

# Convergence rates in the law of large numbers and new kinds of convergence of random variables

Ze-Chun Hu<sup>a</sup> and Wei Sun<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Mathematics, Sichuan University, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, Canada

June 18, 2018

**Abstract** In this paper, we first study convergence rates in the law of large numbers for independent and identically distributed random variables. We obtain a strong  $L^p$ -convergence version and a strongly almost sure convergence version of the law of large numbers. Second, we investigate several new kinds of convergence of random variables and discuss their relations and properties.

**Keywords** Law of large numbers, strongly almost sure convergence, strong convergence in distribution, strong  $L^p$ -convergence.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 60F15, 60F25

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Convergence rates in the law of large numbers</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Strong $L^p$ -convergence version of the law of large numbers . . . . .	5
2.2	Strongly almost sure convergence version of the law of large numbers . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Relations among several kinds of convergence</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Main results . . . . .	10
3.2	Proofs . . . . .	11

# 1 Introduction

It is well known that limit theorems play an important role in the probability theory and statistics. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. We have different kinds of convergence:

- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to almost surely converge to  $X$ , if there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $P(N) = 0$  and  $\forall \omega \in \Omega \setminus N, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(\omega) = X(\omega)$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  or  $X_n \rightarrow X$  *a.s.*.
- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to converge to  $X$  in probability, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ .
- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to  $L^p$ -converge to  $X$  ( $p > 0$ ) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[|X_n - X|^p] = 0$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ .
- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to  $L^\infty$ -converge to  $X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|X_n - X\|_\infty = 0$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^\infty} X$ .
- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to converge to  $X$  in distribution, if for any bounded continuous function  $f, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[f(X_n)] = E[f(X)]$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ .
- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to completely converge to  $X$ , if for any  $\varepsilon > 0, \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(\{|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \infty$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X$  (see [13]).
- $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to S- $L^p$  converge to  $X$  ( $p > 0$ ) if  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty E[|X_n - X|^p] < \infty$ , which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} X$  (see [17, Definition 1.4]).

The relations among the different kinds of convergence can be described as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{P} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & X_n \xrightarrow{L^\infty} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X & & 
 \end{array}$$

and

- if  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{X_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{X_n\}$  such that  $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ;

- if  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} C$ , where  $C$  is a constant, then  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} C$ ;
- if  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then by Skorokhod's theorem, there exists a sequence of random variables  $\{Y, Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  such that for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  have the same distribution,  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same distribution, and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$ .

In virtue of the relation between convergence in probability and complete convergence, the relation between  $L^p$  convergence and S- $L^p$  convergence, we introduce two new kinds of convergence of random variables, which are stronger versions of a.s. convergence and  $L^\infty$  convergence, respectively.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\alpha > 0$ .  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to strongly almost surely converge to  $X$  with order  $\alpha$ , if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n - X|^\alpha < \infty \text{ a.s.},$$

which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_\alpha\text{-a.s.}} X$ .

**Definition 1.2**  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to strongly  $L^\infty$ -converge to  $X$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|X_n - X\|_\infty < \infty,$$

which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{S\text{-}L^\infty} X$ .

We now introduce two new kinds of convergence which are stronger versions of convergence in distribution.

**Definition 1.3**  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to  $S_1$ -d converge to  $X$ , if for any bounded Lipschitz continuous function  $f$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E[f(X_n) - f(X)]| < \infty,$$

which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_1\text{-}d} X$ .

**Definition 1.4** Let  $F_n$  and  $F$  be the distribution functions of  $X_n$  and  $X$ , respectively.  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is said to  $S_2$ -d converge to  $X$ , if for any continuous point  $x$  of  $F$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_n(x) - F(x)| < \infty,$$

which is denoted by  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2\text{-}d} X$ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the law of large numbers for independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables. In particular, we obtain a strong  $L^p$ -convergence version and a strongly almost sure convergence version of the law of large numbers. In Section 3, we discuss the relations among several kinds of convergence. In Section 4, we present some open questions for further research.

## 2 Convergence rates in the law of large numbers

Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Define  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hsu and Robbins ([13]) proved that if  $E[X^2] < \infty$  and  $E[X] = \mu$ , then  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{c.c.} \mu$ . Erdős ([8]) proved the converse result. Baum and Katz ([1]) extended the Hsu-Robbins-Erdős theorem. Below is a special case of the Baum-Katz theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (*Baum and Katz [1]*). *Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with partial sum  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the condition  $E|X|^\alpha < \infty$  and  $EX = 0$  is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha-2} P(|S_n| > n\epsilon) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Lanzinger ([16]), Gut and Stadtmüller ([12]), Chen and Sung ([4]) extended the results of Baum and Katz.

Chow ([6]) first investigated the complete moment convergence and obtained the following result. Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $p \leq \alpha$  and  $p < 2$ . Suppose that  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E[X] = 0$ . If  $E[|X|^\alpha + |X| \log^+ |X|] < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\alpha}{p} - \frac{1}{p} - 2} E \left[ \left( |S_n| - \epsilon n^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^+ \right] < \infty \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0,$$

where  $x^+ = \max\{0, x\}$ .

Chow's result has been generalized in various directions. Wang and Su ([25]), Wang et al. ([27]), Chen ([2]), Guo and Xu ([11]), Rosalsky et al. ([24]), Ye and Zhu ([28]), and Qiu et al. ([22]) studied the complete moment convergence for sums of Banach space valued random elements. Li and Zhang ([19]), Chen et al. ([3]), Kim et al. ([15]), and Zhou ([31]) considered the complete moment convergence for moving average processes. Jiang and Zhang ([14]), Li ([18]), Liu and Lin ([21]), Ye et al. ([29]), Fu and Zhang ([9]), Zhao and Tao ([30]), and Chen and Zhang ([5]) studied precise asymptotics for the complete moment convergence. Wang and Zhao ([26]), Liang et al. ([20]), and Guo ([10]) considered the complete moment convergence for negatively associated random variables. Qiu and Chen ([22]) studied the complete moment convergence for i.i.d. random variables and extended two results in Gut and Stadtmüller ([12]) to the complete moment convergence.

In the following of this section, we study convergence rates in the law of large numbers for i.i.d. random variables. In particular, we obtain a strong  $L^p$ -convergence version and a strongly almost sure convergence version of the law of large numbers.

## 2.1 Strong $L^p$ -convergence version of the law of large numbers

Let  $\{Y, Y_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables and  $p > 0$ . We have

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} Y \Rightarrow Y_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} Y. \quad (2.1)$$

In this subsection, we consider the following question:

*Does it hold that  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S-L^p} \mu$  for some  $p > 0$  under some condition?*

By the Hsu-Robbins-Erdős theorem and (2.1), we know that the condition  $E[X^2] < \infty$  is needed in order that  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S-L^p} \mu$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (1) *If  $E[X^2] < \infty$  and  $X \neq \mu$  a.s., then  $\frac{S_n}{n} \not\xrightarrow{S-L^p} \mu$  for any  $0 < p \leq 2$ .*

(2) *If  $\alpha > 2$  and  $E[|X|^\alpha] < \infty$ , then  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S-L^p} \mu$  for any  $2 < p \leq \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** We assume without loss of generality that  $\mu = 0$  and  $E[X^2] = 1$ .

(1) We have

$$E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^p \right] = \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^p \right].$$

Denote the distribution function of  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  by  $F_n$ . Let  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $|f(x)| = |x|^p$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $|f(x)| \leq |x|^p$  for  $|x| > 1$ . Then, by the central limit theorem, we have

$$E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^p \right] \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dF_n(x) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx > 0.$$

Define

$$c = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx.$$

Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^p \right] \geq \frac{c}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^p \right] \geq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^p \right] \geq \frac{c}{2} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} = \infty.$$

(2) If  $Y$  is a random variable, we denote  $\|Y\|_{L^r} := (E[|Y|^r])^{1/r}$  for  $r \geq 1$ . By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[|S_n|^\alpha] &\leq cE[(X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2)^{\alpha/2}] \\
&= c\|X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2\|_{L^{\alpha/2}}^{\alpha/2} \\
&\leq c(\|X_1^2\|_{L^{\alpha/2}} + \cdots + \|X_n^2\|_{L^{\alpha/2}})^{\alpha/2} \\
&= cn^{\alpha/2}E[|X|^\alpha],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant, which is independent of  $n$ . Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right|^\alpha\right] \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\alpha/2} E[|X|^\alpha] < \infty.$$

For  $2 < p < \alpha$ , we obtain by (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right|^p\right] &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} (E[|S_n|^\alpha])^{p/\alpha} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} (cn^{\alpha/2} E[|X|^\alpha])^{p/\alpha} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} (cE[|X|^\alpha])^{p/\alpha} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In [6], Chow also obtained the following result. Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E[X] = 0$ . Suppose that  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . If  $E[|X|^\alpha \log^+ |X|] < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} E[|S_n|^\alpha] < \infty.$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2 and its proof, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.3** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 2$ ,  $E[|X|^\alpha] < \infty$  and  $E[X] = 0$ . Then, for any  $2 < p \leq \alpha$  and  $\beta > (p+2)/2$ , we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta} E[|S_n|^p] < \infty.$$

**Corollary 2.4** *Suppose that  $X \not\equiv \mu$  a.s. and  $E[|X|^\alpha] < \infty$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S-LP} \mu$  if and only if  $p > 2$ .*

## 2.2 Strongly almost sure convergence version of the law of large numbers

In this subsection, we consider the following question:

*Does it hold that  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_\alpha\text{-a.s.}} \mu$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  under some condition?*

**Theorem 2.5** (1) *If  $E[X^4] < \infty$  and  $X \not\equiv \mu$  a.s., then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right|^\alpha = \infty$  a.s. for any  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ .*

(2) *If  $E[|X|^2] < \infty$ , then for any  $\alpha > 2$  we have*

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_\alpha\text{-a.s.}} \mu.$$

**Proof.** We assume without loss of generality that  $\mu = 0$  and  $E[X^2] = 1$ .

(1) For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$E \left[ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n^2} E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j \right] = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Define

$$e_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}, \quad W_N = e_N + 2 \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j}{n^2},$$

and

$$R_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^2 = W_N + R_N. \tag{2.3}$$

For  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $M < N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(R_N - R_M)^2] \\ = & E \left[ \left( \sum_{n=M+1}^N \frac{(X_1^2 - 1) + \cdots + (X_n^2 - 1)}{n^2} \right)^2 \right] \\ = & \sum_{n=M+1}^N \frac{E[(X^2 - 1)^2]}{n^3} + 2 \sum_{M+1 \leq k < l \leq N} \frac{E[(\sum_{i=1}^k (X_i^2 - 1))(\sum_{j=1}^l (X_j^2 - 1))]}{k^2 l^2} \\ = & \sum_{n=M+1}^N \frac{E[(X^2 - 1)^2]}{n^3} + 2 \sum_{M+1 \leq k < l \leq N} \frac{E[(X^2 - 1)^2]}{kl^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (E[(X^2 - 1)^2]) \left\{ \sum_{n=M+1}^N \frac{1}{n^3} + 2 \sum_{l=M+1}^N \frac{e_l}{l^2} \right\}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $\{R_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2$ , which implies that  $\{R_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$  converges to some  $R \in L^2$  in probability.

Suppose that  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_2\text{-a.s.}} 0$ . Dividing both sides of (2.3) by  $e_N$  and letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$1 + \frac{2}{e_N} \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{e_N} \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j}{n^2} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{in probability as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{e_N} \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j}{n^2} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(e_N)^2} \left( \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{E \left[ (\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j)^2 \right]}{n^4} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \sum_{2 \leq k < l \leq N} \frac{1}{k^2 l^2} E \left[ \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} X_i X_j \right) \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} X_i X_j \right) \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(e_N)^2} \left( \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{n-1}{2n^3} + \sum_{2 \leq k < l \leq N} \frac{k-1}{kl^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(e_N)^2} \left( \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{1}{n^2} + e_N \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \frac{1}{e_N} \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j}{n^2} \right] = -\frac{1}{2},$$

which contradicts with

$$E \left[ \frac{1}{e_N} \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_i X_j}{n^2} \right] = 0, \quad \forall N \geq 2.$$



Then,  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_2^{-a.s.}} 0$ . Therefore, we obtain by the Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^2 = \infty$  a.s..

By the strong law of large numbers, there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfying  $P(N) = 0$  and for any  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ , there exists  $M(\omega) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \geq M(\omega)$ ,

$$\frac{|S_n(\omega)|}{n} < 1.$$

It follows that for any  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ ,

$$\sum_{n=M(\omega)}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{n=M(\omega)}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} \right|^{\alpha}.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^2 = \infty$  a.s. implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^{\alpha} = \infty$  a.s. for any  $0 < \alpha < 2$ .

(2) By the Hartman-Wintner law of iterated logarithm, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Then, there exists a set  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfying  $P(N) = 0$  and for any  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ , there exists  $M(\omega) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \geq M(\omega)$ ,

$$\frac{|S_n(\omega)|}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} < 2.$$

It follows that for any  $\alpha > 2$  and  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{n} \right|^{\alpha} < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha}^{-a.s.}} 0$ . □

**Remark 2.6** *By analogues of the Hartman-Wintner law of iterated logarithm in the infinite variance case, we can show that  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha}^{-a.s.}} \mu$  for any  $\alpha > 2$  under weaker conditions. Define  $L(x) = \log \max\{e, x\}$  and  $LL(x) = L(Lx)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Einmahl and Li [7, Corollaries 1 and 2], we have  $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha}^{-a.s.}} \mu$  for any  $\alpha > 2$  if one of the following conditions is fulfilled.*

(i) For some  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$E \left[ \frac{(X - \mu)^2}{(LL(|X - \mu|))^p} \right] < \infty, \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (LL(x))^{1-p} E[(X - \mu)^2 1_{\{|X - \mu| \leq x\}}] < \infty.$$

(ii) For some  $r > 0$ ,

$$E \left[ \frac{(X - \mu)^2}{(L(|X - \mu|))^r} \right] < \infty, \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{LL(x)}{(L(x))^r} E[(X - \mu)^2 1_{\{|X - \mu| \leq x\}}] < \infty.$$

### 3 Relations among several kinds of convergence

#### 3.1 Main results

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Then*

- (i) *For  $p \geq 1$ , we have  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^\infty} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} X$ ;*
- (ii) *For  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^\alpha} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S_\alpha^{-a.s.}} X$ ;*
- (iii) *For any  $\alpha \geq 1$ , we have  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^\infty} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S_\alpha^{-a.s.}} X$ .*

It is well known that  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  if and only if for any subsequence  $\{X_{n'}\}$  of  $\{X_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{X_{n'_k}\}$  of  $\{X_{n'}\}$  such that  $X_{n'_k} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ . In the following, we strengthen this result to the strongly almost sure convergence.

**Theorem 3.2**  *$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  if and only if for any subsequence  $\{X_{n'}\}$  of  $\{X_n\}$  and some (hence all)  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{X_{n'_k}\}$  of  $\{X_{n'}\}$  such that  $X_{n'_k} \xrightarrow{S_\alpha^{-a.s.}} X$ .*

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3** *If  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X$ , or  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ , or  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^\infty} X$ , or  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ , then for any  $\alpha > 0$ , there is a subsequence  $\{X_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{X_n\}$  such that  $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{S_\alpha^{-a.s.}} X$ .*

**Proposition 3.4**  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S_1^{-d}} X$ .

Now we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & X_n \xrightarrow{S_1^{-d}} X & \Rightarrow & & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \\
 & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
 X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^\infty} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{S_1^{-a.s.}} X & & \uparrow \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & & & X_n \xrightarrow{L^\infty} X & \Rightarrow & X_n \xrightarrow{L^1} X
 \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $C$  be a constant. Then  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2^{-d}} C \Leftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} C$ .*

If  $C$  is a constant and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{S_2^{-d}} C$ , then by Theorem 3.5 we know that  $Y_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} C$ . In the following Slutsky-type theorem, we need a stronger condition than  $Y_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} C$ .

**Proposition 3.6** Suppose that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} C$ . Then

(i)  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X + C$ ;

(ii) if  $\{X_n\}$  is a sequence of bounded random variables, then  $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} C X$ ;

(iii) if  $\{X_n\}$  and  $\{1/Y_n\}$  are two sequences of bounded random variables and  $C \neq 0$ , then  $\frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{S_1-d} \frac{X}{C}$ .

**Proposition 3.7** Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables and  $\{F, F_n, n \geq 1\}$  be the corresponding sequence of distribution functions. Then  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} X$  if one of the following conditions is fulfilled.

(1)  $X$  is a discrete random variable such that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : P(X = x) = 0\}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X$ .

(2)  $X$  has a bounded density function and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{n(\log n)^{1+\beta} |X_n - X| \geq \delta\} < \infty$  for two positive constants  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ .

## 3.2 Proofs

### Proof of Proposition 3.1.

(i) If  $\|X_n - X\|_{\infty} < 1$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we have

$$E[|X_n - X|^p] \leq \|X_n - X\|_{\infty}^p \leq \|X_n - X\|_{\infty},$$

which together with the definitions of  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^{\infty}} X$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} X$  implies that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^{\infty}} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} X$ .

(ii) Let  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^{\alpha}} X$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[|X_n - X|^{\alpha}] < \infty$ . By the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[|X_n - X|^{\alpha}] = E \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n - X|^{\alpha} \right].$$

It follows that  $E[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n - X|^{\alpha}] < \infty$  and thus  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n - X|^{\alpha} < \infty$  a.s., i.e.,  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha-a.s.}} X$ .

(iii) It is a direct consequence of (i) and (ii). □

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** The sufficiency is obvious. We only prove the necessity. Suppose that  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  and  $\{X_{n'}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{X_n\}$ . Then  $X_{n'} \xrightarrow{P} X$ . Thus, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ |X_{n'} - X|^{\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \right\} = 0.$$

It follows that there exists a sequence  $\{X_{n'_k}\}$  of  $\{X_{n'}\}$  such that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P \left\{ |X_{n'_k} - X|^{\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{k^2},$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P \left\{ |X_{n'_k} - X|^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \right\} < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we get

$$P \left( \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\{ |X_{n'_k} - X|^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{k^2} \right\} \right) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$P \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\{ |X_{n'_k} - X|^\alpha < \frac{1}{k^2} \right\} \right) = 1.$$

Therefore,  $X_{n'_k} \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a}, s}} X$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3.4.** Suppose that  $f$  is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function. Then there a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$|E[f(X_n) - f(X)]| \leq E[|f(X_n) - f(X)|] \leq CE[|X_n - X|],$$

which together with the definitions of  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} X$  and  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X$  implies that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.5.**

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} &= 1 - P\{X_n < C + \epsilon\} + P\{X_n \leq C - \epsilon\} \\ &\leq 1 - F_n\left(C + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + F_n(C - \epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} C$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| F_n\left(C + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) - 1 \right| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_n(C - \epsilon) - 0| < \infty,$$

which together with (3.1) implies that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} < \infty.$$

Hence  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} C$ .

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Let  $X \equiv C$  and denote by  $F$  the distribution of  $X$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$F(x - \epsilon) - P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\} \leq F_n(x) \leq P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\} + F(x + \epsilon). \quad (3.2)$$

If  $x > C$ , set  $\epsilon = (x - C)/2$ . By (3.2), we have

$$1 - P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} \leq F_n(x) \leq P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} + 1,$$

i.e.,

$$-P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} \leq F_n(x) - 1 \leq P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\}. \quad (3.3)$$

If  $x < C$ , set  $\epsilon = (C - x)/2$ . By (3.2), we have

$$0 - P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} \leq F_n(x) \leq P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} + 0,$$

i.e.,

$$-P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\} \leq F_n(x) - 0 \leq P\{|X_n - C| \geq \epsilon\}. \quad (3.4)$$

By  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} C$ , (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain that for any  $x \neq C$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_n(x) - F(x)| < \infty.$$

Hence  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} C$ . □

**Proof of Proposition 3.6.** Suppose that  $f$  is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function. Then there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |E[f(X_n + Y_n)] - E[f(X + C)]| \\ & \leq |E[f(X_n + Y_n)] - E[f(X_n + C)]| + |E[f(X_n + C)] - E[f(X + C)]| \\ & \leq KE[|Y_n - C|] + |E[f(X_n + C)] - E[f(X + C)]|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By the assumption that  $Y_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} C$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[|Y_n - C|] < \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Define  $g(x) = f(x + c)$ . Then,  $g$  is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function and thus by the assumption that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E[f(X_n + C)] - E[f(X + C)]| < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.5)-(3.7) and the definition of  $S_1-d$  convergence, we obtain that  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X + C$ .

The proofs for (ii) and (iii) are similar, so we omit the details.  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 3.7.

(1) Suppose that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $P(X = x) = 0$ . Then, by the assumption that  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : P(X = x) = 0\}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$F(x) = F(x + \epsilon) = F(x - \epsilon),$$

which together with (3.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(x) - F(x)| &\leq P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\} + |F(x + \epsilon) - F(x)| + |F(x - \epsilon) - F(x)| \\ &= P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_n(x) - F(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\},$$

which together with the definitions of complete convergence and  $S_2$ - $d$  implies that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} X$ .

(2) By the assumption, we know that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|F(x) - F(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f(u) du \right| \leq C|y - x|. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.2) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(x) - F(x)| &\leq P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\} + |F(x + \epsilon) - F(x)| + |F(x - \epsilon) - F(x)| \\ &\leq P\{|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\} + 2C\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_n(x) - F(x)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( P \left\{ |X_n - X| \geq \frac{\delta}{n(\log n)^{1+\beta}} \right\} + 2C \frac{\delta}{n(\log n)^{1+\beta}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \{ n(\log n)^{1+\beta} |X_n - X| \geq \delta \} + 2C\delta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\beta}} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} X$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Examples and remarks

The following example shows that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^\infty} X$  is stronger than  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} X$  in general.

**Example 3.8** Define  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  and  $P$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a random variable  $X_n$  by

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in (0, \frac{1}{n^2}); \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in [\frac{1}{n^2}, 1). \end{cases}$$

Then, for any  $p > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[|X_n - 0|^p] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n^2}} 1^p dP = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^p} 0$ . Obviously, we have  $\|X_n - 0\|_{\infty} = 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\|X_n - 0\|_{\infty} \not\rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{S-L^{\infty}} 0$ .

The following example shows that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^{\alpha}} X$  is stronger than  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} X$  in general.

**Example 3.9** Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Define  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  and  $P$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a random variable  $X_n$  by

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in (0, \frac{1}{n}); \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} 0$  but  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{c.c.} 0$  and hence  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{S-L^{\alpha}} 0$ .

**Remark 3.10** (i) By Examples 3.8 and 3.9 we know that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^{\infty}} X$  is stronger than  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} X$  in general.

(ii) By Example 3.9 and Theorem 3.5, we know that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{S_2^{-d}} X$  in general.

Example 3.9 shows that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} X$  does not imply that  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X$  in general. Conversely, the following example shows that  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X$  does not imply  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} X$  in general too.

**Example 3.11** Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Define  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  and  $P$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a random variable  $X_n$  by

$$X_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega \in (0, \frac{1}{n^2}); \\ \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}, & \text{if } \omega \in [\frac{1}{n^2}, 1). \end{cases}$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $\frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} < \epsilon$ . Then, for any  $n \geq N$ , we have  $\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} < \epsilon$  and thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{|X_n - 0| \geq \epsilon\} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} P\{|X_n - 0| \geq \epsilon\} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty.$$

Hence  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} 0$ .

Obviously, for any  $\omega \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n - 0|^{\alpha} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n^{\alpha} = \infty$ . Thus  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{S_{\alpha^{-a},s}} 0$ .

The following example shows that if  $X$  is nondegenerate, then for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we do not have  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_i-d} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ .

**Example 3.12** Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Obviously, we have  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_i-d} X$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Suppose that  $X$  is nondegenerate. Then, there exists positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that  $P\{|X_n - X| \geq c_1\} \equiv c_2$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{P} X$ .

## 4 Some open questions

In this section, we present some open questions for further research.

**Question 1.** What is the relation between the  $S_1$ - $d$  convergence and the  $S_2$ - $d$  convergence?

**Question 2.** Does  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^\infty} X$  imply that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} X$ ?

**Question 3.** Does  $X_n \xrightarrow{S-L^1} X$  imply that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_2-d} X$ ?

**Question 4.** Does  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_\alpha-a.s.} X$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) imply that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_1-d} X$ ?

**Question 5.** Does  $X_n \xrightarrow{c.c.} X$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) imply that  $X_n \xrightarrow{S_i-d} X$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ?

**Question 6.** Can we give a Skorokhod-type theorem for the strong convergence in distribution and the  $S_\alpha$ - $a.s.$  convergence?

**Acknowledgments** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771309), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Grant No. 311945-2013) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

## References

- [1] Baum, L.E., Katz, M.: Convergence rates in the law of large numbers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120**, 108-123 (1965)
- [2] Chen, P.: Complete moment convergence for sequences of independent random elements in Banach spaces, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **24**, 999-1010 (2006)
- [3] Chen, P., Hu, T.-C., Volodin, A.: Limiting behavior of moving average processes under negative association, Teor. Imovir. ta Matem. Statyst. **7**, 154-166 (2007)
- [4] Chen, P., Sung, S.H.: A Baum-Katz theorem for i.i.d. random variables with higher order moments, Statis. Probab. Lett. **94**, 63-68 (2014)



- [5] Chen, Y.-Y., Zhang, L.-X.: Second moment convergence rates for uniform empirical processes, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2010:972324, 9 pages (doi: 10.1155/2010/972324) (2010)
- [6] Chow, Y.: On the rate of moment convergence of sample sums and extremes, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin.* **16**, 177-201 (1988)
- [7] Einmahl, U., Li, D.-L.: Some results on two-sided lil behavior, *Ann. Probab.* **33**, 1601-1624 (2005)
- [8] Erdős, P.: On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **20**, 286-291 (1949)
- [9] Fu, K.-A., Zhang, L.-X.: On the moment convergence rates of LIL in Hilbert space, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **47**, 153-167 (2008)
- [10] Guo, M.: On complete moment convergence of weighted sums for arrays of row-wise negatively associated random variables, *Stochastics An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*, DOI: 10.1080/17442508.2013.801971 (2013)
- [11] Guo, M.-L., Xu, J.: Moment complete convergence for arrays of  $B$ -valued random elements (in Chinese), *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. A* **21**, 432-438 (2006)
- [12] Gut, A., Stadtmüller, U.: An intermediate Baum-Katz theorem, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **81**, 1486-1492 (2011)
- [13] Hsu, P., Robbins, H.: Complete convergence and the law of large numbers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **33**, 25-31 (1947)
- [14] Jiang, Y., Zhang, L.-X.: Precise asymptotics in complete moment convergence of i.i.d. random variables (in Chinese)., *Acta. Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* **26**, 917-925 (2006)
- [15] Kim, T.-S., Ko, M.-H., Choi, Y.-K.: Complete moment convergence of moving average processes with dependent innovations, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **45**, 355-365 (2008)
- [16] Lanzinger, H.: A Baum-Katz theorem for random variables under exponential moment conditions, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **39**, 89-95 (1998)
- [17] Li, J., Hu, Z.-C.: Toeplitz lemma, complete convergence and complete moment convergence, *Commun. in Statist. - Theory and Methods* **46**(4), 1731-1743 (2017)
- [18] Li, Y.-X.: Precise asymptotics in complete moment convergence of moving-average processes, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **76**, 1305-1315 (2006)
- [19] Li, Y.-X., Zhang, L.-X.: Complete moment convergence of moving-average processes under dependence assumptions, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **70**, 191-197 (2004)
- [20] Liang, H. Y., Li, D. L., Rosalsky, A.: Complete moment and integral convergence for sums of negatively associated random variables, *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **26**, 419-432 (2010)
- [21] Liu, W., Lin, Z.: Precise asymptotics for a new kind of complete moment convergence, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **76**, 1787-1799 (2006)

- [22] Qiu, D., Chen, P.: Complete moment convergence for i.i.d. random variables, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **91**, 76-82 (2014)
- [23] Qiu, D., Urmeneta, H., Volodin, A.: Complete moment convergence for weighted sums of sequences of independent random elements in Banach spaces, *Collect. Math.* **65**, 155-167 (2014)
- [24] Rosalsky, A., Thanh, L. V., Volodin, A.: On complete convergence in mean of normed sums of independent random elements in Banach spaces, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **24**, 23-35 (2006)
- [25] Wang, D., Su, C.: Moment complete convergence for sequences of B-valued iid random elements (in Chinese). *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* **27**, 440-448. (2004)
- [26] Wang, D., Zhao, W.: Moment complete convergence for sums of a sequence of NA random variables (in Chinese), *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. A* **21**, 445-450 (2006)
- [27] Wang, Y., Zhong, S. M., Wang, D. C.: Moment complete convergence for sums of i.i.d. random elements in Banach space (in Chinese), *Dianzi Keji Daxue Xuebao* **34**, 410-412. (2005)
- [28] Ye, F., Zhu, D. J.: Moment complete convergence for  $B$ -valued random elements (in Chinese), *J. Hefei Univ. Technol. Nat. Sci.* **30**, 525-528 (2007)
- [29] Ye, J., Zhang L.-X., Pang, T.-X.: Precise rates in the law of logarithm for the moment convergence of i.i.d. random variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327**, 695-714 (2007)
- [30] Zhao, Y., Tao, J.: Precise asymptotics in complete moment convergence for self-normalized sums, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56**, 1779-1786 (2008)
- [31] Zhou, X.: Complete moment convergence of moving average processes under  $\varphi$ -mixing assumptions, *Statis. Probab. Lett.* **80**, 285-292 (2010)