

On reflexivity and the Ascoli property for free locally convex spaces

S. Gabriyelyan

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel

Abstract

Let $L(X)$ be the free locally convex space over a Tychonoff space X . If X is Dieudonné complete (for example, metrizable), then $L(X)$ is a reflexive group if and only if X is discrete. Answering a question posed in [9] we prove also that $L(X)$ is an Ascoli space if and only if X is a countable discrete space.

Keywords: free locally convex space, reflexive group, the Ascoli property, Dieudonné complete space

2010 MSC: 46A03, 46A08, 54C35

1. Introduction


Let G be an abelian topological group. A *character* χ of G is a continuous homomorphism from G into the unit circle group $\mathbb{S} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. The *dual group* \widehat{G} of G is the group of all characters of G . We denote by G^\wedge the group \widehat{G} endowed with the compact-open topology. The map $\alpha_G : G \rightarrow G^\wedge$, $g \mapsto (\chi \mapsto \chi(g))$, is called *the canonical homomorphism*. If α_G is a topological isomorphism the group G is called *reflexive*.

The celebrated Pontryagin–van Kampen Duality Theorem states that every locally compact abelian group is reflexive. It is well known that the class of reflexive groups is closed under taking arbitrary products ([13]). Banach spaces and reflexive locally convex spaces considered as abelian topological groups are reflexive groups ([19]). In particular, the classical Banach space ℓ_1 is a reflexive group which is not a reflexive space.

For a Tychonoff space X , we denote by $C_p(X)$ and $C_k(X)$ the space $C(X)$ of all continuous functions on X endowed with the pointwise topology τ_p and the compact-open topology τ_k , respectively. Note that $C_k(X)$ is a reflexive locally convex space if and only if X is discrete, see [12, Theorem 11.7.7]. Hernández and Uspenskiĭ proved in [11] that $C_k(X)$ is a reflexive group for every Ascoli μ -space X . Recall that X is a μ -space if every functionally bounded subset of X has compact closure, and, following [11] (see also Remark 2.1 below), X is called an *Ascoli space* if every compact subset \mathcal{K} of $C_k(X)$ is equicontinuous. It is also shown in [11] that if $C_p(X)$ is a reflexive group, then X is a P -space; moreover, the question of whether $C_p(X)$ is a reflexive group is undecidable in *ZFC* even for simple spaces.

Recall that a Tychonoff space X is *Dieudonné complete* if the universal uniformity \mathcal{U}_X on X is complete. For numerous characterizations of Dieudonné complete spaces see Section 8.5.13 of [3]. The definitions of Fréchet–Urysohn, sequential, paracompact and k -spaces also can be found in [3]. Relations between the aforementioned topological notions are given in the following diagram

Email address: saak@math.bgu.ac.il (S. Gabriyelyan)



and none of these implications is reversible.

One of the most important classes of locally convex spaces is the class of free locally convex spaces. Following [14], the *free locally convex space* $L(X)$ on a Tychonoff space X is a pair consisting of a locally convex space $L(X)$ and a continuous map $i : X \rightarrow L(X)$ such that every continuous map f from X to a locally convex space E gives rise to a unique continuous linear operator $\bar{f} : L(X) \rightarrow E$ with $f = \bar{f} \circ i$. The free locally convex space $L(X)$ always exists and is essentially unique. For every Tychonoff space X , the set X forms a Hamel basis for $L(X)$ and the map i is a topological embedding, see [18, 20], so we shall identify $x \in X$ with its image $i(x) \in L(X)$. Note that a Tychonoff space X is Ascoli if and only if the canonical map $L(X) \rightarrow C_k(C_k(X))$ is an embedding of locally convex spaces, see [5].

It is well known that a reflexive locally convex space E must be barrelled. Since $L(X)$ is a barrelled space if and only if X is discrete (see [10] or [6], for a more general assertion), it easily follows that $L(X)$ is a reflexive locally convex space if and only if X is discrete. As we mentioned above there are non-reflexive locally convex spaces which are reflexive groups. Therefore it is natural to ask: *For which Tychonoff spaces X , the free locally convex space $L(X)$ is a reflexive group?* Below we obtain a partial answer to this question.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Dieudonné complete space. Then $L(X)$ is a reflexive group if and only if X is discrete.*

Let X be a Tychonoff space. We proved in [4] that $L(X)$ is a k -space if and only if X is a countable discrete space (in this case $L(X)$ is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space). This result motivates the following question posed in [9]: *Is it true that $L(X)$ is an Ascoli space only if X is a countable discrete space?* Banach obtained in [1, Theorem 10.11.9] a partial answer to this question by showing that if X is a Dieudonné complete space such that $L(X)$ is an Ascoli space, then X is countable and discrete. Let G be an abelian topological group. It is well known (and easy to check) that α_G is continuous if and only if every compact subset of the dual group G^\wedge is equicontinuous. Therefore, if α_G is *not* continuous, then G is not an Ascoli space by Proposition 2.2 below. Essentially using this simple remark and the proof of Theorem 1.1, in Proposition 2.10 we give an independent, short and clear proof of Banach’s result. Also the condition of being a Dieudonné complete space can be easily removed and, therefore, we obtain an affirmative answer to the above question.

Theorem 1.2. *For a Tychonoff space X , the space $L(X)$ is Ascoli if and only if X is a countable discrete space.*

2. Proofs

We start from some necessary definitions and notations. Set $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$. The closure of a subset A of a Tychonoff space X is denoted by \overline{A} or $\text{cl}(A)$. The *Dieudonné completion* μX of X is

the completion of the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}_X) . The support of a function $f \in C(X)$ is denoted by $\text{supp}(f)$. Recall that the sets

$$[K; \varepsilon] := \{f \in C(X) : |f(x)| < \varepsilon \forall x \in K\},$$

where K is a compact subset of X and $\varepsilon > 0$, form a base at zero of the compact-open topology τ_k of $C_k(X)$.

Let E be a locally convex space. We denote by E' the dual space of E . The polar of a subset A of E is denoted by $A^\circ := \{\chi \in E' : |\chi(x)| \leq 1 \forall x \in A\}$.

Remark 2.1. Following [2], a Tychonoff (Hausdorff) space X is called an *Ascoli space* if each compact subset \mathcal{K} of $C_k(X)$ is evenly continuous. In other words, X is Ascoli if and only if the compact-open topology of $C_k(X)$ is Ascoli in the sense of [15, p.45]. It is noticed in [5] that this definition coincides with the definition of Ascoli spaces from [11] given in Introduction. \square

Noble showed in [17] that α_G is continuous if the group G is a k -space. Below we generalize this result.

Proposition 2.2. *If an abelian topological group G is an Ascoli space, then the canonical homomorphism α_G is continuous.*

PROOF. We have to show that every compact subset K of G^\wedge is equicontinuous. Since G^\wedge is a subgroup of the group $C_k(G, \mathbb{S})$ of all continuous functions from G to \mathbb{S} endowed with the compact-open topology, we obtain that K is a compact subset of $C_k(G, \mathbb{S})$. Therefore K is evenly continuous by Corollary 5.3 of [2]. To show that K is equicontinuous, fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $\chi \in K$, choose a neighborhood U_χ of $\chi \in K$ and a neighborhood O_χ of zero in G such that $|\eta(g) - 1| < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in O_\chi$ and $\eta \in U_\chi$. Since K is compact, we can find $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in K$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\chi_i} = K$. Set $O := \bigcap_{i=1}^n O_{\chi_i}$. Then $|\eta(g) - 1| < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in O$ and $\eta \in K$. Thus K is equicontinuous. \square

Denote by $M_c(X)$ the space of all real regular Borel measures on X with compact support. It is well known that the dual space of $C_k(X)$ is $M_c(X)$, see [12, Proposition 7.6.4]. For every $x \in X$, we denote by $\delta_x \in M_c(X)$ the evaluation map (Dirac measure), i.e. $\delta_x(f) := f(x)$ for every $f \in C(X)$. The total variation norm of a measure $\mu \in M_c(X)$ is denoted by $\|\mu\|$. Denote by τ_e the polar topology on $M_c(X)$ defined by the family of all equicontinuous pointwise bounded subsets of $C(X)$. We shall use the following deep result of Uspenskii [20].

Theorem 2.3 ([20]). *Let X be a Tychonoff space and let μX be the Dieudonné completion of X . Then the completion $\overline{L(X)}$ of $L(X)$ is topologically isomorphic to $(M_c(\mu X), \tau_e)$.*

In what follows we shall also identify elements $x \in X$ with the corresponding Dirac measure $\delta_x \in M_c(X)$. We need the following corollary of Theorem 2.3 noticed in [6].

Corollary 2.4 ([6]). *Let X be a Dieudonné complete space. Then $(M_c(X), \tau_e)' = C_k(X)$.*

We shall use the following fact, see Lemmas 5.10.2 and 5.10.3 and Theorem 5.10.4 of [16] (this fact is also proved in the “if” part of the Ascoli theorem [3, Theorem 3.4.20]).

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and A be an equicontinuous pointwise bounded subset of $C(X)$. Then the τ_p -closure \bar{A} of A is τ_k -compact and equicontinuous.*

The following proposition is used to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.6 ([7]). *Let X be a Dieudonné complete space and let \mathcal{K} be a τ_e -closed subset of $M_c(X)$. Then \mathcal{K} is τ_e -compact if and only if there is a compact subset C of X and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq [C; \varepsilon]^\circ$.*

We need also the next simple assertion.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a Tychonoff space containing an infinite compact subset K . Let $S = \{\eta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a one-to-one sequence in K and let η_0 be a cluster point of S such that $\eta_0 \notin S$. Then for each sequence $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$, the sequence*

$$\chi_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \eta_k \in L(X), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

converges to $\chi_0 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \eta_k$ in $(M_c(X), \tau_e)$.

PROOF. Let Q be a pointwise bounded and equicontinuous subset of $C(X)$. Then $Q|_K := \{f|_K : f \in Q\}$ is a pointwise bounded and equicontinuous subset of $C(K)$. Proposition 2.5 implies that the pointwise closure of $Q|_K$ is a compact subset of the Banach space $C(K)$. Thus $Q|_K$ is uniformly bounded, i.e., there is a $B > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq B, \quad \forall x \in K, \forall f \in Q.$$

Choose an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B \cdot \sum_{k>n_0} \lambda_k < 1$. Then

$$|(\chi_0 - \chi_n)(f)| \leq \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k |f(\eta_k)| \leq \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k B < 1, \quad \forall f \in Q,$$

and hence $\chi_n \in \chi_0 + Q^\circ$ for every $n > n_0$. Thus $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi_0$ in $(M_c(X), \tau_e)$ as desired. \square

Recall that a locally convex space E is a *semireflexive group* if the canonical homomorphism α_E is bijective (but not necessarily continuous).

Proposition 2.8. *If a Tychonoff space X contains an infinite compact subset K , then $L(X)$ is not a semireflexive group.*

PROOF. Suppose for a contradiction that $L(X)$ is a semireflexive group. Then the closed convex hull $C := \overline{\text{conv}}(K)$ of K is a weakly compact subset of $L(X)$ by Theorem 2.1 of [11], and therefore, C is a compact subset of $L(X)$ by Theorem 1.2 of [7]. In particular, the sequence $\{\chi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, constructed in Lemma 2.7, has compact closure in $L(X)$. But since $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi_0$ in $(M_c(\mu X), \tau_e) = \overline{L(X)}$ by Lemma 2.7 and $\chi_0 \notin L(X)$, we obtain a contradiction. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $L(X)$ is a reflexive group. We have to prove that X is discrete. By Proposition 2.8, we shall assume that all compact subsets of X are finite.

Suppose for a contradiction that the space X is not discrete. Proposition 2.4 of [6] states that there exist an infinite cardinal κ , a point $z \in X$, a family $\{g_i\}_{i \in \kappa}$ of continuous functions from X to $[0, 2]$ and a family $\{U_i\}_{i \in \kappa}$ of open subsets of X such that

- (α) $\text{supp}(g_i) \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in \kappa$;
- (β) $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in \kappa$;

(γ) $z \notin U_i$ for every $i \in \kappa$ and $z \in \text{cl}(\bigcup_{i \in \kappa} \{x \in X : g_i(x) \geq 1\})$.

Set $E := L(X) = (M_c(X), \tau_e)$. By Corollary 2.4, we have $E' = (M_c(X), \tau_e)' = C(X)$. So we can consider the family $\{g_i\}_{i \in \kappa}$ as a subset of the dual space $E' = C(X)$ of E . Let τ_k be the compact-open topology on E' . Denote by $\mathbf{0}$ the zero function on X .

Claim 1. The set $K := \{g_i\}_{i \in \kappa} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a compact subset of (E', τ_k) .

Indeed, fix arbitrarily a compact subset Z of E and $\delta > 0$. We have to show that all but finitely many of functions g_i s belong to the neighborhood $[Z; \delta]$ of $\mathbf{0}$ in (E', τ_k) . By Proposition 2.6, we can assume that $Z = [C; \varepsilon]^\circ$, where C is a compact subset of X and $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Z = [C; \varepsilon]^\circ &= \{\mu \in M_c(X) : |\mu(f)| \leq 1 \text{ for every } f \in [C; \varepsilon]\} \\ &= \{\mu \in M_c(X) : \text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq C \text{ and } \|\mu\| \leq 1/\varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the compact set C is finite, (α) and (β) imply that $g_i|_C = 0$ and hence $g_i \in [Z; \delta]$ for all but finitely many indices $i \in \kappa$. Thus K is compact and Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. The compact set K is not equicontinuous.

Indeed, fix arbitrarily a τ_e -neighborhood

$$W = [K; \varepsilon] = \{\mu \in M_c(X) : |\mu(f)| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } f \in K\}$$

of zero in $M_c(X)$, where K is a pointwise bounded and equicontinuous subset of $C(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a neighborhood V of the point z in X such that

$$|f(x) - f(z)| < \varepsilon/2, \quad \text{for every } x \in V \text{ and each } f \in K.$$

Then $|(\delta_x - \delta_z)(f)| < \varepsilon/2$ for every $x \in V$ and each $f \in K$. Therefore $\delta_x - \delta_z \in W$ for every $x \in V$. By (γ), there are $i \in \kappa$ and $x_i \in U_i$ such that $x_i \in V$ and $g_i(x_i) \geq 1$. Hence (note that $g_i(z) = 0$ for every $i \in \kappa$ since $z \notin U_i$)

$$|(\delta_{x_i} - \delta_z)(g_i)| = g_i(x_i) - g_i(z) = g_i(x_i) \geq 1.$$

Thus K is not equicontinuous and Claim 2 is proved.

Now Claims 1 and 2 imply that (E', τ_k) contains a compact subset K which is not equicontinuous. Thus $\alpha_{L(X)}$ is not continuous by Proposition 2.3 of [11]. Thus $L(X)$ is not a reflexive group. This contradiction shows that X must be discrete as desired.

Conversely, if X is discrete, then $L(X)$ is complete and barrelled by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 6.4 of [10], respectively. Therefore, $L(X)$ is a reflexive group by Corollary 2.5 of [11]. \square

We shall use the following proposition to show that a space is not Ascoli.

Proposition 2.9 ([9]). Assume that a Tychonoff space X admits a family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \in I\}$ of open subsets of X , a subset $A = \{a_i : i \in I\} \subseteq X$ and a point $z \in X$ such that

- (i) $a_i \in U_i$ for every $i \in I$;
- (ii) $|\{i \in I : C \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}| < \infty$ for each compact subset C of X ;
- (iii) z is a cluster point of A .

Then X is not an Ascoli space.

The next proposition is proved by T. Banach in [1, Theorem 10.11.9], below we provide an independent and much simpler and clearer proof of this assertion.

Proposition 2.10 ([1]). *Let X be a Dieudonné complete space. If $L(X)$ is an Ascoli space, then X is a countable discrete space.*

PROOF. We prove the proposition in three steps.

Step A. The space X does not contain infinite compact subsets.

Suppose for a contradiction that X contains an infinite compact subset K . Consider two sequences $\{\eta_n : n \geq 0\} \subseteq K$ and $\{\chi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq L(X)$ constructed in Lemma 2.7. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$a_{n,m} := \frac{1}{m+1}\chi_n + m(\eta_n - \eta_0),$$

and put $A := \{a_{n,m} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $z := 0$.

Claim A.1. $0 \in \overline{A} \setminus A$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take a continuous function $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\eta_n(f_n) = f_n(\eta_n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_0(f_n) = f_n(\eta_0) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|\chi_n(f_n)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \cdot \eta_k(f_n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \cdot f_n(\eta_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Now (2.1) and (2.2) imply

$$|a_{n,m}(f_n)| = \left| \frac{1}{m+1}\chi_n(f_n) + m \cdot \eta_n(f_n) \right| \geq m - \frac{1}{m+1} > 0,$$

and hence $0 \notin A$. To show that $0 \in \overline{A}$ take arbitrary a neighborhood W of 0 in $(M_c(X), \tau_e)$ and choose an absolutely convex neighborhood U of 0 in $(M_c(X), \tau_e)$ such that $3U \subseteq W$. By Lemma 2.7, choose an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\chi_n \in \chi_0 + U$ for every $n \geq N$, and choose an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{m_0+1}\chi_0 \in U$. Now, since η_0 is a cluster point of the sequence $\{\eta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, for the chosen N and m_0 , take an $n_0 > N$ such that $m_0(\eta_{n_0} - \eta_0) \in U$. Noting that $\frac{1}{m_0+1}U \subseteq U$ we obtain

$$a_{n_0, m_0} = \frac{1}{m_0+1}(\chi_{n_0} - \chi_0) + \frac{1}{m_0+1}\chi_0 + m_0(\eta_{n_0} - \eta_0) \in U + U + U \subseteq W.$$

Thus $0 \in \overline{A}$ and the claim is proved. \square

To show that $L(X)$ is not Ascoli we shall use Proposition 2.9. To this end, we have to find also a sequence $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open subsets of $L(X)$ such that (i) and (ii) of Proposition 2.9 are satisfied. Below we construct such a family \mathcal{U} .

Let $p : X \rightarrow \beta X$ be a natural embedding of X into the Stone–Čech compactification βX of X . Then p extends uniquely to a continuous linear injective operator from $L(X)$ to $L(\beta X)$ which is also denoted by p . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $F_n : \beta X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ the unique extension of f_n onto βX . Then, by (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$|p(\chi_n)(F_n)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \cdot F_n(p(\eta_k)) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \cdot f_n(\eta_k) \right| < 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$|p(\eta_n)(F_n)| = |F_n(p(\eta_n))| = |\eta_n(f_n)| = 1, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$p(\eta_0)(F_n) = F_n(p(\eta_0)) = \eta_0(f_n) = 0 \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.5)$$

Set $E := C(\beta X)$ and $H := L(\beta X)$. Lemma 2.7 implies that $p(\chi_n) \rightarrow p(\chi_0) \in M_c(\beta X) \setminus H$. Hence, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $S_m = \{\frac{1}{m+1}p(\chi_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed and discrete in H . Note that H is a Lindelöf space by Proposition 5.2 of [10]. Therefore S_m is C -embedded in H , i.e. every real-valued function on S_m can be extended to a continuous function on the whole H . Take a continuous function $G_m : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G_m(\frac{1}{m+1}p(\chi_n)) = n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$\tilde{V}_{n,m}^\beta := G_m^{-1}((n - 0.1, n + 0.1)) - \frac{1}{m+1}p(\chi_n).$$

Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the family

$$\mathcal{V}_m^\beta := \left\{ \frac{1}{m+1}p(\chi_n) + \tilde{V}_{n,m}^\beta : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

of open subsets of H is discrete in H (i.e., every $h \in H$ has a neighborhood U_h which intersects with at most one element of the family \mathcal{V}_m^β).

For every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$W_{n,m}^\beta := \{h \in H : |h(x_n)| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{n,m}^\beta := \tilde{V}_{n,m}^\beta \cap W_{n,m}^\beta, \quad (2.6)$$

and put

$$U_{n,m}^\beta := p(a_{n,m}) + V_{n,m}^\beta.$$

Claim A.2. $|\{(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : K \cap U_{n,m}^\beta \neq \emptyset\}| < \infty$ for every compact subset K of H .

Indeed, let K be a compact subset of H . Then K is compact and hence bounded in $(M_c(\beta X), \tau_e)$. Since $(M_c(\beta X), \tau_e)' = E$ by Corollary 2.4, we obtain that K is weak- $*$ bounded in E' . As E is a Banach space, K is equicontinuous and hence there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{O} of zero in E such that $K \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\circ$.

Set $Z := \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq E$. It is clear that Z is a bounded subset of E , so we can find a $C > 0$ such that $Z \subseteq C \cdot \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$\mathcal{O}^\circ \subseteq C \cdot Z^\circ = \{\chi \in M_c(\beta X) : |\chi(F_n)| \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Now, if $\chi = p(a_{n,m}) + h \in U_{n,m}^\beta$ with $h \in V_{n,m}^\beta$, (2.3)-(2.6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi(F_n)| &\geq |p(a_{n,m})(F_n)| - |h(F_n)| \\ &\geq m|p(\eta_n)(F_n)| - \frac{1}{m+1}|p(\chi_n)(F_n)| - |h(F_n)| > m - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.7), if $m > C + 2$ then $K \cap U_{n,m}^\beta \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\circ \cap U_{n,m}^\beta = \emptyset$. For a fixed natural number $m \leq C + 2$, $K \cap U_{n,m}^\beta$ is nonempty only for a finite number of n because the family \mathcal{V}_m^β is discrete. The claim is proved. \square

Set $\mathcal{U} := \{p^{-1}(U_{n,m}^\beta) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then Claims A.1 and A.2 imply that the families \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{U} and $z = 0 \in L(X)$ satisfy (i)-(iii) of Proposition 2.9 as well. Thus $L(X)$ is not an Ascoli space. This contradiction finishes the proof of Step A. Thus we assume in the next step that X does not contain infinite compact subsets and hence $L(X) = (M_c(X), \tau_e)$ by Theorem 2.3.

Step B. The space X is discrete.

Suppose for a contradiction that X is not discrete. Then Claims 1 and 2 in the proof of Theorem 1.1 imply that the space $C_k(L(X))$ contains a non-equicontinuous compact subset. Thus the space $L(X)$ is not Ascoli. This contradiction shows that X must be discrete.

Step C. By Step *B*, the space X is discrete. Therefore X is countable by Theorem 3.2 of [5] which states that $L(X)$ is not an Ascoli space for every uncountable discrete space X . \square

Below we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If X is countable and discrete, then $L(X)$ is sequential (see [4]) and hence an Ascoli space.

Conversely, assume that $L(X)$ is an Ascoli space. Lemma 2.7 of [8] states that if H is a dense subgroup of a topological group G and has the Ascoli property, then also G is an Ascoli space. Since $L(X)$ is a dense subspace of $L(\mu X)$ by Theorem 2.3, the last fact implies that $L(\mu X)$ is also an Ascoli space. Now Proposition 2.10 implies that μX is a countable discrete space. Thus also X is countable and discrete. \square

References

- [1] T. Banach, Fans and their applications in General Topology, Functional Analysis and Topological Algebra, available in arXiv:1602.04857.
- [2] T. Banach, S. Gabrielyan, On the C_k -stable closure of the class of (separable) metrizable spaces, Monatshefte Math. **180** (2016), 39–64.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] S. Gabrielyan, The k -space property for free locally convex spaces, Canadian Math. Bull. **57** (2014), 803–809.
- [5] S. Gabrielyan, On the Ascoli property for locally convex spaces, Topology Appl. **230** (2017), 517–530.
- [6] S. Gabrielyan, The Mackey problem for free locally convex spaces, Forum Math. accepted.
- [7] S. Gabrielyan, Maximally almost periodic groups and respecting properties, available in arXiv:1712.05521.
- [8] S. Gabrielyan, J. Grebík, J. Kąkol, L. Zdomskyy, The Ascoli property for function spaces, Topology Appl. **214** (2016), 35–50.
- [9] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, G. Plebanek, The Ascoli property for function spaces and the weak topology of Banach and Fréchet spaces, Studia Math. **233** (2016), 119–139.
- [10] S. Gabrielyan, S.A. Morris, Free topological vector spaces, Topology Appl. **223** (2017), 30–49.
- [11] S. Hernández, V.V. Uspenskiĭ, Pontryagin duality for spaces of continuous functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **242** (2000), 135–144.
- [12] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [13] S. Kaplan, Extensions of the Pontryagin duality, I: Infinite products, Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 649–658.

- [14] A.A. Markov, On free topological groups, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **31** (1941), 299–301.
- [15] R. McCoy, I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1315, 1988.
- [16] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, *Topological vector spaces*, Second Edition, CRC Press, New York, 2011.
- [17] N. Noble, k -groups and duality, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 551–561.
- [18] D.A. Raïkov, Free locally convex spaces for uniform spaces, Math. Sb. **63** (1964), 582–590.
- [19] M.F. Smith, The Pontrjagin duality theorem in linear spaces, Ann. Math. **56** (1952), 248–253.
- [20] V.V. Uspenskiĭ, Free topological groups of metrizable spaces, Math. USSR-Izv. **37** (1991), 657–680.