

ON THE RIGIDITY OF UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS OVER UNIFORMLY LOCALLY FINITE COARSE SPACES

B. M. BRAGA AND I. FARAH

ABSTRACT. Given a coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) , one can define a C^* -algebra $C_u^*(X)$ called the uniform Roe algebra of (X, \mathcal{E}) . It has been proved by J. Śpakula and R. Willett that if the uniform Roe algebras of two uniformly locally finite metric spaces with property A are isomorphic, then the metric spaces are coarsely equivalent to each other. In this paper, we look at the problem of generalizing this result for general coarse spaces and on weakening the hypothesis of the spaces having property A.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Background	4
3.	Spatially implemented isomorphisms	8
4.	Rigid isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras and Baire category	9
5.	Coarse spaces with a small partition	15
6.	Isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras and Cartan masas	17
7.	Rigidity for spaces which coarsely embed into Hilbert space	20
8.	Isomorphism between algebraic uniform Roe algebras	22
9.	Appendix: Generically absolute isomorphisms	32
	References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of coarse spaces generalizes the idea of metric spaces and gives us the appropriate framework to study large-scale geometry. In a nutshell, a coarse space consists of a pair (X, \mathcal{E}) , where X is a set and \mathcal{E} is a family of subsets of $X \times X$ which measures ‘boundedness’ in X (we refer the reader to Section 2 for precise definitions of the terminology used in this introduction). A (connected) coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) happens to be metrizable exactly when its coarse structure is generated by \aleph_0 subsets [Roe03, Theorem 2.55].

Given a uniformly locally finite coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) , one can define a $*$ -subalgebra $C_u^*[X]$ of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_2(X))$ and its norm-closure, a C^* -algebra $C_u^*(X)$, called the *algebraic uniform Roe algebra of (X, \mathcal{E})* and *uniform Roe algebra of (X, \mathcal{E})* , respectively.

Date: July 22, 2020.

Key words and phrases. bounded geometry, coarse structure, uniform Roe algebra, uniformly discrete, locally finite.

BMB was supported by York Science Research Fellowship. Both authors were partially supported by IF’s NSERC grant.

These algebras are named after J. Roe, who introduced a version of them in his study of index theory of elliptical operators on noncompact manifolds [Roe88, Roe93]. An important motivation to study this C^* -algebra comes from its intrinsic relation with the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture and, as a consequence, to the Novikov conjecture (see [Yu00]).¹ More to the point, the rigidity problems considered in this paper are directly concerned with the Baum-Connes conjecture (see the discussion in [ŠW13, Section 1.2]). Many coarse properties of (X, \mathcal{E}) reflect on C^* -algebraic properties of $C_u^*(X)$ and vice versa (see [LW18], [Sak13], and [WZ10]). However, the rigidity question—whether the uniform Roe algebra completely determines the coarse structure of the coarse space—remains open.

An isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ is *spatially implemented* if there exists a unitary $U: \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ such that $\Phi = \text{Ad } U$ (where $\text{Ad } U(T) = UTU^*$). In this case we say that $C^*(X)$ and $C^*(Y)$ are *spatially isomorphic*. If in addition there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} |\langle U\delta_x, \delta_y \rangle| > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} |\langle U^*\delta_y, \delta_x \rangle| > 0$$

then we say that Φ is a *rigid isomorphism* between $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ and that $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are *rigidly isomorphic*. Analogous terminology applies to the case when Φ is an isomorphism between algebraic Roe algebras $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$.

We study the following relations between coarse spaces (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) .

- (I) (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarsely equivalent.
- (II) (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are bijectively coarsely equivalent.
- (III) $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$ are isomorphic.
- (IV) There is an isomorphism $C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ taking $\ell_\infty(X)$ to $\ell_\infty(Y)$.
- (V) $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are rigidly isomorphic.
- (VI) $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are spatially isomorphic.
- (VII) $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are isomorphic.

Notice that, although the implication (II) \Rightarrow (I) is trivial, (I) in general does not imply any of the other properties (for example if X and Y are connected and finite coarse spaces of different cardinalities, or if X and Y are \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Z} with their standard metrizable coarse structures). This paper revolves around the following question.

Problem 1.1. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. Do all properties above imply (I)? Moreover, do we have that

$$(II) \Leftrightarrow (III) \Leftrightarrow (IV) \Leftrightarrow (V) \Leftrightarrow (VI) \Leftrightarrow (VII)?$$

The implications (V) \Rightarrow (VI) \Rightarrow (VII) and (III) \Rightarrow (VII) are trivial and the implications (II) \Rightarrow (III) and (II) \Rightarrow (IV) are quite straightforward. We list below what is known regarding the remaining implications.

- (1) Properties (VI) and (VII) are equivalent. More precisely, every isomorphism as in (III) or (VII) is spatially implemented (see [ŠW13], Lemma 3.1, or Lemma 3.1 below).
- (2) For uniformly locally finite metric spaces with property A, (VII) implies (I) ([ŠW13], Theorem 4.1).

¹Recently, uniform Roe algebras and its K-theory have also been used in mathematical physics to study the classification of topological phases and the topology of quantum systems [Kub17, EM19].

- (3) Properties (VII) and (V) are equivalent for uniformly locally finite metric spaces with property A. Moreover, for uniformly locally finite metric spaces with property A, *every* isomorphism between their uniform Roe algebras is a rigid isomorphism ([ŠW13], Lemma 4.6).
- (4) Properties (II) and (IV) are equivalent for uniformly locally finite metric spaces ([WW19], Corollary 6.13).
- (5) Properties (II), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), and (VII) are all equivalent in the following situations.
 - (a) for uniformly locally finite metric spaces with property A ([WW19], Corollary 6.13),
 - (b) for countable locally finite groups with proper left-invariant metrics ([LL18, Theorem 1.1]; the standard Cayley graph metric of a finitely generated group is proper and left-invariant).
- (6) Property (I) implies that $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are Morita equivalent (this was proved for metric spaces in [BNW07, Theorem 4], but the proof clearly translates to coarse spaces; see also [CL18, Proposition 3.10]).

Recall, an operator $T \in C_u^*(X)$ is called a *ghost* if $\langle T\delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle \rightarrow 0$, as $(x, x') \rightarrow \infty$ on $X \times X$ (see Definition 2.9 for details). A uniformly locally finite metric space (X, d) has property A if and only if all ghost operators in $C_u^*(X)$ are compact (see Proposition 11.43 of [Roe03] and Theorem 1.3 of [RW14]).² Since there exist uniformly locally finite metric spaces with non-compact ghost operators in which all ghost projections are compact (see [RW14], Theorem 1.4), the property of a uniformly locally finite metric space having only compact ghost projections is strictly weaker than property A. Also, it was proved in [Yu00], Theorem 2.7, that having property A implies coarse embeddability into a Hilbert space.

We now describe the main results of this paper.

- (7) Properties (II), (III), and (IV) are equivalent for all uniformly locally finite coarse spaces (Theorem 8.1).
- (8) (V) implies (I) for uniformly locally finite metric spaces (Theorem 4.12).
- (9) More generally, it is consistent with ZFC that (V) implies (I) for uniformly locally finite coarse spaces whose coarse structures are generated by less than 2^{\aleph_0} subsets (see Theorem 4.12 for a stronger result).
- (10) (VII) implies (V) for uniformly locally finite metric spaces which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space (Theorem 7.4).
- (11) (VII) implies (V) for uniformly locally finite metric spaces in which all the ghost projections are compact (Theorem 6.2). More precisely, if an isomorphism is not rigidly implemented, then at least one of the uniform Roe algebras has a Cartan masa which contains non-compact ghost projections (Theorem 6.1).

By (10) and (8), we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (X, d) and (Y, ∂) be uniformly locally finite metric spaces which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are isomorphic, then (X, d) and (Y, ∂) are coarsely equivalent. \square*

By (11) and (8), we have the following.

²We take the advantage of this fact and use it as an excuse not to give the definition of property A; let's just say that A appears to stand for 'amenability'.

Corollary 1.3. *Let (X, d) and (Y, ∂) be uniformly locally finite metric spaces such that all the ghost projections in $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are compact. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are isomorphic, then (X, d) and (Y, ∂) are coarsely equivalent. \square*

We do not know whether a Cartan masa can contain a non-compact ghost projection (see the conclusion of (11)); see however Example 6.3.

A uniformly locally finite metric space which coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space but does not have property A was constructed in [AGŠ12], Theorem 1.1. Although this space does not have property A, all ghost projections in $C_u^*(X)$ are compact (see [RW14], Theorem 1.4). In particular, it follows from Theorem 1.1 of [Yu00] that this space satisfies the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture.

Our main technical contribution is that for uniformly locally finite metric spaces X and Y , any isomorphism $C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow C_u^*(X)$ must satisfy a certain kind of automatic uniform approximation for “banded” operators. We believe that this is likely to have other applications, especially to banded matrices in operator theory and mathematical physics. We proceed to give an informal description of this result, and refer to Definition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 for precise statements and generalization for nonmetrizable spaces. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be metrizable uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. We prove that any isomorphism $C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow C_u^*(X)$ must satisfy the following ‘coarse-like’ property: roughly speaking, given such isomorphism, we show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an assignment $F \in \mathcal{F} \mapsto E_F \in \mathcal{E}$ so that this isomorphism takes operators supported in F to operators supported in E_F up to an error of ε . In the case of the algebraic uniform Roe algebra, the error may be taken to be zero and the metrizability assumption can be omitted (Theorem 8.4).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present all the necessary definitions and background for these notes. Section 3 is dedicated to show that Lemma 3.1 of [ŠW13] can be generalized to non-connected coarse spaces. In Section 4, we prove the ‘coarse-like’ property mentioned above which gives us (8) and (9). We can also prove rigidity of uniform Roe algebras for a subclass of uniformly locally finite coarse spaces which we call spaces with *small partitions*. This is done in Section 5 and we refer the reader to Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.5 for precise statements. In Section 6, we look at Cartan masas (Definition 2.7) and show (11) above. In Section 7, we show that rigidity holds for metric spaces which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space ((10) above) and, in Section 8, we deal with rigidity of algebraic uniform Roe algebras and prove (7).

Remark 1.4. The results and techniques introduced in this paper have already been successfully applied to a variety of loosely related subjects: rigidity for isomorphisms between the quotients of uniform Roe algebras by the compact operators ([BFV]), embeddings between uniform Roe algebras ([BFV19]), Banach algebra isomorphisms between uniform Roe algebras ([BV19]), rigidity results for metric spaces satisfying the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients ([BCL19]), and Cartan masas of $C_u^*(X)$ ([WW19]).

2. BACKGROUND

We start this section by giving the basic definitions about coarse spaces. For a detailed account of that, we refer the reader to [Roe03] and [Ros].

2.1. Coarse spaces. Let X be a set. Given subsets $E, F \subset X \times X$, we define

$$E^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid (y, x) \in E\}$$

and

$$E \circ F = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \exists z \in X \text{ with } (x, z) \in E \text{ and } (z, y) \in F\}.$$

We say that E is *symmetric* if $E = E^{-1}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $E^{(n)}$ recursively as follows. Let $E^{(1)} = E$ and $E^{(n+1)} = E \circ E^{(n)}$, for all $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set. A collection \mathcal{E} of subsets of $X \times X$ is called a *coarse structure* if

- (i) $\Delta_X := \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\} \in \mathcal{E}$,
- (ii) $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $F \subset E$ implies $F \in \mathcal{E}$,
- (iii) $E \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $E^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$,
- (iv) $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $E \cup F \in \mathcal{E}$, and
- (v) $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $E \circ F \in \mathcal{E}$.

The elements of \mathcal{E} are called *entourages* and the pair (X, \mathcal{E}) is called a *coarse space*.

Let X be a set, $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ and $A \subset X$ be a subset. We define

$$\mathcal{E}_A = \{E \cap A \times A \mid E \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

If \mathcal{E} is a coarse structure on X , then \mathcal{E}_A defines a coarse structure on A . A coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *connected* if $\{(x, y)\} \in \mathcal{E}$, for all $x, y \in X$. Since $\{(x, y)\} \in \mathcal{E}$ defines an equivalence relation on X , we can always write $X = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} X_j$, where each (X_j, \mathcal{E}_{X_j}) is connected and $X_j \cap X_i = \emptyset$, for all $j \neq i$. The subsets $(X_j)_{j \in J}$ are called the *connected components* of X .

Given a set X and a family of subsets $\{E_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$, the intersection of all the coarse structures on X containing the family $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$, say \mathcal{E} , is still a coarse structure and it is called the *coarse structure generated by $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$* . The family $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a set of *generators* of \mathcal{E} . We say that a coarse structure \mathcal{E} on X is *countably generated* if it is generated by a countable family of subsets of $X \times X$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For each $r \geq 0$, let

$$E_r = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid d(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

We call the the coarse structure generated by $\{E_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ the *bounded coarse structure of (X, d)* and we denote it by \mathcal{E}_d . Clearly, \mathcal{E}_d is countably generated.

A coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is *metrizable* if there exists some metric d on X such that \mathcal{E} is the bounded coarse structure of (X, d) . A connected coarse structure (X, \mathcal{E}) is metrizable if and only if \mathcal{E} is countably generated (see [Roe03], Theorem 2.55).

For $E \subset X \times X$ and $x \in X$ let

$$E_x = \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in E\} \text{ and } E^x = \{y \in X \mid (y, x) \in E\}.$$

Definition 2.2. A coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is called *uniformly locally finite* if

$$\sup_{x \in X} |E_x| < \infty,$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. If (X, d) is a metric space and \mathcal{E}_d is its bounded coarse structure, we say that (X, d) is a *uniformly locally finite metric space* if (X, \mathcal{E}_d) is a uniformly locally finite coarse space.

Remark 2.3. We notice that a uniformly locally finite metric space (X, d) is usually called a *metric space with bounded geometry* in the literature. However, the common definition of bounded geometry for general coarse spaces (see [Roe03], Chapter 3, Section 3.1) is not the generalization we need for these notes. Precisely, what we need is the idea of *uniformly discrete coarse spaces* defined in [Roe03], Definition

3.24. Since the terminology *uniformly locally finite* is also used by other authors (e.g., [Sak12], [Sak13] and [Ros]), we chose to use this less common terminology (even for metric spaces).

Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space and let $E \in \mathcal{E}$. A subset X' of X is called *E-separated* if $(x, y) \notin E$, for all distinct $x, y \in X'$. The following lemma is a reformulation of Lemma 2.7(a), of [STY02]. For the convenience of the reader, we include a proof.

Proposition 2.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse structure. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be such that $n := \sup_{x \in X} |E_x| < \infty$. Then there exists a partition*

$$X = X_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_n,$$

such that X_i is E-separated, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, if (X, \mathcal{E}) is a uniformly locally finite coarse space, then such partition exists for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Fix $E \in \mathcal{E}$ as in the proposition. Without loss of generality, we assume that E is symmetric and that $\Delta_X \subset E$. By Zorn's lemma, we can pick a maximal E-separated subset $X_1 \subset X$. Assume X_i has been defined, for $i \geq 1$. If

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^i X_n \neq \emptyset,$$

let $X_{i+1} \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^i X_n$ be a maximal E-separated subset. If the proposition does not hold, this defines a finite sequence $(X_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ of nonempty pairwise disjoint subsets such that, for all $i \leq n+1$, $X_i \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{i-1} X_n$ and X_i is a maximal E-separated subset of $X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{i-1} X_n$. Pick $x \in X_{n+1}$. For each $i \leq n$, we can pick $x_i \in X_i$ such that $(x, x_i) \in E$. Therefore,

$$\{x\} \cup \{x_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\} \subset E_x.$$

This gives us that $|E_x| \geq n+1$; contradiction. \square

Definition 2.5. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be coarse spaces. If Z is any set, then maps $f : Z \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow X$ are said to be *close* if

$$\{(f(x), f(x')) \in X \times X \mid x, x' \in Z\} \in \mathcal{E}.$$

- (i) A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *coarse* if for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(x, x') \in E$ implies $(f(x), f(x')) \in F$.
- (ii) A coarse map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *coarse embedding* if for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $(x, x') \notin E$ implies $(f(x), f(x')) \notin F$.
- (iii) A coarse map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *coarse equivalence* if there exists a coarse map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are close to Id_X and Id_Y , respectively.³

Equivalently, f is a coarse equivalence if it is a coarse embedding and it is *cobounded*, i.e., there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$Y = \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in X, (f(x), y) \in F\}.$$

2.2. Uniform Roe algebras. We denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H by $B(H)$.

³Notice that a coarse equivalence is automatically a coarse embedding.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space. The *algebraic uniform Roe algebra* $C_u^*[X]$ is defined by setting

$$C_u^*[X, \mathcal{E}] = \left\{ T \in B(\ell_2(X)) \mid \exists E \in \mathcal{E}, \forall (x, x') \notin E, \langle T\delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle = 0 \right\},$$

and the *uniform Roe algebra* $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E})$ is defined as the norm closure of $C_u^*[X]$ in $B(\ell_2(X))$. Clearly, $C_u^*[X, \mathcal{E}]$ is an algebra and $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E})$ is a C^* -algebra. We omit \mathcal{E} whenever it is clear from the context and write $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*(X)$ for $C_u^*[X, \mathcal{E}]$ and $C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E})$, respectively.

Since we will only work with uniformly locally finite coarse spaces (X, \mathcal{E}) , it is worth noticing that, for any such (X, \mathcal{E}) , the following holds. Let $T = (T_{xy})_{x, y \in X}$ be a family of complex numbers satisfying

- (i) $\sup_{x, y \in X} |T_{xy}| < \infty$, and
- (ii) there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $T_{xy} = 0$ if $(x, y) \notin E$.

The family T naturally induces a bounded operator on $\ell_2(X)$, which we still denote by T . The set of all such operators coincides with $C_u^*[X]$ and, for any such $T = (T_{xy})_{x, y \in X}$, it holds that

$$\|T\| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |E^x| \cdot \sup\{|T_{xy}| \mid x, y \in X\},$$

for $E \in \mathcal{E}$ as in (ii).

For a set X the Hilbert space $\ell_2(X)$ has a standard orthonormal basis, which we denote by $(\delta_x)_{x \in X}$. The *support* of $T \in B(\ell_2(X))$ is

$$\text{supp}(T) = \{(x, x') \in X \times X \mid \langle T\delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle \neq 0\}.$$

By identifying $\ell_\infty(X)$ with the subspace of all $T \in B(\ell_2(X))$ such that $\text{supp}(T) \subseteq \Delta_X$ we have the inclusion $\ell_\infty(X) \subset C_u^*(X)$.

The algebra $\ell_\infty(X)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra (or shortly, *masa*) with special properties, captured by the following definition ([WW19], Proposition 3.1).

Definition 2.7. A C^* -subalgebra B of a C^* -algebra A is a *Cartan masa* if

- (i) B is a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra (i.e., a *masa*) of A ,
- (ii) B contains an approximate unit for A ,
- (iii) the *normalizer* of B in A defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_A(B) = \{a \in A \mid aBa^* \cup a^*Ba \subset B\}$$

generates A as a C^* -algebra, and

- (iv) there is a faithful conditional expectation from A onto B .

Given $x, x' \in X$, we define an operator $e_{xx'} \in B(\ell_2(X))$ by letting

$$e_{xx'}(\delta_z) = \langle \delta_z, \delta_{x'} \rangle \delta_x,$$

for all $z \in X$. For $A \subset X$, let $\chi_A = \sum_{x \in A} e_{xx}$. Clearly, χ_A belongs to $\ell_\infty(X)$. Given $T \in B(\ell_2(X))$ and $x, x' \in X$, we let

$$T_{xx'} = e_{xx} T e_{x'x'}.$$

So, if $\{(x, x')\} \in \mathcal{E}$, we have that $T_{xx'} \in C_u^*[X]$.

We state the following trivial lemma for future reference.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a uniformly locally finite coarse space and $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ is a uniformly bounded family of operators with disjoint supports such that $E =$*

$\bigcup_{j \in J} \text{supp}(T_j)$ belongs to \mathcal{E} . Then the series $\sum_{j \in J} T_j$ converges in the strong operator topology to an element in $C_u^*[X]$ with support contained in E . \square

We conclude this subsection with the definition of ghost operators in uniform Roe algebras.

Definition 2.9. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a uniformly locally finite coarse space. An operator $T \in C_u^*(X)$ is a *ghost* if $\langle T\delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $(x, x') \rightarrow \infty$ on $X \times X$, i.e., if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set $A \subset X$ such that

$$|\langle T\delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $x, x' \in X \setminus A$.

The set of all ghost operators of a uniform Roe algebra forms an ideal which contains all compact operators. For more on the class of ghost operators, we refer to [CW04], [CW05] and [Roe03].

3. SPATIALLY IMPLEMENTED ISOMORPHISMS

In this section, we show that a minor modification of the proof of [ŠW13, Lemma 3.1] gives that any isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras is spatially implemented, thus showing (1) from the introduction.

Lemma 3.1. *Every isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras associated with coarse spaces is spatially implemented. Every isomorphism between algebraic uniform Roe algebras associated with coarse spaces is spatially implemented.*

We only need to show that the assumptions that the spaces be connected and metrizable used in [ŠW13, Lemma 3.1] are not necessary for the conclusion. This requires only a little analysis of the center of an (algebraic) uniform Roe algebra.

Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a coarse space with connected components X_i , for $i \in I$. The space $\ell_2(X)$ can be naturally identified with the Hilbert sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \ell_2(X_i)$. Denote the projection from $\ell_2(X)$ to $\ell_2(X_i)$ by 1_{X_i} . A *corner* of a C^* -algebra A is a subalgebra of the form PAP for some nonzero projection P in A . A projection P in a C^* -algebra A is called a *scalar projection* of A if the corner PAP is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . The center of an algebra A is denoted $Z(A)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space with connected components X_i , for $i \in I$. Then, the projections $(1_{X_i} : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(X_i))_{i \in I}$ are the only scalar projections of $Z(C_u^*(X))$. They are also the only scalar projections of $Z(C_u^*[X])$.*

Proof. If X is connected, then $C_u^*[X]$ includes all finite rank operators and therefore $Z(C_u^*[X]) = Z(C_u^*(X)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$, so the statement follows. In the general case, via the identification from the previous paragraph, $C_u^*(X)$ is identified with $\prod_{i \in I} B(\ell_2(X_i))$. Therefore, we can see that $Z(C_u^*[X]) = Z(C_u^*(X)) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{X_i}$ and the statement follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarse spaces with connected components X_i , for $i \in I$, and Y_j , for $j \in J$, and that $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Lemma 3.2 implies that there exists a bijection $f : I \rightarrow J$ such that $\Phi(1_{X_i}) = 1_{Y_{f(i)}}$.

It remains to prove that the isomorphism between $C_u^*(X_i)$ and $C_u^*(Y_{f(i)})$ is implemented by a unitary for every i .

Note that Φ sends scalar projections of $C_u^*(X)$ to $C_u^*(Y)$. Fix i . The algebra of compact operators $K(\ell_2(X_i))$ is equal to the ideal of $C_u^*(X_i)$ generated by its scalar projections, and the algebra of compact operators $K(\ell_2(Y_{f(i)}))$ is equal to the ideal of $C_u^*(Y_{f(i)})$ generated by its scalar projections. Therefore $\Phi(K(\ell_2(X_i))) = K(\ell_2(Y_{f(i)}))$. Since an isomorphism between two algebras of compact operators is implemented by a unitary unique up to the multiplication by a scalar, a unitary $U_i: \ell_2(X_i) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y_{f(i)})$ implements the restriction of Φ to $C_u^*(X_i)$. This concludes the proof in the case of uniform Roe algebras.

An analogous argument shows the analogous statement holds for $C_u^*[X]$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *An isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras carries operators of rank n to operators of rank n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It also carries operators with orthogonal images to operators with orthogonal images.* \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be coarse spaces with isomorphic uniform Roe algebras. Then $|X| = |Y|$.*

Proof. This follows straightforwardly from Lemma 3.1, the fact that an unitary isomorphism $U: \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ must take an orthonormal basis of $\ell_2(X)$ to an orthonormal basis of $\ell_2(Y)$, and the fact that an orthonormal basis of $\ell_2(X)$ has cardinality $|X|$. \square

4. RIGID ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS AND BAIRE CATEGORY

The goal of this section is to prove (8) and (9) (Theorem 4.12) from the introduction. The main step in the proof of this result is Theorem 4.4 below, which is a strengthening of Lemma 3.2 of [ŠW13].

Readers interested only in metric spaces will lose nothing by assuming all the spaces are metrizable throughout this section.⁴

Other readers may want to consult [Kun11, Section III] for more details on infinitary combinatorics and Martin's Axiom in particular. Define

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$$

and endow \mathbb{D} with its usual metric. For a set J consider the space \mathbb{D}^J with the product topology. This is a compact Hausdorff space. Let $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^J)$ denote the minimal cardinality of a family of nowhere dense subsets of \mathbb{D}^J that covers the space. The following lemma collects some well-known results.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that κ and μ are infinite cardinals.*

- (i) *If $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq \kappa$ then κ is uncountable.*
- (ii) *If $\kappa < \mu$ then $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \geq \text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\mu)$.*
- (iii) *If $\kappa \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ then $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\kappa) \leq \kappa$.*
- (iv) *Martin's Axiom for κ dense sets, MA_κ , implies that $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\mu) > \kappa$ for all μ .*
- (v) *It is consistent with ZFC that $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\mu) > \kappa$ for all $\kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$ and all μ .*

⁴This is because the Baire category theorem implies that all metric spaces are, in the terminology introduced below, small. It is hard to resist quoting from [She92]: "To these we have nothing to say at all, beyond a reasonable request that they refrain from using the countable additivity of Lebesgue measure."

Proof. (i) is the Baire category theorem.

For (ii), note that if \mathbb{D}^μ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^\kappa \times \mathbb{D}^\mu$, and that if F is nowhere dense in \mathbb{D}^κ then $F \times \mathbb{D}^\mu$ is nowhere dense in $\{-1, 1\}^\mu$.

For (iii), cover $\mathbb{D}^\mathbb{N}$ by the singletons and apply (ii).

The Tychonoff product of any family of separable spaces has the countable chain condition (ccc; see [Kun11, Definition III.2.1]) by [Kun11, Theorem III.2.8]. Therefore the space \mathbb{D}^μ has the countable chain condition and (iv) is a consequence of [Kun11, Lemma III.3.18]. For (v), use (iii) and the consistency of Martin's Axiom with ZFC ([Kun11]). \square

The following (nonstandard) terminology will be useful.

Definition 4.2. Let κ and μ be cardinals. The pair (κ, μ) is called *small* if $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^\mu) > \kappa$. A coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}) is said to be *small* if the pair $(|\mathcal{E}|_{\min}, |X|)$ is small, where $|\mathcal{E}|_{\min}$ is defined as the minimal cardinality of a set of generators of \mathcal{E} .

Since for every metrizable space (X, \mathcal{E}) we have that $|\mathcal{E}|_{\min}$ is countable, the Baire category theorem implies that every metrizable coarse space is small. By Lemma 4.1(iv), if $|\mathcal{E}|_{\min} < 2^{\aleph_0}$, then Martin's Axiom for $|\mathcal{E}|_{\min}$ dense sets implies that (X, \mathcal{E}) is small. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1(iii), if $|\mathcal{E}|_{\min} \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ and $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^X) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^{|\mathcal{E}|_{\min}})$, then (X, \mathcal{E}) is not small. In particular, a metric space with $|\mathcal{E}|_{\min} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ is not small.

Definition 4.3. Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a coarse space, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $E \in \mathcal{E}$. An operator $T \in B(\ell_2(X))$ can be ε - E -approximated if there exists $S \in C_u^*(X)$ such that $\text{supp}(S) \subseteq E$ and $\|T - S\| \leq \varepsilon$. We say that S is an ε - E -approximation to T .

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are small and uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. Let $\Phi: C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow C_u^*(X)$ be an isomorphism. Then for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $E := E(F, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\Phi(T)$ can be ε - E -approximated for all $T \in C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\text{supp}(T) \subseteq F$ and $\|T\| \leq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 4.4 was inspired by the canonical Ramsey Theory (see e.g., [PV85]). For the convenience of the reader, we give a self-contained proof after a few elementary lemmas and some bad news.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) is a coarse space, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $E \in \mathcal{E}$. If operators T_1 and T_2 are ε - E -approximated, then the operator $T_1 + T_2$ can be 2ε - E -approximated. \square

Lemma 4.6. Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a uniformly locally finite coarse space, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Let T be an operator in $C_u^*(X)$ and P be a finite rank projection in $\ell_\infty(X)$. The following holds.

- (i) If T is ε - E -approximated, so is TP .
- (ii) If TP is $(\varepsilon + \delta)$ - E -approximated for all $\delta > 0$, then TP is ε - E -approximated.

Proof. Since $\text{supp}(TP) \subset \text{supp}(T)$ and $\|P\| \leq 1$, (i) follows. For (ii), pick $S_n \in C_u^*(X)$ such that $\|TP - S_n\| \leq \varepsilon + 1/n$ and $\text{supp}(S_n) \subset E$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$X' = \{x \in X \mid P\delta_x \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad X'' = \{x \in X \mid (\exists x' \in X')(x', x) \in E\},$$

and notice that both X' and X'' are finite. Therefore, since $S_n P$ can be naturally identified with operators from $\ell_2(X')$ to $\ell_2(X'')$, by going to a subsequence, we can

assume that $(S_n P)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $S \in B(\ell_2(X))$ in norm. As $\text{supp}(S_n P) \subset E$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{supp}(S) \subset E$. Clearly, $\|TP - S\| \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a coarse space, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Also suppose that $(P_j)_{j \in J}$ is an increasing net of projections in $\ell_\infty(X)$ converging to 1 strongly. If $T \in B(\ell_2(X))$ cannot be ε - E -approximated, then TP_λ cannot be ε - E -approximated for all large enough λ .*

Proof. Suppose otherwise. For every $j \in J$ fix an ε - E -approximation S_j to TP_j . Then $\|S_j\| \leq \|T\| + \varepsilon$ for all $j \in J$. Since the norm-bounded balls of $B(\ell_2(X))$ are compact in the weak operator topology, by going to a subnet if necessary we may assume that the $(S_j)_{j \in J}$ converges to some $S \in B(\ell_2(X))$ in the weak operator topology. Clearly, $\text{supp}(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} \text{supp}(S_j)$. So, $S \in C_u^*(X)$ and, by our assumption, $\|T - S\| > \varepsilon$. Choose unit vectors ξ and η in $\ell_2(X)$ such that $|\langle (T - S)\xi, \eta \rangle| > \varepsilon$. Since $\lim_{j \in J} P_j \xi = \xi$ in norm, we have $\lim_{j \in J} |\langle (TP_j - S_j)\xi, \eta \rangle| > \varepsilon$ contradicting the assumption that S_j is a ε - E -approximation of TP_j . \square

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a coarse space and $K \subseteq C_u^*(X)$ is compact in the norm topology. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that every $T \in K$ can be ε - E -approximated.*

Proof. If K is finite then this is true because \mathcal{E} is directed. For the general case, fix a finite $\varepsilon/2$ -net K_0 in K and find E such that every $T \in K_0$ can be $\varepsilon/2$ - E -approximated. For $T' \in K$ fix $T \in K_0$ such that $\|T' - T\| < \varepsilon$. Lemma 4.5 implies that $T' = T' - T + T$ can be ε - E -approximated. Since $T' \in K$ was arbitrary, the conclusion follows. \square

In what follows, it will be convenient to write $\bar{\lambda}$ for $(\lambda_j)_{j \in J} \in \mathbb{D}^J$.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a small and uniformly locally finite coarse space. Suppose $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ is a family of operators in $C_u^*(X)$ such that for every $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$ the series $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j T_j$ strongly converges to an operator $T_{\bar{\lambda}} \in C_u^*(X)$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $T_{\bar{\lambda}}$ can be ε - E -approximated for all $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that X is infinite and that $T_j \neq 0$ for all j . Hence, it follows that $|J| \leq |X|$. Otherwise, by a counting argument there is a pair (x, x') in X^2 such that the set $\{j \in J \mid (T_j)_{xx'} \neq 0\}$ is of cardinality $|J|$. Since X is infinite, J is uncountable and therefore for some $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{j \in J \mid |(T_j)_{xx'}| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is uncountable. This clearly contradicts the assumption that $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j T_j$ converges strongly to some operator T .

For each finite $I \subset J$, write

$$\mathcal{Z}_I = \{\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J \mid \forall j \in I, \lambda_j = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y}_I = \{\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J \mid \forall j \notin I, \lambda_j = 0\}.$$

Assume that the conclusion of the lemma fails. Then the following holds.

$$(7) \quad (\exists \varepsilon > 0)(\forall E \in \mathcal{E})(\exists \bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J)T_{\bar{\lambda}} \text{ is not } \varepsilon\text{-}E\text{-approximated.}$$

This implies a stronger condition. In what follows, the symbol $\forall_{\text{fin}} I$ abbreviates ‘for all finite’.

$$(8) \quad (\exists \varepsilon' > 0)(\forall E \in \mathcal{E})(\forall_{\text{fin}} I \subset J)(\exists \bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}_I)T_{\bar{\lambda}} \text{ is not } \varepsilon'\text{-}E\text{-approximated.}$$

To prove this, suppose (8) fails for $\varepsilon' > 0$ and fix $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and a finite $I \subset J$ such that for all $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}_I$ the operator $T_{\bar{\lambda}}$ can be ε' - E -approximated. For each $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{Y}_I$ the

operator $T_{\bar{s}}$ belongs to $C_u^*(X)$. Since the function $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{Y}_I \mapsto T_{\bar{s}} \in C_u^*(X)$ is norm-continuous and \mathcal{Y}_I is, being homeomorphic to \mathbb{D}^J , compact, by Lemma 4.8, there exists E' such that $T_{\bar{s}}$ can be ε' - E' -approximated, for all $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{Y}_I$. We may assume $E \subset E'$. For every $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$ the operator $T_{\bar{\lambda}}$ can be written as a sum of an operator indexed in \mathcal{Y}_I and one indexed in \mathcal{Z}_I . By Lemma 4.5 it can be $2\varepsilon'$ - E' -approximated, and therefore (7) fails for $\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon'$. As ε' is arbitrary, this contradicts (7).

Fix $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/2$, where ε' is given by (8).

Claim 1. For each $E \in \mathcal{E}$, the subset

$$U_E = \left\{ \bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J \mid T_{\bar{\lambda}} \text{ is } \varepsilon\text{-}E\text{-approximated} \right\}$$

is closed and has empty interior.

Proof. Suppose that U_E is not closed and pick $\bar{\lambda} \in U_E^{\text{cl}} \setminus \text{Int}(U_E^{\text{cl}})$. Since $T_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is not ε - E -approximated, by Lemma 4.7, there exists a finite rank projection $P \in \ell_\infty(X)$ such that $T_{\bar{\lambda}}P$ is not ε - E -approximated. Fix $\delta > 0$. Since $\sum_{j \in J} \theta_j T_j$ strongly converges to a bounded linear operator for every $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbb{D}^J$, there exists a finite $I \subset J$ such that $\|T_{\bar{\theta}}P\| < \delta$, for all $\bar{\theta} \in \mathcal{Z}_I$. Let $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}_I + \bar{\lambda}_\infty$, for some $\bar{\lambda}_I \in \mathcal{Y}_I$ and $\bar{\lambda}_\infty \in \mathcal{Z}_I$. As $\bar{\lambda} \notin \text{Int}(U_E^{\text{cl}})$, there exists $\bar{\theta}_I \in \mathcal{Y}_I$ and $\bar{\theta}_\infty \in \mathcal{Z}_I$ such that $\|T_{\bar{\lambda}_I} - T_{\bar{\theta}_I}\| \leq \delta$ and such that $T_{\bar{\theta}_I} + T_{\bar{\theta}_\infty}$ is ε - E -approximated. Since

$$T_{\bar{\lambda}}P = (T_{\bar{\theta}_I} + T_{\bar{\theta}_\infty})P + T_{\bar{\lambda}_I}P - T_{\bar{\theta}_I}P - T_{\bar{\theta}_\infty}P + T_{\bar{\lambda}_\infty}P,$$

Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6(i) imply that $T_{\bar{\lambda}}P$ is $(\varepsilon + 3\delta)$ - E -approximated. As δ is arbitrary, Lemma 4.6(ii) implies that $T_{\bar{\lambda}}P$ is ε - E -approximated; contradiction.

In order to notice that U_E has empty interior, let $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$ and fix a finite $I \subset J$. Let $\bar{\lambda}_I$ be defined as in the previous paragraph and pick $E' \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $T_{\bar{\lambda}_I}$ is ε - E' -approximated. Without loss of generality, $E \subset E'$. By our choice of ε , there exists $\bar{\theta} \in \mathcal{Z}_I$ such that $T_{\bar{\theta}}$ cannot be 2ε - E' -approximated. Hence, by Lemma 4.5, $T_{\bar{\lambda}_I} + T_{\bar{\theta}}$ is not ε - E' -approximated. Since $E \subset E'$, this implies $\bar{\lambda}_I + \bar{\theta} \notin U_E$. \square

Let \mathcal{U} be a set of generators of \mathcal{E} of cardinality $\max\{\aleph_0, |\mathcal{E}|_{\min}\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that every element of \mathcal{E} is contained in some element of \mathcal{U} . It follows that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbb{D}^J = \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{U}} U_E.$$

Since $(|\mathcal{E}|_{\min}, |X|)$ is small and $|J| \leq |X|$, it follows that $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^J) > \max\{\aleph_0, |\mathcal{E}|_{\min}\}$. On the other hand, since U_E is nowhere dense for every $E \in \mathcal{U}$, (4.1) implies that $\text{cov}(\mathbb{D}^J) \leq \max\{\aleph_0, |\mathcal{E}|_{\min}\}$; contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 3.1, Φ is implemented by a unitary operator and therefore continuous with respect to the strong operator topology. Let $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be the family of all $\Phi(e_{yy'})$, for $(y, y') \in F$. Since (X, \mathcal{E}) is small cardinal, Lemma 4.9 implies that there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $T_{\bar{\lambda}}$ can be ε - E -approximated for all $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$, as required. \square

It would be desirable to have E as in the conclusion of Theorem 4.4 depend on F only, instead of both F and ε . Alas, in general this is not true; see Example 6.4.

Lemma 4.11 below plays the role of Lemma 4.5 of [ŠW13] in our proof. This will be used later to show that the maps we obtain between (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are

coarse. Before stating and proving it, we prove a technical result which will be essential throughout this paper.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) is a uniformly locally finite coarse space and $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Let \mathcal{F} be a directed set and let $(x_1^F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ and $(x_2^F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ be nets in X such that $(x_1^F, x_2^F) \in E$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exist subsets I and J of \mathcal{F} and $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ such that I is cofinal and the following holds:*

- (i) $x_1^F \neq x_1^{F'}$ and $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$, for all distinct F and F' in J , and
- (ii) $x_1^F = x_1^{\varphi(F)}$ and $x_2^F = x_2^{\varphi(F)}$, for all $F \in I$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, there exists a partition

$$X = X_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_k,$$

such that $(x_1, x_2) \notin E^{(3)}$, for all $i \leq k$ and all distinct $x_1, x_2 \in X_i$. For each $i \leq k$, let

$$I_i = \{F \in \mathcal{F} \mid x_1^F \in X_i\}.$$

Since \mathcal{F} is directed, there exists $i \leq k$ such that I_i is cofinal in \mathcal{F} . Let $I = I_i$. Fix F and F' in I such that $x_1^F \neq x_1^{F'}$. Then $(x_1^F, x_1^{F'}) \notin E^{(3)}$ and since $(x_1^G, x_2^G) \in E$ for all $G \in J$, we conclude that $(x_2^F, x_2^{F'}) \notin E$, and in particular $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$.

By an analogous argument and going to a cofinal subset of I if necessary, we may assume that $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$ implies $x_1^F \neq x_1^{F'}$ for all F and F' in I .

To recap, we may assume the following:

$$(4.2) \quad x_1^F = x_1^{F'} \text{ if and only if } x_2^F = x_2^{F'}, \text{ for all } F, F' \in I.$$

Let $\tilde{X} = \{x_1^F \mid F \in I\}$. Fix an assignment $x \in \tilde{X} \mapsto F_x \in I$ such that $x_1^{F_x} = x$, for all $x \in \tilde{X}$. Let $J = \{F_x \in I \mid x \in \tilde{X}\}$ be the image of this assignment. Notice that $x_1^F \neq x_1^{F'}$, for all distinct $F, F' \in J$. So, by the backwards implication of 4.2, we have that $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$, for all distinct $F, F' \in J$.

Define $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ by letting $\varphi(F) = F_{x_1^F}$, for all $F \in I$. By the definition of φ and the forward implication in 4.2, we have that

$$x_1^F = x_1^{\varphi(F)} \text{ and } x_2^F = x_2^{\varphi(F)}, \text{ for all } F \in I.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

If the spaces (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are metrizable, the assumption on \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} in the following lemma follows from the Baire category theorem.

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are small and uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. Let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary operator which spatially implements a isomorphism $C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$. Then for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $\delta > 0$ the following set belongs to \mathcal{F} :*

$$F_{E, \delta} := \{(y_1, y_2) \in Y^2 \mid (\exists (x_1, x_2) \in E)(|\langle U\delta_{x_1}, \delta_{y_1} \rangle| \geq \delta \wedge |\langle U\delta_{x_2}, \delta_{y_2} \rangle| \geq \delta)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose otherwise. Then there exist $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $F_{E, \delta} \notin \mathcal{F}$. Therefore for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there exist $(x_1^F, x_2^F) \in E$ and $(y_1^F, y_2^F) \in Y^2$ such that $|\langle U\delta_{x_1^F}, \delta_{y_1^F} \rangle| \geq \delta$, $|\langle U\delta_{x_2^F}, \delta_{y_2^F} \rangle| \geq \delta$, and $(y_1^F, y_2^F) \notin F$.

Order \mathcal{F} by the inclusion; it is a directed set. By Lemma 4.10, there exist a cofinal $I \subset \mathcal{F}$, $J \subset \mathcal{F}$, and a map $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ such that

- (i) $x_1^F \neq x_1^{F'}$ and $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$, for all distinct $F, F' \in J$, and
- (ii) $x_1^F = x_1^{\varphi(F)}$ and $x_2^F = x_2^{\varphi(F)}$, for all $F \in I$.

Fix $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$. Since $(x_1^F, x_2^F) \in E$ for all $F \in I$ and $\sup_{x \in X} \max(|E_x|, |E^x|)$ is finite, (i) implies that the sum $\sum_{F \in J} \lambda_F e_{x_1^F x_2^F}$ converges in the strong operator topology to an operator in $B(\ell_2(X))$. Since its support is included in E , this operator belongs to $C_u^*(X)$.

For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let $e(F) = e_{x_1^F x_2^F}$. Hence, as Φ is continuous in the strong operator topology, the sum

$$\sum_{F \in J} \lambda_F \Phi(e(F))$$

converges strongly to an operator in $C_u^*(Y)$, for every $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$. By Theorem 4.4, there exists $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\|\chi_A \Phi(e(F)) \chi_B\| < \delta^2,$$

for all $F \in J$ and all $A, B \subset Y$ which are F_1 -separated. Since I is cofinal in \mathcal{F} , we can pick $F_1 \in I$. For now on, set

$$a(x) = x_1^{F_1}, \quad b(x) = x_2^{F_1}, \quad a(y) = y_1^{F_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad b(y) = y_2^{F_1}$$

and notice that $\{a(y)\}$ and $\{b(y)\}$ are F_1 -separated. By (ii), it follows that

$$\|\chi_{\{a(y)\}} \Phi(e(F_1)) \chi_{\{b(y)\}}\| = \|\chi_{\{a(y)\}} \Phi(e(f(F_1))) \chi_{\{b(y)\}}\| < \delta^2.$$

Using $\langle U^* \delta_{b(y)}, \delta_{b(x)} \rangle \delta_{a(x)} = e(F_1) U^* \delta_{b(y)}$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 &\leq |\langle \delta_{a(y)}, U \delta_{a(x)} \rangle \langle U \delta_{b(x)}, \delta_{b(y)} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \langle U^* \delta_{b(y)}, \delta_{b(x)} \rangle \delta_{a(x)}, U^* \delta_{a(y)} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle U e(F_1) U^* \delta_{b(y)}, \delta_{a(y)} \rangle| \\ &= \|\chi_{\{a(y)\}} \Phi(e(F_1)) \chi_{\{b(y)\}}\|; \end{aligned}$$

contradiction. \square

We are ready to prove (8) and (9) from the introduction.

Theorem 4.12. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite coarse spaces which are also small. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are rigidly isomorphic, then X and Y are coarsely equivalent.*

Proof. Let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary operator which spatially implements a rigid isomorphism between $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$. Therefore, there exist $\delta > 0$, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$|\langle U \delta_x, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle| \geq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle U^* \delta_y, \delta_{g(y)} \rangle| \geq \delta,$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $y \in Y$. Lemma 4.11 implies that f and g are coarse maps. Therefore, we only need to verify that $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are close to Id_X and Id_Y , respectively. By our choice of f and g , it follows that

$$|\langle U \delta_{g(y)}, \delta_{f(g(y))} \rangle| \geq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle U \delta_{g(y)}, \delta_y \rangle| = |\langle \delta_{g(y)}, U^* \delta_y \rangle| \geq \delta,$$

for all $y \in Y$. Let $F = F_{\Delta_X, \delta}$ be as in the conclusion of Lemma 4.11. Then, since $(g(y), g(y)) \in \Delta_X$, we have that

$$(y, f(g(y))) \in F,$$

for all $y \in Y$. This shows that $f \circ g$ is close to Id_Y . Similar arguments show that $g \circ f$ is close to Id_X . \square

We end this section with a metamathematical remark.

Corollary 4.13. (MA_κ) *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are κ -generated uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are rigidly isomorphic, then X and Y are coarsely equivalent.* \square

Remark 4.14. Since our proof of (9) uses Baire category methods, it is amenable to an extension along the lines of using mild forcing axioms from set theory. This is discussed in the appendix of the arXiv version of the present paper ([BF]).

5. COARSE SPACES WITH A SMALL PARTITION

In this section, we give a large class of examples of non-metrizable uniformly locally finite coarse spaces such that a rigid isomorphism between their uniform Roe algebras implies coarse equivalence (i.e., (V) implies (I)). We prove this by studying coarse spaces with a partition that ‘behave like a metric space’. As before, the reader not interested in set theory may replace the words ‘small’ in the definition below by ‘metrizable’.

Definition 5.1. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space. We say that (X, \mathcal{E}) has a *small partition* if there exists a partition $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ such that, letting

$$\mathcal{E}_\Delta := \left\{ \bigsqcup_{i \in I} (X_i \times X_i) \cap E \mid E \in \mathcal{E} \right\},$$

the coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}_Δ) is small and \mathcal{E} is generated by $\mathcal{E}_\Delta \cup \{E \in \mathcal{E} \mid |E| < \infty\}$.

Notice that if (X, \mathcal{E}) is small, then (X, \mathcal{E}) trivially admits a small partition. We refer the reader to Example 5.6 for more interesting examples of uniformly locally finite coarse spaces which have a small partition.

We need some simple lemmas (which are also used in Section 7). Given a partition $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ we identify the product $\prod_{i \in I} B(\ell_2(X_i))$ with a subalgebra of $B(\ell_2(X))$.

Lemma 5.2. *Consider a partition $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$. If $Q \in \prod_{i \in I} B(\ell_2(X_i))$ and $R \in B(\ell_2(X))$ are such that $\chi_{X_i} R \chi_{X_i} = 0$, for all $i \in I$ then $\|Q\| \leq \|Q + R\|$.*

Proof. For each $i \in I$, identify $\ell_2(X_i)$ with a subspace of $\ell_2(X)$ in the natural way. Then $(B_{\ell_2(X_i)})$ denotes the unit closed ball of $\ell_2(X_i)$, for $i \in I$

$$\|Q\| = \sup_{i \in I} \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_2(X_i)}} \|\chi_{X_i} Q \chi_{X_i}(x)\| = \sup_{i \in I} \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_2(X_i)}} \|\chi_{X_i}(Q + R) \chi_{X_i}(x)\|$$

and we conclude that $\|Q\| \leq \|Q + R\|$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a uniformly locally finite coarse space and $X' \subset X$. Fix a partition $X' = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ and consider the coarse structure*

$$\mathcal{E}' = \left\{ \bigsqcup_{j \in J} (X_j \times X_j) \cap E \mid E \in \mathcal{E} \right\}$$

on X' . Then $C_u^(X) \cap \prod_{i \in I} B(\ell_2(X_i)) = C_u^*(X', \mathcal{E}')$.*

Proof. The inclusion $C_u^*(X) \cap \prod_{i \in I} B(\ell_2(X_i)) \supseteq C_u^*(X', \mathcal{E}')$ is clear. For the other inclusion, let Q be an operator in $C_u^*(X) \cap \prod_{i \in I} B(\ell_2(X_i))$. To prove $Q \in C_u^*(X', \mathcal{E}')$, pick sequences $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C_u^*[X]$ such that

- (i) $Q_n \in C_u^*[X', \mathcal{E}']$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (ii) $\chi_{X_i} R_n \chi_{X_i} = 0$, for all $i \in I$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (iii) $\lim_n (Q_n + R_n) = Q$.

By Lemma 5.2, we have that

$$\|Q - Q_n\| \leq \|Q - (Q_n + R_n)\|.$$

So, $\lim_n Q_n = Q$ and we conclude that $Q \in C_u^*(X', \mathcal{E}')$. \square

A version of Lemma 4.9 holds for coarse spaces admitting small partitions.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) is a uniformly locally finite coarse space admitting a small partition. Suppose that $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ is a family of finite rank operators in $C_u^*(X)$ such that $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j T_j$ converges strongly to an operator $T_{\bar{\lambda}} \in C_u^*(X)$, for every $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that*

$$\|\chi_{\{x\}} T_j \chi_{\{x'\}}\| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $j \in J$ and all $x, x' \in X$ with $(x, x') \notin E$.

Proof. Let $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ be a small partition of (X, \mathcal{E}) and let \mathcal{E}_Δ be the coarse structure in Definition 5.1 related to this given partition of X . Let P_j denote the projection of $\ell_2(X)$ onto $\ell_2(X_j)$, let $P : B(\ell_2(X)) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} B(\ell_2(X_j))$ be the conditional expectation,

$$P(T) = \sum_{j \in J} P_j T P_j,$$

and set $R = 1 - P$. Lemma 5.2 implies that $P(C_u^*(X)) \subset C_u^*(X)$. Since $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j T_j$ converges strongly in $C_u^*(X)$ for all $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$, so do $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j P T_j$ and $\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j R T_j$. Clearly, $P T_{\bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j P T_j$ and $R T_{\bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j R T_j$, for all $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{D}^J$.

Claim 2. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\|\chi_{\{x\}} R T_j \chi_{\{x'\}}\| < \varepsilon$, for all $j \in J$ and all $x, x' \in X$ with $(x, x') \notin E$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, $(j^E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}}$ in J and $(x_1^E, x_2^E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}}$ in $X \times X$ with $(x_1^E, x_2^E) \notin E$ and $\|\chi_{\{x_1^E\}} R T_{j^E} \chi_{\{x_2^E\}}\| \geq \varepsilon$, for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. In particular, $\{(x_1^E, x_2^E)\} \in \mathcal{E}$ and $(x_1^E, x_2^E) \notin \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i \times X_i$, for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. An easy induction produces a sequence $(j^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in J and a sequence $(x_1^n, x_2^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X \times X$ of distinct elements such that $\|\chi_{\{x_1^n\}} R T_{j^n} \chi_{\{x_2^n\}}\| \geq \varepsilon$ and $(x_1^n, x_2^n) \notin \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i \times X_i$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T_j has finite rank for all $j \in J$, without loss of generality, assume that $(j^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of distinct elements of J .

Going to a subsequence of $(j^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if necessary, we can pick a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\{-1, 1\}$ such that

- (i) $\left\| \chi_{\{x_1^n\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i R T_{j^i} \right) \chi_{\{x_2^n\}} \right\| \geq \varepsilon$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (ii) $\sum_{i > n} \|\chi_{\{x_1^i\}} R T_{j^i} \chi_{\{x_2^n\}}\| < \varepsilon/2$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore,

$$\left\| \chi_{\{x_1^n\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i R T_{j^i} \right) \chi_{\{x_2^n\}} \right\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathcal{E} is generated by $\mathcal{E}_\Delta \cup \{E \in \mathcal{E} \mid |E| < \infty\}$, there exists $S_1, S_2 \in C_u^*(X)$ with $\text{supp}(S_1) \in \mathcal{E}_\Delta$ and $\text{supp}(S_2)$ finite such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i R T_{j^i} - (S_1 + S_2) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\text{supp}(S_2)$ is finite, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_1^n, x_2^n) \notin \text{supp}(S_2)$. Then

$$\left\| \chi_{\{x_1^n\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \mathbf{R}T_{j^i} - (S_1 + S_2) \right) \chi_{\{x_2^n\}} \right\| = \left\| \chi_{\{x_1^n\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \mathbf{R}T_{j^i} \right) \chi_{\{x_2^n\}} \right\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2};$$

contradiction. \square

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be given by Claim 2 for $\varepsilon/2$. By Lemma 5.3, $\mathbf{P}(C_u^*(X)) \subset C_u^*(X, \mathcal{E}_\Delta)$. Hence, by Lemma 4.9, going to a larger $E \in \mathcal{E}$ if necessary, assume that $\mathbf{P}T_j$ is $\varepsilon/2$ - E -approximable, for all $j \in J$. This implies that

$$\|\chi_{\{x\}} T_j \chi_{\{x'\}}\| \leq \|\chi_{\{x\}} \mathbf{P}T_j \chi_{\{x'\}}\| + \|\chi_{\{x\}} \mathbf{R}T_j \chi_{\{x'\}}\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $j \in J$ and all $x, x' \in X$ with $(x, x') \notin E$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite coarse spaces admitting small partitions. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are rigidly isomorphic, then (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarsely equivalent.*

Proof. Fix $\delta > 0$, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$|\langle U\delta_x, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle| \geq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle U^*\delta_y, \delta_{g(y)} \rangle| \geq \delta,$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $y \in Y$. Using Lemma 5.4, one can easily check that Lemma 4.11 applies to (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) . So both f and g are coarse maps. Proceeding analogously to the proof of Theorem 4.12, we obtain that $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are close to Id_X and Id_Y , respectively. \square

Example 5.6. We now give a natural way to construct examples of uniformly locally finite coarse spaces with property A which are non-metrizable but have a small partition. In particular, those spaces satisfy the conditions in Theorem 5.5.

Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a metrizable coarse space, J be an index set, $X_j = X$, and $\mathcal{E}_j = \mathcal{E}$, for all $j \in J$. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} X_j$, and for each $j \in J$ identify X_j with a subset of \mathfrak{X} and $E \in \mathcal{E}_j$ with a subset of $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$ in the natural way. Let $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generating \mathcal{E} and for each $j \in J$ let $(E_{n,j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ thought as a sequence of subsets of $X_j \times X_j$. Define

- (i) $\mathfrak{E}_1 = \{\bigsqcup_{j \in J} E_{n,j} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and
- (ii) $\mathfrak{E}_2 = \{E \subset \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \mid |E| < \infty\}$.

Let \mathfrak{E} be the coarse structure on \mathfrak{X} generated by \mathfrak{E}_1 and \mathfrak{E}_2 . The space $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{E})$ is uniformly locally finite and, if J is uncountable, it is non-metrizable. It is clear that (X, \mathcal{E}) has a small partition. Also, one can easily check that the asymptotic dimension of (X, \mathcal{E}) , i.e., $\text{asdim}(X)$, is the same as the asymptotic dimension of $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{E})$ (see [Roe03], Chapter 9, for definition of asymptotic dimension). Hence, if $\text{asdim}(X) < \infty$, $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{E})$ has property A (by [Roe03], Section 11.5).

6. ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS AND CARTAN MASAS

The goal of this section is to prove (11) from the introduction (Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2). Only a definition stands between us and this proof. A Cartan masa A in $C_u^*(X)$ is *ghostly* if it contains non-compact ghost projections Q_j , for $j \in J$, which are orthogonal and $\sum_{j \in J} Q_j$ converges strongly to the identity of $C_u^*(X)$.

The following is a strengthening of Lemma 4.6 of [ŠW13], where an analogous result was proved using the stronger property A.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are metrizable uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ which is not rigidly implemented. Then at least one of the following applies.*

- (i) $\Phi[\ell_\infty(X)]$ is a ghostly Cartan masa in $C_u^*(Y)$.
- (ii) $\Phi^{-1}[\ell_\infty(Y)]$ is a ghostly Cartan masa in $C_u^*(X)$.

Dropping the assumption that the spaces be metric, we obtain a slightly weaker conclusion which is still incompatible with property A.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ which is not rigidly implemented. Then at least one of the following applies.*

- (i) *There is a non-compact projection $P \in \ell_\infty(X)$ such that $\Phi[P]$ is a ghost in $C_u^*(Y)$.*
- (ii) *There is a non-compact projection $P \in \ell_\infty(Y)$ such that $\Phi^{-1}[P]$ is a ghost in $C_u^*(X)$.*

Before proceeding to prove these theorems, we point out that we do not know an example of a uniform Roe algebra with a ghostly Cartan masa, or even an example of a uniform Roe algebra with a Cartan masa that contains a noncompact ghost projection. The best that we could do is Example 6.3.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are isomorphic, Lemma 3.1 gives us a unitary operator $U: \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ which spatially implements an isomorphism between $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that U does not induce a rigid isomorphism. Hence, for every $n \geq 1$, the set

$$X_n := \left\{ x \in X \mid \max_{y \in Y} |\langle U\delta_x, \delta_y \rangle| \geq 2^{-n} \right\}.$$

is a proper subset of X .

Claim 3. There is a partition $X = \bigcup_m Z_m$ such that each Z_m is infinite but $Z_m \cap (X_{n+1} \setminus X_n)$ has at most one element for all m and all n .

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n = X$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $X \setminus X_n$ is infinite for all n . Since X is countable, we can find a partition $X = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Z'_m$ such that $Z'_m \cap X_n$ is finite for all m and n . Every Z'_m can now be partitioned into sets as required. \square

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of Z_m as in Claim 3 such that $x_n \in X \setminus X_n$ for all n . Then $\|Ue_{x_n x_n} U^* \delta_y\| < 2^{-n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y \in Y$. So, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e_{x_n x_n}$ converges in the strong operator topology to an operator in $C_u^*(X)$.

Claim 4. The projection $P_m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Ue_{x_n x_n} U^*$ is a ghost.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-n_0} < \varepsilon/2$. Since $Ue_{x_n x_n} U^*$ is compact (it has rank 1), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can pick a finite $A \subset X$ such that

$$|\langle Ue_{x_n x_n} U^* \delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n_0},$$

for all $n \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$ and all $y, y' \in Y \setminus A$. Then, for all $y, y' \in Y \setminus A$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle P_m \delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} |\langle U e_{x_n x_n} U^* \delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| + \sum_{n > n_0} |\langle U e_{x_n x_n} U^* \delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{n > n_0} \|U e_{x_n x_n} U^* \delta_y\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that P_m is a ghost. \square

The projections P_m , for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are orthogonal, non-compact, ghost projections in $A := \text{Ad}U(\ell_\infty(X))$ and $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_m$ is the identity in $C_u^*(Y)$. Since $\ell_\infty(X)$ is a Cartan masa in $C_u^*(X)$, A is a Cartan masa in $C_u^*(Y)$. Therefore, A is a ghostly Cartan masa in $C_u^*(Y)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Define sets X_n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as in the proof of Theorem 6.1. Then $X \setminus X_n$ is infinite for all n , and we can choose $Z \subseteq X$ such that $Z \cap X_{n+1} \setminus X_n$ has at most one element for all n . The proof of Claim 4 shows that $P_m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U e_{x_n x_n} U^*$ is a ghost projection. It belongs to the Cartan masa $U \ell_\infty(X) U^*$ and it is clearly not compact. \square

The following is as close as we could get to the conclusion of Theorem 6.1. It also serves as a basis for a limiting example for possible improvements of Theorem 4.4 (Example 6.4) promised earlier.

Example 6.3. There exist uniformly locally finite metric spaces (X, d) and (Y, ∂) such that $C_u^*(Y)$ is isomorphic to a corner $PC_u^*(X)P$ where P is a noncompact ghost projection in $C_u^*(X)$.

The metric space (X, d) is the counterexample to the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture given in [HLS02] on pages 348–349, a copy of which we assume that the reader has handy. Therefore $X = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$, each X_n is finite, and the distance between $x \in X_n$ and $x' \in X_m$ is (for definiteness) $m + n$ if $m \neq n$. Each X_n is the set of vertices of a finite graph G_n with the shortest path distance. The graphs G_n were chosen to be a sequence of k - ε -expander graphs for a fixed k and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let Δ_n be the Laplacian of G_n ; this is the operator on $\ell_2(X_n)$ given by the matrix

$$kI - A,$$

where A is the incidence matrix of G_n and I is the identity. Then Δ_n is positive and $\|\Delta_n\| \leq k$ for all n . Therefore the direct sum Δ of all Δ_n is positive and belongs to $B(\ell_2(X))$. By the definition, $\text{supp}(\Delta) \subseteq \{(x, x') : d(x, x') \leq 1\}$ and therefore $\Delta \in C_u^*(X)$.

Let P denote the projection to $\ker(\Delta)$. Since the lowest nonzero eigenvalue of Δ is bounded away from zero, the restriction of the operator $T := 1 - \Delta \|\Delta\|^{-1}$ to $\ker(\Delta)^\perp$ has norm strictly less than 1. Therefore the sequence $(T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to P in norm, and $P \in C_u^*(X)$. The range of $P\chi_{X_n}$ consists of constant functions in $\ell_2(X_n)$. Thus (writing $m_n = |X_n|$) $P\chi_{X_n}$ is given by the $m_n \times m_n$ matrix all of whose entries are equal to $m_n^{-1/2}$. Since $|m_n| \rightarrow \infty$, P is a ghost.

Let ξ_n be a unit vector in the range of $P\chi_{X_n}$. Let Y be the metric space with domain \mathbb{N} and the metric $\partial(m, n) = m + n$. Then $U: \ell_2(Y) \rightarrow \ell_2(X)$ defined by $U(\delta_m) = \xi_m$ implements an isomorphism between $C_u^*(Y)$ and $PC_u^*(X)P$.

The following example shows that Theorem 4.4 cannot be improved by stating that for $\Phi: C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow C_u^*(X)$ the uniformity $E \in \mathcal{E}$ depends only on $F \in \mathcal{F}$ even when $E = \Delta_Y$.

Example 6.4. There exist metrizable uniformly locally finite coarse spaces (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) , a $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow C_u^*(X)$, such that for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ for which $\Phi(1)$ cannot be ε - E -approximated.

Let (X, \mathcal{E}) , (Y, \mathcal{F}) , P and $\Phi: C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow PC_u^*(X)P$ be as in Example 6.3. We have that $\Phi(1)$ is a ghost projection in $C_u^*(X)$. Given $E \in \mathcal{E}$. The fact that the $*$ -homomorphism Φ is not unital is easily remedied: add a single point y to Y and send e_{yy} to $1 - P$ (the complement of the ghost projection in $C_u^*(X)$).

We do not know whether if the map Φ as in Theorem 4.4 and Example 6.4 is assumed to be an isomorphism one can deduce that it has a stronger coarse-like property.

Corollary 6.5. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite coarse spaces admitting small partitions. Suppose that all ghost projections in $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are compact. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are isomorphic, then (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarsely equivalent.*

Proof. This follows straightforwardly from Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 5.5. \square

7. RIGIDITY FOR SPACES WHICH COARSELY EMBED INTO HILBERT SPACE

In order to prove (10) from the introduction (Theorem 7.4 below), we need to introduce the notion of the Rips complex and coarse connected components.

Definition 7.1. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a coarse space and let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be symmetric and such that $\Delta_X \subset E$. Let

$$P_E(X) = \{A \subset X \mid (x, x') \in E, \forall x, x' \in A\}.$$

We call $P_E(X)$ the *Rips complex of (X, \mathcal{E}) over E* . We define an equivalence relation \sim_E on $P_E(X)$ by setting $A \sim_E A'$ if there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that $x_1 \in A$, $x_n \in A'$, and $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$, for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Definition 7.2. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a uniformly locally finite coarse space. We say that (X, \mathcal{E}) has an *infinite coarse component* if there exists a symmetric $E \in \mathcal{E}$, with $\Delta_X \subset E$, such that the Rips complex $P_E(X)$ has an infinite \sim_E -equivalence class. Otherwise, we say that (X, \mathcal{E}) has *only finite coarse components*.

Let us present the prototypical example of a coarse (metric) space with only finite coarse connected components. Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite metric spaces which are uniformly locally finite, uniformly in the index n . We define a metric space (X, d) , called the *coarse disjoint union of $(X_n)_n$* , by letting $X = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ and picking a metric d on X which is given by the metric on each component and such that $d(X_n, X_m) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n + m \rightarrow \infty$. Any such metric is unique up to coarse equivalence. It is straightforward to check that X has only finite coarse components.

The following lemma is proved by going to a library.

Lemma 7.3. *Let (X, d) be a uniformly locally finite metric space with only finite coarse components which coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space. Then all ghost projections in $C_u^*(X)$ are compact.*

Proof. A metric d is uniformly discrete if $\inf_{x \neq x'} d(x, x') > 0$. It is clear that every coarse metric space (X, d) carries a uniformly discrete metric ∂ compatible with its coarse structure (let $\partial(x, x') = d(x, x') + 1$ if $x \neq x'$).

A uniformly locally finite metric space which coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space must satisfy the coarse Baum–Connes conjecture by [Yu00], Theorem 1.1. This implies that every ghost projection in $C_u^*(X)$ is compact (this is the second sentence of Proposition 35 of [FS14]; note that μ_0 should be μ). \square

We are now ready to prove (10) from the introduction.

Theorem 7.4. *If (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite coarse spaces such that both (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) coarsely embed into a Hilbert space then every isomorphism between $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ is rigidly implemented.*

Proof. Since each of (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space (which is in particular a metric space), it follows that both \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are countably generated. Hence, (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are metrizable [Roe03, Theorem 2.55]. Let d and ∂ be metrics on X and Y , respectively, such that $\mathcal{E}_d = \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\partial = \mathcal{F}$.

Let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary spatially implementing an isomorphism between $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$. By symmetry, it suffices to show that there exist $\delta > 0$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $|\langle U\delta_x, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle| \geq \delta$, for all $x \in X$. Assuming this is not the case, we obtain a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distinct points in X such that $\|Ue_{x_n x_n} U^* \delta_y\| < 2^{-n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y \in Y$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$S_n = Ue_{x_n x_n} U^*.$$

So, S_n is a projection on the 1-dimensional subspace generated by $\zeta_n := U\delta_{x_n}$, i.e., $S_n = \langle \cdot, \zeta_n \rangle \zeta_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of distinct points, $\sum_{n \in I} S_n$ converges in the strong operator topology to an operator in $C_u^*(Y)$, for all $I \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Let us pick a sequence of finite subsets $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Y and a subsequence $(\zeta_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- (i) $Y_k \cap Y_\ell = \emptyset$, for all $k \neq \ell$ in \mathbb{N} ,
- (ii) $\partial(Y_k, Y_\ell) \rightarrow \infty$, as $k + \ell \rightarrow \infty$, and
- (iii) $\|\zeta_{n_k} - \chi_{Y_k} \zeta_{n_k}\| < 2^{-k}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We proceed by induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $Y_1 \subset Y$ be a finite subset such that $\|\zeta_1 - \chi_{Y_1} \zeta_1\| < 2^{-1}$ and set $n_1 = 1$. Let $k \geq 2$ and assume that Y_j and n_j have been defined, for all $j \leq k-1$. Fix $y_0 \in Y$ and pick $r > 0$ such that

$$\partial\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} Y_j, B_r(y_0)^c\right) > k.$$

Let $Y' = B_r(y_0)^c$ (the complement of the r -ball centered at y_0). Since $(\zeta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal sequence, $(\zeta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null. Therefore, since $B_r(y_0)$ is finite, we can pick $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\zeta_{n_k} - \chi_{Y'} \zeta_{n_k}\| < 2^{-k-1}$. Pick a finite $Y_k \subset Y'$ such that $\|\chi_{Y'} \zeta_{n_k} - \chi_{Y_k} \zeta_{n_k}\| < 2^{-k-1}$. The sequences $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\zeta_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ have the desired properties.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\xi_k = \chi_{Y_k} \zeta_{n_k} / \|\chi_{Y_k} \zeta_{n_k}\|$ and let $P_k \in B(\ell_2(Y))$ be the 1-dimensional projection on the subspace generated by ξ_k . So, $P_k = \langle \cdot, \xi_k \rangle \xi_k$. We clearly have that

$$\|S_{n_k} - P_k\| < 2^{-k+2} \quad \text{and} \quad P_k = \chi_{Y_k} P_k \chi_{Y_k},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $P_k \in B(\ell_2(Y_k))$ and $\|P_k \delta_y\| < 2^{-k+3}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y \in Y$.

Since Y_k is finite, $\text{supp}(P_k)$ is finite and $P_k \in C_u^*[Y]$. Therefore, $S_{n_k} - P_k \in C_u^*(Y)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_{n_k} - P_k\| < \infty,$$

it follows that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (S_{n_k} - P_k)$ converges in norm to an operator in $C_u^*(Y)$. Hence, as $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} S_{n_k} \in C_u^*(Y)$, we have that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_k \in C_u^*(Y)$.

Let $\tilde{P} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_k$. By (i) above, and since $P_k \in B(\ell_2(Y_k))$ and $\xi_k \in \ell_2(Y_k)$, we have that $\tilde{P}\xi_k = \xi_k$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\tilde{Y} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} Y_k$. Therefore, since

$$\tilde{P} \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B(\ell_2(Y_k)),$$

Lemma 5.3 implies that $\tilde{P} \in C_u^*(\tilde{Y})$.

Claim 5. The projection \tilde{P} is a ghost in $C_u^*(\tilde{Y})$.

Proof. Since $\|P_k \delta_y\| \leq 2^{-k+3}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y \in Y$, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.1, we obtain that \tilde{P} is a ghost. Since P_k is a projection, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $P_k P_\ell = 0$, for all $k \neq \ell$, we have that \tilde{P} is a projection. \square

Claim 6. All ghost projections in $C_u^*(\tilde{Y})$ are compact.

Proof. Since Y coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space, so does \tilde{Y} . By our discussion on finite coarse components preceding this lemma, it is clear that (\tilde{Y}, ∂) has only finite coarse components. Therefore, Lemma 7.3 implies that all ghost projections in $C_u^*(\tilde{Y})$ must be compact. \square

Claim 5 and Claim 6 together imply that \tilde{P} is compact, contradicting the facts that $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthonormal and that $\tilde{P}\xi_k = \xi_k$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

8. ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN ALGEBRAIC UNIFORM ROE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we prove rigidity of algebraic uniform Roe algebras for general uniformly locally finite coarse spaces ((7) from the introduction). This is summarized in Theorem 8.1 below and part of its proof is inspired by the methods in [WW19]. We refer the reader to [CL18, Proposition 3.10] for a similar result about uniformly locally finite metric spaces.

Theorem 8.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are bijectively coarsely equivalent.
- (ii) $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$ are isomorphic.
- (iii) There is an isomorphism $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\Phi(\ell_\infty(X)) \subset \ell_\infty(Y)$.

Although the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 8.1 is quite straightforward and it has already been proved for metric spaces with bounded geometry ([WW19, Corollary 1.16]), for the convenience of the reader and for completeness, we present a proof of this result here.

Proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 8.1. Let $f : (X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{F})$ be a bijective coarse equivalence. Define an operator $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ by letting $U\delta_x = \delta_{f(x)}$, for

each $x \in X$. Since f is a bijection, it follows that U is a unitary isomorphism. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$. So there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(x, x') \in E$ implies $(f(x), f(x')) \in F$. Let us show that $\text{supp}(UTU^*) \subset F$, for all $T \in C_u^*(X)$ with $\text{supp}(T) \subset E$.

Notice that

$$\langle UTU^*\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle = \langle TU^*\delta_y, U^*\delta_{y'} \rangle = \langle T\delta_{f^{-1}(y)}, \delta_{f^{-1}(y')} \rangle \neq 0$$

if and only if $(f^{-1}(y), f^{-1}(y')) \in \text{supp}(T)$. Say $(y, y') \notin F$. Then, by our choice of F , $(f^{-1}(y), f^{-1}(y')) \notin E$. Therefore, as $\text{supp}(T) \subset E$, this implies that $\langle UTU^*\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle = 0$. This shows that $\text{supp}(UTU^*) \subset F$.

Define an isomorphism $\Phi : B(\ell_2(X)) \rightarrow B(\ell_2(Y))$ by letting $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$, for all $T \in B(\ell_2(X))$. The discussion above shows that $\Phi(C_u^*[X]) \subset C_u^*[Y]$ and, by symmetry, it follows that $\Phi^{-1}(C_u^*[Y]) \subset C_u^*[X]$. Therefore, Φ is an isomorphism between $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$. \square

We now turn to the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) in Theorem 8.1. We show that if $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ is an isomorphism, then Φ satisfies the following ‘coarse-like’ property: there exists an assignment $E \in \mathcal{E} \mapsto F_E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\text{supp}(T) \subset E$ implies $\text{supp}(\Phi(T)) \subset F_E$, for all $T \in C_u^*[X]$ (see Theorem 8.4 below).

Lemma 8.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) be a uniformly locally finite coarse space. Then $\text{supp}(T)$ is finite, for every rank 1 operator $T \in C_u^*[X]$.*

Proof. Since T is a rank 1 operator, $T = \xi \odot \eta$ for some vectors $\xi, \eta \in \ell_2(X)$. Since (X, \mathcal{E}) is uniformly locally finite and $\text{supp}(T) \in \mathcal{E}$, the supports of ξ and η must be finite. As $\text{supp}(\xi \odot \eta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\xi) \times \text{supp}(\eta)$, the proof is finished. \square

The following technical lemma will be essential in the proof of Theorem 8.4.

Lemma 8.3. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and assume that $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ is a isomorphism. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and let $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be a bounded family of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[X]$ with mutually orthogonal images and such that $\text{supp}(T_j) \subset E$, for all $j \in J$. Then,*

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. The proof consists of a series of claims. Throughout this proof, fix $E \in \mathcal{E}$.

Claim 7. Suppose $(T_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded family of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[X]$ such that $\text{supp}(T_j) \subset E$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists an operator $T \in C_u^*[X]$ such that $\text{supp}(T) \subset E$ and

$$\text{supp}(\Phi(T)) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)).$$

In particular,

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$m_j := \inf \left\{ |\langle \Phi(T_j)\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| \mid (y, y') \in \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \right\}.$$

By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 8.2, $\text{supp}(\Phi(T_j))$ is finite, so $m_j > 0$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_j\|$. Define a sequence $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive reals as follows. Let

$\lambda_1 = 1$ and assume that λ_{j-1} had been defined for $j \geq 2$. Let λ_j be a positive real smaller than

$$\frac{\min\{m_1, \dots, m_{j-1}\}}{2^j M}.$$

Clearly, $\sum_j |\langle \lambda_j T_j \delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle| \leq M$, for all $x, x' \in X$. Also, $\langle \lambda_j T_j \delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle = 0$, for all $(x, x') \notin E$. Hence, by the definition of $C_u^*[X]$, it follows that $T := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j T_j \in C_u^*[X]$ is well-defined. So, $\Phi(T) \in C_u^*[Y]$ is defined and $\text{supp}(\Phi(T)) \in \mathcal{F}$. At last, notice that the sequence $(\lambda_j)_j$ was chosen such that

$$\text{supp}(\Phi(T)) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Claim 8. Let $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be an infinite family of nonzero operators in $B(\ell_2(X))$ with mutually orthogonal images. There exists an infinite $J' \subset J$ and a family $(x_j, x'_j)_{j \in J'}$ of pairwise distinct elements in $X \times X$ such that $(x_j, x'_j) \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J'$.

Proof. Since the operators $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ have mutually orthogonal images, it is clear that, for all infinite $J_0 \subset J$, there is no finite $A \subset X \times X$ such that $\text{supp}(T_j) \subset A$, for all $j \in J_0$. We now construct $J' \subset J$ and the required family $(x_j, x'_j)_{j \in J'}$ by induction. Pick any $j_1 \in J$ and any $(x_{j_1}, x'_{j_1}) \in \text{supp}(T_{j_1})$. Let $n \geq 1$ and assume that j_k and (x_{j_k}, x'_{j_k}) have already been picked, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{(x_{j_k}, x'_{j_k})\} \quad \text{and} \quad J_0 = J \setminus \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}.$$

Since A is finite and J_0 is infinite, there exists $j_{k+1} \in J_0$ such that $\text{supp}(T_{j_{k+1}}) \not\subset A$. Now pick $(x_{j_{k+1}}, x'_{j_{k+1}}) \in \text{supp}(T_{j_{k+1}}) \setminus A$. This proves the claim. \square

Claim 9. Let $A \subset X \times X$ be finite and let $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be an infinite family of rank 1 operators in $B(\ell_2(X))$ with mutually orthogonal images such that $A \cap \text{supp}(T_j) \neq \emptyset$, for all $j \in J$. Then, there exist $x \in X$, an infinite $J' \subset J$ and a family $(x_j)_{j \in J'}$ in X of pairwise distinct elements such that either

- (i) $(x, x_j) \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J'$, or
- (ii) $(x_j, x) \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J'$.

Proof. Let $A \subset X \times X$ and $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be as above. Since A is finite, a simple pigeonhole argument gives us $x, x' \in X$ and an infinite $J_0 \subset J$ such that $(x, x') \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J_0$. By Claim 8, pick a countably infinite $J_1 \subset J_0$ and a family $(x_j, x'_j)_{j \in J_1}$ of distinct elements such that $(x_j, x'_j) \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J_1$. Since J_1 is infinite, there exists an infinite $J' \subset J_1$ such that either $(x_j)_{j \in J'}$ or $(x'_j)_{j \in J'}$ is a sequence of pairwise distinct elements. Assume that $(x_j)_{j \in J'}$ is a sequence of pairwise distinct elements.

As the operators $(T_j)_{j \in J'}$ have rank 1, so do their adjoints $(T_j^*)_{j \in J'}$. Since $(x, x'), (x_j, x'_j) \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J'$, we have that $T^* \delta_{x'} \neq 0$ and $T_j^* \delta_{x'_j} \neq 0$, for all $j \in J'$. Hence, for all $j \in J'$, there exists $\lambda_j \neq 0$ such that $T_j^* \delta_{x'} = \lambda_j T_j^* \delta_{x'_j}$. It follows that

$$\langle T_j \delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle = \langle \delta_{x_j}, T_j^* \delta_{x'} \rangle = \langle \delta_{x_j}, \lambda_j T_j^* \delta_{x'_j} \rangle = \overline{\lambda_j} \langle T_j \delta_x, \delta_{x'_j} \rangle \neq 0,$$

for all $j \in J'$. So, $(x_j, x') \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J'$, and (ii) holds. If we had assumed that $(x'_j)_{j \in J'}$ is a sequence of distinct elements, similar arguments would give us that (i) holds. \square

Claim 10. Let $A \subset X \times X$ be finite and let $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[X]$ with mutually orthogonal images and such that $\text{supp}(T_j) \subset E$, for all $j \in J$. Then,

$$\left| \{j \in J \mid A \cap \text{supp}(T_j) \neq \emptyset\} \right| < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose the claim does not hold and let $x \in X$, $J' \subset J$ and $(x_j)_{j \in J'}$ be given by Claim 9. Without loss of generality, assume that $(x, x_j) \in \text{supp}(T_j)$, for all $j \in J'$. Hence, as $\text{supp}(T_j) \subset E$, for all $j \in J'$, it follows that

$$\{x_j \mid j \in J'\} \subset E_x.$$

Since $(x_j)_{j \in J'}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct elements, this shows that $|E_x| = \infty$, which contradicts the fact that (X, \mathcal{E}) is uniformly locally finite. \square

Claim 11. Let $B \subset Y \times Y$ be finite and let $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ be a bounded family of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[X]$ with mutually orthogonal images and such that $\text{supp}(T_j) \subset E$, for all $j \in J$. Then,

$$\left| \{j \in J \mid B \cap \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \neq \emptyset\} \right| < \infty.$$

Proof. Assume the claim does not hold. By Corollary 3.3, $(\Phi(T_j))_{j \in J}$ is a bounded family of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[Y]$ with mutually orthogonal images. Let $y \in Y$, $J' \subset J$ and $(y_j)_{j \in J'}$ be given by Claim 9 applied to $(\Phi(T_j))_{j \in J}$. Without loss of generality, assume that J' is countable and that $(y, y_j) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j))$, for all $j \in J'$. Since J' is countable, Claim 7 gives us that

$$\{(y, y_j) \mid j \in J'\} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J'} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since the elements $(y_j)_{j \in J'}$ are pairwise distinct and (Y, \mathcal{F}) is uniformly locally finite, this gives us a contradiction. \square

We can finally finish the proof of the lemma. For now on, we fix a bounded family $(T_j)_{j \in J}$ of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[X]$ with mutually orthogonal images. Define an equivalence relation \sim on J as follows. First, define a relation (not necessarily an equivalence relation) \sim' on J by saying that $j \sim' j'$ either

$$\text{supp}(T_j) \cap \text{supp}(T_{j'}) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi(T_{j'})) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, say that $j \sim j'$ if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_1, \dots, j_n \in J$, with $j_1 = j$ and $j_n = j'$, such that $j_k \sim' j_{k+1}$, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. This defines a partition on J , say $J = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} J_i$, for some index set I . By the definition of \sim , we have that

$$\text{supp}(T_j) \cap \text{supp}(T_{j'}) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi(T_{j'})) = \emptyset,$$

for all $j, j' \in J$ such that $j \not\sim j'$.

By Lemma 8.2, Claim 10 and Claim 11, J_i is countable, for all $i \in I$. Therefore, by Claim 7 there exists an operator $T^{(i)} \in C_u^*[X]$ such that $\text{supp}(T^{(i)}) \subset E$ and

$$\text{supp}(\Phi(T^{(i)})) = \bigcup_{j \in J_i} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)).$$

By multiplying $T^{(i)}$ by an appropriate scalar if necessary, assume that $|\langle T^{(i)}\delta_x, \delta_{x'} \rangle| \leq 1$, for all $x, x' \in X$. Since $\text{supp}(T_j) \cap \text{supp}(T_{j'}) = \emptyset$, for all $j \not\sim j'$, it follows that $\text{supp}(T^{(i)}) \cap \text{supp}(T^{(i')}) = \emptyset$, for all $i \neq i'$. This shows that $\sum_{i \in I} T^{(i)}$ is a well-defined element of $C_u^*[X]$ with support contained in E .

By Lemma 3.1, $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ is continuous in the strong operator topology. By Lemma 2.8, the series $\sum_{i \in I} T^{(i)}$ is convergent in the strong operator topology, so

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{i \in I} T^{(i)}\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \Phi(T^{(i)}).$$

Since

$$\text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi(T_{j'})) = \emptyset,$$

for all $j \not\sim j'$, it follows that $\text{supp}(\Phi(T^{(i)})) \cap \text{supp}(\Phi(T^{(i')})) = \emptyset$, for all $i \neq i'$. Therefore,

$$\text{supp}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Phi(T^{(i)})\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{supp}(\Phi(T^{(i)})) = \bigcup_{j \in J} \text{supp}(\Phi(T_j)).$$

As $\text{supp}(\Phi(\sum_{i \in I} T^{(i)})) \in \mathcal{F}$, this completes the proof. \square

We can now prove that a isomorphism $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ between the algebraic uniform Roe algebras of uniformly locally finite coarse spaces must satisfy a ‘coarse-like’ property (cf. Theorem 4.4).

Theorem 8.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and let $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ be an isomorphism. For all $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, for all $T \in C_u^*[X]$,*

$$\text{supp}(T) \subset E \text{ implies } \text{supp}(\Phi(T)) \subset F.$$

Proof. Suppose otherwise. Then, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $T^F \in C_u^*[X]$ with $\text{supp}(T^F) \subset E$ and $\text{supp}(\Phi(T^F)) \not\subset F$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\Delta_X \subset E$. By multiplying T^F by an appropriate scalar if necessary, assume that $\|T^F\| \leq 1$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, pick $(y_1^F, y_2^F) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(T^F))$ such that $(y_1^F, y_2^F) \notin F$. By Lemma 3.1, Φ is continuous in the strong operator topology, therefore, using Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$\Phi(T^F) = \Phi\left(\sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in E} T_{x_1 x_2}^F\right) = \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in E} \Phi(T_{x_1 x_2}^F).$$

So, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $(x_1^F, x_2^F) \in E$ such that $(y_1^F, y_2^F) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F))$.

We make \mathcal{F} into a directed set by setting $F_1 \preceq F_2$ if $F_1 \subset F_2$. By Lemma 4.10, there exists a cofinal subset I of \mathcal{F} , a subset J of I , and a map $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ such that

- (i) $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$, for all $F \neq F'$ in J , and
- (ii) $x_1^F = x_1^{\varphi(F)}$ and $x_2^F = x_2^{\varphi(F)}$, for all $F \in I$.

Notice that $\|T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F\| \leq \|T^F\| \leq 1$. Hence, by Item (i), $(T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F)_{F \in J}$ is a bounded family of rank 1 operators in $C_u^*[X]$ with mutually orthogonal images. Therefore, by Lemma 8.3, it follows that

$$F' := \bigcup_{F \in J} \text{supp}\left(\Phi(T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F)\right) \in \mathcal{F}.$$

As I is cofinal in \mathcal{F} , we can pick $F \in I$ such that $F' \subset F$. Fix such $F \in I$. By our choice of (y_1^F, y_2^F) , we have that $(y_1^F, y_2^F) \notin F$. On the other hand,

$$(y_1^F, y_1^F) \in \text{supp} \left(\Phi(T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F) \right) = \text{supp} \left(\Phi(T_{x_1^{\varphi(F)} x_2^{\varphi(F)}}) \right) \subset F';$$

contradiction. \square

We need to introduce some notation which will be used in the following lemmas. Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary isomorphism which spatially implements an isomorphism between $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$. For each $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, define

$$X_y = \{x \in X \mid e_{xx}U^*e_{yy}U \neq 0\}$$

and

$$Y_x = \{y \in Y \mid Ue_{xx}U^*e_{yy} \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 8.5. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary isomorphism which spatially implements an isomorphism between $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$. The following holds.*

- (i) *There exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $(x, x') \in E$, for all $y \in Y$ and all $x, x' \in X_y$. In particular, $\sup_{y \in Y} |X_y| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |E_x|$.*
- (ii) *There exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $(y, y') \in F$, for all $x \in X$ and all $y, y' \in Y_x$. In particular, $\sup_{x \in X} |Y_x| \leq \sup_{y \in Y} |F_y|$.*

Proof. By symmetry, we only need to prove (i). Let E be given by Theorem 8.4 applied to the isomorphism $T \in C_u^*[Y] \mapsto U^*TU \in C_u^*[X]$ and $\Delta_Y \in \mathcal{F}$. So,

$$\text{supp}(U^*e_{yy}U) \subset E,$$

for all $y \in Y$. Without loss of generality, assume that E is symmetric. Let $y \in Y$ and $x, x' \in X_y$. Since $e_{xx}U^*e_{yy}U \neq 0$, there exists $x'' \in X$ such that $e_{xx}U^*e_{yy}U\delta_{x''} \neq 0$. As $U^*e_{yy}U$ is a rank 1 operator and $e_{x'x'}U^*e_{yy}U \neq 0$, we have that $e_{x'x'}U^*e_{yy}U\delta_{x''} \neq 0$. In other words, this gives us that

$$\langle U^*e_{yy}U\delta_x, \delta_{x''} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle U^*e_{yy}U\delta_{x'}, \delta_{x''} \rangle \neq 0.$$

So, $(x, x''), (x', x'') \in \text{supp}(U^*e_{yy}U) \subset E$. As E is symmetric, this implies that $(x, x') \in E \circ E$. The entourage $E \circ E$ has the desired property. \square

Lemma 8.6. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary isomorphism which spatially implements an isomorphism between $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$. The following holds.*

- (i) *$|\bigcup_{y \in B} X_y| \geq |B|$, for all $B \subset Y$.*
- (ii) *$|\bigcup_{y \in B} Y_x| \geq |B|$, for all $B \subset X$.*

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove (i). Fix $B \subset Y$ and let $X_B = \bigcup_{y \in B} X_y$. Since $\text{supp}(U^*e_{yy}U) \subset X_y \times X_y$, for all $y \in Y$, it follows that

$$\bigcup_{y \in B} \text{supp}(U^*e_{yy}U) \subset X_B \times X_B.$$

Therefore, for each $y \in B$, we can naturally identify $U^*e_{yy}U$ with an operator in $\ell_2(X_B)$. For each $y \in B$, let $x_y \in X_B$ be such that $U^*e_{yy}U\delta_{x_y} \neq 0$. Since the images of $U^*e_{yy}U$ and $U^*e_{y'y'}U$ are orthogonal for all $y \neq y'$ in B , we have that $(U^*e_{yy}U\delta_{x_y})_{y \in B}$ is an orthogonal family of nonzero vectors in $\ell_2(X_B)$. So $|B| \leq |X_B|$. \square

Lemma 8.7. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (X, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary isomorphism which spatially implements a isomorphism between $C_u^*[X]$ and $C_u^*[Y]$. There exist injections $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (i) $f(x) \in Y_x$, for all $x \in X$, and
- (ii) $g(y) \in X_y$, for all $y \in Y$.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove (i). Define $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y)$ by letting $\varphi(x) = Y_x$, for all $x \in X$. By Lemma 8.6, we know that

$$|B| \leq \left| \bigcup_{x \in B} Y_x \right| = \left| \bigcup_{x \in B} \varphi(x) \right|,$$

for all $B \subset X$. By Lemma 8.5(ii), we also have that Y_x is finite, for all $x \in X$. Therefore, by Hall's marriage theorem (see [Hal67], Theorem 5.1.2), there exists a map $\psi : \{Y_x \mid x \in X\} \rightarrow Y$ such that $\psi(Y_x) \in Y_x$, for all $x \in X$, and $\psi(Y_x) \neq \psi(Y_{x'})$, for all $x \neq x'$. Therefore, the map $f = \psi \circ \varphi$ is an injection such that $f(x) \in Y_x$, for all $x \in X$. \square

Theorem 8.8. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and suppose that $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ is a isomorphism. Then, there exists a unitary operator $V \in C_u^*[Y]$ such that $V\Phi(\ell_\infty(X))V^* = \ell_\infty$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be the injections given by Lemma 8.7. Using König's proof of the Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein theorem to the injections f and g , we obtain a bijection $h : Y \rightarrow X$ such that, for all $y \in Y$, we have that either $h(y) = g(y)$ or $y \in \text{Im}(f)$ and $h(y) = f^{-1}(y)$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ be given by Lemma 8.5(ii). Without loss of generality, assume that F is symmetric. So, $(y, y') \in F$, for all $x \in X$ and all $y, y' \in Y_x$.

Claim 12. $Y_{h(y)} \subset F_y$, for all $y \in Y$.

Proof. Fix $y \in Y$. Suppose $y \in \text{Im}(f)$ and $h(y) = f^{-1}(y)$. By the definition of f , we have that $y = f(h(y)) \in Y_{h(y)}$. Therefore, $(y, y') \in F$, for all $y' \in Y_{h(y)}$. So, $Y_{h(y)} \subset F_y$. Suppose now that $h(y) = g(y)$. By the definition of g , $g(y) \in X_y$. Hence, by the definition of X_y , $e_{g(y)g(y)}U^*e_{yy}U \neq 0$ and we must have that $Ue_{g(y)g(y)}U^*e_{yy} \neq 0$. Therefore, by the definition of $Y_{h(y)}$, it follows that $y \in Y_{h(y)}$ and we conclude that $Y_{h(y)} \subset F_y$. This finishes the proof of the claim. \square

For each $x \in X$, let $\xi_x = U\delta_x$. So $(\xi_x)_{x \in X}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ell_2(Y)$. We define a unitary operator $V \in B(\ell_2(Y))$ by letting

$$V\xi = \sum_{x \in X} \langle \xi, \xi_x \rangle \delta_{h^{-1}(x)},$$

for all $\xi \in \ell_2(Y)$.

Claim 13. $\text{supp}(V) \subset F$. In particular, $V \in C_u^*[Y]$.

Proof. Let $y, y' \in Y$. Then, by the definition for V , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle V\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| &= \left| \left\langle \sum_{x \in X} \langle \delta_y, \xi_x \rangle \delta_{h^{-1}(x)}, \delta_{y'} \right\rangle \right| \\ &= |\langle \delta_y, \xi_{h(y')} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \delta_y, U\delta_{h(y')} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle U^*\delta_y, \delta_{h(y')} \rangle| \\ &= \|e_{h(y')h(y')}U^*e_{yy}\| \\ &= \|Ue_{h(y')h(y')}U^*e_{yy}\| \end{aligned}$$

By Claim 12, $Y_{h(y')} \subset F_{y'}$. Hence, $(y', y) \notin F$ implies $y \notin Y_{h(y')}$. By the definition of $Y_{h(y')}$, $y \notin Y_{h(y')}$ implies $Ue_{h(y')h(y')}U^*e_{yy} = 0$. Since F is symmetric, we conclude that $(y, y') \notin F$ implies $\langle V\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle = 0$. Therefore, $\text{supp}(V) \subset F$ and the claim is proven. \square

In order to finish the proof, notice that $VU\ell_\infty(X)U^*V^* = \ell_\infty(X)$. Indeed, a simple computation gives us that

$$V^*\xi = \sum_{x \in X} \langle \xi, \delta_{h^{-1}(x)} \rangle \xi_x,$$

for all $\xi \in \ell_2(Y)$. Hence, for any $x \in X$, we have that

$$VUe_{xx}U^*V^*\xi = \langle \xi, \delta_{h^{-1}(x)} \rangle \delta_{h^{-1}(x)} = e_{h^{-1}(x)h^{-1}(x)}\xi,$$

for all $\xi \in \ell_2(Y)$. So, $VUe_{xx}U^*V^* = e_{h^{-1}(x)h^{-1}(x)}$. Since h is a bijection, we conclude that $VU\ell_\infty(X)U^*V^* = \ell_\infty(X)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Theorem 8.1. Let $\Phi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ be an isomorphism and let V be given by Theorem 8.8. Define an isomorphism $\Psi : C_u^*[X] \rightarrow C_u^*[Y]$ by letting $\Psi(T) = V\Phi(T)V^*$, for all $T \in C_u^*[X]$. Clearly, $\Psi(\ell_\infty(X)) \subset \ell_\infty(Y)$ and Ψ extends to an isomorphism $C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$, so the proof is complete. \square

We now turn to the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 8.1. We show that an isomorphism $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ sending $\ell_\infty(X)$ to $\ell_\infty(Y)$ must satisfy the same ‘coarse-like’ property of Theorem 8.4 above (see Theorem 8.11 below). In particular, we obtain that $\Phi(C_u^*[X]) \subset C_u^*[Y]$.

Lemma 8.9. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and consider an isomorphism $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\Phi(\ell_\infty(X)) \subset \ell_\infty(Y)$. There exists a bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

- (i) $\Phi(e_{xx}) = e_{f(x)f(x)}$, for all $x \in X$, and
- (ii) for all $x, x' \in X$ there exists $\lambda_{x'x} \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\lambda_{x'x}| = 1$, such that $\Phi(e_{x'x}) = \lambda_{x'x}e_{f(x')f(x)}$.

In particular $\Phi^{-1}(\ell_\infty(Y)) \subset \ell_\infty(X)$.

Proof. (i) Fix $x \in X$. As $e_{xx} \in \ell_\infty(X)$, we have that $\Phi(e_{xx}) \in \ell_\infty(Y)$. Since e_{xx} has rank 1, so does $\Phi(e_{xx})$. Therefore, there exists $y_x \in Y$ and $\lambda_x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\Phi(e_{xx}) = \lambda_x e_{y_x y_x}$. Since $\sigma(e_{xx}) = \{0, 1\}$, it follows that $\sigma(\Phi(e_{xx})) = \{0, 1\}$ and we must have $\lambda_x = 1$.

Define $f(x) = y_x$, for all $x \in X$, and let us show that f is a bijection. Say $x \neq x'$. Then $e_{xx}e_{x'x'} = 0$, so

$$e_{f(x)f(x)}e_{f(x')f(x')} = \Phi(e_{xx}e_{x'x'}) = 0.$$

Hence, $f(x) \neq f(x')$ and f is injective. Say f is not surjective. Then, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $\Phi(e_{xx}) \neq e_{yy}$, for all $x \in X$. Then, $e_{yy}e_{f(x)f(x)} = 0$, for all $x \in X$. This implies that $\Phi^{-1}(e_{yy})e_{xx} = 0$, for all $x \in X$, so $\Phi^{-1}(e_{yy}) = 0$. Since Φ^{-1} is an isomorphism, this gives us a contradiction. This shows that f is a bijection.

(ii) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the bijection in Item (i). Fix $x, x' \in X$. Using Item (i) and that $e_{x'x}^* = e_{xx'}$, $e_{x'x} = e_{x'x}e_{xx}$ and $e_{xx'} = e_{xx'}e_{x'x'}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(e_{x'x})\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle &= \langle \Phi(e_{x'x})e_{f(x)f(x)}\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle \\ &= \langle e_{f(x)f(x)}\delta_y, \Phi(e_{x'x})\delta_{y'} \rangle \\ &= \langle e_{f(x)f(x)}\delta_y, \Phi(e_{xx'})e_{f(x')f(x')}\delta_{y'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi(e_{x'x}) \neq 0$, it follows that $\langle \Phi(e_{x'x})\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle \neq 0$ if and only if $y = f(x)$ and $y' = f(x')$. So, $\Phi(e_{x'x}) = \lambda_{x'x}e_{f(x')f(x)}$, for some $\lambda_{x'x} \in \mathbb{C}$. At last, notice that $|\lambda_{x'x}| = \|\Phi(e_{x'x})\| = 1$. \square

Lemma 8.10. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and consider a isomorphism $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\Phi(\ell_\infty(X)) \subset \ell_\infty(Y)$. Let $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ be a unitary operator such that $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$, for all $T \in C_u^*(X)$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the bijection given by Lemma 8.9. Then, for all $x \in X$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\lambda| = 1$, such that $U(\delta_x) = \lambda\delta_{f(x)}$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$. By Lemma 8.9, $Ue_{xx}U^* = e_{f(x)f(x)}$, so $U\delta_x = e_{f(x)f(x)}U\delta_x$, for all $x \in X$. This gives us that $U\delta_x$ is a multiple of $\delta_{f(x)}$. Since U is an isometry, it follows that $U(\delta_x) = \lambda\delta_{f(x)}$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\lambda| = 1$. \square

Theorem 8.11. *Let (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) be uniformly locally finite coarse spaces and let $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ be a isomorphism such that $\Phi(\ell_\infty(X)) \subset \ell_\infty(Y)$. For all $E \in \mathcal{E}$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that, for all $T \in C_u^*(X)$,*

$$\text{supp}(T) \subset E \text{ implies } \text{supp}(\Phi(T)) \subset F.$$

In particular $\Phi(C_u^[X]) \subset C_u^*[Y]$.*

Proof. Assume the conclusion of the theorem does not hold. Then, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that for all symmetric entourage $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $T^F \in C_u^*(X)$ with $\text{supp}(T^F) \subset E$ and $\text{supp}(\Phi(T^F)) \not\subset F$. We can assume that $\|T^F\| \leq 1$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, pick $(y_2^F, y_1^F) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(T^F))$ such that $(y_2^F, y_1^F) \notin F$. By continuity of Φ in the strong operator topology, Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 3.1 imply that

$$\Phi(T^F) = \Phi\left(\sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in E} T_{x_1 x_2}^F\right) = \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in E} \Phi(T_{x_1 x_2}^F).$$

For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, pick $(x_1^F, x_2^F) \in E$ such that $(y_2^F, y_1^F) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F))$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the bijection given in Lemma 8.9. By Lemma 8.9, $\text{supp}(\Phi(T_{x_1^F x_2^F}^F)) = \{(f(x_2^F), f(x_1^F))\}$, $y_1^F = f(x_1^F)$ and $y_2^F = f(x_2^F)$.

We make \mathcal{F} into a directed set by setting $F_1 \preceq F_2$ if $F_1 \subset F_2$. By Lemma 4.10, we can pick a cofinal subset I of \mathcal{F} , a subset J of \mathcal{F} and a map $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ such that

- (i) $x_1^F \neq x_1^{F'}$ and $x_2^F \neq x_2^{F'}$, for all distinct $F, F' \in J$, and
- (ii) $x_1^F = x_1^{\varphi(F)}$ and $x_2^F = x_2^{\varphi(F)}$, for all $F \in I$.

By Item (i) and Lemma 2.8, the sum

$$\sum_{F \in J} e_{x_1^F x_2^F}$$

converges in the strong operator topology to an operator in $C_u^*[X]$. Let $(\lambda_{x_1^F x_2^F})_{F \in J}$ be given by Lemma 8.9(ii). Then, as Φ is continuous in the strong operator topology, the sum

$$\sum_{F \in J} \Phi(e_{x_1^F x_2^F}) = \sum_{F \in J} \lambda_{x_1^F x_2^F} e_{f(x_1^F) f(x_2^F)}$$

converges strongly to an operator S in $C_u^*(Y)$. Pick $S' \in C_u^*[Y]$ such that $\|S - S'\| < 1$. In particular, $\text{supp}(S') \in \mathcal{F}$.

Claim 14. $(f(x_2^F), f(x_1^F)) \in \text{supp}(S')$, for all $F \in J$.

Proof. Notice that

$$|\langle S\delta_y, \delta_{y'} \rangle| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (y, y') = (f(x_2^F), f(x_1^F)) \text{ for some } F \in J, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\|S(\delta_{f(x_2^F)}) - S'(\delta_{f(x_2^F)})\| < 1$, we have that

$$|\langle S(\delta_{f(x_2^F)}) - S'(\delta_{f(x_2^F)}), \delta_{f(x_1^F)} \rangle| < 1.$$

This gives us that $(f(x_2^F), f(x_1^F)) \in \text{supp}(S')$, and the claim is proved. \square

Since I is cofinal in \mathcal{F} , we can pick $F \in I$ such that $\text{supp}(S') \subset F$. Fix such $F \in I$. By hypothesis,

$$(f(x_2^F), f(x_1^F)) = (y_2^F, y_1^F) \notin F.$$

Therefore, by Item (ii), we must have

$$(f(x_2^{\varphi(F)}), f(x_1^{\varphi(F)})) \notin F.$$

Since $\varphi(F) \in J$ and $\text{supp}(S') \subset F$, the claim above gives us a contradiction. \square

Proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 8.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the bijection given in Lemma 8.9. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a unitary isomorphism $U : \ell_2(X) \rightarrow \ell_2(Y)$ such that $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$, for all $T \in C_u^*[X]$. By Lemma 8.10, we have that $\langle U\delta_x, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle \neq 0$, for all $x \in X$. Let $g = f^{-1}$.

Claim 15. f and g are coarse maps.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to show that f is coarse. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ be given by Theorem 8.11. Without loss of generality, we can assume that F is symmetric. For all $x, x' \in X$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (8.1) \quad \langle \Phi(e_{xx'})\delta_{f(x')}, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle &= \langle Ue_{xx'}U^*\delta_{f(x')}, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle \\ &= \langle e_{xx'}U^*\delta_{f(x')}, U^*\delta_{f(x)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle \delta_{x'}, U^*\delta_{f(x')} \rangle \delta_x, U^*\delta_{f(x)} \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta_x, U^*\delta_{f(x)} \rangle \langle \delta_{x'}, U^*\delta_{f(x')} \rangle \\ &= \langle U\delta_x, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle \langle U\delta_{x'}, \delta_{f(x')} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of f , $\langle \Phi(e_{xx'})\delta_{f(x')}, \delta_{f(x)} \rangle \neq 0$, for all $x, x' \in X$. Hence, if $(x, x') \in E$, this gives us that

$$(f(x'), f(x)) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(e_{xx'})) \subset F.$$

Since F is symmetric, we are done. \square

Claim 16. $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are close to Id_X and Id_Y , respectively.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove that $f \circ g$ is close to Id_Y . Let $E \in \mathcal{E}$ be given by Theorem 8.11 applied to the isomorphism $\Phi^{-1} : C_u^*(Y) \rightarrow C_u^*(X)$ and the diagonal $\Delta_Y \subset Y \times Y$. By 8.1 above, we have that

$$\langle \Phi(e_{g(y)g(y)})\delta_y, \delta_{f(g(y))} \rangle = \langle U\delta_{g(y)}, \delta_{f(g(y))} \rangle \langle U\delta_{g(y)}, \delta_y \rangle,$$

for all $y \in Y$. Therefore, by the definition of f and g , we get that

$$\langle \Phi(e_{g(y)g(y)})\delta_y, \delta_{f(g(y))} \rangle = \langle U\delta_{g(y)}, \delta_{f(g(y))} \rangle \langle \delta_{g(y)}, U^*\delta_y \rangle \neq 0,$$

for all $y \in Y$. We conclude that

$$(y, f(g(y))) \in \text{supp}(\Phi(e_{g(y)g(y)})) \subset E,$$

for all $y \in Y$. □

This finishes the proof. □

9. APPENDIX: GENERICALLY ABSOLUTE ISOMORPHISMS

In this appendix some familiarity with models of set theory and absoluteness is desirable; see for example [Kun11, Section I.16 and Section II.5] or [Jec03]. Before defining the notion of a generically absolute isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras, we should point out that the absoluteness theorem for Π_1^1 statements ([Jec03], Theorem 25.4) implies that every isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras associated to metric spaces is generically absolute. Therefore Theorem 9.4 below is a generalization of the instance of Theorem 4.12 for metric spaces.

Suppose that $M \subseteq N$ are two transitive models of a large enough fragment of ZFC with the same set of ordinals. (Because of metamathematical considerations not directly relevant to our discussions, we cannot assume that ZFC is consistent and therefore have to work with a model of a large enough finite fragment of ZFC; see [Kun11, II] for an extensive discussion.) Furthermore suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are coarse spaces, $\Phi : C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ is an isomorphism and all those objects are in M .

Since $M \subseteq N$, all of these objects belong to N . However, they need not be objects of the required form. For example, in N the set \mathcal{E} is a collection of subsets of $X \times X$, and it satisfies (i), (iii), (iv), and (v) of Definition 2.1. (This is a consequence of the absoluteness of the notions involved in these axioms; see [Kun11, II.4].) However, N may contain a subset of X that does not belong to M , and in this case (ii) of Definition 2.1 will fail for \mathcal{E} . The way to remedy this issue is to take the coarse structure on X generated by \mathcal{E} in the model N .

We take (writing $\mathcal{P}(E) = \{E' \mid E' \subseteq E\}$)

$$\mathcal{E}^N = \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{P}(E)$$

as computed in N . Then we have that (X, \mathcal{E}^N) is a coarse space in N .⁵

We proceed to define interpretations of other relevant objects in the model N . For $\ell_2(X)^N$ we take the completion of $\ell_2(X)^M$; this space has δ_x , for $x \in X$, as an orthonormal basis and it clearly agrees with $\ell_2(X)$ as computed in N . The coarse space (Y, \mathcal{F}^N) and the Hilbert space $\ell_2(Y)^N$ are defined analogously. Then U^M is

⁵Purists may object our not distinguishing X^M from X^N and using X to denote both sets; this is however the same set and we find writing $\ell_2(X)^N$ preferable to writing $\ell_2(X^N)^N$. The notation $K(\ell_2(X)^N)^N$ appears to be a necessary evil.

a linear isometry between dense subspaces of $\ell_2(X)^N$ and $\ell_2(Y)^N$, and we let U^N denote its continuous extension. This is a unitary.

It remains to see how the uniform Roe algebras $C_u^*(X)^N$ and $C_u^*(Y)^N$ relate to the uniform Roe algebras $C_u^*(X)^M$ and $C_u^*(Y)^M$. A minor inconvenience is caused by the following two facts. (We assume the ‘worst case scenario’ that N contains a subset of X which is not in M .)

- (1) The algebra $\ell_\infty(X)^N$ is equal the closure of $\ell_\infty(X)^M$ in the weak operator topology, and it is strictly larger than the closure of $\ell_\infty(X)^M$ in the norm topology.
- (2) The algebra $K(\ell_2(X)^N)^N$ is equal to the closure of $K(\ell_2(X)^M)^M$ in the norm topology. It is strictly *smaller* than the closure of $K(\ell_2(X)^M)^M$ in the weak operator topology.

Lemma 9.1 will provide us with a recipe for how to compute $C_u^*(X)^N$ directly from (X, \mathcal{E}^M) . (The reason for the absence of the superscripts M and N in the statement of Lemma 9.1 is that this lemma has nothing to do with models of fragments of ZFC.) The proof of the lemma is omitted, being an immediate consequence of the definition of $C_u^*(X)$.

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) is a uniformly locally discrete coarse space. For $E \in \mathcal{E}$ the set*

$$\mathcal{Z}_E = \{T \in B(\ell_2(X)) : \text{supp}(T) \subseteq E\}.$$

is closed in the weak operator topology and contained in $C_u^(X)$. If $\mathcal{E}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is cofinal with respect to inclusion, then $C_u^*(X) = \overline{\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}_1} \mathcal{Z}_E}^{\|\cdot\|}$. \square*

Back to interpreting notions in models M and N , we note that for $E \in \mathcal{E}^M$, the set $(\mathcal{Z}_E)^N$ is equal to the closure of $(\mathcal{Z}_E)^M$ in the weak operator topology. We can now define

$$C_u^*(X)^N = \overline{\bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}^M} (\mathcal{Z}_E)^N}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

where the norm closure is computed in N . Since \mathcal{E}^M is cofinal in \mathcal{E}^N , Lemma 9.1 implies that $C_u^*(X)^N$ defined in this way coincides with the uniform Roe algebra of the coarse space (X, \mathcal{E}^N) as computed in N .

Suppose that $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Then $X, Y, C_u^*(X), C_u^*(Y)$, and Φ all belong to a large enough rank initial segment $R(\theta)$ (commonly denoted V_θ ; we use Kunen’s notation) of von Neumann’s cumulative universe for set theory. Let M_0 be a countable elementary submodel of $R(\theta)$ containing $X, Y, C_u^*(X), C_u^*(Y), \Phi$, and the unitary U implementing Φ , and let M denote the Mostowski collapse of M_0 . This is a countable transitive model isomorphic to M_0 , and it contains copies $X^M, Y^M, C_u^*(X)^M, C_u^*(Y)^M, \Phi^M$, and U^M of the above objects. (This time we write X^M because X may not belong to M .) By elementarity, M will satisfy the assertion

$$(\forall T \in B(\ell_2(X))) T \in C_u^*(X) \Leftrightarrow UTU^* \in C_u^*(Y).$$

We proceed to describe how an extension N of a model M that will serve our purpose is obtained. Suppose that J is a set in M . Observe that \mathbb{D}^M is a countable dense subset of \mathbb{D} and that $(\mathbb{D}^J)^M$ is a countable dense subset of \mathbb{D}^J . Therefore if $A \in M$ and $A \subseteq (\mathbb{D}^J)^M$, then A is nowhere dense in \mathbb{D}^J if and only if the assertion ‘ A is nowhere dense in \mathbb{D}^J ’ holds in M .

By the Baire category theorem, we can choose $G \in \mathbb{D}^J$ such that G does not belong to the closure of any nowhere dense subset of \mathbb{D}^J that belongs to M . Such G is said to be *generic over M* . Then G is generic (in the technical sense from the theory of forcing) for the poset of all nonempty open subsets of \mathbb{D}^J ordered by the inclusion. A transitive model $M[G]$ that contains G and includes M can be formed as in [Kun11, IV.2].

Definition 9.2. . An isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ implemented by a unitary U is generically absolute if and only if for all M and $M[G]$ as in the previous paragraph, U implements an isomorphism between $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$.

A proof of the following lemma is now straightforward.

Lemma 9.3. *A isomorphism $\Phi: C_u^*(X) \rightarrow C_u^*(Y)$ between uniform Roe algebras of coarse spaces is generically absolute if and only if it satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4.11. More precisely, there is a function $f: \mathcal{E} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$, every $n \geq 1$, and every $T \in C_u^*(X)$ such that $\text{supp}(T) \subseteq E$ there exist $S \in C_u^*(Y)$ such that $\text{supp}(S) \subseteq f(E, n)$ and $\|\Phi(T) - S\| < 1/n$. \square*

The proof of Theorem 4.12 in which the smallness assumption is replaced by the assumption that Φ be generically absolute gives the following.

Theorem 9.4. *Suppose that (X, \mathcal{E}) and (Y, \mathcal{F}) are uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. If $C_u^*(X)$ and $C_u^*(Y)$ are rigidly isomorphic via a generically absolute isomorphism, then X and Y are coarsely equivalent. \square*

We do not know whether it is possible to construct an isomorphism between uniform Roe algebras that is not generically absolute.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank R. Willett for suggesting the problem of rigidity of uniform Roe algebras for not necessarily metrizable coarse spaces and useful conversations. The authors would also like to thank the Fields Institute for allowing them to make use of its facilities. Some final touches were added after the very stimulating ‘‘Approximation Properties in Operator Algebras and Ergodic Theory’’ workshop at UCLA/IPAM. IF would like to thank Hanfeng Li and Wouter van Limbeek for stimulating conversations and the organizers of the workshop for the invitation.

REFERENCES

- [AGŠ12] G. Arzhantseva, E. Guentner, and J. Špakula. Coarse non-amenability and coarse embeddings. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 22(1):22–36, 2012.
- [BCL19] B. M. Braga, Y.-C. Chung, and K. Li. Coarse Baum-Connes conjecture and rigidity for Roe algebras. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1907.10237, Jul 2019.
- [BF] B. Braga and I. Farah. On the rigidity of uniform Roe algebras over uniformly locally finite coarse spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*.
- [BFV] B. M. Braga, I. Farah, and A. Vignati. Uniform Roe coronas. arXiv:1810.07789.
- [BFV19] B. M. Braga, I. Farah, and A. Vignati. Embeddings of uniform Roe algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, Jul 2019.
- [BNW07] J. Brodzki, G. Niblo, and N. Wright. Property A, partial translation structures, and uniform embeddings in groups. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 76(2):479–497, 2007.
- [BV19] B. M. Braga and A. Vignati. On the uniform Roe algebra as a Banach algebra and embeddings of ℓ_p uniform Roe algebras. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1906.11725, Jun 2019.
- [CL18] Y. Chung and K. Li. Rigidity of ℓ^p Roe-type algebras. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 50(6):1056–1070, 2018.

- [CW04] X. Chen and Q. Wang. Ideal structure of uniform Roe algebras of coarse spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 216(1):191–211, 2004.
- [CW05] X. Chen and Q. Wang. Ghost ideals in uniform Roe algebras of coarse spaces. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 84(6):519–526, 2005.
- [EM19] E. Ewert and R. Meyer. Coarse Geometry and Topological Phases. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 366(3):1069–1098, 2019.
- [FS14] M. Finn-Sell. Fibred coarse embeddings, a-T-menability and the coarse analogue of the Novikov conjecture. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(10):3758–3782, 2014.
- [Hal67] M. Hall. *Combinatorial theory*. Blaisdell Publishing Co. Ginn and Co., Waltham, Mass.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1967.
- [HLS02] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, and G. Skandalis. Counterexamples to the Baum–Connes conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 12(2):330–354, 2002.
- [Jec03] T. Jech. *Set Theory*. Springer, 2003.
- [Kub17] Y. Kubota. Controlled topological phases and bulk-edge correspondence. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 349(2):493–525, 2017.
- [Kun11] K. Kunen. *Set theory*, volume 34 of *Studies in Logic (London)*. College Publications, London, 2011.
- [LL18] K. Li and H.-C. Liao. Classification of uniform Roe algebras of locally finite groups. *J. Operator Theory*, 80(1):25–46, 2018.
- [LW18] K. Li and R. Willett. Low-dimensional properties of uniform Roe algebras. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 97(1):98–124, 2018.
- [PV85] H.J. Promel and B. Voigt. Canonical forms of Borel-measurable mappings $\Delta : [\omega]^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, 40(2):409–417, 1985.
- [Roe88] J. Roe. An index theorem on open manifolds. I, II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 27(1):87–113, 115–136, 1988.
- [Roe93] J. Roe. Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(497):x+90, 1993.
- [Roe03] J. Roe. *Lectures on coarse geometry*, volume 31 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Ros] C. Rosenthal. Coarse Geometry of Topological Groups. *Book manuscript, version of 2018*, <http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~rosenthal/PapersWebsite/Coarse-Geometry-Book23.pdf>.
- [RW14] J. Roe and R. Willett. Ghostbusting and property A. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266(3):1674–1684, 2014.
- [Sak12] Hiroki Sako. Finite-dimensional approximation properties for uniform Roe algebras. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1212.5900, December 2012.
- [Sak13] H. Sako. Property A for coarse spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2013.
- [She92] S. Shelah. Cardinal arithmetic for skeptics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26(2):197–210, 1992.
- [STY02] G. Skandalis, J. L. Tu, and G. Yu. The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture and groupoids. *Topology*, 41(4):807–834, 2002.
- [ŠW13] J. Špakula and R. Willett. On rigidity of Roe algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 249:289–310, 2013.
- [WW19] S. White and R. Willett. Cartan subalgebras of uniform Roe algebras. *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, to appear 2019.
- [WZ10] W. Winter and J. Zacharias. The nuclear dimension of C^* -algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 224(2):461–498, 2010.
- [Yu00] G. Yu. The coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space. *Invent. Math.*, 139(1):201–240, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE ST., TORONTO, ONTARIO, M3J 1P3, CANADA

E-mail address: demendoncabraga@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE ST., TORONTO, ONTARIO, M3J 1P3, CANADA

E-mail address: ifarah@mathstat.yorku.ca