A New Method for Epileptic Seizure Classification in EEG Using Adapted Wavelet Packets

Amirmasoud Ahmadi Biomedical Engineering Department School of Electrical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology Tehran, Iran amirmasoud ahmadi@elec.iust.ac.ir Vahid Shalchyan Biomedical Engineering Department School of Electrical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology Tehran, Iran shalchyan@iust.ac.ir

Abstract-Electroencephalography (EEG), as the most common tool for epileptic seizure classification, contains useful information about different physiological states of the brain. Seizure related features in EEG signals can be better identified when localized in time-frequency basis projections. In this work, a novel method for epileptic seizure classification based on wavelet packets (WPs) is presented in which both mother wavelet function and WP bases are adapted a posteriori to improve the seizure classification. A support vector machine (SVM) as classifier is used for seizure versus non-seizure EEG segment classification. In order to evaluate the proposed algorithm, a publicly available dataset containing different groups' patient with epilepsy and healthy individuals are used. The obtained results indicate that the proposed method outperforms some previously proposed algorithms in epileptic seizure classification.

Keywords—component; Electroencephalography; Wavelet packets transform (WPT); Support vector machines (SVMs)

I. INTRODUCTION

Electroencephalogram (EEG) is the most common methods for investigating a seizure disorder by detecting abnormal electrical activity in the brain through small electrodes applied over the scalp surface[1, 2]. Since the treatment of seizures depends on an accurate diagnosis, it is important to make sure that a patient has epilepsy and knowing what kind of disorder it has [3, 4]. Many feature extraction algorithms have been developed for seizure detection, based on time domain [6], frequency domain [7, 8], and time-frequency domain [9-12] analysis. Since the EEG is considered as a non-stationary signal, among feature extraction methods, time-frequency based methods such as wavelet transforms are usually better choices to process these kinds of signals because those can better reflect and localize the time-varying frequency characteristics of the data. Wavelet packets transform (WPT) is a powerful method that can even

Mohammad Reza Daliri Biomedical Engineering Department School of Electrical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology Tehran, Iran daliri@iust.ac.ir

better localize the important signal feature by selecting the best basis for transformation [9, 13].Feature selections which can effectively reveal the characteristic of the original EEG signal are very important. The selection can be used to assess the effectiveness of the wavelet coefficients [5, 9]. Various linear and non-linear time-domain features have been used in the published articles included Lyapunov exponents[14], entropy [11, 15], energy [16, 17], minimum peak, maximum peak, mean value, standard deviation[10, 17-19], and root mean square (RMS) [20].

There are also a wide variety of classification methods for seizure type prediction such as artificial neural networks (ANN) [15, 21], support vector machine (SVM) [14,15, 16], and other machine learning methods [18, 22]. SVM is a perfect tool for classification [23] and widely used for seizure detection and classification [12, 18, 22, 24].

In this study, we presented a novel algorithm based on WPT combined with various features for the feature extraction and SVM as classifier for seizure classification problems.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The proposed algorithm is shown as a block diagram in Fig.2. Each EEG data was divided into 17 sub-segments. The sub-segments were decomposed to WP trees with different mother wavelets. The features were extracted from the best mother wavelets and WP bases and were used by a SVM classifier.

A. EEG data

We used the EEG data collected from the Epilepsy Centre at the Bonn University [25]. Five sets of the EEG data, A, B, C, D, and E, each containing 100 single channel EEG segments of 23.6 seconds duration were used. Recordings were from 10 subjects, five healthy individuals and five patients with

Subject information	Five healthy subjects		Five epilepsy patients		
	SET A	SET B	SET C	SET D	SET E
Patient state	Awake with eyes open (normal)	Awake with eyes close (normal)	Seizure free (interictal)	Seizure free (interictal)	Seizure activity (ictal)
Electrode type	Surface	Surface	Intracranial	Intracranial	Intracranial
Electrode placement	International 10–20	International 10-20	Opposite to	Within	Within
	system	system	epileptogenic zone	epileptogenic zone	epileptogenic zone
No. of epochs	100	100	100	100	100
Epochs duration	23.600 s	23.600 s	23.600 s	23.600 s	23.600 s

TABLE I. Summary of datasets obtained from EEG database of University of Bonn (UoB), Germany [30]



Fig 1: Wavelet packet decomposition tree

epilepsy. From this set, A and B were considered as nonseizure conditions and C, D, and E, were considered as seizure cases. The EEG datasets A (Set A) and B (Set B) were gotten from healthy individuals with eyes open and closed, respectively; sets C and D were gotten during seizure free interval in different zone of the brain and set E was obtained from a patient during ictal period [25](Table I). In order to obtain an appropriate segment of the database, all segments of EEG signal of each set were divided into 17 sub-segments (1.38 seconds) generating 1700 samples from each set; for example, set A was divided to $a_{1-1} \cdots a_{1-17}$.

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1-1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{1-17} \end{bmatrix} \cdots A_{100} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{100-1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{100-17} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(1)

B. Feature Extraction

Wavelet transform has been developed as an important tool in feature extraction of nonstationary signals. Wavelet transform has better resolution and higher performance in determining epileptic seizure activity compared to the shorttime Fourier transform (STFT) [26]. In this work we used wavelet packets transform for as a tool for selecting best bases for the feature extraction. Wavelet packets transform generates a multi-level symmetric decomposition tree (Fig. 1). In each decomposition level all sub-bands symmetrically decomposed into high and low-pass filtered components both of which are projections onto orthogonal basis functions [27]. WPT unlike WT decomposes both approximation and detail at each level, and has the ability to localize important information in the high frequency components.

Statistics over the set of the wavelet packets coefficients are used to further reduce the feature vectors [19]. The statistical features which were used in this paper are the standard deviation (STD) and the root-mean-square (RMS) of WP coefficients. We decomposed each of the signals (1.36 seconds) into WPT components over five levels with different mother wavelet functions including db2, sym4, rbio2.2, db6, bior2.4, bior1.1 as were mostly used in previous studies [11, 12, 19].



Fig 2: Block diagram of the proposed algorithm.

Knowing that the seizure in recorded EEGs usually occurs between 3-29 Hz [40] the decomposition level was set to five and the candidate WP bases were primarily selected to match well with the aforementioned frequency range of the EEGs signals. Best mother wavelet and best bases over all candidate WPT bases were determined according to the posterior classification performances obtained as shown in the results section (Table III,Fig.3).

C. Classification

Support vector machines with different kernel functions are widely used for pattern classification [5]. In the current study, we used SVMs for different binary classification problems with a radial basis function (RBF) kernel. (Fig.2). Seven case of classification were considered: A versus E, B versus E, C versus E, D versus E, AB versus E, CD versus E, ABCD versus E.

D. Evaluation

In order to evaluate the proposed algorithm, a k-fold cross-validation paradigm was used. The data (i.e., 1700 samples) in each group were divided into k-folds (k= 2, 5, 10).

III. RESULT

A. Selecting the best WP bases

Table II compares the effect of WP bases selection on the classification accuracy (CA) for ABCD vs E classification based on a 2-fold cross validation. The results showed that the WP coefficients (5,1), (4,1), (4,2) performed better than the other WP basis candidates. Therefore; the WP coefficients (5,1), (4,1), (4,2) were fixed as the best WP bases for all other analyses in this work.

B. Selecting the best mother wavelet

Fig. 3 compares the effect of selecting different mother wavelets on CA performance for ABCD vs. E classification based on a 2-fold cross validation. The obtained result showed that the Bior1.1 outperformed other candidate mother wavelets. Based on this results, the Bior1.1 was selected as the best mother wavelet for all other analyses in this work.

FABLE II. CA performance o	f ABCD vs E,	, 2-fold, mot	her wavelet Db4
----------------------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------

WP	CA%	WP	CA%
Coefficient		Coefficient	
(5,1) (4,1)	93.5%	(5,1) (4,1)	90%
(4,2)		(4,2) (4,3)	
(5,1) (4,1)	89%	(5,0] (5,1)	89%
(3,1)		(4,1) (4,2)	
(4,1) (4,2)	91%	(5,0) (5,1)	91%
(4,3)		(4,1)	
	•	•	•
00			



C. Seizure versus non-seizure

Table III describes all classification performance measures for seven different classification problems, described in the method part. As shown in the table, the CA performance varies between 96.04% to 99.64% each corresponds to the cases A versus E and D versus E respectively. Tables IV compares the CA performance of the proposed methods with some of the previously published methods in cases A versus E, B versus E, C versus E, D versus E and ABCD Versus E.

TABLE III. Results obtained after classification of seizure versus non-seizu	ıre
--	-----

Case	Fold	I	Performance	;
		CA	Sens	Spec
А	2-fold	99.64%	99.70%	100%
Versus	5-fold	99.64%	99.64%	100%
Е	10-fold	99.64%	99.64%	100%
В	2-fold	98.41%	98.47%	99.94%
Versus	5-fold	98.38%	98%	99.94%
Е	10-fold	98.44%	98.05%	99.94%
С	2-fold	98%	98.05%	99.29%
Versus	5-fold	98.14%	98.29%	98.64%
Е	10-fold	98.14%	98.17%	98.58%
D	2-fold	94.86%	97.74%	95.18%
Versus	5-fold	95.06%	97.69%	94.72%
Е	10-fold	95.15%	97.42%	94.89%
AB	2-fold	98.83%	99.37%	99.82%
Versus	5-fold	98.96%	98.91%	99.80%
E	10-fold	98.93%	98.86%	99.74%
CD	2-fold	96.04%	98.29%	96.23%
Versus	5-fold	96.41%	98.02%	96.38%
Е	10-fold	96.48%	97.94%	96.35%
ABCD	2-fold	97.48%	98.14%	97.48%
Versus	5-fold	97.63%	98.24%	97.47%
Е	10-fold	97.85%	98.19%	97.58%

TABLE IV. Comparison of the proposed algorithm with other previous works
from literature for classifications of A vs. E, B vs E, C vs E, D vs E and ABCD
vs E in terms of CA performance

Casa	Mathad	CA
Case	Method	
	Non-linear preprocessing filter +	97.2
	Neural networks [28]	00.6
A Ve	Time-frequency features +	99.6
vs E	Recurrent neural networks [6]	
Ľ	Entropy + Adaptive neuro-fuzzy	92.22
	inference system [29]	
	FFT-decision tree classifier [30]	98.72
	Discrete wavelet transform, mixture	95
	of expert model [5]	00 (1
	Our Algorithm	99.64
	Technique-based least square	93.6
р	support vector machine [31]	
B Ve	Weighted horizontal visibility graph	97
vs E		07.05
Ľ	Weighted visibility graph +	97.25
	Our Algorithm	08 44
	Weishted heriesentel sizibility small	90.44
	[32]	97
C	Technique-based least square	98
Vs	support vector machine [31]	
E	Weighted visibility graph +	98.25
	Complex network feature [24]	
	Our Algorithm	98.14
	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square	98.14 93.6
	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31]	98.14 93.6
	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph	98.14 93.6 93
D	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32]	98.14 93.6 93
D Vs	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93
D Vs E	Our AlgorithmTechnique-based least square support vector machine [31]Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32]Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24]Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33]DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 93
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and +	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21
D Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21
D Vs E ABCD	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36] Wavelet transform + K-nearest	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21 97.41
D Vs E ABCD Vs F	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36] Wavelet transform + K-nearest neighbor classifier [37]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21 97.41
D Vs E ABCD Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36] Wavelet transform + K-nearest neighbor classifier [37] Wavelet transform & Approximate +	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21 97.41 96.87
D Vs E ABCD Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36] Wavelet transform + K-nearest neighbor classifier [37] Wavelet transform & Approximate + Artificial neural network [20]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21 97.41 96.87 97.17
D Vs E ABCD Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36] Wavelet transform + K-nearest neighbor classifier [37] Wavelet transform & Approximate + Artificial neural network [20] Wavelet transform & line length feature + Artificial neural network	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21 97.41 96.87 97.17
D Vs E ABCD Vs E	Our Algorithm Technique-based least square support vector machine [31] Weighted horizontal visibility graph [32] Weighted visibility graph + Complex network feature [24] Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machine [33] DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy + support vector machine [34] Our Algorithm Time-frequency analysis, artificial neural network [35] Time frequency analysis and + Linear least squares, linear [36] Wavelet transform + K-nearest neighbor classifier [37] Wavelet transform & Approximate + Artificial neural network [20] Wavelet transform & line length feature + Artificial neural network [39]	98.14 93.6 93 93.25 83.13 93 95.15 97.73 97.21 97.41 96.87 97.17

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we used a wavelet packet scheme with selection of best mother wavelet function and best WP bases for extracting time-frequency feature of EEG signals for seizure prediction. The classification was done by SVM. The obtained results (Table IV) revealed that the proposed algorithm could effectively detect seizure. Compared to the previous studies, the proposed method outperformed previous methods in different binary classification scenarios including A vs. E ([5, 6, 28-30]), B vs. E ([24, 31, 33]), C vs. E ([31, 33]), D vs. E ([24, 31-34]), ABCD vs. D ([36-39]).

REFERENCES

[1] M. Chochoi, L. Tyvaert, P. Derambure, and W. Szurhaj, "Is long-term electroencephalogram more appropriate than standard electroencephalogram in the elderly?," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 2016.

[2] H. R. A. Ghayab, Y. Li, S. Abdulla, M. Diykh, and X. Wan, "Classification of epileptic EEG signals based on simple random sampling and sequential feature selection," *Brain Informatics*, vol. 3, pp. 85-91, 2016.

[3] M. Kojic and B. Wainwright, "The Many Faces of Elongator in Neurodevelopment and Disease," *Front Mol Neurosci*, vol. 9, p. 115, 2016.
[4] R. Shellhaas, T. Chang, C. Wusthoff, J. Soul, S. Massey, C. Chu, *et al.*,

[4] R. Shellhaas, T. Chang, C. Wusthoff, J. Soul, S. Massey, C. Chu, *et al.*, "Treatment Duration After Acute Symptomatic Seizures in Neonates: A Multicenter Cohort Study," *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 2016.

[5] A. Subasi, "EEG signal classification using wavelet feature extraction and a mixture of expert model," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 32, pp. 1084-1093, 5// 2007.

[6] V. Srinivasan, C. Eswaran, and N. Sriraam, "Artificial neural network based epileptic detection using time-domain and frequency-domain features," *J Med Syst*, vol. 29, pp. 647-60, Dec 2005.

[7] J. Gotman and P. Gloor, "Automatic recognition and quantification of interictal epileptic activity in the human scalp EEG," *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*, vol. 41, pp. 513-29, 1976.

[8] J. Jacobs, C. Vogt, P. LeVan, R. Zelmann, J. Gotman, and K. Kobayashi, "The identification of distinct high-frequency oscillations during spikes delineates the seizure onset zone better than high-frequency spectral power changes," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 127, pp. 129-142, 2016.

[9] Y. U. Khan and J. Gotman, "Wavelet based automatic seizure detection in intracerebral electroencephalogram," *Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 114, pp. 898-908, 2003.

[10] K. Samiee, P. Kovács, and M. Gabbouj, "Epileptic Seizure Classification of EEG Time-Series Using Rational Discrete Short-Time Fourier Transform," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 62, pp. 541-552, 2015.

[11] D. Wang, D. Miao, and C. Xie, "Best basis-based wavelet packet entropy feature extraction and hierarchical EEG classification for epileptic detection," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 38, pp. 14314-14320, 10// 2011.

[12] Y. Liu, W. Zhou, Q. Yuan, and S. Chen, "Automatic Seizure Detection Using Wavelet Transform and SVM in Long-Term Intracranial EEG," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 20, pp. 749-755, 2012.

[13] H. Ocak, "Automatic detection of epileptic seizures in EEG using discrete wavelet transform and approximate entropy," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 36, pp. 2027-2036, 3// 2009.

[14] N. F. Güler, E. D. Übeyli, and İ. Güler, "Recurrent neural networks employing Lyapunov exponents for EEG signals classification," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 29, pp. 506-514, 10// 2005.

[15] V. Srinivasan, C. Eswaran, and N. Sriraam, "Approximate entropy-based epileptic EEG detection using artificial neural networks," *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed*, vol. 11, pp. 288-95, May 2007.

[16] T. Gandhi, B. K. Panigrahi, M. Bhatia, and S. Anand, "Expert model for detection of epileptic activity in EEG signature," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 37, pp. 3513-3520, 4// 2010.

[17] H. U. Amin, A. S. Malik, N. Kamel, and M. Hussain, "A Novel Approach Based on Data Redundancy for Feature Extraction of EEG Signals," *Brain Topography*, vol. 29, pp. 207-217, 2016.

[18] I. Guler and E. D. Ubeyli, "Multiclass Support Vector Machines for EEG-Signals Classification," *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine*, vol. 11, pp. 117-126, 2007. [19] I. Guler and E. D. Ubeyli, "Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for classification of EEG signals using wavelet coefficients," *J Neurosci Methods*, vol. 148, pp. 113-21, Oct 30 2005.

[20] P. Fergus, D. Hignett, A. Hussain, D. Al-Jumeily, and K. Abdel-Aziz, "Automatic epileptic seizure detection using scalp EEG and advanced artificial intelligence techniques," *Biomed Res Int*, vol. 2015, p. 986736, 2015.

[21] S. Ghosh-Dastidar, H. Adeli, and N. Dadmehr, "Principal component analysis-enhanced cosine radial basis function neural network for robust epilepsy and seizure detection," *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*, vol. 55, pp. 512-8, Feb 2008.

[22] A. Subasi and M. Ismail Gursoy, "EEG signal classification using PCA, ICA, LDA and support vector machines," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 37, pp. 8659-8666, 12// 2010.

[23] M. Zavar, S. Rahati, M. R. Akbarzadeh-T, and H. Ghasemifard, "Evolutionary model selection in a wavelet-based support vector machine for automated seizure detection," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 38, pp. 10751-10758, 9// 2011.

[24] S. Supriya, S. Siuly, H. Wang, J. Cao, and Y. Zhang, "Weighted Visibility Graph With Complex Network Features in the Detection of Epilepsy," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, pp. 6554-6566, 2016.

[25] R. G. Andrzejak, K. Lehnertz, F. Mormann, C. Rieke, P. David, and C. E. Elger, "Indications of nonlinear deterministic and finite-dimensional structures in time series of brain electrical activity: dependence on recording region and brain state," *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys*, vol. 64, p. 061907, Dec 2001.

[26] M. K. Kiymik, I. Guler, A. Dizibuyuk, and M. Akin, "Comparison of STFT and wavelet transform methods in determining epileptic seizure activity in EEG signals for real-time application," *Comput Biol Med*, vol. 35, pp. 603-16, Oct 2005.

[27] K. Jones, H. Begleiter, B. Porjesz, K. Wang, and D. Chorlian, "Complexity measures of event related potential surface Laplacian data calculated using the wavelet packet transform," *Brain Topogr*, vol. 14, pp. 333-44, Summer 2002.

[28] V. P. Nigam and D. Graupe, "A neural-network-based detection of epilepsy," *Neurol Res*, vol. 26, pp. 55-60, Jan 2004.

[29] N. Kannathal, M. L. Choo, U. R. Acharya, and P. K. Sadasivan, "Entropies for detection of epilepsy in EEG," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 80, pp. 187-194, 2005.

[30] K. Polat and S. Güneş, "Classification of epileptiform EEG using a hybrid system based on decision tree classifier and fast Fourier transform," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 187, pp. 1017-1026, 4/15/ 2007.

[31] Siuly, Y. Li, and P. P. Wen, "Clustering technique-based least square support vector machine for EEG signal classification," *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*, vol. 104, pp. 358-72, Dec 2011.

[32] G. Zhu, Y. Li, and P. P. Wen, "Epileptic seizure detection in EEGs signals using a fast weighted horizontal visibility algorithm," *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*, vol. 115, pp. 64-75, Jul 2014.

[33] N. Nicolaou and J. Georgiou, "Detection of epileptic electroencephalogram based on Permutation Entropy and Support Vector Machines," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 39, pp. 202-209, 1// 2012.

[34] Y. Kumar, M. L. Dewal, and R. S. Anand, "Epileptic seizure detection using DWT based fuzzy approximate entropy and support vector machine," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 133, pp. 271-279, 6/10/ 2014.

[35] A. T. Tzallas, M. G. Tsipouras, and D. I. Fotiadis, "Automatic Seizure Detection Based on Time-Frequency Analysis and Artificial Neural Networks," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, vol. 2007, p. 80510, 2007.

[36] S.-F. Liang, H.-C. Wang, and W.-L. Chang, "Combination of EEG Complexity and Spectral Analysis for Epilepsy Diagnosis and Seizure Detection," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2010, p. 853434, 2010.

[37] U. Orhan, M. Hekim, and M. Ozer, "EEG signals classification using the K-means clustering and a multilayer perceptron neural network model," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 38, pp. 13475-13481, 9/15/2011.

[38] L. Guo, D. Rivero, and A. Pazos, "Epileptic seizure detection using multiwavelet transform based approximate entropy and artificial neural networks," *J Neurosci Methods*, vol. 193, pp. 156-63, Oct 30 2010.

[39] L. Guo, D. Rivero, J. Dorado, J. R. Rabuñal, and A. Pazos, "Automatic epileptic seizure detection in EEGs based on line length feature and artificial neural networks," Journal of Neuroscience Methods, vol. 191, pp. 101-109, 8/15/ 2010.

[40] Saab, M. E., and Jean Gotman. "A system to detect the onset of epileptic seizures in scalp EEG." Clinical Neurophysiology 116.2 (2005): 427-442.