

Brezis-Gallouet-Wainger type inequality with critical fractional Sobolev space and BMO

Nguyen-Anh Dao ^{*}, Quoc-Hung Nguyen[†]

August 27, 2018

Abstract. In this paper, we prove the Brezis-Gallouet-Wainger type inequality involving the BMO norm, the fractional Sobolev norm, and the logarithmic norm of \dot{C}^η , for $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

1 Introduction and main results

The main purpose of this paper is to establish L^∞ -bound by means of the BMO norm, or the critical fractional Sobolev norm with the logarithm of \dot{C}^η norm. Such a L^∞ -estimate of this type is known as the Brezis-Gallouet-Wainger (BGW) type inequality. Let us remind that Brezis-Gallouet [3], and Brezis-Wainger [4] considered the relation between L^∞ , $W^{k,r}$, and $W^{s,p}$, and proved that there holds

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(1 + \log^{\frac{r-1}{r}} (1 + \|f\|_{W^{s,p}})\right), \quad sp > n \quad (1.1)$$

provided $\|f\|_{W^{k,r}} \leq 1$, for $kr = n$. Its application is to prove the existence of solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations, see details in [3]. We also note that an alternative proof of (1.1) was given by H. Engler [5] for any bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n with the cone condition. Similar embedding for vector functions u with $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ was investigated by Beale-Kato-Majda:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C (1 + \|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{L^\infty} (1 + \log(1 + \|u\|_{W^{s+1,p}})) + \|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{L^2}), \quad (1.2)$$

for $sp > n$, see [1] (see also [10] for an improvement of (1.2) in a bounded domain). An application of (1.2) is to prove the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations. After that, estimate (1.2) was enhanced by Kozono and Taniuchi [6] in that $\|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{L^\infty}$ can be relaxed to $\|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{BMO}$:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C (1 + \|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{BMO} (1 + \log(1 + \|u\|_{W^{s+1,p}}))). \quad (1.3)$$

To obtain (1.3), Kozono-Taniuchi [6] proved a logarithmic Sobolev inequality in terms of BMO norm and Sobolev norm that for any $1 < p < \infty$, and for $s > n/p$, then there is a constant $C = C(n, p, s)$ such that the estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C (1 + \|f\|_{BMO} (1 + \log^+ (\|f\|_{W^{s,p}}))) \quad (1.4)$$

^{*}Applied Analysis Research Group, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Email address: daonguyenanh@tdt.edu.vn

[†]Scuola Normale Superiore, Centro Ennio de Giorgi, Piazza dei Cavalieri 3, I-56100 Pisa, Italy. Email address: quoc-hung.nguyen@sns.it

holds for all $f \in W^{s,p}$. Obviously, (1.4) is a generalization of (1.1).

Besides, it is interesting to note that Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality with critical Sobolev space directly yields BGW type inequality. For example, H. Kozono, and H. Wadade [8] proved the Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequalities for the critical case and the limiting case of Sobolev space as follows:

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq C_n r' q^{\frac{1}{r'}} \|u\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p}{q}} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2r}} u\|_{L^r}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \quad (1.5)$$

holds for all $u \in L^p \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{r}, r}$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 < r < \infty$, and for all q with $p \leq q < \infty$ (see also Ozawa [11]).

And

$$\|u\|_{L^q} \leq C_n q \|u\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p}{q}} \|u\|_{BMO}^{1-\frac{p}{q}}, \quad (1.6)$$

holds for all $u \in L^p \cap BMO$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, and for all q with $p \leq q < \infty$.

As a result, (1.5) implies

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(1 + (\|u\|_{L^p} + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2r}} u\|_{L^r}) \left(\log(1 + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^q}) \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \right), \quad (1.7)$$

for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ and $n/q < s < \infty$.

While (1.6) yields

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left(1 + (\|u\|_{L^p} + \|u\|_{BMO}) \log(1 + \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^q}) \right), \quad (1.8)$$

for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, and $n/q < s < \infty$.

Thus, (1.7) and (1.8) may be regarded as a generalization of BGW inequality. Note that in (1.7) and (1.8), the logarithm term only contains the semi-norm $\|u\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}}$. Furthermore, Kozono, Ogawa, Taniuchi [7] proved the logarithmic Sobolev inequalities in Besov space, generalizing the BGW inequality and the Beale-Kato-Majda inequality.

Motivated by these above results, in this paper, we study BGW type inequality by means of the BMO norm, the fractional Sobolev norm and the \dot{C}^η norm, for $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Then, our first result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$, and $\alpha \in (0, n)$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(n, \eta) > 0$ such that the estimate*

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C + C \|f\|_{BMO} \left(1 + \log^+ \left[\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right] \right) \quad (1.9)$$

holds for all $f \in \dot{C}^\eta \cap BMO$. We accept the notation $\log^+ s = \log s$ if $s \geq 1$, and $\log^+ s = 0$ if $s \in (0, 1)$.

Remark 1.2 *It is clear that $\left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy \right)$ is finite if $f \in L^1$. On the other hand, if $f \in L^r$, $r > 1$, then for any $\alpha \in (\frac{n}{r}, n)$, we have*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy \leq C \|f\|_{L^r},$$

where the constant C is independent of f .

Remark 1.3 If $\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{B}_R$, then (1.9) implies

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C + C\|f\|_{BMO} \left(1 + \log^+ [R^{n-\alpha+\eta} + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}]\right). \quad (1.10)$$

Remark 1.4 Note that if $f \in W^{s,p}$ with $sp > n$, then (1.9) is stronger than (1.4) since $W^{s,p} \subset C^{0,\eta} \subset \dot{C}^\eta$, with $\eta = \frac{sp-n}{p}$.

Concerning the BGW type inequality involving the fractional Sobolev space, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.5 Let $s > 0, p \geq 1$ be such that $sp = n$. Let $\alpha > 0, \eta \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(n, s, p, \eta, \alpha) > 0$ such that the estimate

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C + C\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} \left(1 + \left(\log^+ \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}\right)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right) \quad (1.11)$$

holds for all $f \in \dot{C}^\eta \cap \dot{W}^{s,p}$, where $\dot{W}^{s,p}$ is the homogeneous fractional Sobolev space, see its definition below.

Remark 1.6 As Remark 1.4, we can see that (1.11) is stronger than (1.1). Furthermore, if $\text{supp } f \subset \mathbb{B}_R$, then (1.9) implies

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C + C\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} \left(1 + (\log^+ [R^{n-\alpha+\eta} + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}])^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right). \quad (1.12)$$

Remark 1.7 We consider $f_\delta(x) = -\log(|x| + \delta)\psi(|x|)$, where $\psi \in C_c^1([0, \infty))$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi(|x|) = 1$ if $|x| \leq \frac{1}{4}$, and $\delta > 0$ is small enough. It is not hard to see that for any $\delta > 0$ small enough

$$\|f_\delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim |\log(\delta)|, \quad \|f_\delta\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim 1, \quad \|f_\delta\|_{\dot{W}^{\frac{n}{p},p}} \sim |\log(\delta)|^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_\delta(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy \sim 1, \quad \|f_\delta\|_{\dot{C}^\eta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \delta^{-1}.$$

Therefore, the power 1 and $\frac{p-1}{p}$ of the term $\log_2^+ \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}\right)$ in (1.9) and (1.11) respectively are sharp that there are no such estimates of the form:

$$\|f_1\|_\infty \leq C + C\|f_1\|_{BMO} \left(1 + \left(\log_2^+ \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_1(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f_1\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}\right)\right)^\gamma\right),$$

and

$$\|f_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq C + C\|f_2\|_{\dot{W}^{\frac{n}{p},p}} \left(1 + \left(\log^+ \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{(|z-y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f_2\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}\right)\right)^\gamma\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}},$$

hold for all $f_1 \in BMO \cap \dot{C}^\eta$, $f_2 \in \dot{C}^\eta \cap \dot{W}^{s,p}$, for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Before closing this section, let us introduce some functional spaces that we use through this paper. First of all, we recall \dot{C}^η , $\eta \in (0, 1)$, as the homogeneous Holder continuous of order η , endowed with the semi-norm:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\eta}.$$

Next, if $s \in (0, 1)$, then we recall $\dot{W}^{s,p}$ the homogeneous fractional Sobolev space, endowed with the semi-norm:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

When $s > 1$, and s is not an integer, we denote $\dot{W}^{s,p}$ as the homogeneous fractional Sobolev space endowed with the semi-norm:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} = \sum_{|\sigma|=[s]} \|D^\sigma f\|_{\dot{W}^{s-[s],p}}.$$

If s is an integer, then

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} = \sum_{|\sigma|=[s]} \|D^\sigma f\|_{L^p}.$$

We refer to [9] for details on the fractional Sobolev space.

After that, we accept the notation $(f)_\Omega := \int_\Omega f = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega f(x) dx$ for any Borel set Ω . Finally, C is always denoted as a constant which can change from line to line. And $C(k, n, l)$ means that this constant merely depends on k, n, l .

2 Proof of the Theorems

We first prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 . It is enough to prove that

$$|f(0)| \leq C + C\|f\|_{BMO} \left(1 + \log_2^+ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right) \right), \quad (2.1)$$

Let $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, set $B_\rho := B_\rho(0)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(0)| &= \left| f(0) - \int_{B_{2^{-m_0}}} f + \sum_{j=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left(\int_{B_{2^j}} f - \int_{B_{2^{j+1}}} f \right) + \int_{B_{2^{m_0}}} f \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2^{-m_0}}} |f - f(0)| + \sum_{j=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \int_{B_{2^j}} |f - (f)_{B_{2^{j+1}}}| + C2^{-m_0(n-\alpha)} \int_{B_{2^{m_0}}} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2^{-m_0}}} |y|^\eta \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} dy + 2m_0 \|f\|_{BMO} + C2^{-m_0(n-\alpha)} \int_{B_{2^{m_0}}} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy \\ &\leq C2^{-m_0 \min\{n-\alpha, \eta\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right) + Cm_0 \|f\|_{BMO}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$m_0 = \left\lceil \frac{\log_2^+ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right)}{\min\{n-\alpha, \eta\}} \right\rceil + 1,$$

we get (2.1). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Next, we prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. To prove it, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $a_0 = 1$, and let $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+2}$, for any $k \geq 1$, be a unique solution of the following system:*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j 2^{jl} = 0, \quad \forall l = 0, \dots, k. \quad (2.2)$$

Then, we have

$$a := \sum_{j=0}^k (k-j+1)a_j \neq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, for any $m \geq 1$, and for $b, b_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l = -m, \dots, m$, we have

$$\sum_{l=-m}^{m-1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j b_{j+l} \right] = \sum_{l=m}^{k+m} \left[\sum_{j=l-m+1}^{k+1} a_j \right] b_l + \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{l+m} a_j \right] (b_l - b) + ab. \quad (2.4)$$

As a result, we obtain

$$|b| \leq \frac{1}{|a|} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |a_j| \right] \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} |b_l - b| + \frac{1}{|a|} \sum_{l=-m}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j b_{j+l} \right| + \frac{1}{|a|} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |a_j| \right] \sum_{l=m}^{k+m} |b_l|. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. First of all, we note that $a_j \neq 0$, for $j = 0, \dots, k+1$. Set

$$Q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j x^j.$$

Then,

$$Q'(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j a_j.$$

On the other hand, by (2.2), we have $Q(2^l) = 0$, for $l = 0, \dots, k$. Thus,

$$Q(x) = a_{k+1} \prod_{l=0}^k (x - 2^l), \quad \text{and} \quad Q'(1) = \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - 2^l).$$

This implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} ja_j = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - 2^j) \neq 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Next, we observe that

$$0 = (k+1) \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j = a + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} ja_j = 0.$$

The last equation and (2.6) yield $a = -\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - 2^j) \neq 0$.

Now, we prove (2.4). We denote *LHS* (resp. *RHS*) is the left hand side (resp. the right hand side) side of (2.4). It is not difficult to verify that

$$\sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{l+m} a_j \right] b = ab.$$

Then, a direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= a_0 b_{-m} + (a_0 + a_1) b_{1-m} + \dots + (a_0 + \dots + a_k) b_{k-m} \\ &\quad + (a_1 + \dots + a_{k+1}) b_m + (a_2 + \dots + a_{k+1}) b_{m+1} + \dots + a_{k+1} b_{k+m} = a_0 \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} b_l \\ &\quad + a_1 \left(\sum_{l=1-m}^{k-m} b_l + \sum_{l=m}^m b_l \right) + \dots + a_k \left(\sum_{l=k-m}^{k-m} b_{k-m} + \sum_{l=m}^{m+k-1} b_l \right) + a_{k+1} \left(\sum_{l=m}^{m+k} b_l \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \right) \sum_{l=k+1-m}^{m-1} b_l = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= RHS + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \right) \sum_{l=k+1-m}^{m-1} b_l \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \left(\sum_{l=j-m}^{j+m-1} b_l \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=m}^{k+m} \left(\sum_{j=l-m+1}^{k+1} a_j \right) b_l + \sum_{l=k+1-m}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \right) b_l + \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l+m} a_j \right) b_l \\ &= \sum_{l=m}^{k+m} \left(\sum_{j=l-m+1}^{k+1} a_j \right) b_l + \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l+m} a_j \right) b_l \\ &= LHS. \end{aligned}$$

Or, we get (2.4).

Finally, (2.5) follows from (2.4) by using the triangle inequality. In other words, we

get Lemma 2.1. ■

Next, we have

Lemma 2.2 *Assume a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} as in Lemma 2.1. Let $\Omega_j = B_{2^{j+1}} \setminus B_{2^j}$, where $B_\rho := B_\rho(0)$ for any $\rho > 0$. Then, there holds*

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} f \right| \leq C \int_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \left| D^k f(y) - \left(D^k f \right)_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \right| dy. \quad (2.7)$$

For any $l \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $E_l = B_{2^{k+l+3}} \setminus B_{2^{l-1}}$. As a consequence of (2.7), we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_{j+l}} f \right| \leq C 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \left| D^k f(y) - D^k f(y') \right| dy dy'. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, by the triangle inequality we get from (2.8)

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_{j+l}} f \right| \leq C 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} \left| D^k f(y) \right| dy. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Assume a contradiction that (2.7) is not true. There exists then a sequence $(f_m)_{m \geq 1} \subset W^{k,1}(B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}})$ such that

$$\int_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \left| D^k f_m(y) - \left(D^k f_m \right)_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \right| dy \leq \frac{1}{m}, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} f_m \right| = 1, \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Let us put

$$\tilde{f}_m(x) = f_m(x) - P_{k,m}(x), \quad \text{with } P_{k,m}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = l} c_{l,k,m}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

and $c_{l,k,m}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a constant such that

$$\left(D^l \tilde{f}_m \right)_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} = 0, \quad \forall l = 0, \dots, k. \quad (2.11)$$

By a sake of brief, we denote $c_{l,m} = c_{l,k,m}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Since $P_{k,m}$ is a polynomial of at most k -degree, then $D^k P_{k,m} = \text{const}$. This fact, (2.10), and (2.11) imply

$$\int_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \left| D^k \tilde{f}_m(y) \right| dy = \int_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \left| D^k f_m(y) - \left(D^k f_m \right)_{B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}} \right| dy \leq \frac{1}{m}.$$

It follows from the compact embeddings that there exists a subsequence of $(\tilde{f}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ (still denoted as $(\tilde{f}_m)_{m \geq 1}$) such that $\tilde{f}_m \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ strongly in $L^1(B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}})$, and

$$D^k \tilde{f} = 0, \quad \text{in } B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}.$$

This implies that \tilde{f} is a polynomial of at most $(k-1)$ -degree, i.e:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = l} c'_{l,k}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \forall x \in B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}}.$$

On the other hand, we observe that for any $l = 0, \dots, k$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = l} c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = l} c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) (2^j x_1)^{\alpha_1} (2^j x_2)^{\alpha_2} \dots (2^j x_n)^{\alpha_n} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = l} c(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j 2^{jl} \right) x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2). This implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} \tilde{f} = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} \tilde{f}_m \right| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} f_m \right| = 1.$$

Remind that $\tilde{f}_m \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ strongly in $L^1(B_{2^{k+3}} \setminus B_{2^{-1}})$, then we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_j} \tilde{f} \right| = 1.$$

Or, we complete the proof of (2.7).

The proof of (2.8) (resp. (2.9)) is trivial then we leave it to the reader. This puts an end to the proof of Lemma 2.2. \blacksquare

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

It is enough to show that

$$|f(0)| \leq C + C \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}} \left(1 + \log_2^+ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\gamma} \right) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Set $s_1 = s - k$, $s_1 \in [0, 1)$. Then, we divide our study into the two cases:

i) Case: $s_1 \in (0, 1)$:

We apply Lemma 2.1 with $b = f(0)$, $b_j = \int_{\Omega_j} f$. Then, for any $m_0 \geq 1$, there is a constant $C = C(k) > 0$ such that

$$|f(0)| \leq C \left(\sum_{l=-m_0}^{k-m_0} \left| \int_{\Omega_l} f - f(0) \right| + \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_{j+l}} f \right| + \sum_{l=m_0}^{k+m_0} \left| \int_{\Omega_l} f \right| \right). \quad (2.14)$$

Concerning the first term on the right hand side of (2.14), we have

$$\sum_{l=-m_0}^{k-m_0} \left| \int_{\Omega_l} f - f(0) \right| \leq \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \int_{\Omega_l} |f - f(0)| \leq \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \int_{\Omega_l} |x|^\eta \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} dx.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} \left| \int_{\Omega_l} f - f(0) \right| \leq \sum_{l=-m}^{k-m} 2^{(l+1)\eta} \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \leq C(\eta, k) 2^{-m\eta} \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta}. \quad (2.15)$$

Next, we use (2.8) in Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\sum_{l=-m}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_{j+l}} f \right| \leq C \sum_{l=-m}^{m-1} 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} |D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)| dy dz, \quad (2.16)$$

where $E_l = B_{2^{k+l+3}} \setminus B_{2^{l-1}}$. It follows from Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} |D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)| dy dz \leq \\ & \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} |E_l|^{-2} \left(\int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} |y-z|^{\frac{n+s_1 p}{p-1}} dy dz \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y, z \in E_l$, we have $|y-z| \leq |y| + |z| \leq 2^{k+l+4}$. Thus, the right hand side of the indicated inequality is less than

$$C(n, p, k) 2^{kl + \frac{l(n+s_1 p)}{p}} |E_l|^{\frac{-2}{p}} \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left(\int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note that $n = sp = (k + s_1)p$, and $|E_l|^{\frac{-2}{p}} \leq C(n, p, k) 2^{-2l \frac{n}{p}}$.

Then, there is a constant $C = C(k, s, n) > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} |D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)| dy dz \leq C \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left(\int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.17)$$

Thanks to the inequality

$$\sum_{j=-m_0}^{m_0-1} c_j^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (2m_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=-m_0}^{m_0-1} c_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.18)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left(\int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq (2m_0)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Moreover, we observe that $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_{E_l \times E_l}(y_1, y_2) \leq k+4$, for all $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz \leq (k+4) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)|^p}{|y-z|^{n+s_1 p}} dy dz. \quad (2.20)$$

Combining (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20) yields

$$\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} \int_{E_l} |D^k f(y) - D^k f(z)| dy dz \leq C(k, s, n) m_0^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}}. \quad (2.21)$$

It remains to treat the last term. Then, it is not difficult to see that for any $\alpha > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=m_0}^{k+m_0} \left| \int_{\Omega_l} f \right| & \leq C(k, n) 2^{-m_0 n} \int_{B_{2^{k+m_0}}} |f| \\ & \leq C(k, n, \alpha) 2^{-m_0(n-\alpha)} \int_{B_{2^{k+m_0}}} \frac{|f(x)| dx}{(|x|+1)^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Inserting (2.15), (2.21), and (2.22) into (2.14) yields

$$|f(0)| \leq C 2^{-m_0 \min\{n-\alpha, \eta\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right) + C m_0^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}}. \quad (2.23)$$

By choosing

$$m_0 = \left\lceil \frac{\log_2^+ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right)}{\min\{n-\alpha, \eta\}} \right\rceil + 1,$$

we obtain (2.13).

ii) Case: $s_1 = 0$ ($s = k$):

The proof is similar to the one of the case $s_1 \in (0, 1)$. There is just a difference of estimating the second term on the right hand side of (2.14) as follows:

Use (2.9), we get

$$\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_{j+l}} f \right| \leq C \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} |D^k f|. \quad (2.24)$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} \int_{E_l} |D^k f| &\leq \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} 2^{kl} |E_l|^{-1/p} \left(\int_{E_l} |D^k f|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C(n, k) \sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left(\int_{E_l} |D^k f|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C m_0^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \int_{E_l} |D^k f|^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2.25}
\end{aligned}$$

We utilize the fact $\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{E_l}(y) \leq k+4$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ again in order to get

$$\left(\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \int_{E_l} |D^k f|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq (k+4) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^k f|^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2.26}$$

From (2.26), (2.25), and (2.24), we get

$$\sum_{l=-m_0}^{m_0-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j \int_{\Omega_{j+l}} f \right| \leq C(k, n) \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}}. \tag{2.27}$$

Thus, we obtain another version of (2.23) as follows:

$$|f(0)| \leq C 2^{-m_0 \min\{n-\alpha, \eta\}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(|y|+1)^\alpha} dy + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^\eta} \right) + C m_0^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{s,p}}. \tag{2.28}$$

By the same argument as above (after (2.23)), we get the proof of the case $s_1 = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \blacksquare

References

- [1] J. T. Beale, T. Kato, A. Majda, *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations*, Com. Math. Phys., **94** (1984), 61-66.
- [2] Brezis H., *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, Springer, 2010.
- [3] Brezis H., Gallouet, T., *Nonlinear Schrodinger evolution equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **4** (1980), 677-681.
- [4] Brezis H., Wainger, S., *A note on limiting cases of Sobolev embeddings and convolution inequalities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **5** (1980), 773-789.
- [5] H. Engler, *An alternative proof of the Brezis-Wainger inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **14** (1989), 541-544.

- [6] H. Kozono, Y. Taniuchi, *Limiting case of the Sobolev inequality in BMO with application to the Euler equations*, Com. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), 191-200.
- [7] H. Kozono, T. Ogawa, Y. Taniuchi, *The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semi-linear evolution equations*, Math. Z., **242** (2002), 251-278.
- [8] H. Kozono, and H. Wadade, *Remarks on Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality with critical Sobolev space and BMO*, Math. Z. **295** (2008), 935-950.
- [9] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, Enrico Valdinoci, *Hitchhikers guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathematiques, **136** (2012), 521-573.
- [10] T. Ogawa, Y. Taniuchi, *On blow-up criteria of smooth solutions to the 3-D Euler equations in a bounded domain*, J. Differential Equations, **190** (2003), 39-63.
- [11] T. Ozawa, *On critical cases of Sobolev's inequalities*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **127** (1995), 259-269.