \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/D$ are R -linear isomorphisms.

Put $R = K[[t]]$. Let \mathcal{N} be an arbitrary DM_1 over R . We set $\mathcal{N}_K = \mathcal{N} \otimes_R K$, and we have a DM_1 over K . From this we obtain a map, called a *specialization*,

$$\{\text{DM}_1 \text{ over } R\} \rightarrow \{\text{DM}_1 \text{ over } K\}$$

which maps \mathcal{N} to \mathcal{N}_K .

Let $\xi = \sum_i (m_i, n_i)$ be a Newton polygon. We denote by N_ξ the DM_1 associated to the p -kernel of $H(\xi)$. Equivalently, N_ξ is described as

$$(6) \quad N_\xi = \bigoplus N_{m_i, n_i},$$

where $N_{m, n}$ is the DM_1 associated to the p -kernel of $H_{m, n}$. In this paper, we mainly treat DM_1 's N_ξ with $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$.

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Then the following classification of DM_1 's over k is given by Kraft [6], Oort [9] and Moonen-Wedhorn [8].

Theorem 2.5. There exists a bijection:

$$\{0, 1\}^h \leftrightarrow \{\text{DM}_1 \text{ over } k \text{ of height } h\} / \cong .$$

Let us review the construction of the bijection above. We often identify an element S of $\{0, 1\}^h$ with the pair of a totally ordered set $\tilde{S} = \{t_1 < \dots < t_h\}$ and a map $\delta : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, so that the i -th coordinate of S is $\delta(t_i)$. We write this identification as an equality $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta)$. The bijection of Theorem 2.5 is obtained in the following way. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta)$ be as above. To S , we associate a DM_1 (N, F, V) as follows. Let $N = ke_1 \oplus \dots \oplus ke_h$. We define a map F by


$$Fe_i = \begin{cases} e_j, & j = \#\{l \mid \delta(t_l) = 0, l \leq i\} \quad \text{for } \delta(t_i) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let t_{j_1}, \dots, t_{j_c} , with $j_1 < \dots < j_c$, be the elements of \tilde{S} satisfying $\delta(t_{j_l}) = 1$. Put $d = h - c$. Then a map V is defined by

$$Ve_i = \begin{cases} e_{j_l}, & l = i - d \quad \text{for } i > d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call $\{e_1, \dots, e_h\}$ a *standard basis* of (N, F, V) .

Example 2.6. Let us see an example of DM_1 's. Let $S = (1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ be an element of $\{0, 1\}^5$. Then the DM_1 (N, F, V) , with $N = ke_1 \oplus \dots \oplus ke_5$, corresponding to S is given by the following diagram.



For the above diagram, if there exists no vector of F (resp. V) from e_i , then we regard F (resp. V) maps e_i to zero. One can check that the above satisfies the condition of DM_1 's.

Let h and c be non-negative integers. Put $d = h - c$. Let W be the Weyl group of the general linear group GL_h . We identify W with the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_h . Here, we associate DM_1 's over k with elements of the Weyl group. Let $s_i \in W$ be the simple reflection $(i, i + 1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, h - 1$. Let Ω be the standard generator of \mathfrak{S}_h , namely $\Omega = \{s_1, \dots, s_{h-1}\}$. Set $J_c = \Omega \setminus \{s_c\}$. Let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by $J = J_c$. Let ${}^J W$ be the set consisting of elements of minimal length in $W_J \backslash W$, i.e., the shortest representatives of $W_J \backslash W$. Let w be an element of ${}^J W$. We associate $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta)$ to w by the pair of a totally ordered set $\tilde{S} = \{t_1 < \dots < t_h\}$ and the map $\delta : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined by $\delta(t_i) = 0$ if and only if $w(i) > c$. Here the property of the minimal length of w is used. We regard this pair (\tilde{S}, δ) as the element of $\{0, 1\}^h$. One can check that this gives a one-to-one correspondence between ${}^J W$ and the set of elements S of $\{0, 1\}^h$ satisfying $\#\{t \in \tilde{S} \mid \delta(t) = 0\} = d$. Thus there exists a bijection between ${}^J W$ and the set of isomorphism classes of DM_1 's over k of height h and dimension d . We denote by w_ξ the element of ${}^J W$ associated to N_ξ .

In the rest of this subsection, we show a lemma used for the construction of generic specializations. Let W and $J = J_c$ be as above. Set $d = h - c$. We define $x \in W$ to be $x(i) = i + d$ if $i \leq c$ and $x(i) = i - c$ otherwise. We define $\theta : W \rightarrow W$ by $u \mapsto xux^{-1}$. It follows from [12, 4.10] by Viehmann-Wedhorn that $w' \subset w$ if and only if there exists an element u of W_J such that $u^{-1}w'\theta(u) \leq w$, where \leq denotes the Bruhat order.

Lemma 2.7. Let w' and w be elements of ${}^J W$ with $w' \subset w$. If $\ell(w') = \ell(w) - 1$, then there exist $v \in W$ and $u \in W_J$ such that

- (i) $v = ws$ for a transposition s ,
- (ii) $\ell(v) = \ell(w) - 1$,
- (iii) $w' = uv\theta(u^{-1})$.

Proof. Let $w \in {}^J W$. Let $w' \in {}^J W$ satisfying that $w' \subset w$ and $\ell(w') = \ell(w) - 1$. Choose an element u of W_J satisfying that $u^{-1}w'\theta(u) < w$. Set $v = u^{-1}w'\theta(u)$. We show (ii). Since w' is an element of ${}^J W$, we have $\ell(v) \geq \ell(u^{-1}w') - \ell(\theta(u)^{-1}) = \ell(u) + \ell(w') - \ell(\theta(u))$. Moreover, $\ell(u) + \ell(w') - \ell(\theta(u)) = \ell(w')$ since for all element u' of W_J we have $\ell(u') = \ell(\theta(u'))$ by the definition of θ . As $v < w$, we have $\ell(v) < \ell(w)$. These prove (ii). Let $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_l}$ be a reduced expression of w with $v = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{q-1}} s_{i_{q+1}} \dots s_{i_l}$. Set $s = (s_{i_l} \dots s_{i_{q+1}}) s_{i_q} (s_{i_{q+1}} \dots s_{i_l})$. Then s is a transposition, and this s satisfies $v = ws$. \square

2.2 Definition of arrowed binary sequences

To show our main results, we introduce arrowed binary sequences. This object can be regarded as a generalization of DM_1 's.

Definition 2.8. An *arrowed binary sequence* (we often abbreviate as ABS) is the triple (\tilde{S}, δ, π) consisting of a totally ordered set $\tilde{S} = \{t_1 < \dots < t_h\}$, a map $\delta : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and a bijection $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$. We denote by \mathcal{H} the set of all arrowed binary sequences.

Definition 2.9. Let $N = (N, F, V)$ be a DM_1 of height h . Let e_1, \dots, e_h be a standard basis of N . Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta)$ be the element of $\{0, 1\}^h$ corresponding to N with $\tilde{S} = \{t_1, \dots, t_h\}$. We define a bijective map $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ by $\pi(t_i) = t_j$, where j is uniquely determined by


$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} F(e_i) = e_j & \text{if } \delta(t_i) = 0, \\ V(e_j) = e_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that (\tilde{S}, δ, π) is an ABS. We say that an ABS $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ is *admissible* if there exists a DM_1 $N = (N, F, V)$ such that (\tilde{S}, δ) corresponds to N by Theorem 2.5, and π is constructed by (7) from N . The admissible ABS S obtained from a DM_1 N is called *the ABS associated to N* . We denote by \mathcal{H}' the set of all admissible ABS's.

For an arrowed binary sequence $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$, as seen in Example 2.10, we obtain a diagram of the ABS using elements of \tilde{S} and arrows



Example 2.10. The diagram of the ABS corresponding to $(1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ is



From Example 2.6 and this diagram, one can check that the admissible ABS (\tilde{S}, δ, π) is obtained by a DM_1 .

Now we show a property of admissible ABS's. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ be an ABS. For each element t of \tilde{S} , we define the *binary expansion* $b(t)$ by $b(t) = 0.b_1b_2\cdots$, where $b_i = 0$ if $\delta(\pi^{-i}(t)) = 0$, and $b_i = 1$ otherwise.

Lemma 2.11. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ be an admissible ABS. Let t and t' be elements of \tilde{S} . Then the following holds.

- (i) Suppose $\delta(t) = \delta(t')$. Then $t < t'$ if and only if $\pi(t) < \pi(t')$,
- (ii) Suppose $b(t) \neq b(t')$. Then $t < t'$ if and only if $b(t) < b(t')$.

Proof. (i) follows from the construction of π defined in Definition 2.9.

Let us see (ii). To show “only if” part, we suppose $b(t) > b(t')$. It implies that there exists a natural number v such that $\delta(\pi^{-u}(t)) = \delta(\pi^{-u}(t'))$ for all u with $u < v$ and $\delta(\pi^{-v}(t)) > \delta(\pi^{-v}(t'))$. Then we clearly have $\delta(\pi^{-v}(t)) = 1$ and $\delta(\pi^{-v}(t')) = 0$. By the construction of π , we have $\pi^{-v+1}(t) > \pi^{-v+1}(t')$, and this contradicts with (i). Let us see “if” part. If $b(t) < b(t')$, then there exists a natural number v such that $\delta(\pi^{-u}(t)) = \delta(\pi^{-u}(t'))$ for $u < v$ and $\delta(\pi^{-v}(t)) < \delta(\pi^{-v}(t'))$. Then we have $\delta(\pi^{-v}(t)) = 0$ and $\delta(\pi^{-v}(t')) = 1$, and $\pi^{-v+1}(t) < \pi^{-v+1}(t')$ holds. Using (i) repeatedly, we see $t < t'$. \square

Let us define special DM_1 's which correspond to special p -divisible groups $H_{m,n}$.


Definition 2.12. We say a DM_1 N is *DM_1 -simple* if there exist coprime natural numbers h and m such that N is associated to the ABS $(\{t_1, \dots, t_h\}, \delta, \pi)$, where $\delta(t_i) = 1$ if $i \leq m$ and $\delta(t_i) = 0$ otherwise, with the map π defined as Definition 2.9. In other words, we have $\pi(t_i) = t_{i-m \bmod h}$. That kind of DM_1 corresponds to $N_{m,n}$ with $n = h - m$, and we call this DM_1 *simple DM_1* if no confusion can occur.

Here, let us recall the direct sum of admissible ABS's, which corresponds to the direct sum of associated Dieudonné modules. We define the direct sum $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ of elements $A = (\tilde{A}, \delta_A, \pi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{B}, \delta_B, \pi_B)$ of \mathcal{H}' as follows. We define a set \tilde{S} by $\tilde{S} = \tilde{A} \sqcup \tilde{B}$. We define a map $\delta : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ to be $\delta|_{\tilde{A}} = \delta_A$ and $\delta|_{\tilde{B}} = \delta_B$, and let $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a map satisfying that $\pi|_{\tilde{A}} = \pi_A$ and $\pi|_{\tilde{B}} = \pi_B$. We define an order $<$ in \tilde{S} so that


- (i) for elements $t, t' \in \tilde{S}$, if $b(t) \leq b(t')$, then $t < t'$, and
- (ii) there is no elements t, t' of \tilde{S} such that $t < t'$ and $\pi(t') < \pi(t)$,

where $b(t)$ is the binary expansion of $t \in \tilde{S}$ determined by π . For instance, if $A = B$ with $\tilde{A} = \{t_1, \dots, t_h\}$ and $\tilde{B} = \{t'_1, \dots, t'_h\}$, then $\tilde{S} = \{t_1, t'_1, \dots, t_h, t'_h\}$. Thus we get the ABS $A \oplus B = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ which also belongs to \mathcal{H}' . Let M and N be DM_1 's, and let A (resp. B) be the ABS corresponding to M (resp. N). Then the ABS $A \oplus B$ corresponds to the direct sum of DM_1 's $M \oplus N$. In this paper, we consider the case that $M = N_{m_1, n_1}$ and $N = N_{m_2, n_2}$, with pairs of coprime non-negative integers (m_1, n_1) and (m_2, n_2) .

Example 2.13. Let $M = N_{2,7}$ and $N = N_{5,3}$. Let A and B be ABS's corresponding to M and N respectively. Then diagrams of these ABS's are given by the following:



We write t_1 for the element of \tilde{A} corresponding to the underlined element in the diagram. Then the binary expansion $b(t_1)$ is given by $b(t_1) = 0.000010001 \dots$. In the same way, we obtain the binary expansions of all elements of \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} . We sort all elements by the binary expansions, and the direct sum $A \oplus B$ is given by the following:



where τ_i^A (resp. τ_i^B), with $\tau = 0$ or 1 , is the i -th element of A (resp. B).

For certain DM_1 's, we have the following:

Lemma 2.14. Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. Set $h_1 = m_1 + n_1$ and $h_2 = m_2 + n_2$. Let N_ξ be the minimal DM_1 of ξ . For the above notation, the ABS S associated to N_ξ is obtained by the following:

$$\underbrace{1_1^A \dots 1_{m_1}^A}_{m_1} \underbrace{0_{m_1+1}^A \dots 0_{n_1}^A}_{n_1 - m_1} \underbrace{1_1^B \dots 1_{n_2}^B}_{n_2} \underbrace{0_{n_1+1}^A \dots 0_{h_1}^A}_{m_1} \underbrace{1_{n_2+1}^B \dots 1_{m_2}^B}_{m_2 - n_2} \underbrace{0_{m_2+1}^B \dots 0_{h_2}^B}_{n_2}.$$

Proof. See [1], Proposition 4.20. □

3 Constructing a specialization using arrowed binary sequences

In this section, we give a method to construct a specialization of a DM_1 using arrowed binary sequences. We introduce some sets which help us to investigate properties of the specialization obtained by this method. These properties are useful for classification of boundary components of central streams, given in Section 4.

3.1 Some notation for specializations

Here, we prepare some notation for describing a specialization of a DM_1 in arrowed binary sequences. First, we define the lengths of ABS's. We use some notation of Section 2.2.

Definition 3.1. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ be an ABS. We define the *length of S* by

$$\ell(S) = \#\{(t', t) \mid \delta(t') = 0 \text{ and } \delta(t) = 1 \text{ with } t' < t\}.$$

Remark 3.2. If an ABS S corresponds to a DM_1 N , then the value $\ell(S)$ is equal to the length $\ell(w)$ for the element w of JW , which corresponds to N . In other words, the length of w can be calculated by the above $\ell(S)$.

Example 3.3. For the ABS $A \oplus B$ associated to $N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$, which is constructed in Example 2.13, we have $\ell(A \oplus B) = 29$. In general, for a Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ of two segments with $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$, the length $\ell(S)$ of the ABS S corresponding to N_ξ is equal to $m_2n_1 - m_1n_2$.

Let $\mathcal{H}'(h, d)$ denote the set of admissible ABS's whose corresponding DM_1 's are of height h and dimension d . By translating the ordering \subset on JW via the bijection from JW to $\mathcal{H}'(h, d)$, we have an ordering on $\mathcal{H}'(h, d)$ as well as the notion of specialization of admissible ABS's.

Here we give a method to construct a type of the specializations of ABS's. Those will turn out to correspond to specializations of the form $w' \subset w$ with $v = ws < w$ and $w' = uv\theta(u^{-1})$, where s is a transposition and $u \in W_J$. See the paragraph before Lemma 2.7 for this specialization. Starting with $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0) \in \mathcal{H}'(h, d)$, we construct a new admissible ABS $S' = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$. First we consider a “small modification” of π_0 :

Definition 3.4. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ be an ABS with $\tilde{S} = \{t_1 < \dots < t_h\}$. Choose elements t_i and t_j of \tilde{S} . We define a map π on \tilde{S} by $\pi(\pi_0^{-1}(t_i)) = t_j$, $\pi(\pi_0^{-1}(t_j)) = t_i$ and $\pi(t) = \pi_0(t)$ for the other elements t of \tilde{S} . We call this map π a *small modification by t_i and t_j of π_0* .


We require $\delta(t_i) = 0$, $\delta(t_j) = 1$ and $t_i < t_j$, when we consider specializations. Let $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be the small modification by elements t_i and t_j of π_0 . Let $\tilde{S}' = \tilde{S}$ as sets. There uniquely exists an ordering $<'$ on \tilde{S}' so that

- (i) If $t <' t'$, then $b(t) \leq b(t')$.
- (ii) Suppose $\delta(t) = \delta(t')$. Then $t <' t'$ if and only if $\pi(t) <' \pi(t')$,


where $b(t)$ denotes the binary expansion determined by δ and π . Then we get an admissible ABS $S' = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$; see Remark 3.5. We call this S' the *specialization of S obtained by exchanging t_i and t_j* . We say *an exchange of t_i and t_j is good* if the specialization S' obtained by exchanging t_i and t_j satisfies $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$. We often write S^- for S' when S' is the specialization obtained by a good exchange. We call S^- a *generic specialization* of S . Any good exchange produces a generic specialization, and conversely every generic specialization is obtained by a good exchange. This follows from Lemma 2.7 and Remark 3.5 below:

Remark 3.5. We use the same notation as Section 2.1. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0) \in \mathcal{H}'(h, d)$ with $\tilde{S} = \{t_1 < \cdots < t_h\}$. Let $S' = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$ be the specialization of S obtained by exchanging t_i and t_j . Let w be the element of JW corresponding to S . Set $s = (i, j)$ the transposition. We regard π_0 and π as elements of W . Then $\pi_0 = xw$. Put $\tilde{S}' = \{t'_1 <' \cdots <' t'_h\}$. Set $\tilde{S}^{(0)} = \{t_1^{(0)} <'' \cdots <'' t_h^{(0)}\}$ to be $t_{s(z)} = t_z^{(0)}$. We define an element ε of W to be $t_{\varepsilon(z)} = t'_z$. Note that ε stabilizes $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ (and therefore $\{d+1, \dots, h\}$), since $b(t_z^{(0)}) < 0.1$ for $z \leq d$ and $b(t_z^{(0)}) > 0.1$ for $z > d$, where binary expansions are determined by δ and π . Put $v = ws$. Then $w' = uv\theta(u^{-1})$ corresponds to S' with $u = x^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}x \in W_J$. The map π is obtained by $\varepsilon^{-1}\pi_0 s \varepsilon$. In terminologies of ABS's, multiplying π_0 by s corresponds to constructing the small modification. By the coordinate transformation by ε , we obtain the map π on \tilde{S}' . The main purpose of Section 3.2 is to give the construction of this ε combinatorially.

Example 3.6. Let us see an example of constructing a specialization of an ABS. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ be the admissible ABS associated to $(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. This S is described as the following diagram.



Let π be the small modification map by 0_2 and 1_4 . Then the ABS $S' = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$ is described as



One can check that this specialization is generic by calculating lengths of S and S' .

Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon satisfying that $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. Let S denote the ABS of N_ξ . To classify generic specializations of S , in Section 3.2 we introduce a method to construct specializations S' combinatorially. The method gives an explicit construction of permutations ε as in Remark 3.5. Moreover, we show some properties of generic specializations; see Section 4.2. These properties are useful for to show Proposition 5.1 which is a key step of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let A and B be ABS's corresponding to N_{m_1, n_1} and N_{m_2, n_2} respectively. Then S is obtained by $A \oplus B$. We often denote by τ_i^A (resp. τ_i^B), with $\tau = 0$ or 1 , the i -th symbol of A (resp. B). By Lemma 2.14, there exist only three cases as the choice of exchange of 0_i^A and 1_j^B :

- (1) $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_2$;
- (2) $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $n_2 < j \leq m_2$;
- (3) $n_1 < i \leq h_1$ and $n_2 < j \leq m_2$.

We assume that $m_1 + 1 < n_1$ or $n_2 + 1 < m_2$ in the case (2). For $\xi = (m_1, m_1 + 1) + (n_2 + 1, n_2)$ with $m_1 > 0$ or $n_2 > 0$, the case (2) is treated separately; see below the proof of Proposition 3.20. Since the case (3) can be regarded as the dual of (1), it suffices to deal with the case (1) and (2). From now on, we assume $m_1 < i \leq n_1$. We often treat the cases (1) and (2) simultaneously, but for example, the proof of Proposition 3.20 is divided into the cases (1) and (2).

3.2 Constructing a specialization

Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon of two segments satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ be the ABS associated to the minimal $\text{DM}_1 N_\xi$. In this section, we introduce a combinatorial method to construct a specialization S' of S in order to classify generic specializations. Concretely, for the small modification π by t_i and t_j , we shall construct the ordered set \tilde{S}' , which coincides with \tilde{S} as sets, satisfying that $t < t'$ if and only if $\pi(t) < \pi(t')$ for elements t and t' of \tilde{S}' with $\delta(t) = \delta(t')$. For this ordered set, using Theorem 2.5, we get the specialization N'_ξ of N_ξ . The method gives a useful characterization to ABS's S' satisfying $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$. The main purpose of this section is to show that this combinatorial operation is well-defined.

Let A (resp. B) be the ABS corresponding to N_{m_1, n_1} (resp. N_{m_2, n_2}). Then $S = A \oplus B$. We denote by τ_i^A (resp. τ_j^B) the i -th element of A (resp. the j -th element of B) with $\tau = 0$ or 1 . Fix natural numbers i and j satisfying that $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_2$. Let π be the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B . For the ordered set

$$\tilde{S} = \{1_1^A < \cdots < 0_{i-1}^A < 0_i^A < 0_{i+1}^A < \cdots < 1_{j-1}^B < 1_j^B < 1_{j+1}^B < \cdots < 0_{h_2}^B\},$$

we define an ordered set $S^{(0)}$ by $S^{(0)} = \tilde{S}$ as sets with the ordering

$$S^{(0)} = \{1_1^A < \cdots < 0_{i-1}^A < 1_j^B < 0_{i+1}^A < \cdots < 1_{j-1}^B < 0_i^A < 1_{j+1}^B < \cdots < 0_{h_2}^B\}.$$

In Definition 3.7 and Definition 3.8, we construct a sequence $(S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi), (S^{(1)}, \delta, \pi), \dots$ of ABS's. We will show that there exists a non-negative integer n such that the ABS $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$ coincides with the specialization of S obtained by exchanging 0_i^A and 1_j^B .

Definition 3.7. For the ABS $(S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi)$, we define a set $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{t \in S_A^{(0)} \mid t < 0_i^A \text{ and } \pi(0_i^A) < \pi(t) \text{ in } S^{(0)} \text{ with } \delta(t) = 0\},$$

where $S_A^{(0)}$ is a subset of $S^{(0)}$ consisting of all elements of A . For non-negative integers n , put $\alpha_n = \pi^n(0_i^A)$. For a natural number n , we construct an ordered set $S^{(n)}$ and a set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ from the ABS $(S^{(n-1)}, \delta, \pi)$ and the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ if $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ is not empty. Let $S^{(n)} = S^{(n-1)}$ as sets. We define the order on $S^{(n)}$ to be for $t < t'$ in $S^{(n-1)}$, we have $t > t'$ in $S^{(n)}$ if and only if $\alpha_n < t' \leq \pi(t_{\max})$ in $S^{(n-1)}$ and $t = \alpha_n$. We can regard π as the map on $S^{(n)}$. Thus we obtain an ABS $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$. In other words, we define the ABS $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$ by moving the element α_n to the right of $\pi(t_{\max})$ in $S^{(n-1)}$. We define

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \{t \in S_A^{(n)} \mid t < \alpha_n \text{ and } \alpha_{n+1} < \pi(t) \text{ in } S^{(n)} \text{ with } \delta(t) = \delta(\alpha_n)\},$$

where $S_A^{(n)}$ is the subset of $S^{(n)}$ consisting of all elements of A .

We will see that there exists a non-negative integer a satisfying $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$ in Proposition 3.14. For this number a , we introduce a definition of sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ as follows.

Definition 3.8. We define the set

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{t \in S^{(a)} \mid 1_j^B < t \text{ and } \pi(t) < \pi(1_j^B) \text{ in } S^{(a)} \text{ with } \delta(t) = 1\},$$


and let I be the subset of $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ consisting of elements t which are of the form $t = 1_x^A$ with a natural number x . Note that for an element t of I , there exists a non-negative integer n with $n < a$ such

that $t = \alpha_n$. We have $1_j^B < 1_z^B$ and $\pi(1_z^B) < \pi(1_j^B)$ for natural numbers z with $z < j$ in $S^{(a)}$. Thus the set $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ is determined as $I \cup \{1_1^B, \dots, 1_{j-1}^B\}$. Set $\beta_n = \pi^n(1_j^B)$ for non-negative integers n . We construct the ordered set $S^{(a+n)}$ for natural numbers n inductively. For the ABS $(S^{(a+n-1)}, \delta, \pi)$ and the set $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$, if $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$ is not empty, then let $S^{(a+n)} = S^{(a+n-1)}$ as sets. The ordering of $S^{(a+n)}$ is given so that for $t < t'$ in $S^{(a+n-1)}$, we have $t > t'$ in $S^{(a+n)}$ if and only if $\pi(t_{\min}) \leq t < \beta_n$ in $S^{(a+n-1)}$ and $t' = \beta_n$. We can regard π as the map on $S^{(a+n)}$. Thus we obtain an ABS $(S^{(a+n)}, \delta, \pi)$. In other words, we obtain the ABS $(S^{(a+n)}, \delta, \pi)$ by moving the element β_n to the left of $\pi(t_{\min})$ in $S^{(a+n-1)}$. We define


$$\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \{t \in S^{(a+n)} \mid \beta_n < t \text{ and } \pi(t) < \beta_{n+1} \text{ in } S^{(a+n)} \text{ with } \delta(t) = \delta(\beta_n)\}.$$

If there exists a non-negative integer b such that $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$, then we call the ABS $(S^{(a+b)}, \delta, \pi)$ the *full modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B* . If there exists the full modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , then the specialization of S obtained by exchanging 0_i^A and 1_j^B is given by this full modification. We show that there exists a non-negative integer b such that $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$ in Proposition 3.20.


Example 3.9. Let us see an example of constructing S' from S . Let $N = N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$ be the DM_1 , and let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi)$ denote the ABS associated to N . In Example 2.13, we obtain the diagram of S . Construct the small modification by 0_6^A and 1_3^B . Then we obtain the ABS $(S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi)$, and the diagram of this ABS is described as



First, let us construct sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. We have the set $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{0_7^A\}$. To construct the ordered set $S^{(1)}$, we move the element 0_4^A to the right of 0_5^A , and we obtain the following diagram of $(S^{(1)}, \delta, \pi)$:



We have the set $\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \{0_5^A\}$. In the same way, we get $S^{(2)}$ by moving the element 1_2^A to the right of 0_3^A , and we see that $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is empty. Hence we obtain $a = 2$. Next, let us construct sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$. We have $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{1_1^B, 1_2^B\}$. We move the element 0_6^B to the left of 1_4^B which is the minimum element of $\pi(\mathcal{B}^{(0)})$ to construct $S^{(3)}$. Then $\mathcal{B}^{(1)} = \emptyset$. Hence we get an ABS S' by $(S^{(3)}, \delta, \pi)$. Thus the diagram of the specialization S' is described as follows.



One can check that these admissible ABS's S' and S satisfy that $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$. Moreover, one can

see that for the same notation as Remark 3.5, the permutation ε satisfies $\varepsilon^{-1} = (13, 14, 15)(2, 3)(4, 5)$ in this case.

To construct full modifications of S , we show some properties of ordered sets $S^{(n)}$ and sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ in Lemma 3.10, Proposition 3.11, Corollary 3.12 and Proposition 3.13. For a Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ consisting of two segments satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$, we denote by $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ the ABS associated to the DM₁ N_ξ . Let A (resp. B) be the ABS corresponding to the DM₁ N_{m_1, n_1} (resp. N_{m_2, n_2}). We denote by \tilde{A} (resp. \tilde{B}) the ordered set of A (resp. B). Let π denote the small modification by elements 0_i^A and 1_j^B of \tilde{S} with $m_1 < i \leq n_1$. Then we obtain sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ for non-negative integers n . We will show that there exists a non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \emptyset$ in Proposition 3.14.

Lemma 3.10. For every non-negative integer n , let $\Sigma_{(n)}$ be the set consisting of elements t of \tilde{A} satisfying that $t' < t$ and $\pi(t) < \pi(t')$ in $S^{(n)}$ for some elements t' of $S^{(n)}$ with $\delta(t) = \delta(t')$. If $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is not empty, then $\Sigma_{(n)} = \{\alpha_n\}$, where $\alpha_n = \pi^n(0_i^A)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \emptyset$, then $\Sigma_{(n)} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let us show the assertion by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is obvious. For a natural number n , suppose that $\Sigma_{(n-1)} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$. The statement follows from the construction of the set $S^{(n)}$. In fact, by the construction of $S^{(n)}$, we have $\pi(t_{\max}) < \alpha_n$ in $S^{(n)}$, where t_{\max} is the maximum element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$. It implies that $\pi(t) < \alpha_n$ holds for all elements t of $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ in $S^{(n)}$. Then α_{n-1} does not belong to $\Sigma_{(n)}$. Thus we see that elements t'' of $\tilde{A} \setminus \{\alpha_n\}$ do not belong to $\Sigma_{(n)}$, and we obtain $\Sigma_{(n)} = \{\alpha_n\}$. \square

Proposition 3.11. For all non-negative integers m , set $\alpha_m = \pi^m(0_i^A)$. Let n be a natural number. Then $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is obtained by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \{\pi(t) \in \tilde{A} \mid t \in \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)} \text{ and } \delta(\pi(t)) = \delta(\alpha_n)\}.$$

Proof. We fix a natural number n . Choose an element t of $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ satisfying that $\delta(\pi(t)) = \delta(\alpha_n)$. Let us see that if $\pi(t)$ belongs to \tilde{A} , then the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains $\pi(t)$. Since $\alpha_n < \pi(t)$ in $S^{(n-1)}$, we have $\alpha_{n+1} < \pi(\pi(t))$ in $S^{(n-1)}$ and $S^{(n)}$. By the construction of $S^{(n)}$, we have $\pi(t) < \alpha_n$ in $S^{(n)}$. Hence the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains $\pi(t)$. Conversely, let t' be an element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. We denote by t the element of $S^{(n)}$ satisfying that $\pi(t) = t'$. We have to see that t belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$. In the sets $S^{(n)}$ and $S^{(n-1)}$, we have $t < \alpha_{n-1}$. Moreover, since $\alpha_{n+1} < \pi(\pi(t))$ holds in $S^{(n)}$ and $S^{(n-1)}$, we get $\alpha_n < \pi(t)$ in $S^{(n-1)}$. Hence t belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$. \square

Applying Proposition 3.11 repeatedly, we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.12. Let n be a natural number. Then $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is described as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \{\pi^n(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{A}^{(0)}, \delta(\pi^m(t)) = \delta(\alpha_m) \text{ and } \pi^m(t) \in \tilde{A} \text{ for all } m \text{ with } 0 \leq m \leq n\}.$$

Proposition 3.13. Let n be a non-negative integer. Then the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ does not contain an element t which is of the form $t = \pi^m(0_i^A)$ with a non-negative integer m for $m \leq n$.

Proof. For every non-negative integer n , the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ consists of some elements of \tilde{A} . Hence sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ do not contain the element $\pi^{-1}(0_i^A)$ which is an element of \tilde{B} . We show the assertion by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is obvious. For a natural number n , assume that the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ contain no element $\pi^m(0_i^A)$ with $m \leq n-1$. We consider the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains an element $\pi^m(0_i^A)$ for $m \leq n$. Then by Proposition 3.11, $\pi^{m-1}(0_i^A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$. This contradicts with the hypothesis of induction. \square

Proposition 3.14. For every small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B with $m_1 < i \leq n_1$, there exists a non-negative integer $a = a(i, j)$ such that $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$.

Proof. In the case of $i = n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_2$, we immediately have $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \emptyset$. Let us see the other cases. By the hypothesis $m_1 < i \leq n_1$, the map π sends $0_{i+m_1}^A$ to 1_j^B in $S^{(n)}$ for all non-negative integers n . Let m be the minimum natural number satisfying $\pi^m(0_i^A) = 1_{m_1}^A$. If there exists a natural number n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)} = \{0_{i+m_1}^A\}$ for $n < m$, we have then $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \emptyset$ by definition of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Assume that sets $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)}$ are not empty. Let us consider the set $\mathcal{A}^{(m)}$. Note that $1_{m_1}^A$ is the maximum element of the set $\{t \in A \mid \delta(t) = 1\}$. Proposition 3.13 induces that every element t of $\mathcal{A}^{(m-1)}$ satisfies that $\delta(\pi(t)) = 0$ or $\pi(t) = 1_j^B$, whence we see $\mathcal{A}^{(m)} = \emptyset$. \square

Thus by a small modification π by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , we obtain the non-negative integer $a = a(i, j)$ satisfying that $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$. By the ABS $(S^{(a)}, \delta, \pi)$, we obtain the set $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{1_1^B, \dots, 1_{j-1}^B\} \cup I$, where I is the subset of $S^{(a)}$ consisting of elements $\alpha_n = \pi^n(0_i^A)$ satisfying that $1_j^B < \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_{n+1} < \pi(1_j^B)$. Here, we show some properties of sets $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}, \mathcal{B}^{(1)}, \dots$ in Lemma 3.15, Proposition 3.16, Proposition 3.17, Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.19 to see that there exists a non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \emptyset$ in Proposition 3.20. We suppose that if $n_1 = m_1 + 1$ and $m_2 = n_2 + 1$, then $(i, j) \neq (n_1, m_2)$.

Lemma 3.15. Let n' be a non-negative integer with $n' \geq a$. Set $n = n' - a$. Let $\Sigma'_{(n)}$ be the set consisting of elements t of \tilde{S} satisfying that $t < t'$ and $\pi(t') < \pi(t)$ in $S^{(n')}$ for some elements t' of $S^{(n')}$ with $\delta(t) = \delta(t')$. If $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ is not empty, we have then $\Sigma'_{(n)} = \{\beta_n\}$, where $\beta_n = \pi^n(1_j^B)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \emptyset$, then $\Sigma'_{(n)} = \emptyset$.

Proof. A proof is given by the same way as Lemma 3.10. \square

Proposition 3.16. Set $\beta_m = \pi^m(1_j^B)$ for all non-negative integers m . Let n be a natural number. The set $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ is obtained by

$$\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \{\pi(t) \in S^{(a+n)} \mid t \in \mathcal{B}^{(n-1)} \text{ and } \delta(\pi(t)) = \delta(\beta_n)\}.$$

Moreover, this set is described as

$$\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \{\pi^n(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)} \text{ and } \delta(\pi^m(t)) = \delta(\beta_m) \text{ for all } m \text{ with } 0 \leq m \leq n\}.$$

Proof. A proof is given by the same way as Proposition 3.11. \square

Proposition 3.17. Let n be a non-negative integer. If the set $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ is a subset of B , then $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ does not contain an element t which is of the form $t = \pi^m(1_j^B)$ with a non-negative integer m for $m \leq n$.

Proof. A proof is given by the same way as Proposition 3.13. \square

Lemma 3.18. If $1 \leq j \leq n_2$, then all elements t of I satisfy that $\delta(\pi^2(t)) = 0$.

Proof. If m_1 and n_1 satisfy $n_1 - m_1 > m_1$, then $\delta(\pi^2(t)) = 0$ holds for all elements t of A with $\delta(t) = 1$. It suffices to see the case of $n_1 - m_1 < m_1$. Assume that there exists an element t of I satisfying $\delta(\pi^2(t)) = 1$. We recall that there exists a natural number n such that $t = \pi^n(0_i^A)$. On the other hand, we can denote by $t = 1_r^A$ this element t with a natural number r . Put $r' = r + n_1 - m_1$. We have then $\pi^2(1_r^A) = 1_{r'}^A$. By the definition of I , the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ contains the inverse image of 1_j^B . By construction, we have $|\mathcal{A}^{(m)}| < n_1 - m_1$ for all m . In $S^{(n-1)}$, the number of elements between 1_r^A and 1_j^B is greater than $|\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}|$, whence we have $1_r^A < 1_j^B$ in $S^{(n)}$. This contradict with $1_r^A \in I$. \square

Lemma 3.19. Let n be a natural number with $n > 1$. Suppose $1 \leq j \leq n_2$. Then $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ is a subset of B .

Proof. First, let us see that sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ do not contain 0_i^A for all n . Assume that a set $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$ contains the inverse image of 0_i^A . Note that the inverse image of 0_i^A is obtained by $0_{j+m_2}^B$ in this hypothesis. We have then $\delta(\pi^{n-1}(1_j^B)) = 0$ by the definition of $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$. The condition $\lambda_2 < 1/2$ induces that $\delta(\pi^n(1_j^B)) = 1$. It implies that the element 0_i^A does not belong to $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$.

To show the statement of this lemma, let us consider two cases depending on the value of $\delta(\pi(1_j^B))$. On the one hand, suppose that $\delta(\pi(1_j^B)) = 1$, and let us see that $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ consists of some elements of B for $n \geq 1$. We have $\mathcal{B}^{(1)} = \{\pi(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)} \text{ with } \delta(\pi(t)) = 1\}$ in this assumption. For elements t of I , all elements $\pi(t)$ do not belong to $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ since $\delta(\pi(t)) = 0$ holds for all elements t of \tilde{A} with $\delta(t) = 1$. It induces that $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ is a subset of B , whence we see that $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} \subset B$ for all natural numbers in this case.

On the other hand, suppose $\delta(\pi(1_j^B)) = 0$. Let Λ be the subset of $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ consisting of elements t which belong to \tilde{B} . Then $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ is obtained by the union of Λ and $\pi(I)$. By the condition of m_2 and n_2 , for all elements t of Λ , we have $\delta(\pi(t)) = 1$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.18, we have $\delta(\pi(t)) = 0$ for all elements t of $\pi(I)$. Thus we see that $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}$ is a subset of B since $\delta(\pi^2(1_j^B)) = 1$ in this hypothesis. Hence $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ is a subset of \tilde{B} for every natural number n with $n > 1$. \square

By the above properties, we show Proposition 3.20. By this proposition, we see that specializations S' of S are obtained by the combinatorial method.


Proposition 3.20. For the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B with $m_1 < i \leq n_1$, there exists a non-negative integer $b = b(i, j)$ such that $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$.

Proof. First, let us consider the case $1 \leq j \leq n_2$. If $j = 1$, then we have $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = I$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(1)} = \emptyset$. For $j > 1$, we have $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{1_1^B, \dots, 1_{j-1}^B\} \cup I$. Let m be the minimum natural number satisfying $\pi^m(1_j^B) = 0_{m_2+1}^B$. If $m = 1$, then $\mathcal{B}^{(1)} = \pi(I)$. Since $\delta(\pi^2(1_j^B)) = 1$ in this case and Lemma 3.18, we have $\mathcal{B}^{(2)} = \emptyset$. Let us see the case $m > 1$. Suppose that there exists a natural number n , with $n < m$, such that $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \{0_{j+m_2}^B\}$, i.e., the set $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ consists of an element the inverse image of 0_i^A . By the proof of Lemma 3.19, the element 0_i^A does not belong to $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$, whence we have $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)} = \emptyset$. Assume that $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathcal{B}^{(m-1)}$ are non-empty sets. Note that $0_{m_2+1}^B$ is the minimum element of all elements t of \tilde{B} satisfying $\delta(t) = 0$. For an element t of $\mathcal{B}^{(m-1)}$, if $\pi(t)$ belongs to \tilde{B} , then $\delta(\pi(t)) = 1$ holds. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.19 that $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ does not contain an element of \tilde{A} . Therefore we have $\mathcal{B}^{(m)} = \emptyset$.

Next, let us see the case $n_2 < j \leq m_2$. We divide the proof into two cases depending on whether I is empty. First, suppose $I = \emptyset$. For the minimum non-negative integer n satisfying that $\pi^n(1_j^B) = 1_1^B$, we have $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \emptyset$. In fact, if the set $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$ is not empty, then $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)} = \{0_i^A\}$ holds since $\pi^{n-1}(1_j^B) = 0_{m_2+1}^B$. If $\delta(\pi(0_i^A)) = 1$, then I contains $\pi(0_i^A)$ since $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{0_{i+1}^A, \dots, 0_{m_1+n_1}^A\}$ contains the inverse image of 1_j^B . This contradicts with the assumption. Hence we have $\delta(\pi(0_i^A)) = 0$, and then $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \emptyset$ holds.

Next, suppose $I \neq \emptyset$. If $j > n_2 + 1$, then the non-negative integer b is obtained by the number n satisfying that $\pi^n(1_j^B) = 1_{n_2+1}^B$. In fact, $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$ consists of some elements t of \tilde{A} since $\pi^{n-1}(1_j^B) = 1_1^B$ is the minimum element of \tilde{B} . It is clear that $\delta(\pi(t)) = 0$ for all elements t of $\mathcal{B}^{(n-1)}$, and we have then $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \emptyset$. If $j = n_2 + 1$, then the non-negative integer b is obtained by the number n satisfying $\pi^n(1_j^B) = 1_{n_2+2}^B$. \square

For the Newton polygon ξ , we now suppose $n_1 = m_1 + 1$ and $m_2 = n_2 + 1$ with $m_1 > 0$ or $n_2 > 0$. If $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $n_2 < j \leq m_2$, then i and j must be $i = m_1 + 1$ and $j = m_2$. We construct the specialization by a well-known method using binary expansions for such ABS's. For the ABS $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ corresponding to N_ξ , we have then the following diagram of S :




Note that the diagram satisfies that $\delta(t) \neq \delta(\pi_0^{-1}(t))$ for all elements t except for these two elements. Let π be the small modification by $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_2}^B$, and we obtain the admissible ABS $S' = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$. By the construction of S' , we have $\delta(t) \neq \delta(\pi(t))$ for all elements of \tilde{S}' . Hence we obtain binary expansions of elements by $b(t) = 0.1010 \dots$ if $\delta(t) = 0$ and $b(t) = 0.0101 \dots$ otherwise. Therefore, the ABS S' is associated to a $\text{DM}_1 mN_{1,1}$ with $m = m_1 + m_2$. Note that this ABS satisfies that $\ell(S') < \ell(S) - 1$. In this case, by the small modification, we have $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{1_1^A, \dots, 1_{m_1}^A, 1_1^B, \dots, 1_{m_2}^B\}$. For two elements t and t' of $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ and for every non-negative integer n , we have $\delta(\pi^n(t)) = \delta(\pi^n(t'))$. Hence there exists no non-negative integer n satisfying $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \emptyset$.

Let S be the ABS associated to N_ξ with a Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ satisfying that $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. For the case $\xi = (m_1, m_1 + 1) + (m_2, m_2 - 1)$, we have seen that the specialization of S obtained by exchanging $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_2}^B$ is associated to the $\text{DM}_1 (m_1 + m_2)N_{1,1}$. Moreover, for the other cases, we obtain specializations by full modifications. From now on, for a small modification π by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , we denote by a and b the minimum non-negative integers satisfying that $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$. The specialization S' obtained by exchanging 0_i^A and 1_j^B is equal to the ABS $(S^{(a+b)}, \delta, \pi)$.

3.3 Some examples

In Example 3.9, we introduced an example of full modifications by 0_i^A and 1_j^B with $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_2$. Here, let us see examples of the case $n_2 < j \leq m_2$, which are examples of the latter part of the proof of Proposition 3.20.

Example 3.21. Let $N = N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$. For the ABS $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ associated to N , we construct the small modification π by 0_6^A and 1_5^B . Then we get $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{0_7^A, 0_8^A, 0_9^A\}$ and $a = 2$. The ABS $(S^{(2)}, \delta, \pi)$ is described as




Then we have $I = \emptyset$, and sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ are given by

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{1_1^B, 1_2^B, 1_3^B, 1_4^B\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(1)} = \{0_6^A, 0_6^B, 0_7^B\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(2)} = \{1_1^B, 1_2^B\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(3)} = \{0_6^A\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(4)} = \emptyset.$$

The ABS S' is obtained by the following:

$$S' = 1_1^A \ 0_3^A \ 0_5^A \ 1_2^A \ 1_5^B \ 0_7^A \ 1_3^B \ 1_1^B \ 0_4^A \ 1_2^B \ 0_8^A \ 0_9^A \ 0_8^B \ 0_6^B \ 1_4^B \ 0_6^A \ 0_7^B.$$

Example 3.22. Let $N = N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$. For the ABS $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ associated to N , we construct the small modification π by 0_4^A and 1_4^B . Then we get $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{0_5^A, 0_6^A, 0_7^A, 0_8^A, 0_9^A\}$ and $a = 1$. The ABS $(S^{(1)}, \delta, \pi)$ is described as follows:



Then $I = \{1_2^A\}$, and we have sets

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \{1_2^A, 1_1^B, 1_2^B, 1_3^B\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(1)} = \{0_9^A, 0_4^A, 0_6^B\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(2)} = \{1_2^A, 1_1^B\}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(3)} = \emptyset.$$

The ABS S' is obtained by the following:

$$S' = 1_1^A \ 0_3^A \ 1_4^B \ 0_5^A \ 0_6^A \ 1_2^B \ 0_7^A \ 1_2^A \ 1_1^B \ 1_3^B \ 0_8^A \ 0_7^B \ 1_5^B \ 0_9^A \ 0_4^A \ 0_6^B \ 0_8^B.$$

4 Classification of boundary components

Let ξ be a Newton polygon consisting of two segments satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. In this section, for the arrowed binary sequence S associated to the minimal DM_1 N_ξ , we characterize specializations S' of S satisfying $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$, i.e., we classify boundary components of central streams. Moreover, in Section 4.2, we show some properties of generic specializations. We will give a proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 5 using these properties.

4.1 Criterion of boundary components

Now, we state the first result (Theorem 4.1). Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon with $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$, and let N_ξ be the minimal DM_1 associated to ξ . Let $S = A \oplus B$ be the ABS corresponding to N_ξ , where A (resp. B) is the ABS corresponding to N_{m_1, n_1} (resp. N_{m_2, n_2}). Put $(\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0) = S$. Set $h_1 = m_1 + n_1$ and $h_2 = m_2 + n_2$. We construct the small modification π by 0_i^A with $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and 1_j^B with $1 \leq j \leq m_2$. Then for the notation of Section 3 we obtain sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ for $0 \leq n \leq a$, sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ for $0 \leq n \leq b$ and ABS's $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$ for $0 \leq n \leq a + b$, where a and b are the smallest non-negative integers satisfying that $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$. The main result of this section is

Theorem 4.1. Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon with $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. Let $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ be the ABS associated to the DM_1 N_ξ . Let $S' = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$ be the ABS obtained by exchanging 0_i^A and 1_j^B . Then $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ holds if and only if there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image of 1_j^B or $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image of 0_i^A for the small modification π .

This theorem gives a classification of generic specializations of $H(\xi)$. To show the above, we divide the problem into three cases depending on conditions of i and j as follows.

Definition 4.2. For the ABS $S = A \oplus B$ associated to the minimal DM_1 N_ξ with the Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$, we denote by $S' = S'(i, j)$ the specialization obtained by exchanging

0_i^A and 1_j^B . We define sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_1(S) &= \{S'(i, j) \mid m_1 < i \leq n_1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n_2\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_2(S) &= \{S'(i, j) \mid m_1 < i \leq n_1 \text{ and } n_2 < j \leq m_2\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_3(S) &= \{S'(i, j) \mid n_1 < i \leq h_1 \text{ and } n_2 < j \leq m_2\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let T be the ABS associated to a DM_1 N , and let T_D be the ABS associated to the dual N^D of N . We call this ABS *dual ABS of T* . For the above notation, we will give a concrete condition of i and j satisfying that the exchange of 0_i^A and 1_j^B is a good. Theorem 4.1 follows from this proposition:

Proposition 4.3. The following holds:

- (i) For $S' \in \mathcal{H}_1(S)$, the formula $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ holds if and only if there exists no non-negative integer n satisfying that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains $0_{i+m_1}^A$ or $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains $0_{j+m_2}^B$.
- (ii) For $S' \in \mathcal{H}_2(S)$, we have $\ell(S') < \ell(S) - 1$.
- (iii) For $S' \in \mathcal{H}_3(S)$, the formula $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ holds if and only if the dual ABS's S_D and S'_D satisfy $\ell(S'_D) = \ell(S_D) - 1$.

As an example, for an element S' of $\mathcal{H}_1(S)$, we immediately see that $0_{i+m_1}^A$ and $0_{j+m_2}^B$ are inverse images of 1_j^B and 0_i^A respectively.

If the Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ satisfies that $n_1 = m_1 + 1$ and $m_2 = n_2 + 1$ with $m_1 > 0$ or $n_2 > 0$, then the specialization S' obtained by exchanging $0_{n_1}^A$ and $1_{m_2}^B$ corresponds to the DM_1 $mN_{1,1}$ with $m = m_1 + m_2$. Clearly this S' satisfies $\ell(S') < \ell(S) - 1$. In this case, the set $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ contains the inverse image of $1_{m_2}^B$ for the small modification π by $0_{n_1}^A$ and $1_{m_2}^B$. We may assume that every specialization S' is obtained by the full modification $(S^{(a+b)}, \delta, \pi)$.

First, we will show (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4.3. For the ABS $(\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$ associated to N_ξ , fix elements 0_i^A and 1_j^B with $m_1 < i \leq n_1$. Let π be the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B . Let a (resp. b) denote the smallest non-negative integer such that $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$). We introduce some definitions to calculate the length of the specialization $S' = (S^{(a+b)}, \delta, \pi)$. For simplicity, we often write $\ell(S^{(n)})$ for the length of the ABS $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$.

Notation 4.4. For non-negative integers n with $n < a$, we define $d_A(n)$ by

$$d_A(n) = |\mathcal{A}^{(n)}| - |\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}|.$$

Moreover, we define $\Delta\ell_A(n)$ by

$$\Delta\ell_A(n) = \ell(S^{(n+1)}) - \ell(S^{(n)}).$$

Put $\Delta\ell_A = \sum_n \Delta\ell_A(n)$.

Notation 4.5. Let n' be a non-negative integer with $n' \geq a$. Put $n = n' - a$. We define $d_B(n)$ by

$$d_B(n) = |\mathcal{B}^{(n)}| - |\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}|.$$

Moreover, we define $\Delta\ell_B(n)$ by

$$\Delta\ell_B(n) = \ell(S^{(n'+1)}) - \ell(S^{(n')}).$$

Put $\Delta\ell_B = \sum_n \Delta\ell_B(n)$.

Example 4.6. Let $N = N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$. In Example 3.9, we constructed the small modification by elements 0_6^A and 1_3^B . By this small modification, the length of the ABS's decrease by four from S to $S^{(0)}$. We have $\Delta\ell_A = 1$ and $\Delta\ell_B = 2$. Thus the length is increased by three from $S^{(0)}$ to S' , and eventually we see $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$.

For the above definition of $d_A(n)$ and $d_B(n)$, we obtain Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8 which are used for evaluating values $\Delta\ell_A$ and $\Delta\ell_B$. Recall that I is the subset of $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ consisting of elements t of \tilde{A} .

Lemma 4.7. Let $S' \in \mathcal{H}_1(S)$. The following are true:

- (1) $\sum_n d_A(n) = n_1 - i$,
- (2) $\sum_n d_B(n) = |I| + j - 1$.

Proof. Clearly $\sum_n d_A(n)$ is given by $|\mathcal{A}^{(0)}| - |\mathcal{A}^{(a)}|$. As $|\mathcal{A}^{(0)}| = n_1 - i$ and $|\mathcal{A}^{(a)}| = 0$, we obtain the desired value. Similarly, we obtain (2) since $|\mathcal{B}^{(0)}| = |I| + j - 1$ and $|\mathcal{B}^{(b)}| = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.8. Let $S' \in \mathcal{H}_2(S)$. The following are true:

- (1) $\sum_n d_A(n) = h_1 - i$,
- (2) $\sum_n d_B(n) = |I| + j - 1$.

Proof. Note that we have $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{0_{i+1}^A, \dots, 0_{h_1}^A\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = I \cup \{1_1^B, \dots, 1_{j-1}^B\}$ in this case. A proof is given by the same way as Lemma 4.7. \square

Notation 4.9. For an element t of $S^{(n)}$ with $\delta(t) = 1$, we define $\ell(t, n)$ by the number of elements t' satisfying that $t' < t$ and $\delta(t') = 0$ in $S^{(n)}$. For instance, the sum $\sum_t \ell(t, n)$ is equal to the length of the ABS $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$.

We will give a criterion of generic specializations in Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.1 by comparing values of $d_A(n)$ and $\Delta\ell_A(n)$, or $d_B(n)$ and $\Delta\ell_B(n)$ using Proposition 4.11 and Proposition 4.12 below.

Lemma 4.10. Let n be a non-negative integer. Put $\alpha = \pi^{n+1}(0_i^A)$ and $\beta = \pi^{n+1}(1_j^B)$. The following holds:

- (8) $|\Delta\ell_A(n)| = \#\{t \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \mid \delta(\pi(t)) \neq \delta(\alpha)\},$
- (9) $|\Delta\ell_B(n)| = \#\{t \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)} \mid \delta(\pi(t)) \neq \delta(\beta)\}.$

Concretely, if $\delta(\alpha) = 0$ (resp. $\delta(\alpha) = 1$), we have then $\Delta\ell_A(n) \leq 0$ (resp. $\Delta\ell_A(n) \geq 0$), and if $\delta(\beta) = 0$ (resp. $\delta(\beta) = 1$), we have then $\Delta\ell_B(n) \geq 0$ (resp. $\Delta\ell_B(n) \leq 0$).

Proof. We fix a non-negative integer n , and let us show that the equation (8) holds. In the same way, we can obtain the equation (9). We divide the proof into two cases depending on the value of $\delta(\alpha)$. First, suppose $\delta(\alpha) = 0$. If $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ does not contain 1_j^B , then it follows from Proposition 3.13 that all elements t of $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ satisfy $\delta(t) = 0$, and hence we have $d_A(n) = 0$. Moreover, in this case $\Delta\ell_A(n) = 0$ holds. If $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ contains 1_j^B , then all elements t of $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ satisfy $\delta(t) = 0$ except for 1_j^B , whence we have $d_A(n) = 1$. Then $\ell(1_j^B, n+1) = \ell(1_j^B, n) - 1$ holds. Moreover, $\ell(t, n+1) = \ell(t, n)$ holds for the other elements, whence we have $\Delta\ell_A(n) = -1$. Next, let us see

the case of $\delta(\alpha) = 1$. By the construction of $S^{(n+1)}$, it is clear that $\ell(\alpha, n+1) = \ell(\alpha, n) + r$, where $r = \#\{t \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \mid \delta(\pi(t)) \neq \delta(\alpha)\}$. Moreover, $\ell(t, n+1) = \ell(t, n)$ holds for the other elements t . Clearly we have $d_B(n) = r$. Hence we get desired equality for the case of $\delta(\alpha) = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.11. For all non-negative integers n , an inequality $\Delta\ell_A(n) \leq d_A(n)$ holds. The equality holds for all n if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ do not contain the inverse image of 1_j^B for all n .

Proof. By the condition $m_1 < i \leq n_1$, in ABS's $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$, the inverse image of 1_j^B is obtained by $0_{i+m_1}^A$. We fix a non-negative integer n with $n < a$. Put $\alpha = \pi^{n+1}(0_i^A)$. In the ordered set $S^{(n+1)}$, the element α is located in the right of elements of $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ does not contain $0_{i+m_1}^A$. This assumption implies that $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ contains no element of B . If $\delta(\alpha) = 0$, then all elements t of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ satisfy $\delta(\pi(t)) = 0$. Hence we have $\Delta\ell_A(n) = 0$, and then $d_A(n) = 0$ holds. If $\delta(\alpha) = 1$, by Proposition 3.11, the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}$ is obtained by $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}) \setminus \Xi$, where

$$\Xi = \{\pi(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \text{ and } \delta(\pi(t)) \neq \delta(\alpha)\}.$$

We immediately obtain $|\Xi| = d_A(n)$. Lemma 4.10 concludes that $\Delta\ell_A(n) = d_A(n)$.

Assume that there exists a non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains $0_{i+m_1}^A$. We divide the proof into two cases depending on the value of $\delta(\alpha)$. First, if $\delta(\alpha) = 0$, it follows from $\ell(1_j^B, n+1) = \ell(1_j^B, n) - 1$ that $\Delta\ell_A(n) = -1$. As $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}$ is obtained by $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)} = \pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}) \setminus \{1_j^B\}$, we have $d_A(n) = 1$. Hence we get $\Delta\ell_A(n) < d_A(n)$. Next, if $\delta(\alpha) = 1$, we obtain the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}$ by $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}) \setminus \Xi'$, where

$$\Xi' = \{\pi(t) \mid t \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)}, \text{ with } \delta(\pi(t)) = 0 \text{ or } \pi(t) \in \tilde{B}\}.$$

It is clear that Ξ' contains 1_j^B in this hypothesis. We have $d_A(n) = |\Xi'|$. On the other hand, since $\delta(1_j^B) = \delta(\alpha)$, we have $\ell(\alpha, n+1) = \ell(\alpha, n) + (|\Xi'| - 1)$, and it implies that $\Delta\ell_A(n) = |\Xi'| - 1$. Hence we get $\Delta\ell_A(n) < d_A(n)$. \square

Proposition 4.12. For all non-negative integers n , an inequality $\Delta\ell_B(n) \leq d_B(n)$ holds. Moreover, for $1 \leq j \leq n_2$, the equality holds for all n if and only if

- (1) $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ do not contain the inverse image of 0_i^A for all n , and
- (2) $I = \emptyset$.

Proof. For all j , the inequality follows from Lemma 4.10. To see the latter part, we treat the case of $1 \leq j \leq n_2$. In this hypothesis, in ABS's $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$, the inverse image of 0_i^A is obtained by $0_{j+m_2}^B$. If sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ do not contain $0_{j+m_2}^B$ for all n and $I = \emptyset$, then we can show that the equality $\Delta\ell_B(n) = d_B(n)$ holds in the same way as Proposition 4.11.

Let us see the converse. Put $\beta_n = \pi^n(1_j^B)$ for non-negative integers n . We assume that $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains $0_{j+m_2}^B$ for a non-negative integer n . By the condition $n_2/h_2 < 1/2$ with $h_2 = m_2 + n_2$, we have $\delta(t) = 0$ and $\delta(\pi(t)) = 1$ for all elements t of $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ except for $0_{j+m_2}^B$. Moreover, we have $\delta(\beta_n) = 0$ and $\delta(\beta_{n+1}) = 1$. In the ABS $(S^{(a+n+1)}, \delta, \pi)$ we have $\beta_{n+1} < \pi(t_{\min})$, where t_{\min} is the minimum element of $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$. We have then $\Delta\ell_B(n) = -1$ since $\ell(\beta_{n+1}, n+1) = \ell(\beta_{n+1}, n) - 1$ and $\ell(t, n+1) = \ell(t, n)$ for the other elements t of $S^{(a+n+1)}$. On the other hand, we have $d_B(n) = 1$. In fact, $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$ is given by $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)} = \pi(\mathcal{B}^{(n)}) \setminus \{0_i^A\}$.

Next, assume $I \neq \emptyset$. We divide the proof into two cases depending on values of $\delta(\beta_1)$. If $\delta(\beta_1) = 0$, then the set $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ is the union of Λ and $\pi(I)$, where Λ is the subset of $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ consisting of elements t of $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}$ satisfying $t \in B$. Note that $\delta(\beta_2) = 1$ in this hypothesis. We have $\delta(\pi(t)) = 1$ for every element t of Λ . Moreover, Lemma 3.18 implies that $\delta(\pi(t)) = 0$ for every element t of $\pi(I)$. Hence we have $\ell(\beta_2, 2) = \ell(\beta_2, 1) - |I|$, and it implies that $\Delta\ell_B(1) = -|I|$. On the other hand, we have $d_B(1) = |I|$, whence $\Delta\ell_B(1) < d_B(1)$ holds. Let us suppose $\delta(\beta_1) = 1$. In this case, we obtain $\Delta\ell_B(0) = -|I|$. Since $d_B(0) = |I|$, we have $\Delta\ell_B(0) < d_B(0)$. \square

Thanks to the above propositions, we can prove Proposition 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.3 (i). We have $\ell((S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi)) - \ell(S) = -(n_1 - i + j)$. Note that if there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image of 1_j^B , then $I = \emptyset$ holds. Furthermore, if there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image of 0_i^A , then Lemma 4.7, Proposition 4.11 and Proposition 4.12 imply that $\Delta\ell_A = n_1 - i$ and $\Delta\ell_B = j - 1$. Hence we have $\ell(S') - \ell((S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi)) = n_1 - i + j - 1$, and $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ holds.

Suppose that there exists a non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image of 1_j^B or $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image of 0_i^A . If $I = \emptyset$, then we have $\Delta\ell_A < n_1 - i$ or $\Delta\ell_B < j - 1$. Then we have $\ell(S') < \ell(S) - 1$. On the other hand, if $I \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\Delta\ell_A \leq n_1 - i - |I|$. Moreover, by the proof of Proposition 4.12, as there exists a non-negative integer m such that $\Delta\ell_B(m) = -|I|$, we have $\Delta\ell_B < j - 1$. Hence we have $\ell(S') < \ell(S) - 1$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.3 (ii). In this case, the set $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ is given by $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \{0_{i+1}^A, \dots, 0_{h_1}^A\}$. We have $\ell((S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi)) - \ell(S) = -(h_1 - i + j)$. By the condition of i , the set $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ contains $0_{i+m_1}^A$ which is the inverse image of 1_j^B . Hence we have $\Delta\ell_A < h_1 - i$ by Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.11. Moreover, we have $\Delta\ell_B \leq j - 1$ since if $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains the element $\pi^n(t)$ for $t \in I$, then $\Delta\ell_B(n) < 0$. and hence $\ell(S') < \ell(S) - 1$ holds. In the case $n_1 = m_1 + 1$ and $m_2 = n_2 + 1$, for the small modification π by $0_{n_1}^A$ and $1_{m_2}^B$, the set $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ contains the inverse image of $1_{m_2}^B$. \square

Let us classify specializations satisfying $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ for $S' \in \mathcal{H}_3(S)$. We use the duality to consider this case. Let $N = N_\xi$ be the DM₁ with a Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. Let N^D be the dual of N . Then we have $N^D = N_{n_2, m_2} \oplus N_{n_1, m_1}$. Let S_D be the ABS corresponding to N^D . Note that $\ell(S) = \ell(S_D)$ follows from the definition of the lengths of ABS's.

Proof of Proposition 4.3 (iii). Fix an ABS $S' \in \mathcal{H}_3(S)$. For the same notation as above, $S_D = B_D \oplus A_D$, where B_D and A_D correspond to N_{n_2, m_2} and N_{n_1, m_1} respectively. The elements 0_i^A and 1_j^B correspond to $1_{j'} = 1_{j'}^{A_D}$ and $0_{i'} = 0_{i'}^{B_D}$ by the duality, with $i' = h_2 - j + 1$ and $j' = h_1 - i + 1$. Then $S'_D = (S_D)'$ is the ABS obtained by the small modification by $0_{i'}$ and $1_{j'}$ in S_D . Since S'_D belongs to $\mathcal{H}_1(S_D)$, the exchange of $0_{i'}$ and $1_{j'}$ in S_D is good if and only if the small modification by $0_{i'}$ and $1_{j'}$ satisfies the necessary and sufficient condition of Proposition 4.3 (i). As the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B in S corresponds to the small modification by $0_{i'}$ and $1_{j'}$ in S_D , the equality $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ holds if and only if $\ell(S'_D) = \ell(S_D) - 1$ holds. \square

Finally, Proposition 4.3 induces the main theorem of this section (Theorem 4.1).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Proposition 4.3 (i) and (ii) imply that the statement of Theorem 4.1 holds for ABS's S' of $\mathcal{H}_1(S)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2(S)$. Moreover, by the duality, Proposition 4.3 (iii) concludes that

$\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$ holds if and only if there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$) does not contain the inverse image of 1_j^B (resp. 0_i^A) for $S' \in \mathcal{H}_3(S)$. \square

We want to determine boundary components of $H(\xi)$ for arbitrary Newton polygons ξ . If Conjecture 4.13 is true, then the two segments case is essential for classification of boundary components of all central streams.

Conjecture 4.13. Let ξ be a Newton polygon of z segments. Let N_ξ be the minimal DM_1 of ξ . A specialization of N_ξ is generic if and only if it is the direct sum of a generic specialization of $N_r \oplus N_{r+1}$ and the minimal p -divisible group $N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{r-1} \oplus N_{r+2} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_z$ for a natural number r . Here, N_i is the simple DM_1 associated with the i -th segment of ξ .

4.2 Some properties of generic specializations

Here, we introduce some notation and properties of generic specializations, which are useful for showing Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 imply that it suffices to deal with ABS's of $\mathcal{H}_1(S)$ to study boundary components of central streams. From now on, in this paper, for elements 0_i^A and 1_j^B used in the construction of the small modification π , we make the assumption $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_2$. Note that for the good exchange of 0_i^A and 1_j^B , if a and b are positive, then non-negative integers a and b satisfy that $\pi^a(0_i^A) = 1_{m_1}^A$ and $\pi^b(1_j^B) = 0_{m_2+1}^B$.

By Theorem 4.3, if the exchange of 0_i^A and 1_j^B is good, then sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$) are subsets of \tilde{A} (resp. \tilde{B}) for all non-negative integers n , whence for the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$) and the value $\Delta\ell_A$ (resp. $\Delta\ell_B$) do not depend on j (resp. i). Thus we define the following sets.

Definition 4.14. Let $A = (\tilde{A}, \delta_A, \pi_A)$ and $B = (\tilde{B}, \delta_B, \pi_B)$ be the ABS of N_{m_1, n_1} and N_{m_2, n_2} respectively. For the ABS $S = A \oplus B$, which is associated to N_ξ , let G be the subset of $\tilde{A} \times \tilde{B}$ consisting of pairs $(0_i^A, 1_j^B)$ such that exchanges of 0_i^A and 1_j^B are good. By the above, this set is described as $G = C' \times D'$ with $C' \subset \tilde{A}$ and $D' \subset \tilde{B}$. Equivalently, we obtain a generic specialization of S if and only if we construct a small modification by an element of C' and an element of D' . Moreover, let C (resp. D) be the subset of C' (resp. D') consisting of elements satisfying that $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$) is not empty.

From now on, we fix the notation of C' , C , D' and D . We have $C' \setminus C = \{0_{n_1}^A\}$ and $D' \setminus D = \{1_1^B\}$. We call the construction of a full modification using an element of C' and an element of D' good exchange.

Example 4.15. Let $N_\xi = N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$. Then the set $G = C' \times D'$ is given by

$$C' \times D' = \{(0_6^A, 1_1^B), (0_6^A, 1_3^B), (0_7^A, 1_1^B), (0_7^A, 1_3^B)\}.$$

We have $C' = \{0_6^A, 0_7^A\}$ and $D' = \{1_1^B, 1_3^B\}$.

In Lemma 4.16 and Proposition 4.17, we give some properties of $\{\mathcal{A}^{(n)}\}_{n=0, \dots, a}$ and $\{\mathcal{B}^{(n)}\}_{n=0, \dots, b}$ for generic specializations. For the ABS S associated to N_ξ , let $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$ be ABS's obtained by constructing the full modification by $0_i^A \in C'$ and $1_j^B \in D'$ for $n = 0, \dots, a + b$.

Lemma 4.16. Let n be a non-negative integer. For a good exchange, if $d_A(n) > 0$ (resp. $d_B(n) > 0$) and $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$) is not empty, then $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$) has the maximum element $1_{m_1}^A$ (resp. the minimum element $0_{m_2+1}^B$).

Proof. Let us see the case $d_A(n) > 0$. We can show the case $d_B(n) > 0$ in the same way. Fix a non-negative integer n . Put $\alpha = \pi^n(0_i^A)$. Clearly, if $\delta(\alpha) = \delta(\pi(\alpha)) = 0$, then $\delta(\pi(t)) = \delta(\pi(\alpha))$ holds for all elements t of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Moreover, if $\delta(\alpha) = 1$, we have then $\delta(\pi(\alpha)) = \delta(\pi(t)) = 0$ for all elements t of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. It implies that $d_A(n) = 0$ holds in these cases. Hence it suffices to see the case that $\delta(\alpha) = 0$ and $\delta(\pi(\alpha)) = 1$. Then we have $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)}) = \{1_x^A, \dots, 1_{m_1}^A, 0_{m_1+1}^A, \dots, 0_y^A\}$. It induces that the maximum element of $\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}$ is $1_{m_1}^A$. \square

Proposition 4.17. For a good exchange, let n be a non-negative integer satisfying $n < a$, and let c be the natural number satisfying that $\pi^n(0_i^A) = \tau_c^A$ with $\tau = 0$ or 1 . The set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is obtained by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \{\tau_{c+1}^A, \tau_{c+2}^A, \dots, \tau_{c+u}^A\},$$

where $u = |\mathcal{A}^{(n)}|$. Similarly, for a non-negative integer n with $n < b$ and the natural number c satisfying $\pi^n(1_j^B) = \tau_c^B$, the set $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ is obtained by

$$\mathcal{B}^{(n)} = \{\tau_{c-v}^B, \tau_{c-v+1}^B, \dots, \tau_{c-1}^B\},$$

where $v = |\mathcal{B}^{(n)}|$.

Proof. Let us see sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Note that we have $I = \emptyset$, and we can see the latter part in the same way. We use induction on n . Clearly the statement holds for $n = 0$. For a natural number n , suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)} = \{\tau_{c+1}^A, \dots, \tau_{c+u}^A\}$ with $u = |\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}|$. We have $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}) \setminus T$, with

$$T = \{\pi(t) \mid \delta(\pi(t)) \neq \delta(\pi^n(0_i^A)) \text{ for } t \in \mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}\}.$$

If $T = \emptyset$, we clearly have the property for $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$. Suppose that $T \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.16, we see $\mathcal{A}^{(n)} = \{1_{c+1}^A, 1_{c+2}^A, \dots, 1_{m_1-1}^A, 1_{m_1}^A\}$. This completes the proof. \square

5 Determining Newton polygons of generic specializations

The main purpose of this section is to show Theorem 1.3. For a minimal DM_1 N_ξ and the ABS S associated to N_ξ , we say a specialization N' of N_ξ is generic if the specialization S' associated to N' satisfies $\ell(S') = \ell(S) - 1$, and we denote by N_ξ^- this generic specialization of N_ξ . We will show Proposition 5.1 which is a key step of constructing specializations from a generic specialization of N_ξ to a minimal DM_1 N_ζ with $\zeta \prec \xi$ is saturated. The main result of this section is

Proposition 5.1. Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon satisfying $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1 = n_1/(m_1 + n_1)$ and $\lambda_2 = n_2/(m_2 + n_2)$. Assume that ξ is not $(0, 1) + (1, 0)$. Let N_ξ be the DM_1 of ξ . For every generic specialization N_ξ^- , there exist N_ξ^{--} and $N_{\xi'}^-$ satisfying

$$(10) \quad N_\xi^{--} = N_{\xi'}^- \oplus N_\rho,$$

where $\rho = (f, g)$ is a Newton polygon and ρ is uniquely determined by ξ so that the area of the region surrounded by ξ , ξ' and ρ is one. The diagram of these Newton polygons is described as

either of the following:



For the above statement, we will determine the diagram of Newton polygons in Proposition 5.2 dividing the cases into the conditions of ξ . We fix notations as follows: Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon satisfying that $\lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1$. Set $h_1 = m_1 + n_1$ and $h_2 = m_2 + n_2$. For the ABS $S = A \oplus B$ associated to the DM₁ $N_\xi = N_{m_1, n_1} \oplus N_{m_2, n_2}$, let S^- denote the ABS obtained by a good exchange by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , and let N_ξ^- be the DM₁ corresponding to S^- . Recall that by the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , we obtain sets $\{\mathcal{A}^{(n)}\}_{n=0, \dots, a}$ and $\{\mathcal{B}^{(n)}\}_{n=0, \dots, b}$, where a (resp. b) is the smallest non-negative integer satisfying that $\mathcal{A}^{(a)} = \emptyset$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{(b)} = \emptyset$). Note that if a and b are positive, then $\pi^a(0_i^A) = 1_{m_1}^A$ and $\pi^b(1_j^B) = 0_{m_2+1}^B$. For the ABS $S = (\tilde{S}, \delta, \pi_0)$, the generic specialization S^- obtained by exchanging 0_i^A and 1_j^B is constructed by ABS's $\{(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)\}_{n=0, \dots, a+b}$. Since we can use the duality of DM₁'s for $S^- \in \mathcal{H}_3(S)$, it suffices to see the case that S^- belongs to $\mathcal{H}_1(S)$. Hence we assume that $m_1 < i \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_2$.

Theorem 1.3 is obtained by applying Proposition 5.1 inductively. In Proposition 5.2, we concretely give an operation to obtain N_ξ^{--} satisfying the equality (10).

Proposition 5.2. Let $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$ be a Newton polygon satisfying $0 < \lambda_2 < 1/2 < \lambda_1 < 1$. Let N_ξ^- be any generic specialization of N_ξ . Let S^- be the ABS associated to N_ξ^- .

(I) If $n_1 > m_1 + 1$, then we obtain the equality (10) for N_ξ^{--} corresponding to S^{--} , where S^{--} is either of the following:

- (a) S^{--} is the specialization obtained by exchanging $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$ for S^- , or
- (b) S^{--} is the specialization obtained by exchanging 0_{i-1}^A and 1_j^B for S^- .

For these cases, the Newton polygon ξ' of (10) is of the form $\xi' = (m_1 - f, n_1 - g) + (m_2, n_2)$.

(II) If $n_1 = m_1 + 1$, then we obtain the equality (10) for N_ξ^{--} corresponding to S^{--} , where S^{--} is any of the following:

- (c) S^{--} is the specialization obtained by exchanging $0_{m_2+1}^B$ and $1_{m_2}^B$ for S^- ,
- (d) S^{--} is the specialization obtained by exchanging 0_i^A and 1_{j+1}^B for S^- ,
- (e) S^{--} is the specialization obtained by exchanging $0_{h_1}^A$ and $1_{n_2+1}^B$ for S^- .

For (c) and (d), the Newton polygon ξ' of (10) is of the form $\xi' = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2 - f, n_2 - g)$, and for the case (e), we have $\xi' = (m_1 - 1, n_1 - 1) + (m_2, n_2)$.

5.1 Proof of Proposition 5.2 (I)

Let us show Proposition 5.2 (I). Assume $n_1 > m_1 + 1$. By the construction of S^- which is obtained by a good exchange of $0_i^A \in C'$ and $1_j^B \in D'$, we have $0_{i-1}^A < 1_j^B$ in this ABS; see Definition 4.14 for the definition of sets C' and D' . In fact, it is clear that $0_{i-1}^A < 1_j^B$ in $S^{(0)}$. Moreover, if $1_j^B < 0_{i-1}^A$ is true in S^- , then there exists a natural number n with $n < a$ such that $\pi^n(0_i^A) = 0_{i-1}^A$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)}$ contains the inverse image of 1_j^B . This contradicts with $\ell(S^-) = \ell(S) - 1$. Here, to treat the case (a) of Proposition 5.2, in Proposition 5.4, we will see that $0_{m_1+1}^A < 1_{m_1}^A$ holds in S^- . The following notation is useful for showing some properties of ABS's.

Notation 5.3. Let (\tilde{T}, δ, π) be an ABS. For an element t of \tilde{T} , we often express a subset $\{\pi^m(t) \mid 0 \leq m \leq n\}$ of \tilde{T} as

$$t \rightarrow \pi(t) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \pi^n(t),$$

and we call this diagram a *path*.

Proposition 5.4. We have $0_{m_1+1}^A < 1_{m_1}^A$ in the ABS S^- obtained by a good exchange of $0_i^A \in C$ and $1_j^B \in D'$. Moreover, there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\pi^n(0_i^A) = 0_{m_1+1}^A$ with $n \leq a$.

Proof. By the condition $n_1 - m_1 > 1$, we have $h_1 > 2$. First, to show the former statement, let us see that the non-negative integer a is not greater than $h_1 - 2$. For a good exchange, the non-negative integer a satisfies that $\pi^a(0_i^A) = 1_{m_1}^A$. We clearly have $a < h_1$. If $a = h_1 - 1$, we have then $i = h_1$. This contradicts with the condition of the natural number i . Hence we have $a \leq h_1 - 2$.

In the ABS A which is associated to the simple DM_1 N_{m_1, n_1} , binary expansions of $1_{m_1}^A$ and $0_{m_1+1}^A$ are given by

$$(11) \quad b(1_{m_1}^A) = 0.b_1b_2 \cdots b_{h_1-2}01 \cdots,$$

$$(12) \quad b(0_{m_1+1}^A) = 0.b_1b_2 \cdots b_{h_1-2}10 \cdots.$$

For elements 0_i^A and 0_{i+1}^A of S^- , we have two paths as follows:

$$(13) \quad 0_i^A \xrightarrow{\pi} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} 1_{m_1}^A,$$

$$(14) \quad 0_{i+1}^A \xrightarrow{\pi} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} 0_{m_1+1}^A.$$

It is clear that 0_{i+1}^A belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$. Moreover, the above binary expansions and Corollary 3.12 induce that $\pi^n(0_{i+1}^A)$ belongs to the set $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n-1)})$ for every natural number n with $n \leq a$. In particular, we apply this property for $n = a$, and we have $0_{m_1+1}^A \in \pi(\mathcal{A}^{(a-1)})$. By the construction of $S^{(a)}$, we obtain $0_{m_1+1}^A < 1_{m_1}^A$ in $(S^{(a)}, \delta, \pi)$ and S^- .

Let us see the latter statement. Suppose that there exists a non-negative integer n with $n \leq a$ satisfying that $\pi^n(0_i^A) = 0_{m_1+1}^A$. If this hypothesis leads $1_{m_1}^A < 0_{m_1+1}^A$, then we have a contradiction with the former statement. Suppose that $0_{m_1+1}^A < 1_{m_1}^A$ in S^- . Then the set $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(a-1)})$ contains the element $\pi^n(0_i^A)$. It implies that the set $\mathcal{A}^{(a-1)}$ contains the element $\pi^{n-1}(0_i^A)$. Proposition 3.13 concludes that this is a contradiction, and hence $1_{m_1}^A < 0_{m_1+1}^A$ holds. \square

In the same way, we obtain the following assertion which is used in the proof of Proposition 5.2 (II).

Proposition 5.5. For a good exchange of $0_i^A \in C'$ and $1_j^B \in D$, we have $0_{m_2+1}^B < 1_{m_2}^B$ in the ABS S^- . Moreover, there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\pi^n(1_j^B) = 1_{m_2}^B$ with $n \leq b$.

Notation 5.6. For the ABS A associated to N_{m_1, n_1} , we define sub-paths P and Q of A by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} P & : 1_{m_1}^A \rightarrow 0_{h_1}^A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{2m_1+1}^A, \\ Q & : 0_{m_1+1}^A \rightarrow 1_1^A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{2m_1}^A. \end{aligned}$$


Clearly A is a disjoint union of P and Q as sets.

The above paths P and Q are useful. For instance, in the case (a) of Proposition 5.2, for the ABS $S_\rho = (\tilde{S}_\rho, \delta_\rho, \pi_\rho)$ which is associated to N_ρ of Proposition 5.1, the set \tilde{S}_ρ consists of all elements of P . Moreover, the path Q has the following property:


Lemma 5.7. The set C is contained in Q .

Proof. Suppose that there exists an element 0_i^A of C which belongs to P . Then there exists a natural number n such that $\pi^n(0_i^A) = 0_{m_1+1}^A$ with $n < a$. This contradicts with the latter statement of Proposition 5.4. \square


Here, let us consider the construction of the ABS obtained by (a) or (b) of Proposition 5.2. The ABS S^- obtained by the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B is described as the following diagram:



First, let us consider the case (a). By constructing the small modification by $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$, images of $0_{2m_1+1}^A$ and $0_{2m_1}^A$ are switched, and we obtain the ABS which consists of two components as follows:



The former component consists of all elements of P . The DM_1 corresponding to this component is described as N_ρ with a Newton polygon $\rho = (f, g)$. Since this component coincides with the component obtained from A by applying [1, Lemma 5.6] to the adjacent $1_{m_1}^A$ $0_{m_1+1}^A$, we have $fn_1 - gm_1 = 1$. Next, let us see the case (b). By constructing the small modification by 0_{i-1}^A and 1_j^B , images of $0_{i-1+m_1}^A$ and $0_{i+m_1}^A$ are switched, and we obtain the ABS which consists of two components:



The latter component contains elements $\pi^n(0_i^A)$ for all non-negative integers n with $n \leq a$. The former component corresponds to the $\text{DM}_1 N_\rho$ with a Newton polygon $\rho = (f, g)$. Since this component coincides with the component obtained from A by applying [1, Lemma 5.6] to the adjacent $0_{i-1}^A 0_i^A$, we have $fn_1 - gm_1 = 1$.

Hence for the cases (a) and (b), the ABS S^{--} has two components, where one is associated to the $\text{DM}_1 N_\rho$. We will show that for the other component, there exists a Newton polygon ξ' such that this component corresponds to the $\text{DM}_1 N_{\xi'}^-$ satisfying (10) of Proposition 5.2.

Definition 5.8. We define C_1 (resp. C_2) to be the subset of C' consisting of elements 0_i^A satisfying that for $N_{\xi'}^-$ obtained by a small modification by 0_i^A and $1_j^B \in D'$, we have the equality (10) by the case (a) (resp. (b)) of Proposition 5.2.

We denote by S'' the ABS obtained by the small modification by $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$ or the small modification by 0_{i-1}^A and 1_j^B for S^- . By the above, we see that the ABS S'' consists of two components, and a component of S'' is associated to N_ρ . Let Ψ be the other component of S'' . To show $C_1 \cup C_2 = C'$, we give a condition that an element t of C' belongs to C_1 or C_2 in Proposition 5.9. In the proof of this proposition, we give a method to determine the structure of the ABS Ψ .

Proposition 5.9. Let S^- be the generic specialization obtained by a small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B . If Ψ contains no element t satisfying that $0_{m_1+1}^A < t < 1_{m_1}^A$ (resp. $0_{i-1}^A < t < 1_j^B$) in S^- , then 0_i^A belongs to C_1 (resp. C_2).

Proof. Let us see the case that we construct S'' by the small modification by $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$. The other case (S'' is constructed by the small modification by 0_{i-1}^A and 1_j^B) is shown by the same way. For the ABS $S^- = (\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$, we construct the small modification π'' by $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$, and we obtain the admissible ABS $S'' = (\tilde{S}'', \delta, \pi'')$ consisting of two components. A component corresponds to N_ρ with $\rho = (f, g)$ satisfying $fn_1 - gm_1 = 1$. Let N be the DM_1 associated to the other component Ψ . To see that there exists a Newton polygon ξ' such that $N = N_{\xi'}^-$, we consider the small modification by 1_j^B and 0_i^A in S'' . Let χ be the small modification by 1_j^B and 0_i^A , and we obtain the map χ on $\tilde{\Psi}$. For the ordered set $\{t_1 < \dots < t_{h'}\}$ of Ψ , put $\Psi^{(0)} = \{t'_1 < \dots < t'_{h'}\}$, where if 0_i^A and 1_j^B are i' -th element and j' -th element of $\tilde{\Psi}$ respectively, then for $s = (i', j')$ transposition, we set $t'_z = t_{s(z)}$. We have the ABS $(\Psi^{(0)}, \delta, \chi)$. Here, we define sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{(0)} &= \{t \in \Psi^{(0)} \mid 0_i^A < t \text{ and } \chi(t) < \chi(0_i^A) \text{ in } \Psi^{(0)} \text{ with } \delta(t) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{(0)} &= \{t \in \Psi^{(0)} \mid t < 1_j^B \text{ and } \chi(1_j^B) < \chi(t) \text{ in } \Psi^{(0)} \text{ with } \delta(t) = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

Put $\alpha_n = \chi^n(0_i^A)$ and $\beta_n = \chi^n(1_j^B)$ for all non-negative integers n . For sets $\Psi^{(0)}, \dots, \Psi^{(n-1)}$ and sets $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathcal{D}^{(n-1)}$ with a natural number n , let $\Psi^{(n)} = \Psi^{(n-1)}$ as sets. We define the order on $\Psi^{(n)}$ to be for $t < t'$ in $\Psi^{(n-1)}$, we have $t > t'$ if and only if $\pi(t_{\min}) \leq t < \alpha_n$ in $\Psi^{(n-1)}$ and $t' = \alpha_n$, where t_{\min} is the minimum element of $\mathcal{D}^{(n-1)}$. In other words, in the ABS $\Psi^{(n-1)}$, we move the element α_n to the left of $\chi(t_{\min})$ to construct $\Psi^{(n)}$. We regard χ as a map on $\Psi^{(n)}$. Then we obtain the ABS $(\Psi^{(n)}, \delta, \chi)$. We define a set

$$\mathcal{D}^{(n)} = \{t \in \Psi^{(n)} \mid \alpha_n < t \text{ and } \chi(t) < \alpha_{n+1} \text{ in } \Psi^{(n)}, \text{ with } \delta(t) = \delta(\alpha_n)\}.$$


By hypothesis, we have $\mathcal{D}^{(n)} = \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \setminus \tilde{S}_\rho$ for all n . Here, $S_\rho = (\tilde{S}_\rho, \delta_\rho, \pi''_\rho)$ is the ABS associated to N_ρ , where δ_ρ (resp. π''_ρ) denotes the restriction of δ (resp. π'') to \tilde{S}_ρ . Hence there exists the

smallest integer a' such that $\mathcal{D}^{(a')} = \emptyset$. For sets $\Psi^{(a')}, \dots, \Psi^{(a'+n-1)}$ and sets $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(n-1)}$, let $\Psi^{(a'+n)} = \Psi^{(a'+n-1)}$ as sets. The ordering of $\Psi^{(a'+n)}$ is given so that for $t < t'$ in $\Psi^{(a'+n-1)}$, we have $t > t'$ if and only if $\beta_n < t' \leq \pi(t_{\max})$ in $\Psi^{(a'+n-1)}$ and $t = \beta_n$, where t_{\max} is the maximum element of $\mathcal{E}^{(n-1)}$. In other words, to obtain $\Psi^{(a'+n)}$, we move the element β_n to the right of $\chi(t_{\max})$. We regard χ as a map on $\Psi^{(a'+n)}$. Thus we obtain the ABS $(\Psi^{(a'+n)}, \delta, \chi)$. We define a set


$$\mathcal{E}^{(n)} = \{t \in \Psi^{(n)} \mid t < \beta_n \text{ and } \beta_{n+1} < \chi(t) \text{ in } \Psi^{(a'+n)}, \text{ with } \delta(t) = \delta(\beta_n)\}.$$

By hypothesis, we have $\mathcal{E}^{(n)} = \mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ for all n . Hence there exists the smallest integer b' such that $\mathcal{E}^{(b')} = \emptyset$. We obtain the admissible ABS $\Psi' = (\Psi^{(a'+b')}, \delta, \chi)$ which is associated to $N_{\xi'}$ with $\xi' = (m_1 - f, n_1 - g) + (m_2, n_2)$. We immediately obtain $\ell(\Psi') = \ell(\Psi) + 1$. Hence the ABS Ψ corresponds to $N_{\xi'}^-$. \square


Example 5.10. Let us see an example of constructing $N_{\xi'}$ and N_{ρ} from N_{ξ}^- . Let $N_{\xi} = N_{2,7} \oplus N_{5,3}$, and we construct the full modification by 0_6^A and 1_3^B . By Example 3.9, we have N_{ξ}^- as follows:



We construct the small modification by elements 0_3^A and 1_2^A for the ABS associated to N_{ξ}^- , and we obtain N_{ξ}^{--} by the following diagram which is decomposed into two cycles:



It is clear that the latter component is associated to the simple DM_1 $N_{1,3}$. Let us see that the former component N is a specialization of a minimal DM_1 . We construct the small modification by elements 1_3^B and 0_6^A , and we obtain the following diagram.



Clearly the former summand is associated to $N_{1,4}$. For the notation of Proposition 5.9, we have $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{E}^{(0)} = \{1_1^B, 1_2^B\}$. We move the element 0_6^B to between 1_5^B and 0_7^B . Thus we see that the latter summand is associated to the simple DM_1 $N_{5,3}$. Therefore we see that this diagram corresponds to $N_{1,4} \oplus N_{5,3}$, and we have $N_{\xi}^{--} = N_{(1,4)+(5,3)}^- \oplus N_{1,3}$.

Here, for an element t of C , we give a condition of t belongs to C_2 as follows:

Proposition 5.11. For a good exchange of $0_i^A \in C$ and $1_j^B \in D'$, we have an element t of \tilde{S}' satisfying $0_{i-1}^A < t < 1_j^B$ in S^- if and only if there exists a non-negative integer n such that the set $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ has the maximum element 0_{i-1}^A .

Proof. In the ABS $(S^{(0)}, \delta, \pi)$, clearly there exists no element t satisfying $0_{i-1}^A < t < 1_j^B$. Hence every element t between 0_{i-1}^A and 1_j^B in S^- is of the form $\pi^m(0_i^A)$ for a natural number m with $m \leq a$. Fix a natural number n . By definitions of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ and $S^{(n)}$, there exists an element t between 0_{i-1}^A and 1_j^B in $S^{(n+1)}$ if and only if $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{(n)})$ has the maximum element 0_{i-1}^A . Indeed, the element t is obtained by $t = \pi^{n+1}(0_i^A)$. \square

We consider the case that C is not empty. We set an order on the set C which plays an important role.

Notation 5.12. Put $\nu = |C|$. For $x = 1, \dots, \nu$, let i_x be the natural number with $m_1 < i_x \leq h_1$ such that $0_{i_x}^A$ is the element of C appearing in the x -th in the path Q . In the other words, we put $C = \{0_{i_1}^A, 0_{i_2}^A, \dots, 0_{i_\nu}^A\}$, where for elements $0_{i_x}^A = \pi_0^{l_x}(0_{m_1+1}^A)$ and $0_{i_y}^A = \pi_0^{l_y}(0_{m_1+1}^A)$ of C , we have $x < y$ if and only if $l_x < l_y$. The elements $0_{i_x}^A$, for $x = 1, \dots, \nu$, of C appear in the path Q as follows.

$$Q : 0_{m_1+1}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_1}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_2}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_\nu}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{2m_1}^A.$$

Here, we give a characterization of the ‘‘first’’ element $0_{i_1}^A$ of C in Lemma 5.13.

Lemma 5.13. If there exists the minimum number y such that the element $t = \pi_0^y(0_{m_1+1}^A)$ of Q satisfies $0_{m_1+1}^A < t < 0_{n_1}^A$ in A , then $t = 0_{i_1}^A$.

Proof. First, let us see that for an small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B , there exists no non-negative integer n such that $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains the element $0_{m_1+1}^A$. If $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains $0_{m_1+1}^A$ for a non-negative integer n , then $\pi^{n+1}(0_i^A) < 1_1^A$ holds. This is a contradiction.

To see that t belongs to C , for the ABS S of N_ξ , we consider an small modification π by t and 1_j^B with $1_j^B \in D'$. Let $S^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ denote sets obtained by the small modification by t and 1_j^B . Assume that for a non-negative integer n , the set $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ contains the inverse image t' of 1_j^B for π . In the ABS A , this t' is the inverse image of t . It is clear that t' belongs to Q . By Corollary 3.12, there exists an element t'' of $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ such that $\pi^n(t'') = t'$. By definition of t , and since elements $1_{m_1}^A$ and $0_{n_1}^A$ belong to P , elements τ_x^A , with $\tau = 0$ or 1 , of the path Q between $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and t satisfies $x < m_1$ or $n_1 < x$. It implies that these elements do not belong to $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} \subset \{0_{m_1+1}^A, \dots, 0_{n_1}^A\}$. Hence t'' belongs to P . Then the path from t'' to t' through $0_{m_1+1}^A$, i.e., there exists a natural number m such that $\pi^m(t'') = 0_{m_1+1}^A$ with $m < a$. This contradicts with the above property. \square

We consider the case $C \neq \emptyset$. Then we have the element $0_{i_1}^A$ of C . To apply Proposition 5.9, it is important to study elements between $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$ in S^- . The following set given in Notation 5.14 and the element of C given in Proposition 5.15 are useful for studying the elements between $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$ in S^- .

Notation 5.14. For an element 0_i^A of C' , we often write $\mathcal{A}_i^{(n)}$ for sets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ obtained by the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B to avoid confusion. Moreover, we often write a_i for the smallest non-negative integer a satisfying $\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)} = \emptyset$. For sets $\{\mathcal{A}_i^{(n)}\}_{n=0, \dots, a_i}$ with $0_i^A \in C$, we set

$$T_i = \pi(\mathcal{A}_i^{(a_i-1)}).$$

This set consists of all elements t satisfying $0_{m_1+1}^A \leq t < 1_{m_1}^A$ in S^- .

Proposition 5.15. Put $i = n_1 - \gamma$, with $\gamma = |T_{i_1}|$. Then 0_i^A belongs to C . Moreover, $T_{i_1} = T_i$ holds.

Proof. Since T_{i_x} consists of some elements of $\{0_{m_1+1}^A, \dots, 0_{n_1}^A\}$, we have $|T_{i_x}| < n_1 - m_1$ for all $0_{i_x}^A \in C$. Hence we have $m_1 < i < n_1$. To show that 0_i^A belongs to C , it suffices to see that there exists a natural number m such that $\mathcal{A}_i^{(0)} = \mathcal{A}_{i_1}^{(m)}$. In fact, if this statement is true, then $\mathcal{A}_i^{(n)} = \mathcal{A}_{i_1}^{(m+n)}$ holds for all n with $0 \leq n \leq a_i$. Put $\alpha = \pi^{m-1}(0_{i_1}^A)$. Note that α is the inverse image of 0_i^A in the ABS's $(S^{(n)}, \delta, \pi)$, where π is the small modification by 0_i^A and 1_j^B . By Proposition 3.13, sets $\mathcal{A}_{i_1}^{(m+n)}$ does not contain α . Hence sets $\mathcal{A}_i^{(n)}$ do not contain the inverse image of 0_i^A for all n , and this completes the proof. Let us see that there exists such a number m . By the definition of γ and Lemma 4.16, there exists a natural number m' such that $\mathcal{A}_{i_1}^{(m')} = \{1_{m_1-\gamma+1}^A, \dots, 1_{m_1}^A\}$. We have then $\mathcal{A}_{i_1}^{(m'+2)} = \{0_{n_1-\gamma+1}^A, \dots, 0_{n_1}^A\}$, and this set is equal to $\mathcal{A}_i^{(0)}$. Therefore the number m is obtained by $m = m' + 2$. The latter part $|T_{i_1}| = |T_i|$ follows from the former part. \square

Let d be a natural number satisfying $i_d = n_1 - \gamma$. From now on, we fix notations of the non-negative integers d and γ . As can be seen in Proposition 5.16, this natural number d plays an important role to show the former part of Proposition 5.2.

Proposition 5.16. Let $0_{i_x}^A$ be an element of C . For the above natural number d , we have

- (1) If $x \leq d$, then $0_{i_x}^A$ belongs to C_1 ,
- (2) If $x > d$, then $0_{i_x}^A$ belongs to C_2 .

Thanks to this proposition, we can show the case of $n_1 > m_1 + 1$ for Proposition 5.2.

Proof of Proposition 5.2 (I). If Proposition 5.16 is true, then the equality (10) of Proposition 5.2 holds for DM₁'s N_ξ^- which are obtained by small modifications by elements 0_i^A and 1_j^B of $C = C' \setminus \{0_{n_1}^A\}$ and D' respectively. Let us see the case of $i = n_1$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} = \emptyset$, and there exists no element t of S^- satisfying $0_{i-1}^A < t < 1_j^B$ in S^- . Hence we obtain desired $N_\xi^- = N_{\xi'}^- \oplus N_\rho$ by the case (b). \square

Let us show some properties of sets T_i , the natural numbers d and γ . These properties are used for showing Proposition 5.16.

Proposition 5.17. The following are true:

- (i) If $x < y$, then $T_{i_x} \subset T_{i_y}$ holds;
- (ii) For all n with $n < a_{i_d}$, we have $|\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}| = \gamma$;
- (iii) For all x and n with $n < a_{i_x}$, we have $|\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(n)}| \geq \gamma$;
- (iv) $T_{i_d} \subsetneq T_{i_x}$ holds for all x with $x > d$;
- (v) $T_{i_x} = T_{i_d}$ is true if and only if $x \leq d$.

Proof. Let us see (i). By assumption, there exists a natural number n such that $\pi^n(0_{i_x}^A) = 0_{i_y}^A$ and $n < a_{i_x}$. We have then $\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(n)} = \{0_{i_y+1}^A, \dots, 0_z^A\}$, with $z \leq n_1$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{A}_{i_y}^{(m+n)}$ holds for all non-negative integers m , and it induces the desired relation.

It is clear that $|\mathcal{A}^{(n)}| \geq |\mathcal{A}^{(n+1)}|$ for all n and all elements 0_i^A of C . By the definition of i_d and Proposition 5.15, we have $|\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(0)}| = |\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(a-1)}| = \gamma$ for $a = a_{i_d}$. Thus (ii) holds.

By (i) and the definition of γ , we have $|T_{i_x}| \geq \gamma$ for all x . Moreover, it is clear that $|\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(n)}| \geq |T_{i_x}|$ for all n and x . Hence we see (iii).

To see (iv), we fix a natural number x satisfying $x > d$. It suffices to see that $|T_{i_x}|$ is greater than γ . Suppose that $|T_{i_x}| = \gamma$. Then there exists the minimum number u such that $|\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(u)}| = \gamma$. We have $\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(u)} = \{1_{m_1-\gamma+1}^A, \dots, 1_{m_1}^A\}$ and $\pi^u(0_{i_x}^A) = 1_{m_1-\gamma}^A$. Then we have a sub-path of Q :

$$0_{i_d}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_x}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1_{m_1-\gamma}^A \rightarrow 0_{i_1-\gamma}^A \rightarrow 0_{n_1-\gamma}^A$$

which implies that there exists a natural number m such that $\pi^m(0_{i_d}^A) = 0_{i_d}^A$ for $m < m_1 + n_1$. This is a contradiction.

The statement (v) follows from Proposition 5.15, (i) and (iv). \square

The natural number d' introduced in Notation 5.18 has a relation with the natural number d . We give the proof of Proposition 5.16 using this relation.

Notation 5.18. For the admissible ABS S of N_ξ and the set C , we define a set \mathcal{L} by

$$\mathcal{L} = \{x \in \bar{C} \mid \text{For a non-negative integer } n = n(x), \text{ the maximum element of } \mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)} \text{ is } 0_{i_x-1}^A\},$$

where $\bar{C} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq x \leq |C|\}$. Let d' denote the maximum element of \mathcal{L} . If \mathcal{L} is empty, then we set $d' = 0$.

Proposition 5.19. For the set \mathcal{L} and the natural number d' of Notation 5.18, if \mathcal{L} is not empty, then $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, d'\}$.

Proof. Fix a natural number x satisfying $x \leq d'$. We show that $0_{i_x-1}^A$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(u)}$ for a non-negative integer u . Let n be the non-negative integer satisfying that the maximum element of $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}$ is $0_{i_{d'}-1}^A$. Let us consider the path consisting of maximum elements of $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(m)}$ for $0 \leq m \leq n$

$$(15) \quad 0_{n_1}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_{d'}-1}^A.$$

For the sub-path of Q

$$0_{m_1+1}^A \rightarrow 1_1^A \rightarrow 0_{n_1+1}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_x}^A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0_{i_{d'}}^A,$$

since this path contains $0_{i_x}^A$, the path (15) contains $0_{i_x-1}^A$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.20. For the natural number d' of Notation 5.18 and the natural number d obtained by Proposition 5.15, we have $d' \leq d$.

Proof. First, let us see that there exists no non-negative integer n such that the maximum element of $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}$ is $1_{m_1-1}^A$. Assume that the set $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}$ has the maximum element $1_{m_1-1}^A$ for a non-negative integer n . Then this set has the minimum element $1_{m_1-\gamma}^A$, and we have $\pi(1_{m_1-\gamma}^A) = 0_{i_d+m_1}^A$. It implies that the set $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n+1)}$ contains the inverse image of $0_{i_d}^A$, and this is a contradiction.

Suppose $d < d'$, and we fix a natural number x satisfying $d < x \leq d'$. Let us consider the ABS $(\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi)$ obtained by the small modification by $0_{i_d}^A$ and $1_j^B \in D'$. By Proposition 5.19, we obtain the path which consists of maximum elements of $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}$ for all n and T_{i_d} as follows:

$$(16) \quad 0_{n_1}^A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{i_x-1}^A \rightarrow \pi(0_{i_x-1}^A) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{m_1+\gamma}^A.$$

Let us consider the sub-path of $A = (\tilde{A}, \delta_A, \pi_A)$:

$$(17) \quad 0_{i_x}^A \rightarrow \pi_A(0_{i_x}^A) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{m_1+\gamma+1}^A.$$

Since the path (16) does not contain $1_{m_1-1}^A$, the path (17) does not contain $1_{m_1}^A$. Hence for the ABS $(\tilde{S}', \delta, \pi_x)$ obtained by the small modification by $0_{i_x}^A$ and 1_j^B for S , we have a natural number n satisfying that $\pi_x^n(0_{i_x}^A) = 0_{m_1+\gamma+1}^A$ with $n < a_{i_x}$. By Proposition 5.17 (iv), the set T_{i_x} contains $0_{m_1+\gamma+1}^A$. This contradicts with Proposition 3.13. \square

We fix notation of the non-negative integer d' , and we give a proof of Proposition 5.16 as follows.

Proof of Proposition 5.16. For the ABS S^- , let Ψ and S_ρ be components of S^- given by (a) or (b) of Proposition 5.2, where S_ρ is the ABS associated to the DM₁ N_ρ . Let us see the case (1) of Proposition 5.16. Note that S_ρ obtained by (a) of Proposition 5.2 consists of all elements of P . Recall that T_{i_x} is the set which consists of elements t satisfying that $0_{m_1+1}^A \leq t < 1_{m_1}^A$ in S^- . To apply Proposition 5.9, we will show that if $x \leq d$, then all elements of T_{i_x} except for $0_{m_1+1}^A$ belong to \tilde{S}_ρ for the small modification by $0_{m_1+1}^A$ and $1_{m_1}^A$. By Proposition 5.17 (v), it suffices to show that each element of Q except for $0_{m_1+1}^A$ does not belong to T_{i_1} . In fact, this claim induces that all elements of T_{i_1} belong to P and \tilde{S}_ρ . We put two sub-paths ω_1 and ω_2 of Q as follows:

$$Q : \underbrace{0_{m_1+1}^A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{i_1+m_1}^A}_{\omega_1} \rightarrow \underbrace{0_{i_1}^A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{2m_1}^A}_{\omega_2}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.13 that every element, which is of the form $\pi^m(0_{i_1}^A)$ with $m < a_{i_1}$, of ω_2 does not belong to T_{i_1} . The property, which is given in Lemma 5.13, of i_1 implies that all elements of ω_1 except for $0_{m_1+1}^A$ do not belong to T_{i_1} which is a subset of $\{0_{m_1+1}^A, \dots, 0_{n_1}^A\}$.

Next, let us see the case (2). We consider the small modification by $0_{i_x-1}^A$ and 1_j^B in S^- . By Proposition 5.9, it suffices to show that if $x > d$, then there exists no element t between $0_{i_x-1}^A$ and 1_j^B in S^- . For the natural number x with $x > d$, by Proposition 5.20, clearly $x > d'$ holds. To lead a contradiction, let us suppose that there exists an element between $0_{i_x-1}^A$ and 1_j^B in the ABS S^- . By Proposition 5.11, there exists a non-negative integer v such that $0_{i_x-1}^A$ is the maximum element of $\pi(\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(v)}) =: T$. For sets $\{\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}\}_{n=0, \dots, a-1}$ and T_{i_d} , let Φ be the path consisting of maximum elements of these sets:

$$\Phi : 0_{n_1}^A \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0_{m_1+\gamma}^A.$$

We define a non-negative integer m' to be $\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(m')} = \{1_{m_1-u+1}^A, \dots, 1_{m_1}^A\}$, with $u = |T|$. Since m' is the minimum number satisfying that $|\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(m')}| = |T|$, we have $m' < v$. Put $m = m' + 2$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(m)}$ has the maximum element $0_{n_1}^A$. We consider the path consisting of the maximum elements of sets $\mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(m)}, \mathcal{A}_{i_x}^{(m+1)}, \dots, T$, and we obtain the path O from $0_{n_1}^A$ to $0_{i_x-1}^A$. Here, let us show that O can be regarded as a subset of Φ . It suffices to see that O does not contain $0_{m_1+\gamma}^A$. If $0_{m_1+\gamma}^A$ is contained in the path O , then $|T_{i_x}| \leq \gamma$ follows from Proposition 4.17. This contradicts with the hypothesis $x > d$ and Proposition 5.17 (iv). Hence O is a subset of Φ , and it implies that for a non-negative integer n , the set $\mathcal{A}_{i_d}^{(n)}$ contains the maximum element $0_{i_x-1}^A$ with $x > d'$. This contradicts with the definition of d' . \square

5.2 Proof of Proposition 5.2 (II)

Here, let us see the remaining case $n_1 = m_1 + 1$ for $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$, and we will give a proof of Proposition 5.2 (II). The discussion of this proof is given by the same way as the proof of Proposition 5.2 (I). As we have seen in Proposition 5.5, for every good exchange of 0_i^A and 1_j^B with $1_j^B \in D$, we have $0_{m_2+1}^B < 1_{m_2}^B$ in S^- . Assume $n_1 = m_1 + 1$ for the Newton polygon $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$. In this case, we have $C' = \{0_{n_1}^A\}$. Let us see the case $D \neq \emptyset$.

Notation 5.21. For the simple DM_1 N_{m_2, n_2} and its ABS B , we define sub-paths U and V of B by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} U & : 1_{m_2}^B \rightarrow 0_{h_2}^B \rightarrow 1_{n_2}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{m_2-n_2+1}^B, \\ V & : 0_{m_2+1}^B \rightarrow 1_1^B \rightarrow 1_{n_2+1}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{m_2-n_2}^B. \end{aligned}$$

We clearly have $U \sqcup V = B$ as sets.

The above components U and V of B are useful. Concretely, as can be seen in Lemma 5.22, all elements of D belongs to the component U . Moreover, in the case (c) of Proposition 5.2, the ABS corresponding to N_ρ consists of all elements of V .

Lemma 5.22. For the above notation, $D \subset U$ holds. Set $\iota = |D|$. Let j_1, \dots, j_ι be natural numbers such that $1_{j_x}^B$ is the element of D appearing in the x -th in the path U :

$$1_{m_2}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{j_1}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{j_2}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{j_\iota}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{m_2-n_2+1}^B.$$

We have then $j_1 = n_2$.

Proof. For the former part, we see that there exists no non-negative integer n with $n \leq b$ such that $\pi^n(1_j^B) = 1_{m_2}^B$ for every element 1_j^B of D by the same reasoning as Proposition 5.4. A proof is given by the same way as Lemma 5.7.

Let us see the latter part. By the former part and the hypothesis $1 \leq j \leq n_2$, if $1_{n_2}^B$ belongs to D , then immediately we see $j_1 = n_2$. Suppose that the set $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ contains $0_{h_2}^B$ which is the inverse image of 0_i^A in $S^{(n)}$ for a non-negative integer n . We have then $0_{h_2}^B < \pi^n(1_j^B)$ in $S^{(a+n-1)}$. Since $0_{h_2}^B$ is the maximum element of the ABS S , this is a contradiction. \square

Definition 5.23. Let D_1 (resp. D_2) be the subset of D' consisting of 1_j^B satisfying that for a generic specialization S^- obtained by $0_i^A \in C'$ and 1_j^B , we have the equality (10) by the case (c) (resp. (d)) of Proposition 5.2.

We give a key element of D in Proposition 5.25 to show Proposition 5.2 (II). For the above notation, this element characterizes the sets D_1 and D_2 as seen in Proposition 5.26. Sets given in Notation 5.24 are used for introducing the key element and describing the proof of Proposition 5.26.

Notation 5.24. For an element 1_j^B of D' , we often write $\mathcal{B}_j^{(n)}$ for sets $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ to avoid confusion. Moreover, we often write b_j for the smallest non-negative integer b satisfying $\mathcal{B}_j^{(b)} = \emptyset$. For sets $\{\mathcal{B}_j^{(n)}\}_{n=0,\dots,b_j}$, we define

$$Z_j = \pi(\mathcal{B}_j^{(b_j-1)}).$$

This set consists of all elements t satisfying $0_{m_2+1}^B < t \leq 1_{m_2}^B$ in S^- .

Proposition 5.25. Put $j = 1 + \mu$, with $\mu = |Z_{j_1}|$. Then 1_j^B belongs to D . Let e be the natural number satisfying $j = j_e$. Then $Z_{j_1} = Z_{j_e}$ holds.

Proof. By Lemma 4.16, there exists a natural number n such that $\mathcal{B}_{j_1}^{(n-1)} = \{0_{m_2+1}^B, \dots, 0_{m_2+\mu}^B\}$. We have then $\mathcal{B}_{j_1}^{(n)} = \{1_1^B, \dots, 1_\mu^B\}$. This set is equal to $\mathcal{B}_j^{(0)}$. A proof is given by the same way as Proposition 5.15. \square

Proposition 5.26 is shown in the same way as the proof of Proposition 5.16. The proposition is used for the proof of Proposition 5.2 (II).

Proposition 5.26. Let j_x be an element of D . We have then

- (1) If $x \leq e$, then $1_{j_x}^B$ belongs to D_1 ,
- (2) If $x > e$, then $1_{j_x}^B$ belongs to D_2 .

Proof. Let S^- be the generic specialization obtained by the exchange of 0_i^A and 1_j^B in S . For the ABS S^- , by (c) or (d) of Proposition 5.2, we obtain two components Ψ and S_ρ , where S_ρ is associated with N_ρ for $\rho = (f, g)$. Since S_ρ coincides with the component obtained from B by applying [1, Lemma 5.6] to the adjacent $1_{m_2}^B 0_{m_2+1}^B$ or $1_j^B 1_{j+1}^B$, we have $gm_2 - fn_2 = 1$. In the same way as Proposition 5.9, we have the property: If there exists no element t of Ψ satisfying that $0_{m_2+1}^B < t < 1_{m_2}^B$ (resp. $0_i^A < t < 1_{j+1}^B$) in S^- , then 1_j^B belongs to D_1 (resp. D_2).

First, let us show the statement (1). We have properties

- (i) If $x < y$, then $Z_{j_x} \subset Z_{j_y}$ holds;
- (ii) For all n with $n < b_{j_e}$, we have $|\mathcal{B}_{j_e}^{(n)}| = \mu$;
- (iii) For all j_x and all n with $n < b_{j_x}$, we have $|\mathcal{B}_{j_x}^{(n)}| \geq \mu$;
- (iv) $Z_{j_e} \subsetneq Z_{j_x}$ holds for all x with $x > e$;
- (v) $Z_{j_x} = Z_{j_e}$ is true if and only if $x \leq e$.

These properties are shown by the same way as the proof of Proposition 5.17. By (v), it suffices to consider the case $x = 1$ to show the statement (1). Note that S_ρ obtained by the small modification

by $0_{m_2+1}^B$ and $1_{m_2}^B$ consists of all elements of V . There exists no element t of U satisfying that $0_{m_2+1}^B < t < 1_{m_2}^B$ in S^- . In fact, for the path U :

$$\underbrace{1_{m_2}^B \rightarrow 0_{h_2}^B}_{\text{}} \rightarrow \underbrace{1_{j_1}^B \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1_{m_2-n_2+1}^B}_{\text{}},$$

clearly if $t = 1_{m_2}^B$ or $t = 0_{h_2}^B$, then t does not satisfy $0_{m_2+1}^B < t < 1_{m_2}^B$ in S^- . By Proposition 3.17, every element of the latter sub-path, which is of the form $\pi^m(1_j^B)$ with $m < b$, does not belong to Z_{j_1} . It induces that all elements in between $0_{m_2+1}^B$ and $1_{m_2}^B$ in S^- do not belong to Ψ .

Next, let us show the statement (2). We define a non-negative integer e' to be the maximum number of the set $\{x \in \bar{D} \mid 1_{j_{x+1}}^B \text{ is the maximum element of } \mathcal{B}_{j_e}^{(n)} \text{ for } n = n(x)\}$, where $\bar{D} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq x \leq \iota\}$. If this set is empty, then we define $e' = 0$. By the same way as Proposition 5.20, we have $e' \leq e$. For these notation, a proof is obtained by the same way as the proof of Proposition 5.16 (2). \square

Proof of Proposition 5.2 (II). By Proposition 5.26, for every element of $D = D' \setminus \{1_1^B\}$, we construct the equality (10) by (c) or (d). Let us see the remaining case $j = 1$. If $n_2 > 1$, then we have $0_i^A < 1_{j+1}^B$ and there exists no element t in between 0_i^A and 1_{j+1}^B . Hence we obtain the equality (10) by (d). Suppose $n_2 = 1$. In this case, we construct small modification by elements $0_{h_1}^A$ and $1_{n_2+1}^B$ in S^- , and we obtain two components Ψ and S_ρ of S^{--} , where $\rho = (1, 1)$. Concretely, we have $S_\rho = 1_1^B 0_{h_1}^A$. Let N be the DM_1 associated with Ψ . We have then $N = N_{\xi'}^-$ with $\xi' = (m_1 - 1, n_1 - 1) + (m_2, n_2)$. Hence we obtain the equality (10) by (e). \square

5.3 Proofs of Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 1.3

In this section, we show Proposition 5.1. Theorem 1.3 follows from this proposition.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. By Proposition 5.2, it remains to show the case $\lambda_1 = 1$ or $\lambda_2 = 0$. If $\lambda_1 = 1$, then for S^- obtained by a good exchange of 0_1^A and 1_j^B , we get ABS's corresponding to $N_{\xi'}^-$ and N_ρ by (c) or (d) of Proposition 5.2. If $\lambda_2 = 0$, then for S^- obtained by a good exchange 0_i^A and 1_1^B , we get ABS's corresponding to $N_{\xi'}^-$ and N_ρ by (a) or (b) of Proposition 5.2. \square

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The assertion is paraphrased as follows: For any generic specialization N_ξ^- of the DM_1 N_ξ with $\xi = (m_1, n_1) + (m_2, n_2)$, there exists a Newton polygon ζ such that N_ζ appears as a specialization of N_ξ^- , and $\zeta \prec \xi$ is saturated. We show this by induction on height of ξ .

If the height of ξ is two (the case that the height is minimal), then $\xi = (0, 1) + (1, 0)$. In this case $N_\xi^- = N_{1,1}$ holds, whence there is nothing to prove.

Assume that the height of ξ is greater than two. By Proposition 5.1, we obtain Newton polygons ξ' and ρ such that $N_\xi^- = N_{\xi'}^- \oplus N_\rho$, where the area of the region surrounded by ξ , ξ' and ρ is one. By the hypothesis of induction, there exists a Newton polygon ζ' such that $\zeta' \prec \xi'$ is saturated and $N_{\zeta'}$ is a specialization of $N_{\xi'}^-$. If we put $\zeta = \zeta' + \rho$, then $\zeta \prec \xi$ is saturated, and N_ζ is a specialization of $N_{\xi'}^- \oplus N_\rho (= N_\xi^-)$, and therefore is a specialization of N_ξ (cf. [3, Proposition 3.5]). \square

Acknowledgments

I thank the referee for careful reading and helpful comments. This paper is written when the author is a Ph.D student. I thank the supervisor professor Harashita for the constant support from the early stage of this paper.

References

- [1] S. Harashita, Configuration of the central streams in the moduli of abelian varieties, *Asian J. Math.* **13** (2009), no. 2, 215–250.
- [2] S. Harashita, The supremum of Newton polygons of p -divisible groups with a given p -kernel type, *Geometry and Analysis of Automorphic Forms of Several Variables, Proceedings of the international symposium in honor of Takayuki Oda on the occasion of his 60th birthday, Series on Number Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 7*, (2011), pp. 41-55.
- [3] N. Higuchi and S. Harashita, On specializations of minimal p -divisible groups, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **64** (2018), 1-20.
- [4] A. J. de Jong, Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 82* (1995), 5–96 (1996).
- [5] N. M. Katz, Slope filtrations of F -crystals, *Journ. Géom. Algébr. de Rennes, Vol. I, Astérisque*, **63** (1979), Soc. Math. France, pp. 113–164
- [6] H. Kraft, Kommutative algebraische p -Gruppen (mit Anwendungen auf p -divisible Gruppen und abelsche Varietäten), *Sonderforschungsbereich. Bonn, September 1975. Ms.* 86 pp.
- [7] B. Moonen, A dimension formula for Ekedahl-Oort strata, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **54** (2004), 666–698.
- [8] B. Moonen and T. Wedhorn, Discrete invariants of varieties in positive characteristic, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2004, no. **72**, 3855–3903.
- [9] F. Oort, A stratification of a moduli space of abelian varieties, *Moduli of Abelian Varieties* (Ed. C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort), *Progr. Math.*, **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001; pp. 345-416.
- [10] F. Oort, Foliations in moduli spaces of abelian varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (2004), no.2, 267-296.
- [11] F. Oort, Minimal p -divisible groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **161** (2005), 1021–1036.
- [12] E. Viehmann and T. Wedhorn, Ekedahl-Oort and Newton strata for Shimura varieties of PEL type, *Math. Ann.* **356** (2013), 1493–1550.
- [13] T. Wedhorn, The dimension of Oort strata of Shimura varieties of PEL-type, *Moduli of abelian varieties* (Ed. C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort), *Progress in Math.* **195**, Birkhäuser Verlag 2001; pp. 441–471.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT AND
INFORMATION SCIENCES,
YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
79-1 TOKIWADAI, HODOGAYA-KU,
YOKOHAMA 240-8501
JAPAN

E-mail address: higuchi-nobuhiro-sy@ynu.jp