Uniqueness of solution to scalar BSDEs with $L \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log\left(1+L\right)}\right)$ -integrable terminal values

Rainer Buckdahn^{*} Ying Hu[†] Shanjian Tang[‡]

Abstract. In [4], the existence of the solution is proved for a scalar linearly growing backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE) if the terminal value is $L \exp \left(\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+L)}\right)$ integrable with the positive parameter μ being bigger than a critical value μ_0 . In this note, we
give the uniqueness result for the preceding BSDE.

AMS Subject Classification: 60H10

Key Words Backward stochastic differential equation, $L \exp\left(\mu \sqrt{2 \log (1+L)}\right)$ integrability, uniqueness.

1 Introduction

Let $\{W_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a standard Brownian motion with values in \mathbb{R}^d defined on some complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ its natural filtration augmented by all \mathbb{P} -null sets of \mathcal{F} . Let us fix a nonnegative real number T > 0. The σ -field of predictable subsets of $\Omega \times [0, T]$ is denoted by \mathcal{P} .

For any real $p \ge 1$, denote by L^p the set of all \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variables η such that $E|\eta|^p < \infty$, by \mathcal{S}^p the set of (equivalent classes of) all real-valued, adapted and càdlàg processes $\{Y_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ such that

$$||Y||_{\mathcal{S}^p} := \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |Y_t|^p\right]^{1/p} < +\infty,$$

by \mathcal{L}^p the set of (equivalent classes of) all real-valued adapted processes $\{Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ such that

$$||Y||_{\mathcal{L}^p} := \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T |Y_t|^p \, dt\right]^{1/p} < +\infty,$$

and by \mathcal{M}^p the set of (equivalent classes of) all predictable processes $\{Z_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that

$$||Z||_{\mathcal{M}^p} := \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 \, dt\right)^{p/2}\right]^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

^{*}Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, 29285 Brest Cedex, France (Rainer.Buckdahn@univ-brest.fr).

[†]IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France (ying.hu@univ-rennes1.fr) and School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. Partially supported by Lebesgue center of mathematics "Investissements d'avenir" program - ANR-11-LABX-0020-01, by ANR CAESARS - ANR-15-CE05-0024 and by ANR MFG - ANR-16-CE40-0015-01.

[‡]Department of Finance and Control Sciences, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China (sjtang@fudan.edu.cn). Partially supported by National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11631004) and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 14XD1400400).

Consider the following Backward Stochastic Differential Equation (BSDE):

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$
(1.1)

Here, f (hereafter called the generator) is a real valued random function defined on the set $\Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$, measurable with respect to $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d})$, and continuous in the last two variables with the following linear growth:

$$|f(s, y, z) - f(s, 0, 0)| \le \beta |y| + \gamma |z|, \quad (s, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$$

with $f_0 := f(\cdot, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}^1, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. ξ is a real \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variable, and hereafter called the terminal condition or terminal value.

Definition 1.1 By a solution to BSDE (1.1) we mean a pair $\{(Y_t, Z_t), 0 \le t \le T\}$ of predictable processes with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ such that \mathbb{P} -a.s., $t \mapsto Y_t$ is continuous, $t \mapsto Z_t$ belongs to $L^2(0,T)$ and $t \mapsto f(t, Y_t, Z_t)$ is integrable, and \mathbb{P} -a.s. (Y,Z) verifies (1.1).

By BSDE (ξ, f) , we mean the BSDE with generator f and terminal condition ξ .

It is well known that for $(\xi, f_0) \in L^p \times \mathcal{L}^p$ (with p > 1), BSDE (1.1) admits a unique adapted solution (y, z) in the space $\mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{M}^p$ if the generator f is uniformly Lipschitz in the pair of unknown variables. See e.g. [6, 3, 1] for more details. For $(\xi, f_0) \in L^1 \times \mathcal{L}^1$, one needs to restrict the generator f to grow sub-linearly with respect to z, i.e., with some $q \in [0, 1)$,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f_0(t)| \le \beta |y| + \gamma |z|^q, \quad (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$$

for BSDE (1.1) to have a unique adapted solution (see [1]) if the generator f is uniformly Lipschitz in the pair of unknown variables.

In [4], the existence of the solution is given for a scalar linearly growing BSDE (1.1) if the terminal value is $L \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log(1+L)}\right)$ -integrable with the positive parameter μ being bigger than a critical value $\mu_0 = \gamma\sqrt{T}$, and the preceding integrability of the terminal value for a positive parameter μ less than critical value μ_0 is shown to be not sufficient for the existence of a solution. In this note, we give the uniqueness result for the preceding BSDE under the preceding integrability of the terminal value for $\mu > \mu_0$.

We first establish some interesting properties of the function $\psi(x,\mu) = x \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log(1+x)}\right)$. We observe that the obtained solution Y in [4] has the nice property: $\psi(|Y|, a)$ belongs to the class (D) for some a > 0, which is used to prove the uniqueness of the solution by dividing the whole interval [0,T] into a finite number of sufficiently small subintervals.

2 Uniqueness

Define the function ψ :

$$\psi(x,\mu) := x \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}\right), \quad (x,\mu) \in [0,+\infty) \times (0,+\infty).$$

We denote also $\psi(\cdot, \mu)$ as $\psi_{\mu}(\cdot)$

The following two lemmas can be found in Hu and Tang [4].

Lemma 2.1 For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \ge 0$, we have

$$e^{x}y \le e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2\mu^{2}}} + e^{2\mu^{2}}\psi(y,\mu).$$
 (2.2)

Lemma 2.2 Let $\mu > \gamma \sqrt{T}$. For any d-dimensional adapted process q with $|q_t| \leq \gamma$ almost surely, for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{2\mu^{2}}|\int_{t}^{T}q_{s}dW_{s}|^{2}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\mu^{2}}(T-t)}}.$$
(2.3)

Proposition 2.3 We have the following assertions on ψ :

- (i) For $\mu > 0$, $\psi(\cdot, \mu)$ is convex.
- (ii) For c > 1, we have $\psi_{\mu}(cx) \leq \psi_{\mu}(c)\psi_{\mu}(x)$ for any $x \geq 0$.
- (iii) For any triple (a, b, c) with a > 0, b > 0 and c > 0, we have

$$\psi(\psi(x,a),b) \le e^{\frac{ab^2}{c}}\psi(x,a+b+c).$$

Proof. The first assertion has been shown in [4]. It remains to show the Assertions (ii) and (iii). We prove Assertion (ii).

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\mu}(cx) &= cx \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log\left(1+cx\right)}\right) \\ &\leq cx \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log\left[(1+c)(1+x)\right]}\right) \\ &= cx \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log(1+c)+2\log(1+x)}\right) \\ &\leq cx \exp\left(\mu\sqrt{2\log(1+c)}+\mu\sqrt{2\log(1+x)}\right) \\ &= \psi_{\mu}(c)\psi_{\mu}(x). \end{split}$$

We now prove Assertion (iii). We have

$$(\psi_b \circ \psi_a) (x)$$

$$= \psi_a(x) \exp\left(b\sqrt{2\log\left(1+\psi_a(x)\right)}\right)$$

$$= x \exp\left(a\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}\right) \exp\left(b\sqrt{2\log\left(1+xe^{a\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}}\right)}\right)$$

$$\le x \exp\left(a\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}\right) \exp\left(b\sqrt{2\log\left((1+x)e^{a\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}}\right)}\right)$$

$$= x \exp\left(a\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}\right) \exp\left(b\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)+2a\sqrt{2\log\left(1+x\right)}}\right).$$

In view of the following elementary inequality:

$$2a\sqrt{2\log(1+x)} \le \frac{a^2b^2}{c^2} + \frac{2c^2}{b^2}\log(1+x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\psi_b \circ \psi_a) \left(x \right) \\ &\leq \quad x \exp\left(a \sqrt{2 \log \left(1 + x \right)} \right) \exp\left(b \sqrt{2 \log \left(1 + x \right) + \frac{a^2 b^2}{c^2} + \frac{2c^2}{b^2} \log \left(1 + x \right)} \right) \\ &\leq \quad x \exp\left(a \sqrt{2 \log \left(1 + x \right)} \right) \exp\left(b \sqrt{2 \log \left(1 + x \right)} + b \sqrt{\frac{a^2 b^2}{c^2}} + b \sqrt{\frac{2c^2}{b^2} \log \left(1 + x \right)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(\psi_b \circ \psi_a)(x)$$

$$\leq x \exp\left(a\sqrt{2\log(1+x)}\right) \exp\left(b\sqrt{2\log(1+x)} + \frac{ab^2}{c} + c\sqrt{2\log(1+x)}\right)$$

$$\leq x e^{\frac{ab^2}{c}} \exp\left((a+b+c)\sqrt{2\log(1+x)}\right).$$

Consider the following BSDE:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) \, ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \tag{2.4}$$

where f satisfies

$$|f(s, y, z) - f_0(s, 0, 0)| \le \beta |y| + \gamma |z|,$$
(2.5)

with $f_0 := f(\cdot, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}^1, \beta \ge 0$ and $\gamma > 0$.

Theorem 2.4 Let f be a generator which is continuous with respect to (y, z) and verifies inequality (2.5), and ξ be a terminal condition. Let us suppose that there exists $\mu > \gamma \sqrt{T}$ such that $\psi(|\xi| + \int_0^T f_0(t) | dt, \mu) \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. Then BSDE (2.4) admits a solution (Y, Z) such that

$$|Y_t| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\mu^2}(T - t)}} e^{\beta(T - t)} + e^{2\mu^2 + \beta(T - t)} \mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi| + \int_t^T |f_0(s)| \, ds\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

Furthermore, there exists a > 0 such that $\psi(Y, a)$ belongs to the class (D).

Proof. Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Set

$$\xi^{n,p} := \xi^+ \wedge n - \xi^- \wedge p, \quad f_0^{n,p} := f_0^+ \wedge n - f_0^- \wedge p, \quad f^{n,p} := f - f_0 + f_0^{n,p}.$$

As the terminal value $\xi^{n,p}$ and $f^{n,p}(\cdot, 0, 0)$ are bounded (hence square-integrable) and $f^{n,p}$ is a continuous generator with a linear growth, in view of the existence result in [5], the BSDE $(\xi^{n,p}, f^{n,p})$ has a (unique) minimal solution $(Y^{n,p}, Z^{n,p})$ in $S^2 \times \mathcal{M}^2$. Set

$$\bar{f}^{n,p}(s,y,z) = |f_0^{n,p}(s)| + \beta y + \gamma |z|, \quad (s,y,z) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$$

In view of Pardoux and Peng [6], the BSDE $(|\xi^{n,p}|, \bar{f}^{n,p})$ has a unique solution $(\bar{Y}^{n,p}, \bar{Z}^{n,p})$ in $S^2 \times \mathcal{M}^2$.

By comparison theorem,

$$|Y_t^{n,p}| \le \bar{Y}_t^{n,p}.$$

Letting $q_s^{n,p} = \gamma \operatorname{sgn}(Z_s^{n,p})$ and

$$\mathbb{P}_{q^{n,p}} = \exp\{\int_0^T q_s^{n,p} dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |q_s^{n,p}|^2 ds\}\mathbb{P},\$$

we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t^{n,p}| &\leq \bar{Y}_t^{n,p} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q^{n,p}} \left[e^{\beta(T-t)} |\xi^{n,p}| \left| \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \int_t^T e^{\beta(s-t)} |f_0^{n,p}(s)| \, ds \\ &\leq e^{\beta(T-t)} \mathbb{E}_{q^{n,p}} \left[|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_t^T |f_0^{n,p}(s)| \, ds \right| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\mu^2}(T-t)}} e^{\beta(T-t)} + e^{2\mu^2 + \beta(T-t)} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi_\mu \left(|\xi| + \int_t^T |f_0(s)| \, ds \right) \ \Big| \ \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Y^{n,p}$ is nondecreasing in n and non-increasing in p, then by the localization method in [2], there is some $Z \in L^2(0,T; \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d})$ almost surely such that $(Y := \inf_p \sup_n Y^{n,p}, Z)$ is an adapted solution. Therefore, we have for a > 0, using Jensen's inequality and the convexity of $\psi_a(\cdot) := \psi(\cdot, a)$ together with Assertion (ii) of Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \psi_{a}(|Y_{t}^{n,p}|) &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\mathbb{E}_{q^{n,p}}\left[|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\ &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\psi_{a}\left(\mathbb{E}_{q^{n,p}}\left[|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\ &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\mathbb{E}_{q^{n,p}}\left[\psi_{a}\left(|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\right)\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\ &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_{t}^{T}q_{s}^{n,p}\,dW_{s}\right)\psi_{a}\left(|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\right)\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]. \end{split}$$

For $b > \gamma \sqrt{T}$, applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \psi_{a}(|Y_{t}^{n,p}|) &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\int_{t}^{T}q_{s}^{n,p}\,dW_{s}\right)\psi_{a}\left(|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\ &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2b^{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{T}q_{s}^{n,p}\,dW_{s}\right)^{2}\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right. \\ &\left. +e^{2b^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{b}\circ\psi_{a}\left(|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right]. \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and Assertion (iii) of Proposition 2.3, we have for any c > 0,

$$\psi_{a}(|Y_{t}^{n,p}|) \leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}}+e^{2b^{2}+\frac{ab^{2}}{c}}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{a+b+c}\left(|\xi^{n,p}|+\int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right).$$

For $\mu > \gamma \sqrt{T}$, we can choose $a > 0, b > \gamma \sqrt{T}$, and c > 0 such that $a + b + c = \mu$. Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \psi_{a}(|Y_{t}^{n,p}|) \\ &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}} + e^{2b^{2}+\frac{ab^{2}}{c}}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi^{n,p}| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}^{n,p}(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right) \\ &\leq \psi_{a}\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{b^{2}}(T-t)}} + e^{2b^{2}+\frac{ab^{2}}{c}}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi_{\mu}\left(|\xi| + \int_{t}^{T}|f_{0}(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right). \end{split}$$

Letting first $n \to \infty$ and then $p \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\psi_a(|Y_t|) \\ \leq &\psi_a\left(e^{\beta(T-t)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^2}{b^2}(T-t)}} + e^{2b^2 + \frac{ab^2}{c}}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi_\mu\left(|\xi| + \int_t^T |f_0(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right) \\ \leq &\psi_a\left(e^{\beta T}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^2 T}{b^2}}} + e^{2b^2 + \frac{ab^2}{c}}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi_\mu\left(|\xi| + \int_0^T |f_0(s)|\,ds\right)\left|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right). \end{split}$$

Consequently, we have $\psi_a(|Y|)$ belongs to the class (D).

Now we state our main result of this note.

Theorem 2.5 Assume that the generator f is uniformly Lipschitz in (y, z), i.e., there are $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that for all $(y^i, z^i) \in R \times R^{1 \times d}$, i = 1, 2, we have

$$|f(t, y^1, z^1) - f(t, y^2, z^2)| \le \beta |y^1 - y^2| + \gamma |z^1 - z^2|.$$

Furthermore, assume that there exists $\mu > \gamma \sqrt{T}$ such that $\psi(|\xi| + \int_0^T f(t, 0, 0) | dt, \mu) \in L^1(\Omega, P)$. Then, BSDE (2.4) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) such that $\psi(Y, a)$ belongs to the class (D) for some a > 0.

Proof. The existence of an adapted solution has been proved in the preceding theorem. It remains to prove the uniqueness.

For i = 1, 2, let (Y^i, Z^i) be a solution of BSDE (2.4) such that $\psi_{a^i}(Y^i)$ belongs to the class (D) for some $a^i > 0$. Define

$$a := a^1 \wedge a^2$$
, $\delta Y := Y^1 - Y^2$, $\delta Z := Z^1 - Z^2$.

Then both $\psi_a(Y^1)$ and $\psi_a(Y^2)$ are in the class (D), since $\psi(x,\mu)$ is nondecreasing in μ , and the pair $(\delta Y, \delta Z)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\delta Y_t = \int_t^T [f(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2)] \, ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s \, dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

By a standard linearization we see that there exists an adapted pair of processes (u, v) such that $|u_s| \leq \beta, |v_s| \leq \gamma$, and $f(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) = u_s \delta Y_s + \delta Z_s v_s$.

We define the stopping times

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \ge 0 : |Y_t^1| + |Y_t^2| \ge n\} \land T, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. Since $(\delta Y, \delta Z)$ satisfies the linear BSDE

$$\delta Y_t = \int_t^T (u_s \delta Y_s + \delta Z_s v_s) \, ds - \int_t^T \delta Z_s \, dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

we have the following formula

$$\delta Y_{t\wedge\tau_n} = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} u_s \, ds + \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} |v_s|^2 ds} \delta Y_{\tau_n} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta Y_{t\wedge\tau_n}| &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} u_s \, ds + \int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle} |\delta Y_{\tau_n}| \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\leq e^{\beta T} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle} |\delta Y_{\tau_n}| \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6)$$

Now we show that the family of random variables $e^{\int_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle} |\delta Y_{\tau_n}|$ is uniformly integrable. For this note that, thanks to Lemma 2.1,

$$e^{\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle} |\delta Y_{\tau_n}| \leq e^{\frac{1}{2a^2} \left(\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle \right)^2} + e^{2a^2} \psi_a(|\delta Y_{\tau_n}|).$$
(2.7)

For $t \in [T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}, T]$, we have from Lemma 2.2,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|e^{\frac{1}{2a^2}\left(\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s\rangle\right)^2}\right|^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{1}{a^2}\left(\int_{t\wedge\tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s\rangle\right)^2}\right] \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2\gamma^2}{a^2}(T-t)}} \le \sqrt{2},$$

and, thus, the family of random variables $e^{\frac{1}{2a^2} \left(\int_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s, dW_s \rangle \right)^2}$ is uniformly integrable.

On the other hand, since ψ_a is nondecreasing and convex, we have thanks to Proposition 2.3 (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_a(|\delta Y_{\tau_n}|) &\leq \psi_a(|Y_{\tau_n}^1| + |Y_{\tau_n}^2|) = \psi_a(\frac{1}{2} \times 2|Y_{\tau_n}^1| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2|Y_{\tau_n}^2|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\psi_a(2|Y_{\tau_n}^1|) + \frac{1}{2}\psi_a(2|Y_{\tau_n}^2|) \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi_a(2)[\psi_a(|Y_{\tau_n}^1|) + \psi_a(|Y_{\tau_n}^2|)] \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7) it now follows that, for $t \in [T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}, T]$, the family of random variables $e^{\int_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{\tau_n} \langle v_s \, dW_s \rangle} |\delta Y_{\tau_n}|$ is uniformly integrable.

Finally, letting $n \to \infty$ in inequality (2.6), we have $\delta Y = 0$ on the interval $[T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}, T]$. It is then clear that $\delta Z = 0$ on $[T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}, T]$. The uniqueness of the solution is obtained on the interval $[T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}, T]$. In an identical way, we have the uniqueness of the solution on the interval $[T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}, T - \frac{a^2}{4\gamma^2}]$. By a finite number of steps, we cover in this way the whole interval [0, T], and we conclude the uniqueness of the solution on the interval [0, T].

References

- P. Briand, B. Delyon, Y. Hu, E. Pardoux and L. Stoica, L^p solutions of backward stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 108 (2003), no. 1, 109–129.
- [2] P. Briand and Y. Hu, BSDE with quadratic growth and unbounded terminal value. Probab. Theory Related Fields 136 (2006), no. 4, 604–618.
- [3] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M. C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. Math. Finance 7 (1997), no. 1, 1–71.
- [4] Y. Hu and S. Tang, Existence of solution to scalar BSDEs with $L \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}\log(1+L)}\right)$ integrable terminal values. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **23** (2018), Paper No. 27, 11pp.
- [5] J. P. Lepeltier and J. San Martin, Backward stochastic differential equations with continuous coefficient. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **32** (1997), 425–430.
- [6] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. Systems Control Lett. 14 (1990), no. 1, 55–61.