

# IMPROVEMENTS OF BEREZIN NUMBER INEQUALITIES

MONIRE HAJMOHAMADI<sup>1</sup>, RAHMATOLLAH LASHKARIPOUR<sup>2</sup> AND MOJTABA BAKHERAD<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we generalize several Berezin number inequalities involving product of operators, which acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ . Among other inequalities, it is shown that if  $A, B$  are positive operators and  $X$  is any operator, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{ber}^r(H_\alpha(A, B)) &\leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(A^r + B^r) \\ &\leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(\alpha A^r + (1 - \alpha)B^r) + \mathbf{ber}((1 - \alpha)A^r + \alpha B^r),\end{aligned}$$

where  $H_\alpha(A, B) = \frac{A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha} + A^{1-\alpha} X B^\alpha}{2}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . In the case when  $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$ , we identify  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with the matrix algebra  $\mathbb{M}_n$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in the complex field. An operator  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called positive if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , and then we write  $A \geq 0$ .

A functional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space of complex valued functions on a (nonempty) set  $\Omega$ , which has the property that point evaluations are continuous i.e. for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  the map  $f \mapsto f(\lambda)$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The Riesz representation theorem ensure that for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  there is a unique element  $k_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(\lambda) = \langle f, k_\lambda \rangle$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . The collection  $\{k_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is called the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\{e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for a functional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by  $k_\lambda(z) = \sum_n \overline{e_n(\lambda)} e_n(z)$ ; (see [8, problem 37]). For  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , let  $\hat{k}_\lambda = \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|}$  be the normalized reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For a bounded linear operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the function  $\tilde{A}$  defined on  $\Omega$  by  $\tilde{A}(\lambda) = \langle A\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle$  is the Berezin symbol of  $A$ , which firstly have been introduced by Berezin [4, 5]. Berezin

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A30, Secondary 15A60, 30E20, 47A12 .

*Key words and phrases.* Berezin number, Berezin symbol, Heinz means, Off-diagonal part, Operator matrix, Positive operator.

set and Berezin number of the operator  $A$  are defined by

$$\mathbf{Ber}(A) := \{\tilde{A}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Omega\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{ber}(A) := \sup\{|\tilde{A}(\lambda)| : \lambda \in \Omega\},$$

respectively, (see [10]). The numerical radius of  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is defined by  $w(A) := \sup\{|\langle Ax, x \rangle| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\}$ . It is clear that

$$\mathbf{ber}(A) \leq w(A) \leq \|A\| \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover, Berezin number of an operator  $A$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathbf{ber}(\alpha A) = |\alpha| \mathbf{ber}(A)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{ber}(A + B) \leq \mathbf{ber}(A) + \mathbf{ber}(B)$ .

Let  $T_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). The generalized Euclidean Berezin number of  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  is defined in [1] as follows

$$\mathbf{ber}_p(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \sup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle T_i \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which has the following properties:

- (a)  $\mathbf{ber}_p(\alpha T_1, \dots, \alpha T_n) = |\alpha| \mathbf{ber}_p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ;
  - (b)  $\mathbf{ber}_p(T_1 + S_1, \dots, T_n + S_n) \leq \mathbf{ber}_p(T_1, \dots, T_n) + \mathbf{ber}_p(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ ,
- where  $T_i, S_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

Namely, the Berezin symbol have been investigated in detail for the Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Hardy and Bergman spaces; it is widely applied in the various questions of analysis and uniquely determines the operator (i.e., for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$ ,  $\tilde{A}(\lambda) = \tilde{B}(\lambda)$  implies  $A = B$ ). For further information about Berezin symbol we refer the reader to [1, 11, 12, 15] and references therein.

In [3], the author showed some Berezin number inequalities as follows:

$$\mathbf{ber}(A^*XB) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*|X|B + A^*|X^*|A), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathbf{ber}(AX \pm XA) \leq \mathbf{ber}^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^*A + AA^*) \mathbf{ber}^{\frac{1}{2}}(X^*X + XX^*),$$

and

$$\mathbf{ber}(A^*XB + B^*YA) \leq 2\sqrt{\|X\| \|Y\|} \mathbf{ber}^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^*B) \mathbf{ber}^{\frac{1}{2}}(AA^*) \quad (1.3)$$

for any  $A, B, X, Y \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ .

In this paper, we would like to state more extensions of Berezin number inequalities.

Moreover, we obtain several Berezin number inequalities for  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. For this goal we will apply some methods from [7].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

To prove our Berezin number inequalities, we need several well known lemmas. The following lemma is a simple consequence of the classical Jensen and Young inequalities (see [9]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a, b \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $p, q > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then*

(a)  $a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b \leq (\alpha^r + (1 - \alpha)b^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$  for  $r \geq 1$ ;

(b)  $ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \leq (\frac{a^{pr}}{p} + \frac{b^{qr}}{q})^{\frac{1}{r}}$  for  $r \geq 1$ .

A refinement of the Young inequality is presented in [14] as follows:

$$a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b - r_0(a^{\frac{1}{2}} - b^{\frac{1}{2}})^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$ .

The following lemma known as generalized mixed schwarz inequality [13].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  be any vectors.*

(a) *If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then*

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle |T|^{2\alpha} x, x \rangle \langle |T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} y, y \rangle,$$

where  $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the absolute value of  $T$ .

(b) *If  $f, g$  are nonnegative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  which are satisfying the relation  $f(t)g(t) = t$  ( $t \in [0, \infty)$ ), then*

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle| \leq \|f(|T|)x\| \|g(|T^*|)y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [1] *Let  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega))$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_2(\Omega), \mathcal{H}_1(\Omega))$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega), \mathcal{H}_2(\Omega))$  and  $D \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_2(\Omega))$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $\mathbf{ber} \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \max\{\mathbf{ber}(A), \mathbf{ber}(D)\};$
- (b)  $\mathbf{ber} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|B\| + \|C\|).$

The next lemma follows from the spectral theorem for positive operators and the Jensen inequality (see [13]).

**Lemma 2.4.** (*McCarthy inequality*). Let  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $T \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  be a unit vector. Then

- (a)  $\langle Tx, x \rangle^r \leq \langle T^r x, x \rangle$  for  $r \geq 1$ ;
- (b)  $\langle T^r x, x \rangle \leq \langle Tx, x \rangle^r$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ .

Now, by applying these lemmas, we extend some Berezin number inequalities.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A, B, X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ . Then

- (i)  $\mathbf{ber}^r(A^*XB) \leq \|X\|^r \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p}(A^*A)^{\frac{pr}{2}} + \frac{1}{q}(B^*B)^{\frac{qr}{2}} \right)$  for  $r \geq 0$  and  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $pr, qr \geq 2$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{ber}(A^*XB) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*|X|^{2\alpha}B + A^*|X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A)$  for every  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $\hat{k}_\lambda$  is the normalized reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle A^*XB\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^r &= |\langle X B \hat{k}_\lambda, A \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^r \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \|A \hat{k}_\lambda\|^r \|B \hat{k}_\lambda\|^r && \text{(by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)} \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \langle A \hat{k}_\lambda, A \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}} \langle B \hat{k}_\lambda, B \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}} \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \left( \frac{1}{p} \langle A^* A \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{pr}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} \langle B^* B \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{qr}{2}} \right) && \text{(by Lemma 2.1)} \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \left( \frac{1}{p} \langle (A^*A)^{\frac{pr}{2}} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle + \frac{1}{q} \langle (B^*B)^{\frac{qr}{2}} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle \right) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4)} \\
&= \|X\|^r \left\langle \frac{1}{p} (A^*A)^{\frac{pr}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} (B^*B)^{\frac{qr}{2}} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p} (A^*A)^{\frac{pr}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} (B^*B)^{\frac{qr}{2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(A^*XB) \leq \|X\|^r \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p} (A^*A)^{\frac{pr}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} (B^*B)^{\frac{qr}{2}} \right),$$

and so we get the part (i). For the proof of the part (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle A^*XB\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle| &= |\langle X B \hat{k}_\lambda, A \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle| \\
&\leq \langle |X|^{2\alpha} B \hat{k}_\lambda, B \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle |X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} A \hat{k}_\lambda, A \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} && \text{(by Lemma 2.2)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} (\langle B^* |X|^{2\alpha} B \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle + \langle A^* |X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} A \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle) \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{(by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\langle B^* |X|^{2\alpha} B + A^* |X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} A \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^* |X|^{2\alpha} B + A^* |X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} A).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the result follows by taking supremum on  $\lambda \in \Omega$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A, B, X, Y \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$ . Then for every  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$*

$$\mathbf{ber}(A^*XB + B^*YA) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*|X|^{2\alpha}B + A^*|X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A + A^*|Y|^{2\alpha}A + B^*|Y^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}B). \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2.2 and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, for any  $\hat{k}_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle (A^*XB + B^*YA)\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle | \\ & \leq | \langle A^*XB\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle | + | \langle B^*YA\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle | \\ & = | \langle XB\hat{k}_\lambda, A\hat{k}_\lambda \rangle | + | \langle YA\hat{k}_\lambda, B\hat{k}_\lambda \rangle | \\ & \leq \langle |X|^{2\alpha}B\hat{k}_\lambda, B\hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle |X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A\hat{k}_\lambda, A\hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle |Y|^{2\alpha}A\hat{k}_\lambda, A\hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle |Y^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}B\hat{k}_\lambda, B\hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle B^*|X|^{2\alpha}B\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle + \langle A^*|X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle A^*|Y|^{2\alpha}A\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle + \langle B^*|Y^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}B\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle (B^*|X|^{2\alpha}B + A^*|X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A + A^*|Y|^{2\alpha}A + B^*|Y^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}B)\hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*|X|^{2\alpha}B + A^*|X^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}A + A^*|Y|^{2\alpha}A + B^*|Y^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}B). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by taking supremum over  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , we get the desired inequality.  $\square$

Inequality (2.2) yields several Berezin number inequalities as special cases. A sample of elementary inequalities are demonstrated in the following remarks.

*Remark 2.7.* By letting  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  in inequality (2.2), we get the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{ber}(A^*XB + B^*YA) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*|X|B + A^*|X^*|A + A^*|Y|A + B^*|Y^*|B) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*|X|B + A^*|X^*|A) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(A^*|Y|A + B^*|Y^*|B). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.8.* Putting  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A = I$  and  $X = Y = A$ , in inequality (2.2) we can obtain the following inequality.

$$\mathbf{ber}(AB + B^*A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(|A| + |A^*|) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{ber}(B^*(|A| + |A^*|)B).$$

In the next result we find an upper bound for power of the Berezin number of  $A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha}$ , in which  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Suppose that  $A, B, X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$  such that  $A, B$  are positive. Then*

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha}) \leq \|X\|^r \left( \mathbf{ber}(\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha)B^r) - \inf_{\|\hat{k}_\lambda\|=1} \eta(\hat{k}_\lambda) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

in which  $\eta(\hat{k}_\lambda) = r_0(\langle A^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \langle B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ ,  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$ ,  $r \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{k}_\lambda$  be the normalized reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^r &= |\langle X B^{1-\alpha} \hat{k}_\lambda, A^\alpha \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^r \\
&\leq \|x\|^r \|B^{1-\alpha} \hat{k}_\lambda\|^r \|A^\alpha \hat{k}_\lambda\|^r \quad (\text{by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\
&= \|X\|^r \langle B^{2(1-\alpha)} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}} \langle A^{2\alpha} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}} \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \langle A^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^\alpha \langle B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{1-\alpha} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \left( \langle (\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r) \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle - r_0 (\langle A^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \langle B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \right) \\
&\quad (\text{by (2.1)}) \\
&= \|X\|^r (\langle (\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r) \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle) - \|X\|^r r_0 (\langle A^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \langle B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \\
&\leq \|X\|^r \left( \mathbf{ber}(\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r) - r_0 (\langle A^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \langle B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , we deduce the desired result.  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* Putting  $A = B = I$  in inequality (2.3), we get a generalization of the inequality (1.1).

The Heinz mean is defined as  $H_\alpha(a, b) = \frac{a^{1-\alpha} b^\alpha + a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha}}{2}$  for  $a, b > 0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . The function  $H_\alpha$  is symmetric about the point  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\sqrt{ab} \leq H_\alpha(a, b) \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . For further information about the Heinz mean we refer the reader to [2, 6] and references therein. In the next theorem we can obtain an upper bound for the Berezin number involving power Heinz mean.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Suppose that  $A, B, X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$  such that  $A, B$  are positive. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{ber}^r \left( \frac{A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha} + A^{1-\alpha} X B^\alpha}{2} \right) &\leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(A^r + B^r) \\
&\leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r) + \mathbf{ber}((1-\alpha) A^r + \alpha B^r),
\end{aligned}$$

in which  $r \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Using Theorem 2.9 for  $\hat{k}_\lambda$ , which is the normalized reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left\langle \frac{A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha} + A^{1-\alpha} X B^\alpha}{2} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \right|^r \\
& \leq \left( \frac{|\langle A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle| + |\langle A^{1-\alpha} X B^\alpha \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|}{2} \right)^r \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle A^\alpha X B^{1-\alpha} \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^r + |\langle A^{1-\alpha} X B^\alpha \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle|^r) \quad (\text{by the convexity of } f(t) = t^r) \\
& \leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} (\langle \alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle + \langle (1-\alpha) A^r + \alpha B^r \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle) \\
& = \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \langle (A^r + B^r) \hat{k}_\lambda, \hat{k}_\lambda \rangle \\
& \leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(A^r + B^r).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , we get the first inequality. For the second inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(A^r + B^r) &= \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r + (1-\alpha) A^r + \alpha B^r) \\
&\leq \frac{\|X\|^r}{2} \mathbf{ber}(\alpha A^r + (1-\alpha) B^r) + \mathbf{ber}((1-\alpha) A^r + \alpha B^r).
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. BEREZIN NUMBER INEQUALITIES OF OFF-DIAGONAL MATRICES

In this section, we obtain some inequalities involving powers of the Berezin number for the off-diagonal parts of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $f, g$  be nonnegative continuous functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying the relation  $f(t)g(t) = t$  ( $t \in [0, \infty)$ ). Then*

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(T) \leq \max \left\{ \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|C|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|B^*|) \right), \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|B|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|C^*|) \right) \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

in which  $r \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq q > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $pr \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* For any  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , let  $\hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{\lambda_1} \\ k_{\lambda_2} \end{bmatrix}$  be the normalized reproducing kernel in  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_1) \oplus \mathcal{H}(\Omega_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle T \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle |^r \\
& \leq \| f(|T|) \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \| \| g(|T^*|) \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \|^r \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(b)}) \\
& = \langle f^2(|T|) \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}} \langle g^2(|T^*|) \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \left\langle f^2 \left( \begin{bmatrix} |C| & 0 \\ 0 & |B| \end{bmatrix} \right) \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle^{\frac{2r}{p}} + \frac{1}{q} \left\langle g^2 \left( \begin{bmatrix} |B^*| & 0 \\ 0 & |C^*| \end{bmatrix} \right) \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle^{\frac{2r}{q}} \\
& \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1(b)}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} f^{pr} |C| & 0 \\ 0 & f^{pr} |B| \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{q} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} g^{qr} |B^*| & 0 \\ 0 & g^{qr} |C^*| \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \\
& \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4(a)}) \\
& = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|C|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|B^*|) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|B|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|C^*|) \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle T \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle |^r \\
& \leq \mathbf{ber} \left( \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|C|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|B^*|) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|B|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|C^*|) \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the definition of Berezin number and Lemma 2.3(a), we have

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(T) \leq \max \left\{ \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|C|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|B^*|) \right), \mathbf{ber} \left( \frac{1}{p} f^{pr}(|B|) + \frac{1}{q} g^{qr}(|C^*|) \right) \right\}.$$

□

Inequality (3.1) induces the following inequality.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ . Then

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ \mathbf{ber}(|C|^{2r\alpha} + |B^*|^{2r(1-\alpha)}), \mathbf{ber}(|B|^{2r\alpha} + |C^*|^{2r(1-\alpha)}) \}$$

for any  $r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $f(t) = t^\alpha$ ,  $g(t) = t^{1-\alpha}$  and  $p = q = 2$  in inequality (3.1), we get the desired inequality. □



**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $T_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_i \\ C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_2(\Omega) \oplus \mathcal{H}_1(\Omega))$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Then

$$\mathbf{ber}_p^p(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) \leq \max \left\{ \mathbf{ber} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |C_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |B_i^*|^p \right), \mathbf{ber} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |B_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |C_i^*|^p \right) \right\} \quad (3.2)$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* For any  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , let  $\hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{\lambda_1} \\ k_{\lambda_2} \end{bmatrix}$  be the normalized reproducing kernel in  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_1) \oplus \mathcal{H}(\Omega_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle T_i \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle|^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (|\langle T_i \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (\langle |T_i|^{2\alpha} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle \langle |T_i^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(a)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \langle |T_i|^{p\alpha} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle \langle |T_i^*|^{p(1-\alpha)} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4(a)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \langle |T_i|^p \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle^\alpha \langle |T_i^*|^p \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle^{1-\alpha} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4(b)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha \langle |T_i|^p \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle + (1-\alpha) \langle |T_i^*|^p \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1(a)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \alpha \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |C_i|^p & 0 \\ 0 & |B_i|^p \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle + (1-\alpha) \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |B_i^*|^p & 0 \\ 0 & |C_i^*|^p \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \alpha |C_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |B_i^*|^p & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha |B_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |C_i^*|^p \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |C_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |B_i^*|^p & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |B_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |C_i^*|^p \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle T_i \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle|^p \\ & \leq \mathbf{ber} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |C_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |B_i^*|^p & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |B_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |C_i^*|^p \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the Berezin number and Lemma 2.3(a), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{ber}_p^p(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \mathbf{ber} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |C_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |B_i^*|^p \right), \mathbf{ber} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha |B_i|^p + (1-\alpha) |C_i^*|^p \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we would like to estimate the Berezin number for matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_1(\Omega) \oplus \mathcal{H}_2(\Omega))$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\mathbf{ber}(|A|^r + |A^*|^r), \mathbf{ber}(|D|^r + |D^*|^r)\} \quad (3.3)$$

for  $r \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{\lambda_1} \\ k_{\lambda_2} \end{bmatrix}$  be the normalized reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_1) \oplus \mathcal{H}(\Omega_2)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle T \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle| \\ & \leq \langle |T| \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle |T^*| \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A| & 0 \\ 0 & |D| \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A^*| & 0 \\ 0 & |D^*| \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A| & 0 \\ 0 & |D| \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle^r + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A^*| & 0 \\ 0 & |D^*| \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A|^r & 0 \\ 0 & |D|^r \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} |A^*|^r & 0 \\ 0 & |D^*|^r \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & = \left( \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(|A|^r + |A^*|^r) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(|D|^r + |D^*|^r) \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\langle T \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \rangle|^r \leq \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(|A|^r + |A^*|^r) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(|D|^r + |D^*|^r) \end{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, \hat{k}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \right\rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{ber}^r(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\mathbf{ber}(|A|^r + |A^*|^r), \mathbf{ber}(|D|^r + |D^*|^r)\}.$$

□

The following corollary deduces from inequalities (3.1) and (3.3) directly.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  with  $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{ber}(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\mathbf{ber}(|C| + |B^*|), \mathbf{ber}(|B| + |C^*|)\} + \frac{1}{2} \max\{\mathbf{ber}(|A| + |A^*|), \mathbf{ber}(|D| + |D^*|)\}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbf{ber} \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{ber}(|A| + |A^*|) + \mathbf{ber}(|B| + |B^*|)).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Bakherad, *Some Berezin number inequalities for operator matrices*, Czechoslovak Math. J. (to appear).
2. M. Bakherad and M.S. Moslehian, *Reverses and variations of Heinz inequality*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015), no. 10, 1972-1980.
3. M. Bakherad and M.T. Karaev, *Berezin number inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, arXiv:1805.01018.
4. F.A. Berezin, *Covariant and contravariant symbols for operators*, Math. USSR-Izv. **6** (1972), 1117-1151.
5. F.A. Berezin, *Quantizations*, Math. USSR-Izv. **8** (1974), 1109-1163.
6. M. Hajmohamadi, R. Lashkaripour and M. Bakherad, *Some generalizations of numerical radius on off-diagonal part of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices*. J. Math. Inequal. (to appear).
7. M. Hajmohamadi, R. Lashkaripour and M. Bakherad, *Some extensions of the Young and Heinz inequalities for Matrices*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. (to appear).
8. P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, 2nd ed., springer, New York, 1982.
9. G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
10. M.T. Karaev, *Berezin symbol and invertibility of operators on the functional Hilbert spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **238** (2006), 181-192.
11. M.T. Karaev, *Functional analysis proofs of Abels theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 2327-2329.
12. M.T. Karaev and S. Saltan, *Some results on Berezin symbols*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **50** (3) (2005), 185-193.
13. F. Kittaneh, *Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988) 283-293.
14. F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, *Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **361** (2010) 262-269.
15. E. Nordgren and P. Rosenthal, *Boundary values of Berezin symbols*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **73** (1994), 362-368.

<sup>1,2,3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SISTAN AND BALUCHESTAN, ZAHEDAN, I.R.IRAN.

*E-mail address:* <sup>1</sup>monire.hajmohamadi@yahoo.com

*E-mail address:* <sup>2</sup>lashkari@hamoon.usb.ac.ir

*E-mail address:* <sup>3</sup>mojtaba.bakherad@yahoo.com; bakherad@member.ams.org