Photoinduced two-step insulator-metal transition in Ti_4O_7 by ultrafast time-resolved optical reflectivity

X. C. Nie,¹ Hai-Ying Song,¹ Xiu Zhang,¹ Shi-Bing Liu,¹ Fan Li,² Lili Yue,³ Jian-Qiao Meng,⁴ Yu-Xia Duan,⁵ and H. Y. Liu^{1, a)}

¹⁾Strong-field and Ultrafast Photonics Lab, Institute of Laser Engineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P. R. China

 ²⁾ Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, College of Environmental and Energy Engineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P. R. China
 ³⁾ College of Applied Sciences, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P. R. China

 ⁴⁾ Hunan Key Laboratory of Super-microstructure and Ultrafast Process, School of Physics and Electronics, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P. R. China
 ⁵⁾ School of Physics and Electronics, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P. R. China

(Dated: 5 November 2018)

We report on systematic investigation of hot carrier dynamics in Ti₄O₇ by ultrafast time-resolved optical reflectivity. We find the transient indication for its two-step insulator-metal (I-M) transition, in which two phase transitions occur from long-range order bipolaron low-temperature insulating (LI) phase to disordered bipolaron high-temperature insulating (HI) phase at T_{c1} and to free carrier metallic (M) phase at T_{c2} . Our results reveal that photoexcitation can effectively lower down both T_{c1} and T_{c2} with pump fluence increasing, allowing a light-control of I-M transition. We address a phase diagram that provides a framework for the photoinduced I-M transition and helps the potential use of Ti₄O₇ for photoelectric and thermoelectric devices.

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 71.38.Mx, 71.30.+h, 64.60.Cn, 78.47.+p Keywords: Transition-metal oxides, Bipolaron, Insulator-metal transitions

The insulator-metal (I-M) transition has been attracting a lot of interests over the past half century in condensed matter physics^{1,2}, however, the mechanism in many branches still remains controversial³. Various scenarios have been proposed, including the opening of a gap due to spectral weight transfer⁴, Anderson localization of electrons induced by lattice disorder^{5,6}, polaron self-trapping driven by electron-phonon (*e-ph*) interactions⁷, and so forth. In addition to the control of phase transition by equilibrium methods, such as temperature, chemical-doping and pressure variation, photoinduced I-M transition in nonequilibrium states is of great interest for the study of ultrafast physics and the realization of the light-control of electronic properties. For example, in semiconductor GaAs, transient I-M transition can be induced by the interplay between free carriers and excitons, accompanied by a sign reverse of time-resolved reflectivity changes⁸. By implementing ultrafast photoexcitation, a growing interest has been devoted to photoinduced I-M transition in strongly correlated systems, through melting of charge-density-wave (CDW) order in low-dimensional CDW materials⁹⁻¹¹, and resonant phonon-driven melting of orbital or magnetic order in magnetoresistive manganites^{12,13}, etc.

Titanium oxide Ti₄O₇ is a member of transition-metal oxides with Magnéli phase, which hosts a triclinic structure and a characteristic two-step I-M transition accompanied by large reflectivity and heat capacity changes^{14–17}. It has one 3*d* electron per two Ti ions, allowing two possible valence states of Ti³⁺(3*d*¹) and Ti⁴⁺(3*d*⁰). As depicted in Fig. 1(a), in the hightemperature metallic (M) phase, Ti ions have an uniform valence state of +3.5 and the 3*d* electrons are delocalized and contribute to the electrical conductivity. Below 150 K (T_{c2}), Ti ions take alternative valence state of Ti³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ and the 3*d* electrons are localized to form

^{a)}Electronic mail: haiyun.liu@bjut.edu.cn

covalently bonded Ti^{3+} - Ti^{3+} pairs, which can be considered as bipolarons^{17,18}. For the lowtemperature insulating (LI) phase below 130 K (T_{c1}) well ordered Ti^{3+} - Ti^{3+} pairs appear, while this long-range order vanishes in the high-temperature insulating (HI) phase (130 K < T < 150 K)^{16–25}. Titanium dioxide has been widely used in photocatalysis in rechargeable batteries^{26,27}. Much attention has been drawn to resistive switching in titanium dioxide due to it's possible application in nonvolatile memory devices called Resistance Random Access Memory (ReRAM)^{28,29}. Recently optical-pump terahertz-probe measurements has observed the photoinduced I-M transition Ti_4O_7 by monitoring the dynamic relaxation on the timescale of tens of picoseconds (ps)²¹. However, systematic investigation on the fast dynamics in sub-ps, the photoinduced I-M via two-step procedure and phase diagram, are still lacking.

Ultrafast time-resolved optical reflectivity has been proved to be an effective method to track hot carrier dynamics in semiconductors⁸ and correlated electron materials^{30,31}, including cuprate high- T_c superconductors (HTSC)^{32–34} and many other transition-metal oxides³⁵. Hot carrier dynamics is closely related to the gap characterizations in these materials, where the initially excited hot electron distribution thermalizes through electron-electron (*e-e*) scattering within tens of femtoseconds (fs), and afterwards a bottleneck effect appears above the gaps accompanied by slower relaxation and cooling to the lattice temperature via the *e-ph* scattering.

In this paper we present systematic studies of the hot carrier dynamics in polycrystalline Ti_4O_7 using ultrafast time-resolved optical reflectively. Our results reveal that, with increasing temperature, the oxide undergoes a two-step phase transition from ordered bipolaron LI phase to disordered bipolaron HI phase at T_{c1} and to free carrier M phase at T_{c2} , accompanied by clear slope changes in the transient amplitude and relaxation curves. In addition, our study clearly shows that both T_{c1} and T_{c2} decrease with the increase of pump fluence, indicating that photoexcitation is an effective way of inducing I-M transition in this system. The possible mechanism of the photoinduced I-M transition has been discussed.

The ultrafast time-resolved optical reflectivity measurements were performed on polycrystalline Ti_4O_7 using a pump-probe setup, in which optical pulses with temporal duration of 35 fs and centre wavelength of 800 nm, were produced by a regeneratively amplified Ti:sapphire laser system operating at a repetition rate of 1 kHz^{8,34}. The mirror-like sample surface was obtained by mechanical polish. The pump beam was focused to the sample at near-normal incidence with a spot diameter of ~ 0.4 mm and the probe spot diameter was ~ 0.2 mm, measured by imaging the spots in the focal plane of a CCD camera, ensuring an excellent pump-probe overlap. With the pump beam modulated by a chopper, the reflected probe signal was focused onto a Si-based detector which was connected to a lock-in amplifier where the photoinduced transient reflectivity variation $\Delta R/R$ were recorded, with a sensitivity at the level of 10^{-6} . The temporal evolution of the pump-induced change in the probe reflectivity $(\Delta R/R)$ was measured by scanning the delay time between pump and probe pulses, using a motorized delay line. To perform the temperature-dependent measurements, the sample was mounted on a cryostat with a temperature sensor embedded close by, allowing a precise control of temperature in the range of 5 - 300 K. The pump fluence (F) was tunable between 70 and 430 μ J/cm² by using neutral density filters, and the probe fluence of 4 μ J/cm² was chosen to minimize steady-state heating and maximize the signal-to-noise ratio.

Figure 1 (b) displays time-resolved differential reflectivity $\Delta R/R$ of polycrystalline Ti₄O₇ as a function of delay time at three representative temperatures 80 K, 140 K and 180 K, which characterize LI, HI and M phases, respectively. At all temperatures, the photoexcitation causes a sharp edge around time zero and an abrupt drop at 0.1 ps, corresponding to the very fast thermalization process due to *e-e* scattering, which is hardly to be resolved by our temporal resolution. More interestingly, the following sub-ps recovery shows a strong *T*-dependent behavior: both the amplitude and relaxation increase with temperature decreasing. Obviously, owing to the opening of the bandgap and suppression of any mid-gap states, more hot carriers are accumulated around the bottom of the conduction band right after the thermalization process, resulting in enhanced amplitude and relaxation bottleneck. As indicated by the black curves, the decay is well described by a single-exponential decay function $\Delta R/R = Ae^{-t/\tau} + A_0$ on a picosecond (ps) timescale, where the amplitude *A* is



FIG. 1. (Color online). (a) Crystal structure of Ti₄O₇ with the Ti chains parallel to pseudorutile c axis truncated by shear planes (chain-dotted lines). The bipolarons (Ti³⁺-Ti³⁺ pairs) are well ordered in the LI phase, while switch to disordered structure in the HI phase. In the M phase, all the Ti ions have an uniform valence of +3.5. (b) Time-resolved differential reflectivity $\Delta R/R$ as a function of pump-probe delay time measured at 70 μ J/cm² at temperatures of 180, 140 and 80 K, respectively. The black curves are single-component exponential fits to the recovery. The inset depicts the $\Delta R/R$ curves within 10 ps.

proportional to the photoexcited hot carrier density, τ is the characteristic relaxation, and A_0 describes much slower equilibration processes out of the period of the measurement, such as heat diffusion, corresponding to the long-lived reflectivity plateau as depicted in the inset.

In order to quantitatively identify the relation between hot carrier dynamics and phase transitions, in Fig. 2 we plot the amplitudes A and the relaxation times τ extracted from single-exponential decay fits as a function of temperature at various pump fluences from 70 to 430 μ J/cm². It is clear that at low pump fluence 70 μ J/cm² in Fig. 2(a), both the magnitudes A(T) and the relaxation time $\tau(T)$ show slope changes around $T_{c1} = 130$ K and $T_{c2} = 150$ K, agrees with the LI-HI and HI-M phase transition temperatures^{19–22}. The light red stripes in the region from T_{c1} to T_{c2} imply that the gap opening might possess a linear relation with temperature. More interestingly, we can see that both T_{c1} and T_{c2} decrease with increasing pump fluence F, indicating a significant I-M phase transition by photoexcitation. Upon $F = 430 \ \mu$ J/cm², T_{c1} and T_{c2} are even as low as 80 and 100 K, as shown in Fig. 2(d).

Figure 3 illustrates the electronic structure associated with the phase transitions. In the free carrier M phase above T_{c2} , the delocalization of Ti 3*d* electrons contributes to the density of states (DOS) around the Fermi level (E_F), as well observed both experimentally¹⁶ and theoretically²³⁻²⁵. In the HI phase ($T_{c1} < T < T_{c2}$), disorganized bipolaron feature



FIG. 2. (Color online). Temperature dependence of the amplitudes A (blue circles) and relaxation τ (orange boxes) extracted by single-exponential fits at pump fluences of 70 (a), 140 (b), 290 (c) and 430 (d) μ J/cm², respectively. The blue and orange lines are used to guide the eye for slop changes. The error bars are obtained from fits. The light red stripes mark the region from the LI-HI phase transition (T_{c1}) to the HI-M phase transition (T_{c2}).

forms due to the Anderson localization of the 3*d* electrons, resulting in suppressed DOS at E_F . Then by further cooling to the LI phase $T < T_{c1}$, well ordered bipolarons appear by the inter-site Coulomb interaction, accompanied by the opening of a insulating gap driven by spectral weight transfer (~100 meV), as seen by photoemission spectroscopy (PES)^{16,22}.

Polaron is a fermionic quasiparticle formed by an electron coupled with a virtual cloud of phonons (distortions), first proposed by Lev Landau in 1933³⁶, for which the electron's mobility lowers and effective mass increases and can be described by the Fröhlich model in the weakly interacting regime³⁷. When two polarons are close together, they can further lower their energy by sharing the same distortions, leading to an effective attraction between the polarons. If the interaction is sufficiently large, then that attraction gives rise to a bound bipolaron. As illustrated in Fig. 3, we can interpret our photoexcited I-M transition results as following: photoexcitation generates a large number of free carriers, which can suppress bipolaron ordering by screening the inter-site Coulomb interaction and force the insulating gap to close, inducing a transition from ordered bipolaron LI phase to disordered bipolaron HI phase even at lattice temperatures below T_{c1} . By further increasing pump fluence, photoexcitation leads to the dissociation of the bipolarons through the delocalization of Ti 3d electrons, resulting transient metallic properties, analogous to the transition from disordered bipolaron HI phase to free carrier M phase at T_{c2} . Therefore, one can precisely control T_{c1} and T_{c2} by careful selection of pump fluence.

Figure 4 summarizes the phase diagram of Ti_4O_7 by extracting transition temperatures T_{c1} and T_{c2} from our results in Fig. 2. The phase diagram consists of three regimes: (1) Above T_{c2} , a free carrier metallic state; (2) Between T_{c1} and T_{c2} , a disordered bipolaron HI state and (3) Below T_{c1} , a well ordered bipolaron LI state. The F dependence of T_{c1} and T_{c2} allows a light-control of I-M transition.

In summary, we have presented detailed time-resolved optical reflectivity measurements on the polycrystalline titanium oxide Ti_4O_7 . Our results provide clear evidence for the pho-



FIG. 3. (Color online). Schematic evolution of the low energy electronic structure across the two-step I-M transition induced by cooling or photoexcitation. The orange part depicts the Ti 3d contribution to the DOS in the gap. The Fermi level E_F is aligned with the conduction band minimum.

to induced two-step I-M transition from ordered bipolaron LI phase to disordered bipolaron HI phase and to free carrier M phase. Our investigation indicates that photoexcitation is an effective method to induce I-M transition and help understanding of the non-equilibrium physics in polaron systems.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51705009) and NSAF of China (Grant No. U1530153). H. Y. Liu thanks the Sea Poly Project of Beijing Overseas Talents (SPPBOT).

- ¹N. F. Mott, Rev. Mod. Phys. **40**, 677 (1968).
- ²N. F. Mott, Contemporary Phys. **14**, 401 (1973).
- ³J. Shamblin, M. Heres, H. Zhou, J. Sangoro, M. Lang, J. Neuefeind, J. A. Alonso, and S. Johnston, Nat. Commun. 9, 86 (2018).
- ⁴H. Chu, L. Zhao, A. de la Torre, T. Hogan, S. D. Wilson, and D. Hsieh, Nat. Mater. **16**, 200 (2017).
- ⁵P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).
- ⁶T. Ying, Y. Gu, X. Chen, X. Wang, S. Jin, L. Zhao, W. Zhang, and X. Chen, Sci. Adv. 2, e1501283 (2016).
- ⁷Y. Tokura, M. Kawasaki, and N. Nagaosa, Nat. Phys. **13**, 1056 (2017).
- ⁸X. C. Nie, H. Y. Liu, X. Zhang, P. Gu, H. Y. Song, F. Li, J. Q. Meng, Y. X. Duan, and S. B. Liu, New J. Phys. **20**, 033015 (2018).
- ⁹A. Tomeljak, H. Schafer, D. Stadter, M. Beyer, K. Biljakovic, and J. Demsar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 066404 (2009).
- ¹⁰J. C. Petersen, S. Kaiser, N. Dean, A. Simoncig, H. Y. Liu, A. L. Cavalieri, C. Cacho, I. C. Turcu, E. Springate, and F. Frassetto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 177402 (2011).
- ¹¹H. Y. Liu, I. Gierz, J. C. Petersen, S. Kaiser, A. Simoncig, A. L. Cavalieri, C. Cacho, I. C. E. Turcu, E. Springate, and F. Frassetto, Phys. Rev. B 88, 045104 (2013).
- ¹²D. Polli, M. Rini, S. Wall, R. W. Schoenlein, Y. Tomioka, Y. Tokura, G. Cerullo, and A. Cavalleri, Nat. Mater. 6, 643 (2007).



FIG. 4. (Color online). Photoexcited phase diagram of Ti₄O₇, with constant error bars of ± 5 K to guide the eye. T_{c1} and T_{c2} are the LI-HI and HI-M phase transition temperatures. The color-areas are obtained by polynomial curve fits of T_{c1} and T_{c2} .

- ¹³E. Baldini, T. Kubacka, B. P. P. Mallett, C. Ma, S. M. Koohpayeh, Y. Zhu, C. Bernhard, S. L. Johnson, and F. Carbone, Phys. Rev. B 97, 125149 (2018).
- ¹⁴S. Lakkis, C. Schlenker, B. K. Chakraverty, R. Buder, and M. Marezio, Phys. Rev. B 14, 1429 (1976).
- ¹⁵M. Watanabe, W. Ueno, and T. Hayashi, J. Lumin. **122**, 393 (2007).
- ¹⁶M. Taguchi, A. Chainani, M. Matsunami, R. Eguchi, Y. Takata, M. Yabashi, K. Tamasaku, Y. Nishino, T. Ishikawa, S. Tsuda, S. Watanabe, C.-T. Chen, Y. Senba, H. Ohashi, K. Fujiwara, Y. Nakamura,
- H. Takagi, and S. Shin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 106401 (2010).
- ¹⁷C. Schlenker and M. Marezio, Phil. Mag. B **42**, 453 (1980).
- $^{18}\text{B.}$ K. Chakraverty, Phil. Mag. B $\textbf{42},\,473$ (1980).
- ¹⁹M. Watanabe and W. Ueno, Phys. Stat. Sol. **3**, 3456 (2006).
- ²⁰H. Kamioka, H. Tsukada, R. Yamaguchi, T. Tateno, Y. Suzuki, and Y. Fukui, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A **311**, 154 (2015).
- ²¹H. Kamioka, J. Nishitani, H. Tsukada, R. Yamaguchi, and T. Suemoto, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 071908 (2016).
- ²²K. Kobayashi, T. Susaki, A. Fujimori, T. Tonogai, and H. Takagi, Europhys. Lett. 59, 868 (2002).
- ²³X. Zhong, I. Rungger, P. Zapol, and O. Heinonen, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 115143 (2015).
- ²⁴M. Weissmann and R. Weht, Phys. Rev. B 84, 144419 (2011).
- ²⁵L. Liborio, G. Mallia, and N. Harrison, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 245133 (2009).
- ²⁶S. Lee, G. H. Lee, J. C. Kim, and D. W. Kim, ACS Catal. 8, 2601 (2018).
- ²⁷C. Yao, F. Li, X. Li, and D. Xia, J. Mater. Chem. **22**, 16560 (2012).
- ²⁸D. S. Ko, S. I. Kim, T. Y. Ahn, and S. D. Kim, Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, 053502 (2012).
- ²⁹D. H. Kwon, K. M. Kim, J. H. Jang, J. M. Jeon, H. L. Min, G. H. Kim, X. S. Li, G. S. Park, B. Lee, and S. Han, Nat. Nanotechnol. 5, 148 (2010).
- ³⁰D. H. Torchinsky, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and N. Gedik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 027005 (2010).
- ³¹Y. C. Tian, W. H. Zhang, F. S. Li, Y. L. Wu, Q. Wu, F. Sun, G. Y. Zhou, L. Wang, X. Ma, Q. K. Xue, and J. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett **116**, 107001 (2016).
- $^{32}\mathrm{I.}$ M. Vishik, F. Mahmood, Z. Alpichshev, N. Gedik, J. Higgins, and R. L. Greene,

Phys. Rev. B **95**, 115125 (2017).

- ³³D. H. Torchinsky, F. Mahmood, A. T. Bollinger, I. Božović, and N. Gedik, Nat. Mater. 12, 387 (2013).
 ³⁴X. C. Nie, H. Y. Song, X. Zhang, S.-B. Liu, Y. Wang, Q. Gao, L. Zhao, X. J. Zhou, J.-Q. Meng, Y.-X.
- X. C. Nie, H. T. Solig, X. Zhang, S.-B. Ehu, T. Wang, Q. Gao, E. Zhao, X. J. Zhou, J.-Q. Meng, T.-X. Duan, and H. Y. Liu, arXiv: 1803.10506. (2018).
 ³⁵F. Cilento, C. Giannetti, G. Ferrini, S. Dal Conte, T. Sala, G. Coslovich, M. Rini, A. Cavalleri, and F. Parmigiani, Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 021102 (2010).
- ³⁶L. D. Landau, Physik Zeit. Sowjetunion **3**, 664 (1933).
 ³⁷H. Fröhlich, H. Pelzer, and S. Zienau, Philos. Mag. **41**, 221 (1950).