

# REMARKS ON ONE-COMPONENT INNER FUNCTIONS

ATTE RELJONEN

ABSTRACT. A one-component inner function  $\Theta$  is an inner function whose level set

$$\Omega_{\Theta}(\varepsilon) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\Theta(z)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is connected for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . We give a sufficient condition for a Blaschke product with zeros in a Stolz domain to be a one-component inner function. Moreover, a sufficient condition is obtained in the case of atomic singular inner functions.

We study also derivatives of one-component inner functions in the Hardy and Bergman spaces. For instance, it is shown that, for  $0 < p < \infty$ , the derivative of a one-component inner function  $\Theta$  is a member of the Hardy space  $H^p$  if and only if  $\Theta''$  belongs to the Bergman space  $A_{p-1}^p$ , or equivalently  $\Theta' \in A_{p-1}^{2p}$ .

## 1. EXAMPLES OF ONE-COMPONENT INNER FUNCTIONS

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the open unit disc of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . A bounded and analytic function in  $\mathbb{D}$  is an inner function if it has unimodular radial limits almost everywhere on the boundary  $\mathbb{T}$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ . In this note, we study so-called one-component inner functions [14], which are inner functions  $\Theta$  whose level set

$$\Omega_{\Theta}(\varepsilon) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\Theta(z)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is connected for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . In particular, Blaschke products in this class are of interest. For a given sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying  $\sum_n (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$ , the Blaschke product with zeros  $\{z_n\}$  is defined by

$$B(z) = \prod_n \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Here each zero  $z_n$  is repeated according to its multiplicity. In addition, we assume that  $\{z_n\}$  is ordered by non-decreasing moduli.

Recently several authors have studied one-component inner functions in the context of model spaces and operator theory; see for instance [6, 8, 9, 10]. In addition, A. B. Aleksandrov's paper [5], which contains several characterizations for one-component inner functions, is worth mentioning. These references do not offer any concrete examples of infinite one-component Blaschke products. In recent paper [13] by J. Cima and R. Mortini, one can find some examples. However, all one-component Blaschke products constructed in [13] have some heavy restrictions. Roughly speaking, zeros of all of them are at least uniformly separated. Recall that  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  is called uniformly separated if

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{k \neq n} \left| \frac{z_k - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_k z_n} \right| > 0.$$

As a concrete example, we mention that the Blaschke product with zeros  $z_n = 1 - 2^{-n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a one-component inner function [13]. In addition, it is a well-known fact that every finite Blaschke product is a one-component inner function.

For  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $C > 0$ , we define

$$R(\gamma, \xi, C) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |1 - \bar{\xi}z|^\gamma \leq C(1 - |z|)\}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 30J05; Secondary: 30H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Bergman space, Blaschke product, Hardy space, one-component inner function, singular inner function.

This research was supported by Finnish Cultural Foundation.

The region  $R(1, \xi, C)$  is a Stolz domain with vertex at  $\xi$ . Note that in the case  $\gamma = 1$  we have to assume  $C > 1$ . For  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $R(\gamma, \xi, C)$  is a tangential approaching region in  $\mathbb{D}$ , which touches  $\mathbb{T}$  at  $\xi$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{R}_\gamma$  the family of all Blaschke products whose zeros lie in some  $R(\gamma, C, \xi)$  with a fixed  $\gamma$ . References related to  $\mathcal{R}_\gamma$  are for instance [4, 11, 21]. With these preparations we are ready to state our first main result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B$  be a member of  $\mathcal{R}_1$  with zeros  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{|z_j| > |z_n|} (1 - |z_j|)}{1 - |z_n|} > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

*then  $B$  is a one-component inner function.*

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain the affirmative answer to the following question posed in [13]: Is the Blaschke product  $B$  with zeros  $z_n = 1 - n^{-2}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a one-component inner function? Some other examples of one-component inner functions are listed below. All of these examples can be verified by using the fact that condition (1.1) is valid if  $\{z_n\}$  is ordered by strictly increasing moduli and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - |z_{n+1}|}{1 - |z_n|} > 0.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $B$  be a Blaschke product with zeros

- (a)  $z_n = 1 - n^{-\alpha}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , or
- (b)  $z_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n(\log n)^\alpha}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , or
- (c)  $z_n = 1 - \alpha^{-n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $B$  is a one-component inner function.

A Blaschke product  $B$  is said to be thin if its zeros  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |z_n|^2) |B'(z_n)| = 1.$$

We interpret that finite Blaschke products are not thin. By [13, Corollary 21], any thin Blaschke product is not a one-component inner function. Using this fact and [12, Proposition 4.3(i)], we can give an example which shows that condition (1.1) in Theorem 1 is essential.

**Example 3.** Let  $B$  be the Blaschke product with zeros  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  ordered by strictly increasing moduli and satisfying

$$\frac{1 - |w_{n+1}|}{1 - |w_n|} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, by [12, Proposition 4.3(i)],  $B$  is a thin Blaschke product (with uniformly separated zeros). Consequently, for instance, the Blaschke product with zeros  $z_n = 1 - 2^{-2^n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is not a one-component inner function. Note that zeros  $\{z_n\}$  lie in  $R(1, 1, C)$  for every  $C > 1$  but they do not satisfy (1.1).

Let us recall a classical result of O. Frostman [17]: The Blaschke product  $B$  with zeros  $\{z_n\}$  has a unimodular radial limit at  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$  if and only if

$$\sum_n \frac{1 - |z_n|}{|\xi - z_n|} < \infty. \quad (1.2)$$

A Blaschke product is called a Frostman Blaschke product if it has a unimodular radial limit at every point on  $\mathbb{T}$ . It is a well-known fact that an infinite Frostman Blaschke product cannot be a one-component inner function; see for instance [5, Theorem 1.11] or Theorem A in Section 2. Using this fact, we show that any  $\mathcal{R}_\gamma$  with  $\gamma > 1$  contains a member which is not a one-component inner function but its zeros  $\{z_n\}$  satisfy (1.1). This means that the hypothesis  $B \in \mathcal{R}_1$  in Theorem 1 is essential.

**Example 4.** Fix  $\gamma > 1$  and choose  $\alpha = \alpha(\gamma) > 1$  such that  $\alpha > \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ . Let  $\{z_n\}$  be such that

$$|z_n| = 1 - n^{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad |1 - z_n| = n^{-\alpha/\gamma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  is a subset of  $R(\gamma, 1, 1)$ , all points of  $\{z_n\}$  lie in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $\{z_n\}$  satisfies the Blaschke condition  $\sum_n (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$  and (1.1) in Theorem 1. Hence the Blaschke product with zeros  $\{z_n\}$  is well-defined. Furthermore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|}{|1 - z_n|} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha/\gamma - \alpha} < \infty;$$

and thus, the Blaschke product  $B$  has a unimodular radial limit at 1 by Frostman's result. Since condition (1.2) is trivially valid for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $B$  is an infinite Frostman Blaschke product. Consequently, it is not a one-component inner function.

Recall that a singular inner function takes the form

$$S_{\sigma}(z) = \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{z + \xi}{z - \xi} d\sigma(\xi) \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $\sigma$  is a positive measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure. If the measure  $\sigma$  is atomic, then this definition reduces to the form

$$S(z) = \exp \left( \sum_n \gamma_n \frac{z + e^{i\theta_n}}{z - e^{i\theta_n}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi)$  are distinct points and  $\gamma_n > 0$  satisfy  $\sum_n \gamma_n < \infty$ . These functions are known as atomic singular inner functions associated with  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$ .

An atomic singular inner function associated with a measure having only finitely many mass points is a one-component inner function; see [13, Corollary 17]. In the literature, one cannot find any example of a one-component singular inner function associated with a measure having infinitely many mass points. However, the following result gives a way to construct such functions.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $S$  be the atomic singular inner function associated with  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Moreover, assume that the following conditions are valid:*

- (i)  $\theta_0 = 0$ ,  $\{\theta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, 1)$  is strictly decreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = 0$ .
- (ii) There exists a constant  $C = C(S) > 0$  such that  $|\theta_{n-1} - \theta_{n+1}| \leq C\gamma_n^2$  for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Then  $S$  is a one-component inner function.*

Next we give a concrete example of a one-component singular inner function. This example is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.

**Example 6.** Let  $\theta_0 = 0$ ,  $\theta_n = 2^{-n}$ ,  $\gamma_0 = 1$  and  $\gamma_n = n^{-2}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the atomic singular inner function  $S$  associated with  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a one-component inner function.

The remainder of this note is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 consist of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 5, respectively. In Section 4, we study one-component inner functions whose derivatives belong to the Hardy or Bergman spaces. In particular, we give partial improvements for [1, Theorem 6.2] and [19, Theorem 3.10].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We begin by stating a modification of [5, Theorem 1.11], which is due to [5, p. 2915, Remark 2]. This result offers two practical characterizations for one-component inner functions and plays an important role in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 5. Before it we recall that the spectrum  $\rho(\Theta)$  of an inner function  $\Theta$  is the set of all point on  $\mathbb{T}$  in which  $\Theta$  does not have an analytic continuation. It is a well-known fact that the spectrum of a Blaschke product consists of the accumulation points of zeros. By [18, Chapter 2, Theorem 6.2], the spectrum of a singular inner function  $S_{\sigma}$  is the closed support of the associated measure  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\Theta$  be an inner function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Theta$  is a one component inner function.
- (b) There exists a constant  $C = C(\Theta) > 0$  such that

$$|\Theta''(\zeta)| \leq C|\Theta'(\zeta)|^2, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(\Theta), \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |\Theta(r\xi)| < 1, \quad \xi \in \rho(\Theta). \quad (2.2)$$

- (c) There exists a constant  $C = C(\Theta) > 0$  such that (2.1) holds, the Lebesgue measure of  $\rho(\Theta)$  is zero and  $\Theta'$  is not bounded on any arc  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(\Theta)$  with  $\bar{\Gamma} \cap \rho(\Theta) \neq \emptyset$ .

Write  $f \lesssim g$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $f \leq Cg$ , while  $f \gtrsim g$  is understood in an analogous manner. If  $f \lesssim g$  and  $f \gtrsim g$ , then the notation  $f \asymp g$  is used. With these preparations we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* If  $B$  is an arbitrary Blaschke product with zeros  $\{z_n\}$ , then

$$\frac{B'(z)}{B(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_n|^2 - 1}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)(z_n - z)} \quad \text{and} \quad |B(z)| \leq \frac{|z_n - z|}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|}.$$

Using these estimates, one can easily verify

$$|B''(z)| \leq \frac{|B'(z)|^2}{|B(z)|} + 2|B(z)|^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|^3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular,

$$|B''(\zeta)| \leq |B'(\zeta)|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_n \zeta|^3} \asymp |B'(\zeta)|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|z_n - \zeta|^3}$$

for every  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(B)$ . Using [4, Theorem 2], we deduce that (2.1) (with  $\Theta = B$ ) is valid if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|z_n - \zeta|^3} \lesssim \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|z_n - \zeta|^2} \right)^2 \quad (2.3)$$

holds for every  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(B)$ .

Assume without loss of generality that zeros  $\{z_n\}$  of  $B$  lie in a Stolz domain  $R(1, 1, C)$ , and remind that  $\{z_n\}$  is ordered by non-decreasing moduli. Then  $\rho(B) = \{1\}$ , and the functions  $f$  and  $g$ , defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x < 1, \\ \min_{n \leq x} (1 - |z_n|), & 1 \leq x < \infty, \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(\theta) = \inf\{x : f(x) \leq \theta\}, \quad 0 < \theta \leq 1,$$

are non-increasing. Since  $f(w) = 1 - |z_n|$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \leq w < n + 1$ , it is clear that  $g : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $f(x) > \theta$  for  $x < g(\theta)$ , and  $f(x) \leq \theta$  for  $x \geq g(\theta)$ . Write  $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ , and assume without loss of generality that  $\theta > 0$  is close enough to zero. Using [4, Lemma 3]

together with some standard estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|z_n - \zeta|^3} \right)^{1/2} &\asymp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{||z_n| - \zeta|^3} \right)^{1/2} \asymp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|}{[(1 - |z_n|)^2 + \theta^2]^{3/2}} \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{n < g(\theta)} f(n)^{-2} + \theta^{-3} \sum_{n \geq g(\theta)} f(n) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{n < g(\theta)} f(n)^{-2} \right)^{1/2} + \theta^{-3/2} \left( \sum_{n \geq g(\theta)} f(n) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sum_{n < g(\theta)} f(n)^{-1} + \theta^{-3/2} \left( \sum_{n \geq g(\theta)} f(n) \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Applying hypothesis (1.1) and the above-mentioned properties of  $f$  and  $g$ , we find  $C = C(B) > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \geq g(\theta)} f(n) \geq C f(g(\theta) - 1) \geq C\theta.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|z_n - \zeta|^2} &\asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_n|}{(1 - |z_n|)^2 + \theta^2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n < g(\theta)} f(n)^{-1} + \frac{\theta^{-2}}{2} \sum_{n \geq g(\theta)} f(n) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n < g(\theta)} f(n)^{-1} + \frac{\sqrt{C}\theta^{-3/2}}{2} \left( \sum_{n \geq g(\theta)} f(n) \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Using estimates (2.4) and (2.5), it is easy to see that condition (2.3) is valid for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ . Consequently,  $B$  satisfies (2.1).

Let  $B_0$  be the Blaschke product with zeros  $\{|z_n|\}$ . It is obvious that  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |B_0(r)| = 0$ . Hence, by the deduction above, it is clear that  $B_0$  satisfies condition (b) in Theorem A, and thus also the other conditions are valid. Since  $B_0$  satisfies (c) in Theorem A, also  $B$  satisfies it. This is due to [4, Lemma 3], which asserts that  $|B'(\xi)| \asymp |B_0'(\xi)|$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ . Hence  $B$  is a one-component inner function by Theorem A. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

Let us prove Theorem 5.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Due to hypothesis (i), the set of mass points  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is closed. Consequently, the spectrum  $\rho(S)$  consists of points  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Hence, by [18, Chapter 2, Theorem 6.2], we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |S(r\xi)| = 0, \quad \xi \in \rho(S).$$

This means that  $S$  satisfies condition (2.2) (with  $\Theta = S$ ) in Theorem A. Consequently, it suffices to show that  $S$  fulfills also (2.1).

By a straightforward calculation, one can check that

$$S''(z) = 4 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n e^{i\theta_n}}{(z - e^{i\theta_n})^3} + \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_m e^{i\theta_m}}{(z - e^{i\theta_m})^2} \right)^2 \right) \exp \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_k \frac{z + e^{i\theta_k}}{z - e^{i\theta_k}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since

$$|S'(\zeta)| = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\zeta - e^{i\theta_n}|^2}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(S),$$

by [4, Theorem 2], we obtain

$$|S''(\zeta)| \leq 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\zeta - e^{i\theta_n}|^3} + |S'(\zeta)|^2, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(S).$$

Consequently, it suffices to show

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\zeta - e^{i\theta_n}|^3} \lesssim \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\zeta - e^{i\theta_n}|^2} \right)^2, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(S). \quad (3.1)$$

Assume without loss of generality that  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(S)$  is close enough to one, and write  $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ . Choose  $j = j(\theta, S) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $|\theta - \theta_j|$  is as small as possible. Then standard estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\zeta - e^{i\theta_n}|^3} \right)^{1/2} &\asymp \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\theta - \theta_n|^3} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq |\theta - \theta_j|^{-3/2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n \right)^{1/2} \asymp |\theta - \theta_j|^{-3/2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\zeta - e^{i\theta_n}|^2} \asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{|\theta - \theta_n|^2} \geq \frac{\gamma_j}{|\theta - \theta_j|^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

If  $\theta < 0$ , then  $j = 0$ ; and hence, (3.1) is a direct consequence of (3.2) and (3.3). Let  $\theta > 0$ . By hypothesis (i), we have  $\theta_{j+1} < \theta < \theta_{j-1}$ , where  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  is large enough. Consequently, hypothesis (ii) gives

$$\frac{\gamma_j}{|\theta - \theta_j|^2} \geq \frac{\gamma_j}{|\theta - \theta_j|^{3/2} |\theta_{j-1} - \theta_{j+1}|^{1/2}} \gtrsim |\theta - \theta_j|^{-3/2}.$$

According to this estimate, (3.1) is a consequence of (3.2) and (3.3). Finally the assertion follows from Theorem A.  $\square$

#### 4. DERIVATIVES OF ONE-COMPONENT INNER FUNCTIONS IN FUNCTIONS SPACES

We begin by fixing the notation. Let  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of all analytic functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$ , the Hardy space  $H^p$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty, \quad \text{where} \quad M_p^p(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta.$$

For  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , the Bergman space  $A_\alpha^p$  consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|)^\alpha dA(z) < \infty,$$

where  $dA(z) = dx dy$  is the Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

By [23, Theorem 5] and [28, Lemma 1.4], we have

$$\{f : f' \in A_{p-1}^p\} \subset H^p, \quad 0 < p \leq 2. \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$H^p \subset \{f : f' \in A_{p-1}^p\}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

It is clear that  $\{f : f' \in A_1^2\} = H^2$ , while otherwise the inclusions are strict. For instance, an example showing the strictness of inclusions (4.1) and (4.2) can be given by using gap series;

see details in [7]. Nevertheless, we have the following result, which is essentially a consequence of [1, Theorem 6.2] and [19, Theorem 3.10].

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\frac{1}{2} < p < \infty$  and  $\Theta$  be an inner function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Theta' \in H^p$ ,
- (b)  $\Theta' \in A_{p-1}^{2p}$ ,
- (c)  $\Theta'' \in A_{p-1}^p$ .

Before the proof of Theorem 7, we note that, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , we have  $M_p(r, f^{(n)}) \asymp M_p(r, D^n f)$  with comparison constants independent of  $r$  [16]. Here  $D^n$  is the fractional derivative of order  $n$ . This fact is exploited when we apply some results in the literature.

*Proof.* The equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) is a consequence of [19, Theorem 3.10]. For  $\frac{1}{2} < p < 1$ , the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) can be verified, for instance, using [1, Theorem 6.2] together with [26, Corollary 7]. It is a well-known fact the only inner functions whose derivative belongs to  $H^p$  for some  $p \geq 1$  are finite Blaschke products. Using this fact together with [22, Theorem 7(c)] and the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c), it is easy to deduce that an inner function  $\Theta$  is a finite Blaschke product if it satisfies any of conditions (a)–(c) for some  $p \geq 1$ . In addition, it is clear that every finite Blaschke product  $\Theta$  satisfies conditions (a)–(c) for all  $p > 0$ . Finally the assertion follows by combining the above-mentioned facts.  $\square$

By [3, Lemma 2], there exists a Blaschke product  $B$  such that  $B' \in A_{-1/2}^1 \setminus H^{1/2}$ . This means that, for  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ , condition (b) in Theorem 7 does not always imply (a). Nevertheless, it is an open question whether the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) is valid also for  $0 < p \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . This question was earlier posed in [27]. The next result shows that the statement of Theorem 7 is valid for all  $p > 0$  if  $\Theta$  is a one-component inner function. Consequently, we obtain a partial answer to the question.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\Theta$  be a one-component inner function. Then conditions (a)–(c) in Theorem 7 are equivalent.*

Next we recall [5, Theorem 1.9], which consists of a strengthened Schwarz-Pick lemma for one-component inner functions. This result plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 8.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Theta$  be a one-component inner function. Then there exists  $C = C(n, \Theta) > 0$  such that*

$$|\Theta^{(n)}(z)| \leq C \left( \frac{1 - |\Theta(z)|}{1 - |z|} \right)^n \quad (4.3)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

For the proof of Theorem 8, we need also a generalization of [1, Theorem 6.1].

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $\Theta$  be an inner function. Then there exists  $C = C(p, \alpha) > 0$  such that*

$$\int_0^1 |\Theta'(re^{i\theta})|^{p+\alpha+1} (1-r)^\alpha dr \leq C |\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|^p, \quad e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(\Theta).$$

In particular,  $\|\Theta'\|_{A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}}^{p+\alpha+1} \leq 2\pi C \|\Theta'\|_{H^p}^p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(\Theta)$ . By [1, Lemma 6.1], we know that  $|\Theta'(re^{i\theta})| \leq 4|\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|$  for all  $r \in [0, 1)$ . Using this fact together with the Schwarz-Pick lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |\Theta'(re^{i\theta})|^{p+\alpha+1} (1-r)^\alpha dr &\leq \int_0^x (1-r)^{-p-1} dr + (4|\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|)^{p+\alpha+1} \int_x^1 (1-r)^\alpha dr \\ &\lesssim (1-x)^{-p} - 1 + (1-x)^{\alpha+1} |\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|^{p+\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Now it suffices to show that

$$(1-x)^{-p} - 1 + (1-x)^{\alpha+1} |\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|^{p+\alpha+1} \lesssim |\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|^p \quad (4.4)$$

for some  $x$ . If  $|\Theta'(e^{i\theta})| \leq 1$ , then this true for  $x = 0$ . In the case where  $|\Theta'(e^{i\theta})| > 1$ , the choice  $x = 1 - 1/|\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|$  implies (4.4). Since the last assertion is a direct consequence of the first assertion, Hardy's convexity and the mean convergence theorem [15], the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 8.

*Proof of Theorem 8.* By Theorem 7, we may assume  $0 < p < 1$  (or even  $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ). Using Theorem B with  $n = 2$ , [2, Theorem 6] and Lemma 9 with  $\alpha = p - 1$ , we obtain

$$\|\Theta''\|_{A_{p-1}^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |\Theta(z)|}{1 - |z|} \right)^{2p} (1 - |z|)^{p-1} dA(z) \asymp \|\Theta'\|_{A_{p-1}^{2p}}^{2p} \lesssim \|\Theta'\|_{H^p}^p. \quad (4.5)$$

The assertion follows from (4.1) and (4.5).  $\square$

By the proof of Theorem 8, it is obvious that conditions (a)–(c) in Theorem 7 are equivalent also if  $p > 0$  and  $\Theta$  is an inner function satisfying (4.3) with  $n = 2$ . Note that, using this observation and keeping mind the discussion after the proof of Theorem 7, we can find an inner function such that (4.3) with  $n = 2$  is not valid for any  $C > 0$ .

It is a well-known fact that, for  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , the Bergman space  $A_\alpha^p$  coincides with  $\{f : f' \in A_{\alpha+p}^p\}$  [16]. Using this result, it is easy to generalize condition (c) in Theorem 8 to the form  $\Theta^{(n)} \in A_{p(n-1)-1}^p$  for any/every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . For  $0 < p < 1$ , we can show this also by modifying the proof of Theorem 8; and as a substitute of this process we obtain an alternative version of Theorem 8.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\Theta$  be a one-component inner function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\Theta' \in H^p$ ,
- (b)  $\Theta' \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}$  for some  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$ ,
- (c)  $\Theta' \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}$  for every  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* By the proof of Theorem 7, we know that, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\Theta$  satisfies any/all of conditions (a)–(c) if and only if it is a finite Blaschke product. Hence we may assume  $0 < p < 1$ . Moreover, let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Then [16, Theorem 3], Theorem B, [2, Theorem 6], the Schwarz-Pick lemma and Lemma 9 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta'\|_{H^p}^p &\lesssim \|\Theta^{(n)}\|_{A_{p(n-1)-1}^p}^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{1 - |\Theta(z)|}{1 - |z|} \right)^{np} (1 - |z|)^{p(n-1)-1} dA(z) \\ &\asymp \|\Theta'\|_{A_{p(n-1)-1}^{np}}^{np} \leq \|\Theta'\|_{A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}}^{p+\alpha+1} \lesssim \|\Theta'\|_{H^p}^p \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

when  $p + \alpha + 1 \leq np$ . Since we may choose  $n = n(p, \alpha)$  such that  $n \geq (p + \alpha + 1)/p$ , the assertion follows from (4.6).  $\square$

Note that, applying Theorem 10 and [27, Theorem 3], we can give several characterizations for one-component inner functions  $\Theta$  whose derivative belongs to  $H^p$  for some  $p \in (0, 1)$ . By [27, Corollary 4], these characterizations for  $p \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  are valid even if  $\Theta$  would be an arbitrary inner function. Next we show a counterpart of Theorem 10 for all members of  $\mathcal{R}_1$ .

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $B \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $B' \in H^p$ ,
- (b)  $B' \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}$  for some  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$ ,
- (c)  $B' \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}$  for every  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$ .



*Proof.* Assume without loss of generality that  $0 < p < 1$  and zeros  $\{w_n\}$  of  $B$  lie in a Stolz domain  $R(1, 1, C)$ . Let  $B_0$  be the Blaschke product with zeros  $x_n = 1 - 2^{-n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $\Theta = BB_0$  and  $\{z_n\} = \{w_n\} \cup \{x_n\}$ , where  $\{z_n\}$  is ordered by non-decreasing moduli. Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_{k_n}| \leq |z_n| < |x_{k_n+1}|.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\sum_{|z_j| > |z_n|} (1 - |z_j|)}{1 - |z_n|} \geq \frac{1 - |x_{k_n+1}|}{1 - |x_{k_n}|} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Consequently,  $\Theta$  is a one-component inner function by Theorem 1.

Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . By [4, Theorem 5] and a simple modification of [25, Corollary 2.5] based on [2, Theorem 6], we know that

$$\Theta' \in H^p \Leftrightarrow B' \in H^p \quad \text{and} \quad B'_0 \in H^p$$

and

$$\Theta' \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1} \Leftrightarrow B' \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1} \quad \text{and} \quad B'_0 \in A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}.$$

In addition, [4, Theorem 7] and Lemma 9 imply  $B'_0 \in H^p \cap A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}$ . Finally, the assertion follows by using Theorem 10 together with the above-mentioned facts.  $\square$

Since the derivative of an arbitrary  $B \in \mathcal{R}_1$  belongs to  $H^p \cap A_\alpha^{p+\alpha+1}$  for every  $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$  by [20, Theorem 2.3] and Lemma 9, the statement of Corollary 11 for  $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$  does not come as a surprise. However, the case  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  is interesting because it is not easy to find an alternative way to prove this result.

Recall that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$  belongs to the Nevanlinna class  $\mathcal{N}$  if

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta < \infty,$$

where  $\log^+ 0 = 0$  and  $\log^+ x = \max\{0, \log x\}$  for  $0 < x < \infty$ . As a consequence of Theorem B, we can also give sufficient conditions for higher order derivatives of one-component inner functions to be in the Hardy space  $H^p$  or Nevanlinna class  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Theta$  be a one-component inner function. Then the following statements are valid:*

- (a) *If  $\Theta' \in H^p$ , then  $\Theta^{(n)} \in H^{p/n}$ .*
- (b) *If  $\Theta' \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\Theta^{(n)} \in \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* As a consequence of Theorem B [5], we find  $C = C(n, \Theta)$  such that

$$|\Theta^{(n)}(\xi)| \leq C |\Theta'(\xi)|^n, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \rho(\Theta). \quad (4.7)$$

Since the spectrum  $\rho(\Theta)$  has a Lebesgue measure zero, inequality (4.7), Hardy's convexity and the mean convergence theorem yield

$$\|\Theta^{(n)}\|_{H^{p/n}}^{p/n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Theta^{(n)}(e^{i\theta})|^{p/n} d\theta \lesssim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Theta'(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta = \|\Theta'\|_{H^p}^p.$$

Hence assertion (a) is proved. Since case (b) can be verified in a similar manner, the proof is complete.  $\square$

We close this note with two results regarding certain one-component singular inner functions.

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $S$  be the one-component atomic singular inner function associated with  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\} \in l^{1/2}$ . Then  $S$  satisfies any/all of conditions (a)–(c) in Theorem 7 if and only if  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* By [26, Theorem 3], for  $\frac{1}{4} \leq p < \infty$ , the derivative of  $S$  belongs to  $A_{p-1}^{2p}$  if and only if  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $H^{p_1} \subset H^{p_2}$  for  $0 < p_2 \leq p_1 < \infty$ , the assertion follows from this result and Theorem 8.  $\square$

The following result shows that Corollary 12(a) is sharp.

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S$  be the one-component atomic singular inner function associated with  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\} \in l^{1/2}$ . Moreover, assume that there exist an index  $j = j(S)$  and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(j) > 0$  such that  $|\theta_j - \theta_n| > \varepsilon$  for all  $n \neq j$ . Then  $S^{(m)} \in H^p$  if and only if  $p < \frac{1}{2m}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 13,  $S' \in H^{mp}$  if and only if  $p < \frac{1}{2m}$ . Consequently, Corollary 12(a) implies  $S^{(m)} \in H^p$  for  $p < \frac{1}{2m}$ . Hence it suffices to show that  $S^{(m)} \in H^p$  only if  $p < \frac{1}{2m}$ .

Fix  $j = j(S)$  to be the smallest index such that  $|\theta_j - \theta_n| > \varepsilon$  for all  $n \neq j$  and some  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(j) > 0$ . Let us represent  $S$  in the form  $S = S_1 S_2$ , where

$$S_1(z) = \exp\left(\gamma_j \frac{z + e^{i\theta_j}}{z - e^{i\theta_j}}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and  $S_2 = S/S_1$ . Using this factorization, we obtain

$$|S^{(m)}(e^{i\theta})| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} S_1^{(m-k)}(e^{i\theta}) S_2^{(k)}(e^{i\theta}) \right| = |S_1^{(m)}(e^{i\theta}) S_2(e^{i\theta})| = |S_1^{(m)}(e^{i\theta})|$$

when  $\theta$  (which is not  $\theta_j$ ) is close enough to  $\theta_j$  depending on  $S$  and  $m$ . Consequently, we find a sufficiently small  $\alpha = \alpha(p, S, m) > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |S_1^{(m)}(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \asymp \int_{\theta_j - \alpha}^{\theta_j + \alpha} |S_1^{(m)}(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \lesssim \int_0^{2\pi} |S^{(m)}(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta,$$

where the comparison constants depend only on  $p$ ,  $S$  and  $m$ . It follows that  $S^{(m)} \in H^p$  only if  $S_1^{(m)} \in H^p$ . Moreover, a simple modification of the main result of [24] shows that  $S_1^{(m)} \in H^p$  if and only if  $p < \frac{1}{2m}$ . Combining these facts, we deduce that  $S^{(m)} \in H^p$  (if and) only if  $p < \frac{1}{2m}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Toshiyuki Sugawa for valuable comments, and the referee for careful reading of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ahern, The mean modulus and the derivative of an inner function, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 28 (1979), no. 2, 311–347.
- [2] P. Ahern, The Poisson integral of a singular measure, *Canad. J. Math.* 35 (1983), no. 4, 735–749.
- [3] P. R. Ahern and D. N. Clark, On inner functions with  $B^p$  derivative, *Michigan Math. J.* 23 (1976), no. 2, 107–118.
- [4] P. R. Ahern and D. N. Clark, On inner functions with  $H^p$  derivative, *Michigan Math. J.* 21 (1974), 115–127.
- [5] A. B. Aleksandrov, Embedding theorems for coinvariant subspaces of the shift operator. II, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* 262 (1999), Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funkts. 27, 5–48, 231; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* 110 (2002), no. 5, 2907–2929.
- [6] A. Aleman, Y. Lyubarskii, E. Malinnikova and K.-M. Perfekt, Trace ideal criteria for embeddings and composition operators on model spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 270 (2016), no. 3, 861–883.
- [7] A. Baernstein, D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, Univalent functions, Hardy spaces and spaces of Dirichlet type, *Illinois J. Math.* 48 (2004), no. 3, 837–859.
- [8] A. Baranov, R. Bessonov and V. Kapustin, Symbols of truncated Toeplitz operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* 261 (2011), no. 12, 3437–3456.
- [9] R. V. Bessonov, Duality theorems for coinvariant subspaces of  $H^1$ , *Adv. Math.* 271 (2015), 62–90.
- [10] R. V. Bessonov, Fredholmness and compactness of truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 82 (2015), no. 4, 451–467.
- [11] G. T. Cargo, Angular and tangential limits of Blaschke products and their successive derivatives, *Canad. J. Math.* 14 (1962), 334–348.

- [12] I. Chalendar, E. Fricain and D. Timotin, Functional models and asymptotically orthonormal sequences, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 53 (2003), no. 5, 1527–1549.
- [13] J. Cima and R. Mortini, One-component inner functions, *Complex Anal. Synerg.* 3 (2017), no. 1, Paper No. 2, 15 pp.
- [14] B. Cohn, Carleson measures for functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 103 (1982), no. 2, 347–364.
- [15] P. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, New York–London, 1970.
- [16] T. M. Flett, The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 38 (1972), 746–765.
- [17] O. Frostman, Sur les produits de Blaschke, *Kungl. Fysiografiska Sällskapetets i Lund Förhandlingar* 12 (1942), no. 15, 169–182.
- [18] J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*. Revised 1st edition, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [19] D. Girela, C. González and M. Jevtić, Inner functions in Lipschitz, Besov, and Sobolev spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2011, Art. ID 626254, 26 pp.
- [20] D. Girela, J. A. Peláez and D. Vukotić, Integrability of the derivative of a Blaschke product, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) 50 (2007), no. 3, 673–687.
- [21] D. Girela, J. A. Peláez and D. Vukotić, Interpolating Blaschke products: Stolz and tangential approach regions, *Constr. Approx.* 27 (2008), no. 2, 203–216.
- [22] A. Gluchoff, On inner functions with derivative in Bergman spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* 31 (1987), no. 3, 518–528.
- [23] J. E. Littlewood and R. E. A. C. Paley, Theorems on Fourier series and power series (II), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 42 (1936), no. 1, 52–89.
- [24] M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, On the integral means of derivatives of the atomic function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 86 (1982), no. 3, 455–458.
- [25] F. Pérez-González and J. Rättyä, Inner functions in the Möbius invariant Besov-type spaces, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 52 (2009), 751–770.
- [26] F. Pérez-González, J. Rättyä and A. Reijonen, Derivatives of inner functions in Bergman spaces induced by doubling weights, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 42 (2017), no. 2, 735–753.
- [27] A. Reijonen and T. Sugawa, Characterizations for inner functions in certain function spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-018-0863-9>
- [28] S. A. Vinogradov, Multiplication and division in the space of analytic functions with an area-integrable derivative, and in some related spaces (Russian), *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.–Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* 222 (1995), *Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funktsii* 23, 45–77; *English J. Math. Sci. (New York)* 87 (1997), 3806–3827.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCES, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, AOBA-KU, SENDAI 980-8579, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `atte.reijonen@uef.fi`