

POLYNOMIAL SHIFT-LIKE MAPS IN \mathbb{C}^k

SAYANI BERA

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this article is to explore a few properties of polynomial shift-like automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^k . We first prove that a ν -shift-like polynomial map (say S_a) degenerates essentially to a polynomial map in ν -dimensions as $a \rightarrow 0$. Secondly, we show that a shift-like map obtained by perturbing a hyperbolic polynomial (i.e., S_a , where $|a|$ is sufficiently small) has finitely many Fatou components, consisting of basins of attraction of periodic points and the component at infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bedford and Pambuccian in [2], introduced a class of polynomial automorphisms called the shift-like maps in \mathbb{C}^k , $k \geq 3$ which can be viewed as a generalization of Hénon maps to the higher dimensions. They are defined as follows:

A polynomial *shift-like map* of type $1 \leq \nu \leq k - 1$ in \mathbb{C}^k , $k \geq 3$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^k of the form:

$$S_a(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_2, \dots, z_k, p(z_{k-\nu+1}) + az_1)$$

where p is polynomial (or entire) in one variable and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Henceforth, we will use the phrase ν -*shift of the polynomial* p in \mathbb{C}^k to refer such maps.

Furthermore, it is noted in [2] that polynomial shift-like maps share many properties similar to Hénon maps in \mathbb{C}^2 , such as the existence of a filtration, the construction of Green functions and the corresponding stable and unstable currents. However, unlike Hénon maps, shift-like maps are not *regular*, i.e., the indeterminacy sets of S_a (say I^+) and S_a^{-1} (say I^-) are not disjoint in \mathbb{P}^k . Our goal in this article is to extend further, the theory of dynamics of shift-like polynomial automorphisms, in analogy with Hénon maps.

Let us first recall a few standard notations. Let K^\pm , J^\pm and J denote the following sets corresponding to an automorphism F of \mathbb{C}^k , $k \geq 2$

$$K^\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : F^{\pm n}(z) \text{ is bounded}\}, J^\pm = \partial K^\pm \text{ and } J = J^+ \cap J^-.$$

For a shift-like map S_a , the aforementioned sets will be denoted by K_a^\pm , J_a^\pm and J_a . Let G_a^\pm denote the Green function associated to the set K_a^\pm respectively. Further, let μ_a^\pm denote the stable and unstable current associated S_a which are defined as (see [2]):

$$\mu_a^+ = \left(dd^c \frac{G_a^+}{2\pi} \right)^\nu \text{ and } \mu_a^- = \left(dd^c \frac{G_a^-}{2\pi} \right)^{k-\nu}$$

where ν -is the the type of the shift-map. Then the wedge product $\mu_a = \mu_a^+ \wedge \mu_a^-$ gives a Borel measure in \mathbb{C}^k such that

$$S_{a*}^{\nu(k-\nu)}(\mu_a) = \mu_a.$$

We will first investigate the behavior of the measure μ_a as S_a degenerates to a ν -dimensional map with $a \rightarrow 0$. The degeneration in the case of Hénon maps was studied by Bedford–Smillie in [4].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32H02 ; Secondary : 32H50.

Key words and phrases. non-wandering domains, shift-like maps.

Next, we are interested in studying whether the action of a shift-like map is hyperbolic on J_a or not. Let us first recall the definition of *hyperbolicity*.

A smooth diffeomorphism F of a manifold M equipped with a Riemannian norm $|\cdot|$ is said to be *hyperbolic* (see [8]) on a compact completely invariant subset $S \subset M$ if there exist constants $\lambda > 1$, $C > 0$ and a continuous splitting of the of the tangent space $T_x X$ for every $x \in S$ into $E_x^s \oplus E_x^u = T_x X$ such that:

- (i) $DF(x)(E_x^s) = E_{F(x)}^s$ and $DF(x)(E_x^u) = E_{F(x)}^u$.
- (ii) $|DF^n(x)v| \leq C\lambda^{-n}|v|$ for $v \in E_x^s$ and $|DF^n(x)v| \geq C^{-1}\lambda^n|v|$ for $v \in E_x^u$.

It is a well known fact from [7] and [3], that if a Hénon map (say H_a) is obtained by a small enough perturbation of a *hyperbolic polynomial* in one variable then H_a is hyperbolic on J_a . Furthermore, the Fatou set of a hyperbolic Hénon map in \mathbb{C}^2 consists of finitely many sinks and the component at infinity(see [3]).

In this article, we will prove that if a *1-shift-like map* S_a in \mathbb{C}^k is obtained by a sufficiently small perturbation of a hyperbolic polynomial then S_a is hyperbolic on J_a with respect to a the Riemannian metric (equivalent to the Euclidean metric). As a consequence, we obtain that the interior of K_a^+ is union of finitely many sinks. However, for any arbitrary ν -shift ($1 \leq \nu \leq k-1$), we are not able to conclude whether S_a is hyperbolic on J_a or not, but we prove that a sufficiently small ν -shift of a hyperbolic polynomial has finitely many Fatou components and the interior of K_a^+ consists of finitely many sinks. This paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we recall some basic properties of shift-like maps from [2]. Further, we prove two facts. First, the $\nu(k-\nu)$ -th iterate of a ν -shift of a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ is regular and hence we can work with appropriate compositions of shift maps. Second, if $|a| < 1$ then for any ν -shift S_a of a polynomial, the set K_a^- has empty interior, i.e., $K_a^- = J_a^-$. The proof of this is similar to that for Hénon maps.

In Section 3, we investigate the behavior of S_a as it degenerates with $a \rightarrow 0$. By *degeneration* we mean

$$S_a(z_1, \dots, z_k) \rightarrow S_0(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (z_2, \dots, z_k, p(z_{k-\nu+1}))$$

as $a \rightarrow 0$. We show that for a ν -shift there exists an appropriate graph Γ_ν in \mathbb{C}^k over \mathbb{C}^ν , i.e., a biholomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{C}^\nu \rightarrow \Gamma_\nu$ such that $S_0(\mathbb{C}^k) = \Gamma_\nu$. For $\nu \geq 1$, let $p_\nu : \mathbb{C}^\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\nu$ denote the map

$$p_\nu(z_1, \dots, z_\nu) = (p(z_1), \dots, p(z_\nu))$$

where p is a monic polynomial map of degree $d \geq 2$ in \mathbb{C} . The Green function and the Borel measure μ_{p_ν} corresponding to the map p_ν are defined as:

$$G_{p_\nu}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \|p_\nu^n(z)\|}{d^n} \text{ and } \mu_{p_\nu} = \left(dd^c \frac{G_{p_\nu}}{2\pi} \right)^\nu.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a monic polynomial and μ_p be the equilibrium measure on \mathbb{C} , supported in K_p corresponding to the polynomial p . Then for a ν -shift of the polynomial p*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mu_a = \phi_*(\mu_{p_\nu}) \text{ and } \limsup_{a \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_a = (k-\nu)\Lambda_{p_\nu}.$$

where Λ_{p_ν} and Λ_a are the Lyapunov exponent of the map p_ν and $S_a^{\nu(k-\nu)}$ with respect to the measure μ_{p_ν} in \mathbb{C}^ν and μ_a in \mathbb{C}^k .

The idea of the proof is that as $a \rightarrow 0$, a ν -shift degenerates essentially to the map p_ν on the graph Γ_ν and the support of the $(k-\nu, k-\nu)$ -current μ_a^- converges to Γ_ν . This result is an extension of Proposition 6.3 from [4] for shift-like polynomials.

In Section 4, we recall a few properties of *hyperbolic* polynomials in \mathbb{C} and prove a fact regarding the perturbed dynamics of the polynomial in a closed subset contained in $F_\infty(p)$ (i.e., the Fatou component of p containing infinity).

In Section 5, we prove the following two results in \mathbb{C}^3 . The generalized results for \mathbb{C}^k are stated as:

Theorem 1.2. *Let S_a be a polynomial shift-like map of type 1 in \mathbb{C}^k of the form, i.e.,*

$$S_a(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_2, z_3, \dots, az_1 + p(z_k))$$

where p is a hyperbolic polynomial in \mathbb{C} with connected Julia set. Then there exists $A > 0$ such that for every $0 < |a| < A$, S_a^{k-1} is hyperbolic on J_a with respect to a Riemannian metric (equivalent to the Euclidean metric) on a neighbourhood of J_a .

Theorem 1.3. *Let S_a be a polynomial shift-like map of type $1 \leq \nu \leq k-1$ in \mathbb{C}^k of the form*

$$S_a(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_2, z_3, \dots, az_1 + p(z_{k-\nu+1}))$$

where p is a hyperbolic polynomial in \mathbb{C} with connected Julia set. Then there exists $A > 0$ such that for every $0 < |a| < A$, S_a satisfies the following properties:

- (a) *There is no wandering domain of S_a .*
- (b) *Each component in the interior of K_a^+ is the basin of attraction of an attracting periodic point.*
- (c) *There are at most finitely many basins of attraction.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the proof known for Hénon maps in [4] and uses the existence of invariant cone fields. Also Theorem 1.3, for type 1-shifts is a direct consequence of the fact that S_a^{k-1} is regular and hyperbolic (see [10]).

To prove Theorem 1.3 for type 2-shifts in \mathbb{C}^3 , we first show that there exist appropriate closed invariant (under S_a^2) subsets J_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ such that $J_i \subset J_a$ and for each i , S_a^2 is hyperbolic on each of this J_i in an appropriate neighbourhood. Finally, we conclude the result from the fact that J_a is maximal in a certain neighbourhood and the stable manifold of J_a is equal to the stable manifold of union of J_i , i.e., $W^s(J_a) = W^s(J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3)$.

In Section 6, we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 in \mathbb{C}^k for any ν -shift. The ideas are similar to the case $k = 3$.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Sushil Gorai and Kaushal Verma for helpful discussions and suggestions regarding the problem

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF SHIFT-LIKE MAPS

In this section, we will first recall a few properties of shift-like maps from [2].

For $R > 0$, let $V_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : |z_i| \leq R, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Also for every i , $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$V_R^i = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : |z_i| \geq \max(|z_j|, R), 1 \leq j \neq i \leq k\}.$$

For a ν -shift, S_a the sets V_R^+ and V_R^- is defined as:

$$V_R^+ = \bigcup_{i=k-\nu+1}^k V_R^i \text{ and } V_R^- = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-\nu} V_R^i$$

for every $R > 0$.

Note that $\mathbb{C}^k = V_R \cup V_R^+ \cup V_R^-$ and there exists $R > 0$ (sufficiently large) such that V_R, V_R^+ and V_R^- gives a *filtration* of \mathbb{C}^k for the map S_a (see [2]).

A few properties of these sets are stated below:

(1) For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, there exists $R_a \gg 1$ such that for every $R \geq R_a$

$$S_a(V_R^+) \subset V_R^+.$$

Also, from this it follows that for every $n \geq 0$

$$S_a^{-n}(V_R^+) \subset S_a^{-(n+1)}(V_R^+).$$

(2) Let $U_a^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : S_a^n(z) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ and $K_a^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : S_a^n(z) \text{ is bounded}\}$. Then

$$U_a^+ = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_a^{-n}(V_R^+) \text{ and } K_a^+ \subset V_R \cup V_R^-.$$

Also, from (1) it follows that U_a^+ is connected open set in \mathbb{C}^k .

(3) For any $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and a fixed $R \geq R_a$ there exists $n_z \geq 0$ such that either of the following is true:

(i) $S_a^n(z) \in V_R$ for every $n \geq n_z$.

(ii) $S_a^n(z) \in V_R^+$ for every $n \geq n_z$ and $S_a^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that (ii) does not say that $S_a^n(z) \cap V_R = \emptyset$ for $z \in U_a^+$ and $n \geq 0$. However, it says that a point in U_a^+ will eventually belong to V_R^+ under the iterations of S_a . The orbit of z might either intersect or not intersect V_R .

(4) Since all other points eventually land up in V_R , from dynamical point of view it is sufficient to study the behaviour of S_a in V_R .

(5) The choice of the radius of filtration R can be made independent of the choice of a , provided a comes from a bounded subset of \mathbb{C}^* , i.e., for $0 < |a| < A$ there exists $R_A \gg 1$ such that if $R \geq R_A$, the sets V_R, V_R^+ and V_R^- serves as filtration for every S_a .

(6) Let $K_a^\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : S_a^{\pm n}(z) \text{ is bounded as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$. Then $K_a^+ \subset V_R \cup V_R^-$ and $K_a^- \subset V_R \cap V_R^+$.

(7) Now let

$$J_a^+ = \partial K_a^+, J_a^- = \partial K_a^- \text{ and } J_a = J_a^+ \cap J_a^-.$$

Then the following are true:

- $S_a(K_a^+) = S_a^{-1}(K_a^+) = K_a^+$ and $S_a(K_a^-) = S_a^{-1}(K_a^-) = K_a^-$.
- $S_a(J_a^+) = S_a^{-1}(J_a^+) = J_a^+$ and $S_a(J_a^-) = S_a^{-1}(J_a^-) = J_a^-$.
- $S_a(J_a) = J_a = S_a^{-1}(J_a)$ and $J_a \subset V_R$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let S_a be a polynomial shift-like map of type ν in \mathbb{C}^k . Then the η -th iterate of S_a is regular where $\eta = \nu(k - \nu)$.*

Proof. Let d be the degree of p .

$$S_a^\nu(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_{\nu+1}, \dots, z_k, az_1 + p(z_{k-\nu+1}), az_2 + p(z_{k-\nu+2}), \dots, az_\nu + p(z_k))$$

$$S_a^{-(k-\nu)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (a^{-1}\{z_{\nu+1} - p(z_1)\}, \dots, a^{-1}\{z_k - p(z_{k-\nu})\}, z_1, \dots, z_\nu).$$

Let S_a^m and S_a^{-m} denote the map corresponding to $S_a^{m\nu}$ and $S_a^{-m(k-\nu)}$ in \mathbb{P}^{k+1} respectively for $m \geq 1$. Then

$$S_a^1[z : w] = [z_{\nu+1}w^{d-1} : \dots : z_k w^{d-1} : z_{k-\nu+1}^d + wh_{k-\nu+1}^1(z, w) : \dots : z_k^d + wh_k^1(z, w) : w^d]$$

$$S_a^{-1}[z : w] = [z_1^d + w\tilde{h}_1^1(z, w) : \dots : z_{k-\nu}^d + w\tilde{h}_{k-\nu}^1(z, w) : w^{d-1}z_1 \dots : w^{d-1}z_\nu : w^d]$$

where degree of $h_{k-\nu+i}^1 < d$ and degree of $\tilde{h}_j^1 < d$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ and $1 \leq j \leq k - \nu$ respectively. For $m \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_a^m[z : w] &= [wh_1^m(z, w) : \cdots : z_{k-\nu+1}^{d^m} + wh_{k-\nu+1}^m(z, w) : \cdots : z_k^d + wh_k^m(z, w) : w^{d^m}] \\ \mathcal{S}_a^{-m}[z : w] &= [z_1^{d^m} + w\tilde{h}_1^m(z, w) : \cdots : z_{k-\nu}^{d^m} + w\tilde{h}_{k-\nu}^m(z, w) : w\tilde{h}_{k-\nu+1}^m(z, w) \cdots : w\tilde{h}_k^m(z, w) : w^{d^m}] \end{aligned}$$

where degree of $h_i^m, \tilde{h}_i^m < d^m$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Hence the indeterminacy sets I_m^+ and I_m^- of \mathcal{S}_a^m and \mathcal{S}_a^{-m} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I^+ &= I_m^+ = \{[z_1 : \cdots : z_{k-\nu} : 0 : \cdots : 0 : 0] : z_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \\ I^- &= I_m^- = \{[0 : \cdots : 0 : z_{k-\nu+1} : \cdots : z_k : 0] : z_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i, k - \nu + 1 \leq i \leq k\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $m \geq 1$. Note that $I^+ \cap I^- = \emptyset$, thus $S_a^{\nu(k-\nu)}$ is regular. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let S_a be a polynomial shift-like map of type $1 \leq \nu \leq k - 1$ in \mathbb{C}^k . Then for $0 < |a| < 1$, $\text{int}(K_a^-) = \emptyset$, i.e., $K_a^- = J_a^-$.*

Proof. Recall that for $R > 0$ the sets V_R, V_R^+ and V_R^- gives a filtration for S_a . Now for every $n \geq 1$ let S_n be the sets defined as

$$S_n = K_a^- \setminus S_a^n(V_R^+).$$

Since $K_a^- \setminus V_R^+$ is contained in a V_R and $S_a(V_R^+) \subset V_R^+$, $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 0$, i.e., S_n is an increasing collection. If the Lebesgue measure of S_0 , i.e., $m(S_0) \neq 0$, then $m(S_n) = |a|^n m(S_0)$ or $m(S_{n+1}) < m(S_n)$ which is contradiction! Hence $m(S_0) = m(S_n) = 0$ for every $n \geq 0$. Now $K_a^- \subset V_R \cup V_R^+$, i.e., $m(K_a^- \setminus V_R) + m(K_a^- \setminus V_R^+) = 0$ or $m(K_a^- \setminus V_R) = 0$.

Claim: $m(K_a^- \setminus K_a) = 0$.

Suppose $m(K_a^- \setminus K_a) \neq 0$ then there exists $z \in K_a^- \setminus K_a$ such that $B_\epsilon(z) \subset K_a^- \setminus K_a$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Hence $B_\epsilon(z) \subset U_a^+$ or $S_a^n(B_\epsilon(z)) \subset V_R^+ \subset K_a^- \setminus V_R$ for sufficiently large n , i.e., $m(K_a^- \setminus V_R) \geq |a|^n \epsilon > 0$ which is a contradiction!

Also $m(K_a) = |a|m(K_a) = m(K_a)$, as K_a is a compact set completely invariant under S_a . But $|a| < 1$, hence $m(K_a) = 0$. Thus $m(K_a^-) = 0$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let p be a monic polynomial in one variable of degree $d \geq 2$. Recall that the Green function and the equilibrium measure associated to p is defined as:

$$G_p(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \|p^n(z)\|}{d^n} \text{ and } \mu_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_p.$$

Further, recall from Section 1, that the notation p_ν was used to denote a map of the form

$$p_\nu(z_1, \dots, z_\nu) = (p(z_1), \dots, p(z_\nu))$$

in \mathbb{C}^ν , $\nu \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G_p and μ_p be the Green function and equilibrium measure corresponding to the monic polynomial p . Then for $\nu \geq 1$*

$$\mu_{p_\nu} = \underbrace{\mu_p \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_p}_{\nu\text{-times}}$$

and μ_{p_ν} is the complex equilibrium measure on $K_p^\nu \subset \mathbb{C}^\nu$ where K_p is the filled Julia set for p .

Proof. Note that $G_{p_\nu}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = \max\{G_p(z_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, i.e., G_{p_ν} is the extremal function for K_p^ν . Now by Proposition 2.2 from [5], the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let S_a denote a ν -shift of the polynomial p . Then there exists a graph in ν variables (say Γ_ν) such that $S_0^{k-\nu}(\mathbb{C}^k) = \Gamma_\nu$ where

$$S_0(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_2, \dots, z_k, p(z_{k-\nu+1})).$$

Proof. Case 1: Suppose $\nu \geq k - \nu$. Then consider

$$\Gamma_\nu = (z_1, \dots, z_\nu, p(z_1), \dots, p(z_{k-\nu})).$$

Now

$$S_0^{k-\nu}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_{k-\nu+1}, \dots, z_k, p(z_{k-\nu+1}), \dots, p(z_{2(k-\nu)})).$$

Hence the proof.

Case 2: Suppose $\nu < k - \nu$. Let $l \geq 1$ such that $k - \nu = l\nu + r$ where $0 \leq r < \nu$. Consider

$$\Gamma_\nu = (z_1, \dots, z_\nu, p(z_1), \dots, p(z_\nu), \dots, p^{l+1}(z_1), \dots, p^{l+1}(z_r)).$$

Now $S_0^{k-\nu} \equiv S_0^{l\nu+r}$ and

$$S_0^{l\nu+r}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_{k-\nu+1}, \dots, z_k, \dots, p^l(z_{k-\nu+1}), \dots, p^l(z_k), p^{l+1}(z_{k-\nu+1}), \dots, p^{l+1}(z_{k-\nu+r})).$$

Hence $S_0^{k-\nu}(\mathbb{C}^k) = \Gamma_\nu$. \square

Remark 3.3. For $\nu \geq k - \nu$

$$S_0^\nu(z_{2\nu-k+1}, \dots, z_\nu, p(z_1), \dots, p(z_\nu)) = (p(z_{2\nu-k+1}), \dots, p(z_\nu), p^2(z_1), \dots, p^2(z_\nu)).$$

Otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} S_0^\nu(z_1, \dots, z_\nu, p(z_1), \dots, p(z_\nu), \dots, p^{l+1}(z_1), \dots, p^{l+1}(z_r)) \\ = (p(z_1), \dots, p(z_\nu), p^2(z_1), \dots, p^2(z_\nu), \dots, p^{l+2}(z_1), \dots, p^{l+2}(z_r)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S_0^\nu(\Gamma_\nu) = \Gamma_\nu$.

Remark 3.4. The map S_0^ν on the graph Γ_ν is equivalent to the map p_ν in \mathbb{C}^ν , i.e., the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^\nu & \xrightarrow{p_\nu} & \mathbb{C}^\nu \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ \Gamma_\nu & \xrightarrow{S_0^\nu} & \Gamma_\nu \end{array}$$

where ϕ is the map to the graph Γ_ν .

Lemma 3.5. Let G_a^+ denote the positive Green function for S_a , i.e., for $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$

$$G_a^+(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \|S_a^{\nu n}(z)\|}{d^n}.$$

Then

$$\mu_0 = (dd^c(G_0^+|_{\Gamma_\nu}))^\nu = d^{k-\nu}(2\pi)^\nu \pi_*(\mu_{p_\nu})$$

where π is the projection of \mathbb{C}^k to the last ν -coordinates.

Proof. Recall that for $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$

$$G_0^+(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \|S_0^{\nu n}(z)\|}{d^n}.$$

From the filtration of S_a it follows that

$$G_0^+(z) = G_{p_\nu}(\pi(z))$$

Case 1: For $\nu \geq k - \nu$ and $z \in \Gamma_\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^+(z) &= G_{p_\nu}(z_{k-\nu+1}, \dots, z_\nu, p(z_1), \dots, p(z_{k-\nu})) \\ &= \max\{dG_p(z_1), \dots, dG_p(z_{k-\nu}), G_p(z_{k-\nu+1}), \dots, G_p(z_\nu)\} \\ &= G_{p_\nu}(p(z_1), \dots, p(z_{k-\nu}), z_{k-\nu+1}, \dots, z_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Now from Lemma 3.1

$$\mu_0 = (dd^c(G_0^+|_{\Gamma_\nu}))^\nu = d^{k-\nu}(2\pi)^\nu \phi_*(\mu_{p_\nu}).$$

Case 2: For $\nu < k - \nu$ and $z \in \Gamma_\nu$

$$G_0^+(z) = G_{p_\nu}(p^l(z_{r+1}), \dots, p^l(z_\nu), p^{l+1}(z_1), \dots, p^{l+1}(z_r))$$

where $k - \nu = l\nu + r$ for $l \geq 1$ and $0 \leq r < \nu$. Arguing as in *Case 1* and using Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= (dd^c(G_0^+|_{\Gamma_\nu}))^\nu = d^{l(\nu-r)+(l+1)r}(2\pi)^\nu \phi_*(\mu_{p_\nu}) \\ &= d^{k-\nu}(2\pi)^\nu \phi_*(\mu_{p_\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.6. *For any compact set $K \in \mathbb{C}^k \setminus \Gamma_\nu$, there exists $A_K > 0$ such that if $0 < |a| < A_K$, then $S_a^{-(k-\nu)}(K) \subset V_R^-$ where R is the radius of filtration whenever $|a| < 1$*

Proof. Recall that

$$S_a^{-(k-\nu)}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (a^{-1}\{z_{\nu+1} - p(z_1)\}, \dots, a^{-1}\{z_k - p(z_{k-\nu})\}, z_1, \dots, z_\nu).$$

Pick a $z \in K$. Since $z \notin \Gamma_\nu$ it follows that

$$c(z) = \max\{|z_{\nu+i} - p(z_i)| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \neq 0.$$

As K is compact there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $c(z) > C$ for every $z \in K$. Further, choose $M > 0$ such that $K \subset B(0; M)$, i.e., the ball of radius M at the origin. Now choose $0 < A < 1$ sufficiently small such that $A^{-1}C > \max\{M, R\}$. This complete the proof. □

Remark 3.7. Recall Theorem 9 from [2]. The negative Green function of S_a is given by

$$G_a^-(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ \|S_a^{-(k-\nu)n}(z)\|}{d^n}.$$

Further, on V_R^-

$$G_a^-(z) = \log \|z\| + o(1)$$

and $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.8. *Given any compact set K in $\mathbb{C}^k \setminus \Gamma_\nu$*

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} G_a^-(z) + \frac{\log |a|}{d} = \frac{1}{d} \left\{ \log \left(\max\{|z_{\nu+i} - p(z_i)| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \right) \right\}$$

whenever $z \in K$.

Proof. From Remark 3.7, if $z \in V_R^-$ then $\|z\|_\infty = \max\{|z_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\}$, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad G_a^-(z) = \log \left(\max\{|z_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \right) + o(1)$$

and $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Also from definition of G_a^- , it follows that for $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$

$$(3.2) \quad G_a^-(S_a^{-(k-\nu)}(z)) = dG_a^-(z).$$

Now from Lemma 3.6, it follows that $S_a^{-(k-\nu)}(K) \subset V_R^-$ whenever $0 < |a| < A_K$. Thus from (3.1) and 3.2 it follows that

$$G_a^-(z) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log \left(\max\{|a^{-1}(z_{\nu+i} - p(z_i))| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \right) \right) + o(1)$$

$$G_a^-(z) + \frac{\log |a|}{d} = \frac{1}{d} \left\{ \log \left(\max\{|z_{\nu+i} - p(z_i)| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \right) \right\} + o(1)$$

Also $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $a \rightarrow 0$, thus the proof. \square

Remark 3.9. Thus for $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$, the function H_a defined as

$$H_a(z) = G_a^-(z) + \frac{\log |a|}{d}$$

converges in L_{loc}^1 to

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{d} \left\{ \log \left(\max\{|z_{\nu+i} - p(z_i)| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\} \right) \right\}$$

as $a \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 3.10. For $a \in \mathbb{C}^{k-\nu}$ (see [6]),

$$(3.3) \quad (dd^c \log \{\max |z_i - a_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\})^{k-\nu} = (2\pi)^{k-\nu} \delta_a.$$

Now consider $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k-\nu}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = (z_{\nu+1} - p(z_1), \dots, z_k - p(z_{k-\nu})).$$

From (3.3), it follows that

$$(dd^c \log |\mathcal{F}|)^{k-\nu} = (2\pi)^{k-\nu} [\Gamma_\nu],$$

i.e.,

$$(dd^c F)^{k-\nu} = d^{\nu-k} (2\pi)^{k-\nu} [\Gamma_\nu].$$

where $[\Gamma_\nu]$ denotes the current of integration on Γ_ν .

Recall from Theorem 11 in [2], $\mu_a^- = (\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_a^-)^{k-\nu}$ and $\mu_a = (\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_a^+)^{\nu}$. Also

$$\mu_a = \mu_a^+ \wedge \mu_a^- = (\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_a)^k$$

where $G_a = \max(G_a^+, G_a^-)$. Also $S_{a*}^{\nu(k-\nu)}(\mu_a) = \mu_a$.

Let Λ_a denote the Lyapunov exponent of $S_a^{\nu(k-\nu)}$ with respect to the measure μ_a , i.e.,

$$\Lambda_a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \|DS_a^{\nu(k-\nu)n}\| d\mu_a.$$

Lemma 3.11. $a \rightarrow \Lambda_a$ is a upper semi continuous function.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 5.1 in [4]. For a fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\Lambda_a^n = \frac{1}{n} \int \|DS_a^{\nu(k-\nu)n}\| d\mu_a.$$

Now as $S_{a*}^{\nu(k-\nu)}(\mu_a) = \mu_a$ it follows that

$$(m+n)\Lambda_a^{n+m} \leq n\Lambda_a^n + m\Lambda_a^m.$$

From Theorem 4.9 in [11], Γ_a exists. Now for $n \geq 1$ consider the sequence $f_n(a) = \Lambda_a^{2^n}$. Then $f_{n+1}(a) \leq f_n(a)$, i.e., Λ_a is a limit of a decreasing sequence of continuous function, thus $a \rightarrow \Lambda_a$ is upper semi continuous. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that $dd^c H_a = dd^c G_a^-$ where H_a is as defined in Remark 3.9. From Remark 3.10, $(dd^c H_a)^{k-\nu}$ converges to $d^{\nu-k}[\Gamma_\nu]$ in the sense of currents as $a \rightarrow 0$. Hence from Lemma 3.5

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mu_a &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mu_a^+ \wedge \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \mu_a^- \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_a^+ \right)^\nu \wedge \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_a^- \right)^{k-\nu} \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_a^+ \right)^\nu \wedge d^{\nu-k}[\Gamma_\nu]. \\ &= d^{\nu-k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} dd^c G_0^+ \Big|_{\Gamma_\nu} \right)^\nu = \pi_*(\mu_{p_\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the Lyapunov exponent for p_ν with respect to the measure μ_{p_ν} is given by

$$\Lambda_{p_\nu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \|Dp_\nu^n\| d\mu_{p_\nu}.$$

Since Lyapunov exponents are conjugacy invariant and $a \rightarrow \Lambda_a$ is upper semi continuous

$$\begin{aligned} (k-\nu)\Lambda_{p_\nu} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k-\nu}{n} \int \|Dp_\nu^n\| d\mu_{p_\nu} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \|Dp_\nu^{(k-\nu)n}\| d\mu_{p_\nu} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \|DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)n}\| d\mu_0 \\ &= \limsup_{a \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_a \end{aligned}$$

□

4. HYPERBOLIC POLYNOMIALS IN ONE VARIABLE

In this section, we will recall a few properties of hyperbolic polynomials in one variable (see [9]). For a rational function f in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, let J_f and K_f be the usual Julia set and filled Julia set of f respectively. Also, let C_f denote the critical points of f in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 4.1. A rational function f is said to be hyperbolic if the post critical set of f does not intersect the Julia set of f , i.e.,

$$P_c(f) \cap J_f = \emptyset$$

where

$$P_c(f) = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(C_f)}.$$

Let us recall a few properties of a hyperbolic polynomial (say p) of \mathbb{C} which will be essential for our work. Also we will assume that p has a connected Julia set, i.e., the Fatou component at infinity is simply connected.

- (1) Let J_p be the Julia set for a hyperbolic polynomial. Then J_p is compact and there exists a neighbourhood of J_p , i.e., $V \supset J_p$ such that V admits a conformal metric for which p is expanding.
- (2) There exists a constant depending on p , i.e., $\delta(p) > 0$ such that

$$U_{\delta(p)} \subset\subset p(U) \text{ and } p^{-1}(U)_{\delta(p)} \subset\subset U,$$

where $U_{\delta(p)}$ and $p^{-1}(U)_{\delta(p)}$ are $\delta(p)$ -neighbourhoods of U and $p^{-1}(U)$.

- (3) Now let U be a slightly smaller neighbourhood of J_p contained in V . The complement of U , i.e., $U^c = U_c \cup U_\infty$ where U_c denote all the compact components of U^c and U_∞ the unbounded component containing infinity. Then number of components in U_c is finite and $p(U_c) \subset U_c$.
- (4) Note that U_c may be empty as well. If non empty, then the components of U_c are simply connected domains, compactly contained in the basin of attraction of periodic points of p . Thus with respect to the hyperbolic metric (say \mathbb{H}) on the basin of attraction of periodic points of p , there exists a constant $0 < c < 1$, such that for $v \in T_z U_c$, $z \in U_c$

$$\|p'(z)v\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{p(z)} < c\|z\|_{\mathbb{H}}^z.$$

- (5) The component U_∞ is simply connected and there exists a conformal map ρ ,

$$\rho : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_p$$

such that $\rho(z^d) = p \circ \rho(z)$ where $\bar{\Delta}$ is the unit disc and $d \geq 2$ is the degree of the polynomial.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose p is a hyperbolic polynomial with connected Julia set then there exists $\eta(p) > 0$ such that if $\{w_n\} \subset D(0; \eta(p))$ and $z_0 \in U_\infty$ the sequence $\{z_n\}$ defined as*

$$z_n = p(z_{n-1}) + w_n$$

diverges uniformly to infinity.

Proof. Our aim is to show that for a given $R > 0$, sufficiently large $|z_n| > R$ for every $n \geq n_0 \geq 1$. Further, the existence of n_0 depends only on R and is independent of the choice $z_0 \in U_\infty$ or the sequence $\{w_n\}$.

Given a polynomial p there exists $R_p > 0$ such that for $|z| \geq R_p$, $|p(z)| - |z| > \epsilon_p > 0$, since infinity is a super attracting fixed point for p . Hence if $z_0 \in U_\infty \cap D(0; R_p)^c$ then for every sequence $\{w_n\} \in D(0; \epsilon_p)$, $|z_n| > R_p + n\epsilon_p > R$ for $n \geq n_0$.

Without loss of generality let us assume that $K = \bar{U}_\infty \cap \overline{D(0; R_p)}$ be a non-empty compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_p$. By Property (4) of hyperbolic polynomials $K_1 = \rho^{-1}(K)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}$.

For any $w \in K_1$, then $|w| > 1 + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $r_1 > 0$ such that $|w|^d - r_1 > 1 + d\epsilon$ for every $w \in K_1$. Let

$$M_1 = \min\{|w|^d - r_1 : w \in K_1\}.$$

Then $M_1 > 1 + d\epsilon$. Similarly one can choose r_2 such that $M_1^d - r_2 > 1 + d^2\epsilon$. Let $M_2 = M_1^d - r_2$. Inductively one can choose $r_n > 0$ for every $n \geq 1$ such that

$$M_n > 1 + d^n \epsilon$$

where $M_n = M_{n-1}^d - r_n$. Thus $M_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that if $\{\tilde{w}_n\}$ is a sequence from $D(0; \tilde{r})$ where $\tilde{r} = \min\{r_1 : 1 \leq i \leq n_0\}$ and $\tilde{z}_0 \in K_1$, $(n_0 + 1)$ -th element in the sequence $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$ defined as

$$\tilde{z}_n = \tilde{z}_{n-1}^d + \tilde{w}_n$$

leaves K_1 . Note that this choice of n_0 is independent of the choice of base point $\tilde{z}_0 \in K_1$ or the sequence $\{w_n\}$ in $D(0; \tilde{r})$.

Let $m = \min\{|z| : z \in K_1\}$ and $M = \max\{|z| : z \in K_1\}$. Then $K_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}(0; m; M)} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\Delta}$ (the closed annulus with inner radius m and outer radius M). Let $K_{\mathcal{A}} = \rho(\overline{\mathcal{A}(0; m; M)})$. By continuity for $\tilde{r} > 0$ (as chosen above) there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\rho^{-1}(z) - \rho^{-1}(w)| < \tilde{r} \text{ whenever } |z - w| < r_0$$

and $z, w \in K_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\eta(p) = \min\{r_0, \epsilon_p\}$. Further, let $\{w_n\}$ be any sequence from $D(0; \eta(p))$ and z_0 be an arbitrary point in K . By definition

$$z_1 = p(z_0) + w_1$$

i.e.,

$$|z_1 - \rho \circ (\rho^{-1}(z_0))^d| = |w_1| < r_0.$$

Hence $\rho^{-1}(z_1) - (\rho^{-1}(z_0))^d = \tilde{w}_1$ where $\tilde{w}_1 \in D(0; \tilde{r})$.

Let $\tilde{z}_n = \rho^{-1}(z_n)$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Case 1: If $|\tilde{z}_1| > M$, then $|z_1| > R_p$ and $z_n = p(z_{n-1}) + w_n$ lies in $D(0; R_p)^c$ for every $n \geq 2$ and $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2: If $|\tilde{z}_1| < M$ then $z_1 \in K_{\mathcal{A}}$. By a similar argument as before it follows that

$$\tilde{z}_2 = \tilde{z}_1^d + \tilde{w}_2 \text{ where } \tilde{w}_2 \in D(0; \tilde{r}).$$

Thus again we do the same analysis for \tilde{z}_2 and continuing inductively we have that there exists $1 \leq N_0 \leq n_0$ such that $|\tilde{z}_{N_0+1}| > M$. Now by *Case 1*, $z_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 AND 1.3 FOR $k = 3$

Let p be hyperbolic polynomial with connected Julia set. Let V be the neighbourhood of J_p that admits a conformal metric ρ , which is expanding under p and U be (as before) a neighbourhood of J_p and compactly contained in V such that there exists $\delta > 0$ for which $U_\delta \subset p(U)$ and $p^{-1}(U)_\delta \subset U$. Let U_c and U_∞ denote the compact components and the component containing infinity in the complement of U and let $U_0 = \bar{U}$. Further, let A be sufficiently small such that $AR < \delta_0$, where $\delta_0 < \max\{\delta, \eta(p)\}$ where $\eta(p)$ as in Proposition 4.2 and $R > 0$ be the radius of filtration whenever $|a| < 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let S_a denote a type 1-shift map of this hyperbolic polynomial in \mathbb{C}^3 for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

$$S_a(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, az_1 + p(z_3)).$$

Lemma 5.1. *For $0 < |a| < A$, the Julia set $J_a \subset D_R \times D_R \times U_0$.*

Proof. Note that for $z \in \mathbb{C}^3 \cap V$ if $\pi_3(z) = z_3 \in U_c$ or U_∞ , then for sufficiently small choice of $|a|$,

$$S_a^2(z) \in U_c \times U_c \times U_c \text{ or } U_\infty \times U_\infty \times U_\infty$$

by Lemma 4.2. Hence $S_a^n(z)$ is either uniformly bounded or diverges to infinity uniformly on a neighbourhood of z , i.e., $z \notin J_a^+$. Thus the proof. \square

When $a = 0$, i.e., $S_0(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, p(z_3))$ and

$$DS_0^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & p'(z_3) \\ 0 & 0 & (p^2)'(z_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let the eigenvalues of $DS_0^2(z)$ be denoted by $\lambda_i^z(0) = 0, 0$ and $p'(z_3)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and

$$E_i^z(0) = \text{The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue } \lambda_i^z(0).$$

Then the eigenspaces $E_i^z(0)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^z(0) &= \{(t, 0, 0) : t \in \mathbb{C}\}, \\ E_2^z(0) &= \{(0, t, 0) : t \in \mathbb{C}\}, \\ E_3^z(0) &= \{(t, tp'(z_3), t(p^2)'(z_3)) : t \in \mathbb{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let Γ denote the following graphs in \mathbb{C}^3

$$\Gamma = \{(z, p(z), p^2(z)) : z \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Observe that $S_0^2(\mathbb{C}^3) = \Gamma$, i.e., $S_0^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_3, p(z_3), p^2(z_3))$. Let the parametrization of Γ be denoted by ϕ , i.e., $\phi(z) = (z, p(z), p^2(z))$. Then for $v \in T_{\phi(z)}\Gamma$ means $v = (t, p'(z)t, (p^2)'(z)t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Claim: $E_3^z(0) = T_{S_0^2(z)}\Gamma$ and $DS_0^2(z)(E_3^z(0)) = E_3^{S_0^2(z)}(0)$.

If $v \in E_3^z(0)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}^3$ then $DS_0^2(z)v = (p^2)'(z_3)v$. Calculating coordinate wise it is directly obtained that $v = (t, p'(z_3)t, (p^2)'(z_3)t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, the first part of the claim is true.

Since $S_0^2(z) = (z_3, p(z_3), p^2(z_3))$, $E_3^{S_0^2(z)}(0) = T_{S_0^4(z)}\Gamma$. But S_0^2 on the graph is equivalent to the map p^2 in \mathbb{C} , i.e., the diagram is as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^3 & \xrightarrow{S_0^2} & \mathbb{C}^3 \\ S_0^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow S_0^2 \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{S_0^2} & \Gamma \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{p^2} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} .$$

Thus $D(E_3^z(0)) = E_3^{S_0^2(z)}(0)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $k = 3$. From Lemma 5.1, $J_a \subset D'_R \times D'_R \times V$ where $R' > R$ and V is the neighbourhood of J_p that compactly contains U . Let $v = (t, tp'(z_3), t(p^2)'(z_3)) \in E_3^z(0)$. We consider the identification, $\phi_3(v) = t \in T_{z_3}V$. Now V admits a hyperbolic metric ρ such that p^2 is expanding. Define $\|v\|_\eta = \|\phi_3(v)\|_\rho$, i.e., there exists a $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \|DS_0^2(z)v\|_\eta^{S_0^2(z)} = \|(p^2)'(z_3)\phi_3(v)\|_\rho^{p^2(z_3)} > \lambda\|\phi_3(v)\|_\rho^{z_3} = \lambda\|v\|_\eta^z.$$

For $z \in D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V$, and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in E_1^z(0) \oplus E_2^z(0) \oplus E_3^z(0) = T_z(D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V)$ we define the metric as:

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^z = \|v_1\|_{\mathbb{E}}^z + \|v_2\|_{\mathbb{E}}^z + \|v_3\|_{\eta}^z.$$

Let $E_u^z(a) = E_3^z(a)$ and $E_s^z(a) = E_1^z(a) \oplus E_2^z(a)$. From invariance of $E_s^z(0)$ and $E_u^z(0)$ and (5.1) it follows that there exists $\lambda_0 > 1$ such that:

$$(5.2) \quad \|DS_0^2(z)v^u\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{S_0^2(z)} > \lambda_0\|v^u\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^z$$

$$(5.3) \quad \|DS_0^2(z)v^s\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{S_0^2(z)} < \lambda_0^{-1}\|v^s\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^z.$$

Note that there exists a linear isometry for every point $z \in D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V$ as below

$$\phi_{a,z} : T_z(D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V) \rightarrow T_z(D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V) \text{ and } \phi_{a,z}(v_1^0) = v_1^a, \phi_{a,z}(v_2^0) = v_2^a$$

where $(v_1^a, v_2^a) \in E_z^s(a) \oplus E_z^u(a)$. Also $\phi_{a,z}$ depends continuously on a and z , hence for every $0 \leq |a| < A$ there exists a conformal metric on $D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V$, $v \in E_z^s(a) \oplus E_z^u(a)$

$$\|(v_1, v_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_a}^z = \|\phi_{a,z}^{-1}(v_1, v_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}.$$

For $\rho > 0$ recall the definition of cones of $T_z(D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V)$

$$\begin{aligned} C_z^s(\rho, a) &= \{(v_1^a, v_2^a) \in E_z^s(a) \oplus E_z^u(a) : \|v_2^a\|_{\mathcal{E}_a}^z < \rho\|v_1^a\|_{\mathcal{E}_a}^z\} \text{ and} \\ C_z^u(\rho, a) &= \{(v_1^a, v_2^a) \in E_z^s(a) \oplus E_z^u(a) : \|v_1^a\|_{\mathcal{E}_a}^z < \rho\|v_2^a\|_{\mathcal{E}_a}^z\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.2), it follows that for sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ there exists $\lambda_1 > 1$ such that for $z \in D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V$, $v \in C_z^u(\rho, 0)$

$$\|DS_0^2(z)v\|_{\varrho_0}^{S_0^2(z)} > \lambda_1 \|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z$$

and $v \in C_z^s(\rho, 0)$

$$\|DS_0^2(z)v\|_{\varrho_0}^{S_0^2(z)} < \lambda_1^{-1} \|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z.$$

Hence by continuity of splitting of the tangent spaces on a , the choice of A is further modified, such that there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ with $C_z^s(\rho_0, a) \subset C_z^s(\rho, 0)$ and $C_z^u(\rho_0, a) \subset C_z^u(\rho, 0)$ for every $z \in \overline{D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V}$ whenever $0 \leq |a| < A$, i.e., for $z \in \overline{D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V}$, $v \in C_z^u(\rho_0, a)$

$$\|DS_0^2(z)v\|_{\varrho_0}^{S_0^2(z)} > \lambda_1 \|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z$$

and $v \in C_z^s(\rho_0, a)$

$$\|DS_0^2(z)v\|_{\varrho_0}^{S_0^2(z)} < \lambda_1^{-1} \|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z.$$

Again using the continuity of the metric on a , there exist modified A , ρ_1 (say $\rho_0 \geq \rho_1 > 0$) and λ_1 (say $\lambda_1 > 1$) such that whenever $0 < |a| < A$ for $z \in \overline{D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V}$, $v \in C_z^u(\rho_1, a)$

$$(5.4) \quad \|DS_a^2(z)v\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^2(z)} > \lambda_1 \|v\|_{\varrho_a}^z$$

and $v \in C_z^s(\rho_1, a)$

$$(5.5) \quad \|DS_a^2(z)v\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^2(z)} < \lambda_1^{-1} \|v\|_{\varrho_a}^z$$

Since S_a is an automorphism further modifying the choice of ρ_1 and A , i.e., for $0 < |a| < A$, equation (5.4) and (5.5) can be summarized as:

(i) There exists a Riemannian metric $\|\cdot\|_{\varrho_a}$ on $D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ such that

$$DS_a^2(z)(C_z^s(\rho_1, a)) \subset \text{int } C_{S_a^2(z)}^s(\rho_1, a)$$

and

$$DS_a^{-2}(z)(C_{S_a^2(z)}^u(\rho_1, a)) \subset \text{int } C_z^u(\rho_1, a).$$

(ii) There exists $\lambda_1 > 1$ such that for every $z \in J_a$

$$\|DS_a^{-2}(z)(v)\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^{-2}(z)} \geq \lambda_1 \|v\|_{\varrho_a}^z \text{ for } v \in C_{S_a^2(z)}^s(\rho_1, a)$$

and

$$\|DS_a^2(z)(v)\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^2(z)} \geq \lambda_1 \|v\|_{\varrho_a}^z \text{ for } v \in C_z^u(\rho_1, a).$$

Now by Corollary 6.4.8 in [8] S_a^2 is hyperbolic on J_a .

Since the topology induced in V by the conformal metric ρ is equivalent to the Euclidean metric, the metric ϱ_a induce the same topology as the general Euclidean metric on $D_{R'} \times D_{R'} \times V$. Thus the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let S_a denote a type 2–shift map of the hyperbolic polynomial in \mathbb{C}^3 , i.e., for $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$S_a(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, az_1 + p(z_2)).$$

Lemma 5.2. For $0 < |a| < A$, the Julia set J_a of S_a is contained in the union of the following sets, i.e.,

$$J_a \subset (D_R \times U_0 \times U_c) \cup (D_R \times U_c \times U_0) \cup (D_R \times U_0 \times U_0)$$

where D_R is the disc of radius R at the origin in \mathbb{C} and R is the radius of filtration for $|a| < 1$.

Proof. Note that $J_a \subset V_R \subset D_R \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and

$$(5.6) \quad D_R \times \mathbb{C}^2 = \bigcup_{i=0,c,\infty} \bigcup_{j=0,c,\infty} \bigcup_{k=0,c,\infty} D_R \times U_i \times U_j.$$

Suppose $z \in J_a$ and $\pi_3(z) \in U_\infty$. Then $\pi_3 \circ S_a^2(z) = p(z_3) + az_2 \in U_\infty^\circ$ or $\pi_2 \circ S_a^3(z) \in U_\infty^\circ$. Thus there exists a neighbourhood of z on which either $\pi_2 \circ S_a^{2n+1} \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly or $\pi_3 \circ S_a^{2n} \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly. This means $z \in F_a$ (i.e., the Fatou set of S_a) which is a contradiction! A similar argument gives that if $z \in J_a$ then $\pi_2(z) \notin U_\infty$.

Further, if $z \in J_a$ and $\pi_i(z) \in U_c$ for every $i = 2, 3$. Then $S_a^3(z) \in \text{int}(U_c \times U_c \times U_c)$. Hence the sequence $\{S_a^n\}$ is uniformly bounded on a neighbourhood of z , i.e., z lies in the Fatou set of S_a .

Thus

$$(5.7) \quad J_a \subset (D_R \times U_0 \times U_c) \cup (D_R \times U_c \times U_0) \cup (D_R \times U_0 \times U_0).$$

Thus the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. Note that $U_0 = \bar{U}$ and $\partial U_0 = \partial U_c \cup \partial U_\infty$. Since $J_a \cap D_R \times U_c \times U_c = \emptyset$ and $J_a \cap D_R \times U_\infty \times U_\infty = \emptyset$,

$$J_a \subset (D_R \times U \times U_c) \cup (D_R \times U_c \times U) \cup (D_R \times U \times U),$$

i.e.,

$$J_a \subset (D_R \times U \times W) \cup (D_R \times W \times U)$$

where $W = U \cup U_c$ and is an open neighbourhood of the filled Julia set K_p .

Let $U_1 = \bar{D}_R \times U_0 \times U_c$, $U_2 = \bar{D}_R \times U_c \times U_0$ and $U_3 = \bar{D}_R \times U_0 \times U_0$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let*

$$J_a^1 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_a^{-2n}(U_1 \cap J_a), \quad J_a^2 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_a^{-2n}(U_2 \cap J_a), \quad \text{and} \quad J_a^3 = J_a \setminus J_a^1 \cup J_a^2.$$

Then J_a^1 , J_a^2 and J_a^3 are completely invariant under S_a^2 .

Proof. If $z \in J_a^3$ then $S_a^{2n}(z) \notin \text{int}(U_1)$ or $\text{int}(U_2)$ for every $n \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$S_a^{2n}(z) \in U_3$$

for every $n \geq 0$. Note that if J_a^1 and J_a^2 is completely invariant under S_a^2 , invariance of J_a^3 follows from the invariance of J_a .

Claim: $S_a^2(J_a^1) \subset J_a^1$.

Suppose $z \in U_1 \cap J_a$. If $S_a(z) \in U_0 \times U_c \times U_c$ or $S_a(z) \in U_0 \times U_c \times U_\infty$ then z should lie in the Fatou set of S_a . Hence $S_a(z) \in U_0 \times U_c \times U_0 \subset U_2$ and $S_a^2(z) \in U_1$. Now $z \in J_a^1$ means there exists $n_z \geq 0$ such that $S_a^{2n}(z) \in U_1 \cap J_a$ for all $n \geq n_z$. Thus the claim.

Also $S_a^{-2}(z) \in J_a^1$, i.e., $S_a^{-2}(J_a^1) \subset J_a^1$. Thus $S_a^2(J_a^1) = J_a^1 = S_a^{-2}(J_a^1)$. A similar argument gives $S_a^2(J_a^2) = J_a^2 = S_a^{-2}(J_a^2)$. \square

Lemma 5.5. *For a fixed $z \in J_a^1 \cup J_a^2$ define the following set:*

$$J_{a,z} = \{w : w \text{ is a limit point of the sequence } \{S_a^{2n}(z)\}\}.$$

Define

$$J_1 = \overline{\bigcup_{z \in J_a^1} J_{a,z}}, \quad J_2 = \overline{\bigcup_{z \in J_a^2} J_{a,z}} \quad \text{and} \quad J_3 = \bar{J}_a^3.$$

Then J_1 , J_2 and J_3 are closed compact subsets contained in U_1 , U_2 and U_3 respectively and they are completely invariant under S_a^2 .

Proof. Let

$$J^1 = \bigcup_{z \in J_a^1} J_{a,z}, \text{ and } J^2 = \bigcup_{z \in J_a^2} J_{a,z}.$$

For $w \in J^1$ there exists $z \in J_a^1$ and a subsequence of natural numbers $\{n_k\}$ such that $S_a^{2n_k}(z) \rightarrow w$. But $S_a^{2n_k}(z) \in U_1$ for $k \geq k_0$, i.e., $w \in U_1$ as U_1 is a closed set. Hence $J^1 \subset U_1$. A similar argument gives $J^2 \subset U_2$.

Claim: J^1 and J^2 are completely invariant under S_a^2 .

For $w \in J^1$ there exists $z \in J_a^1$ such that $w \in J_{a,z}$, i.e., $S_a^2(w) \in J_{a,S_a^2(z)}$. By Lemma 5.4, $S_a^2(z) \in J_a^1$, i.e., $S_a^2(w) \in J^1$. Hence $S_a^2(J^1) \subset J^1$. Now $S_a^{2n_k}(z) \rightarrow w$ where $n_k \geq 1$ for $k > 1$, i.e., $S_a^{-2}(w) \in J_{a,S_a^{-2}(z)}$. Again by Lemma 5.4, $S_a^{-2}(J^1) \subset J^1$. A similar argument gives that J^2 is also completely invariant under S_a^2 .

Since $J_1 = \overline{J^1}$, $J_2 = \overline{J^2}$, $J_3 = \overline{J^3}$ and S_a is one-one for $a \neq 0$, the result follows. \square

Let W_c be a relatively compact open subset of the bounded Fatou-components of p containing U_c and $R' > R$. Note that $U_2 \subset D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$, $U_1 \subset D_{R'} \times V \times W_c$ and $U_3 \subset D_{R'} \times V \times V$ where V be the neighbourhood of J_p containing U_0 (that admits the hyperbolic metric ρ such that p is expanding with respect to ρ in V).

When $a = 0$, i.e., $S_0^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_3, p(z_2), p(z_3))$ and

$$DS_0^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p'(z_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p'(z_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let the eigenvalues of $DS_0^2(z)$ be denoted by $\lambda_i^z(0) = 0, p'(z_2)$ and $p'(z_3)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and

$$E_i^z(0) = \text{The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue } \lambda_i^z(0).$$

Then the eigenspaces $E_i^z(0)$ are as follows:

$$E_1^z(0) = \{(t, 0, 0) : t \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$E_2^z(0) = \{(0, t, 0) : t \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

$$E_3^z(0) = \{(t, 0, tp'(z_3)) : t \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Here by $E_i^z(a)$, we will denote the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i^z(a)$ of $DS_a^2(z)$ for $a \neq 0$.

Lemma 5.6. *For every $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^3$, $E_i^z(0)$ is invariant under $DS_0^2(z)$.*

Proof. Note that $DS_0^2(z)(E_1^z(0)) = \{0\} \in E_1^{S_0^2(z)}(0)$ and

$$DS_0^2(z)(0, t, 0)_{S_0^2(z)} = (0, tp'(p(z_2)), 0) \in E_2^{S_0^2(z)}(0).$$

Also

$$DS_0^2(z)(t, 0, tp'(z_3))_{S_0^2(z)} = (tp'(p(z_3)), 0, tp'(p(z_3))^2) = (\tilde{t}, 0, \tilde{t}p'(p(z_3))) \in E_3^{S_0^2(z)}(0).$$

Hence the proof. \square

Proposition 5.7. *There exists $A > 0$ such that for $0 < |a| < A$,*

- (i) $D_{R'} \times V \times W_c$ admits a Riemannian metric (equivalent to the Euclidean metric) on such that S_a^2 is hyperbolic on J_1 .
- (ii) $D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$ admits a Riemannian metric (equivalent to the Euclidean metric) on such that S_a^2 is hyperbolic on J_2 .

(iii) $D_{R'} \times V \times V$ admits a Riemannian metric (equivalent to the Euclidean metric) on such that S_a^2 is hyperbolic on J_3 .

Proof. We will prove statement (ii) first.

For $v = (0, t, 0) \in E_2^z(0)$, consider the identification, $\phi_2(v) = t \in T_{z_2}W_c$. Define (with abuse of notation) the

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{H}}^z = \|\phi_2(v)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{z_2}$$

where \mathbb{H} is the hyperbolic metric in the components of interior of K_p (i.e., the bounded Julia set of p). Now with respect to the, hyperbolic metric there exists a constant $0 \leq C < 1$ such that for $v \in E_2^z(0)$

$$(5.8) \quad \|DS_0^2(z)v\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{S_0^2(z)} = \|p'(z_2)\phi_2(v)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{p(z_2)} < C\|\phi_2(v)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{z_2} = C\|v\|_{\mathbb{H}}^z.$$

For $v = (t, 0, tp'(z_3)) \in E_3^z(0)$, consider the identification, $\phi_3(v) = t \in T_{z_3}V$. Now V admits a hyperbolic metric ρ such that p is expanding. Define $\|v\|_{\eta} = \|\phi_3(v)\|_{\rho}$, i.e., there exists a $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad \|DS_0^2(z)v\|_{\eta}^{S_0^2(z)} = \|p'(z_3)\phi_3(v)\|_{\rho}^{p(z_3)} > \lambda\|\phi_3(v)\|_{\eta}^{z_3} = \lambda\|v\|_{\eta}^z.$$

For $z \in D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$, and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in E_1^z(0) \oplus E_2^z(0) \oplus E_3^z(0) = T_z(D_{R'} \times W_c \times V)$ we define the metric as:

$$\|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z = \|v_1\|_{\mathbb{E}}^z + \|v_2\|_{\mathbb{H}}^z + \|v_3\|_{\eta}^z.$$

Let $E_u^z(a) = E_3^z(a)$ and $E_s^z(a) = E_1^z(a) \oplus E_2^z(a)$. From invariance of $E_s^z(0)$ and $E_u^z(0)$ and from (5.8) and (5.9) it follows that there exists $\lambda_0 > 1$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|DS_0^2(z)v^u\|_{\varrho_0}^{S_0^2(z)} &> \lambda_0\|v^u\|_{\varrho_0}^z \\ \|DS_0^2(z)v^s\|_{\varrho_0}^{S_0^2(z)} &< \lambda_0^{-1}\|v^s\|_{\varrho_0}^z. \end{aligned}$$

Now using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in \mathbb{C}^3 , we define the metric ϱ_a in $D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$. Modify the choice of $|a|$, so that in appropriate cones $C_z^s(\rho_1, a)$ and $C_z^u(\rho_1, a)$ satisfies

$$(i) \quad DS_a^2(z)(C_z^s(\rho_1, a)) \subset \text{int } C_{S_a^2(z)}^s(\rho_1, a) \text{ and } DS_a^{-2}(z)(C_z^u(\rho_1, a)) \subset \text{int } C_z^u(\rho_1, a).$$

(ii) There exists $\lambda_1 > 1$ such that for every $z \in J_2$

$$\|DS_a^{-2}(z)(v)\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^{-2}(z)} \geq \lambda_1\|v\|_{\varrho_a}^z \text{ for } v \in C_{S_a^2(z)}^s(\rho_1, a)$$

and

$$\|DS_a^2(z)(v)\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^2(z)} \geq \lambda_1\|v\|_{\varrho_a}^z \text{ for } v \in C_z^u(\rho_1, a).$$

Finally appeal to Corollary 6.4.8 in [8], to conclude S_a^2 is hyperbolic on J_2 . Also with similar argument as in proof Theorem 1.2 for \mathbb{C}^3 it follows that the metric ϱ_a is equivalent to the Euclidean metric.

For statement (i) and (iii) the stable and unstable directions will change.

To prove (i) we consider $E_s^z(a) = E_1^z(a) \oplus E_2^z(a)$ and $E_u^z(a) = E_3^z(a)$. The ϱ_0 metric on the tangent space, i.e., for $a = 0$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in E_1^z(0) \oplus E_2^z(0) \oplus E_3^z(0) = T_z(D_{R'} \times V \times W_c)$ is defined as:

$$\|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z = \|v_1\|_{\mathbb{E}}^z + \|v_2\|_{\eta}^z + \|v_3\|_{\mathbb{H}}^z.$$

To prove (iii) we consider $E_s^z(a) = E_1^z(a)$ and $E_u^z(a) = E_2^z(a) \oplus E_3^z(a)$. The ϱ_0 metric on the tangent space, i.e., for $a = 0$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in E_1^z(0) \oplus E_2^z(0) \oplus E_3^z(0) = T_z(D_{R'} \times V \times V)$ is defined as:

$$\|v\|_{\varrho_0}^z = \|v_1\|_{\mathbb{E}}^z + \|v_2\|_{\eta}^z + \|v_3\|_{\eta}^z.$$

Now by arguing similarly as in the proof of statement (ii), the proof is complete. \square

Finally, we proof Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for $k = 3$. For $\nu = 1$, S_a^2 is a regular polynomial automorphism of \mathbb{C}^3 by Proposition 2.1 and S_a is hyperbolic on J_a for sufficiently small $|a|$ by Theorem 1.2. Since U_a^+ is a connected component of the Fatou set, from Theorem 4.2 of [10], it follows that S_a^2 satisfies all the properties (a)–(c). But Fatou set of S_a^2 is same as S_a .

For $\nu = 2$, suppose there exists a wandering domain of S_a where $0 < |a| < A$, A as obtained in Proposition 5.7. Let C be a wandering domain of $\text{int}(K_a^+)$ and $z \in C$. Define the set L_z as follows:

$$L_z = \{w : w \text{ is a limit point of } \{S_a^n(z)\}\}.$$

Recall that V_R denote the polydisc of radius R at the origin, where R is the radius of filtration for S_a . Since $S_a^n(z) \in V_R$ for sufficiently large n , $L_z \subset V_R$ and L_z is non-empty. For any $w \in L_z$, it is easy to see that $S_a(w)$ and $S_a^{-1}(w) \in L_z$, i.e., $S_a(L_z) = L_z = S_a^{-1}(L_z)$. Thus $L_z \subset K_a$. But from Lemma 2.2, it follows that $L_z \subset K_a^+$ and $L_z \subset J_a^-$.

Claim: L_z is disjoint from $\text{int}(K_a^+)$.

Suppose $w \in L_z \cap \text{int}(K_a^+)$ and let C_0 be the component of $\text{int}(K_a^+)$ that contains w . For n , sufficiently large there exists distinct n_1 and n_2 such that $S_a^{n_1}(z)$ and $S_a^{n_2}(z) \in C_0$, i.e., $S_a^{n_1}(C_0) = S_a^{n_2}(C_0)$. This is a contradiction to the fact that z lies in a wandering Fatou component of S_a .

Hence $L_z \in J_a$ and $z \in W^s(J_a)$ where

$$W^s(J_a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \text{dist}(S_a^n(z), J_a) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Now $W_{S_a^2}^s(J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3) \subset W^s(J_a)$ where

$$W_{S_a^2}^s(J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \text{dist}(S_a^{2n}(z), J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$$

and J^1 , J^2 and J^3 is as obtained in Lemma 5.4 and 5.5.

Lemma 5.8. $W^s(J_a) = W_{S_a^2}^s(J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3) \subset J_a^+$.

Proof. Suppose $z \in W^s(J_a) = W_{S_a^2}^s(J_a)$. Then there exists $w_n \in J_a$ such that $\text{dist}(S_a^{2n}(z), w_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $A(\{w_n\})$ the set of limits of the sequence $\{w_n\}$, i.e.,

$$A(\{w_n\}) = \{w : w_{n_k} \rightarrow w\}.$$

Since J_a is closed, $A(\{w_n\}) \subset J_a$. Let $w_0 \in A(\{w_n\})$, then $w_0 \in J_a^i$ for some $i = 1, 2$, or 3 , where J_a^i is as defined in Lemma 5.4.

Case 1: If $w_0 \in J_a^3$, then $\text{dist}(S_a^{2n_k}(z), w_0) \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $z \in W_{S_a^2}^s(J_3)$.

Case 2: If $w_0 \in J_a^1$ then consider J_{a, w_0} as in Lemma 5.5. Let $\tilde{w} \in J_{a, w_0} \subset J_1$, i.e., there exists a subsequence of natural numbers $\{m_l\}$ such that $S_a^{2m_l}(w_0) \rightarrow \tilde{w}$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, then there exists $l_0 \geq 1$ sufficiently large such that $\text{dist}(S_a^{2m_{l_0}}(w_0), \tilde{w}) < \epsilon$. Now $S_a^{2m_{l_0}}$ is uniformly continuous on $V_R \supset J_a$, i.e., there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}(S_a^{2m_{l_0}}(z), S_a^{2m_{l_0}}(w)) < \epsilon \text{ whenever } \text{dist}(z, w) < \delta$$

for $z, w \in V_R$. Now buy assumption there exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{dist}(S_a^{2n_k}(z), w_0) < \delta \text{ for } k \geq k_0$$

i.e.,

$$\text{dist}(S_a^{2n_k + 2m_{l_0}}(z), \tilde{w}) < \epsilon \text{ for } k \geq k_0.$$

Case 3: Similar computation for $w_0 \in J_a^2$.

Note that $A(\{w_n\})$ is a finite set, i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{w \in A(\{w_n\})} J_{a,w} \text{ is also finite.}$$

Hence all possible subsequences of $\{S_a^n(z)\}$ converges either in J_1 , J_2 or J_3 and thus the proof. \square

Thus $z \in W_{S_a^2}^s(J_1 \cup J_2 \cup J_3)$. By Remark 5.3, $S_a^{2n_0}(z)$ either lies in $D_R \times W \times U \cup D_R \times U \times W$ for large enough n_0 .

Suppose $S_a^{2n_0}(z) \in U_1$. Since $L_z \subset J_a$, it follows that $S_a^{2n_0+2n}(z) \in D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$ for every $n \geq 1$. By the proof of Proposition 5.7, for $p \in D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$ there exists cone $C_p \subset T_p$ such that for $v \in C_p$

$$\|DS_a^2(p)v\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^2(p)} \geq \lambda \|v\|_{\varrho_a}^p$$

and $DS_a^2(p)v \in C_{S_a^2(p)}$ if $S_a^2(p) \in D_{R'} \times W_c \times V$. Thus

$$(5.10) \quad \|DS_a^{2n+2n_0}(z)v\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^{2n+2n_0}(z)} \geq \lambda^n \|v\|_{\varrho_a}^{S_a^{2n_0}(z)}.$$

By assumption $S_a^{2n}(z)$ converges uniformly around a neighbourhood of z in the Euclidean norm which means that $S_a^{2n}(z)$ converges uniformly in the metric ϱ_a as well, since the topology induced ϱ_a is equivalent to the topology induced Euclidean norm. But this is not possible from 5.10. Hence, $z \in J_a^+$.

A similar argument works if $S_a^{2n_0}(z) \in U_2$ or $S_a^{2n_0}(z) \in U_3$ such that

$$S_a^{2n+2n_0} \in D_{R'} \times V \times W_c \text{ or } S_a^{2n+2n_0} \in D_{R'} \times V \times V.$$

This proves that $z \in J_a^+$ and hence there does not exist any wandering domain of S_a .

Claim: J_a is the maximal invariant set in $\tilde{U} = D_R \times W \times U \cup D_R \times U \times W$.

Suppose \mathcal{J} be an invariant subset of \tilde{U} , and $z \in \mathcal{J}$. Then for sufficiently large n_0 , $S_a^{2n+n_0}(z) \in U_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Now a similar argument as above, gives that $z \in J_a^+$. Since \mathcal{J} is completely invariant $z \in K_a^- = J_a^-$, i.e., $z \in J_a$. Thus $\mathcal{J} \subset J_a$ and hence the claim.

Now the proof is similar to the Proof of Theorem 5.6 in [3]. However we will revisit the arguments for the sake of completeness.

First we prove that every Fatou component in K_a^+ is a basin of attraction of a periodic point. Let C be a Fatou component in K_a^+ with period m , i.e., $S_a^m(C) = C$. Consider $C' = C \cap V_R$, R sufficiently large such that C' is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^k . Now $C \subset V_R \cup V_R^-$ and $S_a^m(V_R) \subset V_R \cup V_R^+$. Hence $S_a^m(C') \subset C'$. With abuse of notation, let us assume $m = 1$. Since the sequence $\{S_a^n\}$ is normal in C' and the subsequences are uniformly convergent by Theorem 1.1 in [1], it follows that either iterates of the points diverge to the boundary or converge towards a submanifold in C' .

Note that by filtration properties, every point of C eventually lands in C' . If iterates of point in C' diverges to the boundary, it means every point of C diverges to the boundary of C and $\partial C \subset J_a^+$. Thus if L is the set of limit points of S_a in C' , then L is actually the set of limit point for the iterates of S_a in C . Hence Theorem 1.1 in [1] assures that $L \subset J_a^+$. Also, as $S_a^{-1}(C) = C$ it follows that $S_a^{-1}(L) = L$. Thus $L \subset J_a$ and $C \subset W^s(J_a) \subset J_a^+$, which is not possible.

So there exists a complex connected submanifold $M \in C' \subset C$ such that $\{S_a^n\}$ converge to M and $S_a(M) = M$. If dimension $M \geq 1$ then M cannot be compact in C , i.e., $\partial M \subset \partial C \subset J_a$. Further Theorem 1.1 from [1], says that $S_a|_M \in \text{Aut}(M)$, i.e., S_a is an isometry on M with respect to the Kobayashi metric on C' .

Let $\tilde{M} = M \setminus \tilde{U} \subset C'$, where \tilde{U} is the open set in which J_a is maximal. Clearly \tilde{M} is compact in C' . Now since S_a is an isometry and orbit of any point in the interior of C' does not diverge to the boundary, the set

$$Q = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_a^n(\tilde{M})}$$

is a compact set in C' . Thus there exists $p \in M \setminus Q \subset C'$ such that $S_a^n(p) \in \tilde{U}$ for every $n \geq 0$. Since $p \notin J_a$ and J_a is the maximal invariant set in \tilde{U} this is not possible. Hence the dimension of M is zero, i.e., M is a single point. This proves (b).

To prove (c), suppose there are infinitely many attracting periodic points, say $\{p_i\}$. Then $\{p_i\} \in V_R$ (where R is the radius of filtration for $|a| < 1$). Consider L to be the set of limit points of the sequence $\{p_i\}$. By repeating similar argument as in the proof of part (a), one can prove that $L \subset J_a$. This means there exists p_{i_0} such that the orbit of $p_{i_0} \in \tilde{U}$. But this contradicts the fact that J_a is the maximal invariant set in \tilde{U} . Thus the proof. \square

Example 5.9. Let $p(z) = z^2$ and

$$S_a^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_3, az_1 + z_2^2, az_2 + z_3^2) \text{ and } S_0(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2, z_3, z_2^2).$$

Let $\Gamma_2 = (z_1, z_2, z_1^2)$, i.e., graph of the function $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\psi(z_1, z_2) = z_1^2$. Hence the map $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ defined as $\phi(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2, \psi(z_1, z_2))$ is a biholomorphism. Also

$$S_0^2(\Gamma_2) = \Gamma_2, \text{ i.e., } \phi \circ p_2 \circ \phi^{-1}(\Gamma_2) = \Gamma_2$$

where $p_2(z_1, z_2) = (p(z_1), p(z_2)) = (z_1^2, z_2^2)$. Note that the Julia set for p_2 in \mathbb{C}^2 is

$$J_{p_2} = \mathbb{D} \times S^1 \cup S^1 \times \mathbb{D}$$

where \mathbb{D} is the unit disc and S^1 is the unit circle in \mathbb{C} . Hence $J_0 = \phi(J_{p_2})$. Now from definition of the subset J_i^0 , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ as in Lemma 5.5, they are as follows:

$$J_1^0 = \phi(\{0\} \times S^1), \quad J_2^0 = \phi(S^1 \times \{0\}) \text{ and } J_3^0 = \phi(S^1 \times S^1).$$

6. SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 AND 1.3

For any $1 \leq \nu \leq k-1$, recall that the map S_a^ν is of the form

$$S_a^\nu(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (z_{\nu+1}, \dots, z_k, az_1 + p(z_{k-\nu+1}), \dots, az_\nu + p(z_k)).$$

Let D_R be the open disc of radius R in \mathbb{C} , where R is the filtration radius for S_a , $0 < |a| < 1$. Recall from the previous section $\mathbb{C} = U_0 \cup U_c \cup U_\infty$ where we can consider $U_0 = \tilde{U}$, U_c and U_∞ to be closed subsets of \mathbb{C} . Let U_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} denote the following sets for $i_j = 0, c$ or ∞ and $1 \leq j \leq \nu$

$$U_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = \overline{D_R}^{k-\nu} \times U_{i_1} \times \dots \times U_{i_\nu}.$$

Lemma 6.1. *If $|a|$ is sufficiently small then*

$$J_a \subset \bigcup_{i_j=0,c} U_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} \setminus U_{c,c, \dots, c}$$

Proof. We will prove this by contradiction. Let $z \in J_a$ such that $z_{i_0} \in U_\infty$ for some $k - \nu + 1 \leq i_0 \leq k$. Then $\pi_{i_0} S_a^{n\nu}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., z lies in the Fatou set of S_a , which is not possible. Thus we prove that

$$J_a \subset \bigcup_{i_j=0,c} U_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu}.$$

Suppose $\pi_i(z) \in U_c$ for every $k - \nu + 1 \leq i \leq k$, then there exists a neighbourhood of z such that S_a^n is bounded. Hence z lies in Fatou set of S_a . Thus the proof. \square

For every U_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} let

$$\mathcal{N}_1^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = \{j : i_j = c, 1 \leq j \leq \nu\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = \{j : i_j = 0, 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}.$$

Thus from Lemma 6.1 if $J_a \cap U_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} \neq \emptyset$ the

$$\#\mathcal{N}_1^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} + \#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = \nu \text{ and } \#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} \geq 1.$$

Also let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu) : i_j = 0 \text{ or } c \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. For $(i_1, \dots, i_\nu) \in \mathcal{M}$ let

$$J_a^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = J_a \cap U_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu}.$$

(i) For $\#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = 1$ let

$$J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_a^{-\nu n}(J_a^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu})}$$

and

$$J_1 = \bigcup_{\#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = 1} J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}.$$

Then $J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$ is completely invariant under S_a^ν .

(ii) For $\#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = m$ such that $2 \leq m \leq \nu$ let

$$J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_a^{-\nu n}(J_a^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu})} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} J_i$$

and

$$J_m = \bigcup_{\#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = m} J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}.$$

Then $J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$ is completely invariant under S_a^ν .

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 5.4.

Case 1: Let $z \in J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$ such that $\#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = m = 1$. Let $\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = \{j_0\}$. Then $\pi_{k-\nu+j_0}(z) \in U_0$ and $\pi_{k-\nu+j}(z) \in U_c$ for every $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq \nu$, i.e.,

$$\pi_{k-\nu+j} S_a^\nu(z) = az_j + p(z_{k-\nu+j}) \in U_c \text{ for } j \neq j_0$$

and

$$\pi_{k-\nu+j_0} S_a^\nu(z) = az_{j_0} + p(z_{k-\nu+j_0}) \in U_c \cup U_0.$$

But by Lemma 6.1, $\pi_{k-\nu+j_0} S_a^\nu(z) \in U_0$. Hence $S_a^\nu(J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}) \subset J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$. Also $S_a^{-\nu}(J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}) \subset J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$ is true from the definition of $J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$. Thus the proof.

Case 2: Let $z \in J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$ such that $\#\mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = m \geq 2$. Assume the statement is true for all i , $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Then $\pi_{k-\nu+j}(z) \in U_0$ for $j \in \mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu}$ and $\pi_{k-\nu+j}(z) \in U_c$ for every $j \in \mathcal{N}_1^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu}$, i.e.,

$$\pi_{k-\nu+j} S_a^\nu(z) = az_j + p(z_{k-\nu+j}) \in U_c \text{ for } j \in \mathcal{N}_1^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu}$$

and

$$\pi_{k-\nu+j} S_a^\nu(z) = az_j + p(z_{k-\nu+j}) \in U_c \cup U_0 \text{ for } j \in \mathcal{N}_2^{i_1, \dots, i_\nu}.$$

But if $\pi_{k-\nu+j} S_a^\nu(z) \in U_c$ then $z \in J_{m-1}$, which is not possible. Hence $S_a^\nu(J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}) \subset J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$. Also $S_a^{-\nu}(J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}) \subset J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}$ since each J_i , $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ is completely invariant under S_a^ν . Thus the proof. \square

For $z \in J_a$, let $L(z)$ denote the set of limit points of $\{S_a^{n\nu}(z)\}$, i.e.,

$$L(z) = \{w : w \text{ is a limit point of } S_a^{n\nu}(z)\}.$$

Now for $i_j = 0$ or c whenever $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ we define the sets $J_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\nu}$ as follows:

$$J_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\nu} = \overline{\bigcup_{z \in J_a^{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu)}} L(z)}.$$

Lemma 6.3. J_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} is a closed compact subset of U_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} which is completely invariant under S_a^ν .

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 5.4. \square

Let V_c be an open set in \mathbb{C} relatively compact in the Fatou components of p and containing the set U_c , V_0 a slightly bigger neighbourhood of U_0 , which admits the hyperbolic metric ρ . Also let $R' > R$ and $D_{R'}$ be the open disc of radius R' . As before we consider the sets

$$V_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = D_{R'}^{k-\nu} \times V_{i_1} \times \dots \times V_{i_\nu}$$

where $i_j = 0, c$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. Then U_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} is a compact subset of V_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} .

Note that $J_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\nu}$ may be empty. We will ignore such situations, i.e., let

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_\nu) : J_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\nu} \neq \emptyset \text{ where } i_j = 0 \text{ or } c \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}.$$

For $a = 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^k$ observe that

$$(6.1) \quad DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{k-\nu \times k-\nu} & [*]_{\nu \times k-\nu} \\ 0_{\nu \times k-\nu} & A_{\nu \times \nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is a diagonal matrix given by $A = \text{Diag}(p^{k-\nu}(z_{k-\nu+1}), \dots, p^{k-\nu}(z_k))$, i.e.,

$$(6.2) \quad \det(DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z) - \lambda \text{Id}) = \lambda^{k-\nu} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} (p^{k-\nu}(z_{k-\nu+i}) - \lambda).$$

Let $\lambda_i^z(a)$ denote the eigenvalues of $DS_a^\nu(z)$, i.e.,

$$\lambda_i^z(0) = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu \text{ and } \lambda_i^z(0) = (p^{k-\nu})'(z_i) \text{ for } k - \nu + 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Also let

$$E_0^z(a) = \text{the eigenspace of the eigenvalues } \lambda_i^z(a) \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu$$

and for every $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ let,

$$E_j^z(a) = \text{the eigenspace of the eigenvalues } \lambda_{k-\nu+j}^z(a).$$

Lemma 6.4. $E_j^z(0)$ is an invariant under $DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)$ for every $0 \leq j \leq \nu$.

Proof. Note that

$$E_0^z(0) = \text{Span}\{\underbrace{(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)}_{i\text{-th position}} : 1 \leq i \leq k - \nu\}$$

and $DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)(E_0^z(0)) = \{0\}$. Hence $E_0^z(0)$ is invariant under $S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}$.

Case 1: For $\nu = 1$ or $k - \nu = 1$

$$S_0^{k-1}(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (z_k, p(z_k), \dots, p^{k-1}(z_k))$$

$$\text{or } S_0^{k-1}(z_1, \dots, z_k) = (z_k, p(z_2), \dots, p(z_k))$$

respectively.

Case 2: For $2 \leq \nu \leq k - 2$ and $k \geq 4$, note that $\nu(k - \nu) \geq k$. Let $m \geq 1$ be the largest integer such that $k = m\nu + r$ where $0 \leq r < \nu$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq r$

$$\pi_i \circ S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z) = p^{k-\nu-m}(z_{k-r+i})$$

and $r + (j - 1)\nu + 1 \leq i \leq j\nu + r$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$\pi_i \circ S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z) = p^{k-\nu-m+j}(z_{k-\nu+[i-r]})$$

where $[i - r] = (i - r) \bmod \nu$.

Thus each coordinate of $S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}$ is a function of the last ν -coordinates. Observe that, for $\nu - r + 1 \leq i \leq \nu$, $E_i^z(0) = \text{span}\{v\}$ where

$$v = \begin{cases} \pi_{i-\nu+r+j\nu}(v) = (p^{k-\nu-m+j})'(z_{k-\nu+i}) & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m \\ \pi_l(v) = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq \nu - r$, $E_i^z(0) = \text{span}\{v\}$ where

$$v = \begin{cases} \pi_{j\nu+i+r}(v) = (p^{k-\nu-m+1+j})'(z_{k-\nu+i}) & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq m \\ \pi_l(v) = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence, $DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(E_i^z(0)) \subset E_i^{S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)}(0)$. \square

Proposition 6.5. *For every $x \in \mathcal{I}$, V_x admits a Riemannian metric (equivalent to the hyperbolic metric) such that J_x is hyperbolic set for $S_a^{\nu(k-\nu)}$ for sufficiently small choice of $|a|$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 5.7. We will outline the main steps.

Step 1: For $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, there exists an identification $E_j^z(0)$ with the tangent space $z_{k-\nu+j}$, i.e., $\phi_j^z(E_j^z(0)) = T_{z_{k-\nu+j}}\mathbb{C}$ such that if $v \in E_j^z(0)$

$$\phi_j^{S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)}(DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)v) = (p^{k-\nu})'(z_{k-\nu+j})\phi_j(v) \in T_{p^{k-\nu}(z_{k-\nu+j})}\mathbb{C}.$$

Step 2: Now $z \in V_x$ where $x \in \mathcal{I}$. Note that x is a ν -tuple of symbols, i.e., $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\nu)$. Let $\mathcal{J}_1 = \{i : x_i = c\}$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 = \{i : x_i = 0\}$ i.e., $z_{k-\nu+i} \in V_c$ if $i \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $z_{k-\nu+i} \in V_0$ if $i \in \mathcal{J}_2$. Recall that in V_c the action of p is strictly contracting with respect to the standard hyperbolic metric (i.e., \mathbb{H}) and in V_0 the action of p is strictly increasing with respect to the hyperbolic metric ρ .

Let $v \in T_z V_x = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\nu} E_j^z(0)$ for $z \in V_x$, then $v = (v_0, \dots, v_\nu)$ where $v_j \in E_j^z(0)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \nu$. Define the metric on V_x as

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^z = \|v_0\|_{\mathbb{E}}^z + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_1} \|\phi_j^z(v_j)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{z_{k-\nu+j}} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_2} \|\phi_j^z(v_j)\|_{\rho}^{z_{k-\nu+j}}.$$

Step 3: Let $E_s^z(a) = E_0^z(a) \oplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}_1} E_j^z(a)$ and $E_u^z(a) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}_2} E_j^z(a)$. From invariance of $E_s^z(0)$ and $E_u^z(0)$ it follows that there exists $\lambda_0 > 1$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)v^u\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)} &> \lambda_0 \|v^u\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^z \\ \|DS_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)v^s\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^{S_0^{\nu(k-\nu)}(z)} &< \lambda_0^{-1} \|v^s\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}^z. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Now as in the proof of Proposition 5.7, the choice of a can be modified, i.e., there exists $A > 0$ such that for $0 < |a| < A$, the action of $S_a^{\nu(k-\nu)}$ is hyperbolic on J_x for every $x \in \mathcal{I}$. \square

Remark 6.6. Note that \mathcal{J}_1 may be empty, but \mathcal{J}_2 is always non-empty.

Remark 6.7. When $\nu = 1$, $J_a \subset \overline{D_R}^{k-1} \times U_0 \subset D_{R'}^{k-1} \times V$. From the invariance of J_a and the Riemannian metric on $D_{R'}^{k-1} \times V$, it follows that the action of S_a^{k-1} is hyperbolic on J_a . Thus Theorem 1.2 is true.

Remark 6.8. Observe that $\mathbb{C} = U_0 \cup U_c \cup U_\infty$ where we can consider $U_0 = U$, U_c and U_∞ . If W_{i_1, \dots, i_ν} denote the following sets for $i_j = 0, c$ or ∞ and $1 \leq j \leq \nu$

$$W_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} = D_R^{k-\nu} \times U_{i_1} \times \dots \times U_{i_\nu}.$$

Now from the proof of Lemma 6.1, if $|a|$ is sufficiently small,

$$J_a \subset \bigcup_{i_j=0,c} W_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} \setminus W_{c,c, \dots, c}.$$

Since U is a open subset of \mathbb{C} the set

$$V = \bigcup_{i_j=0,c} W_{i_1, \dots, i_\nu} \setminus W_{c,c, \dots, c}$$

is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^k (by similar arguments as in Remark 5.3) and J_a is maximal on V .

Finally Theorem 1.3 follows by exactly same arguments as in the case $k = 3$.

REFERENCES

1. Eric Bedford, *On the automorphism group of a Stein manifold*, Math. Ann. **266** (1983), no. 2, 215–227. MR 724738
2. Eric Bedford and Victoria Pambuccian, *Dynamics of shift-like polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^N* , Conform. Geom. Dyn. **2** (1998), 45–55 (electronic). MR 1624646 (99e:32042)
3. Eric Bedford and John Smillie, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 : currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), no. 1, 69–99. MR 1079840 (92a:32035)
4. ———, *Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . III. Ergodicity, exponents and entropy of the equilibrium measure*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), no. 3, 395–420. MR 1188127 (93k:32062)
5. Eric Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The complex equilibrium measure of a symmetric convex set in \mathbb{R}^n* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), no. 2, 705–717. MR 825731
6. Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, Universite de Grenoble, 2007.
7. John H. Hubbard and Ralph W. Oberste-Vorth, *Hénon mappings in the complex domain. II. Projective and inductive limits of polynomials*, Real and complex dynamical systems (Hillerød, 1993), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 464, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 89–132. MR 1351520
8. Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1326374
9. Curtis T. McMullen, *Complex dynamics and renormalization*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 135, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. MR 1312365
10. Rasul Shafikov and Christian Wolf, *Filtrations, hyperbolicity, and dimension for polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^n* , Michigan Math. J. **51** (2003), no. 3, 631–649. MR 2021012
11. Peter Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. MR 648108

SAYANI BERA: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIVEKANANDA EDUCATIONAL AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE, 711202, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

E-mail address: sayanibera2016@gmail.com