

Quantum Mechanics in Technicolor; Analytic Expressions for a Spin-Half Particle Driven by Polychromatic Light

B. Yuen*

*Clarendon Laboratory
Parks rd
Oxford
OX1 3PU*

(Dated: March 11, 2022)

A vast collection of light-matter interactions are described by the single-frequency Rabi model. However, the physical world is polychromatic, and until now there is no general method to find analytic solutions to the multi-frequency Rabi model. We present the first general method to solve the Rabi model with N frequency modes. Analytic expressions are derived for a spin-half particle in a multi-frequency coherent field, and accurately describe the time evolution even when the interactions are strong. The dynamics are solved in an extended dressed atom picture whereby the spin is progressively dressed by each frequency mode. For weak fields, the closed form expressions for the time evolution of atoms or their analogues take a particularly simple form. These results analytically solve problems in a wide range of fields including quantum information processing, coherent control and resonant nonlinear optics.

In 1937 Rabi introduced a model for a two-level atom driven by a monochromatic classical field [1], now ubiquitous in physics. Despite its simple form the second quantised Rabi model has only been shown to be integrable within the last decade [2]. Solutions for linear [2–4] and anisotropic polarised [5] quantum driving fields have followed, and simple closed form approximate solutions are still emerging [6]. Famously, Jaynes and Cummings [7] were first to consider the quantum model in 1963 and its elegant solutions under the rotating wave approximation. These exhibit many interesting phenomena from collapses and revivals to preparation of Schrödinger cat states [8, 9]. Soon after Cohen Tannoudji and Haroche formalised the dressed atom picture to give a comprehensive description of atom-photon interactions [10–12].

The physical world is rich with colour and appears monochromatic only in isolated situations. It is therefore necessary to develop a general, non-perturbative framework for polychromatic atom-photon interactions. A two mode, two photon variant of the Jaynes Cummings model was considered in [13], and shown to produce inter-modal correlations, squeezing and population revivals [8]. Polychromatic interactions have been studied in other specific cases (e.g. [14]), and several analytic solutions have been proposed to the optical Bloch equations with periodic classical driving fields [15–17].

The broadest collection of known polychromatic phenomena is in non-linear optics [18]. Armstrong et al. predicted an impressive range of monochromatic and polychromatic non-linear optical effects with a perturbative semi-classical theory [19]. However, a different approach is needed for resonant or strongly coupled systems where perturbation theory diverges.

The extension of the Rabi model to polychromatic fields is motivated by wealth of phenomena discovered

for the analogous generalisation from two level atoms to multiple levels. A polychromatic theory could immediately be applied to pulse shaping which is used to enhance coherent control and measurement in fields from NMR to atom interferometry, and currently relies on numerical optimisation. A general non-perturbative approach to solving the polychromatic Rabi model would describe strongly coupled and near resonant non-linear optical systems. Quantum information processing would benefit from polychromatic driving fields which have sufficient degrees of freedom for fast targeted control of qubits within an array without the need to strongly lift their degeneracy [20, 21].

This letter presents a general method to find analytic solutions to the polychromatic Rabi

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\sigma_z + \sum_k \left[a_k^\dagger a_k k\omega_f + g \left(u_k a_k + u_k^* a_k^\dagger \right) \sigma_x \right] \quad (1)$$

where $\pm\omega_0$ are the spin-half eigenenergies, $k\omega_f$ is the frequency of the k^{th} mode ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), u_k are mode functions and g is a coupling constant. Solutions are found by dressing the spin progressively by each field mode. We begin by introducing a non-degenerate basis for the polychromatic field, then give a two frequency example, followed by the general case for an arbitrary number of frequency modes. The progressive dressing procedure is halted after $N - 1$ transformations to give accurate analytic expressions for the time evolution operator of the system, even when the fields are strong compared to the frequency separation. Finally, a simple general expression for the time evolution of the spin is found for sufficiently weak fields.

Fields are considered with each mode initially in a coherent state, $|\alpha_{k_i}\rangle$, but the formalism is readily generalised for arbitrary initial states [22, 23]. The Fock basis is energy degenerate when mode frequencies have a common multiple, ω_f . To avoid problems which arise from

* benjamin.yuen@physics.ox.ac.uk

this degeneracy we decompose $\{|\alpha_k\rangle\}$ in a set of non-degenerate basis states [24],

$$\{|\alpha_k\rangle\} = \sum_N \gamma_N |N\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The basis state $|N\rangle$ is the normalised projection of $\{|\alpha_k\rangle\}$ onto subspace of Fock states $|n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, \dots\rangle$ with energy $N\omega_f = \sum_k n_k k$. These eigenenergies form the same ladder of levels as the conventional approach but without including degenerate eigenstates. Elsewhere it is shown that $|\gamma_N|^2$ asymptotically approach a Gaussian distribution with mean $\sum_k k |\alpha_k|^2$ and standard deviation $\sum_k k^2 |\alpha_k|^2$ [24].

In this basis the quantum number operator is conveniently $\hat{N} = \sum_k k a_k^\dagger a_k$, and the creation and annihilation operator acts as $a_k^\dagger |N\rangle = (\gamma_N/\gamma_{N+k}) \alpha_k^* |N+k\rangle$ and $a_k |N\rangle = (\gamma_N/\gamma_{N-k}) \alpha_k |N-k\rangle$. For simplicity the mean field approximation $\gamma_N/\gamma_{N\pm k} \approx 1$ is made. This is valid provided $k \ll \sigma_N$, although the general formalism can be applied without this approximation. In the non-degenerate basis the Hamiltonian is now

$$H = \hat{N}\omega_f + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\sigma_z + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left(\Omega_k b_k \sigma_+ + \Omega_k^* b_k^\dagger \sigma_- \right) \quad (3)$$

where $\Omega_k = \sqrt{2} g u_k \alpha_k / \sqrt{2}$, with commuting field operators defined by $b_k |N\rangle = |N-k\rangle$ and $b_k^\dagger |N\rangle = |N+k\rangle$. Equation 3 describes the mean field polychromatic Rabi model for a set of positive and negative frequency modes symmetrically distributed around zero eq. 3. For all positive frequencies eq. 3 is the polychromatic Jaynes Cummings model.

This letter presents general analytic expressions for the N frequency dynamics when the spin-half particle is closest to resonance with highest frequency mode. The modes are labelled in ascending order by index $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and their frequencies are $\omega_k = (j + m_k)\omega_f$ with $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The positive integers m_k are arranged in ascending order such that the lowest frequency is $j\omega_f$ ($m_1 = 0$), and the highest frequency is $(j + m_N)\omega_f$.

It is shown that the Hamiltonian can be partially diagonalised through a sequence of $N - 1$ transformations, dressing the states one mode at a time. The resultant interaction Hamiltonian exhibits a strong, near resonant interaction between the ‘N-1 times dressed states’, and several weaker, off resonant interactions which can be ignored. The unitary evolution of the dressed states under the strong interaction is calculated, then the inverse transformation applied to find the time evolution in the original frame of eq. 3.

To begin an example with $N = 2$ is considered, working in dimensionless frequency units ω_f^{-1} and dimensionless time $\tau = \omega_f t$. Moving to an interaction picture $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\tilde{\psi}\rangle = U_1 |\psi\rangle$ using $U_1 = \exp -i \left(\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2} j \sigma_z \right) \tau$, the interaction Hamiltonian is

$$V^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_1 \sigma_z + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1} \left(\Omega_k e^{-im_k \tau} b_{j+m_k} \sigma_+ + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (4)$$




FIG. 1. Excitation probabilities as a function of τ in a two mode field. Solid black lines show excitation probability calculated by diagonalising eq. 3 numerically. Dashed red lines show probabilities using eq. 8a. Figure (a) shows $\left| \langle \frac{1}{2} | U^{(0)}(\tau) | -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right|^2$ after taking the partial trace over the field. Figure (b), (c) and (d) show excitation from $|N, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ to $|N-j, \frac{1}{2}\rangle$, $|N-(j+m), \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ and $|N-(j-m), \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ respectively.

where $\Delta_1 = \omega_0 - j\omega_f$. In general the diagonalisation of terms $\frac{1}{2} \Delta_k \sigma_z + \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_k b_{j+m_k} \sigma_+ + \chi_k^* b_{j+m_k}^\dagger \sigma_- \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi} \sigma_z$ is performed with the unitary operator

$$S_k = \frac{(\Delta_k + \tilde{\chi}_k) \mathbb{1} - \chi_k b_j \sigma_+ + \chi_k^* b_{j+m_k}^\dagger \sigma_-}{[2\tilde{\chi}_k(\Delta_k + \tilde{\chi}_k)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_k = \sqrt{\Delta_k + |\chi_k|^2}$. Thus, $V^{(0)}$ is dressed by the first mode by applying $S_1^\dagger V^{(0)} S_1$, with $\chi_1 = \Omega_1$. The time dependence of the mode $k = 2$ terms is then removed by moving to a new interaction picture with $U_2 = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} i m_2 \sigma_z \tau)$. This yields the ‘once dressed’ interaction Hamiltonian, $V^{(1)} = U_2^\dagger \left(S_1^\dagger V^{(0)} S_1 - \frac{1}{2} m_2 \sigma_z \right) U_2$, and the states dressed by mode 1 evolve under $i\partial_\tau |\psi\rangle = V^{(1)} |\psi\rangle$. Explicitly,

$$V^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_2 \sigma_z + \frac{1}{2} \left[\Omega_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi_1 e^{-im_2 \tau} b_{m_2} \sigma_z \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \Sigma_1 b_{j+m_2} \sigma_+ + \delta_1 e^{-2im_2 \tau} b_{j-m_2}^\dagger \sigma_- \right) + \text{h.c.} \right] \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta_2 = \tilde{\chi}_1 - m_2$, $\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 + \tilde{\chi}_1)$ and $\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_1 - \tilde{\chi}_1)$. Under bars represent values divided by $\tilde{\chi}_k$, e.g. $\chi_1 = \chi_1/\tilde{\chi}_1$.

In this ‘once dressed’ picture the second mode is resonant when $\Delta_2 = 0$. Sufficiently close to this resonance, eq. 6 can be approximated by

$$V^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_2 \sigma_z + \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_2 b_{j+m_2} \sigma_+ + \chi_2^* b_{j+m_2}^\dagger \sigma_- \right), \quad (7)$$

where $\chi_2 = \Omega_2 \Sigma_1$, since Σ_1 approaches unity when $\Omega_1 < \omega_f$ and $\Delta_1 \gtrsim 0$. In contrast, Ω_1 and δ_1 approach zero

as Δ_2 increases from $-\omega_f$. In principle, further dressing transformations could be made to include the effect of the weak terms ignored here.

The time evolution operator in the once dressed picture under eq. 7 is

$$U^{(1)} = \mathbb{1} \cos \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Omega}_2 \tau - i \left(\Delta_2 \sigma_z + \chi_2 b_{j+m_2} \sigma_+ + \chi_2^* b_{j+m_2}^\dagger \sigma_- \right) \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau.$$

Transformed by $U^{(0)} = S_1 U_2 U^{(1)} S_1^\dagger$ to the undressed picture of eq. 4 yields

$$U^{(0)}(\tau) = \mathbb{1} \left(\cos \frac{1}{2} m_2 \tau \cos \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau - \Delta_2 \sin \frac{1}{2} m_2 \tau \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau \right) - i \sigma_z \left[\Delta_1 f(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau \hat{f}_z(\tau) \right] - i \sigma_+ \left[\chi_1 b_j f(\tau) + \hat{f}_+(\tau) \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau \right] - i \sigma_- \left[\chi_1^* b_j^\dagger f(\tau) + \hat{f}_-(\tau) \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau \right] \quad (8a)$$

with $\hat{f}_- = f_+^\dagger$ and

$$f = \sin \frac{1}{2} m_2 \tau \cos \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau + \Delta_2 \cos \frac{1}{2} m_2 \tau \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau \quad (8b)$$

$$\hat{f}_z = \chi_1^* \chi_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} i m_2 \tau} b_{m_2} + \chi_1 \chi_2^* e^{\frac{1}{2} i m_2 \tau} b_{m_2}^\dagger \quad (8c)$$

$$\hat{f}_+ = \Sigma_1 \chi_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} i m_2 \tau} b_{j+m_2} + \delta_1 \chi_2^* e^{\frac{1}{2} i m_2 \tau} b_{j-m_2}. \quad (8d)$$

The time evolution can be followed using the density operator $\rho(\tau) = U^{(0)}(\tau) \rho_i U^{(0)\dagger}(\tau)$, where $\rho_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$. $|\psi_i\rangle$ is the initial state of the system at $\tau = 0$, taken here to be $|\{\alpha_k\}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$. The spin-half density operator is found by taking the partial trace over the field, $\rho_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_N \langle N | \rho(\tau) | N \rangle$, and the excitation probability is

$$\langle \frac{1}{2} | \rho_{1/2} | \frac{1}{2} \rangle = \sum_N \left| \sum_{N'} \gamma_{N'} \langle N, \frac{1}{2} | U^{(0)}(\tau) | N', -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

In eq. 9 we can set $\gamma_{N'} = \gamma_{N''}$ since $U^{(0)}$ dictates that N' and N'' differ from N by $\pm m_1$, $\pm j$ or $\pm(j \pm m_1)$, and these differences are small compared to σ_N . Hence the excitation probability is

$$P_e(\tau) = \left| \sum_{N'} \langle N', \frac{1}{2} | U^{(0)}(\tau) | N, -\frac{1}{2} \rangle \right|^2. \quad (10)$$

Substituting eq. 8 into eq. 10,

$$P_e(\tau) = \left| \chi_1 f(\tau) + f_+(\tau) \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_2 \tau \right|^2 \quad (11)$$

where $f_+(\tau) = \sum_{N', N''} \langle N' | \hat{f}_+(\tau) | N'' \rangle$.

Figure 1a shows $P_e(\tau)$ from eq. 8a and eq. 10 in close agreement with numerical calculations for the two frequencies $j\omega_f$ and $(j+2)\omega_f$ with $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \omega_f/2$ and $\omega_0 = (j+1)\omega_f$. $P_e(\tau)$ is dominated by a sinusoidal oscillation at frequency $\tilde{\chi}_2$, with smaller yet significant oscillations due to off resonant excitations. Figure 1b,c&d show the excitation probability between basis states $|\langle N', \frac{1}{2} | U^{(0)} | N, -\frac{1}{2} \rangle|^2$ which contribute to P_e .




FIG. 2. Excitation probabilities in three mode (a) and ten mode (b-d) fields. (a) $P_e(\tau)$ for three modes with $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 2$ and $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \Omega_3 = \omega_f/7$ for three detunings $\Delta_0 = 2\omega_f$ (light blue dashed), where the spin-half is resonant with mode $j+2$, $\Delta_0 = \frac{13}{7}\omega_f$ (dark blue dashed) and $\Delta_0 = \frac{6}{7}\omega_f$ (purple dashed). The analytic (coloured lines) and numerical (black lines) results are indistinguishable in these plots.

Figure 1(b) shows the main contribution is between the resonant states with $N' = N - (j+2)$. The least accurate analytic term is between the off resonant state where $N' = N + j - 2$, shown in Fig. 1d. However, these terms give the smallest contribution with magnitude $\lesssim 5 \times 10^{-4}$.

These results depend on m_2 , but not j . The choices $m_2 = 2$ and $j = -1$ represent two fields at frequencies $\pm\omega_f$, which is equivalent to a single mode interaction beyond the rotating wave approximation [1], since $b_{-n} = b_n^\dagger$ in the mean field limit. Thus, the results eq. 8 and eq. 10 and their generalisations given below also produce accurate solutions to eq. 3 beyond the rotating wave approximation if positive and negative frequency modes are included symmetrically.

This approach is now generalised to $N > 2$ frequencies. The interaction between the k times dressed states is

$$V^{(k)} = U_{k+1}^\dagger \left(S_k^\dagger V^{(k-1)} S_k - \frac{1}{2} \delta m_{k+1} \sigma_z \right) U_{k+1} \quad (12)$$

where S_k is given by eq. 5 and $U_k = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} i \theta_k \sigma_z)$ with $\delta m_k = m_k - m_{k-1}$ and $\theta_k = \delta m_k \tau$. Starting from $V^{(0)}$, $V^{(1)}$ can be found. From $V^{(1)}$ one finds the detuning $\Delta_2 = \tilde{\chi}_1 - m_2$, and the coefficient of $b_{j+m_2} \sigma_+$ as $\chi_2 = \Sigma_1 \Omega_{m_2}$. From these, $\tilde{\chi}_2 = \sqrt{\Delta_2^2 + |\chi_2|^2}$. S_1 is now given explicitly and $V^{(2)}$ subsequently found by applying eq. 12. This process is repeated $N-1$ times to reveal the interaction resonant with the N^{th} mode between the $N-1$ times dressed states.

The transformation $U_{k+1}^\dagger S_k^\dagger V^{(k-1)} S_k U_{k+1}$ only

changes the spin operators in $V^{(k-1)}$;

$$\sigma_z \rightarrow \Delta_k \sigma_z - \chi_k \Theta_{k+1} b_{j+m_k} \sigma_+ - \chi_k^* \Theta_{k+1}^* b_{j+m_k}^\dagger \sigma_- \quad (13a)$$

$$\sigma_+ \rightarrow \frac{\chi_k^*}{2} b_{j+m_k}^\dagger \sigma_z + \Sigma_k \Theta_{k+1} \sigma_+ + \delta_k^* \Theta_{k+1}^* b_{2(j+m_k)}^\dagger \sigma_- \quad (13b)$$

The transformations, eq. 12, is simple to apply successively when the interaction Hamiltonian is written as the vector $\mathbf{v}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_0, \sum_m \Omega_m b_{j+m}, \sum_m \Omega_m^* b_{j+m}^\dagger)^\top$ with basis $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_z, \sigma_+, \sigma_-)$. The vector is transformed by eq. 12 through the vector equation

$$\mathbf{v}^{(k)} = \mathbf{M}(k) \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)} - \frac{1}{2} \delta m_{k+1} \mathbf{z} \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (1, 0, 0)^\top$. The matrix $\mathbf{M}(k)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_k & \frac{1}{2} \chi_k^* b_{j+m_k}^\dagger & \frac{1}{2} \chi_k b_{j+m_k} \\ -\chi_k \Theta_{k+1} b_{j+m_k} & \Sigma_k \Theta_{k+1} & \delta_k \Theta_{k+1} b_{2(j+m_k)} \\ -\chi_k^* \Theta_{k+1}^* b_{j+m_k}^\dagger & \delta_k^* \Theta_{k+1}^* b_{2(j+m_k)}^\dagger & \Sigma_k \Theta_{k+1}^* \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where the columns are given by the coefficients of the terms on the right in eq. 13. After each stage we can calculate $\Delta_{k+1} = \tilde{\chi}_k - \delta m_{k+1}$ and

$$\chi_{k+1} = \langle n - (j + m_{k+1}) | M_{2j}(k) v_j^{(k-1)} | n \rangle \quad (16)$$

where lower indices label matrix and vector components. Subsequently $\mathbf{M}(k+1)$ is specified using $\tilde{\chi}_{k+1} = \sqrt{\Delta_{k+1}^2 + |\chi_{k+1}|^2}$, $\Sigma_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{k+1} + \tilde{\chi}_{k+1})$ and $\delta_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{k+1} - \tilde{\chi}_{k+1})$.

The final interaction Hamiltonian, dressed successively on modes m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{N-1} , can be approximated by

$$\mathbf{T}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} & -i \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} & 0 & 0 \\ -i \Delta_k \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} & \Delta_k \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} & -\frac{1}{2} \chi_k^* e^{-\frac{1}{2} i \theta_{k+1}} b_{j+m_k}^\dagger & -\frac{1}{2} \chi_k e^{\frac{1}{2} i \theta_{k+1}} b_{j+m_k} \\ -i \chi_k \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} b_{j+m_k} & \chi_k \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} b_{j+m_k} & \Sigma_k e^{-\frac{1}{2} i \theta_{k+1}} & \delta_k e^{\frac{1}{2} i \theta_{k+1}} b_{2(j+m_k)} \\ -i \chi_k^* \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} b_{j+m_k}^\dagger & \chi_k^* \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta_{k+1} b_{j+m_k}^\dagger & \delta_k^* e^{-\frac{1}{2} i \theta_{k+1}} b_{2(j+m_k)}^\dagger & \Sigma_k e^{\frac{1}{2} i \theta_{k+1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

For N frequencies the excitation probability, eq. 10, is the square modulus of the element $u_3^{(0)}$ of eq. 21 with field operators b_k and b_k^\dagger set to unity by the partial trace in eq. 9. Figure 2(a) shows extremely good agreement of $P_e(\tau)$ with numerical calculations for a three mode field for three different detunings. When the spin-half is resonant with the highest frequency field the oscillations at frequency $\tilde{\chi}_3$ dominate $P_e(\tau)$. The smaller, higher frequency oscillations on top of these are driven by the off-resonant modes. As ω_0 decreases the $\tilde{\chi}_3$ component reduces and oscillations driven by the second field mode begin to dominate.

When the Rabi frequencies are small compared to the mode spacing, $\mathbf{M}(k)$, $\mathbf{T}(k)$ and subsequent expressions

the two level system

$$V^{(N-1)} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_N + \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_N b_{j+m_N} \sigma_+ + \chi_N^* b_{j+m_N}^\dagger \sigma_- \right) \quad (17)$$

where the truncated terms are off-resonant and of second order in Ω_j/ω_f or higher. The $N-1$ times dressed states evolve under

$$U^{(N)} = \mathbb{1} \cos \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_N \tau - i \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_N \tau \quad (18) \\ \times \left(\Delta_N \sigma_z + \chi_N b_{j+m_N} \sigma_+ + \chi_N^* b_{j+m_N}^\dagger \sigma_- \right)$$

which is transformed back to the undressed picture by

$$U^{(0)} = (S_1 U_2) \dots \dots (S_{N-1} U_N) U^{(N)} S_{N-1}^\dagger \dots \dots S_1^\dagger. \quad (19)$$

Writing $U^{(N)}$ as the scalar product between the vectors $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\mathbb{1}, \sigma_z, \sigma_+, \sigma_-)$ and

$$\mathbf{u}^{(N)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_N \tau \\ -i \Delta_N \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_N \tau \\ -i \chi_N b_{j+m_N} \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_N \tau \\ -i \chi_N^* b_{j+m_N}^* \sin \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\chi}_N \tau \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

this inverse transformation is given by

$$\mathbf{u}^{(0)} = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{T}(k) \right] \mathbf{u}^{(N)}. \quad (21)$$

with transformation matrix

can be simplified significantly by neglecting terms which contribute to $U^{(0)}$ beyond second order in Ω_k/ω_f . As above, a spin-half closest to resonance with the N^{th} mode is considered. One can set $\Delta_k = \Delta_0 - m_k \forall k$ and $\tilde{\chi}_k = \Delta_k$ for $k < N-1$ without affecting $U^{(0)}$ to second order in Ω_k/ω_f . Consequently $\Sigma_k = 1$ and $\delta_k = 0$. Iterating eq. 16,

$$\chi_N = \Omega_{m_N} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \Sigma_k + \mathcal{O} [(\Omega_j/\omega_f)^3] \quad (23)$$

which simplifies to $\chi_k = \Omega_k$ with the approximations above. The time evolution operator in the $N-1$ times dressed basis is given by (18) with $\Delta_N = \Delta_0 - m_N$, $\chi_N = \Omega_N$ and $\tilde{\chi}_N = \sqrt{\Delta_N + |\chi_N|^2}$ - one cannot approx-

imate $\tilde{\chi}_N$ by Δ_N since it cannot be assumed $\Delta_N \gg \chi_N$. The multi-frequency effects retained must arise from the inverse transformations $\mathbf{T}(k)$. These are expanded to first order in Ω_k/ω_f as

$$\mathbf{T}(k) = \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta_{k+1}} [\mathbf{T}_0^+ + \mathbf{T}_k^+] + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta_{k+1}} [\mathbf{T}_0^- + \mathbf{T}_k^-], \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_0^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{T}_k^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\chi_k \\ -\chi_k & \chi_k & 0 & 0 \\ -\chi_k^* & \chi_k^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_0^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{T}_k^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\chi_k^* & 0 \\ \chi_k & \chi_k & 0 & 0 \\ \chi_k^* & \chi_k^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

after taking the partial trace over the field operators. The spin-half time evolution operator, accurate to second order in Ω_k/ω_f , is given by inserting eq. 24 into eq. 21, and keeping terms to first order in \mathbf{T}_k^\pm . This gives

$$U_{ge}^{(0)}(\tau) = -i\chi_N e^{-i(N-1)\theta} \sin \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}_N \tau \quad (25a)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \frac{\chi_p}{2} \left[e^{i(N-2p-2)\theta} f_-(\tau) + e^{-i(N-1)\theta} f_+(\tau) \right]$$

where $U_{ge}^{(0)}(\tau) = \langle \frac{1}{2} | U^{(0)}(\tau) | -\frac{1}{2} \rangle$, and

$$f_\pm(\tau) = \pm \cos \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}_N \tau - i\Delta_N \sin \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\chi}_N \tau. \quad (25b)$$

Figures 2(b)-(d) show $P_e(\tau)$ calculated analytically using eq. 25 with purple lines, and numerically with blue

lines. The field has ten modes of equal amplitude, which corresponds to a pulse train the time domain. The mode amplitudes are $\omega_f/7$ in Fig. 2(b), $\omega_f/11$ in (c) and $\omega_f/15$ in (d). This field drives an oscillations in the $P_e(\tau)$ with period $\tilde{2}\pi\chi_N^{-1}$. The oscillations are divided into either 7, 11 or 15 sloped plateaus separated by step steps. The three mode resonant excitation in Fig. 2(a) already shows this structure emerging with plateaus not yet smoothed out by farther off resonant fields. It is sensible to conclude that $P_e(\tau)$ approaches this stepped structure as the number of modes increases. For ten modes, figures (b)-(d) show that the first and last plateaus of each cycle maintain a degree of curvature. The middle plateau is flat and very close to unity when ω_f/Ω is odd. The occurrence of the steps is coincident with the pulses in the driving field. Interestingly, the sloped of the plateaus demonstrates that the excitation probability continues to evolve in-between pulses where the driving field is close to zero. Figures 2(b)-(d) show the accuracy of eq. 25 increases as the mode amplitudes decrease, which is to be expected for an expansion in powers of Ω_k/ω_f .

In summary, accurate analytic expressions for the time evolution operator of a spin-half in a polychromatic second quantised field have been derived. A polychromatic dressed state formalism is presented to derive these results by progressively dressing states on each field mode. Furthermore, a simple closed form expression is derived for the spin-half's time evolution in a field with an arbitrary number modes which is valid when the mode amplitudes are sufficiently small compared to the mode spacing.

This work was supported by EU H2020 Collaborative project QuProCS (Grant Agreement No. 641277).

-
- [1] I. I. Rabi, Phys. Rev. **51**, 652 (1937).
[2] D. Braak, Physical Review Letters **107**, 100401 (2011).
[3] Q.-H. Chen, C. Wang, S. He, T. Liu, and K.-L. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 023822 (2012).
[4] H. Zhong, Q. Xie, M. T. Batchelor, and C. Lee, J. Phys. A. **46**, 415302 (2013).
[5] Q.-T. Xie, S. Cui, J.-P. Cao, L. Amico, and H. Fan, Phys. Rev. X **4**, 021046 (2014).
[6] Q. Xie, H. Zhong, M. T. Batchelor, and C. Lee, J. Phys. A. **50**, 113001 (2017).
[7] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings, Proceedings of the IEEE **51**, 89 (1963).
[8] B. W. Shore and P. L. Knight, Journal of Modern Optics **40**, 1195 (1993), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500349314551321>.
[9] S. Haroche, Rev. Mod. Phys. **85**, 1083 (2013).
[10] C. Cohen-Tannoudji and S. Haroche, CR Acad. Sc. Paris B **262**, 37 (1966).
[11] C. Cohen-Tannoudji and S. Haroche, Journal de Physique **30**, 153 (1969).
[12] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, G. Grynberg, and P. Thickstun, *Atom-photon interactions: basic processes and applications* (Wiley Online Library, 1992).
[13] S.-C. Gou, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 5116 (1989).
[14] H. Metcalf, Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 041001 (2017).
[15] E. Barnes and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 060401 (2012).
[16] Y. Wu and X. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 013601 (2007).
[17] J. C. A. Barata and W. F. Wreszinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2112 (2000).
[18] R. W. Boyd, *Nonlinear optics* (Academic press, 2003).
[19] J. Armstrong, N. Bloembergen, J. Ducuing, and P. Pershan, Physical review **127**, 1918 (1962).
[20] T. Xia, M. Lichtman, K. Maller, A. W. Carr, M. J. Piotrowicz, L. Isenhower, and M. Saffman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 100503 (2015).
[21] Y. Wang, X. Zhang, T. A. Corcovilos, A. Kumar, and D. S. Weiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 043003 (2015).
[22] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **131**, 2766 (1963).
[23] E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 277 (1963).
[24] Y. B., "A basis for multi-frequency atom-photon interactions," (2018), article in preparation.