

# FINITE-TIME BLOWUP FOR A SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH NONLINEAR SOURCE TERM

THIERRY CAZENAVE<sup>1</sup>, YVAN MARTEL<sup>2</sup>, AND LIFENG ZHAO<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$u_t = i\Delta u + |u|^\alpha u \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N, \alpha > 0,$$

for  $H^1$ -subcritical or critical nonlinearities:  $(N-2)\alpha \leq 4$ . Under the additional technical assumptions  $\alpha \geq 2$  (and thus  $N \leq 4$ ), we construct  $H^1$  solutions that blow up in finite time with explicit blow-up profiles and blow-up rates. In particular, blowup can occur at any given finite set of points of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

The construction involves explicit functions  $U$ , solutions of the ordinary differential equation  $U_t = |U|^\alpha U$ . In the simplest case,  $U(t, x) = (|x|^k - \alpha t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  for  $t < 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . For  $k$  sufficiently large,  $U$  satisfies  $|\Delta U| \ll U_t$  close to the blow-up point  $(t, x) = (0, 0)$ , so that it is a suitable approximate solution of the problem. To construct an actual solution  $u$  close to  $U$ , we use energy estimates and a compactness argument.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$u_t = i\Delta u + |u|^\alpha u \tag{1.1}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  satisfies

$$(N-2)\alpha \leq 4. \tag{1.2}$$

Under assumption (1.2), equation (1.1) is  $H^1$ -subcritical or critical, so that the corresponding Cauchy problem is locally well posed in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  – see e.g. [2].

Equation (1.1) is a member of the more general family of complex Ginzburg-Landau equations

$$u_t = \zeta \Delta u + \xi |u|^\alpha u \quad \text{where } \zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \Re \zeta \geq 0.$$

It is proved in [3, Theorem 1.1] that (for  $\alpha < 2/N$ ) equation (1.1) has no global in time  $H^1$  solution that remains bounded in  $H^1$ . In other words, every  $H^1$  solution blows up, in finite or infinite time. The question of finite-time blowup is left open in [3]. It seems that no standard argument based on obstruction to global existence (Levine’s method, variance argument) is applicable.

The purpose of this article is to construct solutions of (1.1) that blow up in finite time. For technical reasons we require

$$\alpha \geq 2. \tag{1.3}$$

(The condition  $\alpha \geq 1$  is used in the proof of estimates (4.8)-(4.9), the stronger condition  $\alpha \geq 2$  is used in formula (4.22).) Conditions (1.2)-(1.3) impose  $N \leq 4$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q55; Secondary 35B44, 35B40.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, finite-time blowup, blow-up profile.

The third author thanks the hospitality of Professor Frank Merle when he visited IHES and Professor Yvan Martel when he visited CMLS, École Polytechnique, where part of the work was done.

More precisely, the allowed range of powers is

$$\begin{cases} \alpha \in [2, \infty) & N = 1, 2 \\ \alpha \in [2, 4] & N = 3 \\ \alpha = 2 & N = 4 \end{cases}$$

Our first blow-up result, related to single point blowup with a simple asymptotic profile is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $N \geq 1$  and let  $\alpha > 0$  satisfy (1.2) and (1.3). Given  $A > 0$  and  $k > \max\{10, \frac{N\alpha}{2}\}$ , let  $U$  be defined by*

$$U(t, x) = (-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} f((-t)^{-\frac{1}{k}} x) \quad t < 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$f(x) = (\alpha + A|x|^k)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \quad (1.5)$$

It follows that there exist a solution  $u \in C((-\infty, 0), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of (1.1) and  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$\|u(t) - U(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim (-t)^\mu \quad (1.6)$$

as  $t \uparrow 0$ . In particular,  $u$  blows up at  $t = 0$  and

$$(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{N}{2k}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} \|f\|_{L^2} \quad (1.7)$$

$$(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{N-2}{2k}} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover

$$u(t, x) \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} A^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} |x|^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}} \quad (1.9)$$

in  $H^1(\{|x| > \varepsilon\})$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of a more general result (Theorem 1.2 below), where asymptotic profiles more general than (1.9) are allowed. Before stating precisely this more general result, we need to make precise our assumptions on the asymptotic profile. We consider an integer  $J \geq 1$ , real numbers  $\rho, \nu, (k_j)_{1 \leq j \leq J}, (\eta_{j,\beta})_{1 \leq j \leq J}$  where  $\beta$  is a multi-index with  $|\beta| \leq 3$ , and points  $(x_j)_{1 \leq j \leq J} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho, \eta_{j,\beta}, K > 0, & \nu > \frac{N\alpha}{2} \\ |x_j - x_\ell| \geq 2\rho, & j \neq \ell \\ k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_J \\ k_1 > 10, & k_J > \frac{N\alpha}{2} \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

Let  $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \phi(x) > 0 & x \neq x_j, 1 \leq j \leq J, \\ |y|^{-k_j + |\beta|} |D^\beta \phi(x_j + y)| \xrightarrow{|y| \rightarrow 0} \eta_{j,\beta} & 1 \leq j \leq J, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq 3, \\ \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-\nu} \phi(x) > 0 \\ \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-\nu} |D^\beta \phi(x)| < \infty & 1 \leq |\beta| \leq 3. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Our main result is the following

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $N \geq 1$  and let  $\alpha > 0$  satisfy (1.2) and (1.3). Let  $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy (1.10)-(1.11). Let  $U$  be defined by*

$$U(t, x) = (-\alpha t + \phi(x))^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad t < 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.12)$$

It follows that there exist a solution  $u \in C((-\infty, 0), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of (1.1) and  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$\|u(t) - U(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim (-t)^\mu \quad (1.13)$$

as  $t \uparrow 0$ . In particular,  $u$  blows up at  $t = 0$  and

$$(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{N}{2k_j}} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} a \quad (1.14)$$

$$(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \theta} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} b \quad (1.15)$$

where  $a, b > 0$  and

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2-N}{2k_j} & N = 1, 2 \\ \frac{2-N}{2k_1} & N = 3, 4 \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

Moreover

$$u(t, x) \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} \phi(x)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (1.17)$$

in  $H^1(\omega)$  for every  $\omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq J} \{x_j\}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Here are some comments on Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

- (i) Theorem 1.1 presents the simplest result with the choice of only two parameters  $A$  and  $k$ . The parameter  $k$  has to be taken sufficiently large so that the ansatz  $U$  satisfies  $|\Delta U| \ll U_t$  (a similar strategy is used in [4], see the comments below). Note that the choice of  $k$  determines the blow-up rates in (1.7)–(1.8). More parameters can be chosen in Theorem 1.2, which allows arbitrary locations for the blow-up points and flexibility on the blow-up rates. It is easy to construct explicitly functions  $\phi$  satisfying (1.11).
- (ii) It follows from (1.14) and (1.15) that both  $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}$  and  $\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}$  blow up as  $t \uparrow 0$ .
- (iii) The asymptotic profile  $f = \phi^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  of  $u$  as  $t \uparrow 0$ , given by (1.17), has the following properties:  $f > 0$ ,  $|x|^{\frac{N}{\alpha}} f(x)$  is bounded as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f$  is  $C^3$  except at the points  $x_j$ , where  $f$  has a singularity like  $|x - x_j|^{-\frac{k_j}{\alpha}}$ .
- (iv) The solutions constructed in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are global for  $t < 0$ . Actually, this is a general fact: we show in Proposition 2.1 that equation (1.1) is globally well-posed in  $H^1$  in the negative sense of time.

We prove Theorem 1.2 by using the strategy of [6]. More precisely, we consider the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of solutions of (1.1) defined by  $u_n(-\frac{1}{n}) = U(-\frac{1}{n})$ , where  $U$  is defined by (1.12). It follows that  $u_n$  is defined on  $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{n}]$ . Since  $U_t = |U|^\alpha U$ , and  $\Delta U$  is small compared to  $U_t$ ,  $U$  is almost a solution of (1.1). Following the idea of [5] (see also [12] in the blow-up context) we estimate the solutions  $u_n$  by energy arguments. Note that the nice behavior of equation (1.1) backwards in time, already discussed in Remark 1.3 (iv), is important in this step. Finally, passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  yields the solution  $u$  of Theorem 1.2.

The solution  $u$  given by Theorem 1.2 blows up at  $t = 0$  like the function  $U$  defined by (1.12). Since  $U_t = |U|^\alpha U$ , we see that the solution  $u$  displays an ODE-type blowup.

We recall that ODE-type blowup has been intensively studied for several other nonlinear equations. For the nonlinear heat equation, the type of blowup obtained in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is called *flat* blowup in the literature and was recently investigated independently of our work in [4] (see also previous references there) with applications to the Burgers equation. Even if the blow-up profile is identical (see §4.1 of [4]), the strategy to proceed with the construction of an actual solution of the equation is different in this paper.

Apart from such unstable forms of blowup, ODE-type blowup was also much studied as a stable form of blowup. We refer to [4, 7, 10] and to references there for results in the parabolic context. For the semilinear wave and quasilinear wave equations, we refer *e.g.* to [1, 9, 8, 13] and to the references there.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the Cauchy problem for the backwards version of equation (1.1), and in Section 3, we derive various estimates on the function  $U$ . Section 4 is devoted to the construction and estimates of the approximate blow-up solutions, and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed in Section 5 by passing to the limit in the approximate solutions.

## 2. THE BACKWARDS EQUATION

In this section, we prove that the Cauchy problem for the backwards equation obtained by changing  $t$  to  $-t$  in (1.1) is globally well-posed in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , under assumption (1.2).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $N \geq 1$  and assume (1.2). Given any  $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , there exists a solution  $u \in C([0, \infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1([0, \infty), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of*

$$\begin{cases} v_t = -i\Delta v - |v|^\alpha v \\ v(0) = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

*In addition, if  $\tau > 0$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in L^\infty((0, \tau), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W^{1,\infty}((0, \tau), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  are two solutions of (2.1) on  $(0, \tau)$ , then  $u_1 = u_2$ .*

*Proof.* Assumption (1.2) ensures that equation (2.1) is  $H^1$ -subcritical or critical, so that local well-posedness in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  follows from standard arguments. (See *e.g.* [2].)

We now prove that the Cauchy problem (2.1) is globally well posed in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Indeed, let  $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and let  $T_{\max}$  be the maximal existence time of the corresponding solution  $v$  of (2.1). Multiplying the equation by  $\bar{v}$  and taking the real part yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = -2\|v(t)\|_{L^{\alpha+2}}^{\alpha+2} \leq 0$$

so that  $\|v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|v(0)\|_{L^2}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Next, we multiply the equation by  $-\Delta \bar{v}$  and take the real part. We obtain (see (4.6)-(4.7) below)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= -2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla (|v|^\alpha v) \\ &= -\frac{\alpha+2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^\alpha |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{\alpha-2} v^2 (\nabla \bar{v})^2 \\ &\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^\alpha |\nabla v|^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

so that  $\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|\nabla v(0)\|_{L^2}$  for all  $0 \leq t < T_{\max}$ . These formal calculations can be justified by standard arguments, see *e.g.* [11]. This proves global existence in the subcritical case  $(N-2)\alpha < 4$ . In the critical case  $N \geq 3$  and  $\alpha = \frac{4}{N-2}$ , we use the right-hand side of (2.2) to obtain

$$\int_0^{T_{\max}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^\alpha |\nabla v|^2 \leq \|\nabla v(0)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since

$$|v|^\alpha |\nabla v|^2 \geq |v|^\alpha |\nabla |v||^2 = \frac{4}{(\alpha+2)^2} |\nabla |v|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}|^2$$

we deduce by Sobolev's inequality that

$$\int_0^{T_{\max}} \|v(t)\|_{L^{\frac{N(\alpha+2)}{N-2}}}^{\alpha+2} < \infty.$$

We define  $2 < r < N$  by

$$\frac{N(\alpha+2)}{N-2} = \frac{Nr}{N-r} \quad (2.3)$$

so that

$$\int_0^{T_{\max}} \|v(t)\|_{L^{\frac{Nr}{N-r}}}^{\alpha+2} < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Since by (2.3)

$$\frac{2}{\alpha+2} = N\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right)$$

we deduce from (2.4) that  $T_{\max} = \infty$ . (See [2, Remark 4.5.4 (ii)].)

Finally, we prove the stronger uniqueness property, so we consider  $\tau > 0$  and two solutions  $u_1, u_2 \in L^\infty((0, \tau), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W^{1,\infty}((0, \tau), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of (2.1) on  $(0, \tau)$ . Setting

$$w(t) = u_1(t) - u_2(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau$$

we see that  $w \in L^\infty((0, \tau), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W^{1,\infty}((0, \tau), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  satisfies

$$w_t = -i\Delta w - |u_1|^\alpha u_1 + |u_2|^\alpha u_2$$

in  $L^\infty((0, \tau), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ . Moreover, the map  $t \mapsto \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2$  is in  $W^{1,\infty}(0, \tau)$  and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle w_t, w \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} \quad (2.5)$$

for a.a.  $t \in (0, \tau)$ . Taking the  $H^{-1} - H^1$  duality product of equation (2.1) with  $w$  and applying (2.5), we deduce that for a.a.  $t \in (0, \tau)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = -\langle |u_1|^\alpha u_1 - |u_2|^\alpha u_2, w \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} = -\Re \int (|u_1|^\alpha u_1 - |u_2|^\alpha u_2) \bar{w}. \quad (2.6)$$

We recall that

$$\Re[(|z_1|^\alpha z_1 - |z_2|^\alpha z_2)(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)] \geq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[|z_1|^\alpha z_1 - |z_2|^\alpha z_2)(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)] &= |z_1|^{\alpha+2} + |z_2|^{\alpha+2} - (|z_1|^\alpha + |z_2|^\alpha) \Re z_1 \bar{z}_2 \\ &\geq |z_1|^{\alpha+2} + |z_2|^{\alpha+2} - (|z_1|^\alpha + |z_2|^\alpha) |z_1| |z_2| \\ &= (|z_1|^{\alpha+1} - |z_2|^{\alpha+1})(|z_1| - |z_2|) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w(0) = 0$ , we deduce from (2.6) and (2.7) that  $w \equiv 0$  on  $(0, \tau)$ .  $\square$

### 3. ESTIMATES OF $U$

In this section, we establish various estimates on the function  $U$  defined by (1.12).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy (1.10)-(1.11). If  $U$  is given by (1.12), then  $U \in C((-\infty, 0), H^3(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and*

$$\|U(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|\nabla U(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{k_1}}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|\Delta U(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{4-N}{2k_1}}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|\nabla \Delta U(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{6-N}{2k_1}}, \quad (3.4)$$

as  $t \uparrow 0$ , and

$$(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{N}{2k_j}} \|U(t)\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} a > 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$(-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \theta} \|\nabla U(t)\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{t \uparrow 0} b > 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\theta$  is given by (1.16).

*Proof.* We proceed in three steps.

STEP 1. Proof of estimates (3.1)-(3.2). We have  $\|U(t)\|_{L^\infty} = (-\alpha t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ , which implies (3.1). Moreover,

$$\nabla U = -\frac{1}{\alpha} U^{\alpha+1} \nabla \phi \quad (3.7)$$

We note that by (1.12) and (1.11), there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$U \leq \phi(x)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq C|x|^{-\frac{\nu}{\alpha}} \quad (3.8)$$

for  $|x| \geq R$ . Applying (1.11), we deduce that  $|\nabla U|$  is bounded independently of  $t < 0$  for  $|x| \geq R$ . Given  $r > 0$ , let

$$E_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < R \text{ and } |x - x_j| > r \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq J\}.$$

It follows that  $\sup\{U; x \in E_r, t < 0\} < \infty$ , so that  $|\nabla U|$  is bounded independently of  $t < 0$  and  $x \in E_r$ . For  $x \in B(x_j, \rho)$ , assumption (1.11) and formula (3.7) imply

$$|\nabla U| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} U U^\alpha |\nabla \phi| \leq C(-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \frac{|x - x_j|^{k_j - 1}}{-t + |x - x_j|^{k_j}} \leq C(-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{k_j}}$$

which proves (3.2).

STEP 2. Proof of estimates (3.3)-(3.4). We have

$$\Delta U = -\frac{1}{\alpha} U^{\alpha+1} \Delta \phi + \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha^2} U^{2\alpha+1} |\nabla \phi|^2 \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \Delta U &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} U^{\alpha+1} \nabla \Delta \phi + \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha^2} U^{2\alpha+1} [\Delta \phi \nabla \phi + \nabla(|\nabla \phi|^2)] \\ &\quad - \frac{(\alpha+1)(2\alpha+1)}{\alpha^3} U^{3\alpha+1} |\nabla \phi|^2 \nabla \phi \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We observe that by (3.9), (3.10), and (1.11), there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$|\Delta U| + |\nabla \Delta U| \leq C|x|^{-\frac{\nu}{\alpha}}$$

for all  $|x| \geq R$  and  $t < 0$ , so that

$$\sup_{t < 0} \|\Delta U\|_{L^2(\{|x| > R\})} + \|\nabla \Delta U\|_{L^2(\{|x| > R\})} < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $U$  is bounded on  $E$ , so that by (3.9) and (3.10),

$$\sup_{t < 0} \|\Delta U\|_{L^2(E)} + \|\nabla \Delta U\|_{L^2(E)} < \infty.$$

On  $B(x_j, \rho)$ , we deduce from (1.11) and formulas (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$|\Delta U| + |x - x_j| |\nabla \Delta U| \leq C(-t + |x - x_j|^{k_j})^{-1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}} |x - x_j|^{k_j - 2}$$

and estimates (3.3)-(3.4) easily follow.

STEP 3. Proof of (3.5)-(3.6). It follows from (3.8), (3.7), (1.10) and (1.11) that  $\|U\|_{L^2(\{|x| > R\})}$  and  $\|\nabla U\|_{L^2(\{|x| > R\})}$  are bounded independently of  $t < 0$ . Furthermore, it is clear that  $\|U\|_{L^2(E_r)}$  and  $\|\nabla U\|_{L^2(E_r)}$  are also bounded independently of  $t < 0$ , for every  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we need only calculate  $\|U\|_{L^2(B(x_j, r))}$  and

$\|\nabla U\|_{L^2(B(x_j, r))}$  for  $r > 0$  small. Assumption (1.11) implies that  $\phi(x_j + y) \sim \eta_{j,0}|y|^{k_j}$  for  $|y|$  small, so that for small  $r > 0$

$$\int_{B(x_j, r)} U^2 \sim \int_{B(0, r)} (-\alpha t + \eta_{j,0}|y|^{k_j})^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \sim (-t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{N}{k_j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\alpha + \eta_{j,0}|y|^{k_j})^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}.$$

The limit (3.5) easily follows. To prove (3.6), we observe that by (3.7) and (1.11)

$$\int_{B(x_j, r)} |\partial_t U|^2 \sim \int_{B(0, r)} \eta_{j,\ell}^2 |y|^{2k_j - 2} (-\alpha t + \eta_{j,0}|y|^{k_j})^{-\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha}}$$

and we conclude as above.

STEP 4.  $U \in C((-\infty, 0), H^3(\mathbb{R}^N))$ . Given  $\tau < 0$ , we deduce from (1.11), (3.7), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$|U| + |\nabla U| + |\Delta U| + |\nabla \Delta U| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\frac{\mu}{\alpha}}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t \leq \tau$ . Since  $\frac{\mu}{\alpha} > \frac{N}{2}$ , the conclusion easily follows by dominated convergence.  $\square$

#### 4. CONSTRUCTION AND ESTIMATES OF THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

We observe that by (1.12),  $U$  satisfies

$$U_t = |U|^\alpha U.$$

We now construct approximate solutions that behave like  $U$ . More precisely, we set

$$T_n = -\frac{1}{n} \quad (4.1)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , and we consider the solution  $u_n$  of equation (1.1) with the initial condition

$$u_n(T_n) = U(T_n) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (4.2)$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $u_n$  is well defined,  $u_n \in C((-\infty, T_n], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ .

We now establish estimates that are uniform in  $n \geq 1$ . For this we set

$$u_n = U + \varepsilon_n \quad (4.3)$$

so that  $\varepsilon_n \in C((-\infty, T_n], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $\varepsilon_n$  is as above, then there exist  $C, \delta, \mu > 0$  such that*

$$\|\varepsilon_n(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C(T_n - t)^\mu \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $T_n - \delta \leq t \leq T_n$ .

*Proof.* In the calculations that follow, we drop the index  $n$ . Moreover, we let  $k = k_1$ , where  $k_1$  is given by (1.10). In addition, we make formal calculations, which can be justified for instance by the method of [11]. It is convenient to set

$$g(z) = |z|^\alpha z \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We note that

$$\partial_z g(z) = \frac{\alpha + 2}{2} |z|^\alpha \quad (4.6)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} g(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} |z|^{\alpha-2} z^2 \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We will also use the estimates

$$|\partial_z g(u+v) - \partial_z g(u) - \partial_z g(v)| \lesssim (|u|^{\alpha-1}|v| + |v|^{\alpha-1}|u|) \quad (4.8)$$

$$|\partial_{\bar{z}} g(u+v) - \partial_{\bar{z}} g(u) - \partial_{\bar{z}} g(v)| \lesssim (|u|^{\alpha-1}|v| + |v|^{\alpha-1}|u|) \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ . We establish (4.9), the proof of (4.8) being similar. To prove (4.9), we consider the three cases:  $|u| \geq 2|v|$ ;  $\frac{1}{2}|v| \leq |u| \leq 2|v|$ ;  $|u| \leq \frac{1}{2}|v|$ . The second case is immediate, because then  $|u|$  and  $|v|$  are equivalent. Next, the first and third

cases are equivalent, because the expressions on the right-hand side of (4.9) are symmetric in  $u, v$ . Therefore, we consider only the first case and, assuming without loss of generality  $u \neq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{2}{\alpha}[\partial_{\bar{z}}g(u+v) - \partial_{\bar{z}}g(u) - \partial_{\bar{z}}g(v)] = |u+v|^{\alpha-2}(u+v)^2 - |u|^{\alpha-2}u^2 - |v|^{\alpha-2}v^2.$$

Since  $||v|^{\alpha-2}v^2| = |v|^\alpha \leq |u|^{\alpha-1}|v|$  (recall that  $\alpha \geq 1$ ), we need only estimate  $||u+v|^{\alpha-2}(u+v)^2 - |u|^{\alpha-2}u^2|$ . We have

$$||u+v|^{\alpha-2}(u+v)^2 - |u|^{\alpha-2}u^2| = |u|^\alpha |h(z)|$$

where

$$h(z) = |1+z|^{\alpha-2}(1+z)^2 - 1, \quad z = \frac{v}{u}.$$

The function  $h$  is  $C^1$  on  $\{z \in \mathbb{R}^2; |z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $h(0) = 0$ , so that there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|h(z)| \leq C|z|$  for  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ; and so

$$||u+v|^{\alpha-2}(u+v)^2 - |u|^{\alpha-2}u^2| \leq C|u|^\alpha \frac{|v|}{|u|} = C|u|^{\alpha-1}|v|$$

which proves the desired estimate.

The equation for  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$  is, with the notation (4.5)

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_t = i\Delta\varepsilon + [g(U+\varepsilon) - g(U)] + i\Delta U \\ \varepsilon(T_n) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Multiplying by  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  and taking the real part, we obtain after integration by parts

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 = \Re \int [g(U+\varepsilon) - g(U)] \bar{\varepsilon} + \Re \int i(\Delta U) \bar{\varepsilon}$$

By (2.7), the first term on the right-hand side is nonnegative, so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \geq -\|\Delta U\|_{L^2}$$

hence, using (3.3),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \geq -C(-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{4-N}{2k}} \quad (4.11)$$

for  $-1 \leq t < 0$ . We observe that by (1.3) and (1.10)

$$0 < \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{4-N}{2k} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2k} < 1$$

so that integrating (4.11) on  $(t, T_n)$  yields

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq C(T_n - t)^{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{4-N}{2k}} \leq C(T_n - t)^{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{3}{2k}}, \quad -1 \leq t \leq T_n. \quad (4.12)$$

We now multiply (4.10) by  $-\Delta\bar{\varepsilon}$ , take the real part and integrate by parts. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla g(U+\varepsilon) &= \partial_z g(U+\varepsilon)(\nabla U + \nabla\varepsilon) + \partial_{\bar{z}}g(U+\varepsilon)(\nabla U + \nabla\bar{\varepsilon}) \\ \nabla g(U) &= \partial_z g(U)\nabla U + \partial_{\bar{z}}g(U)\nabla U \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &= \Re \int \partial_z g(U+\varepsilon)(\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} + |\nabla\varepsilon|^2) \\ &\quad + \Re \int \partial_{\bar{z}}g(U+\varepsilon)(\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} + (\nabla\bar{\varepsilon})^2) \\ &\quad - \Re \int \partial_z g(U)\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} - \Re \int \partial_{\bar{z}}g(U)\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad - \Re \int i\nabla\Delta U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$



It follows from (4.6)-(4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re[\partial_z g(U + \varepsilon)|\nabla\varepsilon|^2 + \partial_{\bar{z}}g(U + \varepsilon)(\nabla\bar{\varepsilon})^2] \\ &= \frac{\alpha+2}{2}|U + \varepsilon|^\alpha|\nabla\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}|U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-2}\Re[(U + \varepsilon)^2(\nabla\bar{\varepsilon})^2] \\ &\geq |U + \varepsilon|^\alpha|\nabla\varepsilon|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that (4.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\geq \int |U + \varepsilon|^\alpha|\nabla\varepsilon|^2 \\ &+ \Re \int [\partial_z g(U + \varepsilon) - \partial_z g(U) - \partial_z g(\varepsilon)]\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} \\ &+ \Re \int [\partial_{\bar{z}}g(U + \varepsilon) - \partial_{\bar{z}}g(U) - \partial_{\bar{z}}g(\varepsilon)]\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} \\ &+ \Re \int [\partial_z g(\varepsilon) - \partial_{\bar{z}}g(\varepsilon)]\nabla U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} - \Re \int i\nabla\Delta U \cdot \nabla\bar{\varepsilon} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Applying (3.4), we have

$$|I_5| \leq \|\nabla\Delta U\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \lesssim (-t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{2k}}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.15)$$

In view of (4.8)-(4.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 + I_3| &\lesssim \int [U^{\alpha-1}|\varepsilon| + |\varepsilon|^{\alpha-1}U]|\nabla U||\nabla\varepsilon| \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\|U\|_{L^\infty}^{\alpha-1} + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^{2\alpha-2}}^{\alpha-1}\|U\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\|\nabla U\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^{2\alpha-2}}^{\alpha-1} \lesssim \|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)}\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(N-1)-\alpha(N-2)}{2}}, \quad (4.17)$$

so that (4.16), (3.1), (3.2) and (4.12) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 + I_3| &\lesssim \|U\|_{L^\infty}^{\alpha-1}\|\nabla U\|_{L^\infty}\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \|U\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla U\|_{L^\infty}\|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(N-1)-\alpha(N-2)}{2}}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \\ &\lesssim (T_n - t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{2k}}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + (T_n - t)^{\sigma_1}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = -\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{k} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{3}{2k}\right)\left(\frac{2(N-1) - \alpha(N-2)}{2}\right). \quad (4.19)$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &\lesssim \int |\varepsilon|^\alpha|\nabla\varepsilon||\nabla U| \lesssim \int |\varepsilon||\varepsilon|^{\alpha-1}|\nabla\varepsilon||\nabla U| \\ &\lesssim \int |\varepsilon|(|U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-1} + U^{\alpha-1})|\nabla\varepsilon||\nabla U| \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\|U\|_{L^\infty}^{\alpha-1}\|\nabla\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\|\nabla U\|_{L^\infty} + \int |\varepsilon||U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-1}|\nabla\varepsilon||\nabla U| \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

The first term in the right-hand side of (4.20) appears in (4.16) and is estimated by the right-hand side of (4.18), so we estimate the last term in (4.20). By Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\int |\varepsilon||U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-1}|\nabla\varepsilon||\nabla U| \leq \left(\int |U + \varepsilon|^\alpha|\nabla\varepsilon|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int |\varepsilon|^2|U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-2}|\nabla U|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so that

$$\int |\varepsilon| |U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-1} |\nabla \varepsilon| |\nabla U| \leq \delta \int |U + \varepsilon|^\alpha |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int |\varepsilon|^2 |U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-2} |\nabla U|^2 \quad (4.21)$$

for every  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $\alpha \geq 2$ , we have  $|U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-2} \lesssim |U|^{\alpha-2} + |\varepsilon|^{\alpha-2}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\varepsilon|^2 |U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-2} |\nabla U|^2 &\lesssim \int |\varepsilon|^2 |U|^{\alpha-2} |\nabla U|^2 + \int |\varepsilon|^\alpha |\nabla U|^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \|U\|_{L^\infty}^{\alpha-2} \|\nabla U\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha}^\alpha \|\nabla U\|_{L^\infty}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality

$$\|\varepsilon\|_{L^\alpha}^\alpha \lesssim \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}(\alpha-2)} \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2N-\alpha(N-2)}{2}} \quad (4.23)$$

thus we deduce from (4.22), (3.1), (3.2) and (4.12) that

$$\int |\varepsilon|^2 |U + \varepsilon|^{\alpha-2} |\nabla U|^2 \lesssim (T_n - t)^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{k}} + (T_n - t)^{\sigma_2} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\sigma_2 = -\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{2}{k} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{3}{2k}\right) \left(\frac{2N - \alpha(N-2)}{2}\right) \quad (4.25)$$

We deduce from (4.18), (4.20), (4.21) and (4.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 + I_3 + I_4| - \int |U + \varepsilon|^\alpha |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 \\ \lesssim (T_n - t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{2k}} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2} + (T_n - t)^{\sigma_1} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \\ + (T_n - t)^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{k}} + (T_n - t)^{\sigma_2} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \end{aligned}$$

hence (4.14) and (4.15) yield

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim (T_n - t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{2k}} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2} + (T_n - t)^{\sigma_1} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \\ &\quad + (T_n - t)^{1-\frac{2}{\alpha}-\frac{5}{k}} + (T_n - t)^{\sigma_2} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

We note that (1.3) and (1.10) imply

$$1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{3}{2k} \geq \frac{1}{3}$$

and one deduces easily that

$$\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + \min\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} > 0.$$

Moreover,

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{5}{2k} \geq -\frac{3}{4}, \quad 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{5}{k} \geq -\frac{1}{2}$$

and it follows from (4.26) that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (T_n - t)^{-1+\tilde{\rho}} \left(1 + \|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{N}{2}(\alpha-2)}\right) \quad (4.27)$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \rho\right\}.$$

We now set

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \in [-1, T_n]; \|\nabla \varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leq 1\}. \quad (4.28)$$

Since  $\varepsilon(T_n) = 0$ , we have  $-1 \leq \tau_n < T_n$ , and it follows from (4.27) that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  such that

$$\|\nabla \varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leq C(T_n - t)^{\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2}} \quad (4.29)$$

for all  $\tau_n \leq t \leq T_n$ . This implies that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\tau_n \leq T_n - \delta$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We consider the solution  $u_n$  of equation (1.1) defined by (4.1) and (4.2),  $\varepsilon_n$  defined by (4.3), and we set

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(t) &= U(T_n - t) \\ \eta_n(t) &= \varepsilon_n(T_n - t) \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . It follows from (4.4) that there exist  $\delta, C > 0$  such that

$$\|\eta_n(t)\|_{H^1} \leq Ct^\mu, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta. \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.10) that

$$\partial_t \eta_n = -i\Delta \eta_n - [g(V_n + \eta_n) - g(V_n)] - i\Delta V_n \quad (5.2)$$

Using the estimate  $|g(u+v) - g(u)| \lesssim (|u|^\alpha + |v|^\alpha)|v|$  and the embeddings  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $L^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we deduce that

$$\|\partial_t \eta_n\|_{H^{-1}} \lesssim \|\eta_n\|_{H^1} + \|V_n\|_{H^1}^\alpha \|\eta_n\|_{H^1} + \|\eta_n\|_{H^1}^{\alpha+1} + \|\Delta V_n\|_{L^2}$$

so that, applying (5.1), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.3), there exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\|\partial_t \eta_n\|_{H^{-1}} \leq Ct^{-\kappa}, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta. \quad (5.3)$$

Given  $\tau \in (0, \delta)$ , it follows from (5.1) and (5.3) that the sequence  $(\eta_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty((\tau, \delta), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W^{1,\infty}((\tau, \delta), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ . Therefore, after possibly extracting a subsequence, there exists  $\eta \in L^\infty((\tau, \delta), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W^{1,\infty}((\tau, \delta), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  such that

$$\eta_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \eta \text{ in } L^\infty((\tau, \delta), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \text{ weak}^* \quad (5.4)$$

$$\partial_t \eta_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \partial_t \eta \text{ in } L^\infty((\tau, \delta), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \text{ weak}^* \quad (5.5)$$

$$\eta_n(t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \eta(t) \text{ weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ for all } \tau \leq t \leq \delta \quad (5.6)$$

Since  $\tau \in (0, \delta)$  is arbitrary, a standard argument of diagonal extraction shows that there exists  $\eta \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \delta), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}((0, \delta), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  such that (after extraction of a subsequence) (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) hold for all  $0 < \tau < \delta$ . Moreover, (5.1) and (5.6) imply that

$$\|\eta(t)\|_{H^1} \leq Ct^\mu, \quad 0 < t < \delta \quad (5.7)$$

and (5.3) and (5.5) imply that

$$\|\partial_t \eta\|_{L^\infty((\tau, \delta), H^{-1})} \leq C\tau^{-\kappa} \quad (5.8)$$

for all  $0 < \tau < \delta$ . In addition, it follows easily from (5.2) and the convergence properties (5.4)–(5.6) that

$$\partial_t \eta + i\Delta \eta = -[g(V + \eta) - g(V)] - i\Delta V \quad (5.9)$$

in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((0, \delta), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ , where  $V(t) \equiv U(-t)$ . Therefore, setting

$$u(t) = U(t) + \eta(-t) \quad -\delta < t < 0 \quad (5.10)$$

we see that  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((-\delta, 0), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}((-\delta, 0), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  and that

$$iu_t + \Delta u = ig(u) \quad (5.11)$$

in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty((-\delta, 0), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ . We now claim that

$$u \in C((-\delta, 0), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((-\delta, 0), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)). \quad (5.12)$$

Indeed, let  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ , and we consider the solution  $u_1 \in C((-\infty, -\varepsilon), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((-\infty, -\varepsilon), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of (1.1) such that

$$u_1(-\varepsilon) = u(-\varepsilon). \quad (5.13)$$

(See Proposition 2.1.) The uniqueness property of Proposition 2.1 implies that  $u = u_1$  on  $(-\delta, -\varepsilon)$ . In particular,  $u \in C((-\delta, -\varepsilon), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((-\delta, -\varepsilon), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ , hence (5.12) follows, since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary. We may now extend  $u$  for  $t \leq -\delta$  (see Proposition 2.1) to a solution  $u \in C((-\infty, 0), H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C^1((-\infty, 0), H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N))$  of (1.1). Estimates (1.13), (1.14) and (1.15) now follow from (5.7), (3.5) and (3.6), respectively, and the convergence property (1.17) follows from (1.13).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alinhac S. *Blowup for nonlinear hyperbolic equations*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, **17**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1995. (MR1339762) (doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-2578-2)
- [2] Cazenave T. *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **10**. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. (MR2002047) (doi: 10.1090/cln/010)
- [3] Cazenave T., Correia S., Dickstein F. and Weissler F.B. A Fujita-type blowup result and low energy scattering for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. São Paulo J. Math. Sci. **9** (2015), no. 2, 146–161. (MR3457455) (doi: 10.1007/s40863-015-0020-6)
- [4] Collot C., Ghoul T.-E. and Masmoudi N. Singularity formation for Burgers equation with transverse viscosity. arXiv:1803.07826 [math.AP] (link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.07826>)
- [5] Martel Y. Asymptotic  $N$ -soliton-like solutions of the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), no. 5, 1103–1140. (MR2170139) (doi: 10.1353/ajm.2005.0033)
- [6] Merle F. Construction of solutions with exactly  $k$  blow-up points for the Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity, Comm. Math. Phys. **129** (1990), no. 2, 223–240. (MR1048692) (doi: 10.1007/BF02096981)
- [7] Merle F. and Zaag H. O.D.E. type behavior of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations. Current developments in partial differential equations (Temuco, 1999), Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **8** (2002), no. 2, 435–450. (MR1897691) (doi: 10.3934/dcds.2002.8.435)
- [8] Merle F. and Zaag H. Isolatedness of characteristic points at blow-up for a semilinear wave equation in one space dimension. Séminaire: Equations aux Dérivées Partielles. 2009–2010, Exp. No. XI, 10 pp., Sémin. Équ. Dériv. Partielles, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 2012. (MR3098623) (link: [http://sedp.cedram.org/sedp-bin/fitem?id=SEDP\\_2009-2010\\_\\_\\_A11\\_0](http://sedp.cedram.org/sedp-bin/fitem?id=SEDP_2009-2010___A11_0))
- [9] Merle F. and Zaag H. On the stability of the notion of non-characteristic point and blow-up profile for semilinear wave equations, Comm. Math. Phys. **333** (2015), no. 3, 1529–1562. (MR3302641) (doi: 10.1007/s00220-014-2132-8)
- [10] Nouaili N. and Zaag H. Construction of a Blow-Up Solution for the Complex Ginzburg-Landau Equation in a Critical Case. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **228** (2018), no. 3, 995–1058. (MR3780144) (doi: 10.1007/s00205-017-1211-3)
- [11] Ozawa T. Remarks on proofs of conservation laws for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2006), no. 3, 403–408. (MR2201679) (doi: 10.1007/s00526-005-0349-2)
- [12] Raphaël P. and Szeftel J. Existence and uniqueness of minimal blow-up solutions to an inhomogeneous mass critical NLS. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (2011), no. 2, 471–546. (MR2748399) (doi: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2010-00688-1)
- [13] Speck J. Stable ODE-type blowup for some quasilinear wave equations with derivative-quadratic nonlinearity. arXiv:1709.04778 [math.AP] (link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.04778>)

*E-mail address:* [thierry.cazenave@sorbonne-universite.fr](mailto:thierry.cazenave@sorbonne-universite.fr)

*E-mail address:* [yvan.martel@polytechnique.edu](mailto:yvan.martel@polytechnique.edu)

*E-mail address:* [zhaolf@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:zhaolf@ustc.edu.cn)

<sup>1</sup>SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ & CNRS, LABORATOIRE JACQUES-LOUIS LIONS, B.C. 187, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

<sup>2</sup>CMLS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE

<sup>3</sup>WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, ANHUI, CHINA