

# Strengthening strong immersions with Kempe chains

Todd A. Gibson\*

Department of Computer Science  
California State University, Chico  
Chico, CA 95929, USA

November 27, 2024

## Abstract

Every properly colored graph with  $\chi(G) = k$  colors has edge-disjoint Kempe “backbones”, Kempe chains anchored by color-critical vertices for each pair of colors. Certain color permutations arrange these backbones into a clique-like structure, a strengthening of strong immersions of complete graphs. This strengthened immersion is suggested as a template for identifying the disjoint subgraphs comprising Hadwiger’s conjectured  $K_k$  minor present in  $k$ -chromatic graphs.

## 1 Introduction

An immersion of the complete graph  $K_k$  in a simple, undirected graph  $G$  is an injective function that maps  $f : V(K_k) \rightarrow V(G)$ . Each edge  $(u, v) \in E(K_k)$  corresponds to a path in  $G$  with endpoints  $(f(u), f(v))$ . The immersion is strong if the paths are internally disjoint. Studying strong immersions of complete graphs has been motivated by their potential to make progress towards resolving Hadwiger’s conjecture [1]. Here we identify additional structural elements of graphs closely aligned with Kempe chains and how they assemble into a strengthened form of  $K_k$  immersions. These *Kempe cliques* are attractive research targets because of their close alignment to the graph’s chromatic number.

Let  $G$  be a simple, undirected graph with chromatic number  $\chi(G) = k$ , and chromatic coloring, that is, properly colored with  $C = c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  colors.

**Definition 1.** A *critical vertex*,  $X_i$ , is a vertex with color  $c_i$  that is adjacent to at least  $k - 1$  neighbors, colored with all remaining colors in  $C$  (Figure 1).


**Definition 2.** A *Kempe chain* contains vertex  $v$  colored  $c_i$ , and is the maximal connected subgraph of vertices colored either  $c_i$  or  $c_j$ . Also referred to as a  $(c_i, c_j)$ -Kempe chain.

---

\*Corresponding Author. Email: tagibson@csuchico.edu

**Definition 3.** A *Kempe backbone* is a path within a  $(c_i, c_j)$ -Kempe chain leading from critical vertex  $X_i$  to critical vertex  $X_j$ . These critical vertices are the *anchors* of the backbone. Also referred to as a  $(c_i, c_j)$ -Kempe backbone (Figure 1).

**Definition 4.** A *Kempe swap* interchanges the colors of a  $(c_i, c_j)$ -Kempe chain. Each vertex in the chain assumes the color of its chain neighbors.



**Figure 1** – Annotated structures. Critical vertices, **a**, each framed by a grey square. A (green, red)-Kempe backbone, **b**, alongside a purple ribbon. A (blue, yellow)-Kempe backbone, **c**, alongside a purple ribbon. A length-3 (yellow, green)-Kempe backbone is present but not highlighted. Three length-1 Kempe backbones, (red, yellow), (red, blue), and (blue, green) complete the list of six Kempe backbones present in a  $\chi(G) = 4$  graph.

## 2 Kempe backbones


**Observation 1.** Graph  $G$  contains at least  $k$  critical vertices. Each color in  $C$  labels at least one critical vertex.

*Proof.* Assume that  $G$  is missing critical vertex  $X_a$ . Each  $c_a$  colored vertex may be assigned a different color because no  $c_a$  colored vertex is adjacent to all remaining colors by the definition of a critical vertex. Therefore,  $G$  is  $(k - 1)$ -colorable, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Observation 2.** A Kempe swap preserves the criticality (whether a vertex is critical or non-critical) of each vertex in the Kempe chain.

*Proof.* Each vertex in the  $(c_a, c_b)$ -Kempe chain is adjacent to neighbors labeled with  $1 \leq n < k$  colors. Interchanging the color of vertex  $v$  in the chain from  $c_a$  to  $c_b$  replaces  $c_b$ -labeled neighbors with  $c_a$ -labeled neighbors (and visa versa). Other neighbors are not affected by the swap. Therefore,  $n$  does not change for each vertex participating in the swap.  $\square$

Note that although the criticality is preserved for chain members undergoing a swap, the criticality of vertices adjacent to members of the swapped chain may change.



**Figure 2** – A chromatically colored  $k = 4$  graph. Critical vertices are framed by grey squares. Swapping the (green, yellow)-Kempe chain, **a**, will eliminate the green critical vertex. The second (green, yellow)-Kempe chain, **b**, includes a (green, yellow)-Kempe backbone following the purple ribbon. Swapping this chain merely interchanges the critical vertices of the backbone.

The following theorem describes the Kempe backbone characteristic of  $G$ . There are  $(k^2 - k)/2$  unique pairs of colors. For each unique color pair  $(c_i, c_j)$ , there exist two critical vertices,  $(X_i, X_j)$ , anchoring a  $(c_i, c_j)$ -Kempe backbone.

**Theorem 1.** For each pair of colors  $(c_i, c_j)$  with  $c_i, c_j \in C$ ,  $i \neq j$  there is a critical vertex pair  $(X_i, X_j)$  that anchors a  $(c_i, c_j)$ -Kempe backbone.

*Proof.* Let  $(a, b)$  be such a color pair and assume instead that no  $(X_a, X_b)$  pair of critical vertices anchors an  $(a, b)$ -Kempe backbone. By Observation 1, critical vertices  $X_a$  and  $X_b$  exist. So,  $X_a$  and  $X_b$  are members of different, disconnected  $(a, b)$ -Kempe chains. Swap the colors of each  $(a, b)$ -Kempe chain containing a critical vertex  $X_a$ . By Observation 2, this replaces each  $X_a$  with a critical vertex of color  $b$ . This process may be repeated to eliminate all critical vertices of color  $a$ , which is a contradiction by Observation 1.  $\square$

Graph  $G$  may have more than one critical vertex of a given color; not all critical vertices are required to participate in a Kempe backbone to satisfy Theorem 1. Also, more than one  $(c_a, c_b)$ -Kempe backbone may exist in  $G$ .


Theorem 1 describes the architecture that prevents  $G$  from using fewer than  $k$  colors. Any attempt to use  $(a, b)$ -Kempe swaps to remove all  $X_a$  critical vertices will encounter at least one  $X_a$  that has a Kempe backbone to  $X_b$  (Figure 2). A  $(c_a, c_b)$ -Kempe swap on the backbone anchored by  $X_a$  and  $X_b$  will merely interchange the critical vertices, failing to eliminate  $X_a$ .

### 3 Kempe cliques

**Definition 5.** A *correctly colored* graph is properly colored with  $q \geq \chi(G)$  colors such that it includes  $q$  critical vertices,  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_q\}$ , each labeled with a different color, anchoring  $(q^2 - q)/2$  Kempe backbones.

**Definition 6.** A *Kempe clique*,  $Q_q$ , is the collection of  $(q^2 - q)/2$  Kempe backbones anchored by  $q$  critical vertices in a correct coloring.

The presence of a Kempe clique does not directly follow from Theorem 1. Figures 1 and 2 are examples of proper (and chromatic) colorings that are not correct colorings. A different color permutation of a properly colored graph may be required to reveal a Kempe clique. Figure 3 shows labeled examples of correctly colored graphs and their Kempe cliques.




**Figure 3** – Examples of correct coloring. Critical vertices are framed by grey squares. Kempe backbones greater than length-1 follow purple ribbons. (A) A graph with  $\chi(G) = 4$ . The Kempe clique includes four length-1 Kempe backbones, one of length-3 and one of length-5. (B) A graph with  $\chi(G) = 4$ . In addition to the Kempe backbones comprising a Kempe clique, a correctly colored graph may have additional critical vertices and additional Kempe backbones. (C) A correctly colored graph with  $\chi(G) = 5$ . The Kempe clique includes a length-3 Kempe backbone.

Kempe cliques strengthen strong immersions of complete graphs [7, 3]. Every Kempe clique,  $Q_q$ , is a strong immersion of the complete graph,  $K_q$ , but the converse does not follow. For example, Koester’s planar graph [6] with  $\chi(G) = 4$  (Figure 4) has a strongly immersed  $K_5$ , as do all 4-regular graphs [7]. However, there is no correct coloring of Koester’s graph when properly colored with  $q = 5$  colors. It is straightforward to show that  $Q_5$  is non-planar. In fact, a proof that all  $\chi(G) = 5$  graphs admit a correct coloring would serve as an alternate proof of the four-color theorem.

**Conjecture 1.** Every simple, undirected graph can be correctly colored.

Note that a correct coloring uses  $q \geq \chi(G)$  colors;  $G$  need only be properly-colored, not chromatically-colored. An example of a correct coloring requiring  $q > \chi(G)$  can be found in Catlin, 1979 [2]. Catlin constructs a counterexample to the Hajós Conjecture that every



**Figure 4** – (A) A correct coloring of Koester’s graph. (B) Highlighted are the Kempe backbones with length  $l > 1$ .

simple graph has a  $K_k$  subdivision by taking the crossproduct of a cycle and complete graph (Figure 5). Given cycle length  $2n + 1$  and complete graph order  $k$ , the construction’s chromatic number is  $\chi(G) = 2k + \lceil k/n \rceil$  [2]. A correct coloring of the same construction requires  $q = 3k$  colors.


A proof of Conjecture 1 would allow the possibility that the Kempe clique itself serves as the template for the  $K_k$  minor conjectured by Hadwiger [4]. In particular, each critical vertex of the Kempe clique “seeds” a different, disjoint connected subgraph that identifies to form a complete graph. For example, the critical vertices in Figure 5B each seed a different, disjoint subgraph that forms a  $K_6$  minor. Critical vertices 1 and 2 are sole members of their subgraphs. Critical vertices 3, 4, 5, and 6 each include a second vertex in their respective subgraphs.

**Conjecture 2.** The critical vertices of the Kempe clique in a correctly colored graph with  $q$  colors are the seeds of a  $K_q$  minor. That is, each is a member of a different, disjoint connected subgraph. Identifying each subgraph forms  $K_q$ . Given  $h$ , the Hadwiger number,  $\chi(G) \leq q \leq h$ .

There are some graph families that are known to be correctly colorable.

**Theorem 2.** A  $k$ -critical graph with minimum degree  $\delta(G) = k - 1$  admits a correct coloring.

*Proof.* Select vertex  $v$  with  $k - 1$  neighbors. Since  $G - v$  is  $(k - 1)$ -colorable,  $v$  is the only vertex labeled  $c_a$ . By Observation 1,  $v$  is a critical vertex. By the same Observation, the graph must contain  $k - 1$  additional critical vertices labeled with the remaining  $C - c_a$  colors.



**Figure 5** – Catlin’s counterexample construction, the crossproduct of  $C_5$  and  $K_2$ ,  $\chi(G) = 5$ . (A) Graph  $G$  is chromatically-colored. Although all vertices are critical, only a subset are framed in grey to ease identification of Kempe backbones. No correct coloring is possible with 5 colors; there is no set of 10 Kempe backbones anchored by 5 critical vertices. (B) Graph  $G$  is correctly colored with 6 colors.

To be critical, each of these  $k - 1$  additional critical vertices must be adjacent to a  $c_a$ -labeled vertex. Therefore, the  $k - 1$  neighbors of  $v$  comprise the remaining critical vertices in the graph. Because the graph has only  $k$  critical vertices, these comprise all anchors for the graph’s Kempe backbones, forming a Kempe clique.  $\square$

In Abu-khazam and Langston, 2003 [1], Corollary 2 similarly identifies an immersed  $K_k$  in a color critical graph with  $\delta(G) = k - 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** Uniquely-colorable graphs are correctly colored.

*Proof.* Because the subgraph induced by the union of two color classes is connected in a uniquely-colored graph [5], the Kempe backbones form a Kempe clique.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Faisal N Abu-khazam and Michael A Langston. Graph coloring and the immersion order. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2697:394–403, 2003.
- [2] Paul A Catlin. Hajós’ graph-coloring conjecture: Variations and counterexamples. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 26(2):268–274, apr 1979.
- [3] Matt Devos, Zdeněk Dvořák, Jacob Fox, Jessica McDonald, Bojan Mohar, and Diego Scheide. A minimum degree condition forcing complete graph immersion. *Combinatorica*, 34(3):279–298, jun 2014.
- [4] Hugo Hadwiger. Über Eine Klassifikation Der Streckenkomplexe. *Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich*, 88:133–142, 1943.
- [5] Frank Harary, S T Hedetniemi, and R W Robinson. Uniquely colorable graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 6(3):264–270, 1969.

- [6] G Koester. Note to a problem of T. Gallai and G. A. Dirac. *Combinatorica*, 5(3):227–228, 1985.
- [7] F. Lescure and H. Meyniel. On a Problem upon Configurations Contained in Graphs with Given Chromatic Number. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 41(C):325–331, 1988.