

Bounding the covolume of lattices in products

Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace*¹ and Adrien Le Boudec^{†2}

¹UCLouvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

²UMPA - ENS Lyon, France

October 23, 2019

Abstract

We study lattices in a product $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of non-discrete, compactly generated, totally disconnected locally compact (tdlc) groups. We assume that each factor is **quasi just-non-compact**, meaning that G_i is non-compact and every closed normal subgroup of G_i is discrete or cocompact (e.g. G_i is topologically simple).

We show that the set of discrete subgroups of G containing a fixed cocompact lattice Γ with dense projections is finite. The same result holds if Γ is non-uniform, provided G has Kazhdan's property (T). We show that for any compact subset $K \subset G$, the collection of discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq G$ with $G = \Gamma K$ and dense projections is uniformly discrete, hence of covolume bounded away from 0. When the ambient group G is compactly presented, we show in addition that the collection of those lattices falls into finitely many $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits. As an application, we establish finiteness results for discrete groups acting on products of locally finite graphs with semiprimitive local action on each factor.

We also present several intermediate results of independent interest. Notably it is shown that if a non-discrete, compactly generated quasi just-non-compact tdlc group G is a Chabauty limit of discrete subgroups, then some compact open subgroup of G is an infinitely generated pro- p group for some

*F.R.S.-FNRS Senior Research Associate. pe.caprace@uclouvain.be

[†]CNRS Researcher. This work was partially carried out when the second author was F.R.S.-FNRS Post-Doctoral Researcher at UCLouvain. adrien.le-boudec@ens-lyon.fr This work was partially supported by ANR-14-CE25-0004 GAMME.

prime p . It is also shown that in any Kazhdan group with discrete amenable radical, the lattices form an open subset of the Chabauty space of closed subgroups.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Covolume bounds	3
1.2	Discrete groups acting on product of graphs	6
1.3	A substitute for a theorem of Zassenhaus	7
1.4	Chabauty neighbourhoods of lattices in Kazhdan groups	8
1.5	Irreducibility	9
2	On the structure of tdlc groups	12
2.1	Preliminaries	12
2.2	Monolithic and just-non-compact groups	15
2.3	Quasi just-non-compact groups	16
3	Chabauty approximations of quasi just-non-compact groups	18
3.1	On the set of (r, U) -cocompact subgroups	19
3.2	Approximations of quasi just-non-compact groups by their closed subgroups	20
3.3	Cocompact subgroups that are Chabauty limits of discrete subgroups	20
3.4	Approximations of quasi just-non-compact groups by discrete subgroups	23
4	Irreducibility conditions for lattices in products	25
4.1	Cocompact closed subgroups in products	25
4.2	Relations between the irreducibility conditions	29
5	Covolume bounds	34
5.1	A Chabauty continuity property of projection maps	34
5.2	On the set of (r, U) -cocompact lattices with dense projections	35
5.3	A first Wang finiteness theorem	37
5.4	A uniform discreteness statement for irreducible lattices in products .	39
5.5	A second Wang finiteness theorem in compactly presented groups . .	40

6 Automorphism groups of graphs and local action	41
6.1 Graph-restrictive permutation groups and restrictive actions	41
6.2 Automorphism groups of graphs with semiprimitive local action . . .	43
6.3 Lattices in products of graphs with semiprimitive local action	44
A Chabauty deformations of cofinite subgroups in Kazhdan groups	48

1 Introduction

1.1 Covolume bounds

A classical result of H. C. Wang [53] ensures in a connected semisimple Lie group G without compact factor, the collection of discrete subgroups containing a given lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ is finite. Soon afterwards, an important closely related result was established by Kazhdan–Margulis [35], who proved that the set of covolumes of all lattices in G is bounded below by a positive constant. H. C. Wang [54] subsequently used the Kazhdan–Margulis theorem in combination with local rigidity to establish that if G has no factor locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$, then for every $v > 0$, the set of conjugacy classes of lattices in G of covolume $\leq v$ is finite (see also [29, Theorem 13.4] for the case of irreducible lattices when the group G itself is not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$).

Bass–Kulkarni showed in [6, Theorem 7.1] that none of those results holds when G is the full automorphism group of the d -regular tree T_d , with $d \geq 5$, even if one restricts to cocompact lattices. In particular, since $G = \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ is compactly generated and has a simple open subgroup of index 2 (see [49]), none of the results above can be expected to hold for cocompact lattices in compactly generated, topologically simple, locally compact groups in general. We recall that a locally compact group is **topologically simple** if it is non-trivial and the only closed normal subgroups are the trivial ones.

In this paper, we consider cocompact lattices with dense projections in products of non-discrete, compactly generated, topologically simple, locally compact groups. Our goal is to show that, in this situation, similar phenomena as in the case of semisimple Lie groups occur. Our results are actually valid for lattices in products of locally compact groups that satisfy a condition that is weaker than simplicity. In order to define it, we recall that a locally compact group G is called **just-non-compact** if it is non-compact and every closed normal subgroup is trivial or cocompact. We say that G is **quasi just-non-compact** if it is non-compact and every closed normal subgroup is discrete or cocompact. We note that this definition is meaningful only in

the realm of non-discrete groups. As first observed by Burger-Mozes, quasi just-non-compact groups appear naturally in the context of automorphism groups of connected graphs with quasi-primitive local action [10, Proposition 1.2.1].

Our first main result is the following close relative of the aforementioned theorem of H. C. Wang [53]. Throughout the paper, the abbreviation **tdlc** stands for *totally disconnected locally compact*.

Theorem A. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups and let $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a lattice such that the projection $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for all i . Assume that at least one of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) Γ is cocompact.
- (2) G has Kazhdan's property (T).

Then the set of discrete subgroups of G containing Γ is finite.

Theorem A implies in particular that any lattice Γ as in the theorem is contained in a maximal lattice (and hence that maximal lattices exist).

Remark 1.1. In Theorem A as well as in the other statements of this introduction, we assume that the ambient group G is totally disconnected. That restriction is rather mild, since the presence of a connected simple factor (or more generally, a quasi just-non-compact almost connected factor without non-trivial connected abelian normal subgroup) implies much stronger structural constraints on the lattice Γ and on the other factors of the ambient product group, in view of the arithmeticity results from [14, Theorem 5.18] and [3, Theorem 1.5].

A version of the Wang finiteness theorem is established by Burger–Mozes in [12, Theorem 1.1] for cocompact lattices with dense projections in certain automorphism groups of trees with quasi-primitive local action. When specified to this setting, Theorem A allows one to recover and generalize their result (see Section 1.2 below).

In [31, Theorem 1.8], Gelander–Levit provide sufficient conditions on a set of discrete subgroups of a locally compact group G all containing a fixed finitely generated lattice Γ , to be finite. Their conditions are not satisfied *a priori* under the hypotheses of Theorem A. However, the proof of the latter elaborates on some of the ideas developed in [31]. Other ingredients are presented below. A remarkable feature of Theorem A, and also Theorems B and C below, is that their proofs rely in an essential way on a combination of results from the recent structure theory of tdlc groups developed in [15, 19, 18] together with various results and ideas from finite group theory.

Given a compact subset $K \subseteq G$ of a locally compact group G , a subgroup H is called **K -cocompact** if $G = HK$. The following result implies the existence of a positive lower bound on the set of covolumes of all K -cocompact lattices with dense projections.

Theorem B (See Theorem 5.10). *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups. For every compact subset $K \subset G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$, there exists an identity neighbourhood V_K such that $V_K \cap \Gamma = \{1\}$ for every K -cocompact discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$ with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i .*

Given a compactly generated tdlc group G and a compact open subgroup U , any K -cocompact subgroup acts with at most r orbits on G/U , where $r = |KU/U|$. Conversely, for every $r > 0$ there is a compact subset $K \subset G$ such that any subgroup of G acting with at most r orbits on G/U is K -cocompact (see Lemma 3.3 below).

For a discrete subgroup, the condition of K -cocompactness may be viewed as an upper bound on the covolume. However, that condition is generally strictly stronger than being cocompact and of covolume bounded above: indeed, Bass–Kulkarni have shown in [6, Theorem 7.1(b), 7.19–7.20] that for $d \geq 5$, the group $\text{Aut}(T_d)$ contains an infinite family (Γ_k) of cocompact lattices of constant covolume, and such that the number of vertex orbits of Γ_k tends to infinity with k . In particular there does not exist any compact subset $K \subset \text{Aut}(T_d)$ such that Γ_k is K -cocompact for all k .

We do not know whether there could exist a neighbourhood of the identity as in the conclusion of Theorem B that is actually independent of K (Question 5.1 below). See [37, Conjecture (B), IX.4.21] for a related conjecture in the case of semi-simple groups.

Using Serre’s covolume formula, Theorem B implies that the set of covolumes of K -cocompact lattices with dense projections in G , is finite (see Theorem 5.10 below). As mentioned above, in the classical case of semisimple Lie groups, the combination of the Kazhdan–Margulis theorem with local rigidity of lattices yields a much stronger finiteness statement, due to H. C. Wang [54]. A very general version of the local rigidity of cocompact lattices has recently been established by Gelander–Levit [31]. Using their results, we establish the following.

Theorem C (See Theorem 5.12). *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly presented, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups. For every compact subset $K \subset G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$, the set of K -cocompact discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq G$ with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i , is contained in a union of finitely many $\text{Aut}(G)$ -orbits.*

The hypothesis of compact presentability of the factors is needed to invoke the suitable local rigidity results from [31].

1.2 Discrete groups acting on product of graphs

A natural setting in which the previous results find applications is the study of lattices in products of trees, and more generally products of automorphism groups of graphs, with restricted local action. The investigation of such lattices was pioneered by Burger–Mozes [10], [11].

Let X be a connected graph. Given $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$, a vertex $x \in VX$ and an integer $\ell \geq 0$, we denote by $G_x^{[\ell]}$ the pointwise stabilizer of the ℓ -ball around x . Thus $G_x^{[0]} = G_x$ is simply the stabilizer of x . We also denote by $X(x)$ the vertices at distance 1 from x , and by $G_x^{X(x)}$ the permutation group induced by the action of the stabilizer G_x on $X(x)$. As an abstract group, it is isomorphic to $G_x/G_x^{[1]}$. We call $G_x^{X(x)}$ the **local action** of G at x .

We recall that a permutation group L of a set Ω is **primitive** if the only L -invariant partitions of Ω are the trivial ones. The group L is **quasi-primitive** if it is transitive and the only intransitive normal subgroup is trivial, and **semiprimitive** if it is transitive and every intransitive normal subgroup acts freely. Primitive groups are quasi-primitive, and quasi-primitive groups are semiprimitive.

As observed by Burger–Mozes [10, Proposition 1.2.1], quasi just-non-compact groups appear naturally in the context of automorphism groups of connected graphs with quasi-primitive local actions. More generally, given a connected locally finite graph X , any closed subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ with semiprimitive local action is quasi just-non-compact, see Proposition 6.7 below. Therefore, Theorems A, B and C can be applied to cocompact lattices with dense projections in a product of automorphism groups of connected graphs with semiprimitive local actions. In particular, Theorems A, B and C have the following direct consequence.

Corollary D. *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be connected locally finite graphs and for each i , let $G_i \leq \text{Aut}(X_i)$ be a non-discrete closed subgroup with semiprimitive local action. Let $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$.*

- (A) *For every cocompact lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ such that $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for all i , the set of discrete subgroups of G containing Γ is finite.*
- (B) *For each $r > 0$, there exists a constant $c = c(r)$ such that for every cocompact lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ with at most r orbits on $\prod VX_i$ and such that $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for all i , we have $\Gamma_x^{[c]} = \{1\}$ for every vertex $x \in \prod VX_i$.*
- (C) *Assume that X_i is coarsely simply connected for all i (e.g. if X_i is a tree), and let $r > 0$. Then there is, up to the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$, only finitely many*

cocompact lattices $\Gamma \leq G$ with at most r orbits on $\prod VX_i$ and such that $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for all i .

Statement (A) extends the main result of [12] from quasi-primitive local actions of almost simple type, to arbitrary semiprimitive local actions. As before, Serre’s covolume formula immediately implies that, for a fixed $r > 0$, the set of covolumes of lattices as in (B) is a finite set. Hence Statement (B) provides a partial answer to Question 1.2 from [12].

Our tools can also be used to study discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(X_n)$ with semiprimitive local action on each factor, without assuming that the closure of the projection $p_i(\Gamma) \leq \text{Aut}(X_i)$ is a fixed closed subgroup G_i . In particular we prove the following:

Theorem E. *Let $n \geq 1$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let T_i be a regular locally finite tree of degree ≥ 3 . There is, up to conjugation, only finitely many vertex-transitive discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(T_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(T_n)$ whose local action on T_i is 2-transitive for all i .*

Note that, while the hypotheses of Corollary D imply that the number n of factors is at least 2, the case $n = 1$ is allowed and meaningful in Theorem E: in that case, the result is due to Trofimov–Weiss [52, Theorem 1.4], and relies on the Classification of the Finite Simple Groups. Our proof of Theorem E consists of a reduction from the case $n > 1$ to the case of a single factor.

Recall that a conjecture of R. Weiss [57, Conjecture 3.12] predicts that the conclusion of Theorem E with $n = 1$ holds for discrete groups with primitive local actions. Although it is still open in full generality, several cases of the conjecture are now known to be true (see §6.3 for details). Our results in Section 6 provide a reduction from the case of n factors to the case of a single factor; see Theorem 6.8. In particular we provide a partial solution to a conjecture due to Y. Glasner [33, Conjecture 1.5].

In the rest of the introduction, we discuss some of the proof ingredients of the results presented above.

1.3 A substitute for a theorem of Zassenhaus

For a locally compact group G , we write $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ for the space of closed subgroups of G . Endowed with the Chabauty topology [21], the space $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ is compact.

It is a classical fact that a connected Lie group can be approximated by its discrete subgroups in the Chabauty topology only if it is nilpotent [36]. In particular

a non-compact simple Lie group G cannot be approximated by discrete subgroups. Actually in this setting G is an isolated point in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ [30, Proposition 13.6]. This is no longer true for compactly generated simple tdlc groups. For example the group $\text{Aut}(T)^+$ of automorphisms of a regular tree T can be approximated by proper subgroups. An explicit sequence of subgroups approximating $\text{Aut}(T)^+$ may be found within the family of groups constructed by N. Radu in [41] (see the appendix in [17]).

The proofs of Theorems A, B and C are based on a study of the collection \mathcal{L}_K of all K -cocompact discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ with dense projections in a product of quasi just-non-compact groups. A key point that we establish is the fact that \mathcal{L}_K is a closed subset of $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$, and is therefore compact (see Theorem 5.2 below). An important ingredient of independent interest in the proof of Theorem 5.2 is the following result, which provides sufficient conditions for a non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group to be isolated from the set of its discrete subgroups in the Chabauty topology.

Theorem F. *Let G be a non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group. If an open subgroup of finite index in G is a Chabauty limit of a sequence of discrete subgroups of G , then there is a prime p and a compact open subgroup $V \leq G$ such that V is a pro- p group that is not topologically finitely generated.*

That result may be interpreted as an analogue of the classical result of Zassenhaus ensuring that every Lie group G has an identity neighbourhood U such that for each discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$, the intersection $\Gamma \cap U$ is contained in a connected nilpotent Lie subgroup of G (see [58] and [42, Theorem 8.16]). The proof of Theorem F relies in an essential way on results from [19] and [18] on the structure of tdlc groups and their locally normal subgroups. It is also inspired by the proof of the Thompson–Wielandt theorem in finite group theory and its variants for discrete automorphism groups of graphs with primitive local action (see e.g. [10, Theorem 2.1.1]).

1.4 Chabauty neighbourhoods of lattices in Kazhdan groups

The proof of Theorem A in the case of non-uniform lattices in Kazhdan groups also relies on Chabauty considerations, and essentially splits into two parts. The first one is similar to the aforementioned Theorem 5.2, and consists in proving that, in the setting of Theorem A, the collection of discrete subgroups of G containing a non-uniform lattice Γ is Chabauty closed (see Proposition 5.9). The second one is given by the following additional result which is of independent interest.

Theorem G. *Let G be a locally compact group with Kazhdan’s property (T), such that the amenable radical $R(G)$ is discrete. Then the set of lattices in G forms an*

open subset of the Chabauty space $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$. More precisely, for any lattice $\Gamma \leq G$, there is an identity neighbourhood U in G such that the set of those lattices $\Lambda \leq G$ with $\Lambda \cap U = \{1\}$ is a neighbourhood of Γ in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$.

The proof uses an important continuity property of induction of unitary representations, due to J. Fell [25], which implies that the set of closed subgroups of finite covolume in a second countable locally compact group with property (T) is Chabauty open (see Theorem A.1).

1.5 Irreducibility

Another important point in the proof of Theorems A, B and C is the notion of *irreducibility* for lattices in products. While the density of the projections of a lattice in a product of 2 non-discrete factors can be viewed as a condition of irreducibility, this is no longer the case for a product of $n > 2$ factors. Indeed, a lattice Γ in a product of 4 factors $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_4$ can have dense projections and be the direct product of two subgroups, that are respectively lattices in $G_1 \times G_2$ and $G_3 \times G_4$. Since that issue is directly relevant to the proofs of the results above in case of more than 2 factors, we take this opportunity to identify various possible definitions of irreducibility for lattices in products, and clarify the logical relations between them.

Consider again a product group $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$. For $\Sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, we denote the associated sub-product by $G_\Sigma = \prod_{j \in \Sigma} G_j$. We denote by $p_\Sigma: G \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ the projection on G_Σ . We identify G_Σ with its natural image in G . When $\Sigma = \{i\}$ is a singleton, the projection to G_i is denoted by p_i , as above.

Assume now that G_1, \dots, G_n are non-discrete locally compact groups and let $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ be a lattice. Each of the following conditions, may be seen as expressing the fact that Γ is *irreducible*.

- (**Irr0**) For every partition $\Pi \cup \Sigma = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\Pi, \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, the subgroup $(G_\Pi \cap \Gamma)(G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma)$ is of infinite index in Γ .
- (**Irr1**) For every $\Sigma \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, the projection $p_\Sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ has dense image.
- (**Irr1'**) For every $\Sigma \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, the closure of the image of $p_\Sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ contains $\prod_{i \in \Sigma} G_i^+$, where G_i^+ is a non-discrete closed cocompact normal subgroup of G_i .
- (**Irr2**) For every non-empty $\Sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, the projection $p_\Sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ is injective. Equivalently, $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ is injective for every i .
- (**Irr3**) For every non-empty $\Sigma \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, the projection $p_\Sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ has a non-discrete image.

(Irr4) If a subgroup $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ is isomorphic to a direct product of two non-trivial groups, then the index $|\Gamma : \Lambda|$ is infinite.

As mentioned above, when $n = 2$, it is customary to adopt the condition **(Irr1)** as the definition of the irreducibility of Γ . In his book [37], Margulis studies the case where each factor is an absolutely almost simple algebraic group \mathbf{G}_i over a non-discrete locally compact field k_i . In that context, he adopts the condition **(Irr0)** as the definition of the *irreducibility* of Γ (see [37, Definition II.6.5]). Using [37, Theorem II.6.7] and [37, Theorem IV.4.10], it follows that if \mathbf{G}_i is adjoint and of k_i -rank ≥ 1 , then the irreducibility conditions **(Irr0)**, **(Irr1')**, **(Irr2)**, **(Irr3)** and **(Irr4)** are all equivalent. The conditions **(Irr1)**–**(Irr4)** are considered in [16, §2.B]. Under the hypothesis that each G_i is an isometry group of a proper CAT(0) space satisfying suitable natural conditions, it is shown in [16, Proposition 2.2] that **(Irr2)** \Rightarrow **(Irr3)** \Rightarrow **(Irr4)**, while the implication **(Irr4)** \Rightarrow **(Irr2)** generally fails in that context.

The following result shows how those conditions relate to one another in case of compactly generated quasi just-non-compact groups.

Theorem H. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly generated, tdlc groups and $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a cocompact lattice such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has dense image for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

(i) *If G_i is quasi just-non-compact for all i , then*

$$\mathbf{(Irr2)} \Rightarrow \mathbf{(Irr0)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr1')} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr3)} \Leftarrow \mathbf{(Irr4)}.$$

(ii) *If G_i is just-non-compact for all i , then*

$$\mathbf{(Irr0)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr1')} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr2)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr3)} \Leftarrow \mathbf{(Irr4)}.$$

(iii) *If every finite index open subgroup of G_i is just-non-compact for all i , then*

$$\mathbf{(Irr0)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr1')} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr2)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr3)} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{(Irr4)}.$$

We make several comments about the statement:

- 1) Every non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group G has a smallest cocompact normal subgroup, denoted by $G^{(\infty)}$; it is non-discrete and coincides with the intersection of all finite index open subgroups of G (see Proposition 2.9). Thus G_i^+ may be replaced by $G_i^{(\infty)}$ in **(Irr1')** when G_i is quasi just-non-compact.

- 2) Among the above implications, $(\mathbf{Irr3}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr1}')$ is the most significant one. Together with $(\mathbf{Irr1}') \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr2})$, they are the only ones where the assumption that the factors are (quasi) just-non-compact is crucially used. We refer to Section 4.2 for more precise information on the logical relations amongst the conditions $(\mathbf{Irr0})$ – $(\mathbf{Irr4})$ under weaker assumptions on the factors.
- 3) The implication $(\mathbf{Irr0}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr2})$ in statement (i) does not hold. Explicit examples show that a cocompact lattice Γ with dense projections in a product of quasi just-non-compact groups may fail to satisfy $(\mathbf{Irr2})$ (see from [11] and [16]). Such lattices are usually not residually finite (see [16, Proposition 2.5], [20, §5.4] and Corollary 4.15 below). See also Corollary 4.16 for conditions that are equivalent to $(\mathbf{Irr2})$ in the context of Theorem H (i).
- 4) Notice that $(\mathbf{Irr4})$ can be viewed as a condition involving all finite index subgroups of Γ . It is thus not surprising that its equivalence with the other irreducibility conditions requires a hypothesis on G that is robust enough to be inherited by the finite index open subgroups of G .
- 5) The hypothesis of cocompactness of Γ in Theorem H and its corollaries is only used to ensure that the intersection of Γ with suitable open subgroups of G are finitely generated. Those statements remain true for non-uniform lattices if one assumes in addition that G has Kazhdan's property (T); see Propositions 4.2 and 4.6 and Remark 4.12 for appropriate modifications in the proofs.
- 6) The Bader–Shalom Normal Subgroup Theorem [4] deals with irreducible lattices in products of just-non-compact groups. Combining Theorem H with their result, we shall establish a version of the Normal Subgroup Theorem for lattices in products of quasi-just-non-compact groups, see Corollary 4.16 below.

A simple decomposition process allows, given a lattice Γ with dense projections in a product of quasi just-non-compact groups, to decompose Γ (up to passing to a finite index overgroup) as a product of factors all satisfying the irreducibility condition $(\mathbf{Irr3})$ (see Corollary 4.13). Theorem H then ensures that each piece also satisfies $(\mathbf{Irr0})$ and $(\mathbf{Irr1}')$. As a by-product, we obtain the following supplementary result for lattices in the product of *three* quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups.

Corollary I. *Let G_1, G_2, G_3 be non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups. Let $\Gamma \leq G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3$ be a cocompact lattice such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has dense image for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then the equivalent conditions $(\mathbf{Irr0})$, $(\mathbf{Irr1}')$ and $(\mathbf{Irr3})$ from Theorem H(i) are automatically satisfied.*

Organization

Section 2 contains preliminary results about general compactly generated tdlc groups, with a special emphasis on quasi just-non-compact groups in §2.3.

In Section 3 we study Chabauty approximations of non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups, and prove Theorem F. This section, notably §3.1, also contains preliminary results that are used in later sections.

Section 4 deals with the aforementioned irreducibility conditions for lattices in products, and contains the proofs of Theorem H and Corollary I. An important ingredient for these proofs is Proposition 4.6.

The main task of Section 5 is to prove Theorem 5.2, which is the key intermediate step in the proofs of Theorems A, B and C. The proof of Theorem 5.2 relies on all the previous sections, and notably on Proposition 4.6.

Section 6 is concerned with discrete groups acting on product of graphs with semiprimitive local action on each factor, and contains the proof of Corollary D. We also prove additional results, namely Theorem 6.8 and Corollary 6.10, from which we deduce Theorem E.

Finally the proof of Theorem G, which is used in Section 5 in the proof of Theorem A in the case of Kazhdan groups, is presented in an appendix.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Richard Weiss for stimulating discussions and for his interest in this work. We also thank the referee for a careful reading of the article and for useful comments and suggestions.

2 On the structure of tdlc groups

2.1 Preliminaries

A locally compact group G is **residually discrete** if the intersection of all open normal subgroups of G is trivial. We will invoke the following result from [15, Corollary 4.1].

Proposition 2.1. *A compactly generated locally compact group G is residually discrete if and only if the compact open normal subgroups form a basis of identity neighbourhoods in G .*

The following result is a direct consequence of a very general result due to Colin Reid [43, Theorem G]. We provide a direct proof for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group with a discrete normal subgroup N such that G/N has a basis of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open normal subgroups. Then G also has this property.*

Proof. If this is not the case, then we may find a compact open subgroup U such that $U \cap N = 1$ and U contains no open normal subgroup of G . Note that this implies in particular that G is not discrete.

Let K be the normal core of U in G , and $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$ the quotient map. Note that $\pi(U)$ is a compact open subgroup of $\pi(G)$ that contains no non-trivial normal subgroup of $\pi(G)$. Moreover the group $\pi(G)$ admits $\pi(N)$ as a discrete normal subgroup, and the quotient $\pi(G)/\pi(N) \simeq G/KN$ has a basis of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open normal subgroups since it is a quotient of G/N . So in order to obtain our contradiction we may assume that $K = 1$.

Consider the family \mathcal{F} of open normal subgroups of G containing N . By Proposition 2.5 in [15], the group $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F}} M$ intersects U non-trivially. But the assumption on G/N implies that the subgroup $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F}} M$ is equal to N . So we obtain that $U \cap N$ is non-trivial, which is our contradiction. \square

A locally compact group is **locally elliptic** if every compact subset is contained in a compact subgroup, and the **locally elliptic radical** is the largest normal subgroup that is locally elliptic. The **polycompact radical** $W(G)$ of a locally compact group G is the union of all compact normal subgroups of G . As observed by Tits, the subgroup $W(G)$ is not necessarily closed in G . The examples given in [48, Proposition 3] are such that every compact normal subgroup is finite, but the subgroup that they generate is a proper dense subgroup. However when G is compactly generated, $W(G)$ is a closed subgroup of G : see [22, Theorem 1.2] and references given there, notably [51]. In particular for a compactly generated group, the property that $W(G)$ is discrete, considered repeatedly in the article, is equivalent to the property that every compact normal subgroup of G is finite.

The following fact will be used repeatedly.

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a locally compact group and H a cocompact closed subgroup. Then every compact normal subgroup of H is contained in a compact normal subgroup of G .*

Proof. By [22, Proposition 2.7], every compact normal subgroup K of H is contained in the polycompact radical $W(G)$. By definition, the polycompact radical is a subgroup of the locally elliptic radical (see [22, Proposition 2.4(6)]). Since the normalizer of K in G contains H , and is thus cocompact in G , and it follows that the union X of the conjugacy classes of elements of K is a compact subset of G . Since

X is contained in the locally elliptic radical of G , it follows that the closed subgroup generated by X is compact. This subgroup is also normal in G and contains K , hence the statement. \square

Following Burger–Mozes, the **quasi-center** of a locally compact group G is the set $\text{QZ}(G)$ of those elements whose centralizer is open. The quasi-center is a (possibly non-closed) topologically characteristic subgroup of G containing all discrete normal subgroups. Recall that a subgroup is **topologically characteristic** if it is invariant by all topological group automorphisms.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group, and Γ a cocompact lattice in G . Then there exists a compact normal subgroup $K \triangleleft G$ such that $K\Gamma \cap N_G(\Gamma)$ has finite index in $N_G(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. The subgroup Γ is cocompact in the compactly generated group G , so Γ is finitely generated. Since Γ is a discrete normal subgroup of $N_G(\Gamma)$, Γ lies in the quasi-center of $N_G(\Gamma)$, i.e. every element of Γ centralizes an open subgroup of $N_G(\Gamma)$. But since Γ is finitely generated, it follows that the centralizer $C_G(\Gamma)$ is open in $N_G(\Gamma)$. If U is a compact open subgroup of $N_G(\Gamma)$ centralized by Γ , then $U\Gamma$ is a subgroup that is open and cocompact in $N_G(\Gamma)$ since Γ is cocompact in G . Therefore $U\Gamma$ has finite index in $N_G(\Gamma)$. Now the compact subgroup U has a cocompact normalizer in G , so it follows from Proposition 2.3 that U is contained in a compact normal subgroup K of G , and $K\Gamma$ indeed contains a finite index subgroup of $N_G(\Gamma)$. \square

Proposition 2.4 has the following consequence:

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group with a discrete polycompact radical. Then every cocompact lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ has finite index in its normalizer $N_G(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let K be as in the conclusion of Proposition 2.4. Since G has a discrete polycompact radical, the subgroup K must be finite, and it follows that Γ has finite index in $K\Gamma \cap N_G(\Gamma)$. Since $K\Gamma \cap N_G(\Gamma)$ has finite index in $N_G(\Gamma)$, the conclusion follows. \square

The special case of the following result when G is topologically simple is due to Barnea–Ershov–Weigel [5, Theorem 4.8]. Recall that a group G is **topologically characteristically simple** if the only closed topologically characteristic subgroups of G are the trivial ones.

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a non-discrete, non-compact, compactly generated tdlc group that is topologically characteristically simple. Then $\text{QZ}(G) = \{1\} = W(G)$.*

Proof. The polycompact radical $W(G)$ is a topologically characteristic subgroup. Assume it is non-trivial. Thus it is dense by hypothesis. Since G is compactly generated, the radical $W(G)$ is closed by [22, Theorem 1.2], so $G = W(G)$. Since the polycompact radical is a subgroup of the locally elliptic radical (see [22, Proposition 2.4(6)]), it follows that G is locally elliptic. Since G is compactly generated, this implies that G is compact, contradicting the hypotheses. Hence $W(G) = \{1\}$.

We next observe that the quasi-center is also a topologically characteristic subgroup. Assume that $\text{QZ}(G)$ is non-trivial. Thus it is dense by hypothesis. It then follows from [15, Proposition 4.3] that the compact open normal subgroups of G form a basis of identity neighbourhoods. In particular $W(G)$ is dense, contradicting the first part of the proof. \square

2.2 Monolithic and just-non-compact groups

A locally compact group G is **monolithic** if the intersection of all non-trivial closed normal subgroups is itself non-trivial. That intersection is then called the **monolith** of G , denoted by $\text{Mon}(G)$. Clearly, every non-trivial minimal closed normal subgroup in a locally compact group must be topologically characteristically simple. Thus a source of groups to which Proposition 2.6 applies is given by the monolith of a compactly generated tdlc group, provided that this monolith is cocompact.

The following consequence of [15, Theorem E] clarifies the link between monolithic groups with a cocompact monolith and just-non-compact groups. A locally compact group is called **hereditarily just-non-compact** if every finite index open subgroup is just-non-compact.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a compactly generated non-discrete tdlc group.*

- (i) *G is just-non-compact if and only if G is monolithic with a non-discrete cocompact monolith. In particular if G is just-non-compact, then $\text{QZ}(G) = 1$.*
- (ii) *G is hereditarily just-non-compact if and only if G is monolithic with a non-discrete cocompact topologically simple monolith.*

Proof. For both (i) and (ii), the ‘only if’ implications follow from [15, Theorem E]. Conversely, if G is compactly generated and monolithic such that $\text{Mon}(G)$ is cocompact, then by the definition of monolithicity, every non-trivial closed normal subgroup of G contains $\text{Mon}(G)$, and is thus cocompact in G . Moreover G cannot be compact, since a compact tdlc group is profinite, hence residually finite, and can thus not be monolithic. Thus the condition of part (i) is indeed sufficient. The fact that G has trivial quasi-center then follows from Proposition 2.6.

Assume now that G is compactly generated and monolithic such that $\text{Mon}(G)$ is cocompact and topologically simple. Let H be an open subgroup of finite index in G . Then H contains an open normal subgroup of finite index in G ; in particular H contains $\text{Mon}(G)$. Given a non-trivial closed normal subgroup N of H , then $N \cap \text{Mon}(G)$ is normal in $\text{Mon}(G)$. Since the latter is topologically simple by hypothesis, we have $N \geq \text{Mon}(G)$ or $N \cap \text{Mon}(G) = 1$. In the latter case we deduce that $N \leq C_G(\text{Mon}(G))$. That centralizer is thus a non-trivial closed normal subgroup of G . It must contain $\text{Mon}(G)$ by definition. This implies that $\text{Mon}(G)$ is self-centralizing, hence abelian. This contradicts the hypothesis that $\text{Mon}(G)$ is topologically simple and non-discrete. We conclude that $N \geq \text{Mon}(G)$. Thus every non-trivial closed normal subgroup of H contains $\text{Mon}(G)$ and is thus cocompact. This proves that G is hereditarily just-non-compact, as required. \square

2.3 Quasi just-non-compact groups

Definition 2.8. Let G be a locally compact group. We say that G is **quasi just-non-compact** if G is non-compact, and every closed normal subgroup of G is either discrete or cocompact.

Following [10], for a tdlc group G , we denote by $G^{(\infty)}$ the intersection of all finite index open subgroups of G . The subgroup $G^{(\infty)}$ is closed and topologically characteristic in G , and coincides with the intersection of all closed cocompact normal subgroups of G .

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a non-discrete compactly generated tdlc group. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) G is quasi just-non-compact;
- (ii) $\text{QZ}(G)$ is a discrete non-cocompact subgroup of G ; $G^{(\infty)}$ is a non-discrete cocompact subgroup of G , and every closed normal subgroup of G is either contained in $\text{QZ}(G)$ or contains $G^{(\infty)}$.

If those conditions hold, then $G/\text{QZ}(G)$ is just-non-compact.

Proof. That (ii) implies (i) is clear by observing that non-discreteness of $G^{(\infty)}$ implies that G cannot be compact. We show that (i) implies (ii). Assume for contradiction that $\text{QZ}(G)$ is not discrete. Then by the assumption that G is quasi just-non-compact, the subgroup $H = \overline{\text{QZ}(G)}$ is cocompact in G . According to [15, Proposition 4.3], H admits a compact open normal subgroup U , which by Proposition 2.3 must be contained in a compact normal subgroup of G . Since every compact normal

subgroup of G is finite, it follows that U is finite, and H is discrete. So $\text{QZ}(G)$ was actually discrete.

Observe that since G is quasi just-non-compact and non-discrete, an open normal subgroup of G necessarily has finite index in G . It follows that $G^{(\infty)}$ coincides with the intersection of all open normal subgroups of G , i.e. $G/G^{(\infty)}$ is a residually discrete group. Therefore by Proposition 2.1 the group $G/G^{(\infty)}$ admits a basis of identity neighbourhoods consisting of compact open normal subgroups. If $G^{(\infty)}$ is discrete, then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that G admits compact open normal subgroups. These are necessarily finite by (i), and it follows that G is discrete, a contradiction. So $G^{(\infty)}$ cannot be discrete, and hence $G^{(\infty)}$ is cocompact in G . To obtain (ii) it only remains to observe that $\text{QZ}(G)$ cannot be cocompact, since otherwise it would contain $G^{(\infty)}$, which would therefore be discrete, a contradiction.

Finally if N is a closed normal subgroup of G containing $\text{QZ}(G)$ properly, then N contains $G^{(\infty)}$, so N is cocompact in G . Thus $N/\text{QZ}(G)$ is cocompact in $G/\text{QZ}(G)$, thereby confirming that $G/\text{QZ}(G)$ is just-non-compact. \square

More information on the structure of compactly generated just-non-compact groups (hence of quasi just-non-compact groups) may be found in [15], [19] and [18]. Following those references, we say that a subgroup of a tdlc group is **locally normal** if its normalizer is open. For the sake of future references, we record the following results.

Proposition 2.10 ([18, Corollary 8.2.4]). *Let G be a non-discrete, compactly generated tdlc group. Assume that G is monolithic, with non-discrete, topologically simple, cocompact monolith. For any prime p , if G has a non-trivial compact locally normal subgroup that is pro- p , then G has a compact open subgroup that is pro- p .*

Proposition 2.11. *Let G be a non-discrete compactly generated just-non-compact tdlc group. Then for any prime p , if G has a non-trivial compact locally normal subgroup that is pro- p , then G has a compact open subgroup that is pro- p .*

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, the group G is monolithic with non-discrete cocompact monolith M , and $\text{QZ}(G) = 1$. Moreover $\text{QZ}(M) = 1$ by Proposition 2.6. In particular M is not abelian, so that $C_G(M) = \{1\}$ since otherwise we would have $M \leq C_G(M)$ by definition of the monolith.

By [15, Theorem E], the monolith M has finitely many minimal closed normal subgroups N_1, \dots, N_ℓ that are topologically simple, and $M = \overline{N_1 \dots N_\ell}$. In particular the G -action by conjugation on M permutes that N_i transitively. Let $G_0 \trianglelefteq G$ the open normal subgroup of finite index that normalizes N_i for all i . For every finite index open subgroup $G_1 \leq G_0$, we have $M \leq G_1$ since $N_i \cap G_1$ is open of finite index

in N_i , and N_i is topologically simple. Moreover every non-trivial closed normal subgroup N of G_1 contains one of the N_i , since otherwise N would commute with N_i for all i , hence be contained in $C_G(M) = \{1\}$. Thus N_1, \dots, N_ℓ are the minimal closed normal subgroups of G_1 . It then follows from [19, Corollary 3.3] that $G_1/C_{G_1}(N_i)$ is monolithic with monolith $\overline{N_i C_{G_1}(N_i)}/C_{G_1}(N_i)$. Notice that this monolith contains $\overline{M C_{G_1}(N_i)}/C_{G_1}(N_i)$ and is thus cocompact. This shows that $R_i = G_0/C_{G_0}(N_i)$ is hereditarily just-non-compact. It then follows from Proposition 2.7(ii) that the monolith of R_i is non-discrete, topologically simple and cocompact. Therefore, if R_i has a non-trivial compact locally normal subgroup that is pro- p , then R_i is pro- p by Proposition 2.10.

Notice that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} C_{G_0}(N_i) = C_{G_0}(M) \leq C_G(M) = \{1\}$. Thus the product homomorphism $G_0 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} R_i$ is injective. Let now L be a non-trivial compact locally normal subgroup of G that is pro- p . If $L \cap G_0 = \{1\}$, then L is finite, hence contained in $\text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$, a contradiction. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $L \leq G_0$. Since the projection $G_0 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} R_i$ is injective, there is i such that the projection of L to R_i has a non-trivial image. It then follows from the previous paragraph that R_i is locally pro- p . Since G normalizes G_0 and acts transitively on the set $\{N_1, \dots, N_\ell\}$, the groups R_1, \dots, R_ℓ are pairwise isomorphic, so that R_i is locally pro- p for every i . In particular, if V is a sufficiently small compact open subgroup of G contained in G_0 , then the projection $G_0 \rightarrow R_i$ maps V onto a pro- p subgroup of R_i . Using again the injectivity of the product map $G_0 \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} R_i$, it follows that V is pro- p . This confirms that G has a compact open pro- p subgroup. \square

3 Chabauty approximations of quasi just-non-compact groups

Recall that we denote by $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ the set of closed subgroups of a locally compact group G . The sets of the form $\{H \in \mathbf{Sub}(G) : H \cap K = \emptyset; H \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i\}$, where $K \subset G$ is compact and $U_1, \dots, U_n \subset G$ are open, form a basis for the Chabauty topology on $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$. Endowed with this topology, the space $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ is compact.

This section aims at studying the properties of the closed subgroups of G contained in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of G in its Chabauty space $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$, under the assumption that G is a non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group.

3.1 On the set of (r, U) -cocompact subgroups

Definition 3.1. Let G be a tdlc group, $U \leq G$ a compact open subgroup and $r \geq 1$. A closed cocompact subgroup $H \leq G$ is said to be (r, U) -**cocompact** if the double coset space $H \backslash G / U$ has cardinality at most r . We will denote by $\mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$ the set of (r, U) -cocompact subgroups of G .

In the sequel we will make use of the notion of **Cayley-Abels graph**. Recall that if G is a compactly generated tdlc group and U is a compact open subgroup of G , a Cayley-Abels graph of G associated to U is a connected locally finite graph on which G acts vertex-transitively, and with vertex stabilizers the conjugates of U . We refer the reader to [23] for a detailed exposition.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group, $U \leq G$ a compact open subgroup and $r \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$ is a clopen subset of $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$.*

Proof. Let V be the intersection of all conjugates of U in G . Since the reduction modulo V induces a well defined continuous surjection $\varphi : \mathbf{Sub}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sub}(G/V)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{r,U/V}(G/V)) = \mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$, it is enough to prove the statement when V is trivial. In this case G acts faithfully on any Cayley-Abels graph associated to U , and the statement follows from [17, Proposition 2.6]. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group. Then for all r, U there exists a finite subset $\Sigma \subset G$ such that $H\Sigma U = G$ for every H in $\mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$.*

Conversely, for every finite subset $\Sigma \subset G$, there is a constant r such that every closed subgroup $H \leq G$ with $H\Sigma U = G$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$.

Proof. Let X be a Cayley-Abels graph of G with respect to U . Then $\mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$ consists of the closed subgroups of G acting with at most r orbits of vertices on X . Since X is connected, for every $H \in \mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$, there is a set of representatives of the vertex orbits of H that spans a connected subgraph. That subgraph has r vertices, and is thus of diameter $< r$.

This shows that the r -ball around every vertex of X contains a set of representatives of the H -orbits for every $H \in \mathcal{C}_{r,U}(G)$. It now suffices to choose a finite Σ such that ΣU contains the r -ball around U in X .

For the converse assertion, one defines r as the number of left cosets of U in ΣU , and the result is clear by definition. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a tdlc group and $O \leq G$ be an open subgroup. Then for all $r \geq 1$, all compact open subgroup U and all (r, U) -cocompact subgroup $H \leq G$, the intersection $H \cap O$ is $(r, U \cap O)$ -cocompact in O .*

Proof. Indeed, the inclusion $O \leq G$ descends to an injective map of the spaces of double cosets $H \cap O \backslash O / U \cap O \rightarrow H \backslash G / U$. \square

3.2 Approximations of quasi just-non-compact groups by their closed subgroups

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group. Then the collection of compactly generated quasi just-non-compact closed subgroups $H \leq G$ forms a neighbourhood of G in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, the quasi-center of G is discrete. Let $U \leq G$ be a compact open subgroup with $U \cap \text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$. Let X be a Cayley–Abels graph for G with vertex set G/U . We claim that G has a Chabauty neighbourhood consisting of cocompact closed subgroups H with the following property: for each closed normal subgroup N of H , if $N \cap U \neq \{1\}$ then N is cocompact in G .

If the claim fails, then there is a sequence (H_k) in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ converging to G , and a sequence (N_k) of closed normal subgroups of H_k such that $N_k \cap U \neq \{1\}$ and N_k is not cocompact in G for any k .

First observe that by Lemma 3.2, there is a Chabauty neighbourhood of G consisting of closed subgroups H that are vertex-transitive on X (hence cocompact in G). Let $H \leq G$ be closed and vertex-transitive, and let N be a closed normal subgroup of H with $N \cap U \neq 1$. Let $x \in VX$ be a vertex such that $U = G_x$. Then there is $r \geq 0$ such that $N_x = N_x^{[r]}$ and $N_x \not\leq N_x^{[r+1]}$. Thus for some vertex $y \in VX$ with $d(x, y) = r$, we have $N_y \not\leq N_y^{[1]}$. Using that N is normal in H and that H is vertex-transitive, we deduce that $N_x \not\leq N_x^{[1]}$.

Coming back to the sequence (N_k) from above, we deduce that, upon extracting, it converges to a closed normal subgroup N of G with $N_x \not\leq N_x^{[1]}$. In particular $N \cap U \neq \{1\}$. Therefore N is not contained in $\text{QZ}(G)$, and it is thus cocompact in G . Invoking Lemma 3.2 again, we deduce that N_k is cocompact in G for all sufficiently large k , a contradiction. This proves the claim.

The claim directly implies that for all $H \in \mathbf{Sub}(G)$ sufficiently close to G , every closed normal subgroup of H that is not cocompact intersects U trivially, and is thus discrete. Thus such a group H is quasi just-non-compact. \square

3.3 Cocompact subgroups that are Chabauty limits of discrete subgroups

Recall that a group H is called **quasi-simple** if H is perfect and $H/Z(H)$ is simple. For a finite group G , a **component** of G is a subnormal subgroup that is quasi-simple. The **layer** of G , denoted by $E(G)$, is the subgroup generated by all quasi-simple subnormal subgroups.

The goal of this subsection is to establish the following.

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group and $U \leq G$ be a compact open subgroup with $\bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1} = \{1\}$. Let (Γ_k) be a sequence of discrete subgroups of G converging to a cocompact subgroup $H \leq G$, and such that $U \cap \Gamma_k \neq \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large k . Assume that for every conjugate V of U , we have $\text{QZ}(V \cap H) = \{1\}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $E(U \cap \Gamma_k) = \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large k .
- (ii) There is a prime p such that H has an infinite compact locally normal subgroup that is pro- p .

The following basic fact is of fundamental importance. It implies that the layer $E(G)$, which is a characteristic subgroup of G , is a perfect central extension of a direct product of non-abelian simple groups.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a finite group and $H \leq G$ be a subnormal subgroup. Given a component L of G , either $L \leq H$ or $[L, H] = \{1\}$. In particular, any two distinct components centralize each other.*

Proof. See [1, (31.4) and (31.5)]. □

The **Fitting subgroup** of a group G , denoted by $F(G)$, is the characteristic subgroup generated by all nilpotent normal subgroups of G . If G is finite, then $F(G)$ coincides with the direct product of all $O_p(G)$, where p runs over all primes dividing the order of G . We recall that $O_p(G)$ denotes the largest normal p -subgroup of the finite group G . In case G is a profinite group, the same symbol denotes the largest closed normal subgroup of G that is a pro- p group. The **generalized Fitting subgroup** of a finite group G , denoted by $F^*(G)$, is defined as $F^*(G) = E(G)F(G)$. Notice that the generalized Fitting subgroup of a non-trivial finite group is non-trivial.

The relevance of those notions to our purposes is revealed by the following subsidiary facts.

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a connected graph and let $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$. Let also $x, y \in VX$. Then for all $r > d(x, y)$, the group $G_x^{[r]}$ is a subnormal subgroup of G_y .*

Proof. Let $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_\ell = x$ be a shortest path from y to x . We have

$$G_y \supseteq G_y^{[1]} \supseteq G_{y, y_1}^{[1]} \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_{y, y_1, \dots, y_{\ell-1}}^{[1]}.$$

Notice that $G_x \geq G_{y, y_1, \dots, y_{\ell-1}}^{[1]}$ and that $G_x^{[r]} \leq G_{y, y_1, \dots, y_{\ell-1}}^{[1]}$ for all $r > \ell = d(x, y)$. Since $G_x^{[r]}$ is normal in G_x , we have $G_{y, y_1, \dots, y_{\ell-1}}^{[1]} \supseteq G_x^{[r]}$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group and let $U \leq G$ be a compact open subgroup with $\bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1} = \{1\}$. Let (Γ_k) be a sequence of discrete subgroups of G converging to a cocompact subgroup $H \leq G$. If $U \cap \Gamma_k \neq \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large k , then there is a conjugate V of U in G which satisfies at least one of the following properties:*

- (i) $E(V \cap \Gamma_k) \neq \{1\}$ for infinitely many k , and $\text{QZ}(V \cap H) \neq \{1\}$.
- (ii) There is a prime p such that $O_p(V \cap \Gamma_k) \neq \{1\}$ for infinitely many k , and $O_p(V \cap H) \neq \{1\}$.

Proof. Let X be a Cayley–Abels graph for (G, U) . Since $\bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1} = \{1\}$, the G -action on X is faithful. Since H is cocompact in G , it has finitely many orbits of vertices on X . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that Γ_k is (r, U) -cocompact in G for all sufficiently large k , where $r = |H \backslash G/U|$.

Let x be the base vertex, i.e. the vertex corresponding to the trivial coset U in $VX = G/U$. Let R be such that the ball $B(x, R)$ contains a representative of the J -orbits of vertices on X for all (r, U) -cocompact subgroups J (see Lemma 3.3).

Since $(\Gamma_k)_x \neq \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large k , we have $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) \neq \{1\}$, so there is $\ell_k \geq 0$ such that $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) \leq (\Gamma_k)_x^{[\ell_k]}$ and $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_x^{[\ell_k+1]}$. We claim that there exist y_k such that $F^*((\Gamma_k)_{y_k}) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_{y_k}^{[2]}$. If $\ell_k \leq 1$, then we may take $y_k = x$. If $\ell_k \geq 2$, then we pick $y_k \in VX$ with $d(x, y_k) = \ell_k - 1$ and $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_{y_k}^{[2]}$. By Lemma 3.8, the group $(\Gamma_k)_x^{[\ell_k]}$ is subnormal in $(\Gamma_k)_{y_k}$, so that $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x^{[\ell_k]}) \leq F^*((\Gamma_k)_{y_k})$. Since $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) \leq (\Gamma_k)_x^{[\ell_k]}$, we have $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) = F^*((\Gamma_k)_x^{[\ell_k]})$, hence $F^*((\Gamma_k)_x) \leq F^*((\Gamma_k)_{y_k})$. Therefore $F^*((\Gamma_k)_{y_k}) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_{y_k}^{[2]}$, and we have proved the claim. Since $B(x, R)$ contains a set of representatives of the Γ_k -orbits of vertices, we may assume that $y_k \in B(x, R)$, and hence upon extracting we may assume that $y_k = y$ for some $y \in B(x, R)$ and all k . We set $V = G_y$, which is conjugate to $U = G_x$. Now we distinguish two cases.

Assume first that $E((\Gamma_k)_y) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$ for infinitely many k . By definition of the layer, we may find a component L_k of $(\Gamma_k)_y$ that is not contained in $(\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$, and upon extracting we may assume that (L_k) converges to a subgroup $L \leq H_y$ with $L \not\leq H_y^{[2]}$. By Lemma 3.7, the component L_k commutes with $(\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$, so it follows that L commutes with $H_y^{[2]} = \lim_k (\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$ (recalling that taking an intersection with a fixed open subgroup is a Chabauty continuous operator on the $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$). Thus the centralizer of $L \leq H_y$ in H_y contains $H_y^{[2]}$, and is thus open. It follows that $\text{QZ}(V \cap H) = \text{QZ}(H_y) \neq \{1\}$. Thus the case (i) of the statement occurs.

Assume in a second case that $E((\Gamma_k)_y) \leq (\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$ for all but finitely many k . Then we have $F((\Gamma_k)_y) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$ all but finitely many k since $E((\Gamma_k)_y)F((\Gamma_k)_y) = F^*((\Gamma_k)_y) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$. Since $(\Gamma_k)_y$ acts faithfully on the regular graph X fixing the vertex y , it follows that every prime dividing the order of $(\Gamma_k)_y$ is at most the degree of X . Therefore, there must exist a prime p such that $O_p((\Gamma_k)_y) \not\leq (\Gamma_k)_y^{[2]}$ for infinitely many k . Upon extracting, the sequence $O_p((\Gamma_k)_y)$ converges to a closed normal pro- p -subgroup of H_y that is not contained in $H_y^{[2]}$. In particular $O_p(H_y) \not\leq \{1\}$, so that the case (ii) of the statement occurs. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.6. We apply Lemma 3.9. Since the conclusion (i) of the lemma is ruled out by our assumption, it follows that the conclusion (ii) must hold. Therefore there is a conjugate V of U in G such that $E(\Gamma_k \cap V) = \{1\}$ for all sufficiently large k , and that $K = O_p(V \cap H)$ is non-trivial for some prime p . Thus K is a locally normal subgroup of H that is pro- p . If K is finite then K must lie inside $\text{QZ}(V \cap H)$, which is absurd because $\text{QZ}(V \cap H)$ is trivial. So K is infinite, and the statement holds. \square

3.4 Approximations of quasi just-non-compact groups by discrete subgroups

Theorem 3.10. *Let L be a compactly generated tdlc group admitting a compact open subgroup U such that $\bigcap_{l \in L} lUl^{-1} = \{1\}$. Assume that there exists a closed cocompact subgroup $G \leq L$ that is quasi just-non-compact and non-discrete, and a sequence of discrete subgroups of L that Chabauty converges to a finite index open subgroup $H \leq G$. Then there is a prime p and a compact open subgroup of G that is a pro- p group.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, the quasi-center $\text{QZ}(G)$ is discrete, so without loss of generality we may assume that $U \cap \text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$. Also since $\text{QZ}(G)$ is normal in G and G is cocompact in L , upon passing to an open subgroup of U we may also assume that $\text{QZ}(G)$ intersects trivially all L -conjugates of U (by replacing U by $\bigcap_{i=1}^r l_i U l_i^{-1}$, where $l_1 U, \dots, l_r U$ are representatives for the G -orbits in L/U). Hence whenever V is an L -conjugate of U , we have $\text{QZ}(V \cap H) \leq V \cap \text{QZ}(G) = 1$ since H is an open subgroup of G . Since H is non-discrete, the discrete groups Γ_k intersect U non-trivially for large enough k . Therefore it follows from Proposition 3.6 that there is a prime p such that H has an infinite compact locally normal subgroup K that is pro- p . Since H is open in G , that subgroup K is also locally normal in G . Note that $(K \cap U) \cap \text{QZ}(G) \leq U \cap \text{QZ}(G) = 1$, so the quotient group $G/\text{QZ}(G)$ also has an infinite

compact subgroup that is locally normal and pro- p . By Proposition 2.9 $G/\text{QZ}(G)$ is just-non-compact, and it is also compactly generated, so by Proposition 2.11 the group $G/\text{QZ}(G)$ must have an open pro- p subgroup. It follows that the same is true in G since $\text{QZ}(G)$ is a discrete subgroup of G . \square

We now complete the proof of Theorem F from the introduction.

Proof of Theorem F. We let G be a non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group, and H an open subgroup of finite index in G that is a Chabauty limit of a sequence of discrete subgroups of G . Since G is quasi just-non-compact, every compact normal subgroup of G is finite. In particular the group G admits a compact open subgroup U such that $\bigcap_{g \in G} gUg^{-1} = \{1\}$. Hence we may apply Theorem 3.10 with $L = G$, and we deduce that there exist a prime p and an open pro- p subgroup V of G . We have to show that V is not topologically finitely generated. Argue by contradiction and assume that V is topologically finitely generated. Then so is $W = V \cap H$. Since W is also pro- p , it follows that W has an open Frattini subgroup [24, Proposition 1.14], and hence W is an isolated point of $\mathbf{Sub}(W)$ [28, Theorem 5.6]. Since (Γ_k) converges to H , the sequence of intersections $(\Gamma_k \cap W)$ converges to W , so that Γ_k contains the open subgroup W for all sufficiently large k , contradicting that Γ_k is discrete. \square

Combining Theorem F with Proposition 3.5, the following result is immediate.

Corollary 3.11. *Let G be a non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc group. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *G has a compact open subgroup that is topologically finitely generated.*
- (2) *No compact open subgroup is pro- p for any prime p .*

Then the collection of non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact closed subgroups $H \leq G$ forms a neighbourhood of G in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$.

Remark 3.12. That result is of special interest when the group G is topologically simple. If G is not an isolated point in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ and if G satisfies (1) or (2), then G is Chabauty approximated by non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact groups. Those are subjected to Proposition 2.9 and [15, Theorem E], hence involve quasi products of compactly generated simple groups. This might be a tool to construct new compactly generated simple groups from known ones.

4 Irreducibility conditions for lattices in products

4.1 Cocompact closed subgroups in products

The proof of **(Irr3)** \Rightarrow **(Irr1')** in Theorem H is based on Propositions 4.1 and 4.6, which are of independent interest.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G_1 be a tdlc group, G_2 be compactly generated locally compact group with a discrete polycompact radical, and $H \leq G = G_1 \times G_2$ a closed cocompact subgroup. If $H \cap G_2$ is a discrete subgroup of G_2 , then $H \cap G_1$ is open in H .*

Proof. Let U_1 be a compact open subgroup of G_1 , and let $O = H \cap (U_1 \times G_2)$. Note that O is compactly generated as it is cocompact in the compactly generated group $U_1 \times G_2$. We write $N = H \cap G_2$, which is contained in O . The group O/N embeds continuously in the profinite group U_1 , and hence O/N is residually discrete. Since N is discrete by assumption, Proposition 2.1 together with Proposition 2.2 imply that the group O admits a compact open normal subgroup K . Now according to Proposition 2.3 the subgroup K is contained in a compact normal subgroup of $U_1 \times G_2$ since K has a cocompact normalizer in $U_1 \times G_2$. But G_2 has a discrete polycompact radical, so every compact normal subgroup of $U_1 \times G_2$ has a finite projection to the factor G_2 . In particular there is a finite index closed subgroup of K that is contained in U_1 , and $H \cap G_1$ is open in H . \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let G_1, G_2 be tdlc groups, and suppose that G_2 has property (T) and G_2 has a discrete amenable radical. If H is a closed subgroup of finite covolume in G , then the conclusion of Proposition 4.1 holds.*

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as Proposition 4.1. The subgroup $O = H \cap (U_1 \times G_2)$ has finite covolume in $U_1 \times G_2$, and hence also has property (T). In particular O is compactly generated. By the same argument as above, we see that O admits a compact open normal subgroup K , so that $p_2(K)$ is a compact normal subgroup of $p_2(O)$. Now since $p_2(O)$ has finite covolume in G_2 , the amenable radical of $p_2(O)$ must be contained in the amenable radical of G_2 (by [27, Proposition 4.4] and [26, Proposition 7]; or by the main result of [2]). Since G_2 has a discrete amenable radical, the subgroup $p_2(K)$ is therefore contained in a discrete subgroup of G_2 . So K has a finite projection to G_2 , and $H \cap G_1$ is open in H . \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly generated tdlc groups with $W(G_i)$ discrete for every i . Let $H \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a closed cocompact subgroup such that $H \cap G_i$ is discrete in G_i for all i . Then H is discrete.*

Proof. Since the polycompact radical of a finite product is the product of the polycompact radicals, every subproduct of G has a discrete polycompact radical, so we deduce that $\text{Ker}(p_i|_H)$ is open in H for every i according to Proposition 4.1. Therefore $\bigcap_i \text{Ker}(p_i|_H) = 1$ remains open in H , and hence H is discrete. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be a product of tdlc groups and $H \leq G$ be a closed subgroup such that $H \cap G_2$ is cocompact in G_2 . For each compact open subgroup U_2 of G_2 , there is a compact open subgroup U_1 of G_1 such that for each open subgroup $V_1 \leq U_1$, the projections $p_2(H \cap (V_1 \times G_2))$ and $p_2(H \cap (U_1 \times G_2))$ have the same orbits on G_2/U_2 .*

Proof. For each compact open subgroup $W \leq G_1$, the intersection $H \cap (W \times G_2)$ contains $H \cap G_2$. Thus the number of orbits on G_2/U_2 of the projection $p_2(H \cap (W \times G_2))$ is bounded above by the number of $(H \cap G_2)$ -orbits, which is finite in view of the hypothesis that $H \cap G_2$ is cocompact in G_2 . Let M be the maximum of the number of orbits over all possible W , and let $U_1 \leq G_1$ be compact open subgroup such that $p_2(H \cap (U_1 \times G_2))$ has exactly M orbits on G_2/U_2 . Then for every open subgroup $V_1 \leq U_1$, the projection $p_2(H \cap (V_1 \times G_2))$ has also M orbits on G_2/U_2 . Since $H \cap (V_1 \times G_2)$ is a subgroup of $H \cap (U_1 \times G_2)$, it follows that $p_2(H \cap (V_1 \times G_2))$ and $p_2(H \cap (U_1 \times G_2))$ must have the same orbits on G_2/U_2 . \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be a product of compactly generated tdlc groups and $H \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. Assume that $p_1(H)$ is dense in G_1 .*

- (i) *If $H \cap G_2$ is cocompact in G_2 , then for each compact open subgroup U_2 of G_2 , the projection $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2))$ is an open subgroup of G_1 .*
- (ii) *If there is a compact open subgroup U_2 of G_2 such that $(H \cap G_2)U_2 = G_2$, then $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2)) = G_1$.*

Proof. (i) We fix a compact open subgroup $U_1 \leq G_1$ afforded by Lemma 4.4. Let also $u \in U_1$ and $V_1 \leq U_1$ be any open subgroup of U_1 . Since $p_1(H)$ is dense in G_1 , there exists $h \in H$ with $p_1(h) \in V_1 u \subset U_1$. In particular, we have $h \in H \cap (U_1 \times G_2)$. By Lemma 4.4, there exists $h' \in H \cap (V_1 \times G_2)$ such that $h'' = h'h \in G_1 \times U_2$. We have $h'' \in H \cap (G_1 \times U_2)$ and $p_1(h'') = p_1(h')p_1(h) \in V_1 u$. Since V_1 was arbitrary, we infer that the closure of $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2))$ contains U_1 , and is thus open in G_1 . But the subgroup U_2 being compact, the projection $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2))$ is closed, so we actually deduce that $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2))$ is open, as required.

(ii) By hypothesis $p_1(H)$ is dense in G_1 and by (i), $p_1(H)$ is open in G_1 . Thus $p_1(H) = G_1$. Let now $g \in G_1$ and choose $h \in H$ with $p_1(h) = g$. Since $(H \cap G_2)U_2 = G_2$

G_2 , there exists $h' \in H \cap G_2$ with $h'p_2(h) = p_2(h'h) \in U_2$. Hence $h'h \in H \cap (G_1 \times U_2)$. Since $p_1(h') = 1$, we have $p_1(h'h) = p_1(h) = g$. \square

The following result can be compared with [12, Proposition 2.2], which is concerned with closed cocompact subgroups of a product of two locally quasi-primitive groups of automorphisms of locally finite trees.

Proposition 4.6. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly generated tdlc groups that are quasi-just-non-compact. Let $H \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a closed subgroup, such that the image of $p_i : H \rightarrow G_i$ is dense for every i ; and the image of $p_\Sigma : H \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ is non-discrete for every non-empty $\Sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) H is cocompact in G .
- (2) H is of finite covolume in G , and G has Kazhdan's property (T).

Then the following hold:

- (i) H contains $K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$ for some closed cocompact normal subgroups $K_i \triangleleft G_i$. In particular H is cocompact in G .
- (ii) For every i and every compact open subgroup $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \leq G$, the projection $p_i(H \cap (U_1 \times \dots \times G_i \times \dots \times U_n))$ is a finite index open subgroup of G_i .

Proof. For every i we write $K_i = H \cap G_i$. The subgroup K_i is centralized by $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$ and normalized by H . So the normalizer of K_i in G , which is a closed subgroup, contains the subgroup $(\prod_{j \neq i} G_j)H$, which is dense in G by assumption. Therefore K_i is normal in G , and hence is either discrete or cocompact in G_i since G_i is quasi-just-non-compact.

We let $\Pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of i such that K_i is not discrete, and Σ be the complement of Π . We have to show that $\Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\Sigma = \emptyset$. The group $K_\Pi = \prod_{i \in \Pi} K_i$ is cocompact in G_Π , so the projection $p_\Sigma : G \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ factors through a proper map $G/K_\Pi \rightarrow G_\Sigma$. Moreover K_Π is contained in H , so that the quotient H/K_Π is a closed subgroup of G/K_Π . Since map $G/K_\Pi \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ is proper, it follows that the projection of H/K_Π to G_Σ , which is also the projection of H to G_Σ , has a closed image.

If (1) holds, then we may invoke Proposition 4.1 and deduce that $\text{Ker}(p_j|_H)$ is open in H for every $j \notin \Pi$. If (2) holds, then we observe that for every i , the amenable radical of G_i is discrete, since it cannot be cocompact by the hypothesis that G_i is a non-compact group with property (T). In particular the amenable radical of $\prod_{i \neq j} G_i$

is discrete for all j . Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, the conclusion of Proposition 4.1 also holds in that case, and we deduce again that for every $j \notin \Pi$, the subgroup $\text{Ker}(p_j|_H)$ is open in H .

It follows that $H \cap G_\Pi = \bigcap_{j \notin \Pi} \text{Ker}(p_j|_H)$ is an open subgroup of H . Since projection $H \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ has closed image and factors through the discrete group $H/H \cap G_\Pi$, we infer that the projection of H to G_Σ has discrete image. In view of the hypotheses, this implies that Σ is the empty set. Therefore $\Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and the proof of (i) is complete.

In order to prove (ii), we fix i and write $L_i = p_i(H \cap (U_1 \times \dots \times G_i \times \dots \times U_n))$. According to (i) we have that $H \cap \prod_{j \neq i} G_j$ is cocompact in $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$, so we may apply Proposition 4.5, which says that L_i is an open subgroup of G_i . But by (i) again we also know that L_i is cocompact in G_i , and hence L_i is a finite index subgroup of G_i . \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups. Let $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a cocompact lattice such that $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and satisfying **(Irr3)**. Then for every $\Sigma \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, the closure of the image of $p_\Sigma: \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ contains $\prod_{i \in \Sigma} G_i^{(\infty)}$.*

Proof. First note that the condition **(Irr3)** implies that all factors G_i are non-discrete. Fix $\Sigma \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, and write $H = p_\Sigma(\Gamma)$. Note that there is nothing to prove if Σ is a singleton, so we assume that Σ has cardinality at least two. The subgroup H is closed and cocompact in G_Σ , and has a dense projection on each G_i for $i \in \Sigma$. Since Γ satisfies **(Irr3)**, Proposition 4.6 can be applied to the group H inside G_Σ . So we deduce that H contains $\prod_{i \in \Sigma} K_i$ for some closed cocompact normal subgroups $K_i \leq G_i$. By Proposition 2.9 we must have $G_i^{(\infty)} \leq K_i$, whence the statement. \square

We end this paragraph with an application to commensurators of lattices. Recall that an irreducible lattice Γ in a semi-simple Lie group G with trivial center and no compact factor has a commensurator $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ that is either discrete or dense in G , and $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ is dense if and only if Γ is an arithmetic lattice [37, Theorem IX.B]. The following statement shows that a similar “discrete or dense” dichotomy holds in the setting of products of quasi just-non-compact groups.

Corollary 4.8. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups, and $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$. Assume that at least $n-1$ factors satisfy $G_i = G_i^\infty$. Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a lattice such that $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and satisfying **(Irr3)**. Assume also that Γ is cocompact, or that G has Kazhdan’s property (T). Then $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ is either discrete or dense in G .*

Proof. We let H be the closure of $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ in G . We have to show that either H is discrete or $H = G$. Assume that H is not discrete. Then we may apply Proposition 4.6, and we deduce that H contains $K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$ for some closed cocompact normal subgroups $K_i \triangleleft G_i$. That $G_i = G_i^\infty$ is equivalent to saying that G_i has no proper cocompact closed normal subgroup, so it follows that H contains G_i for all but possibly one factor. But since H is a closed subgroup of G and H has a dense projection to this remaining factor, we deduce that $H = G$ as required. \square

4.2 Relations between the irreducibility conditions

Lemma 4.9. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be locally compact groups that are all non-compact. For a lattice $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$, we have $(\mathbf{Irr2}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr3})$, $(\mathbf{Irr2}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr0})$, and $(\mathbf{Irr4}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr0})$.*

Proof. If $(\mathbf{Irr3})$ fails, there exists a partition $\Sigma \cup \Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\Pi, \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, such that $p_\Sigma(\Gamma)$ is discrete in G_Σ . Since $\overline{p_\Sigma(\Gamma)}$ is also a lattice in G_Σ , it follows that p_Σ maps Γ onto a lattice in G_Σ . It then follows from [42, Theorem I.1.13] that $\text{Ker}(p_\Sigma|_\Gamma) = \Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is a lattice in G_Π , which is non-compact by assumption. So $\Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is non-trivial, which contradicts $(\mathbf{Irr2})$.

If $(\mathbf{Irr0})$ fails, i.e. if $(G_\Pi \cap \Gamma)(G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma)$ is of finite index in Γ for some partition $\Pi \cup \Sigma = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\Pi, \Sigma \neq \emptyset$, then arguing as above we see that $G_\Pi \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in G_Π , and obtain again a contradiction with $(\mathbf{Irr2})$.

If $(\mathbf{Irr0})$ fails, then there exists a partition $\Pi \cup \Sigma = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\Pi, \Sigma \neq \emptyset$ such that the direct product $\Gamma_1 = (G_\Pi \cap \Gamma)(G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma)$ is of finite index in Γ . In particular Γ_1 is a lattice in $G = G_\Pi \times G_\Sigma$. It follows that $G_\Pi \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in G_Π and $G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in G_Σ . Thus both factors in the direct product decomposition of Γ_1 are non-trivial, so that $(\mathbf{Irr4})$ fails. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be locally compact groups, and $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ a discrete subgroup. Let $\Sigma \cup \Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a partition.*

- (i) *Assume that $\text{QZ}(G_i) = 1$ for every $i \in \Pi$. If $p_\Pi : \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Pi$ has dense image, then $\Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is trivial. In particular if we have $\text{QZ}(G_i) = 1$ for every i , then $(\mathbf{Irr1}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr2})$.*
- (ii) *Assume that G_i is monolithic for all $i \in \Pi$, with $\text{QZ}(\text{Mon}(G_i)) = \{1\}$. If the closure of the image of $p_\Pi : \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Pi$ contains $G_\Pi^+ = \prod_{i \in \Pi} \text{Mon}(G_i)$, then $\Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is trivial. In particular, if G_i is monolithic with $\text{QZ}(\text{Mon}(G_i)) = \{1\}$ for all i , then $(\mathbf{Irr1}') \Rightarrow (\mathbf{Irr2})$.*

Proof. (i) The subgroup $\Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is normalized by Γ , and centralized by G_Σ . Since the subgroup $G_\Sigma \Gamma$ is dense in G by our assumption on the projection of Γ on G_Π , it follows that $\Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is a discrete normal subgroup of G . Therefore it lies in the quasi-center $\text{QZ}(G_\Pi)$. Notice that the quasi-center of a product group is the product of their quasi-centers (see [20, Lemma 5.5]). So $\text{QZ}(G_\Pi)$ is trivial, and so is $\Gamma \cap G_\Pi$.

(ii) Let $H = \overline{p_\Pi(\Gamma)}$. We have $H \geq G_\Pi^+$ by hypothesis. The group $N = \Gamma \cap G_\Pi$ is a discrete subgroup of H normalized by Γ , hence by $\overline{p_\Pi(\Gamma)}$. Thus it is normal in H , hence contained in $\text{QZ}(H)$. Again G_Π^+ has trivial quasi-center since all $\text{Mon}(G_i)$ have this property for $i \in \Pi$, so

$$N \cap G_\Pi^+ \leq \text{QZ}(H) \cap G_\Pi^+ \leq \text{QZ}(G_\Pi^+) = \{1\}$$

since $H \geq G_\Pi^+$. Thus N and G_Π^+ are normal subgroups of H with a trivial intersection, hence they commute. On the other hand we have $C_{G_\Pi}(G_\Pi^+) = \prod_{i \in \Pi} C_{G_i}(\text{Mon}(G_i))$. Observe that $C_{G_i}(\text{Mon}(G_i))$ must be trivial, since otherwise $\text{Mon}(G_i)$ would be abelian, and hence equal to its quasi-center, which contradicts the hypothesis that $\text{QZ}(\text{Mon}(G_i)) = \{1\}$. We deduce that N is trivial, which is the required conclusion. \square

Proposition 4.11. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly generated tdlc groups, and $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ a cocompact lattice. Let $\Sigma \cup \Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a partition such that $W(G_i)$ is discrete for every $i \in \Pi$ and such that $p_\Sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow G_\Sigma$ has discrete image. Then $p_\Pi(\Gamma)$ is discrete and $(G_\Pi \cap \Gamma)(G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma)$ has finite index in Γ .*

*In particular if $W(G_i)$ is discrete for every i and Γ is a cocompact lattice, then **(Irr0)** \Rightarrow **(Irr3)**.*

Proof. Since $\overline{p_\Sigma(\Gamma)}$ is cocompact in G_Σ , it follows that p_Σ maps Γ onto a cocompact lattice in G_Σ . It then follows from [42, Theorem I.1.13] that $\text{Ker}(p_\Sigma|_\Gamma) = G_\Pi \cap \Gamma$ is a cocompact lattice in G_Π . Notice that $G_\Pi \cap \Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of G_Π normalized by $H = \overline{p_\Pi(\Gamma)}$. Since having a discrete polycyclic radical is stable under taking finite direct products, from Corollary 2.5 we infer that H is discrete. In particular $p_\Pi(\Gamma)$ is discrete. Using again [42, Theorem I.1.13] it now follows $\text{Ker}(p_\Pi|_\Gamma) = G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma$ is a cocompact lattice in G_Σ . Thus $\Gamma_1 = (G_\Pi \cap \Gamma)(G_\Sigma \cap \Gamma)$ is a cocompact lattice in $G = G_\Pi \times G_\Sigma$. The index $|\Gamma : \Gamma_1|$ is thus finite. \square

Remark 4.12. In Proposition 4.11 the assumption that Γ is cocompact in G can be replaced by the assumptions that Γ is finitely generated and all groups G_i have discrete amenable radical, by invoking [20, Corollary 5.4] instead of Corollary 2.5. In particular it is for instance enough that all groups G_i are quasi just-non-compact with property (T).

Corollary 4.13. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly generated tdlc groups with discrete polycompact radical, and $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a cocompact lattice such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has dense image for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

*Then there is a partition $\Pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Pi_\ell$ of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, the projection $\Gamma_{\Pi_j} = p_{\Pi_j}(\Gamma) \leq G_{\Pi_j}$ is a cocompact lattice in G_{Π_j} satisfying **(Irr3)** and **(Irr0)**, and Γ is contained as a finite index subgroup of the product $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \Gamma_{\Pi_j}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.11, for every partition $\Pi \cup \Sigma$ of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, if the projection $p_{\Pi}(\Gamma)$ is discrete, then $p_{\Sigma}(\Gamma)$ is discrete as well. Using this and a straightforward induction, we deduce that there is a partition $\Pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Pi_\ell$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)$ is discrete, and $p_{\Sigma_i}(\Gamma)$ is non-discrete for all i , and all $\Sigma_i \subsetneq \Pi_i$. Now, for all i , by construction, the projection $p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)$ is a lattice in G_{Π_i} that satisfies **(Irr3)** (and hence also **(Irr0)**). It is clear that Γ is contained in $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)$. Since the latter is a lattice in G and since Γ is also a lattice, it follows that the index of Γ in $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)$ is finite. \square

Remark 4.14. As before, Corollary 4.13 is also valid for non-uniform lattices provided all groups G_i are quasi just-non-compact with property (T). The small modifications required in the proof are indicated in Remark 4.12.

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem H

Proof of Theorem H. We assume henceforth that G_1, \dots, G_n are non-discrete compactly generated quasi just-non-compact groups, and $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ is a cocompact lattice such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has dense image for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Recall that by Proposition 2.9 for all i we have that $\text{QZ}(G_i)$ is discrete in G_i , $G_i^{(\infty)}$ is a non-discrete cocompact subgroup of G_i and every normal subgroup of G_i is either contained in $\text{QZ}(G_i)$ or contains $G_i^{(\infty)}$.

(Irr2) \Rightarrow **(Irr0)** and **(Irr4)** \Rightarrow **(Irr0)** follow from Lemma 4.9.

(Irr0) \Rightarrow **(Irr3)** is consequence of Proposition 4.11 since we have $W(G_i) \leq \text{QZ}(G_i)$ for all i .

(Irr3) \Rightarrow **(Irr1')** follows from Corollary 4.7.

So in order to complete the proof of statement (i) of Theorem H, we need to show **(Irr1')** \Rightarrow **(Irr0)**. If **(Irr0)** fails then there is a partition $\Pi \cup \Sigma = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\Pi, \Sigma \neq \emptyset$ such that $(G_{\Pi} \cap \Gamma)(G_{\Sigma} \cap \Gamma)$ has finite index in Γ . In particular $p_{\Sigma}(G_{\Sigma} \cap \Gamma)$ is a discrete subgroup which has finite index in $p_{\Sigma}(\Gamma)$, and it follows that $p_{\Sigma}(\Gamma)$ is discrete. Therefore the closure of $p_{\Sigma}(\Gamma)$ cannot contain $\prod_{i \in \Sigma} G_i^{(\infty)}$ since the latter is non-discrete, so **(Irr1')** also fails.

We now make the additional assumption that $\text{QZ}(G_i) = 1$ for all i , i.e. that each factor G_i is just-non-compact. In particular, G_i is monolithic with a non-discrete cocompact monolith by Proposition 2.7. The monolith $\text{Mon}(G_i)$ is compactly generated (because it is cocompact), non-discrete and characteristically simple. Thus it has a trivial quasi-center and trivial polycompact radical by Proposition 2.6. We may therefore apply Lemma 4.10(ii), which shows that **(Irr1')** \Rightarrow **(Irr2)**. This completes the proof of statement (ii).

Finally in order to have (iii), it remains to prove the implication **(Irr2)** \Rightarrow **(Irr4)** under the extra assumption that all factors G_i are hereditarily just-non-compact. Assume that **(Irr4)** fails and let $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ be a finite index subgroup of Γ such that $\Lambda = A \times B$ with A, B non-trivial. Let $H_i = \overline{p_i(\Lambda)}$ for all i . The index of H_i in G_i is bounded above by $[\Gamma : \Lambda]$, and is thus finite. In particular H_i is hereditarily just-non-compact by assumption. For each i , the closures $\overline{p_i(A)}$ and $\overline{p_i(B)}$ are closed normal subgroup of H_i that centralizer each other. If they are both non-trivial, then they both contain the monolith of H_i , which must then be abelian. This contradicts Proposition 2.7(ii). Thus for each i , either $p_i(A) = \{1\}$ or $p_i(B) = \{1\}$. It follows that **(Irr2)** fails. \square

Proof of Corollary I. Consider the partition $\Pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Pi_\ell$ given by Corollary 4.13. If $\ell > 1$ then one block Π_j must have cardinality one. If i is the unique element of Π_j , then the projection $p_i(\Gamma)$ is both discrete and dense in the non-discrete group G_i , which is absurd. So $\ell = 1$, and Γ satisfies **(Irr3)**. By Theorem H it also satisfies **(Irr0)** and **(Irr1')**, and we are done. \square

We present two supplements to Theorem H in case of groups with trivial amenable radical.

The first one relates condition **(Irr2)** to residual finiteness of the lattice, relying on [20]. It requires the ambient group to have a trivial amenable radical.

Corollary 4.15. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact, tdlc groups with trivial amenable radical. Let $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a cocompact lattice such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has dense image for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $n \geq 4$, assume in addition that Γ satisfies **(Irr0)**. If Γ is residually finite, then it satisfies **(Irr2)**.*

Proof. By [20, Theorem 5.13], the hypothesis that Γ is residually finite implies that $\text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$. Therefore $\text{QZ}(G_i) = \{1\}$ for all i , so that G_i is just-non-compact. It then follows from Theorem H and Corollary I that **(Irr2)** holds. \square

We emphasize that a lattice can satisfy **(Irr2)** without being residually finite: this is illustrate by the Burger–Mozes simple lattices constructed in [11].

The second result enumerates other properties that are formally equivalent to **(Irr2)**. It uses in an essential way the Normal Subgroup Theorem due to Bader–Shalom [4]. We recall that a group Γ is called **just-infinite** if Γ is infinite and every non-trivial normal subgroup of Γ is of finite index.

Corollary 4.16. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact, tdlc groups. Let $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a cocompact lattice such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has dense image for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $n \geq 4$, assume in addition that Γ satisfies **(Irr0)**. Consider the following conditions.*

- (i) Γ satisfies **(Irr2)**.
- (ii) $\text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$.
- (iii) G_i is just-non-compact for all i .
- (iv) Γ is just-infinite.

Then we have $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (i) \Leftarrow (iv)$.

If in addition G_i has a trivial locally elliptic radical for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) are all equivalent.

Proof. Assume that $\text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$. Then $\text{QZ}(G_i) = \{1\}$ for all i , so that G_i is just-non-compact by Proposition 2.9, so (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

Conversely, if G_i is just-non-compact for all i , then $\text{QZ}(G_i) = \{1\}$ by Proposition 2.7, hence $\text{QZ}(G) = \{1\}$ by [20, Lemma 5.5], so (iii) \Rightarrow (ii).

If G_i is just-non-compact for all i , then **(Irr2)** holds by Theorem H and Corollary I. Thus (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Assume that Γ does not satisfy **(Irr2)**, then there exists i such that $p_i: \Gamma \rightarrow G_i$ has a non-trivial kernel. Since the projection $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i , it is an infinite group. Hence we have found a non-trivial normal subgroup of Γ which is of infinite index. Thus (iv) \Rightarrow (i).

We now assume in addition that G_i has a trivial locally elliptic radical for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We must show that (i) \Rightarrow (iii) and (i) \Rightarrow (iv). We assume henceforth that **(Irr2)** holds. Then for all i , the projection of Γ to $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$ is injective. Denoting by H the closure of the projection of Γ to $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$, we see that H is a closed cocompact subgroup of $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$ of finite covolume. Therefore every lattice in H is a lattice in $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$, and thus has a trivial centralizer by [20, Corollary 5.3]. We may then invoke [20, Lemma 5.11], which ensures that $\text{QZ}(G_i) = \{1\}$. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

By [4, Theorem 3.7(iv)] and [44, Theorem 0.1], we know that for every normal subgroup N of Γ , the quotient Γ/N is finite provided $G_i/\overline{p_i(N)}$ is compact for all i .

If N is non-trivial and Γ satisfies **(Irr2)**, then G_i is just non-compact (as we have seen in the previous paragraph) and $\overline{p_i(N)}$ is a non-trivial closed normal subgroup, so the quotient $G_i/\overline{p_i(N)}$ is indeed compact. Thus (i) \Rightarrow (iv). \square

5 Covolume bounds

5.1 A Chabauty continuity property of projection maps

Given a locally compact group G and a continuous homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow Q$, the induced map $\varphi_*: \mathbf{Sub}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sub}(Q) : H \mapsto \overline{\varphi(H)}$ need not be continuous. For example, consider $G = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ and $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the projection to the first factor. The sequence $H_n = n\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}] = \{n(a + b\sqrt{2}, a - b\sqrt{2}) : a, b \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ Chabauty converges to the trivial subgroup of G , but $\varphi(H_n)$ is dense in \mathbf{R} for all n . However, the map φ_* is always semi-continuous, in the sense of the first assertion of the following proposition. Crucial for our purposes is the fact that the map φ_* is actually continuous under an assumption of (r, U) -cocompactness:

Proposition 5.1. *Let G and Q be locally compact groups and $\varphi: G \rightarrow Q$ be a continuous homomorphism. Let also (H_k) be a net of closed subgroups of G that Chabauty converges to $H \leq G$.*

- (i) *Any accumulation point of the net $(\overline{\varphi(H_k)})$ in the Chabauty space $\mathbf{Sub}(Q)$ contains $\overline{\varphi(H)}$.*
- (ii) *Assume in addition that G is compactly generated tdlc and that (H_k) is (r, U) -cocompact for some r, U and all k . Then $(\overline{\varphi(H_k)})$ Chabauty converges to $\overline{\varphi(H)}$.*

Proof. Assertion (i) is an easy verification, and we leave the details to the reader.

For (ii), let $J \leq Q$ be an accumulation point of the net $(\overline{\varphi(H_k)})$ and let $(\overline{\varphi(H_{k'})})$ be a subnet converging to J . Let $q \in J$ and let $V \leq Q$ be any compact open subgroup. For all sufficiently large k , the intersection $qV \cap \overline{\varphi(H_{k'})}$ is non-empty. Thus there exists $h_{k'} \in H_{k'}$ with $\varphi(h_{k'}) \in qV$. Since $O = \varphi^{-1}(V)$ is an open subgroup of G , it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $H_k \cap O$ is $(r, U \cap O)$ -cocompact in O for all k . By Lemma 3.3, there exists a compact subset $L \subset O$ such that $L(H_k \cap O) = O$ for all k . In particular $gL(H_{k'} \cap O) = h_{k'}O$ for all k , where $g \in G$ is a fixed element with $\varphi(g) \in qV$. Hence, for all k , we may find $y_{k'} \in H_{k'} \cap O$ such that $h_{k'}y_{k'} \in gL$. Since gL is compact, we may assume after a further extraction that $(h_{k'}y_{k'})_k$ converges to some limit h , which must belong to $H = \lim_k H_k$. We deduce that $\varphi(h) = \lim_k \varphi(h_{k'}y_{k'})$, which is contained in qV since $\varphi(h_{k'}y_{k'}) \in qV\varphi(O) = qV$ for all k .

Since V was an arbitrary compact open subgroup of Q , we infer that $q \in \overline{\varphi(H)}$. Hence $J \leq \overline{\varphi(H)}$. By (i) we have $J = \overline{\varphi(H)}$. The assertion follows. \square

5.2 On the set of (r, U) -cocompact lattices with dense projections

Theorem 5.2. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete compactly generated quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups with $n \geq 2$, and let $U \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a compact open subgroup. For every $r > 0$, the set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ is discrete (r, U) -cocompact subgroups $\Gamma \leq G$ with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i , is Chabauty closed.*

We proceed in several steps. The first one is to show that the subset $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}^{\text{irr}} \subset \mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ consisting of those $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ satisfying also **(Irr3)**, is Chabauty closed. This will be achieved in Proposition 5.7 below.

We first record some auxiliary results of independent interest. The first is a slight strengthening of Proposition 7.4 in [31].

Proposition 5.3. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group with discrete polycompact radical $W(G)$. Let Γ be a cocompact lattice in G , and U a relatively compact symmetric open neighbourhood of 1 such that $\Gamma \cap U = W(G) \cap U = \{1\}$. Then Γ admits a Chabauty neighbourhood consisting of cocompact lattices Λ such that $\Lambda \cap U = \{1\}$.*

Proof. First note that, since Γ and $W(G)$ are both discrete, there does exist a relatively compact symmetric open neighbourhood U of 1 such that $\Gamma \cap U = W(G) \cap U = \{1\}$.

The arguments from [31, Proposition 7.4] show in full generality that if Λ is a lattice that is in a sufficiently small Chabauty neighbourhood of Γ , then $\Lambda \cap U$ is a compact subgroup of Γ whose normalizer in G is cocompact. By Proposition 2.3, we have $\Lambda \cap U \leq W(G)$, so that $\Lambda \cap U \leq W(G) \cap U = \{1\}$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group with discrete polycompact radical. For every compact open subgroup $U \leq G$ all $r \geq 1$, the set of (r, U) -cocompact discrete subgroups of G is Chabauty open.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 5.3. \square

The following basic observation will be useful.

Lemma 5.5. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group, $r \geq 1$ and $U \leq G$ be a compact open subgroup. For every continuous homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow Q$ such that $\varphi(G)$ is dense in Q , there exists a constant r_Q and a compact open subgroup $U_Q \leq Q$ such that $\overline{\varphi(H)} \in \mathcal{C}_{r_Q, U_Q}(Q)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{C}_{r, U}(G)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a finite set $\Sigma \subset G$ such that $H\Sigma U = G$ for all H in $\mathcal{C}_{r, U}(G)$. This yields $Q = \overline{\varphi(H)\varphi(\Sigma)\varphi(U)} = \overline{\varphi(H)\varphi(\Sigma)\varphi(U)}$ for all H in $\mathcal{C}_{r, U}(G)$. Let U_Q be a compact open subgroup of Q containing $\varphi(U)$ (such a subgroup exists by [13, Lemma 3.1]). By the converse statement in Lemma 3.3, there is a constant r_Q such that $\overline{\varphi(H)} \in \mathcal{C}_{r_Q, U_Q}(Q)$ for all $H \in \mathcal{C}_{r, U}(G)$. \square

We emphasize that the (r, U) -cocompact subgroups considered in the following result are not assumed to have dense projections on each factor.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ be product of compactly generated tdlc groups with discrete polycyclic radical. For every compact open subgroup $U \leq G$ all $r \geq 1$, the set of (r, U) -cocompact closed subgroups satisfying **(Irr3)** is Chabauty closed.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a non-empty proper subset. Let also (H_k) be a net of (r, U) -cocompact closed subgroups satisfying **(Irr3)** and converging to some closed subgroup $H \leq G$. Thus H is (r, U) -cocompact by Lemma 3.2. In particular $\overline{p_\Sigma(H_k)}$ and $\overline{p_\Sigma(H)}$ are all (r', U') -cocompact in G_Σ by Lemma 5.5. Assume that $\overline{p_\Sigma(H)}$ is discrete, so that $\overline{p_\Sigma(H)} = \overline{p_\Sigma(H)}$. By Proposition 5.1, this implies that every accumulation point of the net $\overline{p_\Sigma(H_k)}$ is also discrete. In view of Corollary 5.4 applied to G_Σ , we infer that there is a subnet $\overline{p_\Sigma(H_{k'})}$ consisting of discrete subgroups, which contradicts the hypothesis that H_k satisfies **(Irr3)** for all k . \square

Proposition 5.7. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete compactly generated quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups with $n \geq 2$, and let $U = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_n \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n = G$ be a compact open subgroup. For every $r > 0$, the set $\mathcal{L}_{r, U}^{\text{irr}}$ of discrete (r, U) -cocompact subgroups $\Gamma \leq G$ satisfying **(Irr3)** and with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i , is Chabauty closed.*

Proof. Let (Γ_k) be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}_{r, U}^{\text{irr}}$ that converges to some closed subgroup $H \leq G$. By Lemma 3.2, the group H is (r, U) -cocompact. By Corollary 5.6, it satisfies **(Irr3)** and by Proposition 5.1, the projection $p_i(H)$ is dense in G_i for all i . It remains to show that H is discrete.

Assume that this is not the case. Then H satisfies all the hypothesis of Proposition 4.6, and it follows that $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n))$ is a finite index open subgroup of G_1 . The sequence $(\Gamma_k \cap (G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n))_k$ converges to $H \cap (G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n)$.

Moreover, the restriction of p_1 to $G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n$ is proper, hence it induces a Chabauty continuous map $\mathbf{Sub}(G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sub}(G_1)$. It follows that some finite index open subgroup of G_1 is a Chabauty limit of the sequence of lattices $(p_1(\Gamma_k \cap (G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n)))_k$. From Theorem F, we deduce that G_1 has a compact open subgroup V that is an infinitely generated pro- p for some prime p .

On the other hand, the intersection $\Gamma_1 \cap (V \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n)$ is a cocompact lattice in $V \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$ (by Lemma 3.4), hence it is finitely generated since $V \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$ is compactly generated. Since $p_1(\Gamma_1)$ is dense in G_1 , it follows that $p_1(\Gamma_1 \cap (V \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n))$ is dense in V . Thus V is topologically finitely generated. This is a contradiction. \square

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. For every partition $\mathcal{P} = \Pi_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Pi_\ell$ of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}(\mathcal{P})$ the set of those $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ such that for every i , the projection $p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)$ is discrete, and for every non-empty $\Sigma_i \subsetneq \Pi_i$, the projection $p_{\Sigma_i}(\Gamma)$ is non-discrete.

We claim that the set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}(\mathcal{P})$ is Chabauty closed. Indeed, let (Γ_k) be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}(\mathcal{P})$ that converges to some closed subgroup $H \leq G$. By Lemma 3.2, the group H is (r, U) -cocompact and by Proposition 5.1, the projection $p_i(H)$ is dense in G_i for all i . By Lemma 5.5, for every i , the projection $p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma_k)$ is (r_i, U_{Π_i}) -cocompact in G_{Π_i} . By Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.7, it follows that $p_{\Pi_i}(H)$ is discrete. Given a non-empty $\Sigma_i \subsetneq \Pi_i$, the projection $p_{\Sigma_i}(\Gamma_k)$ is non-discrete for all k , so the same holds for $p_{\Sigma_i}(H)$ by Corollary 5.4. Since H is contained in $p_{\Pi_1}(H) \times \cdots \times p_{\Pi_\ell}(H)$, it follows that H is discrete, and hence that H belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}(\mathcal{P})$.

We conclude the proof by observing that the set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ is the union of all sets $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}(\mathcal{P})$ where \mathcal{P} runs over the finite set of all partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$: indeed, that follows from Corollary 4.13. Hence $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ is a finite union of closed sets, hence it is closed. \square

5.3 A first Wang finiteness theorem

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem A. We need the following observation, whose proof is inspired by Proposition 2.1 in [12] and Theorem 9.3 in [31].

Proposition 5.8. *Let G be a compactly generated tdlc group and $\Gamma \leq G$ be a lattice. Let \mathcal{L} be a set of discrete subgroups of G containing Γ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) Γ is finitely generated.

- (2) For every finite index subgroup $\Sigma \leq \Gamma$, the normalizer $N_G(\Sigma)$ is discrete.
- (3) \mathcal{L} is Chabauty closed.
- (4) For every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, there is an identity neighbourhood U in G and a neighbourhood Ω of Λ in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ such that $H \cap U = \{1\}$ for all $H \in \mathcal{L} \cap \Omega$.

Then \mathcal{L} is finite.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that the set in question is infinite. In view of (3), this implies the existence of a sequence $(\Lambda_n)_n$ of pairwise distinct discrete subgroups of G all containing Γ , that Chabauty converges to some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$. By (4), we may find a relatively compact symmetric open neighbourhood U of 1 such that $\Lambda_n \cap U = 1$. We now argue as in the proof of Theorem 9.3 from [31]: the existence of U implies that there is a lower bound for the covolume of Λ_n in G , and hence an upper bound for the index of Γ in Λ_n . Hence in order to derive a contradiction we may assume that this index is constant. It follows that there exists a finite index normal subgroup Σ_n in Λ_n contained in Γ and whose index does not depend on n . Since a finitely generated group has finitely many subgroups of any given index, we may extract a further subsequence so that $\Sigma_n = \Sigma$ becomes independent of n . Condition (2) implies that Σ is of finite index in its normalizer. In particular there are only finitely many subgroups between Σ and its normalizer, which contradicts the fact that infinitely many Λ_n normalize Σ . \square

In the proof of Theorem A in the case of Kazhdan groups we will appeal to the following result.

Proposition 5.9. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete, compactly generated, quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups with Kazhdan's property (T), with $n > 1$. Let $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a non-uniform lattice such that the projection $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for all i . Then the set of discrete subgroups of G containing Γ is Chabauty closed.*

Proof. Let (Γ_k) a sequence of discrete subgroups of G with $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_k$ and such that (Γ_k) converges to a closed subgroup H in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$. Clearly $\Gamma \leq H$, and hence $p_i(H)$ is dense in G_i for all i . We want to show that H is discrete.

Assume first that Γ satisfies **(Irr3)**. Then H also does. Assume for a contradiction that H is not discrete. Then H is a non-discrete closed subgroup of finite covolume in G , and G has property (T), so by Proposition 4.6(i) the group H is cocompact in G . It then follows from Lemma 3.2 that Γ_k is cocompact in G for all sufficiently large k , and hence that Γ is cocompact. This is a contradiction. So we deduce that the group H is discrete in this case.

To deal with the general case, the conclusion of Corollary 4.13 (which holds here since G has Kazhdan's property (T); see Remark 4.14) yields a partition $\Pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Pi_\ell$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\underline{p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)}$ is a lattice with **(Irr3)** in G_{Π_i} for all i . By Proposition 5.1(i), the projection $\underline{p_{\Pi_i}(H)}$ is contained in every accumulation point of the sequence $\underline{p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma_k)}$. Since Γ is of finite index in Γ_k and since $\underline{p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma)}$ is discrete, it follows that $\underline{p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma_k)} = p_{\Pi_i}(\Gamma_k)$ is discrete. The first part of the proof then implies that $\underline{p_{\Pi_i}(H)} = p_{\Pi_i}(H)$ is discrete for all i . Since H is contained in $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_{\Pi_i}(H)$, we deduce that H is discrete, as required. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Let \mathcal{L} be the collection of discrete subgroups of G containing Γ .

Consider first the case where Γ is cocompact. Let $U \leq G$ be a compact subgroup and set $r = |\Gamma \backslash G/U|$. Notice that every discrete subgroup $\Lambda \leq G$ containing Γ has dense projection to G_i for all i . It follows from Theorem 5.2 that the set of all those Λ is Chabauty closed. Thus Condition (3) of Proposition 5.8 is verified. Since Γ is cocompact and G is compactly generated, (1) holds as well. Condition (2) follows from Corollary 2.5. Finally (4) is satisfied in view of Proposition 5.3. Therefore \mathcal{L} is finite by Proposition 5.8.

We now assume that Γ is not cocompact, and that G has Kazhdan's property (T). Under these assumptions, the fact that \mathcal{L} is closed in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ has been proved in Proposition 5.9. Since G has property (T), it follows that Γ is finitely generated, and Condition (2) of Proposition 5.8 follows from [20, Corollary 5.4] since G has discrete amenable radical. Finally (4) is also satisfied in view of Theorem G. Therefore by applying Proposition 5.8 again, it follows that \mathcal{L} is finite. \square

5.4 A uniform discreteness statement for irreducible lattices in products

The following is a reformulation of Theorem B.

Theorem 5.10. *Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete compactly generated quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups with $n \geq 2$, let $U \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a compact open subgroup and let $r \geq 1$. Let also $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ be the set of discrete (r, U) -cocompact subgroups $\Gamma \leq G$ with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i . Then there exists an identity neighbourhood V such that $V \cap \Gamma = \{1\}$ for every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}(G)$. Moreover, the set of covolumes $\text{covol}(\Gamma)$, for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}(G)$, is finite.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, the set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U} \subset \mathbf{Sub}(G)$ is Chabauty closed, hence compact. Moreover, by Proposition 5.3, for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}$, there is a identity neighbourhood

$V(\Gamma)$ in G and an open Chabauty neighbourhood $\mathcal{X}(\Gamma)$ of Γ such that $\Lambda \cap V(\Gamma) = \{1\}$ for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{X}(\Gamma)$. Hence the collection $\{\mathcal{X}(\Gamma)\}_\Gamma$ forms an open cover of the compact set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$. Let $\mathcal{X}(\Gamma_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{X}(\Gamma_\ell)$ be a finite subcover. Then $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^\ell V(\Gamma_i)$ is an identity neighbourhood in G such that $V \cap \Gamma = \{1\}$ for every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}$.

The finiteness of the set of covolumes follows directly from the existence of V together with Serre's covolume formula (see [9, Proposition 1.4.2(b)]). \square

The neighbourhood V in Theorem 5.10 a priori depends on r . As mentioned in the introduction, we do not know the answer to the following question (even in the case where all the factors are topologically simple):

Question 5.1. Let G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete compactly generated quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups, $n \geq 2$. Does there exist an identity neighbourhood V in $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ such that for every cocompact lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i , we have $V \cap \Gamma = \{1\}$?

5.5 A second Wang finiteness theorem in compactly presented groups

Recall that a locally compact group G is **compactly presented** if G admits a compact generating subset S and a presentation with set of generators S and relators of bounded word length. When G is a compactly generated tdlc group, G is compactly presented if and only if G acts properly and cocompactly on a coarsely simply connected locally finite graph (see [23, Corollary 8.A.9]).

We will invoke the following result, extracted from the work of Gelander–Levit [31].

Theorem 5.11 (Gelander–Levit). *Let $n \geq 1$ and G_1, \dots, G_n be compactly presented tdlc groups with trivial polycompact radical. For each i let X_i be a connected locally finite graph on which G_i acts properly and cocompactly, and let $c > 0$ such that each Rips 2-complex $\text{Rips}_c^2(X_i)$ is simply connected. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the automorphism group of $\text{Rips}_c^2(X_i)$, and write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$. Let also $\Gamma \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a cocompact lattice.*

- (i) Γ has a neighbourhood in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ consisting of \mathcal{A} -conjugates of Γ .
- (ii) If moreover $p_i(\Gamma)$ is dense in G_i for all i , then Γ has a neighbourhood in $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$ consisting of $N_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ -conjugates of Γ .

Proof. This statement is a consequence of [31, Corollary 4.3-4.4] (and their proofs) together with [31, Corollary 7.1.2]. Note that [31, Corollary 4.4] is stated there in the case of two factors, but the same proofs works for $n \geq 2$ factors with the assumption that the projection of Γ on each individual factor is dense. \square

Theorem 5.12. *Let $n \geq 2$, and G_1, \dots, G_n be (non-discrete) compactly presented quasi just-non-compact tdlc groups with trivial polycompact radical. Let $U = U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \leq G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_n$ be a compact open subgroup. For each i let X_i be a connected locally finite graph on which G_i acts properly and cocompactly, and let $c > 0$ such that each Rips 2-complex $\text{Rips}_c^2(X_i)$ is simply connected. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the normalizer of G_i in the isometry group of $\text{Rips}_c^2(X_i)$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$. Then for every $r \geq 1$, the set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ of (r, U) -cocompact lattices $\Gamma \leq G$ with $p_i(\Gamma)$ dense in G_i for all i , is covered by finitely many $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$ -orbits.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, the set $\mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ is Chabauty closed, hence compact. By Theorem 5.11(ii), every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{r,U}$ has a Chabauty neighbourhood that consists of conjugates of Γ under $N_{\mathcal{A}}(G)$. The result follows by extracting a finite subcover. \square

We finish by observing that Theorem C from the introduction follows from the more precise Theorem 5.12.

6 Automorphism groups of graphs and local action

In this section we apply the results of the previous sections to lattices in products of automorphism groups of graphs with certain local actions. Although the main example to keep in mind is that of trees, many of our results apply to arbitrary connected locally finite graphs.

6.1 Graph-restrictive permutation groups and restrictive actions

We briefly recall some notation. Let X be a connected graph and let $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$. Given a vertex $x \in VX$, we denote by $X(x)$ the one-ball around x , and by $G_x^{X(x)}$ the permutation group induced by the action of G_x on $X(x)$. We call $G_x^{X(x)}$ the **local action** of G at x . Given a permutation group L , we say that the G -action on X is **locally L** if $G_x^{X(x)}$ is permutation isomorphic to L for every vertex x of X .

Following Potočnik–Spiga–Verret [39], we will use the following terminology.

Definition 6.1. A finite permutation group L is **graph-restrictive** if there exists a constant c_L such that, for every connected graph X and every vertex-transitive discrete subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ that is locally L , we have $G_x^{[c_L]} = 1$ for every $x \in VX$.

Our formulation of that definition actually differs from that in [39], but both definitions are equivalent. A conjecture of R. Weiss [57, Conjecture 3.12] asserts that finite primitive groups are graph-restrictive; C. Praeger [40, Problem 7] conjectures that quasi-primitive groups are graph-restrictive, while Potočnik–Spiga–Verret [39] conjecture that a finite transitive permutation group is graph-restrictive if and only if it is semiprimitive, and prove the “only if” implication [39] (see Section 1.2 for the definition of a semiprimitive permutation group). We should note that a semiregular permutation group L is obviously graph-restrictive (with constant $c_L = 1$), and among intransitive permutation groups, semiregular is equivalent to graph-restrictive [47]. Recall that a permutation group is **semiregular** if all point stabilizers are trivial.

An important result, that follows from the work of Trofimov–Weiss, ensures finite 2-transitive groups are all graph-restrictive.

Theorem 6.2 (Trofimov–Weiss [52, Theorem 1.4]). *Every finite 2-transitive group L is graph-restrictive, with constant $c_L \leq 6$.*

We shall now introduce a notion of a graph-restrictive pair of permutation groups. For a pair (L_1, L_2) of permutation groups, we say that $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ is **locally- (L_1, L_2)** if there exists an edge (x, y) of X such that $G_x^{X(x)} \simeq L_1$ and $G_y^{X(y)} \simeq L_2$.

Definition 6.3. A pair of permutation groups (L_1, L_2) is **graph-restrictive** if there exists a constant $c_{L_1, L_2} = c$ such that, for every connected graph X and every locally (L_1, L_2) discrete subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ acting on X with two orbits of vertices, we have $G_x^{[c]} = 1$ for every $x \in VX$.

Remark 6.4. We note that “ L is graph-restrictive” is not the same as “ (L, L) is graph-restrictive”. We also point out that the notion defined in Definition 6.3 does not coincide with the one from [38, Definition 1.1], as we only require the uniform bound for groups of automorphisms with *two* orbits of vertices. Our definition turns out to be more appropriate for our purpose, and will provide a more robust statement hereafter.

We record an elementary observation that relates the previous notions with the other considerations of this paper.

Lemma 6.5. *Let X be a connected locally finite graph.*

- (i) If L is a graph-restrictive permutation group, then the collection of vertex-transitive discrete subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ that are locally L is Chabauty-closed.
- (ii) Similarly if the pair (L_1, L_2) is graph-restrictive, then the collection of discrete subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ that are locally (L_1, L_2) and with two orbits of vertices, is Chabauty-closed.

Proof. The collection of vertex-transitive closed subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ is Chabauty closed by Lemma 3.2. Among the vertex-transitive closed subgroups, the condition of being locally L is Chabauty closed as well, since intersecting with a fixed compact open subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ (namely a vertex-stabilizer) is a continuous map on $\mathbf{Sub}(\text{Aut}(X))$. If (Λ_k) is a sequence of locally L vertex-transitive discrete subgroups, then the pointwise stabilizer $\text{Fix}_{\Lambda_k}(B(x, c_L))$ of the c_L -ball around a vertex x is trivial for all k , so that any accumulation point of (Λ_k) in the Chabauty space $\mathbf{Sub}(\text{Aut}(X))$ also has that property, and is thus discrete. This shows the first statement. The proof of the second statement follows along the same lines. \square

Finally in the sequel we will use the following terminology.

Definition 6.6. Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a locally transitive group of automorphisms of X . If G acts transitively on vertices of X , we say that the action of G on X is **restrictive** if G is locally L and L is a graph-restrictive permutation group. If G acts on X with two orbits of vertices, we say that the action of G on X is **restrictive** if G is locally (L_1, L_2) and (L_1, L_2) is a graph-restrictive pair of permutation groups.

We observe that $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ has a restrictive action on X if and only if the closure of G does; and that among closed subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$, the property of having a restrictive action defines a clopen subset in the Chabauty space of $\text{Aut}(X)$.

6.2 Automorphism groups of graphs with semiprimitive local action

A basic observation due to Burger–Mozes [10, Proposition 1.2.1] is that a closed subgroup of the automorphism group of a connected locally finite graph whose local action at every vertex is quasi-primitive, must be quasi just-non-compact. That result can be generalized without much effort to semiprimitive local actions (see also [50, Chapter II.7]).

Proposition 6.7. *Let X be a connected locally finite graph. Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a closed subgroup that is locally semiprimitive, and let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) N acts freely on the edges of X ;
- (ii) N acts transitively on the edges of X ;
- (iii) There exists a G -invariant bipartition $VX = V_1 \cup V_2$ such that N acts transitively on V_2 .

In particular G is quasi just-non-compact, and if G is non-discrete then there exists a compact open subgroup U of G such that $G = G^{(\infty)}U$.

Proof. We follow the arguments from [10, Proposition 1.2.1]. Given a normal subgroup N of G , for every vertex $x \in VX$, the stabilizer N_x is a normal subgroup of G_x , and thus its action on the 1-sphere $X(x)$ is transitive or free. Let $V_1(N)$ be the collection of those $x \in VX$ such that N_x is transitive on $X(x)$, and notice that $V_1(N)$ is G -invariant. Let $V_2(N)$ be the complement of $V_1(N)$ in VX . Notice that $VX = V_1(N) \cup V_2(N)$ is a G -invariant partition of the vertex-set VX .

For $i = 1, 2$, we observe that if two adjacent vertices both belong to $V_i(N)$, then we must have $V_i(N) = VX$ since G is locally transitive, hence edge-transitive, and the graph X is connected. If $V_2(N) = VX$ then the pointwise fixator in N of an edge is trivial, and N acts freely on edges, so (i) holds. If $V_1(N) = VX$, the subgroup N is transitive on the edge-set EX and (ii) holds. The only case that remains to be analyzed is when $V_1(N)$ and $V_2(N)$ are both non-empty, and hence form a G -invariant bipartition of the graph X . In that situation, consider $x \in V_2(N)$. Then every neighbour y of x is in $V_1(N)$, so that N_y is transitive on $X(y)$. Since X is connected, it follows that the star $\{x\} \cup X(x)$ contains a representative of every $\langle N_y \mid y \in X(x) \rangle$ -orbit of vertices. In particular N acts transitively on $V_2(N)$, and we obtain (iii).

It follows in particular that every closed normal subgroup of G is either discrete or cocompact, i.e. that G is quasi just-non-compact. Assume finally that G is non-discrete. Since G is also compactly generated (because it acts edge-transitively on X), the closed normal subgroup $G^{(\infty)}$ afforded by Proposition 2.9 is non-discrete, and hence falls into case (ii) or (iii). Let U be the setwise stabilizer of an edge if we are in case (ii), or the stabilizer of a vertex of $V_2(G^{(\infty)})$ if we are in case (iii). Then we have the equality $G = G^{(\infty)}U$. \square

6.3 Lattices in products of graphs with semiprimitive local action

In this section we consider lattices $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(X_n)$ whose action on each factor is locally semiprimitive. Before going further, we note that we are now

able to complete the proof of Corollary D from the introduction.

Proof of Corollary D. Indeed, in view of Proposition 6.7 each group G_i is quasi just-non-compact, and therefore the assertions (A), (B) and (C) of the corollary respectively follow from Theorems A, B and C. \square

In the rest of this section we consider lattices $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(X_n)$ in a more general setting than the one of Corollary D, in the sense that we no longer prescribe in advance the projections of the lattices. This is counterbalanced by the fact that we impose additional conditions on the actions on one or several of the factors. In the following statement, this additional assumption is (4). See the discussion right after the statement concerning the connection between assumptions (3) and (4).

Theorem 6.8. *Let $n \geq 2$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let X_i be a connected locally finite graph such that $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ has a discrete polycompact radical. For $r \geq 1$, let \mathcal{L}_r be the set of discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(X_n)$ such that:*

- (1) Γ acts on $\prod_{i=1}^n VX_i$ with at most r orbits.
- (2) Γ satisfies **(Irr0)**.
- (3) For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, the action of Γ on X_i is locally semiprimitive.
- (4) The action of Γ on X_1 is restrictive.

Then:

- (i) There is some $c > 0$ such that $\Gamma_x^{[c]} = \{1\}$ for every vertex $x \in \prod_{i=1}^n VX_i$ and every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_r$. In particular \mathcal{L}_r is Chabauty closed.
- (ii) If in addition $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ is compactly presented with trivial polycompact radical for all i , then the elements of \mathcal{L}_r fall into finitely many isomorphism classes.

Before giving the proof, we make a few comments:

- 1) When specified to the case of trees, Theorem 6.8 provides a partial solution to a conjecture due to Y. Glasner [33, Conjecture 1.5].
- 2) By definition when Γ acts vertex-transitively on X_1 , assumption (4) means that Γ is locally graph-restrictive. As mentioned in §6.1, it is conjectured that this is always the case when the action is locally semiprimitive (so conjecturally, assumption (3) automatically implies assumption (4)). This has been confirmed

for numerous specific classes of local actions, including 2-transitive groups [52], primitive groups of affine type [56, 46], primitive groups of twisted wreath type [45], or semiprimitive groups with two distinct minimal normal subgroups [32].

Proof of Theorem 6.8. Let (Γ_k) be a sequence of elements of \mathcal{L}_r that converges to H . Let us show that H belongs to \mathcal{L}_r .

First observe that the assumption implies that each $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ acts on X_i with finitely many orbits of vertices, and is therefore compactly generated since X_i is connected. Since moreover the full automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ has a discrete polycompact radical, Proposition 4.11 ensures that Γ_k satisfies **(Irr3)** for all k . So by Corollary 5.6 the group H also satisfies **(Irr3)** (and hence **(Irr0)**), and acts on $\prod_{i=1}^n V X_i$ with at most r orbits.

Proposition 5.1 ensures that H is locally semiprimitive on X_i for all i , and restrictive on X_1 . So it follows from Proposition 6.7 that the group $G_i = \overline{p_i(H)}$ is quasi just-non-compact for all i , and G_i is non-discrete by **(Irr3)**.

So in order to show that H belongs to \mathcal{L}_r , we have to show that H is discrete. We argue by contradiction and assume that H is not discrete. Then by Proposition 4.6 we have $H \cap G_i \geq G_i^{(\infty)}$. Moreover for all i , Proposition 6.7 provides a compact open subgroup U_i of G_i such that $(H \cap G_i)U_i = G_i$. In view of Proposition 4.5(ii), we deduce that $p_1(H \cap (G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n)) = G_1$. It follows that G_1 is the Chabauty limit of the sequence of cocompact lattices $\Lambda_k := p_1(\Gamma_k \cap (G_1 \times U_2 \times \cdots \times U_n))$. We have seen above that the action of $G_1 = \overline{p_1(H)}$ on X_1 is restrictive, so the same is true for Λ_k for k large enough as having a restrictive action is a Chabauty open condition. But Lemma 6.5 then implies that G_1 must be discrete. Therefore we have reached a contradiction, and hence the subgroup H must be discrete.

We have thus shown that \mathcal{L}_r is Chabauty closed, and assertion (i) follows from a compactness argument using Proposition 5.3, as in the proof of Theorem 5.10.

If in addition all the factors $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ are compactly presented with trivial polycompact radical, by Theorem 5.11(i) every cocompact lattice Γ in $\text{Aut}(X_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aut}(X_n)$ has a Chabauty neighbourhood that consists of cocompact lattices isomorphic to Γ . Thus the elements of \mathcal{L}_r fall into finitely many isomorphism classes by the compactness of \mathcal{L}_r . \square

We finally establish a statement analogous to Theorem 6.8, but without any irreducibility assumption. We shall use the following auxiliary fact.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $n \geq 1$ and G_1, \dots, G_n be non-discrete compactly generated tdlc groups with discrete polycompact radical. Let also \mathcal{L} be a set of cocompact lattices in G . Suppose that for every non-empty subset $\Pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists a compact*

open subgroup $V_\Pi \leq G_\Pi$ such that for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}$, if $p_\Pi(\Gamma)$ is discrete and $p_\Delta(\Gamma)$ is non-discrete for each non-empty proper subset $\Delta \subsetneq \Pi$, then $p_\Pi(\Gamma) \cap V_\Pi = \{1\}$. Then there exists a compact open subgroup $W \leq G$ such that $\Gamma \cap W = \{1\}$ for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. We work by induction on n . For $n = 1$ there is nothing to prove; we assume henceforth that $n > 1$.

Let $\Pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ be non-empty. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_\Pi = \{p_\Pi(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } p_\Pi(\Gamma) \text{ is discrete}\}.$$

If $\Pi \neq \{1, \dots, n\}$, then by the induction hypothesis, there exists a compact open subgroup $W_\Pi \leq G_\Pi$ such that $\Lambda \cap W_\Pi = \{1\}$ for all $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_\Pi$. If $\Pi = \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we set $W_\Pi = V_\Pi$, where V_Π is the compact open subgroup given by hypothesis.

Now, for all i , we set $W_i = \bigcap_{\Pi \ni i} W_\Pi \cap G_i$. Thus W_i is a compact open subgroup of G_i , and for every non-empty subset $\Pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\prod_{i \in \Pi} W_i \leq W_\Pi$. Finally, we set $W = \prod_{i=1}^n W_i$.

Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}$. If for every non-empty proper subset $\Pi \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, the projection $p_\Pi(\Gamma)$ is non-discrete, then we have $\Gamma \cap W_{\{1, \dots, n\}} = \Gamma \cap V_{\{1, \dots, n\}} = \{1\}$ by hypothesis, so that $\Gamma \cap W = \{1\}$. On the other hand, if there exists a non-empty proper subset $\Pi \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the projection $\Gamma_\Pi = p_\Pi(\Gamma)$ is discrete, then $\Gamma_\Sigma = p_\Sigma(\Gamma)$ is also discrete by Proposition 4.11. Moreover we have $\Gamma_\Pi \cap W_\Pi = \{1\}$ and $\Gamma_\Sigma \cap W_\Sigma = \{1\}$. Since Γ embeds as a subgroup of $\Gamma_\Pi \times \Gamma_\Sigma$, we have $\Gamma \cap (W_\Pi \times W_\Sigma) = \{1\}$. In particular, we have $\Gamma \cap W = \{1\}$. \square

Corollary 6.10. *Let $n \geq 1$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let X_i be a connected locally finite graph such that $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ has a discrete polycompact radical. For $r > 0$, let \mathcal{L}_r be the set of discrete subgroups $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(X_1) \times \dots \times \text{Aut}(X_n)$ acting with at most r orbits on $\prod_{i=1}^n VX_i$ and whose action on X_i is restrictive and locally semiprimitive for all i . Then:*

- (i) *There is some $c > 0$ such that $\Gamma_x^{[c]} = \{1\}$ for every vertex $x \in \prod_{i=1}^n VX_i$ and every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_r$. In particular \mathcal{L}_r is Chabauty closed.*
- (ii) *If in addition $\text{Aut}(X_i)$ is compactly presented with trivial polycompact radical for all i , then the elements of \mathcal{L}_r fall into finitely many isomorphism classes.*

Proof. According to Theorem 6.8(i), the hypotheses of Lemma 6.9 are satisfied by the family of lattices \mathcal{L}_r . Thus by the lemma there is a constant c such that $\Gamma_x^{[c]} = \{1\}$ for some vertex $x \in \prod_{i=1}^n VX_i$. Since every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_r$ has at most r orbits of vertices, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\Gamma_x^{[c+r]} = \{1\}$ for every vertex $x \in \prod_{i=1}^n VX_i$. Using Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, we deduce that \mathcal{L}_r is closed, so that (i) holds. Assertion (ii) follows from (i) together with Theorem 5.11. \square

Proof of Theorem E. Let \mathcal{L} be the collection of lattices as in the statement. By Theorem 6.2, every finite 2-transitive group is graph-restrictive, so Γ has a restrictive action on each T_i for every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $\text{Aut}(T_i)$ is vertex-transitive, its only compact normal subgroup is the trivial one. Hence by Corollary 6.10(i), \mathcal{L} is Chabauty closed.

To conclude, we note that $\text{Aut}(T_i)$ is compactly presented since T_i is simply connected (see [23, Corollary 8.A.9]). Thus the lattices in \mathcal{L} fall into finitely many conjugacy classes by invoking Theorem 5.11 with $G_i = \mathcal{A}_i = \text{Aut}(T_i)$ for all i . \square

A Chabauty deformations of cofinite subgroups in Kazhdan groups

The following interesting fact follows from results of J. Fell [25] on continuity properties of induction of unitary representations. An analogous result has been established, with a similar argument, by S.P. Wang in [55, Theorem 3.10].

Theorem A.1. *Let G be a first countable locally compact group with Kazhdan's property (T). Then the set of closed subgroups of finite covolume forms an open subset of the Chabauty space $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$.*

Proof. Since G has (T), it is compactly generated and thus second countable. This condition is needed to invoke Fell's results from [25]. In that paper, the author introduces a topology on the set $\mathcal{S}(G)$ of pairs (H, T) where H is a closed subgroup of G and T is an equivalence class of unitary representations of H . It follows from [25, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 4.2] that the map $(H, T) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(T)$ is continuous, where the target space is endowed with Fell's topology.

Let (H_n) be a sequence of closed subgroups of G converging to $H \in \mathbf{Sub}(G)$. For a closed subgroup $J \leq G$, let $\mathbf{1}_J$ be the trivial representation of J . By [25, Lemma 3.2], the sequence $(H_n, \mathbf{1}_{H_n})$ converges to $(H, \mathbf{1}_H)$ in the space $\mathcal{S}(G)$. Therefore, by Fell's theorem mentioned above, the sequence $\text{Ind}_{H_n}^G(\mathbf{1}_{H_n})$ converges to $\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathbf{1}_H)$ in Fell's topology. In particular $\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathbf{1}_H)$ is weakly contained in the direct sum $\bigoplus_n \text{Ind}_{H_n}^G(\mathbf{1}_{H_n})$ (see [8, Proposition F.2.2]).

Assume now that H is of finite covolume and suppose for a contradiction that the closed subgroups of finite covolume of G do not form a neighbourhood of H . Then there exists a sequence (H_n) converging to H , such that H_n is not of finite covolume in G for any n . Since H is of finite covolume, the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}_G$ is contained in $\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathbf{1}_H)$. Since weak containment of unitary representations is a transitive relation, we deduce from the previous paragraph that $\mathbf{1}_G$ is weakly

contained in $\bigoplus_n \text{Ind}_{H_n}^G(\mathbf{1}_{H_n})$. Since G has (T) by hypothesis, the trivial representation is actually contained in $\bigoplus_n \text{Ind}_{H_n}^G(\mathbf{1}_{H_n})$. Hence there exists n such that $\mathbf{1}_G$ is contained in $\text{Ind}_{H_n}^G(\mathbf{1}_{H_n})$. By [8, Theorem E.3.1], this implies that H_n is of finite covolume, a contradiction. \square

Remark A.2. It should be noted that Theorem A.1 fails without the property (T) assumption. Indeed as soon as a group G has an infinitely generated lattice $\Gamma \leq G$, then there does not exist any Chabauty neighbourhood of Γ consisting of subgroups of finite covolume in G . Indeed we may write Γ as the Chabauty limit of its finitely generated subgroups, and none of them is a lattice in G (since they are of infinite index in Γ as Γ is infinitely generated). As a concrete example, one can take the full automorphism group of a regular tree T , all of whose non-uniform lattices are infinitely generated, see [7].

We also point out that a locally compact group G is compactly generated if and only if the set of its closed cocompact subgroups is open in the Chabauty space $\mathbf{Sub}(G)$, see [31, Remark 3.7].

We can now complete the proof of Theorem G, using ideas similar to those of Gelander–Levit [31, Proposition 7.4].

Proof of Theorem G. Since G is compactly generated, every identity neighbourhood V contains a compact normal subgroup K_V such that G/K_V is second countable (see [34]). Choosing V with $V \cap R(G) = \{1\}$ we deduce $K_V = 1$ and G is second countable.

Let now $\Gamma \leq G$ be a lattice, and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{Sub}(G)$ be a neighbourhood of Γ consisting of closed subgroups of finite covolume, as afforded by Theorem A.1. Let $U \subset G$ be a compact neighbourhood such that $U \cap \Gamma \cap R(G) = \{1\}$. By [31, Lemma 7.3], there exists a neighbourhood Ω_U of Γ contained in Ω such that for all $H \in \Omega_U$, the intersection $H \cap U$ is a subgroup that is normalized by some subgroup $L \leq H$ with $L \in \Omega$.

Notice that the group $H \cap U$ is compact, and that L is of finite covolume in G . Therefore $(H \cap U)L$ is a closed subgroup of finite covolume in G , containing $H \cap U$ as a compact normal subgroup. By the main result of [2], it follows that $H \cap U$ is contained in the amenable radical $R(G)$. Since $U \cap R(G) = \{1\}$, it follows that $H \cap U = \{1\}$. Thus Ω_U is a neighbourhood of Γ as required. \square

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher. *Finite group theory*, volume 10 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2000.

- [2] U. Bader, B. Duchesne, and J. Lécureux. Amenable invariant random subgroups. *Israel J. Math.*, 213(1):399–422, 2016. With an appendix by Phillip Wesolek.
- [3] U. Bader, A. Furman, and R. Sauer. An adelic arithmeticity theorem for lattices in products. *Math. Z.*, 2019. To appear.
- [4] U. Bader and Y. Shalom. Factor and normal subgroup theorems for lattices in products of groups. *Invent. Math.*, 163(2):415–454, 2006.
- [5] Y. Barnea, M. Ershov, and T. Weigel. Abstract commensurators of profinite groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(10):5381–5417, 2011.
- [6] H. Bass and R. Kulkarni. Uniform tree lattices. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(4):843–902, 1990.
- [7] H. Bass and A. Lubotzky. *Tree lattices*, volume 176 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. With appendices by Bass, L. Carbone, Lubotzky, G. Rosenberg and J. Tits.
- [8] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, and A. Valette. *Kazhdan’s property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [9] M. Bourdon. Sur les immeubles fuchsien et leur type de quasi-isométrie. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(2):343–364, 2000.
- [10] M. Burger and S. Mozes. Groups acting on trees: from local to global structure. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (92):113–150 (2001), 2000.
- [11] M. Burger and S. Mozes. Lattices in product of trees. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (92):151–194 (2001), 2000.
- [12] M. Burger and S. Mozes. Lattices in products of trees and a theorem of H. C. Wang. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 46(6):1126–1132, 2014.
- [13] P.-E. Caprace. Amenable groups and Hadamard spaces with a totally disconnected isometry group. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84(2):437–455, 2009.
- [14] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. Isometry groups of non-positively curved spaces: discrete subgroups. *J. Topol.*, 2(4):701–746, 2009.
- [15] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. Decomposing locally compact groups into simple pieces. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 150(1):97–128, 2011.
- [16] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. A lattice in more than two Kac-Moody groups is arithmetic. *Israel J. Math.*, 190:413–444, 2012.

- [17] P.-E. Caprace and N. Radu. Chabauty limits of simple groups acting on trees. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2019. To appear.
- [18] P.-E. Caprace, C. Reid, and P. Wesolek. Approximating simple locally compact groups by their dense locally compact subgroups. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2019. To appear.
- [19] P.-E. Caprace, C. D. Reid, and G. A. Willis. Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups. Part II: compactly generated simple groups. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 5:e12, 89, 2017.
- [20] P.-E. Caprace and P. Wesolek. Indicability, residual finiteness, and simple subquotients of groups acting on trees. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(7):4163–4204, 2018.
- [21] C. Chabauty. Limite d’ensembles et géométrie des nombres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 78:143–151, 1950.
- [22] Y. Cornulier. Commability and focal locally compact groups. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 64(1):115–150, 2015.
- [23] Y. Cornulier and P. de la Harpe. *Metric geometry of locally compact groups*, volume 25 of *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2016. Winner of the 2016 EMS Monograph Award.
- [24] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, and D. Segal. *Analytic pro- p -groups*, volume 157 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [25] J. M. G. Fell. Weak containment and induced representations of groups. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 110:424–447, 1964.
- [26] A. Furman. On minimal strongly proximal actions of locally compact groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 136:173–187, 2003.
- [27] H. Furstenberg. Rigidity and cocycles for ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups (after G. A. Margulis and R. Zimmer). In *Bourbaki Seminar, Vol. 1979/80*, volume 842 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 273–292. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [28] P. Gartside and M. Smith. Counting the closed subgroups of profinite groups. *J. Group Theory*, 13(1):41–61, 2010.
- [29] T. Gelander. Homotopy type and volume of locally symmetric manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 124(3):459–515, 2004.
- [30] T. Gelander. A lecture on invariant random subgroups. In *New directions in locally compact groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, pages 186–204. Cambridge University Press, 2018.

- [31] T. Gelander and A. Levit. Local rigidity of uniform lattices. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 93(4):781–827, 2018.
- [32] M. Giudici and L. Morgan. A theory of semiprimitive groups. *J. Algebra*, 503:146–185, 2018.
- [33] Y. Glasner. A two-dimensional version of the Goldschmidt-Sims conjecture. *J. Algebra*, 269(2):381–401, 2003.
- [34] S. Kakutani and K. Kodaira. Über das Haarsche Mass in der lokal bikompakten Gruppe. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo*, 20:444–450, 1944.
- [35] D. A. Každan and G. A. Margulis. A proof of Selberg’s hypothesis. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 75 (117):163–168, 1968.
- [36] M. Kuranishi. On everywhere dense imbedding of free groups in Lie groups. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 2:63–71, 1951.
- [37] G. A. Margulis. *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, volume 17 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [38] L. Morgan, P. Spiga, and G. Verret. On the order of Borel subgroups of group amalgams and an application to locally-transitive graphs. *J. Algebra*, 434:138–152, 2015.
- [39] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, and G. Verret. On graph-restrictive permutation groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 102(3):820–831, 2012.
- [40] C. E. Praeger. Finite quasiprimitive group actions on graphs and designs. In *Groups—Korea ’98 (Pusan)*, pages 319–331. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [41] N. Radu. A classification theorem for boundary 2-transitive automorphism groups of trees. *Invent. Math.*, 209(1):1–60, 2017.
- [42] M. S. Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68*.
- [43] C. D. Reid. Distal actions on coset spaces in totally disconnected, locally compact groups. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 2018. To appear.
- [44] Y. Shalom. Rigidity of commensurators and irreducible lattices. *Invent. Math.*, 141(1):1–54, 2000.
- [45] P. Spiga. On G -locally primitive graphs of locally twisted wreath type and a conjecture of Weiss. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 118(8):2257–2260, 2011.

- [46] P. Spiga. An application of the local $C(G, T)$ theorem to a conjecture of Weiss. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 48(1):12–18, 2016.
- [47] P. Spiga and G. Verret. On intransitive graph-restrictive permutation groups. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 40(1):179–185, 2014.
- [48] J. Tits. Automorphismes à déplacement borné des groupes de Lie. *Topology*, 3(suppl. 1):97–107, 1964.
- [49] J. Tits. Sur le groupe des automorphismes d’un arbre. pages 188–211, 1970.
- [50] S. Tornier. *Groups acting on trees and contributions to Willis theory*. 2018. PhD Thesis.
- [51] V. I. Trofimov. Groups of automorphisms of graphs as topological groups. *Mat. Zametki*, 38(3):378–385, 476, 1985.
- [52] V. I. Trofimov and R. M. Weiss. Graphs with a locally linear group of automorphisms. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 118(2):191–206, 1995.
- [53] H. C. Wang. On a maximality property of discrete subgroups with fundamental domain of finite measure. *Amer. J. Math.*, 89:124–132, 1967.
- [54] H. C. Wang. *Topics on totally discontinuous groups*, pages 459–487. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 8. Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [55] S. P. Wang. On isolated points in the dual spaces of locally compact groups. *Math. Ann.*, 218(1):19–34, 1975.
- [56] R. Weiss. An application of p -factorization methods to symmetric graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 85(1):43–48, 1979.
- [57] R. Weiss. s -transitive graphs. In *Algebraic methods in graph theory, Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1978)*, volume 25 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 827–847. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.
- [58] H. Zassenhaus. Beweis eines Satzes über diskrete Gruppen. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb.*, 12:289–312, 1938.