

Linear bounds on nowhere-zero group irregularity strength and nowhere-zero group sum chromatic number of graphs

Marcin Anholcer¹, Sylwia Cichacz^{2,3*}, Jakub Przybyło²

¹Poznań University of Economics and Business

²AGH University of Science and Technology,
al. A. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland

³Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences and Information Technologies,
University of Primorska, Glagoljaška 8, SI 6000 Koper, Slovenia

November 9, 2018

Abstract

We investigate the *group irregularity strength*, $s_g(G)$, of a graph, i.e. the least integer k such that taking any Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order k , there exists a function $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ so that the sums of edge labels incident with every vertex are distinct. So far the best upper bound on $s_g(G)$ for a general graph G was exponential in $n - c$, where n is the order of G and c denotes the number of its components. In this note we prove that $s_g(G)$ is linear in n , namely not greater than $2n$. In fact, we prove a stronger result, as we additionally forbid the identity element of a group to be an edge label or the sum of labels around a vertex. We consider also locally irregular labelings where we require only sums of adjacent vertices to be distinct. For the corresponding graph invariant we prove the general upper bound: $\Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) - 1$ (where $\text{col}(G)$ is the coloring number of G) in the case when we do not use the identity element as an edge label, and a slightly worse one if we additionally forbid it as the sum of labels around a vertex. In the both cases we also provide a sharp upper bound for trees and a constant upper bound for the family of planar graphs.

*This work was partially supported by the Faculty of Applied Mathematics AGH UST statutory tasks within subsidy of Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

1 Introduction

It is a well known fact that in any simple graph G there are at least two vertices of the same degree. The situation changes if we consider an edge labeling $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ and calculate so-called *weighted degree* (or *weight*) of each vertex v as the sum of labels of all the edges incident to v . The labeling f is called *irregular* if the weighted degrees of all the vertices are distinct. The smallest value of k that allows some irregular labeling is called the *irregularity strength* of G and denoted by $s(G)$.

The problem of finding $s(G)$ was introduced by Chartrand et al. in [10] and investigated by numerous authors [3, 4, 12, 21, 28]. An upper bound $s(G) \leq n - 1$ was proved for all graphs containing no isolated edges and at most one isolated vertex, except for the graph K_3 [3, 24], where n is the order of G . This is tight, as exemplified e.g. by the family of stars. It can however be improved for graphs with sufficiently large minimum degree δ . The best published general result due to Kalkowski et al. (see [18]) is $s(G) \leq 6n/\delta$. It was recently improved by Majerski and Przybyło ([22]) for relatively dense graphs with sufficiently large minimum degree compared to n ($s(G) \leq (4 + o(1))n/\delta + 4$ in this case).

Jones combined the concepts of graceful labeling and modular edge coloring into a labeling called a *modular edge-graceful labeling* ([15, 16, 17]). He defined the *modular edge-gracefulness* of a graph G as the smallest integer $k(G) = k \geq n$ for which there exists an edge labeling $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ such that the induced vertex labeling $f' : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ defined by

$$f'(v) = \sum_{u \in N(v)} f(uv) \pmod k$$

is one-to-one.

Assume \mathcal{G} is an Abelian group of order $m \geq n$ with the operation denoted by $+$ and identity element 0 . For convenience we will write ka to denote $a + a + \dots + a$ (where element a appears k times), $-a$ to denote the inverse of a and we will use $a - b$ instead of $a + (-b)$. Moreover, the notation $\sum_{a \in S} a$ will be used as a short form for $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots$, where a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots are all the elements of the set S .

We consider an edge labeling $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ inducing the *weighted degree* of every vertex v of G defined as the sum (in \mathcal{G}):

$$w_f(v) = \sum_{u \in N(v)} f(uv).$$

This we shall also call the *weight* of v or the *sum at v* and denote simply by $w(v)$ if this causes no ambiguities. The labeling f of G is called \mathcal{G} -irregular, if the resulting weighted degrees of all the vertices are pairwise distinct. The least positive integer k such that for every Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order k there exists a \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of G is called the *group irregularity strength* of G and denoted $s_g(G)$.

The following theorem, determining the value of $s_g(G)$ for every connected graph G of order $n \geq 3$, was proved by Anholcer, Cichacz and Milanič [5].

Theorem 1.1 ([5]) *Let G be an arbitrary connected graph of order $n \geq 3$. Then*

$$s_g(G) = \begin{cases} n + 2, & \text{if } G \cong K_{1,3^{2q+1}-2} \text{ for some integer } q \geq 1, \\ n + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \wedge G \not\cong K_{1,3^{2q+1}-2} \text{ for any integer } q \geq 1, \\ n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Irregularity strength of disconnected graphs was considered in [6, 8]. In particular, the following exponential upper bound on $s_g(G)$ was provided there.

Theorem 1.2 ([8]) *Let G be a graph of order n having m components, none of which has order less than 3 and let p be the smallest number greater than 2^{n-m-1} that has all primes distinct in its factorization. Then $s_g(G) \leq p$.*

In the same paper, the authors also presented the exact values and bounds on $s_g(G)$ for disconnected graphs with no star components.

Tutte's zero-flow graph conjectures, known as the 5-flow, 4-flow, and 3-flow conjectures, are substantial and seminal sources of inspiration in graph theory [9, 14, 25, 27]. In these we want to label the edges using non-zero elements of a cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_k so that the sum of the labels flowing into each vertex is equal to the sum of values flowing out of this vertex. In all the above \mathcal{G} -labelings, all the elements of \mathcal{G} could be used as edge labels as well as the weighted degrees. However, in the case of ordinary irregularity strength, it is forbidden to use 0 as a label, since admitting it significantly simplifies the problem in many cases, and in fact allows us to focus on an arbitrarily chosen spanning subgraph of a given graph. Therefore a natural question arises, namely what is the minimum order of a group, that allows the *nowhere-zero* (i.e. avoiding the identity element as an edge label) group-irregular labeling. The concept on nowhere-zero modular edge-gracefulness was already considered in [17]. The following open problem was stated in [6]. For any Abelian group \mathcal{G} , let $\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G} \setminus \{0\}$.

Problem 1.3 ([6]) *Let G be a simple graph with no components of order less than 3. Determine the nowhere-zero group irregularity strength ($s_g^*(G)$) of G , i.e., the smallest positive integer k such that for any Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order k , there exists a function $f: E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ such that the sum of edge labels at every vertex is distinct.*

Obviously $s_g(G) \leq s_g^*(G)$ and this equality is sharp, as the example of $K_{1,4n-1}$ shows [17]. In this paper we give a linear upper bound on these graph invariants, showing these are not greater than twice the order of given graph, see Corollary 2.2 below.

Not less intriguing problem than the concept of irregularity strength itself is its local version, where we require only distinction between weights at adjacent vertices. It is believed that just labels 1, 2, 3 are sufficient to achieve this for every graph without a component of order 2, see [1, 2, 19, 20, 27, 29] for results related to this well-known and widely studied so-called *1-2-3 Conjecture*, which remains open in general. Analogously, for any given Abelian group \mathcal{G} , by a vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of a given graph G we understand a labeling of its edges with elements of \mathcal{G} which induces a proper vertex coloring with the resulting sums at vertices. The smallest k admitting such a labeling for any group \mathcal{G} of order k is called the *group sum chromatic number* and denoted by $\chi_g^\Sigma(G)$. Anholcer and Cichacz in [7] proved that for arbitrary graph not having components of order less than 3, $\chi_g^\Sigma(G) \in \{\chi(G), \chi(G)+1\}$ and completely characterized the graphs for which $\chi_g^\Sigma(G) = \chi(G) + 1$. However, also in this case there was no restriction imposed on a possible usage of 0 as an edge label or as a weighted degree, and the following open problem was formulated there.

Problem 1.4 ([7]) *Let G be a simple graph with no components of order less than 3. Determine the nowhere-zero group sum chromatic number ($\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G)$) of G , i.e., the smallest positive integer k such that for any Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order k , there exists a function $f: E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ such that the resulting sums at the vertices properly color them.*

Obviously $\chi_g^\Sigma(G) \leq \chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G)$ and this equality is sharp. For instance, $\chi_g^\Sigma(C_{4n}) = 2$, as showed in [7], while one can easily see that $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(C_{4n}) > 2$.

In this article we provide an upper bound of twice the maximum degree for $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G)$, see Theorem 3.1 for more details. Moreover we prove a finite upper bound for trees, see Theorem 3.5, and more generally – for any family for graphs with bounded arboricity, in particular for planar graphs, see Subsection 3.3.

2 Nowhere-zero group irregularity strength

We first prove an upper bound for the nowhere-zero group irregularity strength of a graph G linear in terms of the order of G . We will moreover prove this result under the condition that the identity element of a given group \mathcal{G} cannot be induced as the weighted degree of any vertex of G – we call such a \mathcal{G} -labeling f of G *non-zero* (as the induced weighting function w_f cannot take value 0).

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be arbitrary graph of order n having no component of order less than 3. Let \mathcal{G} be arbitrary Abelian group of order at least $2n$. Then there exists a \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of G , such that no edge is labeled with 0 and no vertex has vertex degree equal to 0.*

Proof. The proof follows by induction in the number of edges.

Suppose first that G is a path P_3 with vertices, say, u, v and w and edges uv and uw . Let \mathcal{G} be arbitrary Abelian group of order at least $2|G| = 6$. Choose any element $a \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{0\}$ and set $f(uv) = a$. Now, choose any $b \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \{0, a, -a\}$ and set $f(uw) = b$. Both edge labels are different than 0, and so are the vertex weighted degrees, since $w(u) = a + b$, $w(v) = a$ and $w(w) = b$. It is also obvious that the weighted degrees are three distinct elements of \mathcal{G} . Note that the choice of such a and b is always possible if \mathcal{G} has at least 4 elements, and it is the case, since $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 6$.

Now let G be arbitrary graph of order n with at least 3 edges having no component of order less than 3 and let \mathcal{G} be any Abelian group of order at least $2n$. In the induction step we can assume that for every proper subgraph H of G having no component of order less than 3 and for every Abelian group \mathcal{G}' of order at least $2|H|$, there is a \mathcal{G}' -irregular labeling f_H of H in which no edge has label 0 and no vertex has weighted degree 0. In particular, there is such labeling of H with $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}$, since $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 2n \geq 2|H|$. We will extend f_H to the labeling f of G , having the same properties.

We choose H in one of the following ways. If there is a component $C \cong P_3$ of G , then $H = G - C$. Otherwise, if there is a component C and an edge $e \in E(C)$ not being a bridge in C , then $H = G - e$. Finally, if G is a forest with each component of order at least 4, then choose any leaf edge e of any component and let $H = G - e$.

Let us consider the first case. Assume that $G = H \cup H'$, where $V(H') = \{u, v, w\}$ and $E(H') = \{uv, uw\}$. Let f_H be a nowhere-zero and non-zero \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of H , existing by the induction hypothesis. Now let $f(e) = f_H(e)$ for $e \in E(H)$. Now choose any element of $a \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$a \neq 0$ and $a \neq w(x)$ for $x \in V(H)$ and set $f(uv) = a$. Such a can be chosen, as only $n - 3$ vertex weighted degrees have been assigned so far and $|\mathcal{G}| > n - 2$. Now choose $b \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $b \notin \{0, a, -a\}$, $b \neq w(x)$ for $x \in V(H)$ and $b \neq w(x) - a$ for $x \in V(H)$ and set $f(uw) = b$. The number of forbidden elements is equal to at most $3 + 2(n - 3) = 2n - 3 < |\mathcal{G}|$, so we can choose such b . Obviously, the two new edge labels are not 0 and neither are the three new weighted degrees $w(u) = a + b$, $w(v) = a$ and $w(w) = b$. Also, the three new weighted degrees are pairwise distinct and not equal to any $w(x)$, where $x \in V(H)$. Thus f is a nowhere-zero and non-zero \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of G .

In the second case, let $H = G - e$ and let f_H be a nowhere-zero and non-zero \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of H , guaranteed by the induction hypothesis. Now let $f(y) = f_H(y)$ for $y \in E(H)$. Let us denote the vertices incident with e in G by u and v . Choose an element $a \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $a \notin \{0, -w_H(u), -w_H(v)\}$, $a \neq w_H(x) - w_H(u)$ for $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$ and $a \neq w_H(x) - w_H(v)$ for $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$. Set $f(e) = a$. The number of forbidden values is at most $3 + 2(n - 2) = 2n - 1 < |\mathcal{G}|$, so we can always choose such a . Note that two adjusted weighted degrees remain distinct and because of the way that a was chosen, they are different than any weighted degree $w(x)$ for $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$. This means that f is a nowhere-zero and non-zero \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of G .

Finally, consider the third case. Assume that the ends of e are u and v , where u is the pendant vertex. Having a nowhere-zero and non-zero \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling f_H of H , we set $f(y) = f_H(y)$ for $y \in E(H)$. Then we choose $a \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $a \notin \{0, -w_H(v)\}$, $a \neq w_H(x)$ for $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$ and $a \neq w_H(x) - w_H(v)$ for $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$. There are at most $2 + 2(n - 2) = 2n - 2 < |\mathcal{G}|$ forbidden values, so we can choose such a . The adjusted weighted degree $w(v)$ and the new weighted degree $w(u)$ are distinct and different than any of the weighted degrees $w(x)$ for $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$, so also in this case f is a nowhere-zero and non-zero \mathcal{G} -irregular labeling of G . This completes the proof. \blacksquare

The following corollary immediately follows.

Corollary 2.2 *Let G be arbitrary graph of order n having no component of order less than 3. Then*

$$s_g(G) \leq s_g^*(G) \leq 2n.$$

Note that this significantly improves the result in Theorem 1.2.

3 Nowhere-zero group sum chromatic number

We will make use of the notion of the *coloring number* of a graph, introduced by Erdős and Hajnal in [11]. For a given graph G by $\text{col}(G)$ we denote its coloring number, that is the least integer k such that each subgraph of G has minimum degree less than k . Equivalently, it is the smallest k for which we may linearly order all vertices of G into a sequence v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n so that every vertex v_i has at most $k - 1$ neighbors preceding it in the sequence. Hence $\chi(G) \leq \text{col}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$. Note that $\text{col}(G)$ equals the degeneracy of G plus 1, and thus the result below may be formulated in terms of either of the two graph invariants.

3.1 General Upper Bound

In order to prove a general upper bound for the nowhere-zero group sum chromatic number we will need to consider the following more general setting.

Theorem 3.1 *For every graph $G = (V, E)$ of order at least 3, a set of marked vertices $M \subset V$ and an abelian group \mathcal{G} with $|\mathcal{G}| \geq \Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) - 1$, there exists a labeling $f: E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ so that $w(v) \neq 0$ for every $v \in M$ and $w(u) \neq w(v)$ for every edge $uv \in E$ such that $u, v \notin M$.*

Proof. Since we consider local distinguishing of vertices, it is enough to prove the result for connected graphs. It is straightforward to verify it in the case when G has 3 vertices. So we assume that $|V| \geq 4$ and prove the theorem by induction with respect to $|V|$.

Let \mathcal{G} be any abelian group with $|\mathcal{G}| \geq \Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) - 1$, and let M be any set of marked vertices in G . Suppose v is a vertex of minimum degree δ in G , and let $N_G(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\delta\}$ (note that $\delta \leq \text{col}(G) - 1$).

If $\delta = 1$, set $G' = G - v$. Then G' is connected, has order at least 3, and $\Delta(G') + \text{col}(G') - 1 \leq \Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) - 1$, so we may label G' with the elements of \mathcal{G}^* by induction (consistently with the thesis of the theorem) with the set of marked vertices $M' := M \cup \{v_1\} \setminus \{v\}$. In order to extend the obtained labeling $f_{G'}$ of G' to a labeling f of the whole G , we set $f(e) = f_{G'}(e)$ for every $e \in E \setminus \{v_1v\}$, and choose a label $f(v_1v) \in \mathcal{G}$ so that $f(v_1v) \neq 0$ and so that v_1 is sum distinguished from its (at most $\Delta(G) - 1 \geq 1$) neighbors other than v if $v_1 \notin M$ (since $v_1 \in M'$, it is guaranteed that $w(v) \neq w(v_1)$), or so that $w(v_1) \neq 0$ if $v_1 \in M$. We can do it, as $|\mathcal{G}| > \Delta(G)$. It is easy to verify that the obtained f fulfills our requirements (regardless of the fact whether v is in M or not).

We may thus assume that $\delta \geq 2$ (hence $\text{col}(G) \geq 3$). Then set $G' = G - \{v_1v, v_2v\}$, and let G_1, \dots, G_k be the components of G' (hence $k \leq 3$). Since v has minimum degree in G and $|V| \geq 4$, no component of G' has order 2. Therefore, similarly as above, by induction, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there exists a labeling $f_{G_i} : E(G_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ of G_i , consistent with the thesis of the theorem, with the set of marked vertices $M_i = M \cap V(G_i) \setminus \{v\}$.

We define $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ by first setting $f(e) = f_{G_i}(e)$ for every $e \in E(G_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then we choose a label $f(v_2v) \in \mathcal{G}$ so that $f(v_2v) \neq 0$ and $w(v) \neq w(v_1)$ (which cannot be influenced by a later choice of $f(vv_1)$, as this counts in the sums of both, v and v_1), and so that v_2 is sum distinguished from its (at most $\Delta(G) - 1 \geq 1$) neighbors other than v (and v_1 if $v_1v_2 \in E$) if $v_2 \notin M$, or so that $w(v_2) \neq 0$ if $v_2 \in M$. We can do it, as $|\mathcal{G}| \geq \Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) - 1 > 1 + 1 + (\Delta(G) - 1)$. Finally we choose a label $f(v_1v) \in \mathcal{G}$ so that $f(v_1v) \neq 0$ and so that v_1 is sum distinguished from its (at most $\Delta(G) - 1 \geq 1$) neighbors other than v if $v_1 \notin M$, or so that $w(v_1) \neq 0$ if $v_1 \in M$, and moreover so that v is sum distinguished from its (at most $\delta - 1 \geq \text{col}(G) - 2$) neighbors other than v_1 if $v \notin M$, or so that $w(v) \neq 0$ if $v \in M$. We can do it, as $|\mathcal{G}| > 1 + (\Delta(G) - 1) + (\text{col}(G) - 2)$. It straightforward to verify that the obtained f complies with our requirements. \blacksquare

Setting $M = \emptyset$, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2 *If G is a graph with no component of order less than 3, then $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) - 1 \leq 2\Delta(G)$.*

Taking into account that for every planar graph G we have $\text{col}(G) \leq 6$, we thus immediately obtain for instance the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *If G is a planar graph with no component of order less than 3, then $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 5$.*

Note also that if we additionally want to forbid zero sums at all vertices, then within the proof of Theorem 3.1 above, we obtain at most two additional constraints while choosing a label for a given edge (i.e. forbidden zero-sums at its ends). Consequently, by a straightforward adaptation of the proof above, we obtain the following.

Observation 3.4 *Let G be arbitrary graph of order n having no component of order less than 3. Let \mathcal{G} be arbitrary Abelian group of order at least $\Delta(G) + \text{col}(G) + 1$. Then there exists a vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of G such that no edge is labeled with 0 and no vertex has weighted degree equal to 0.*

3.2 Trees

Theorem 3.5 *Let T be arbitrary tree of order $n \geq 3$. Let \mathcal{G} be arbitrary Abelian group of order at least 4. Then there exists a vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of T such that no edge is labeled with 0.*

Proof. For $n = 3$ the thesis obviously holds, so we may assume that $n \geq 4$ and prove the theorem by induction with respect to n .

Let \mathcal{G} be an Abelian group with $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 4$. Root T at some leaf r , and let P be a maximal path in T starting at r . Let v be the second last (counting from r) vertex on this path; denote its degree by d . Then all sons of v are leaves – denote them by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-1} . Let u be the father of v in T .

Let $T' = T - \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-1}\}$. By induction there exists a \mathcal{G}^* -labeling $f_{T'}$ inducing distinct sums for the neighbours in T' , unless T' is an isolated edge – we color such edge with any non-zero label from \mathcal{G} then. We will extend $f_{T'}$ to a desired labeling f of the entire T .

Suppose first that there exists $c \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that $(d-2)c = 0$. Then we assign c to $vv_1, vv_2, \dots, vv_{d-2}$ (if there are any). Note that the obtained temporary sum at v is non-zero, hence in order to finish a labeling of T it is sufficient to choose a non-zero label $f(vv_{d-1})$ so that $w(v) \neq c$ and $w(v) \neq w(u)$ afterwards – this is always feasible, as $|\mathcal{G}| \geq 4$.

On the other hand, if we have $(d-2)c' \neq 0$ for every $c' \in \mathcal{G}^*$ (thus $d \geq 3$), then it is straightforward to notice that $(d-2)c' \neq (d-2)c''$ for every two distinct elements $c', c'' \in \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, there exists $c \in \mathcal{G}^*$ such that the temporary sum at v will be non-zero after setting $f(vv_1) = c, f(vv_2) = c, \dots, f(vv_{d-2}) = c$. Thus we may finalize the labeling of T by the same argument as above (i.e., by choosing non-zero $f(vv_{d-1})$ so that $w(v) \neq c$ and $w(v) \neq w(u)$). ■

Corollary 3.6 *If T is a tree of order $n \geq 3$, then $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq 4$.*

Note that the obtained bound for trees is tight, as not for every tree there exists a \mathcal{G}^* -labeling inducing distinct sums for the neighbours for $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. To see this consider *e.g.* a symmetric double star with 5 edges, i.e. a graph of maximum degree 3 which might be obtained from two separate stars $K_{1,3}$ by identifying one edge from the first one with any edge from the second one.

Analogously as in the previous subsection, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 above, we may obtain the following result if we additionally forbid zero sums at all vertices (this time, while choosing a

label for a given edge, we have merely at most one additional constraint – so that v receives a non-zero sum).

Observation 3.7 *Let T be arbitrary tree of order $n \geq 3$. Let \mathcal{G} be arbitrary Abelian group of order at least 5. Then there exists a vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of T , such that no edge is labeled with 0 and no vertex has weighted degree equal to 0.*

This is also tight. Indeed, observe that for $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ there does not exist a vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of $K_{1,3}$, such that no edge is labeled with 0 and no vertex has weighted degree equal to 0.

In the next subsection we in particular eventually show a finite upper bound for $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G)$ for the family of planar graphs, by providing a general upper bound for this graph invariant in terms of so-called arboricity of a graph. One of the key ingredients of the proof of this fact will be the results from this subsection on trees.

3.3 Graphs with Bounded Arboricity, Planar Graphs

By the *arboricity*, $a(G)$ of a graph G we mean the least number of forests into which we may decompose the set of edges of G , i.e., in other words, the least number of colors with which we can color the edges of G so that each color induces a forest in G , see [23].

Lemma 3.8 *Suppose G is a graph without isolated edges and isolated triangles. Then we may color the edges of G with $a(G)$ colors so that each color induces a forest without isolated edges.*

Proof. We may assume that G is connected and has at least 4 edges. Consider a coloring of the edges of G with $a(G)$ colors with the least number of monochromatic components being isolated edges, and suppose this number is positive. Let uv be an isolated edge colored, say, with color 1 (isolated means isolated in the forest colored with the same color).

We first observe that every other monochromatic component incident with u or v must contain exactly 2 edges – one incident with u and the other incident with v . In order to see this consider a monochromatic component T incident with u or v colored, say, with 2. Note that T must contain a path joining u with v , as otherwise we could recolor uv using color 2 (note that no monochromatic cycle would be created in such a case), and thus reduce the number of isolated edges in G , a contradiction. So suppose now that T has 1 or at least 3 edges. Then however, T cannot contain a pendant edge

e adjacent with uv , as otherwise we could recolor e coloring it with 1, and this way reduce the number of isolated edges in G . Consequently, T must have at least 6 edges, and thus we may recolor with 1 one of the edges of T adjacent with uv which lays on the path joining u with v in T , and this way obtain a contradiction with minimality of the number of isolated edges in monochromatic components of G .

Consequently, as G is connected and has at least 4 edges, uv must be incident with two monochromatic components of size two, each containing both u and v , colored differently, say a path $P_1 = uv$ colored with 2 and a path uyv colored with 3. Then however we may recolor vx using 3 and uv using 2, reducing the number of isolated edges, and thus obtaining a contradiction. ■

Corollary 3.9 *Let G be a graph of arboricity at most a containing no isolated edges. Let $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_a$ be an Abelian group being the product of Abelian groups \mathcal{G}_i such that $|\mathcal{G}_i| \geq 4$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$. Then there exists a vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of G such that no edge is labeled with 0.*

Proof. We may assume that G is connected. The theorem obviously holds if G is a triangle, so we may assume this is not the case. Consequently, by Lemma 3.8, the edges of G can be colored with colors $1, 2, \dots, a$ so that each color induces a forest without isolated edges – denote these forests by F_1, F_2, \dots, F_a , respectively. By Theorem 3.5, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ there exists a nowhere-zero vertex-coloring \mathcal{G}_i -labeling f_i of F_i , i.e. $f_i : E(F_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i$. We extend each of these to an edge labeling of G : $g_i : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i$ by setting $g_i(e) := f_i(e)$ if $e \in F_i$ or $g_i(e) = 0$ otherwise, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$. Now let us define $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_a$ by setting $f(e) = (g_1(e), g_2(e), \dots, g_a(e))$ for every $e \in E(G)$. To see that f is a nowhere-zero vertex-coloring \mathcal{G} -labeling of G , consider any edge $uv \in E(G)$. Obviously $uv \in E(F_j)$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$. Then $w_f(u) = (w_{g_1}(u), w_{g_2}(u), \dots, w_{g_a}(u))$ and $w_f(v) = (w_{g_1}(v), w_{g_2}(v), \dots, w_{g_a}(v))$, and hence $w(u) \neq w(v)$, as by the definition: $w_{g_j}(u) = w_{f_j}(u) \neq w_{f_j}(v) = w_{g_j}(v)$. ■

Corollary 3.10 *Let G be a graph of arboricity at most a containing no isolated edges and let $k(a)$ be the least positive integer such that each Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order k_a is isomorphic to some product $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_a$ of Abelian groups \mathcal{G}_i such that $|\mathcal{G}_i| \geq 4$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, a$. Then $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq k(a)$.*

The fundamental theorem of finite Abelian groups states that a finite Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order n can be expressed as the direct product of cyclic

subgroups of prime-power orders. This implies that

$$\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{\alpha_k}} \quad \text{where} \quad n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k are not necessarily distinct primes, see e.g. [13]. Recall also that for any positive integer i there exists a prime number between i and $2i$, see [26]. Therefore, for any fixed a , the value of $k(a)$ from Corollary 3.10 exists (is finite) and is e.g. upper bounded by $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_a$, where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_a are first consecutive (pairwise different) a prime numbers larger than 3.

Corollary 3.11 *Let G be a graph of arboricity at most a containing no isolated edges and let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_a be the first consecutive a prime numbers larger than 3. Then $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_a$.*

The upper bound from Corollary 3.11 above can be slightly improved via direct application of Corollary 3.10 and careful analysis of powers of 2 and 3. We exemplify this in the setting of planar graphs within the following proof. Recall the well-known result of Nash-Williams, [23], for a graph G :

$$a(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \left\lceil \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H) - 1|} \right\rceil. \quad (1)$$

Corollary 3.12 *For every planar graph G containing no isolated edges, $\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq 140$.*

Proof. As due to Euler's Formula, every planar graph with n vertices has at most $3n - 6$ edges, by (1), $a(G) \leq 3$. Let \mathcal{G} be an Abelian group with $|\mathcal{G}| = 140$. Note that $140 = 2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Thus one of the following must hold:

$$\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^2} \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{G} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_7.$$

By Corollary 3.10 we thus obtain the thesis. ■

4 Final remarks

We conclude the paper by posing the following conjectures.

Conjecture 4.1 *There exists a constant C such that for every graph G of order n having no component of order less than 3:*

$$s_g^*(G) \leq n + C.$$

Conjecture 4.2 *There exists a constant C such that for every graph G having no component of order less than 3:*

$$\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq \chi(G) + C.$$

In particular we state the following for planar graphs.

Conjecture 4.3 *If G is a planar graph with no component of order less than 3, then*

$$\chi_g^{\Sigma^*}(G) \leq 8.$$

References

- [1] L. Addario-Berry, K. Dalal, C. McDiarmid, B.A. Reed, A. Thomason, *Vertex-Colouring Edge-Weightings*, *Combinatorica* **27(1)** (2007) 1–12.
- [2] L. Addario-Berry, K. Dalal, B.A. Reed, *Degree Constrained Subgraphs*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **156(7)** (2008) 1168–1174.
- [3] M. Aigner and E. Triesch, *Irregular assignments of trees and forests*, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **3** (1990), 439–449.
- [4] D. Amar and O. Togni, *Irregularity strength of trees*, *Discrete Math.* **190** (1998), 15–38.
- [5] M. Anholcer, S. Cichacz and M. Milanič, *Group irregularity strength of connected graphs*, *J. Comb. Optim.* **30** (2015), 1–17.
- [6] M. Anholcer, S. Cichacz, *Group irregular labelings of disconnected graphs*, *Contributions to Discrete Math.* **12(2)** (2017) 158–166.
- [7] M. Anholcer, S. Cichacz, *Group Sum Chromatic Number of Graphs*, *European J. Combin.* **55** (2016), 73–81.
- [8] M. Anholcer, S. Cichacz, R. Jura, A. Marczyk, *Note on group irregularity strength of disconnected graphs*, *Open Math.* **16** (2018), 154–160
- [9] J. Bárát, C. Thomassen, *Claw-decompositions and Tutte-orientations*, *J. Graph Theory* **52** (2006), 135–146.
- [10] G. Chartrand, M.S. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O.R. Oellermann, S. Ruiz and F. Saba, *Irregular networks*, *Congr. Numer.* **64** (1988), 187–192.

- [11] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, *On chromatic number of graphs and set systems*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **17** (1966), 61–99.
- [12] M. Ferrara, R.J. Gould, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, *An iterative approach to graph irregularity strength*, Discrete Appl. Math. **158** (2010), 1189–1194.
- [13] J. Gallian, *Contemporary Abstract Algebra*, seventh ed., Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning, 2010.
- [14] F. Jaeger, N. Linial, C. Payan, M. Tarsi, *Group connectivity of graphs – A nonhomogeneous analogue of nowhere-zero flow properties*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **56(2)** (1992), 165–182.
- [15] R. Jones, *Modular and Graceful Edge Colorings of Graphs*, Ph.D. thesis, Western Michigan University, 2011, 158 pp.
- [16] F. Fujie-Okamoto, R. Jones, K. Kolasinski and P. Zhang, *On Modular Edge-Graceful Graphs*, Graphs Combin. **29** (2013), 901–912.
- [17] R. Jones and P. Zhang, *Nowhere-zero modular edge-graceful graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **32** (2012), 487–505.
- [18] M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński and F. Pfender, *A New Upper Bound for the irregularity strength of graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **25** (2011), 1319–1321.
- [19] M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński, F. Pfender, *Vertex-coloring edge-weightings: Towards the 1-2-3 conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **100** (2010) 347–349.
- [20] M. Karoński, T. Łuczak, A. Thomason, *Edge weights and vertex colours*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **91** (2004) 151–157.
- [21] J. Lehel, *Facts and quests on degree irregular assignments*, Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications, Wiley, New York, 1991, 765–782.
- [22] P. Majerski and J. Przybyło, *On the irregularity strength of dense graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **28(1)** (2014), 197–205.
- [23] C. St. J. Nash-Williams, *Decomposition of finite graphs into forests*, J. London Math. Soc., **39** (1964), 12.
- [24] T. Nierhoff, *A tight bound on the irregularity strength of graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **13(3)** (2000), 313–323.

- [25] P.D. Seymour, *Nowhere-zero 6-flows*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **30** (1981), 130–135.
- [26] P. Tchebychev, *Mémoire sur les nombres premiers*. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, Sér. 1 (1852), 366–390.
- [27] C. Thomassen, Y. Wu, C.-Q. Zhang, *The 3-flow conjecture, factors modulo k , and the 1–2–3-conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **121** (2016), 308–325.
- [28] O. Togni, *Force des graphes. Indice optique des réseaux*, Thèse présentée pour obtenir le grade de docteur, Université de Bordeaux 1, École doctorale de mathématiques et d’informatique (1998), 141 pp.
- [29] T. Wang, Q. Yu, *On vertex-coloring 13-edge-weighting*, Front. Math. China **3(4)** (2008) 581–587.