EMBEDDING OF QUADRATIC SPACES AND ITS APPLICATION TO COMPOSITION ALGEBRAS AND SPIN GROUPS

VINEETH CHINTALA

ABSTRACT. We introduce a notion of what it means to *embed* a quadratic space in an associative algebra. The general properties of such embeddings are analyzed by linking it to the Clifford algebra. Conversely, we describe the standard involution and the Spin group in terms of the algebra in which the quadratic space is embedded.

On the way, while investigating what embeddings are possible, we get a different perspective of the classical result that Composition algebras (with a non-degenerate quadratic form) exist only in dimensions 1, 2, 4 and 8.

1. INTRODUCTION

Before we set sail, let's introduce here the notion of an *embedding* of a quadratic space. By a quadratic space (V, q), we mean a free module V over a commutative ring equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form q.

Definition 1.1. Let (V,q) be a quadratic space and A be an associative algebra. We will say that (V,q) is embedded in A if $V \subseteq A$ and

$$q(v) = v\alpha(v) = \alpha(v)v$$

where α is an isometry of (V, q).

Familiar examples of embeddings are given by the normed division algebras over \mathbb{R} - the Real numbers, Complex numbers, Quaternions and Octonions. In the above cases, we have V = A and α is the involution such that $x\alpha(x)$ is the norm of $x \in A$. These algebras are examples of Composition algebras.

For any embedding of (V,q) (in an algebra A) to be useful, one should be able to capture some geometric properties of (V,q) through the algebraic structure of A. The Clifford algebra is an important example in this respect - for example reflections in (V,q) correspond to conjugation of V by elements in Cl [see V.1.3, [L2]].

Given an embedding of a quadratic space, we will analyze it by linking it to the Clifford Algebra. By trying to understand when and what embeddings are possible, we learn further about the structure of the quadratic spaces

and the algebras in which they are embedded. We make progress in two directions :

• Connecting two different embeddings of the same quadratic space : We derive general properties of embeddings in Sections 4-6 and study the connection to Clifford Algebras. Given an embedding of (V, q) in an algebra A, we describe the Spin representation in A. When there is an involution of A that acts trivially on the underlying quadratic space V, the Spin group acts faithfully.

The special case of the Hyperbolic space has been worked out in detail in [CV] using what are called Suslin Matrices. For the hyperbolic quadratic space, $H(V) = V \oplus V^*$, where $q(v, w) = v_1 w_1 + \cdots + v_n w_n$, the behavior of the Spin groups depends on the parity of dim V. This is because there are two types of involution depending on whether dim V is odd or even. We will return to the hyperbolic case in the final section of the paper. The present paper generalizes the results of [CV] to general quadratic spaces.

• Limitations on Embeddings : Every free module has a ring of endomorphisms associated with it. So given a non-degenerate quadratic space (V, q), it is natural to ask if it can be *embedded* in End(V)?

We will see that such embeddings are possible only in dimensions 1, 2, 4 or 8. It turns out that Composition algebras can be embedded in their respective endomorphism rings and therefore we have a different perspective of Hurwitz's celebrated theorem that Composition algebras (whose quadratic form is non-degenerate) exist only in dimensions 1, 2, 4 and 8.

Though Clifford Algebras have been studied in detail, they may not always be easy to work with. Sometimes it might be useful to switch to a more concrete embedding (as in the case of Suslin Matrices) to work with low dimensional Spin and Epin (or Elementary Spin) groups. For instance, one can easily compute using Suslin Matrices, that $Spin(H(R^3)) \cong Sl_4(R)$ (Theorem 7.1, [CV]). We conclude this paper with a few questions that might be of general interest.

1.2. Notation. Given any quadratic form (V, q), we can associate a bilinear form $\langle v, w \rangle = q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)$, for $v, w \in V$.

Definition 1.3. The quadratic space (V,q) is said to be non-degenerate when $\langle v, x \rangle = 0$ holds for all $x \in V$, if and only if v = 0.

Remark 1.4. All quadratic spaces in the paper are assumed to be nondegenerate. All modules considered are free-modules over a commutative ring R.

1.5. General References. We begin with a few preliminaries on Clifford algebras. All the relevant algebras are described quickly, whenever they

appear, so that the article remains accessible to a wide readership. For general literature on Clifford algebras and Spin groups over a commutative ring, the reader is referred to [B1], [B2] by H. Bass. Another good source is the book [K] by M.-A Knus. A recent survey of Suslin Matrices can be found at [RJ] by Ravi Rao and Selby Jose. To learn more about Octonions, the reader is recommended to check out the delightful article [Ba] by John Baez.

2. Preliminaries on Clifford Algebras

Perhaps the most important example of an embedding of a quadratic space is given by the Clifford algebra. Given any quadratic space (V,q), its Clifford algebra Cl(V,q) (or simply, Cl) is the "freest" algebra generated by V subject to the condition $x^2 = q(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

More precisely, the Clifford algebra Cl(V,q) is the quotient of the tensor algebra

$$T(V) = R \oplus V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{\otimes n} \oplus \dots$$

by the two sided ideal I(V,q) generated by all $x \otimes x - q(x)$ with $x \in V$.

2.1. Basic Properties of the Clifford Algebra Cl(V,q):

- $\underline{Z_2}$ Grading of Cl: The Clifford algebra Cl(V,q) is an associative algebra (with unity) over R with a linear map $i: V \to Cl(V,q)$ such that $i(x)^2 = q(x)$. The terms $x \otimes x$ and q(x) appearing in the generators of I(V,q) have degrees 0 and 2 in the grading of T(V). By grading T(V) modulo 2 by even and odd degrees, it follows that the Clifford algebra has a Z_2 -grading $Cl = Cl_0 \oplus Cl_1$ such that $V \subseteq Cl_1$ and $Cl_iCl_j \subseteq Cl_{i+j}$ $(i, j \mod 2)$.
- Universal Property: The Clifford algebras has the following universal property. Given any associative algebra A over R and any linear map $j: V \to A$ such that

$$j(x)^2 = q(x)$$
 for all $x \in V$,

there is a unique algebra homomorphism $f: Cl(V,q) \to A$ such that $f \circ i = j$.

• <u>Basis of Cl</u>: The elements of V generate the Clifford algebra. Furthermore, the following result implies that if rank(V) = n, then $rank(Cl) = 2^n$.

Theorem 2.2. (*Poincare-Birkoff-Witt*)

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of the quadratic space (V, q). Then the set $\{v_1^{e_1} \cdots v_n^{e_n} : e_i = 0, 1\}$ forms a basis of the Clifford Algebra Cl(V, q). Therefore the rank of Cl(V, q) is 2^n .

For a simple elegant proof, see Theorem IV. 1.5.1, [K].

2.3. Structure of Clifford algebras. The Clifford algebra Cl(V,q) is an example of a Graded Azumaya algebra. Since we will use this fact once in the paper (in Section 3), we will briefly introduce graded Azumaya algebras before moving on. To learn more about the structure of Clifford algebras over a commutative ring, go to [B2] or [K].

An algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is said to be a (Z_2) graded algebra if A_i is a subgroup of (A, +) and $A_i A_j \subseteq A_{i+j}$ $(i, j \mod 2)$.

For a graded-algebra A as above, the elements in $h(A) = A_0 \cup A_1$ will be called the *homogeneous* elements of A. If $a \in h(a)$, we write $\partial(A) = i$ if $a \in A_i$, (i = 0, 1). An ideal $I \subseteq A$ is said to be graded if it is a direct sum of the intersections $I_i = I \cap A_i$.

The graded center of A is the graded-subspace $\hat{C}(A)$ such that

$$c \in h(\hat{C}) \iff ca = (-1)^{\partial a \partial c} ac$$
 for all $a \in h(A)$.

The standard definitions for nongraded algebras have obvious extensions to graded algebras.

NonGraded Algebras	Graded Algebras	
Simple Algebra: No nontrivial ideals	Graded-simple : No nontrivial graded ideals	
Central Algebra: $C(A) = R$	Graded Central : $\hat{C}(A) = R$	
Azumaya : A/mA is central simple \forall maximal ideals $m \subset R$ and A is finitely generated as an R -module	Graded Azumaya : A/mA is graded central simple \forall maximal ideals $m \subset R$ and A is finitely generated as an R-module	

(If R is a field, then A is an azumaya algebra \iff A is a central simple algebra.)

The graded tensor product of two algebras $A \otimes B$ is defined as :

$$(a\hat{\otimes}b)(a'\hat{\otimes}b') = (-1)^{\partial(b)\partial(a')}aa'\hat{\otimes}bb'$$

for all homogeneous elements $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

One can use the universal property of Clifford Algebras to compute the Clifford algebra of an orthogonal sum of quadratic spaces.

Theorem 2.4. The map $f : (V_1, q_1) \perp (V_2, q_2) \rightarrow Cl(V_1, q_1) \hat{\otimes} Cl(V_2, q_2)$ defined by $f(x_1 + x_2) = x_1 \hat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \hat{\otimes} x_2$ induces an isomorphism

$$Cl(V_1 \perp V_2, q_1 \perp q_2) \cong Cl(V_1, q_1) \hat{\otimes} Cl(V_2, q_2).$$

Theorem 2.5. Let (V,q) be a non-degenerate quadratic form. Then Cl(V,q) is a graded Azumaya algebra.

A proof of the the above result can be found in Section 2.3, [B2].

3. Hurwitz's theroem as an embedding theorem

Every quadratic space (V, q) can be embedded in its Clifford algebra. Another algebra associated with any *R*-module *V* is its ring of endomorphisms End(V). If we fix a basis for the free module *V* with rank(V) = n, then End(V) can be seen as the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in *R*.

Given the extra structure of the quadratic space (V,q), one may wonder if it is possible to relate the quadratic form to the multiplicative structure of End(V).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose there is an embedding of a (non-degenerate) quadratic space (V,q) in its ring of endomorphisms End(V). Then $rank(V) \in \{1,2,4,8\}$.

Proof. Suppose End(V) contains a copy of V and α is an isometry of V such that

$$q(v) = v\alpha(v) = \alpha(v)v.$$

Let A = End(V). Let $T: V \to M_2(A)$ defined by $T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ \alpha(v) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Clearly $T^2(v) = q(v)$ for all $v \in V$. By the universal property of Clifford algebras, there exists an *R*-linear homomorphism $\phi : Cl \to M_2(A)$ such that $\phi(v) = T(v)$.

The algebra $M_2(A)$ has a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading with the even and odd elements respectively of the type $\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Under the above grading of $M_2(A)$, the map ϕ is a homomorphism of graded algebras, i.e. the even and odd parts of the Clifford algebra are mapped to the even and odd parts of $M_2(A)$.

Since ϕ is a *R*-linear homomorphism, by tensoring with R/m for a maximal ideal m, we get a corresponding R/m-linear homomorphism $\phi \otimes R/m$: $Cl \otimes R/m \to M_2(A \otimes R/m)$. Since Cl and $M_2(A)$ are free modules over R, we have

$$\dim(Cl \otimes R/m) = rank(Cl) = 2^n$$

and

$$\dim M_2(A \otimes R/m) = rank(M_2(A)) = 4n^2.$$

Since both $Cl \otimes R/m$ and $M_2(A) \otimes R/m$ are graded central simple algebras, it follows from the graded-version of the Double Centralizer Theorem [see Page 60, Theorem 2.35 of [TW]] that $\dim(Cl \otimes R/m)$ divides $\dim(M_2(A \otimes R/m))$ i.e.,

 $2^n | 4n^2$

which is possible only for n = 1, 2, 4, 8. Summarizing, if a quadratic space (V, q) can be *embedded* in End(V) then rank(V) = 1, 2, 4 or 8.

3.2. Composition algebras. By an algebra over R, we mean a (not necessarily associative) algebra over R with multiplicative identity.

Definition 3.3. A Composition algebra A over a R is an algebra equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form q such that, for all x and y in A,

(1) q(xy) = q(x)q(y) (Composition law)

There is an involution, on A given by

$$\bar{x} = -x + \langle x, 1 \rangle.$$

An easy check shows that $x\bar{x} = q(x)$ for $x \in A$. The following are a few consequences of the Composition law :

(1) $\langle xy, xz \rangle = q(x) \langle y, z \rangle$. (Scaling law)

Replacing y by y + z in equation (1) gives

$$q(xy) + q(xz) + \langle xy, xz \rangle = q(x)[q(y) + \langle y, z \rangle + q(z)]$$

from which we cancel some terms.

(2) $\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle y, \bar{x}z \rangle.$

Put x + 1 instead of x in the Scaling law. Then we have $\langle xy, z \rangle + \langle y, xz \rangle + \langle xy, xz \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle = [q(x) + \langle x, 1 \rangle + 1] \langle y, z \rangle.$ Cancelling terms, we get

$$\langle xy, z \rangle + \langle y, xz \rangle = \langle x, 1 \rangle \langle y, z \rangle$$

which implies that $\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle y, \bar{x}z \rangle$.

(3) $\bar{x} \cdot xy = q(x)y$. (Inverse law)

Using the first two properties, notice that

$$\langle \bar{x} \cdot xy, t \rangle = \langle xy, xt \rangle = q(x) \langle y, t \rangle = \langle q(x)y, t \rangle$$

for all $t \in A$. Since the bilinear form is non-degenerate, we have $\bar{x} \cdot xy = q(x)y$.

Theorem 3.4. Let (A,q) be a Composition algebra over R. If q is nondegenerate, then the rank of A is 1, 2, 4 or 8.

Proof. We will show that composition algebras can be embedded in their respective endomorphism rings, It then follows by Theorem 3.1 that they exist only in dimensions 1, 2, 4 or 8.

Consider the map $\phi: A \to End(A)$ given by $x \to L_x$, where $L_x(y) = xy$ is the left multiplicative operator. For ϕ to be an embedding, we require that $L_{\bar{x}}L_x = L_{\bar{x}x}$ which is nothing but the Inverse law $\bar{x} \cdot xy = [x]y$. \Box

Corollary 3.5. If A is associative, then rank(A) = 1, 2 or 4. Octonions are non-associative.

6

Proof. If A is an associative algebra, then A (as a quadratic space) can be embedded in itself instead of EndA. By comparing ranks as in Theorem 3.1, we infer that $2^n|4n$. Therefore rank(A) = 1, 2 or 4.

Remark 3.6. The *R*-module End(End(V)) has rank n^4 , where n = rank(V). Suppose there is an embedding of (V, q) in End(End(V)). Then, by exactly the same proof as in Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$rank(V) \in \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16\}.$$

This raises the question as to whether the 16-dimensional Cayley-Dickson algebra S, also knows as Sedenions, can be embedded in End(End(S)).

4. General properties of embeddings of Quadratic Spaces

Assumptions on embeddings		
In the rest of the paper, we will analyze embeddings that satisfy the		
following conditions :		
(1) $1_A \in V_A$ and $\overline{1_A} = 1_A$.		
(2) The image of $\phi : Cl \to M_2(A)$ contains all the diagonal matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ for $a \in A$. This is so that the standard involution of Cl determines an involution on A .		

Let R be a commutative ring and V a free R-module, equipped with a quadratic form q. Suppose there is an embedding $(V,q) \subseteq A$ with an isometry $\alpha : v \to \overline{v}$ such that

$$q(v) = v\bar{v} = \bar{v}v.$$

Let Cl denote the Clifford algebra of (V, q). To avoid any confusion, we will denote the copy of V in its Clifford algebra by V_{Cl} .

Then there is a map $T: V_{Cl} \to M_2(A)$ defined by

$$v_{cl} \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & v \\ \overline{v} & 0 \end{array}
ight).$$

Since $T^2(v_{cl}) = q(v)$ for all $v \in V$, by the universal property of Clifford algebra, there is an *R*-algebra homomorphism $\phi : Cl \to M_2(A)$ such that $\phi(v_{cl}) = T(v)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let v and w be elements of a quadratic space (V, q) embedded in an algebra A. Then $vwv \in V$. Moreover $\overline{vwv} = \overline{v}\overline{w}\overline{v}$.

Proof. We will first prove the theorem for the Clifford embedding. Let $z_1, z_2 \in V_{Cl}$. Then

$$\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle := (z_1 + z_2)^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2 = z_1 z_2 + z_2 z_1$$

is an element in R. Multiplying by z_1 we get

$$z_1 \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = z_1^2 z_2 + z_1 z_2 z_1.$$

Since $z_1^2 = q(z_1)$, it follows that $z_1 z_2 z_1 \in V_{Cl}$. For any embedding $V \subseteq A$, there is a map $\phi : Cl \to M_2(A)$ given by $v_{cl} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Take
$$z_1 \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ \bar{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $z_2 \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{w} \\ w & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $z_1 z_2 z_1 \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 & vwv \\ \bar{v}\bar{w}\bar{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Rings such that $1 \in V$, $aba \in V$ are called Special Jordan algebras. Given an associative algebra with an involution, the set of self adjoint elements also forms a special Jordan algebra. The reader is referred to the books [J], M2 to learn more about the structure of such algebras.

4.2. The Standard involution. The map $v_{Cl} \rightarrow -v_{Cl}$ can also be viewed as an inclusion of V_{Cl} in the opposite algebra of Cl. By the universal property of the Clifford algebra, this map extends to an anti-automorphism of Cl. This is called the standard involution on Cl. We will describe how the standard involution acts in the algebra $M_2(A)$.

The Clifford algebra is a Z_2 -graded algebra $Cl = Cl_0 \oplus Cl_1$. We will identify the Clifford Algebra with its image under ϕ . The elements of Cl_0 correspond to matrices in $M_2(A)$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0\\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

It is not uncommon to have $1_A \in V$, $\overline{1} = 1$. As we will see, this is indeed the case for Suslin Matrices and Composition algebras. The assumption that $1 \in V$ has some nice implications :

- For $v \in V$, we have $q(1+v) = (1+v)(\overline{1+v})$ to be a scalar. Therefore $v + \overline{v}$ is a scalar for all $v \in V$.
- You will see that in all the examples of embeddings in this paper, the isometry that gives us the embedding has degree 2. This is not an accident. Suppose that $1 \in V_A$ and $\alpha(1_A) = 1_A$. From the definition of an embedding we have

$$\alpha^{2}(x)\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)x, \quad \alpha^{2}(x+1)\alpha(x+1) = \alpha(x+1)(x+1).$$

Using the fact that α is linear and cancelling terms, it follows that $\alpha^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in V$, i.e. α has degree 2. The interested reader can read the paper [M], which investigates general algebras with a scalar involution, in particular clarifying the connection between degree 2 algebras and the existence of a scalar involution.

• We will now show that the elements $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$ are closed under the standard involution, so the involution restricts to A. First notice that the even part of the Clifford algebra Cl_0 is closed under the standard involution, and its image in $M_2(A)$ consists of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$ We will simply write (x, y) for the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $(a, a)^* = (x, y)$. For A to be closed under the involution, we need x = y.

Let $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We have $e^* = -e$ as $e \in V_{M_2(A)}$. Since e(a, a)e = (a, a), we have $e^*(a, a)^*e^* = (a, a)^*$. Therefore

$$(y,x) = e \cdot (a,a)^* \cdot e = (x,y)$$

and so the standard involution on $M_2(A)$ restricts to A.

Conversely, given an involution of A, one might ask if it can be extended to the standard involution on $M_2(A)$. To see this, let us write $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ as a 2 × 2 matrix and analyze its conjugate in terms of its blocks. The tables below illustrate, for a few examples, how the action of * on A can be extended to the standard involution in $M_2(A)$.

Note that in order to show that an involution of $M_2(A)$ corresponds to the standard involution of the Clifford algebra, it is enough to check that its action on the elements of V_{Cl} is multiplication by -1. In other words, if $z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then we need $z^* = -z$.

Standard Involution of form 1: $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$				
$v^* = v$		$M^* = \begin{pmatrix} d^* & -b^* \\ -c^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}$		
$v^* = u \cdot v$	$u^2=1$, $u\in R$	$M^* = \begin{pmatrix} d^* & -ub^* \\ -uc^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}$		
$v^* = u \cdot v$	$u^2 = -1$, $u \in R[i]$	$M^* = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} d^* & ub^* \\ uc^* & a^* \end{smallmatrix}\right)$		
Standard Involution of form 2: $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$				
Standa	rd Involution of form	m 2: $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$		
$\frac{\text{Standa}}{v^* = \bar{v}}$	rd Involution of forr	m 2: $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ $M^* = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -c^* \\ -b^* & d^* \end{pmatrix}$		
$\begin{aligned} \text{Standa} \\ v^* &= \bar{v} \\ v^* &= u \cdot \bar{v} \end{aligned}$	urd Involution of for r $u^2 = 1 \ , \ u \in R$	m 2: $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ $M^* = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -c^* \\ -b^* & d^* \end{pmatrix}$ $M^* = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -uc^* \\ -ub^* & d^* \end{pmatrix}$		

Remark 4.3. By assumption, the image of the map $Cl \to M_2(A)$ contains all matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$, for $a \in A$. Then the standard involution of Cl determines the involution of A uniquely. By comparing the action of the standard involution on $\begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$ with the above involutions of $M_2(A)$, we can infer that *at most* one of the above six involutions is possible. In particular, if $v^* = \bar{v}$ lifts to an involution of A, then there *cannot* be another involution of A that acts trivially on V, unless $\bar{v} = v$.

Since the involution acts trivially on scalar matrices, note that if $1_A \in V$, and $v^* = uv$ or $v^* = u\bar{v}$, then u = 1.

Let's return to the case when $1_A \in V$.

(2)
$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} d^* & -b^* \\ -c^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} & \text{when } v^* = v \text{ for all } v \in V, \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -c^* \\ -b^* & d^* \end{pmatrix} & \text{when } v^* = \bar{v} \text{ for all } v \in V. \end{cases}$$

5. The Spin Representation

The Clifford algebra is a Z_2 -graded algebra $Cl = Cl_0 \oplus Cl_1$. We will identify Cl with its image in $M_2(A)$. Then the elements of Cl_0 correspond to matrices in $M_2(A)$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

The following groups are relevant to our discussion :

$$U^{0}(V) := \{ x \in Cl_{0} | xx^{*} = 1 \}.$$

Spin(V) := $\{ x \in U^{0}(R) | xVx^{-1} = V \}$

Remark 5.1. Notice that the action of the Spin group on V is an isometry of V. The Spin Group is intimately related to the orthogonal group. Over real numbers \mathbb{R} , the Spin group is in fact a double cover of the orthogonal group.

We have by the identities in Equation (2),

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0\\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} g_1^* & 0\\ 0 & g_2^* \end{pmatrix} & \text{when } v^* = v \text{ for all } v \in V \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} g_2^* & 0\\ 0 & g_1^* \end{pmatrix} & \text{when } v^* = \bar{v} \text{ for all } v \in V, \end{cases}$$

6. The Spin Representation: When $v^* = v$ for all $v \in V$

In this section, we assume that $v^* = v$ for all $v \in V$. The results extend in a straightforward manner for other involutions of form 1 (see Table 4.2) when $1 \notin V$.

We will introduce a group $SG(A) \subset A$ and show that it is isomorphic to the Spin group when $v^* = v$ for all $v \in V$. This is achieved by taking $g \to g^*$ to be the restriction of the involution on A defined earlier. We are assuming that $1 \in V$. and that the involution on A acts trivially on V, i.e. $v = v^*$ for all $v \in V$.

Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)$. When n is odd, we have $M^* = \begin{pmatrix} d^* & -b^* \\ -c^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore for $(g_1, g_2) \in U^0(R)$, we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}g_1 & 0\\ 0 & g_2\end{array}\right)^* = \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}g_2^* & 0\\ 0 & g_1^*\end{array}\right).$$

In addition, since $\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in U^0(R)$ has unit norm, i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}^* = 1$, it follows that

$$g_2 = g_1^{*^{-1}}.$$

Now Spin(V) is precisely the subgroup of $U^0(R)$ which stabilizes V under conjugation. This means that if $\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g^{*-1} \end{pmatrix} \in Spin(V)$ and $v \in V$, then there exists a Suslin matrix $w \in V$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g^{*-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ \bar{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & g^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & gvg^* \\ g^{*-1}\bar{v}g^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ \bar{w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $g \bullet v = gvg^*$.

By A^* , we mean the invertible elements of A. Consider

 $G(A) = \{ g \in A^* \mid g \bullet v \in V \ \forall \ v \in V \}.$

Theorem 6.1. The set G(A) is a group and is closed under the involution

Proof. Since $1 \in A$, we have $gg^* \in V$. Therefore it follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$g \bullet (g^* \bullet v) = gg^* vgg^* \in V.$$

Since the action • is a bijection of V when g is invertible, we have $g^* \in G(A)$. For the same reason g^{*-1} is also in G(A) and so $g^{-1} \in G(A)$. The set G is a group.

One has the homomorphism

$$\chi: Spin(V) \to G(A)$$

given by $(g, g^{*-1}) \to g$.

In general, this homomorphism is not surjective, but one can expect that it is the case on the subgroup of G(A) which preserves the quadratic form q. One expects $\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g^{*-1} \end{pmatrix}$ to be an element of the Spin group. In order to show this, we will use the quadratic form q on V to define a 'norm' on G(A). The Spin group will then be isomorphic to kernel of the norm function.

Let us begin with three simple lemmas, which help us show that

$$q(g \bullet v) = q(gg^*)q(v)$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $v \in V$ such that $\{v, 1\}$ are linearly independent. Suppose there exists an element $v' \in V$ such that v + v' and vv' are scalars. Then $v' = \overline{v}$.

Proof. Since $v + \bar{v} \in R$, it follows that $\bar{v} = v' + r$ for some $r \in R$. Since $v\bar{v} = q(v)$, it follows that $v(v' + r) \in R$, implying $rv \in R$. Therefore r = 0 and $v' = \bar{v}$.

Lemma 6.3. Suppose $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $q(v_2) = v_2\overline{v_2} = 1$. Then $\overline{v_1} + v_2v_1v_2 \in v_2R$.

Proof. Since $(\overline{v_1} + v_2)(v_1 + \overline{v_2}) \in R$, it follows that

$$\langle \overline{v_1}, v_2 \rangle = \overline{v_1} \cdot \overline{v_2} + v_2 v_1 \in R.$$

Multiplying by v_2 on the right, this implies that

$$\overline{v_1} + v_2 v_1 v_2 \in v_2 R.$$

Lemma 6.4. Suppose $q(gg^*) = 1$ for some $g \in G(A)$. Then

$$q(g^*g) = q(g^{-1}g^{*-1}) = q(g^{*-1}g^{-1}) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $X = g^*g$.

If $X \in R$, then $gg^* = g^*g$ and the result follows easily. Suppose $X \notin R$. We will show that $X + X^{-1} \in R$ and infer from Lemma 6.2 that $X^{-1} = \overline{X}$. Now,

$$X^{-1} = g^{-1}g^{*-1} = g^* \bullet (g^{*-1}g^{-1})^2.$$

Since $q(gg^*) = 1$, we also have

$$q(g^{*-1}g^{-1}) = q(\overline{gg^*}) = 1.$$

Therefore (using Lemma 6.3),

$$X + X^{-1} = g^* \bullet (1 + (g^{*-1}g^{-1})^2)$$

= $g^* \bullet (rg^{*-1}g^{-1})$, for some $r \in R$.
= $r \in R$

Clearly we also have $q(g^{-1}g^{*-1}) = q(X^{-1}) = q(X) = 1$.

Theorem 6.5. Let $g \in G(A)$. For all $v \in V$, we have

$$q(g \bullet v) = q(gg^*)q(v).$$

Proof. Case 1 : $q(gg^*) = 1$.

Let $w = g \bullet v$ and $w' = g^{*-1} \bullet \overline{v}$. Since $w \cdot w' = v\overline{v}$, it is enough to prove that $\overline{w} = w'$.

Let us assume for now that $\{w, 1\}$ are linearly independent. We will first show that $w + w' \in R$ and use it to prove that $w' = \overline{w}$.

Let $X = g^*g$. Since $q(gg^*) = 1$, it follows from Lemma 6.4 that q(X) = 1. We have

$$w = gvg^*$$

= $g^{*-1}(XvX)g^{-1}$
= $g^{*-1} \bullet (XvX).$

Since q(X) = 1, we know (from Lemma 6.3) that $\overline{v} + XvX = rX$ for some $r \in R$. Therefore

$$w' + w = g^{*-1} \bullet (\bar{v} + XvX)$$
$$= g^{*-1} \bullet rg^*g$$
$$= r \in R$$

Since w' + w and ww' are scalars, it follows from Lemma 6.2 that

$$w' = \bar{w}.$$

Now suppose $\{w, 1\}$ are linearly dependent. Then we can write $w = (w_0 + w) - w_0$, where $\{w_0, 1\}$ are linearly independent. As \bullet is a linear action it follows that $w' = \bar{w}$.

Case 2: $q(gg^*) = a$. Clearly *a* is invertible since $g \in G(A)$. Suppose there is an $x \in R$ such that $x^2 = a^{-1}$.

Take h = xg. Then $q(hh^*) = 1$ and by Case 1, we have, for all $v \in V$, $q(h \bullet v) = q(hh^*)q(v)$.

Since $q(h \bullet v) = x^2 \cdot q(g \bullet v)$, the result follows immediately.

Now suppose $x^2 = a^{-1}$ has no solutions in R. Then one has the identity $q(g \bullet v) = q(gg^*)q(v)$

over the ring $\frac{R[x]}{(x^2-a^{-1})}$; Since each term of the equation lies in R, the result follows in this case too.

Remark 6.6. Let R^{\bullet} denote the group of units in R. Define $d: G_{n-1}(R) \to R^{\bullet}$ as

$$d(g) := q(gg^*).$$

Let $g, h \in G_{n-1}(R)$. As a consequence of Theorem 6.5, we have

$$d(gh) = q(ghh^*g^*) = q(gg^*)q(hh^*) = d(g)d(h).$$

Thus d is a group homomorphism and

$$ker(d) = SG(A) \cong Spin(V)$$

in the case when the identity map on V can be lifted to an involution of A.

7. The Suslin embedding

In this section, we describe an embedding of the hyperbolic space $H(V) := V \oplus V^*$ in terms of Suslin matrices, which were introduced by A. A. Suslin in his paper [S], in connection with Stably free modules and K-Theory.

Suslin matrices are an important class of examples of embeddings of quadratic spaces. Moreover, they give an explicit description of all the aspects of an embedding touched upon in the present paper. In particular (to be described below) there are only two types of involution in this case, depending on the parity of dim V. When the dimension of V is odd, we have $S(v,w)^* = S(v,w)$, where S(v,w) is the Suslin matrix corresponding to $(v,w) \in H(V)$. On the other hand, when the dimension of V is even, we have $S(v,w)^* = \overline{S(v,w)}$.

Let V be a free R-module where R is any commutative ring and $H(V) := V \oplus V^*$. From now on, we fix the standard basis for $V = R^n$ and identify V with its dual V^* . One can then write the quadratic form on H(V) as

$$q(v,w) = v \cdot w^T = a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n.$$

for $v = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $w = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. This quadratic space (H(V), q) is referred to as the hyperbolic space. We will now define Suslin matrices which give an embedding of the hyperbolic space into the ring of matrices $M_{2^{n-1}}(R)$.

The Suslin matrix $S_n(v, w)$ of size $2^n \times 2^n$, and determinant $(v \cdot w^T)^{2^{n-1}}$, is constructed from two vectors v, w in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as follows:

Let $v = (a_0, v_1)$, $w = (b_0, w_1)$ where v_1, w_1 are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Define

$$S_0(a_0, b_0) = a_0, \quad S_1(v, w) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & v_1 \\ -w_1 & b_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$S_n(v, w) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 I_{2^{n-1}} & S_{n-1}(v_1, w_1) \\ -S_{n-1}(w_1, v_1)^T & b_0 I_{2^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

For $S_n = S_n(v, w)$, define

$$\bar{S}_n := S_n(w, v)^T = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 I_{2^{n-1}} & -S_{n-1}(v_1, w_1) \\ S_{n-1}(w_1, v_1)^T & a_0 I_{2^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that $\overline{S_n(v,w)}$ is also a Suslin matrix. Indeed, $\overline{S_n(v,w)} = S_n(v',w')$ where

$$\begin{pmatrix} v'\\w' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (b_0, -v_1)\\(a_0, -w_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we have $\overline{S_0(a_0, b_0)} = b_0$.

One can easily check that a Suslin matrix $S_n = S_n(v, w)$ is a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix satisfies the following properties:

(1) $S_n \bar{S_n} = \bar{S_n} S_n = (v \cdot w^T) I_{2^n},$

(2) det
$$S_n = (v \cdot w^T)^{2^{n-1}}$$
, for $n \ge 1$.

In his paper [S], A. Suslin then describes a sequence of matrices $J_n \in M_{2^n}(R)$ (such that $JJ^T = I$) satisfying the following identities :

(3)
$$J_n S_n^T J_n^T = \begin{cases} S_n & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ \bar{S_n} & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The map $\phi: H(\mathbb{R}^n) \to M_{2^n}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\phi(v, w) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{n-1}(v, w) \\ \overline{S_{n-1}(v, w)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

induces an *R*-algebra homomorphism

$$\phi: Cl \to M_{2^n}(R)$$

(by the universal property of Clifford algebras). In fact ϕ is an isomorphism; the elements $\phi(v, w)$ give a set of generators of the Clifford algebra.

Remark 7.1. The map $S \to JS^T J^T$ clearly extends to an involution of the matrix algebra $M_{2^n}(R)$, where $M^* = JM^T J^T$ (since $JJ^T = 1$). In addition, this involution determines the standard involution of the Clifford Algebra. Therefore, there cannot be two involutions $*_1, *_2$ of $M_{2^n}(R)$ (for a fixed n) such that $S^{*_1} = S$ and $S^{*_2} = \overline{S}$.

Remark 7.2. One still wants a deeper understanding as to why there is a distinction between the odd and even cases. When $v \cdot w^T = 1$, one gets a projective module corresponding to v given by the kernel of the map $R^n \to R$, defined by $w \to v \cdot w^T$. In the paper [NRS], several proofs are given that the projective module corresponding to v is not isomorphic to its dual when the row v has odd size > 3. Perhaps this implies that only the identity map on $S_n(v, w)$ (when n is even or equivalently, when v has odd size) can be extended to the standard involution of the Clifford algebra.

The above connection between the two embeddings into Suslin Matrices and Clifford Algebras has been worked out in detail in the author's paper [CV]. For $M \in Cl \cong M_{2^n}(R)$, the standard involution * is given by

$$M^* = J_n M^T J_n^T.$$

The paper also describes the corresponding Spin groups in terms of Suslin matrices. Conversely, this connection to Clifford algebras gives a conceptual foundation of Suslin Matrices. The recent work of A. Asok and J. Fasel (see [AF]) uses Suslin Matrices in the context of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory and Bott periodicity.

7.3. Applications to Quadratic Spaces. In a similar fashion, using Suslin Matrices, one can construct an explicit set of generators of the Clifford Algebra for other classes of quadratic spaces.

Examples			
Quadratic Space (V, q)	Embedding	Cl	
$(R^{2n}, \sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i)$	$(v,w) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & S(v,w) \\ \overline{S(v,w)} & 0 \end{array} \right)$	$M_{2^n}(R)$	
$(R^{2n+1}, -x^2 + \sum v_i w_i)$	$(x, v, w) \rightarrow \left(\frac{xi}{S(v,w)} \frac{S(v,w)}{-xi}\right)$	$M_{2^n}(R[i])$	
$(R^{2n+2}, -x^2 - y^2 + \sum v_i w_i)$	$(x, y, v, w) \rightarrow \left(\frac{xi+yj}{S(v,w)} \stackrel{S(v,w)}{-xi-yj}\right)$	$M_{2^n}(R[i,j])$	

(In the above table, we have $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ and ij + ji = 0.)

We will now mention an intriguing and perhaps unexplored result of A. Suslin (Lemma 5.4, [S]). The very fact that $S_3(v, w)$ exists has the following interesting consequence.

Theorem 7.4. The group $O_8(R)$ (with respect to the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_4 \\ I_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$) acts transitively on the unit sphere in $H(R^4)$.

Proof. Let $v = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $w = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ be two vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 such that $v \cdot w^T = 1$, i. e., (v, w) is an element of the unit sphere in $H(\mathbb{R}^4)$. By rearranging the rows and columns of $S_1(u, w)$ we can rewrite it as

By rearranging the rows and columns of $S_3(v, w)$, we can rewrite it as

$$U_8 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b_3 & b_2 & -a_1 & a_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_3 & 0 & -b_1 & -a_2 & 0 & a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -b_2 & b_1 & 0 & -a_3 & 0 & 0 & a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ -b_1 & b_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ -b_2 & 0 & b_0 & 0 & 0 & a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -b_3 & 0 & 0 & b_0 & 0 & -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $(v, w) = (e_1 + e_5) \cdot U_8$. One can easily check that the matrix U_8 is orthogonal with respect to the quadratic form defined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_4 \\ I_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

8. CONCLUSION

We have analyzed a general embedding of a quadratic space (V,q) by connecting it to Clifford algebras. In particular we have focussed on the case where $v = v^*$ and the standard involution translates to an involution of A. One can then define a norm function and describe the action of the Spin group on V_A . Along the way, our analysis raised a few questions, which might be of general interest. Let's conclude the paper by stating them here .

- Composition algebras can be embedded in their Endomorphism rings and so their rank should be 1, 2, 4 or 8. Are there any analogues of this result for higher dimensional Cayley-Dickson algebras? In particular, can the 16-dimensional Cayley-Dickson algebra S be embedded in End(End(S))?
- There is a Suslin embedding of the hyperbolic space $R^4 \oplus R^{4^*}$ in $M_8(R)$. One may ask if it is possible to write the multiplication of Split Octonions in terms of 8×8 Suslin Matrices.
- Given an embedding of $(V,q) \subseteq A$, we know that $vwv \in V$ and so V becomes a Special Jordan algebra. It would be very interesting to learn the conditions under which the identity map on $V \subseteq A$ (or more generally, maps of the type $v^* = uv, u \in R$) can be lifted to an involution of A. We know that this is not always the case for Suslin matrices (see Remark 7.1). The classification and structure of Special Jordan algebras has been worked out in the 20th century (see [M2] for a survey). But their relationship with the overlying associative algebras, in different embeddings, remains to be explored further.

References

- [AF] Aravind Asok, Jean Fasel, An explicit KO-degree map and applications, J. Topology 10 (2017), 268–300
- [Ba] John Baez, The Octonions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002), 145–205.
- [B1] Hyman Bass, Lectures on topics in algebraic K-theory, Notes by Amit Roy, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, 41, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
- [B2] Hyman Bass, Clifford algebras and spinor norms over a commutative ring. Amer. J. Math. 96:156–206, 1974.
- [CV] Vineeth Chintala, On Suslin Matrices and Their Connection to Spin Groups, Documenta Math. 20 (2015) 531–550
- [C] J. H. Conway, D. A. Smith, On Quaternions and Octonions: Their Geometry, Arithmetic, and Symmetry, A.K. Peters Ltd., Natick, MA, 2003.
- [HO] A. J. Hahn.; O. T. O'Meara, The classical groups and K-theory, 291. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. xvi+576 pp
- [J] Nathan Jacobson, On Quadratic Jordan Algebras, TIFR Lectures on Mathematics, 1969.
- [JR] Selby Jose, Ravi Rao. A fundamental property of Suslin matrices, Journal of K -theory: K -theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology 5 (2010), no. 3, 407–436.
- [K] M. -A. Knus (1991). Quadratic and Hermitian Forms over Rings. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Vol. 294. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- [Kap] Irving Kaplansky, Infinite-dimensional quadratic forms admitting composition. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4, (1953). 956–960.

- [M] K. McCrimmon, Nonassociative algebras with scalar involution. Pacific J. Math. 116 (1985), no. 1, 85–109.
- [M2] K. McCrimmon, A Taste of Jordan Algebras. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2004.
- [L] T. Y. Lam. Serre's problem on projective modules, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [L2] T. Y. Lam, Introduction to Quadratic Forms Over Fields (Graduate Studies in Mathematics), vol. 67. Providence, RI, USA: AMS, 2005.
- [NRS] Madhav V. Nori; Ravi Rao; Richard G. Swan, Non-self-dual stably free modules. Quadratic forms, linear algebraic groups, and cohomology, 315–324, Dev. Math., 18, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [RJ] Ravi Rao, S. Jose (2016) A Study of Suslin Matrices: Their Properties and Uses.
 In: Rizvi S., Ali A., Filippis V. (eds) Algebra and its Applications. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol 174. Springer, Singapore
- [S] A. A. Suslin. Stably Free Modules. (Russian) Math. USSR Sbornik 102 (144) (1977), no. 4, 537–550, 632.
- [S2] A. A. Suslin : Mennicke symbols and their applications to the K-theory of fields. Algebraic K-Theory, Part I (Oberwolfach 1980), pp. 334–356, Lecture Notes in Math., 966, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- [TW] Jean-Pierre Tignol; Adrian R. Wadsworth. Value functions on simple algebras, and associated graded rings. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2015.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BOMBAY, INDIA *E-mail address*: vineethreddy900gmail.com

18