On two consequences of Berge-Fulkerson conjecture

Vahan V. Mkrtchyan^{a,*}, Gagik N. Vardanyan^b

^aDipartimento di Informatica, Universita degli Studi di Verona, 37134 Verona, Italy ^bDepartment of Informatics and Applied Mathematics, Yerevan State University, Yerevan, 0025, Armenia

Abstract

The classical Berge-Fulkerson conjecture states that any bridgeless cubic graph G admits a list of six perfect matchings such that each edge of G belongs to two of the perfect matchings from the list. In this short note, we discuss two statements that are consequences of this conjecture. We show that the first statement is equivalent to Fan-Raspaud conjecture. We also show that the smallest counter-example to the second one is a cyclically 4-edge-connected cubic graph.

Keywords: Cubic graph, perfect matching, Berge-Fulkerson conjecture, Fan-Raspaud conjecture

1. The main result

For a bridgeless cubic graph G, and a list of (not necessarily distinct) perfect matchings $C = (F_1, ..., F_k)$, let $\nu_C(e)$ be the number of perfect matchings of C that contain the edge e. For non-defined concepts we refer to [4]. The main topic of this short note are the following two classical conjectures:

Conjecture 1. (Berge, unpublished) Let G be a bridgeless cubic graph. Then there is a list $C = (F_1, ..., F_5)$ of perfect matchings, such that $\nu_C(e) \ge 1$ for any edge e of G.

Conjecture 2. (Berge-Fulkerson [2]) Let G be a bridgeless cubic graph. Then there is a list $C = (F_1, ..., F_6)$ of perfect matchings, such that $\nu_C(e) = 2$ for any edge e of G.

Clearly, Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 1. In [3], it is shown that Conjecture 1 implies Conjecture 2. Thus the two conjectures are equivalent. A list $C = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$ of a bridgeless cubic graph G is called an FR-triple, if $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3 = \emptyset$. The classical Fan-Raspaud conjecture asserts:

Conjecture 3. (Fan-Raspaud [1]) Any bridgeless cubic graph admits an FR-triple.

^{*}The corresponding author

Email addresses: vahanmkrtchyan2002@ysu.am (Vahan V. Mkrtchyan), vgagik@gmail.com (Gagik N. Vardanyan)

In this paper, we consider the following two conjectures:

Conjecture 4. For any bridgeless cubic graph G, any edge $e \in E(G)$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, there is an FR-triple C of G, such that $\nu_C(e) = i$.

Conjecture 5. Let G be a bridgeless cubic graph, e and f be adjacent edges, $0 \le i, j \le 2$ be two numbers with $1 \le i + j \le 3$. Then G contains an FR-triple C, such that $\nu_C(e) = i$ and $\nu_C(f) = j$.

It is easy to see that Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 5, Conjecture 5 implies Conjecture 4, and Conjecture 4 implies Conjecture 3. We are ready to obtain our first result.

Theorem 1. Conjecture 4 is equivalent to Fan-Raspaud conjecture (Conjecture 3).

Proof: It suffices to show that Conjecture 3 implies Conjecture 4. Let G, its edge e and $0 \le i \le 2$ be given. Take one copy of Petersen graph P, 15 copies $G_1, ..., G_{15}$ of G, and let $e_1, ..., e_{15}$ be the copies of e in these 15 graphs. Consider a bridgeless cubic graph H obtained as follows: for j = 1, ..., 15 remove jth edge from P and connect it with a 2-edge-cut to $G_j - e_j$.

Now, by Conjecture 3 H admits an FR-triple C_H . Observe that C_H gives rise to an FR-triple C_P of P. Now, there is an edge f of P such that $\nu_{C_P}(f) = i$ (this is easy to prove by considering cases i = 0, 1, 2 separately). Consider the FR-triple of G corresponding to the copy f arising from C_H . Observe that in this FR-triple e belongs to exactly i of the perfect matchings. The proof is complete. \Box

Our next result deals with the smallest counter-example to Conjecture 5.

Theorem 2. The smallest counter-example to Conjecture 5 is cyclically 4-edge-connected.

Proof: Let G be a smallest counter-example to Conjecture 5. Clearly, it is connected. Let us show that it has no 2-edge-cuts. On the opposite assumption, consider a 2-edge-cut C. Let us show that $C \cap \{e, f\} \neq \emptyset$. If none of e and f is in C, then consider the standard two smaller graphs G_1 and G_2 . Assume that G_1 contains both of the edges. Then since G_1 is smaller, it has an FR-triple containing e and f, i and j times, respectively. Let k be the frequency of the new edge of G_1 arising from C. Now, we can take a similar FR-triple in G_2 , where the new edge of G_2 is covered exactly k times, and if we glue these two FR-triples, we will have an FR-triple of G containing e and f, i and j times, respectively. This is a contradiction.

Now, assume that $e \in C$. Clearly $f \notin C$. Again assume that G_1 contains the edge f. Since G_1 is smaller, we have that it admits an FR-triple containing e and f, i and j times, respectively. Now, take an FR-triple containing the new edge of $G_2 i$ times. If we glue these FR-triples, we will have an FR-triple containing e and f, i and j times, respectively. This is a contradiction.

Thus, we have that G is 3-edge-connected. Now, let us show that all 3-edge-cuts of G are trivial. Assume that G contains a non-trivial 3-edge-cut C. Let us show that $C \cap \{e, f\} \neq \emptyset$.

If none of e and f is in C, then consider the standard two smaller graphs G_1 and G_2 . Assume that G_1 contains both of the edges. Then since G_1 is smaller, it has an FR-triple containing e and f, i and j times, respectively. Let the frequency of edges of C in this FR-triple of G_1 be k_1, k_2, k_3 . Clearly, $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 3$ and $k_1 + k_2 \ge 1$, $k_1, k_2 \le 2$. Now, since G_2 is not a counter-example, we can find an FR-triple in G_2 such that the same two edges of C are covered k_1 and k_2 times. Observe that the third edge has to be covered exactly k_3 times. Now, if we glue back these two FR-triples, we will have an FR-triple of G covering e and f, i and j times, respectively. This is a contradiction.

Thus, we are left with the case when $e \in C$. Observe that since G is 3-edge-connected, C is a matching, hence $f \notin C$. Then since G_1 is smaller, it has an FR-triple containing eand f, i and j times, respectively. Let the frequency of edges of C in this FR-triple of G_1 be k_1, k_2, k_3 . Clearly, $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 3$ and $k_1 + k_2 \ge 1$, $k_1, k_2 \le 2$. Now, since G_2 is not a counter-example, we can find an FR-triple in G_2 such that the same two edges of C are covered k_1 and k_2 times. Observe that the third edge has to be covered exactly k_3 times. Now, if we glue back these two FR-triples, we will have an FR-triple of G covering e and f, i and j times, respectively. This is a contradiction.

Thus, all 3-edge-cuts of G have to be trivial, which means that G is cyclically 4-edge-connected. The proof is complete. \Box

Let us note that it is unknown whether the smallest counter-example to Fan-Raspaud conjecture is cyclically 4-edge-connected. On the other hand, it can be shown that the smallest counter-example to Conjecture 4 is 3-edge-connected. It would be interesting to show that Conjecture 5 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.

References

- [1] G. Fan, A. Raspaud, Fulkerson's Conjecture and circuit covers. J. Comb. Theory B, 61 (1994), 133–138.
- [2] D.R. Fulkerson, Blocking and anti-blocking pairs of polyhedra, Math. Programming 1 (1971), 168–194.
- [3] G. Mazzuoccolo, The equivalence of two conjectures of Berge and Fulkerson, J. Graph Theory 68(2), 2011, 125–128.
- [4] C.-Q. Zhang, Integer flows and cycle covers of graphs, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York Basel Hong Kong, 1997.