Complete long-term corrosion protection with chemical vapor deposited graphene

Feng Yu^{a,*}, Luca Camilli^a, Ting Wang^a, David M. A. Mackenzie^a, Michele Curioni^b, Robert Akid^b, Peter Bøggild^{a,*}

^a CNG – DTU Nanotech, Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, DK-2800, Denmark

^b School of Materials, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

ABSTRACT: Despite the numerous reports on the topic, examples of chemical vapor deposited (CVD) graphene-based anticorrosive coatings able to provide long-term protection (i.e., several months) of metals are still unavailable. Here, we finally present a polymer-graphene hybrid coating, comprising two single layers of CVD graphene sandwiched by three layers of polyvinyl butyral, that provides complete corrosion protection to commercial aluminum alloys even after 120 days of exposure to simulated seawater. The essential role played by graphene in the hybrid coating is evident when we compare the results from a polymer-only coating of the same thickness, which fails in protecting the metal after barely 30 days. With the emergence of commercially available large-area CVD graphene, our work demonstrates a straightforward approach towards high-performance anticorrosive coatings, which can be extended to other two-dimensional materials and polymers, for long-term protection of various relevant metals and alloys.

KEYWORDS: graphene, polymer, hybrid coating, long-term, corrosion protection

1. Introduction

The reliability and long-term durability of metal components is critical in many industrial sectors, such as aerospace, marine, transportation, construction, energy and manufacturing. Corrosion protection of metals is therefore vital to ensure useful component and system lifetimes, therein preventing economic loss, corrosion-induced catastrophic disasters and reducing negative impact on the environment. A well-established strategy for corrosion protection is to apply coatings on metal surfaces. Such anticorrosive coatings can consist of several layers, each with a specific function.[1, 2] Barrier layers, in particular, serve to separate metals from the environment. Graphene, being highly impermeable to gases[3] and chemically inert[4], has been considered a promising candidate as a physical barrier for corrosion protection,[5-9] following the seminal article by Ruoff's group.[4] While initial successes for graphene corrosion-inhibiting coatings have been reported, [4-7, 10] there are still several issues preventing the practical use of graphene in corrosion protection. For instance, high quality graphene is very challenging to grow directly on many commercially relevant metals and alloys (e.g., steel, Al and Mg alloys). Moreover, steels and other relevant alloys cannot in general withstand the high temperature needed for continuous graphene growth via CVD process. Alternatively, graphene coatings could be grown on a suitable growth substrate and subsequently transferred to a target metal surface. However, bare graphene coatings directly applied on metals show no or limited improvement in terms of corrosion protection[5, 11-13] due to weak adhesion of graphene on metals[14, 15], galvanic corrosion issues introduced by noble graphene [11, 12, 16, 17] and direct corrosion attack at sites where graphene

defects located.[18-20] Using a graphene composite coating, where graphene-based nano-fillers (e.g. graphene oxide or reduced graphene oxide) are dispersed in a coating matrix is an alternative strategy.[21-27] Here, the fillers provide a tortuous diffusion pathway for corrosive species, thus enhancing the overall barrier performance of the coating. However, it is generally difficult to control the stacking of graphene-based nano-fillers in the coating matrix, and their agglomeration can ultimately limit the barrier performance of the coating by allowing in fact more diffusion pathways through the matrix.

Here we report on hybrid anticorrosive coatings consisting of alternating CVD single layer graphene (SLGr) and polymer films. A systematic study performed on coatings with a different number and combination of layers allows us to understand the specific function of each layer comprising the hybrid coating and identify whether the behavior and performance of such hybrid coatings is critically dependent on its overall structure. In this study, a commercial aluminum alloy(AA) AA2024-T3, is the substrate of choice as it is used extensively in the aerospace industry, due to its excellent strength-to-weight ratio. [28] We have found that the best performance is provided by coatings made up of two graphene layers sandwiched by three polymer layers. More specifically, when AA is coated with a polymer/SLGr/polymer/SLGr/polymer coating, its impedance at 0.01 Hz remains at $10^9 \,\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ for up to 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Although several discouraging reports have previously questioned the suitability of graphene-based coatings for corrosion protection in practical, long-term applications, [11, 12] here, by combining the adhesive [29] and insulating properties of polymer layers with the impermeability of continuous CVD-grown graphene sheets, we demonstrate a facile and ultimately scalable method, which can be applied to any realistic metal substrate, to make coatings that exploit graphene's excellent barrier properties, while avoiding its intrinsic drawbacks, such as poor adhesion, galvanic corrosion and fast diffusion through defects.

2. Experimental

2.1. Growth of graphene and preparation of polymer-graphene hybrid films

A copper foil (25µm-thick, Part No. 13382, Alfa Aesar) was electrochemically polished in a solution with a volume ratio of 15% absolute ethanol (Millipore Corporation) and 85% concentrated phosphoric acid (Millipore Corporation) with magnetic stirring. A current density of ~0.04 A·cm⁻² was applied (Keithley 2400) on the copper foil for 3 minutes to reduce the surface roughness. The sample was then rinsed with deionized water and blow dried with nitrogen. The copper foil was loaded in a 4-inch graphite sample holder and thermal annealed in Ar (1000 sccm) at 1000 °C for 10 minutes at 25 mbar. The SLGr growth was carried out at atmospheric pressure for 15 minutes with a co-flow of Ar (900 sccm), H₂ (60 sccm) and CH₄ (2 sccm) in a commercial rapid thermal-CVD system (AS-ONE, Annealsys). After growth, samples were cooled down to room temperature at a rate of ~20 °C s⁻¹.

The polymer used to transfer graphene is a co-polymer of Polyvinyl butyral (PVB) (Mowital B 60 H, Kuraray Europe). The as-prepared graphene-covered copper foil was spin-coated with 12 wt% PVB ethanol solution at 1000 rpm for 1 minute and cured at 60 °C for 2 hours. The copper substrate was chemically etched in a mixed solution of 100 ml 5 wt% HCl (Millipore Corporation) and 3 ml 30 wt% H₂O₂ (Sigma-Aldrich). The polymer-graphene (P-G) films were then rinsed with deionized water. The preparation process of P-G film is illustrated in Fig. 1. The quality of as-grown and transferred graphene on SiO₂ or PVB was assessed by optical microscopy and Raman spectroscopy (Fig. S1-4, Supplementary Material).

2.2. Fabrication of polymer-graphene hybrid coatings on aluminum alloy

Firstly, AA (Wilsons metals, UK) was ultra-sonicated in acetone, de-smutted (1 minute at 60 °C) in 10 wt% NaOH (Sigma-Aldrich), etched (1 minute at 25 °C) in 50 wt% HNO₃ (Millipore Corporation), rinsed with deionized water and blow dried with N2 to remove surface oxides. Then 12 wt% PVB ethanol solution was spin coated (1000 rpm,1 minute) on AA and cured at 60 °C for 2 hours to coat the PVB primer on AA (AA-P). PVB is widely used for corrosion protection[28, 30] and is chosen here as it exhibits strong adhesion to the surface of AA.[29] The P-G film obtained as described above was then dried and transferred onto either AA or AA-P, using a polydimethylsiloxane (Dow Corning Corporation) stamp for the mechanical transfer. Thermal annealing was then applied at 100 °C for 10-15 minutes to prepare AA-P-G, AA-G-P and AA-P-G-P. Two layers of P-G film could also be transferred onto AA-P to prepare AA-P-G-P-G-P (or AA-P-P-G-G-P). Fig. 1 shows the fabrication procedures of these coatings. The reference samples for AA-P-G-P and AA-P-G-P-G-P (or AA-P-P-G-G-P) were prepared by transferring one or two layers of PVB, which were obtained after etching the PVB spin-coated copper, to AA-P and then thermal annealed. Such reference samples are denoted as AA-P-P and AA-P-P-P, respectively. Both the PVB primer directly spin-coated on AA and the PVB layer used for graphene transfer have a similar thickness, ranging between 4 µm and 5 µm (Fig. S6, Supplementary Material).



Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of preparation steps for the investigated polymer-graphene hybrid coatings on AA.

2.3. Electrochemical tests

All electrochemical measurements were conducted at room temperature in a custom-made three-electrode Teflon cell using the studied samples as the working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a platinum counter electrode, and 3.5 wt% NaCl solution (i.e., simulated seawater) as electrolyte. A Gamry Reference 3000 potentiostat and an ECM8 Electrochemical Multiplexer were used. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were recorded at a frequency range from 100,000 Hz to 0,01 Hz with 10 points per decade under ± 10 mV sinusoidal perturbation at the measured open circuit potential of the sample. Potentiodynamic scans (PDS) were performed with a scan rate of 1 mV/s starting from -500 mV (or -300 mV) to +500 mV (or +300 mV) vs OCP (or Ag/AgCl). Values of corrosion rate are calculated using Faradays' law from corrosion current density, which is obtained from Tafel analysis on PDS curves.

2.4. Additional characterization techniques

Optical images were collected using a Nikon Eclipse L200N optical microscope, whereas the SEM images were taken with a Quanta 200 FEG environmental scanning electron microscope. While optical images were collected on the samples without removing the coating, SEM images were obtained after all coatings were dissolved and removed with acetone. The Raman spectroscopy data were collected by a DXR Raman microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using 455 nm laser with 8 mW power and 50X objective. Peaks were determined via Matlab as described by Larsen et al.[31]

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electrochemical testing of the coating performance

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a powerful tool to evaluate the corrosion performance of coatings and provides insight into the corrosion behavior of coated metals as well as the barrier properties of anticorrosive coatings. A higher magnitude of impedance at low frequencies (e.g. $|Z|_{0.01Hz}$) is associated with increased anticorrosion performance, while a slow decrease of its value with elapsed time generally indicates high resistance to environmental degradation of the anticorrosive coatings.[32]

As the thickness of SLGr is negligible when compared to the thickness of the polymer layers, in our tests we consistently compare bare PVB layered reference coatings to their grapheneenhanced counterparts of nominally same thickness (for instance, we use AA-P-P as a reference for AA-P-G-P and so on).

Fig. 2a, b show respectively representative Bode and phase angle plots of both coated and uncoated samples after 1 day of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution (the complete set of data is provided in the Supplementary Material). The system AA-P-G-P, $|Z|_{0.01Hz}$ shows a value of 1.4×10^7 Ω ·cm², i.e., 500 times higher than uncoated AA (2.8×10⁴ Ω ·cm²) and 20 times higher than the polymer-coated sample (AA-P-P) used as reference $(8.0 \times 10^5 \ \Omega \cdot cm^2)$. This result proves the enhancement provided by a single graphene sheet (which is one-atom-thick) to the overall barrier properties of a $\sim 10 \,\mu m$ thick polymer coating at short term. When the top polymer layer is absent (AA-P-G), we observe that $|Z|_{0.01Hz}$ decreases by an order of magnitude with respect to AA-P-G-P. ($|Z|_{0.01Hz}=1.8\times10^6 \ \Omega \cdot cm^2$), but is still 2 times higher than that of AA-P-P. Here it is worth pointing out that just replacing the 5µm-thick PVB top-layer with a one-atom thick graphene sheet leads to slightly better short-term anticorrosion performance. When the polymer primer is absent (AA-G-P), the value of low-frequency impedance becomes lower than the bare AA $(|Z|_{0.01\text{Hz}}=2.5\times10^4 \,\Omega\cdot\text{cm}^2)$. The reduced performance of AA-G-P is attributed to galvanic coupling between the AA and graphene. This last result is in apparent disagreement with that reported by Yu et al.,[33] where a coating of CVD graphene covered with polymethyl methacrylate layer offered effective corrosion protection of a copper substrate. However, it is in agreement with recent findings[11, 12, 34] reporting that graphene layers directly in contact with metal substrates indeed accelerate the corrosion process due to the formation of a galvanic coupling between the noble and electron-conductive graphene sheet and the metal substrate. We therefore conclude that the insulating PVB, as it electrically separates graphene from the metal substrate, avoids the galvanic coupling.

Additionally, we test different coatings with two layers of graphene. An improvement of 50,000 times of the magnitude of $|Z|_{0.01\text{Hz}}$ with respect to uncoated AA is achieved by AA-P-G-P-

G-P ($1.4 \times 10^9 \ \Omega \cdot cm^2$) after 1 day of immersion. In contrast, the polymer-only reference sample of AA-P-P-P ($8.3 \times 10^7 \ \Omega \cdot cm^2$) showed only 3000 times improvement over that of uncoated AA after 1 day of immersion. Once again, the significant improvement to the overall barrier performance of the hybrid coating provided by graphene is demonstrated. EIS data recorded after short-term exposure to a corrosive agent may reflect the intrinsic barrier performance of coatings, but long-term tests are needed to evaluate the environmental degradation of the coating and explore its potential for real applications. Therefore, EIS tests are performed on AA, AA-P-G-P, AA-P-P-P, AA-P-G-P-G-P at 30 days (Fig. 2c, d) and on AA-P-G-P-G-P at 120 days (Fig. 2e, f).

After 30 days of immersion, the magnitude of $|Z|_{0.01Hz}$ for AA-P-G-P drops to 7% of the value (from $1.4 \times 10^7 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ to $9.2 \times 10^5 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$), while the value for AA-P-P-P drops to 3% (from $8.3 \times 10^7 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ to $2.4 \times 10^6 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$), suggesting that both coatings undergo severe degradation and therefore are not effective for long-term corrosion protection of AA. On the other hand, AA-P-G-P-G-P shows only a minimal decrease of the magnitude of $|Z|_{0.01Hz}$, which even after 120 days of immersion remains in the $10^9 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ range, indicating both excellent barrier performance and high resistance to environmental degradation.

We have also performed EIS measurements on some of our coatings applied to brass and steel. The data is reported in Supplementary Material (Fig. S13) and shows that our hybrid coating system can indeed provide effective protection when applied to other substrates as well. This clearly highlights the flexibility of the presented approach. A complete set of EIS data for all studied samples are presented in Supplementary Material (Fig. S8, S10, S12, S13, S15, S18). From the phase spectra reported in Fig. 2b, d and f, one can notice that an additional time constant (evident as a peak in the medium frequency range in the phase spectrum and a plateau in the impedance modulus spectrum) is observed for AA-P-G-P and AA-P-G. This time constant appears



Fig. 2. Bode plots and phase diagram after 1 day (a and b, respectively), 30 days (c and d), and 120 days (e and f) of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution of tested samples. Note legends for each plot are identical: AA (black square), AA-G-P (red x), AA-P-G (red +), AA-P-P (green triangle up), AA-P-G-P (green diamond), AA-P-P-P (blue triangle down) and AA-P-G-P-G-P (blue circle).

to be associated with the presence of a graphene layer within the film, and it is possibly related to the presence of the graphene-polymer interface. The specimens with three layers of polymer, even when they contain graphene layers, do not show such an additional time constant since they are considerably more resistive than the others and therefore the additional time constant cannot be resolved.

Although potentiodynamic scans (PDS) have intrinsic limitations when used on coated metals and should be used with caution in such cases, they have been carried out on all samples, as this technique has often been used to test corrosion inhibition performance of graphene-coated samples.[5, 7, 24, 25]

Representative PDS measurements for the samples AA, AA-P-P-P and AA-P-G-P-G-P after 1, 30 or 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution are displayed in Fig. 3, while the complete set of data (for the other samples as well) is provided in the Supplementary Material. When AA is covered by P-P-P coatings, it exhibits a corrosion rate after 1 day of immersion that is two orders of magnitude lower than bare AA (20 nm/year for AA-P-P-P vs. 4 μ m/year for bare AA). However, the corrosion rate of P-P-P coated AA increases by one order of magnitude after barely 30 days of immersion (0.3 μ m/year for AA-P-P-P at 30 days vs. 20 nm/year for AA-P-P-P at 1 day), indicating the degradation of P-P-P coating. On the other hand, the PDS curves for AA-P-G-P-G-P remain in the relative low current range with the corrosion rate consistently below 2 nm/year over 120 days of immersion, suggesting no degradation of the coating within the tested timeframe. Overall, the PDS measurements are in agreement with the results from the EIS experiments.



Fig. 3. Typical potentiodynamic scans for AA (black), AA-P-P-P (red) and AA-P-G-P-G-P (blue) after 1, 30 or 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution.

To better visualize our results, we plot the open circuit potential (OCP) and the corrosion current density vs low frequency impedance ($|Z|_{0.01Hz}$) for coated and uncoated samples in Fig. 4. Both OCP and impedance values are obtained from raw data of the electrochemical measurements, while corrosion current densities (corrosion rates) are obtained from PDS curves with Tafel analysis.

One realizes that we can cluster our data into three groups. Group 1 consists of AA and AA-G-P. Both samples have no polymer in direct contact with the AA (i.e., they do not have the polymer primer layer), and are characterized by small $|Z|_{0.01Hz}$, large corrosion current density and OCP in the range -600 mV to -800 mV.



Fig. 4. Grouping of all tested samples and summary of the results from electrochemical tests.

In Group 2 we have polymer-only coatings and hybrid coatings with only one graphene layer, that is AA-P-G, AA-P-P, AA-P-G-P and AA-P-P-P. Although they show some differences, their overall behavior is similar. In particular, they do offer some protection, but they cannot prevent the electrolyte from attacking the substrate, leading to OCP values comparable to that of uncoated sample. Furthermore, the arrows in this group are indicating the degradation of AA-P-G-P and AA-P-P-P from 1 day to 30 days (empty *vs* filled symbols, respectively).

Group 3 consists of AA-P-G-P-G-P, which shows a behavior that is completely different from the samples in Group 1 and 2. Indeed, the P-G-P-G-P coating shows excellent barrier properties, with an OCP value in the range 600 mV to 900 mV, approximately 1.5 V higher than that of AA. In addition, AA-P-G-P-G-P exhibits the highest $|Z|_{0.01\text{Hz}}$ values and lowest corrosion current density, which, most importantly, remain almost unchanged within the 120 day-long experiment.

We want to emphasize that AA-P-G-P-G-P is the first CVD graphene-based coating that maintains high-performance in terms of $|Z|_{0.01\text{Hz}}$, corrosion current density and OCP over 120 days or, in other words, it is the first successful long-term anticorrosive coating based on CVD graphene thus far developed.

3.2. Characterization of corrosion morphology

Furthermore, we characterized all samples using optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), in order to give additional information about the nature of corrosion. Notably, the corrosion morphologies of AA-P-G-P, AA-P-P-P after 30 days and AA-P-G-P-G-P after both 30 days and 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution are displayed in Fig. 5. Both optical and SEM images of AA-P-G-P and AA-P-P-P coatings after 30 days of immersion show heavy corrosion of

the two samples, in agreement with electrochemical measurements. In all reported examples, the areas shown represent the highest level of damage found on the sample.



Fig. 5. Corrosion morphology of bare polymer and polymer-graphene hybrid coatings, where areas with most damage have been selected when possible a) – d) Optical and e) – h) SEM images of a), e) AA-P-G-P, b), f) AA-P-P-P and c), g) AA-P-G-P-G-P after 30 days and d), h) AA-P-G-P-G-P after 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Scale bars in a) – d) are 500 μ m and e) – h) are 50 μ m.

A complete set of images for all the samples is reported in Supplementary Material. While AA covered with P-G-P coating shows very mild corrosion at 1 day of immersion (Fig. S11b, e), the situation changes dramatically after 30 days of immersion, as concentrated pitting corrosion at millimeter scale is clearly observed. The corrosion for the sample AA-P-P-P develops on a large scale after 30 days of immersion, as seen from the filiform corrosion in Fig. 5b, f. Filiform corrosion is also observed for the sample AA-P-P at 1 day of immersion (Fig. S11a, d). For AA- P-G-P-G-P coatings at 30 and 120 days of immersion, as presented in Fig. 5c, d, no visible corrosion can be observed from the optical images. Even from SEM examination, the surface of P-G-P-G-P coated AA after 30 and 120 days of immersion is homogeneous and smooth, similar to that of unexposed AA surface. In summary, the morphological investigation further confirms the limited protection performance of AA-P-P-P and AA-P-G-P samples for long-term exposure, while highlighting the excellent performance provided by AA-P-G-P.

3.3. Corrosion protection mechanism

Defect-free graphene is known to be impermeable to any molecule[3]. CVD graphene, however, naturally exhibits defects and tears through which molecules and other chemical species can easily pass through. When CVD graphene is applied to a metal substrate to protect it from environmental degradation, the corrosive and oxidizing agents will pass through graphene's defects and start the corrosion of the underlying substrate (Fig. 6a). Owing to graphene's high electrical conductivity, the corrosion started locally under graphene's defects and will eventually spread throughout the whole metal substrate.[11, 12] On the other hand, although they are insulating, thicker and may offer good adhesion to metal substrates, polymer anticorrosive coatings are not as impermeable as graphene, therefore they are finally bound to fail over time (Fig. 6b). Hence, adding a continuous single sheet of graphene to a polymer coating greatly enhances its barrier properties, and provides effective corrosion protection at short term. Yet, over time, the corrosive species absorbed by the topmost polymer film will pass through graphene defects and tears, diffuse through the bottom polymer layer and eventually reach the metal surface, thus initiating its degradation (Fig. 6c). On the other hand, diffusion of corrosive species can be dramatically limited and retarded by adding two SLGr sheets to a polymer coating. (Such coatings can have either P-G-P-G-P or P-P-G-G-P structure, see Supplementary Material) The resulting coating indeed provides outstanding protection of aircraft aluminum for as long as four months of immersion in simulated seawater (Fig. 6d).



Fig. 6. Schematic illustration of the corrosion protection mechanism of a) as-grown graphene (G),b) bare polymer (P), c) P-G-P and d) P-G-P-G-P coatings on metal substrate.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have prepared a polymer-graphene hybrid anticorrosive coating that optimally exploits the highly impermeable nature of graphene, and studied in detail the importance and function of both graphene as well as polymer layers within the hybrid coating. While a single layer CVD graphene between two polymer films (P-G-P) provides corrosion protection only for short-term (30 days), complete long-term (120 days) corrosion protection is achieved by sandwiching two single layers of CVD graphene between three polymer films (P-G-P-G-P or P-

P-G-G-P). It may be argued that our coatings are, in essence, polymer coatings, but the improvement of the graphene-containing coatings compared to the graphene-free variants, which were used as reference, highlights the substantial contribution provided by graphene, which turns out to make a crucial difference after 120 days of exposure to 3.5 wt% NaCl solution.

Finally, by reporting for the first time effective long-term (i.e., four months) protection of anticorrosive coatings based on CVD graphene, our findings may pave the way for the application of CVD graphene in the field of corrosion protection. In particular, since CVD graphene can be prepared via roll-to-roll processes, and roll-based lamination or processing has been demonstrated to work in practice,[35] we anticipate that this type of polymer-graphene hybrid could provide a high performance coating that can be applied as a dry foil to many different surfaces.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by The Strategic Danish Research collaboration (DSF) with the DA-GATE project (12-131827) and through the high technology foundation (HTF) with the NIAGRA project (058-2012-4), with additional support from the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Center for Nanostructured Graphene (DNRF103) and the EU Graphene Flagship (604391). L.C. acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 658327. This project has received funding from the EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under

Supplementary Material

Characterization of graphene quality and coating thickness, optical microscopy and SEM study of corrosion morphology, complete set of polarization curves and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of all tested samples, list of corrosion performance on reported results and this work. This material is available in the online version.

References

[1] R. Twite, G. Bierwagen, Review of alternatives to chromate for corrosion protection of aluminum aerospace alloys, Prog. Org. Coat. 33(2) (1998) 91-100.

[2] R.-G. Hu, S. Zhang, J.-F. Bu, C.-J. Lin, G.-L. Song, Recent progress in corrosion protection of magnesium alloys by organic coatings, Prog. Org. Coat. 73(2–3) (2012) 129-141.

[3] J.S. Bunch, S.S. Verbridge, J.S. Alden, A.M. Van Der Zande, J.M. Parpia, H.G. Craighead, et

al., Impermeable atomic membranes from graphene sheets, Nano Lett. 8(8) (2008) 2458-2462.

[4] S. Chen, L. Brown, M. Levendorf, W. Cai, S.-Y. Ju, J. Edgeworth, et al., Oxidation resistance of graphene-coated Cu and Cu/Ni alloy, ACS nano 5(2) (2011) 1321-1327.

[5] D. Prasai, J.C. Tuberquia, R.R. Harl, G.K. Jennings, K.I. Bolotin, Graphene: Corrosion-Inhibiting Coating, ACS Nano 6(2) (2012) 1102-1108.

[6] N. Kirkland, T. Schiller, N. Medhekar, N. Birbilis, Exploring graphene as a corrosion protection barrier, Corros. Sci. 56 (2012) 1-4.

[7] R.S. Raman, P.C. Banerjee, D.E. Lobo, H. Gullapalli, M. Sumandasa, A. Kumar, et al.,
Protecting copper from electrochemical degradation by graphene coating, Carbon 50(11) (2012)
4040-4045.

[8] A.C. Stoot, L. Camilli, S.-A. Spiegelhauer, F. Yu, P. Bøggild, Multilayer graphene for longterm corrosion protection of stainless steel bipolar plates for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell, J. Power Sources 293 (2015) 846-851.

[9] M.J. Nine, M.A. Cole, D.N. Tran, D. Losic, Graphene: a multipurpose material for protective coatings, J. Mater. Chem. A 3(24) (2015) 12580-12602.

[10] Y.-P. Hsieh, M. Hofmann, K.-W. Chang, J.G. Jhu, Y.-Y. Li, K.Y. Chen, et al., Complete corrosion inhibition through graphene defect passivation, ACS nano 8(1) (2013) 443-448.

[11] M. Schriver, W. Regan, W.J. Gannett, A.M. Zaniewski, M.F. Crommie, A. Zettl, Graphene as a long-term metal oxidation barrier: worse than nothing, ACS nano 7(7) (2013) 5763-5768.

[12] F. Zhou, Z. Li, G.J. Shenoy, L. Li, H. Liu, Enhanced room-temperature corrosion of copper in the presence of graphene, ACS nano 7(8) (2013) 6939-6947.

[13] X. Ye, F. Yu, M. Curioni, Z. Lin, H. Zhang, H. Zhu, et al., Corrosion resistance of graphene directly and locally grown on bulk nickel substrate by laser irradiation, RSC Adv 5(45) (2015) 35384-35390.

[14] T. Yoon, W.C. Shin, T.Y. Kim, J.H. Mun, T.-S. Kim, B.J. Cho, Direct Measurement of Adhesion Energy of Monolayer Graphene As-Grown on Copper and Its Application to Renewable Transfer Process, Nano Lett. 12(3) (2012) 1448-1452.

[15] S. Das, D. Lahiri, D.-Y. Lee, A. Agarwal, W. Choi, Measurements of the adhesion energy of graphene to metallic substrates, Carbon 59 (2013) 121-129.

[16] V. Mišković-Stanković, I. Jevremović, I. Jung, K. Rhee, Electrochemical study of corrosion behavior of graphene coatings on copper and aluminum in a chloride solution, Carbon 75 (2014) 335-344. [17] C. Cui, A.T.O. Lim, J. Huang, A cautionary note on graphene anti-corrosion coatings, Nat. Nanotechnol 12(9) (2017) 834-835.

[18] Y. Zhu, C. Duan, H. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Wang, Graphene coating for anti-corrosion and the investigation of failure mechanism, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 50(11) (2017) 114001.

[19] I. Wlasny, P. Dabrowski, M. Rogala, P. Kowalczyk, I. Pasternak, W. Strupinski, et al., Role of graphene defects in corrosion of graphene-coated Cu (111) surface, Appl. Phys. Lett. 102(11) (2013) 111601.

[20] Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, B. Wang, Z. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Sui, et al., Role of wrinkles in the corrosion of graphene domain-coated Cu surfaces, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104(14) (2014) 143110.
[21] Y.-H. Yu, Y.-Y. Lin, C.-H. Lin, C.-C. Chan, Y.-C. Huang, High-performance polystyrene/graphene-based nanocomposites with excellent anti-corrosion properties, Polym. Chem 5(2) (2014) 535-550.

[22] K.-C. Chang, W.-F. Ji, M.-C. Lai, Y.-R. Hsiao, C.-H. Hsu, T.-L. Chuang, et al., Synergistic effects of hydrophobicity and gas barrier properties on the anticorrosion property of PMMA nanocomposite coatings embedded with graphene nanosheets, Polym. Chem 5(3) (2014) 1049-1056.

[23] W. Sun, L. Wang, T. Wu, M. Wang, Z. Yang, Y. Pan, et al., Inhibiting the corrosionpromotion activity of graphene, Chem. Mater. 27(7) (2015) 2367-2373.

[24] K.S. Aneja, S. Bohm, A. Khanna, H.M. Bohm, Graphene based anticorrosive coatings for Cr (vi) replacement, Nanoscale 7(42) (2015) 17879-17888.

[25] K. Qi, Y. Sun, H. Duan, X. Guo, A corrosion-protective coating based on a solutionprocessable polymer-grafted graphene oxide nanocomposite, Corros. Sci. 98 (2015) 500-506. [26] B. Ramezanzadeh, S. Niroumandrad, A. Ahmadi, M. Mahdavian, M.M. Moghadam, Enhancement of barrier and corrosion protection performance of an epoxy coating through wet transfer of amino functionalized graphene oxide, Corros. Sci. 103 (2016) 283-304.

[27] J. Li, J. Cui, J. Yang, Y. Li, H. Qiu, J. Yang, Reinforcement of graphene and its derivatives on the anticorrosive properties of waterborne polyurethane coatings, Compos. Sci. Technol. 129 (2016) 30-37.

[28] F. Yu, R. Akid, Corrosion protection of AA2024-T3 alloy by modified hybrid titaniacontaining sol-gel coatings, Prog. Org. Coat. 102 (2017) 120-129.

[29] B.C. Rincon Troconis, G.S. Frankel, Effect of Roughness and Surface Topography onAdhesion of PVB to AA2024-T3 using the Blister Test, Surf. Coat. Technol. 236 (2013) 531-539.

[30] G. Williams, H.N. McMurray, Anion-exchange inhibition of filiform corrosion on organic coated AA2024-T3 aluminum alloy by hydrotalcite-like pigments, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.
6(3) (2003) B9-B11.

[31] M.B.B.S. Larsen, D.M.A. Mackenzie, J.M. Caridad, P. Bøggild, T.J. Booth, Transfer induced compressive strain in graphene: Evidence from Raman spectroscopic mapping, Microelectron. Eng. 121 (2014) 113-117.

[32] A. Amirudin, D. Thieny, Application of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to study the degradation of polymer-coated metals, Prog. Org. Coat. 26(1) (1995) 1-28.

[33] Y. Wang, Y. Zheng, X. Xu, E. Dubuisson, Q. Bao, J. Lu, et al., Electrochemical delamination of CVD-grown graphene film: toward the recyclable use of copper catalyst, ACS nano 5(12) (2011) 9927-9933.

[34] M. Galbiati, A.C. Stoot, D. Mackenzie, P. Bøggild, L. Camilli, Real-time oxide evolution of copper protected by graphene and boron nitride barriers, Scientific reports 7 (2017) 39770.
[35] S. Bae, H. Kim, Y. Lee, X. Xu, J.-S. Park, Y. Zheng, et al., Roll-to-roll production of 30-inch graphene films for transparent electrodes, Nat. Nanotechnol 5(8) (2010) 574-578.

Supplementary Material for

Complete long-term corrosion protection with chemical vapor deposited graphene

Feng Yu^{a,*}, Luca Camilli^a, Ting Wang^a, David M. A. Mackenzie^a, Michele Curioni^b, Robert Akid^b, Peter Bøggild^{a,*}

^a CNG – DTU Nanotech, Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, DK-2800, Denmark

^b School of Materials, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

*Address correspondence to fengy@nanotech.dtu.dk, Peter.Boggild@nanotech.dtu.dk.



As prepared

Oxidized at 220°C for 15mins

Fig. S1. Optical images of (a) fresh bare electrochemically polished copper (Cu), (b) Cu after oxidation in air at 200 °C for 15 minutes, (c) as prepared graphene covered copper (Cu-SLGr) and (d) Cu-SLGr after oxidation in air at 200 °C for 15 minutes.

After oxidation, bare Cu was severely oxidized to Cu_2O (red color in b), while SLGr covered Cu just showed minimal oxidation (microscale red dots in d), indicating both the excellent barrier properties of SLGr and its full coverage on Cu. Note that the horizontal trench lines are the rolling lines on Cu surface during the preparation of the foils and the intersecting lines in c, d are grain boundaries of Cu formed during the high temperature annealing process.



Fig. S2. Optical images of PVB transferred SLGr onto 90 nm thick SiO₂ wafer at (a) high, (b) medium and (c) low magnification.

CVD SLGr was transferred with PVB from a copper substrate to a SiO_2 wafer. However, a few micron sized pinholes (lighter areas in a, b, and c) were found on the transferred graphene layer, which may have originated from either the CVD growth process or the transfer process. Moreover, darker areas represent seeds of second or third graphene layers.



Fig. S3. Raman spectra of as-grown SLGr on copper (black), SLGr transferred onto SiO₂ (red), PVB supported SLGr with defects (green) and without defects (pink) and bare PVB (blue).

G (~1600 cm⁻¹) and 2D (~2750 cm⁻¹) peaks of graphene are presented regardless of the supporting substrate of copper, SiO₂ or PVB. However, defects from graphene are observed when supported on PVB or transferred onto SiO₂, as seen from the D peak (~1375cm⁻¹). The peaks between 2800 and 3000 cm⁻¹ and between 1430 and 1450 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to PVB.



Fig. S4. Raman spectroscopic maps of the (a) I(2D)/I(G) and (b) I(D)/I(G) peak ratios of PVB supported graphene films on SiO₂ substrate. Statistical distribution of (c) I(2D)/I(G) and (d) I(D)/I(G) peak ratios.

The graphene/PVB layer is directly transferred to SiO₂ with the graphene layer facing up and then subject to thermal annealing. Micro-Raman spectroscopy study is directly carried out on the graphene layer in a representative 168 μ m×102 μ m area (a, b). The mapping is conducted with a step size of 3 μ m using a 455 nm laser. Graphene is successfully transferred to PVB layer with a coverage of ~99%. The transferred graphene layer can be defective, as seen from the dark points in (b), with 8.1% spectra having a value of I(D)/I(G) higher than 0.5 (d). Moreover, we have demonstrated, for the first time, that the co-polymer PVB can be used as a graphene transfer support layer.



Fig. S5. Raman spectra of the samples after corrosion tests for AA-P (blue), AA-P-G (green), AA-P-G-P (red), AA-P-G-P-G-P (pink) and AA-P-P-G-G-P (cyan), respectively. Vertical dashed lines are used to highlight the characteristic D, G and 2D peaks for graphene.



Fig. S6. Cross-section SEM images of (a) spin coated PVB primer on AA substrate and (b) two PVB layers transferred on a PVB primer coated AA substrate. When the PVB layer is either directly spin coated on AA or spin coated on copper and then transferred onto AA, it has a thickness of 4.5 ± 0.5 µm.



Fig. S7. (a,b,c) Optical images and (d,e,f) SEM images of (a,d) fresh AA, (b,e) AA at 1 day and (c,f) 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Red dashed line in (c) is to highlight the edge of the O-ring of the corrosion cell. Scale bars are 500 µm in (a,b,c) and 50 µm in (d,e,f).

Localised corrosion or pitting corrosion can be clearly observed on AA after 1 day of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl from (b) and (e). After long-term immersion for 30 days, AA is heavily corroded with corrosion products fully covered on its surface, as seen from (c) and (f).



Fig. S8. (a,c) Potentiodynamic polarization curves and (b,d) electrochemical impedance spectra of uncoated AA after (a,b) 1 day and (c,d) 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. For impedance spectra, circles and triangles are data for impedance module and phase angle, respectively.

Additionally, we have observed that results from both measurements for AA show no significant difference between 30 and 60 days of immersion, suggesting that the corrosion current density and impedance of AA are not significantly changed after 30 days of immersion.



Fig. S9. (a,b) Optical images and (c,d) SEM images of (a,c) AA-P-G and (b,d) AA-G-P after 1 day of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Inset image in (a) presents an optical image of AA-P sample after 1 day of immersion. Scale bars are 500 µm in (a,b) and 50 µm in (c,d).

Localized corrosion can be clearly observed on the AA-P-G sample, as seen from (a, c). However, we notice that AA-P reference sample showed a greater number of dark pits, over a larger area, after 1 day of immersion (see inset of a), while AA-P-G sample corroded in only at a few local spots. This suggest that graphene layer on PVB can provide enhanced barrier performance.

Comparable or accelerated corrosion is observed for AA-G-P sample (c, d) respect to bare AA after 1 day of immersion, indicating that no benefit on corrosion protection is afforded by graphene when it was in direct contact with the AA surface, due to galvanic corrosion and poor adhesion.



Fig. S10. (a,c) Potentiodynamic polarization curves and (b,d) electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of (a,b) AA-P-G and (c,d) AA-G-P after 1 day of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. For impedance spectra, circles and triangles are data for impedance module and phase angle, respectively.



Fig. S11. (a,b,c) Optical images and (d,e,f) SEM images of (a,d) AA-P-P after 1 day and (b,e) AA-P-G-P after 1 day and (c,f) 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. The inset of (b) is a high magnification image of red dashed line highlighted region and insets of (d) and (f) are another typical morphology from other area of the same sample. Scale bars are 500 μ m in (a,b,c) and 50 μ m in (d,e,f).

System AA-P-P also showed heavy corrosion attack, notably, induced local pitting, as seen from the dark area in (a). SEM observation revealed that a high degree of corrosion developed on AA-P-P, as seen from (d) and the inset image. Much less corrosion attack was observed for AA-P-G-P (b,e) compared with AA-P-P after 1 day of immersion. However, AA-P-G-P showed severe localised corrosion after 30 days of immersion (c,f), where pitting corrosion developed at local defective sites in the graphene. This suggests that AA-P-G-P could offer some effective corrosion protection for AA at short-term immersion of 1 day but not for long-term immersion after 30 days.



Fig. S12. (a,c,e) Potentiodynamic polarization curves and (b,d,f) electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of (a,b) AA-P-P at 1 day, AA-P-G-P at (c,d) 1 day and (e,f) 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. For impedance spectra, circles and triangles are data for impedance module and phase angle, respectively.



Fig. S13. (a,b) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and (c,d) potentiodynamic polarization curves of bare polymer (P-P) and polymer-graphene-polymer (P-G-P) coating on (a,b) carbon steel and (c,d) brass at different days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Note that these are representive plots of the three reproducible samples.

From both measurements, Metal-P-G-P coatings provide better corrosion protection than Metal-P-P reference coatings for both steel (CXD-2.76.5.90-K, Q-LAB) and brass (Cu63/Zn37, GoodFellow) at 1 day of immersion. However, after 7 days of immersion, there is no significant difference between the performance of P-P and P-G-P coatings when applied on steel. On the other hand, the P-G-P coatings provide better protection than bare P-P ones even after 7 days of immersion when applied on brass.



Fig. S14. (a,b,c) Optical images and (d,e,f) SEM images of (a,d) AA-P-P-P, (b,e) AA-P-G-P-G-P and (c,f) AA-P-G-G-P after 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. The inset of (d) is a lower magnification image of the same sample. Scale bars are 500 μ m in (a,b,c) and 50 μ m in (d,e,f). The scale bar in the Inset in (d) is 500 μ m.

At 30 days of immersion, bare polymer layer coated AA (AA-P-P-P) showed severe filiform corrosion with heavy corrosion attack at wide range spread across the whole surface, indicating that bare polymer layer could not provide effective corrosion protection for AA after 30 days of immersion. However, when two layers of graphene are sandwiched between three layers of polymer, for both AA-P-G-P-G-P and AA-P-P-G-G-P, after 30 days of immersion, no sign of corrosion was observed from both optical and SEM images.



Fig. S15. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of (a,b) AA-P-P-P, (c,d) AA-P-G-P-G-P and (e,f) AA-P-G-G-G-G-P after (a,c,e) 1 day and (b,d,f) 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. For impedance spectra, circles and triangles are data for impedance module and phase angle, respectively.



Fig. S16. Potentiodynamic polarization curves of (a,b) AA-P-P-P, (c,d) AA-P-G-P-G-P and (e,f) AA-P-P-G-G-G-G-P after (a,c,e) 1 day and (b,d,f) 30 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution.



Fig. S17. (a,b) Optical images and (c,d) SEM images of (a,c) AA-P-G-P-G-P and (b,d) AA-P-P-G-P after 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Scale bars are 500 μm in (a,b) and 50 μm in (c,d).

No visible signs of corrosion on AA could be observed from both optical and SEM images for the two samples after 120 days of immersion.



Fig. S18. (a,c) Potentiodynamic polarization curves and (b,d) electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of (a,b) AA-P-G-P-G-P and (c,d) AA-P-P-G-G-G-P after 120 days of immersion in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. For impedance spectra, circles and triangles are data for impedance module and phase angle, respectively.

Sample	Open circuit potential OCP (mV vs Ag/AgCl)				Low frequency impedance Z _{0.01Hz} (MOhms cm ²)			
	1	2	3	average	1	2	3	average
AA 1d	-623	-706	-697	-675	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
AA 30d	-732	-722	-738	-731	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
AA-G-P 1d	-817	-825	-810	-817	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02
AA-P-G 1d	-591	-672	-693	-652	1.82	1.67	1.75	1.75
AA-P-P 1d	-820	-780	-797	-799	0.74	0.85	0.80	0.80
AA-P-G-P 1d	-635	-621	-657	-638	13.8	14.5	12.3	13.5
AA-P-G-P 30d	-751	-797	-732	-760	0.92	0.29	1.08	0.76
AA-P-P-P 1d	-750	-486	-501	-579	39	40	83	54
AA-P-P-P 30d	-787	-758	-747	-764	1.5	2.3	3.9	2.6
AA-P-G-P-G-P 1d	870	694	618	727	1364	1505	1077	1315
AA-P-G-P-G-P 30d	731	660	771	721	1319	1318	1408	1348
AA-P-G-P-G-P 120d	587	727	676	663	952	1709	1240	1300
AA-P-P-G-G-P 1d	746	487	686	640	2551	1830	2998	2460
AA-P-P-G-G-P 30d	230	448	405	361	1720	1408	1521	1550
AA-P-P-G-G-P 120d	401	204	337	314	1928	888	1073	1296

Table S1. Open circuit potential (OCP) and low frequency impedance $(|Z|_{0.01Hz})$ of all samples in this work after immersion times in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Values in this table are individual and average values of three samples listed with their corresponding electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.

Sample	Corrosion potential E _{corr} (mV vs Ag/AgCI)				Corrosion current density i _{corr} (nA cm ⁻²)				Corrosion rate CR (μm/year)
	1	2	3	average	1	2	3	average	average
AA 1d	-567	-567	-576	-570	425	390	319	378	4
AA 30d	-1100	-1100	-1110	-1103	17700	19800	18200	18567	203
AA-G-P 1d	-936	-889	-953	-926	651	1020	837	836	9
AA-P-G 1d	-723	-762	-674	-720	38	75	35	49	0.54
AA-P-P 1d	-802	-799	-821	-807	52	195	206	151	1.6
AA-P-G-P 1d	-619	-564	-627	-603	0.39	0.53	0.34	0.42	0.005
AA-P-G-P 30d	-894	-912	-800	-869	124	182	12	106	1.2
AA-P-P-P 1d	-755	-736	-551	-680	1.3	1.9	3.2	2.1	0.02
AA-P-P-P 30d	-823	-808	-798	-810	15	43	22	27	0.29
AA-P-G-P-G-P 1d	-9	-219	-59	-96	0.08	0.27	0.09	0.15	0.0016
AA-P-G-P-G-P 30d	18	-158	-12	-51	0.13	0.04	0.28	0.15	0.0016
AA-P-G-P-G-P 120d	412	120	191	241	0.29	0.02	0.12	0.14	0.0016
AA-P-P-G-G-P 1d	-47	-115	32	-43	0.23	0.22	0.11	0.19	0.0020
AA-P-P-G-G-P 30d	-55	-36	26	-22	0.29	0.16	0.22	0.22	0.0024
AA-P-P-G-G-P 120d	371	234	461	355	0.01	0.15	0.32	0.16	0.0017

Table S2. Corrosion potential (E_{corr}), corrosion current density (i_{corr}) and calculated corrosion rate (CR) of all samples in this work after different days of immersion in 3.5% NaCl solution. Note that values in the table are individual and average values of three samples listed with their corresponding polarization curves. Corrosion rate are calculated following Faraday's law through equation of CR= $i_{corr}*K*E_w/d$, where i_{corr} is corrosion current density (A/cm²), K is corrosion constant (K=3272 mm A⁻¹ cm⁻¹ year⁻¹), E_w is equivalent weight in (9g for Al), d is density (2.7g/cm³ for Al).

Reference	Metal substrate	al substrate Coating system		Electrolyte Immersion time		Relative corrosion impedance improvement (Z _{coated} / Z _{uncoated})
[1]	Cu	CVD Gr	$0.1 \text{ MNa}_2 \text{SO}_4$	few hours	7	4
[2]	Cu	CVD Gr	0.1 M NaCl	1 hour	50	40
[3]	Cu	CVD Gr + ALD Al ₂ O ₃	$0.1 \text{ MNa}_2 \text{SO}_4$	3 hours	100	500
[4]	Ni	Thermal annealing grown Gr	0.1 M NaCl	few hours	7	2
[5]	Ni-Fe alloy	Laser irradiation grown Gr	0.6 M NaCl	1 hour	9	7
[6]	Cu	CVD Gr	0.1 M NaCl	few hours	10	2
[7]	Cu	Electrochemically deposited graphene	3.5 wt% NaCl	1 hour	18	3
[8]	Cu	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	few hours	11	3
[9]	NdFeB	Electrochemically deposited graphene	3.5 wt% NaCl	few hours	2	10
[10]	Steel	Electrochemically deposited Ni/graphene	3.5 wt% NaCl	5 mins	2	2
[11]	Al alloy	Spin coated graphene	3.5 wt% NaCl	few hours	2800	10
[12]	Steel	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	30 mins	210	370
[13]	Steel	Nanocasted epoxy/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	few hours	70	3300
[14]	Steel	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	30 mins	200	300
[15]	Cu	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	100 hours	140	10
[16]	Steel	Electrochemically deposited graphene	3.5 wt% NaCl	30 mins	2	3
[17]	Zn	Electrochemically deposited graphene	3.5 wt% NaCl	1 hour	130	3
[18]	Fe	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	24 hours	100	80
[19]	Steel	Epoxy/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	96 hours	120	10
[20]	Steel	Silane/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	few hours	2000	3000
[21]	AI	Dip coated graphene	0.5 M NaCl	30 mins	1200	200
[22]	Cu	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	30 mins	110	1400
[23]	Fe	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	24 hours	15	10
[24]	Steel	Ceramic/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	5 hours	500	50
[25]	Steel	Polymer/graphene composites	0.5 wt% NaCl	1 hour	35	150
[26]	Al alloy	Silane/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	30 mins	580	320
[27]	Al alloy	Silane/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	2 hours	10	6
[28]	Steel	Chitosan/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	6 hours	20	2000
[29]	Mg alloy	Silane/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	80 mins	80	15
This work	Al alloy	Polymer/graphene composites	3.5 wt% NaCl	30 days	127000	67000

Table S3. Comparison of corrosion protection performance between previously reported graphene based anticorrosive coatings[1-29] and this work. Relative corrosion rate (CR) improvement is calculated from the ratio $CR_{(uncoated)}/CR_{(coated)}$. Relative corrosion impedance (|Z|) improvement is calculated based upon the ratio $|Z|_{(coated)}/|Z|_{(uncoated)}$ at 0.01 Hz.

References

[1] D. Prasai, J.C. Tuberquia, R.R. Harl, G.K. Jennings, K.I. Bolotin, Graphene: corrosioninhibiting coating, ACS nano 6(2) (2012) 1102-1108.

[2] R.S. Raman, P.C. Banerjee, D.E. Lobo, H. Gullapalli, M. Sumandasa, A. Kumar, et al., Protecting copper from electrochemical degradation by graphene coating, Carbon 50(11) (2012) 4040-4045.

[3] Y.-P. Hsieh, M. Hofmann, K.-W. Chang, J.G. Jhu, Y.-Y. Li, K.Y. Chen, et al., Complete corrosion inhibition through graphene defect passivation, ACS nano 8(1) (2013) 443-448.
[4] U. Mogera, N. Kurra, D. Radhakrishnan, C. Narayana, G.U. Kulkarni, Low cost, rapid synthesis of graphene on Ni: An efficient barrier for corrosion and thermal oxidation, Carbon 78 (2014) 384-391.

[5] X. Ye, Z. Lin, H. Zhang, H. Zhu, Z. Liu, M. Zhong, Protecting carbon steel from corrosion by laser in situ grown graphene films, Carbon 94 (2015) 326-334.

[6] L. Shen, Y. Zhao, Y. Wang, R. Song, Q. Yao, S. Chen, et al., A long-term corrosion barrier with an insulating boron nitride monolayer, J. Mater. Chem. A 4(14) (2016) 5044-5050.

[7] S.C. Sahu, A.K. Samantara, M. Seth, S. Parwaiz, B.P. Singh, P.C. Rath, et al., A facile electrochemical approach for development of highly corrosion protective coatings using graphene nanosheets, Electrochem. Commun. 32 (2013) 22-26.

[8] B.P. Singh, B.K. Jena, S. Bhattacharjee, L. Besra, Development of oxidation and corrosion resistance hydrophobic graphene oxide-polymer composite coating on copper, Surf. Coat. Technol. 232 (2013) 475-481.

[9] W. He, L. Zhu, H. Chen, H. Nan, W. Li, H. Liu, et al., Electrophoretic deposition of graphene oxide as a corrosion inhibitor for sintered NdFeB, Appl. Surf. Sci. 279 (2013) 416-423.

[10] C.P. Kumar, T. Venkatesha, R. Shabadi, Preparation and corrosion behavior of Ni and Ni– graphene composite coatings, Mater. Res. Bull. 48(4) (2013) 1477-1483.

[11] Y. Liu, J. Zhang, S. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Han, L. Ren, Fabrication of a superhydrophobic graphene surface with excellent mechanical abrasion and corrosion resistance on an aluminum alloy substrate, RSC Adv 4(85) (2014) 45389-45396.

[12] Y.-H. Yu, Y.-Y. Lin, C.-H. Lin, C.-C. Chan, Y.-C. Huang, High-performance polystyrene/graphene-based nanocomposites with excellent anti-corrosion properties, Polym. Chem 5(2) (2014) 535-550.

[13] K.-C. Chang, M.-H. Hsu, H.-I. Lu, M.-C. Lai, P.-J. Liu, C.-H. Hsu, et al., Room-temperature cured hydrophobic epoxy/graphene composites as corrosion inhibitor for cold-rolled steel, Carbon 66 (2014) 144-153.

[14] K.-C. Chang, W.-F. Ji, M.-C. Lai, Y.-R. Hsiao, C.-H. Hsu, T.-L. Chuang, et al., Synergistic effects of hydrophobicity and gas barrier properties on the anticorrosion property of PMMA nanocomposite coatings embedded with graphene nanosheets, Polym. Chem 5(3) (2014) 1049-1056.

[15] K. Qi, Y. Sun, H. Duan, X. Guo, A corrosion-protective coating based on a solution-processable polymer-grafted graphene oxide nanocomposite, Corros. Sci. 98 (2015) 500-506.
[16] M. Bagherzadeh, Z.S. Ghahfarokhi, E.G. Yazdi, Electrochemical and surface evaluation of the anti-corrosion properties of reduced graphene oxide, RSC Adv 6(26) (2016) 22007-22015.

[17] R. Li, J. Liang, Y. Hou, Q. Chu, Enhanced corrosion performance of Zn coating by incorporating graphene oxide electrodeposited from deep eutectic solvent, RSC Adv 5(75) (2015) 60698-60707.

[18] M. Mo, W. Zhao, Z. Chen, Q. Yu, Z. Zeng, X. Wu, et al., Excellent tribological and anticorrosion performance of polyurethane composite coatings reinforced with functionalized graphene and graphene oxide nanosheets, RSC Adv 5(70) (2015) 56486-56497.

[19] L. Gu, S. Liu, H. Zhao, H. Yu, Facile Preparation of Water-Dispersible Graphene Sheets Stabilized by Carboxylated Oligoanilines and Their Anticorrosion Coatings, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7(32) (2015) 17641-17648.

[20] K.S. Aneja, S. Bohm, A. Khanna, H.M. Bohm, Graphene based anticorrosive coatings for Cr (vi) replacement, Nanoscale 7(42) (2015) 17879-17888.

[21] J. Liu, L. Hua, S. Li, M. Yu, Graphene dip coatings: An effective anticorrosion barrier on aluminum, Appl. Surf. Sci. 327 (2015) 241-245.

[22] H. Alhumade, A. Abdala, A. Yu, A. Elkamel, L. Simon, Corrosion inhibition of copper in sodium chloride solution using polyetherimide/graphene composites, Can. J. Chem. Eng (2016).
[23] M. Mo, W. Zhao, Z. Chen, E. Liu, Q. Xue, Corrosion inhibition of functional graphene reinforced polyurethane nanocomposite coatings with regular textures, RSC Adv 6(10) (2016) 7780-7790.

[24] J. Mondal, A. Marques, L. Aarik, J. Kozlova, A. Simões, V. Sammelselg, Development of a thin ceramic-graphene nanolaminate coating for corrosion protection of stainless steel, Corros. Sci. 105 (2016) 161-169.

[25] Y. Jafari, S.M. Ghoreishi, M. Shabani-Nooshabadi, Electrochemical deposition and characterization of polyaniline-graphene nanocomposite films and its corrosion protection properties, J. Polym. Res 23(5) (2016) 1-13.

[26] H. Zhu, L. Yue, C. Zhuang, Y. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Yin, et al., Fabrication and characterization of self-assembled graphene oxide/silane coatings for corrosion resistance, Surf. Coat. Technol. 304 (2016) 76-84.

[27] A. Ahmadi, B. Ramezanzadeh, M. Mahdavian, Hybrid silane coating reinforced with silanized graphene oxide nanosheets with improved corrosion protective performance, RSC Adv (2016).

[28] E.M. Fayyad, K.K. Sadasivuni, D. Ponnamma, M.A. Al-Maadeed, Oleic acid-grafted chitosan/graphene oxide composite coating for corrosion protection of carbon steel, Carbohydr. Polym. (2016).

[29] A.B. Ikhe, A.B. Kale, J. Jeong, M.J. Reece, S.-H. Choi, M. Pyo, Perfluorinated polysiloxane hybridized with graphene oxide for corrosion inhibition of AZ31 magnesium alloy, Corros. Sci. (2016).