

Almost every n -vertex graph is determined by its $3 \log_2 n$ -vertex subgraphs

Ameneh Farhadian

Sharif University of Technology
Tehran, I. R. Iran

afarhadian@yahoo.com

October 6, 2018

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C60

Abstract

The paper shows that almost every n -vertex graph is such that the multiset of its induced subgraphs on $3 \log_2 n$ vertices is sufficient to determine it up to isomorphism. Therefore, for checking the isomorphism of a pair of n -vertex graphs, almost surely the multiset of their $3 \log_2 n$ -vertex subgraphs is sufficient .

Keywords: graph isomorphism; graph automorphism; graph reconstruction ; graph asymmetry; subgraph; unique subgraph.

1 Introduction

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. The set of vertices and the set of edges of a graph G are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The number of vertices of a graph G is called its order. The neighbors of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $N(v)$.

A bijection $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is called isomorphism from graph G to graph H , if $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ if and only if $\{f(x), f(y)\} \in E(H)$. If graph G is isomorphic to graph H , we denote it by $G \cong H$. An isomorphism $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$ is called automorphism of G , denoted by $\text{Aut}(G)$. A

graph G with trivial automorphism is called asymmetric, i.e. $\text{Aut}(G)=I$. We call the subset $S \subset V(G)$ is invariant under $\text{Aut}(G)$, if $\theta(S) = S$ for any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. A subgraph S of a graph G is a graph whose set of vertices and set of edges are all subsets of G . An induced subgraph is a subgraph which includes all possible edges. In this paper, any subgraph is an induced subgraph. If H is a subgraph of a graph G , $G \setminus V(H)$ is the induced subgraph on $V(G) - V(H)$.

In this paper, the set of all possible graphs on n vertices is denoted by Γ_n . Assuming G and F are two arbitrary graphs, we define the multiplicity of graph F in graph G , denoted by $C_G(F)$, as the number of induced subgraphs of G isomorphic with F . For instance, $C_G(K_2)$ denotes the number of edges of graph G .

Let G be a graph with n vertices and $S_m(G)$ be the multiset of all m -vertex subgraphs of G . We call graph G can be determined (or reconstructed), uniquely, from $S_m(G)$, if $S_m(G)$ is unique among all graphs with n vertices. That is, if for a graph G' we have $S_m(G) = S_m(G')$, then $G \cong G'$.

In the probability space of graphs on n labeled vertices in which the edges are chosen independently, with probability $p = 1/2$, we say that almost every graph G has a property Q if the probability that G has Q tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We say that an assertion holds almost surely, if the probability that it holds tends to 1 as n goes to infinity.

In [7], it is shown that almost every graph can be reconstructed uniquely from its $(n/2)$ -vertex subgraphs. Here, this result has been improved by showing that the subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices are sufficient.

In the following, the anchor of graph and shadow are defined. These concepts are defined in [2, 3] to explain the reconstruction of a graph from its vertex-deleted subgraphs.

Definition 1. A proper induced subgraph H of a graph G is an anchor, if it occurs exactly once in graph G .

The shadow of a vertex $v \in V(G) - V(H)$ on the subgraph H is $N_v \cap V(H)$. We denote it by $s_{v,H}$ (or s_v for simplicity, if H is a specified subgraph).

We call an anchor H is stable, if *i*) the shadow of any vertex of $V(G) - V(H)$ on H is invariant under $\text{Aut}(H)$ and *ii*) there is no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ whose shadows on H are the same.

Please note that the definition of stable set is very close to the identification code which has been defined in [5] and studied in [4, 8]. In definition of identification code C , the structure of the induced graph on C is not considered. But, for a stable anchor H the automorphism of H is considered. In both of them, the vertices are identified by their neighborhood on C or H .

2 The main result

As the main result of this paper, we show that the multiset of all induced subgraphs with $3 \log n$ vertices are sufficient to determine the isomorphism class of almost every n -vertex graph. It means that for a randomly chosen graph G , this multiset is almost surely unique among all graphs with n vertices, i.e. there is no other graph G' with the same multiset of subgraphs on $3 \log_2 n$ vertices. Please note that in a multiset, the multiplicity of the members is taken into account.

Theorem 1. *Almost every n -vertex graph is such that the multiset of its induced subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices is sufficient to determine it up to isomorphism.*

In other words, the set of $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in \Gamma_{3 \log_2 n}}$ determines almost every n -vertex graph G up to isomorphism.

Furthermore, we will see that the multiplicity of less than $n^2/2$ subgraphs with at most $3 \log_2 n$ vertices is sufficient to determine almost every n -vertex graph. To prove the above theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Definition 2. We call subgraph H' is 2-adjacent to subgraph H , if $|V(H')| = |V(H)| + 2$ and $V(H) \subset V(H')$, i.e. H' is the induced subgraph on $V(H) \cup \{v, w\}$, for a pair of vertices $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$. The multiset of all 2-adjacent subgraphs to subgraph H is denoted by $N_2(H)$.

Clearly, $|N_2(H)| = \binom{|V(G)-V(H)|}{2}$. Assuming $A_H = \{H\} \cup N_2(H)$, we show that if H is a stable anchor, then $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ determines G up to isomorphism.

Lemma 1. *If H is a stable anchor of a graph G , then the multiplicity of subgraph H and all its 2-adjacent subgraphs in graph G , determines graph G up to isomorphism.*

In other words, $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ determines G up to isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that H is a stable anchor and H_{vw} denotes the induced subgraph on $V(H) \cup \{v, w\}$ for $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$. We show that the set of subgraphs $A_H = \{H\} \cup \{H_{vw} | v, w \in V(G) - V(H)\}$ with their multiplicity in G , i.e $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$, are sufficient to determine G up to isomorphism. Since H is an anchor, we find out from $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ that there is just one copy of H in G . We want to complete subgraph H to obtain graph G . We show that with the information that $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A_H}$ provides, just a unique graph up to isomorphism can be obtained.

All subgraphs in $\{H_{vw} | v, w \in V(G) - V(H)\}$ have H as their subgraph, while there is just one copy of H in G . Thus, all copies of H in all H_{vw} are the same.

According to the definition of stable anchor, all vertices which are out of the anchor have a unique neighbors set in H . Thus, any vertex $v \in V(G) - V(H)$ out of anchor H , is identifiable by its neighbors on H . Due to H_{vw} , we add vertices v and w to subgraph H . Consequently, for any pair of vertices $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$, $H_{v,w}$ determines the adjacency or non-adjacency of v and w in G . Therefore, using the information that $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in A}$ provides, subgraph H can be completed to graph G , uniquely. \square

According to the above lemma, graph G with n vertices and with stable anchor H of order k can be determined with the multiplicity of just $1 + \binom{n-k}{2}$ subgraphs with at most $k + 2$ vertices.

To warm up for the next lemma, we study the following problem. Suppose that a graph G with n vertices and a graph H with $3 \log n$ vertices are given. We want to know what is the probability that H is a subgraph of G , that is $\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\}$.

Let $\{G_1, \dots, G_N\}$ be the set of all possible subgraphs of graph G with, exactly, m vertices. Clearly, $N = \binom{n}{m}$. Let A_i be the event that subgraph G_i is isomorphic with H . We have,

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} = \mathbb{P}\{A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \dots \vee A_N\} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \mathbb{P}\{A_i\}$$

In addition, $\mathbb{P}\{A_i\} = \mathbb{P}\{G_i \cong H\} = 1/|\Gamma_m|$. where Γ_m is the set of all possible graphs on m vertices. We know $|\Gamma_m| \approx 2^{m^2/2}/m!$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} = \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{|\Gamma_m|} \approx \frac{n^m}{2^{m^2/2}}$$

Substituting m with $3 \log n$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} \approx n^{-1.5 \log n}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) \geq 1\} = 0$. It means that for a given graph H with $m = 3 \log n$ vertices, almost all graphs with n vertices do not have any subgraph isomorphic with H .

Lemma 2. *For almost every n -vertex graph G , an arbitrary subgraph with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices is a stable anchor.*

Proof. Let $m = 3 \log_2 n$ and H be an arbitrary m -vertex subgraph of a n -vertex graph G . Since H is an arbitrary graph and almost every graph is asymmetric [1], H is asymmetric, almost surely.

Now, we want to check what is the probability of emerging another copy of H in G , provided that there exists a copy of H in G , that is

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\}$$

We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\} = 0$. It means that almost surely there is not any copy of H , except itself, and consequently, subgraph H is an anchor for almost every graph G .

Now, assuming that H (with $m = 3 \log n$ vertices) is a subgraph of G (with n vertices), we arrange m -vertex subgraphs with respect to the number of vertices which they share with H . For instance, there are $\binom{m}{1} \binom{n-m}{1}$ subgraphs which share $(m-1)$ vertices with H . Let F be a subgraph of G which share $(m-1)$ vertices with H and $\{w\} = V(F) - V(H)$. The induced subgraph on $(m-1)$ vertices is common in both graphs F and H . Thus, the state of any pair of vertices in $V(H) \cap V(F)$, i.e. $(m-1)(m-2)/2$ pair of vertices, are determined by H . Just $m(m-1)/2 - (m-1)(m-2)/2 (=m-1)$ pairs of vertices, i.e. those pairs of vertices which include w , must be determined by probability. Thus, the probability that F is isomorphic with H is $2^{-(m-1)}$.

As we mentioned earlier, almost every graph is asymmetric. Thus, we ignore any asymmetry in H or its subgraphs.

Suppose that subgraph F with m vertices share $(m-i)$ vertices with subgraph H . If $|V(F) \cap V(H)| = m-i$, there are $i!$ possibility for mapping of $V(H) - (V(H) \cap V(F))$ onto $V(F) - (V(H) \cap V(F))$. We show that for each mapping ϕ , the probability of isomorphism of H onto F by ϕ is $2^{-(m^2 - (m-i)^2)/2}$.

Each isomorphism mapping ϕ of H onto F , determines adjacency or non-adjacency of pair of vertices in $V(F)$. The number of pair of vertices of a graph with m vertices is approximated by $m^2/2$, here. Graph F with m vertices has approximately $m^2/2$ pair of vertices in which $(m-i)^2/2$ of them belong to the intersection of $V(H)$ and $V(F)$ and, consequently, are determined by graph H . Thus, for each mapping ϕ , we have $m^2/2 - (m-i)^2/2$ pair of vertices in which the adjacency or non-adjacency should be determined by probability. Thus, the probability of isomorphism of F onto H by mapping ϕ is $2^{-(m^2 - (m-i)^2)/2}$. The number of subgraphs which share $(m-i)$ vertices with H in G is $\binom{m}{i} \binom{n-m}{i}$. Therefore, assuming $G_1 \cong H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\} &= \mathbb{P}\{A_2 \vee A_3 \vee \cdots \vee A_N | A_1\} \\ &\leq \sum_{2 \leq t \leq N} \mathbb{P}\{A_t | A_1\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{m}{i} \binom{n-m}{i} \cdot i! \cdot 2^{-(m^2/2 - (m-i)^2/2)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{m}{i} (n^i / i!) \cdot i! \cdot 2^{-mi + i^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \leq m$, we have $2^{i^2/2} \leq 2^{mi/2}$. Thus, $2^{-mi + i^2/2} \leq 2^{-mi + mi/2} = 2^{-mi/2}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{m}{i} n^i \cdot 2^{-mi/2}$$

Since $\frac{n}{2^{m/2}} (= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) < 1$, we have

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} n^i \cdot 2^{-mi/2} - 1 = \left(1 + \frac{n}{2^{m/2}}\right)^m - 1$$

We know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{n}{2^{m/2}}\right)^m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{3 \log n} = 1$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\} \leq 0$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{C_G(H) > 1 | C_G(H) \geq 1\} = 0$$

It means that for almost every G with n vertices, if H is an arbitrary subgraph of G with $3 \log n$ vertices, then there is not any copy of H in G , except itself. In other words, for almost every graph with n vertices, an arbitrary subgraph with $3 \log n$ vertices is an anchor.

Now, we should prove that, almost surely, H is a stable anchor. That is, the probability that all shadows on H are invariant under $Aut(H)$ and no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ have the same shadows on H , tends to 1 as n goes to infinity. At first, we mentioned that almost surely H is asymmetric, thus, all shadows on H are invariant under $Aut(H)$. It is sufficient to show that, almost surely, there is no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ whose shadows on H are the same. There are $2^{3 \log_2 n}$ ($= n^3$) subsets for the set $V(H)$. Thus, the number of possible different shadows on H is n^3 . The anchor H has $3 \log n$ vertices. Thus, there are $(n - 3 \log n)$ vertices out of anchor H . The probability that each vertex out of H chooses a unique shadow on H is

$$p_n = \frac{\binom{n^3}{n - 3 \log_2 n} (n - 3 \log_2 n)!}{(n^3)^{n - 3 \log_2 n}}$$

$$\approx n^3 \cdots (n^3 - (n - 3 \log_2 n - 1)) / (n^{3(n - 3 \log_2 n)})$$

Thus, we have $p_n \approx 1$ for large n . It means that, almost surely, no pair of vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ share the same shadow on H . Thus, H is a stable anchor. □

Remark 2. Needless to say, the lemma remains true if $3 \log_2 n$ is replaced by $3 \log_2 n + c$ where c is a fixed integer.

Lemma 3. *If H is a graph with at most m vertices, then the multiset of m -vertex subgraphs of a graph G determines the number of occurrence of H in G .*

In other words, the above lemma states that if H is graph with at most m vertices, then $C_G(H)$ can be determined by $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in \Gamma_m}$. The above lemma is a generalization of Kelly's lemma [6]. Kelly's lemma states that the number of occurrence of any arbitrary proper subgraph of a graph G with n vertices is inferable from the set of its subgraphs with $(n - 1)$ vertices.

Proof. We want to show that the number of copies of a k -vertex subgraph H , i.e. $C_G(H)$, such that $k < m$ can be determined by $\{(F, C_G(F))\}_{F \in \Gamma_m}$. The number of m -vertex subgraphs of G which include $V(H)$ is $\binom{n-k}{m-k}$. Thus, each copy of subgraph H is repeated exactly in $\binom{n-k}{m-k}$ m -vertex subgraphs. Consequently, the number of copies of H in G is

$$C_G(H) = \frac{\sum_{F \in \Gamma_m} C_G(F)}{\binom{n-k}{m-k}}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1:

According to Lemma 2 and Remark 2, for almost every n -vertex graph G , an arbitrary subgraph H with $3 \log_2 n - 2$ vertices is a stable anchor. Since H is a stable anchor, the multiplicity of subgraphs H and $H_{v,w}$ where $v, w \in V(G) - V(H)$ are sufficient to determine G , due to Lemma 1. The subgraph H has $3 \log_2 n - 2$ vertices and $H_{v,w}$'s have $3 \log_2 n$ vertices. According to Lemma 3, subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices are sufficient to know the number of any subgraph with smaller order. Therefore, subgraphs with $3 \log_2 n$ vertices are sufficient to determine graph G up to isomorphism. □

Corollary 1. *To decide the isomorphism of a pair of n -vertex graphs G_1 and G_2 , almost surely, it is sufficient to compare their multiset of subgraphs on $3 \log_2 n$ vertices, i.e. if $C_{G_1}(F) = C_{G_2}(F)$, for any $F \in \Gamma_{3 \log_2 n}$, then $G_1 \cong G_2$.*

Corollary 2. *Almost every n -vertex graph can be reconstructed from subgraphs with just $3 \log_2 n$ vertices.*

References

- [1] P. Erdős and A. Rényi. Asymmetric graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar*, 14:295–315, 1963.
- [2] Ameneh Farhadian. Reconstruction of graphs via asymmetry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01609*, 2016.
- [3] Ameneh Farhadian. A simple explanation for the reconstruction of graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.01454*, 2017.

- [4] Alan Frieze, Ryan Martin, Julien Moncel, Miklós Ruszinkó, and Cliff Smyth. Codes identifying sets of vertices in random networks. *Discrete Math.*, 307(9-10):1094–1107, 2007.
- [5] Mark G. Karpovsky, Krishnendu Chakrabarty, and Lev B. Levitin. On a new class of codes for identifying vertices in graphs. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44(2):599–611, 1998.
- [6] Paul J. Kelly. A congruence theorem for trees. *Pacific J. Math.*, 7:961–968, 1957.
- [7] Vladimir Müller. Probabilistic reconstruction from subgraphs. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 17(4):709–719, 1976.
- [8] Ying Xiao, Christoforos Hadjicostis, and Krishnaiyan Thulasiraman. The d -identifying codes problem for vertex identification in graphs: probabilistic analysis and an approximation algorithm. In *Computing and combinatorics*, volume 4112 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 284–298. Springer, Berlin, 2006.