

EXPONENTIAL DECAY OF RÉNYI DIVERGENCE UNDER FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATIONS

YU CAO, JIANFENG LU, AND YULONG LU

ABSTRACT. We prove the exponential convergence to the equilibrium, quantified by Rényi divergence, of the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation with drift given by the gradient of a strictly convex potential. This extends the classical exponential decay result on the relative entropy for the same equation.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We consider the long time behavior of the following Fokker-Planck equation on \mathbb{R}^d

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t p_t(x) = \operatorname{div}(p_t(x)\nabla V(x)) + \Delta p_t(x),$$

where $V(x)$ is a smooth potential function on \mathbb{R}^d , and the initial datum p_0 is smooth and decays sufficiently fast at infinity. It is well-known [24, 35] that if V satisfies the uniform convexity (or Bakry-Émery [5]) condition:

$$(1.2) \quad D^2V(x) \geq K \cdot \mathbf{I}_d \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with some constant $K > 0$, then the solution p_t of the Fokker-Planck equation dissipates the relative entropy (or Kullback-Leibler divergence, or free energy functional) exponentially fast towards the Gibbs stationary distribution

$$p_\infty(x) = e^{-V(x)},$$

where we assume that the normalization constant is one without loss of generality. More precisely,

$$(1.3) \quad D(p_t \parallel p_\infty) \leq D(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)e^{-2Kt},$$

where we recall that the relative entropy $D(p \parallel q)$ is defined by

$$D(p \parallel q) := \begin{cases} \int \frac{p}{q} \ln\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) dq, & p \ll q, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For convenience, we will abuse notation and use symbols $p, q, \text{ etc.}$, to represent probability measures as well as the density functions associated with them. Whether a symbol refers to a probability measure or a density should be clear from the context. In addition, to avoid technicalities, all probability density functions under consideration will be assumed to be smooth.

Date: November 13, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35B40.

The exponential decay (1.3) can be established by the entropy dissipation method, which usually relies on the validity of the log-Sobolev inequality with respect to p_∞ . In fact, the entropy production (time-derivative of entropy) is

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} D(p_t \parallel p_\infty) = -\mathcal{I}(p_t \parallel p_\infty),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\cdot \parallel \cdot)$ is the *relative Fisher information* defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{I}(p \parallel q) := \int \left| \nabla \ln \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \right|^2 dp,$$

if $p \ll q$ and $q \ll p$; otherwise, set $\mathcal{I}(p \parallel q) := \infty$. We say that the measure $p_\infty = e^{-V}$ satisfies the log-Sobolev inequality (LSI) [6, 19, 20] with constant $K > 0$ if for all probability measures $p \ll p_\infty$, we have

$$(1.6) \quad D(p \parallel p_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2K} \mathcal{I}(p \parallel p_\infty).$$

Then (1.3) follows directly from (1.4), (1.6) and Grönwall's inequality. By linearizing the LSI near p_∞ , it yields the Poincaré inequality [29]: if $\int f dp_\infty = 0$, then

$$(1.7) \quad K \int f^2 dp_\infty \leq \int |\nabla f|^2 dp_\infty.$$

The entropy dissipation method exemplified as above has become an important tool to study convergence to equilibrium of solutions of evolutionary partial differential equations. We refer interested readers to [38, 39, 40] for more extensive discussion on this method.

There is a huge amount of literature, attempting to generalize the above picture, by considering various semigroup dynamics and entropy measures. In [2], Arnold *et al.* considered more general Fokker-Planck equations and *admissible relative entropies* generated by convex functions ψ ; more explicitly, the admissible relative entropy considered there has the form

$$(1.8) \quad D_\psi(p \parallel q) := \int \psi \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) dq.$$

It recovers the standard relative entropy by choosing $\psi(x) = x \log(x)$. It is worthwhile to mention that an important special instance of this family of divergence is the *Tsallis divergence* [36, 28] with order $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, which refers to the choice $\psi_\alpha(x) = \frac{x^\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1}$. It has been proved in [2, Theorem 2.16] that under certain assumptions, the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation converges to its stationary distribution exponentially fast, quantified by the admissible relative entropy.

The decay of the solution of Fokker-Planck equation in relative entropy can be viewed from a different, yet deeper perspective. This dates back to the celebrated work by Jordan, Kinderlehrer and Otto [22], in which the Fokker-Planck equation is regarded as the gradient flow of the relative entropy with respect to the 2-Wasserstein distance in the space of probability measures. Based on identifying a Riemannian structure on the Wasserstein space of probability measures, Otto [30] showed that a large number of evolution equations could also be viewed as the gradient flow in the 2-Wasserstein metric for certain energy functionals. Moreover, from this geometric perspective, the strong geodesic convexity of the functionals gives rise to a number of functional inequalities, including the LSI; see *e.g.*, [29]. By now, similar results in this direction have been obtained in various scenarios,

such as finite Markov chains [23], discrete porous medium equations [17], quantum Fermionic Fokker-Planck equation [12], and quantum Lindblad equation [13], just to name a few.

Motivation and main results. In this paper, we study the dissipation behavior of the solution of Fokker-Planck equation with respect to the Rényi divergence [33, 37], including the relative entropy as a special instance. Rényi divergence has been widely used in, for instance, coding [21], statistics [34, 7], rare events [3, 16]. The precise definition of Rényi divergence is given as follows.

Definition 1.1 (Rényi divergence). For two probability distributions $p \ll q$, Rényi divergence is defined as

$$(1.9) \quad D_\alpha(p \parallel q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \left(\int \left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^\alpha dq \right), & 0 < \alpha < \infty, \alpha \neq 1; \\ \int \frac{p}{q} \ln \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) dq, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

If p is not absolutely continuous with respect to q , simply set $D_\alpha(p \parallel q) = \infty$.

With fixed smooth distributions p, q such that $p \ll q$, Rényi divergence is continuous with respect to order α , in particular, $D_1(p \parallel q) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(p \parallel q)$. Both Rényi divergence and Tsallis divergence generalize the relative entropy, though in different flavors [25].

It is important to notice that Rényi divergence (1.9) does not fit into the framework of admissible relative entropy (1.8) studied in [2]. To the best of our knowledge, Rényi divergence has not been used as an entropy measure to study the behavior of Fokker-Planck equations. However, there is one related work [14] utilizing the “relative Rényi entropy” (slightly different from Rényi divergence defined above) to obtain refined long time asymptotics of the solution of the porous medium equation to its Barenblatt profile.

Our interest in Rényi divergence is mainly motivated by the recent work on the second laws of quantum thermodynamics [9], which states that a family of free energies – quantum Rényi divergences (including sandwiched Rényi divergences [27, 41] and Petz-Rényi divergences [31]), never increases during state transition at microscopic level. One important challenge at the quantum level is that there is no consensus about how quantum Rényi divergence should be defined due to the noncommutative nature of quantum systems: two incompatible definitions of quantum Rényi divergence can be found in [27, 41, 31] and the recent progress on more general (α, z) -Rényi divergence can be found in [4, 11]. For sandwiched Rényi divergence, Frank and Lieb rigorously proved that for orders $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, it is monotonically decreasing under all completely positive trace preserving (CPTP) maps (*i.e.*, data processing inequality holds) [18]. In particular, this implies that the sandwiched Rényi divergence decreases under Lindblad equation, which is generally viewed as the quantum analog of Fokker-Planck equation. There are some attempts to characterize the convergence rate for sandwiched Rényi divergence under Lindblad equations [26]. Motivated by the increasing attention to quantum Rényi divergence, we pull ourselves back from (quantum) microscopic dynamics to (classical) macroscopic time-evolution, and examine the decay rate of the (classical) Rényi divergence, defined in (1.9), under the time-evolution of the Fokker-Planck

equation (1.1). The companion paper [10] examines the convergence of the solution of primitive Lindblad equations with GNS-detailed balance, quantified by the sandwiched Rényi divergence, whose analysis is strongly informed by the present paper.

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that V satisfies (1.2). Fix $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and a smooth initial probability distribution p_0 which decays sufficiently fast at infinity. Let p_t be the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1). Then there exists $\tau \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$(1.10) \quad D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) \leq CD_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)e^{-2Kt}, \quad \text{for any } t \geq \tau,$$

where τ is given by

$$(1.11) \quad \tau = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha \in (0, 2]; \\ \frac{1}{2K} \ln(\alpha - 1), & \alpha \in (2, \infty); \end{cases}$$

and C is given by

$$C = \begin{cases} \frac{D_1(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}, & \alpha \in (0, 1]; \\ \frac{e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}, & \alpha \in (1, 2]; \\ (\alpha - 1) \frac{e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}, & \alpha \in (2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.3. Observe that when $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 1.2 recovers the classical dissipation estimate (1.3) for relative entropy under the Fokker-Planck equation. The quantum analog of Theorem 1.2 for the Lindblad equation can be found in [10, Theorem 1.8].

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is presented in Section 3. Let us explain here briefly the key strategies in our proof. First, we prove this theorem for the case $\alpha = 2$, by using the Poincaré inequality (1.7). Next, for the range $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, the exponential decay follows immediately from the monotonicity of the Rényi divergence with respect to order α (see Lemma 3.3). Then, we present a comparison lemma (see Lemma 3.4), which bounds $D_{\alpha_1}(p_T \parallel p_\infty)$ above by $D_{\alpha_0}(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)$ for $\alpha_1 > \alpha_0$, at the expense of marching time T . Finally, this comparison lemma is used to prove the exponential decay of the Rényi divergence with order $\alpha \in (2, \infty)$.

Before the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also show in the next section a new gradient flow structure of the Fokker-Planck equation based on the Rényi divergence, which will facilitate the proof of Theorem 1.2. To the best of our knowledge, this gradient flow structure does not seem to fit into any existing framework like [30] and has interest in its own right.

Contribution. We prove the exponential convergence of the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) to the Gibbs stationary distribution p_∞ , quantified by the Rényi divergence (1.9) in Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.2 for Fokker-Planck equation has very similar quantum analog for Lindblad equation (see [10]), which suggests a possibility of having almost parallel approaches to study Fokker-Planck equation and Lindblad equation. In addition, we show that under certain metric

tensor (2.6), the Fokker-Planck equation can be, at least formally, identified as the gradient flow dynamics of the Rényi divergence (see Sect. 2), which can be of independent interest.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we first show that the Fokker-Planck equation can be formally viewed as the gradient flow of Rényi divergence under a certain metric tensor. Sect. 3 is fully devoted into the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION AS THE GRADIENT FLOW OF RÉNYI DIVERGENCE

This section aims to identify the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) as the gradient flow of Rényi divergence for any order $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, with respect to a certain metric tensor (2.6) in the space of probability measures, which generalizes the well-known fact that Fokker-Planck equation is the L^2 -Wasserstein gradient flow of the relative entropy [22]. Interested readers may refer to *e.g.*, [1, 29, 30] for extensive treatment of gradient flows in the space of probability measures. The derivations in this section are formal and follow closely with those in [30].

We first define a Riemannian structure on a space of probability measures under which the gradient flow of $D_\alpha(\cdot \parallel p_\infty)$ gives the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1). By Riemannian structure, we mean a manifold (denoted by \mathcal{M}_α) and a metric tensor, denoted by $g_{\alpha,p}(\cdot, \cdot)$, defined on the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha$. The dependence of the metric tensor on α and p will be clear in the sequel. For a fixed Riemannian structure $(\mathcal{M}_\alpha, g_{\alpha,p}(\cdot, \cdot))$, the gradient of the energy functional $D_\alpha(\cdot \parallel p_\infty)$ at $p \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is defined as the element in $\mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, denoted by $\text{grad}D_\alpha|_p$ or simply $\text{grad}D_\alpha$ (when no confuse arises for p), such that

$$(2.1) \quad g_{\alpha,p}(\text{grad}D_\alpha, \nu) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} D_\alpha(p + \epsilon\nu \parallel p_\infty) \right|_{\epsilon=0}, \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha.$$

The corresponding gradient flow dynamics (of the Rényi divergence) is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_t p_t = -\text{grad}D_\alpha|_{p_t}.$$

Below we specify the space \mathcal{M}_α and define the metric tensor $g_{\alpha,p}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Let \mathcal{M}_α be the space of smooth probability distributions, which have finite Rényi divergence with respect to p_∞ , *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha := \{ p \ll p_\infty \text{ is smooth} \mid D_\alpha(p \parallel p_\infty) < \infty \}.$$

We will not delve into technical details of the differential structure of the manifold and think of the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ at $p \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ as

$$\mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \{ \text{signed functions } \nu \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } \int \nu(x) \, dx = 0 \}.$$

For any $\nu \in \mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, let Ψ_ν be a weak solution to the equation

$$(2.3) \quad \nu + \text{div}(p\nabla\Psi_\nu) = 0.$$

Namely, for all smooth and compactly supported test functions f , we have

$$\int f\nu \, dx = \int \nabla f \cdot \nabla\Psi_\nu \, dp.$$

Note that Ψ_ν is defined uniquely up to some additive constant. Then whenever dealing with an element $\nu \in \mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}_\alpha$, it is equivalent to consider its associated Ψ_ν .

In order to define the metric tensor $g_{\alpha,p}(\cdot, \cdot)$, we also need to introduce an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha,p}$ on the space of vector fields. More precisely, we define, for vector fields $\mathbf{U} = (u_1 \ u_2 \ \cdots \ u_d)$ and $\mathbf{V} = (v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_d)$ where u_j and v_j are functions on \mathbb{R}^d , for all $1 \leq j \leq d$, the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha,p}$ by

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \rangle_{\alpha,p} := \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha \int u_j v_j \, d\mu_{\alpha,p},$$

where $\mu_{\alpha,p}$ is a probability distribution defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \mu_{\alpha,p} := \frac{\left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^\alpha p_\infty}{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^\alpha \, dp_\infty}.$$

With this inner product, we define the metric tensor $g_{\alpha,p}(\cdot, \cdot)$ by

$$(2.6) \quad g_{\alpha,p}(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \langle \nabla \Psi_{\nu_1}, \nabla \Psi_{\nu_2} \rangle_{\alpha,p},$$

for $\nu_k \in \mathcal{T}_p \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, where Ψ_{ν_k} are related to ν_k via (2.3), *i.e.*,

$$\nu_k + \operatorname{div}(p \nabla \Psi_{\nu_k}) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

When $\alpha = 1$, it is easy to see that $\mu_{\alpha,p} = p$ and the resulting metric tensor reduces to the one in [30].

Finally, we check that the Fokker-Planck equation is indeed the gradient flow of $D_\alpha(\cdot \parallel p_\infty)$ with respect to the Riemannian structure defined above. In fact, by the definition of the gradient (2.1), we have from direct computations that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{\alpha,p}(\operatorname{grad} D_\alpha, \nu) &= \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} D_\alpha(p + \epsilon \nu \parallel p_\infty) \right|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^{\alpha-1} \, d\nu}{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^\alpha \, dp_\infty} \\ &\stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^{\alpha-1} \operatorname{div}(p \nabla \Psi_\nu) \, dx}{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^\alpha \, dp_\infty} \\ &= \alpha \frac{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^{\alpha-1} \nabla \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right) \cdot \nabla \Psi_\nu \, dp_\infty}{\int \left(\frac{p}{p_\infty}\right)^\alpha \, dp_\infty} \\ &= \langle -\nabla \phi, \nabla \Psi_\nu \rangle_{\alpha,p}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \phi := -\ln(p/p_\infty) = -\ln(p) - V.$$

In view of the definition of metric tensor (2.6), we have

$$\operatorname{grad} D_\alpha + \operatorname{div}(p(-\nabla \phi)) = 0.$$

Consequently, the corresponding gradient flow dynamics is

$$(2.9) \quad \partial_t p_t = -\operatorname{grad} D_\alpha|_{p_t} = -\operatorname{div}(p_t \nabla \phi_t),$$

where $\phi_t = -\ln(p_t/p_\infty)$. This exactly recovers the Fokker-Planck equation in (1.1).

An immediate consequence from gradient flow structure is the monotonicity of the Rényi divergence under the Fokker-Planck dynamics, which is summarized in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. Rényi divergence $D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty)$ is monotonically decreasing with respect to time t if p_t solves the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1).

Proof. Since p_t solves the Fokker-Planck equation, then Ψ_ν in (2.7), in fact, equals $\phi_t \equiv -\ln(p_t/p_\infty)$. Thus, (2.7) becomes

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) = -\langle \nabla \phi_t, \nabla \phi_t \rangle_{\alpha, p_t} \leq 0.$$

□

With such a Riemannian structure, it is natural to define (α, r) -Wasserstein distance via Benamou-Brenier formalism [8]:

$$(2.11) \quad W_{\alpha, r}(p, q) := \inf_{\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma_0=p, \gamma_1=q}} \left(\int_0^1 \sqrt{g_{\alpha, \gamma_s}(\dot{\gamma}_s, \dot{\gamma}_s)}^r ds \right)^{1/r}.$$

Interested readers may refer to [40, 1, 15] for rigorous treatment of Wasserstein distance. We will not pursue the properties of this distance measure herein and leave it for future research.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We will first introduce a concept called *relative α -Fisher information*. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is divided into three following-up subsections according to three regimes of α .

3.1. Relative α -Fisher information. Suppose p_t solves the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) and let us define

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_t &:= -\ln \left(\frac{\mu_{\alpha, p_t}}{p_\infty} \right) \\ &= -\ln \left(\left(\frac{p_t}{p_\infty} \right)^\alpha \right) + (\alpha - 1) D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) \\ &= \alpha \phi_t + (\alpha - 1) D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then the time derivative of Rényi divergence is linked to the relative Fisher information, using (2.10), (2.4) and (3.1),

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \int |\nabla \Phi_t|^2 d\mu_{\alpha, p_t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}(\mu_{\alpha, p_t} \parallel p_\infty) \\ &=: -\mathcal{I}_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

where we introduce *relative α -Fisher information* $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(p \parallel p_\infty) := \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{I}(\mu_{\alpha, p} \parallel p_\infty)$. Note that the relative α -Fisher information $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(p \parallel p_\infty)$ generalizes the relative Fisher information $\mathcal{I}(p \parallel p_\infty)$ in (1.5).

3.2. Case (I): $\alpha = 2$. First, we will show that relative 2-Fisher information can be bounded below by the Rényi divergence with order 2. The quantum analog of the following lemma is provided in [10, Prop. 4.3].

Lemma 3.1 (Uniform lower bound of relative 2-Fisher information). *Suppose that $D_2(p \parallel p_\infty) < \infty$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{I}_2(p \parallel p_\infty) \geq 2K \left(1 - e^{-D_2(p \parallel p_\infty)}\right).$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon = \sqrt{\int \frac{(p-p_\infty)^2}{p_\infty} dx}$ and let $f = (p - p_\infty)/\epsilon$. Thus we know that $p = p_\infty + \epsilon f$, $\int \frac{f^2}{p_\infty} dx = 1$ and $\int f dx = 0$. Then, with some straightforward calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(p \parallel p_\infty) &= \ln(1 + \epsilon^2), \\ \mathcal{I}_2(p \parallel p_\infty) &= \frac{2\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} \int \left| \nabla \left(\frac{f}{p_\infty} \right) \right|^2 dp_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Poincaré inequality (1.7),

$$\mathcal{I}_2(p \parallel p_\infty) \geq \frac{2\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} K \int \left(\frac{f}{p_\infty} \right)^2 dp_\infty = \frac{2K\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon^2} = 2K \left(1 - e^{-D_2(p \parallel p_\infty)}\right).$$

□

Remark 3.2. In the above proof, $\epsilon^2 \equiv \int \frac{(p-p_\infty)^2}{p_\infty} dx$ turns out to be the well-known χ^2 -divergence $\chi^2(p, p_\infty)$. It is straightforward to observe that $D_2(p \parallel p_\infty) = \ln(1 + \chi^2(p, p_\infty))$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 in Case (I). By (3.2) and (3.3), we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} D_2(p_t \parallel p_\infty) &= -\mathcal{I}_2(p_t \parallel p_\infty) \\ &\leq -2K \left(1 - e^{-D_2(p_t \parallel p_\infty)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\ln \left(e^{D_2(p_t \parallel p_\infty)} - 1 \right) \right) \leq -2K.$$

After integrating both sides from time 0 to t and after some straightforward simplification, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_2(p_t \parallel p_\infty) &\leq \ln \left(1 + (e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1) e^{-2Kt} \right) \leq (e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1) e^{-2Kt} \\ &= C_2 D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) e^{-2Kt}. \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 = \frac{e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1}{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}$. Apparently, $\tau_2 = 0$. □

3.3. Case (II): $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. We first recall a useful lemma on the monotonicity of Rényi divergence with respect to the order α .

Lemma 3.3. [37, Theorem 3] *Let p, q be two probability distributions. Then $D_\alpha(p \parallel q)$ is non-decreasing with respect to the order $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2 in Case (II). Thanks to Lemma 3.3 and (3.4), we immediately have, for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and for all $t \geq 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) &\leq D_2(p_t \parallel p_\infty) \leq (e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1)e^{-2Kt} \\ &= \frac{e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) e^{-2Kt} \end{aligned}$$

thus $C_\alpha = \frac{e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}$. Apparently, waiting period $\tau_\alpha = 0$.

Recall that we also have the exponential decay of the relative entropy (1.3) due to the LSI. Then by similar argument for $\alpha \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) &\leq D_1(p_t \parallel p_\infty) \leq D_1(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) e^{-2Kt} \\ &= \frac{D_1(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) e^{-2Kt}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{C}_\alpha = \frac{D_1(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)}$. To compare \tilde{C}_α and C_α when $\alpha \leq 1$, notice that

$$e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1 \geq D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) \geq D_1(p_0 \parallel p_\infty).$$

Therefore, $C_\alpha \geq \tilde{C}_\alpha$, which suggests that using \tilde{C}_α provides a better bound for the prefactor when $\alpha \leq 1$. Summarizing the above results for the case $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ leads into the conclusion in Theorem 1.2 for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. \square

3.4. Case (III): $\alpha \in (2, \infty)$. In this case, we would like to prove Theorem 1.2 by utilizing the results for case (I) (see Sect. 3.2), and a useful comparison lemma for the family of Rényi divergences $\{D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty)\}_{\alpha > 1}$ when p_t solves the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1).

Lemma 3.4 (Comparison lemma). *Let $1 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \infty$. If p_t solves the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) with initial condition p_0 , then*

$$(3.5) \quad D_{\alpha_1}(p_T \parallel p_\infty) \leq \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_0 - 1)}{\alpha_0(\alpha_1 - 1)} D_{\alpha_0}(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) \leq D_{\alpha_0}(p_0 \parallel p_\infty),$$

where $T = \frac{1}{2K} \ln \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - 1}{\alpha_0 - 1} \right)$.

Lemma 3.4 states that the Rényi divergence $D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty)$ can be bounded from above by a Rényi divergence with a smaller order than α at the expense of marching time T . A simpler version of Lemma 3.4 for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process ($V = \frac{|x|^2}{2} + \frac{d}{2} \ln(2\pi)$) was proved in [32, Theorem 3.2.3]. Since we are unaware of the proof of this lemma in literature for the Fokker-Planck equation with a strictly convex potential V , we include a proof at the end of this section for completeness.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 in Case (III). For any $\alpha \in (2, \infty)$, let us consider time $t \geq T_2 := \frac{1}{2K} \ln(\alpha - 1)$. By Lemma 3.4 with $\alpha_0 = 2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(p_t \parallel p_\infty) &\leq D_2(p_{t-T_2} \parallel p_\infty) \stackrel{(3.4)}{\leq} (e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1)e^{-2K(t-T_2)} \\ &= \frac{(e^{D_2(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} - 1)e^{2KT_2}}{D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty)} D_\alpha(p_0 \parallel p_\infty) e^{-2Kt}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C_\alpha = \frac{(e^{D_2(p_0 \| p_\infty)} - 1)e^{2KT_2}}{D_\alpha(p_0 \| p_\infty)} = (\alpha - 1) \frac{e^{D_2(p_0 \| p_\infty)} - 1}{D_\alpha(p_0 \| p_\infty)}$, and the waiting time $\tau_\alpha = T_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2K} \ln(\alpha - 1)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.4. First, we need a variant of the log-Sobolev inequality (1.6). Let p in (1.6) be $p = \frac{f p_\infty}{\int f dp_\infty}$ where f is a smooth, strictly positive function with $\int f dp_\infty < \infty$. Then (1.6) can be re-written as

$$(3.6) \quad \int f \ln(f) dp_\infty - \left(\int f dp_\infty \right) \ln \left(\int f dp_\infty \right) \leq \frac{1}{2K} \int \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f} dp_\infty.$$

Then, we follow the proof of [32, Theorem 3.2.3]. Let $\beta_t = 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)e^{2Kt}$ and define

$$F_t = \ln \left(\int h_t^{\beta_t} dp_\infty \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_t}},$$

where $h_t := p_t/p_\infty$. It should be emphasized that both β_t and h_t are changing during the time evolution: the order β_t is changing according to the above choice and the distribution p_t is evolving following the Fokker-Planck equation. We shall show that F_t is non-increasing in time. In fact,

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_t = \frac{1}{\beta_t^2} \left[\beta_t \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\int h_t^{\beta_t} dp_\infty}{\int h_t^{\beta_t} dp_\infty} - \frac{d\beta_t}{dt} \ln \left(\int h_t^{\beta_t} dp_\infty \right) \right].$$

To simplify the notation, denote $Z_t := \int h_t^{\beta_t} dp_\infty$. Multiplying both sides of the last equation by $\beta_t^2 Z_t$ and rearranging a few terms

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t^2 Z_t \frac{d}{dt} F_t &= \frac{d\beta_t}{dt} \int h_t^{\beta_t} \ln(h_t^{\beta_t}) dp_\infty + \beta_t^2 \int h_t^{\beta_t-1} \partial_t h_t dp_\infty - \frac{d\beta_t}{dt} Z_t \ln Z_t \\ &\stackrel{(3.6)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2K} \frac{d\beta_t}{dt} \beta_t^2 \int h_t^{\beta_t-2} |\nabla h_t|^2 dp_\infty + \beta_t^2 \int h_t^{\beta_t-1} (-\operatorname{div}(p_t \nabla \phi_t)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2K} \frac{d\beta_t}{dt} \beta_t^2 \int h_t^{\beta_t-2} |\nabla h_t|^2 dp_\infty - \beta_t^2 (\beta_t - 1) \int h_t^{\beta_t-2} |\nabla h_t|^2 dp_\infty \\ &= \beta_t^2 \int h_t^{\beta_t-2} |\nabla h_t|^2 dp_\infty \left(\frac{1}{2K} \frac{d\beta_t}{dt} - (\beta_t - 1) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\beta_t > 0$ and $Z_t > 0$, F_t is non-increasing. Therefore, $F_t \leq F_0$, *i.e.*,

$$(3.7) \quad D_{\alpha_t}(p_t \| p_\infty) \leq \frac{\beta_t}{\beta_t - 1} \frac{\beta_0 - 1}{\beta_0} D_{\alpha_0}(p_0 \| p_\infty).$$

Then the lemma is proved by choosing time T such that $\beta_T = \alpha_1$, whence $T = \frac{1}{2K} \ln \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - 1}{\alpha_0 - 1} \right)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work of YC and JL is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1454939.

REFERENCES

1. Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Gradient flows: in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
2. Anton Arnold, Peter Markowich, Giuseppe Toscani, and Andreas Unterreiter, *On convex Sobolev inequalities and the rate of convergence to equilibrium for Fokker-Planck type equations*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **26** (2001), no. 1-2, 43–100.
3. R. Atar, K. Chowdhary, and P. Dupuis, *Robust bounds on risk-sensitive functionals via Rényi divergence*, SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification **3** (2015), no. 1, 18–33.
4. Koenraad M. R. Audenaert and Nilanjana Datta, *α -z-Rényi relative entropies*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **56** (2015), no. 2, 022202.
5. Dominique Bakry and Michel Émery, *Diffusions hypercontractives*, Séminaire de Probabilités XIX 1983/84, Springer, 1985, pp. 177–206.
6. Dominique Bakry, Ivan Gentil, and Michel Ledoux, *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*, Springer, Cham; New York, 2014.
7. Luc Bégin, Pascal Germain, François Laviolette, and Jean-François Roy, *PAC-Bayesian bounds based on the Rényi divergence*, AISTATS, 2016.
8. Jean-David Benamou and Yann Brenier, *A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem*, Numerische Mathematik **84** (2000), no. 3, 375–393.
9. Fernando Brandão, Michał Horodecki, Nelly Ng, Jonathan Oppenheim, and Stephanie Wehner, *The second laws of quantum thermodynamics*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **112** (2015), no. 11, 3275–3279.
10. Yu Cao, Jianfeng Lu, and Yulong Lu, *Gradient flow structure and exponential decay of the sandwiched Rényi divergence for primitive Lindblad equations with GNS-detailed balance*, arXiv:1810.00906 [math-ph] (2018).
11. Eric A. Carlen, Rupert L. Frank, and Elliott H. Lieb, *Inequalities for quantum divergences and the Audenaert-Datta conjecture*, arXiv:1806.03985 [math-ph, physics:quant-ph] (2018).
12. Eric A. Carlen and Jan Maas, *An analog of the 2-Wasserstein metric in non-commutative probability under which the Fermionic Fokker-Planck equation is gradient flow for the entropy*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **331** (2014), no. 3, 887–926.
13. Eric A. Carlen and Jan Maas, *Gradient flow and entropy inequalities for quantum Markov semigroups with detailed balance*, Journal of Functional Analysis **273** (2017), no. 5, 1810 – 1869.
14. JA Carrillo and G Toscani, *Rényi entropy and improved equilibration rates to self-similarity for nonlinear diffusion equations*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014).
15. Jean Dolbeault, Bruno Nazaret, and Giuseppe Savaré, *A new class of transport distances between measures*, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations **34** (2009), no. 2, 193–231.
16. Paul Dupuis, Markos A. Katsoulakis, Yannis Pantazis, and Luc Rey-Bellet, *Sensitivity analysis for rare events based on Rényi divergence*, arXiv:1805.06917 [math] (2018), arXiv:1805.06917.
17. Matthias Erbar and Jan Maas, *Gradient flow structures for discrete porous medium equations*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-A **34** (2014), no. 4, 1355–1374.
18. Rupert L Frank and Elliott H Lieb, *Monotonicity of a relative Rényi entropy*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **54** (2013), no. 12, 122201.
19. Leonard Gross, *Hypercontractivity and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for the Clifford-Dirichlet form*, Duke Mathematical Journal **42** (1975), no. 3, 383–396.
20. _____, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*, American Journal of Mathematics **97** (1975), no. 4, 1061.
21. Peter Harremoës, *Interpretations of Rényi entropies and divergences*, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **365** (2006), no. 1, 57–62.
22. Richard Jordan, David Kinderlehrer, and Felix Otto, *The variational formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **29** (1998), no. 1, 1–17.
23. Jan Maas, *Gradient flows of the entropy for finite Markov chains*, Journal of Functional Analysis **261** (2011), no. 8, 2250 – 2292.

24. P. A. Markowich and C. Villani, *On the trend to equilibrium for the Fokker-Planck equation: An interplay between physics and functional analysis*, Physics and Functional Analysis, Matematica Contemporanea (SBM) 19, 1999, pp. 1–29.
25. Marco Masi, *A step beyond Tsallis and Rényi entropies*, Physics Letters A **338** (2005), no. 3, 217–224.
26. Alexander Müller-Hermes and Daniel Stilck Franca, *Sandwiched Rényi convergence for quantum evolutions*, Quantum **2** (2018), 55.
27. Martin Müller-Lennert, Frédéric Dupuis, Oleg Szehr, Serge Fehr, and Marco Tomamichel, *On quantum Rényi entropies: A new generalization and some properties*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **54** (2013), no. 12, 122203.
28. Frank Nielsen and Richard Nock, *A closed-form expression for the Sharma-Mittal entropy of exponential families*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **45** (2012), no. 3, 032003, arXiv:1105.3259.
29. F. Otto and C. Villani, *Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality*, Journal of Functional Analysis **173** (2000), no. 2, 361 – 400.
30. Felix Otto, *The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: The porous medium equation*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **26** (2001), no. 1-2, 101–174.
31. Dénes Petz, *Quasi-entropies for finite quantum systems*, Reports on Mathematical Physics **23** (1986), no. 1, 57–65.
32. Maxim Raginsky and Igal Sason, *Concentration of measure inequalities in information theory, communications, and coding*, Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory **10** (2013), no. 1-2, 1–246, ArXiv 1212.4663.
33. Alfréd Rényi, *On measures of entropy and information*, The Regents of the University of California, 1961.
34. Ofer Shayevitz, *On Rényi measures and hypothesis testing*, 2011 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings, IEEE, July 2011, pp. 894–898.
35. Giuseppe Toscani, *Entropy production and the rate of convergence to equilibrium for the Fokker-Planck equation*, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics **57** (1999), no. 3, 521–541.
36. Constantino Tsallis, *Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics*, Journal of Statistical Physics **52** (1988), no. 1, 479–487.
37. T. van Erven and P. Harremoës, *Rényi divergence and Kullback-Leibler divergence*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **60** (2014), no. 7, 3797–3820.
38. Cédric Villani, *Topics in optimal transportation*, no. 58, American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
39. ———, *Entropy production and convergence to equilibrium*, Entropy methods for the Boltzmann equation, Springer, 2008, pp. 1–70.
40. ———, *Optimal transport: old and new*, vol. 338, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
41. Mark M. Wilde, Andreas Winter, and Dong Yang, *Strong converse for the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking and Hadamard channels via a sandwiched Rényi relative entropy*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **331** (2014), no. 2, 593–622.

(Y. Cao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM NC 27708, USA

E-mail address: yuca@math.duke.edu

(J. Lu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, AND DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM, NC 27708, USA

E-mail address: jianfeng@math.duke.edu

(Y. Lu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM NC 27708, USA

E-mail address: yulonglu@math.duke.edu