# ALMOST FIXED POINTS OF FINITE GROUP ACTIONS ON MANIFOLDS WITHOUT ODD COHOMOLOGY

IGNASI MUNDET I RIERA

ABSTRACT. If X is a smooth manifold and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$  we say that  $(X, \mathcal{G})$  has the almost fixed point property if there exists a number C such that for any finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathcal{G}$  there is some  $x \in X$  whose stabilizer  $G_x \leq G$  satisfies  $[G:G_x] \leq C$ . We say that X has no odd cohomology if its integral cohomology is torsion free and supported in even degrees. We prove that if X is compact and possibly with boundary and has no odd cohomology then  $(X, \operatorname{Diff}(X))$  has the almost fixed point property. Combining this with a result of Petrie and Randall we conclude that if Z is a non necessarily compact smooth real affine variety, and Z has no odd cohomology, then  $(Z, \operatorname{Aut}(Z))$  has the almost fixed point property, where  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z)$  is the group of algebraic automorphisms of Z lifting the identity on  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{R}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Smooth actions. Let X be a smooth manifold, possibly with boundary, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of Diff(X). We say that  $(X, \mathcal{G})$  has the fixed point property if for any finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathcal{G}$  the fixed point set  $X^G$  is nonempty.

For which manifolds X does the pair (X, Diff(X)) have the fixed point property? This is trivially the case for asymmetric manifolds i.e., manifolds which do not admit an effective action of any nontrivial finite group (there exist many examples of asymmetric manifolds, see e.g. [2, 6, 26]). The question is more interesting if one further requires that Diff(X) contains nontrivial finite groups, preferably of arbitrarily big size. If  $D^n$ denotes the closed *n*-dimensional disk, then  $(D^n, \text{Diff}(D^n))$  has the fixed point property if  $n \leq 4$  (this is an easy exercise for  $n \leq 2$ , and is much less obvious if n is 3 or 4, see [5]). However,  $(D^n, \text{Diff}(D^n))$  does not have the fixed point property if  $n \geq 6$ : for any  $n \geq 6$ there is a smooth effective action of the alternating group  $A_5$  on  $D^n$  (see [1], and note that a one-fixed-point action on  $S^n$  induces a fixed point free action on  $D^n$  by removing an invariant open ball in  $S^n$  centered at the fixed point). Similarly  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  has the fixed point property if  $n \leq 3$  and it does not have it if  $n \geq 5$ , see [5].

Date: November 9, 2018.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 57S17,54H15.

This work has been partially supported by the (Spanish) MEC Projects MTM2012-38122-C03-02 and MTM2015-65361-P.

Given the previous results, it seems reasonable to expect that there are very few non-asymmetric manifolds X such that (X, Diff(X)) has the fixed point property. This motivates us to consider the following weaker notion.

We say that  $(X, \mathcal{G})$  has the **almost fixed point property** if there exists a constant C such that for every finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathcal{G}$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  whose stabilizer in G, say  $G_x$ , satisfies  $[G : G_x] \leq C$ . If for a given manifold X there is an upper bound on the size of the finite subgroups of Diff(X) then (X, Diff(X)) trivially has the almost fixed point property. Our main result gives infinitely many examples of compact manifolds X such that Diff(X) has arbitrarily big finite subgroups and yet (X, Diff(X)) has the almost fixed point property.

We say that a compact manifold X has no odd cohomology if its integral cohomology is torsion free and supported in even degrees. This implies that the Euler characteristic of any connected component of X is strictly positive. Note that if X is orientable and closed then the assumption that  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z})$  is supported in even degrees implies, by Poincaré duality and the universal coefficient theorem, that the cohomology is torsion free.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be a smooth compact manifold, possibly with boundary, without odd cohomology. Then (X, Diff(X)) has the almost fixed point property. More precisely, there exists a constant C, depending only on the dimension of X and on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , such that any finite group G acting smoothly on X has a subgroup  $G_0$  satisfying  $[G:G_0] \leq C$ and  $\chi(Y^{G_0}) = \chi(Y)$  for every connected component  $Y \subseteq X$ .

Theorem 1.1 implies that  $G_0$  preserves the connected components of X and for any connected component  $Y \subseteq X$  the fixed point set  $Y^{G_0}$  is nonempty. Note that the general statement of Theorem 1.1 is not a formal consequence of the particular case in which X is connected.

Theorem 1.1 implies for example that  $(D^n, \text{Diff}(D^n))$  has the almost fixed point property for every n.

Compactness is an essential condition in Theorem 1.1. Indeed, the analogous statement for smooth finite group actions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is false for  $n \ge 7$ : by a theorem of Haynes, Kwasik, Mast and Schultz [11], if n, r are natural numbers,  $n \ge 7$  and r is not a prime power, then there exists a smooth diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of order r without fixed points; taking r = pq with p, q different primes, it follows that there is a smooth action of  $G = \mathbb{Z}/r$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the isotropy group  $G_x$  is trivial or isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/q$ ; in particular  $[G:G_x] \ge \min\{p,q\}$ . Since p, q can both be chosen to be arbitrarily big, Theorem 1.1 can not be true for actions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

1.2. Algebraic actions. The fixed point property has also been studied in algebraic geometry. Given a real affine variety Z we denote by  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z)$  the group of algebraic automorphisms of Z lifting the identity on  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}^n$  denote the affine *n*-space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A well known conjecture claims that  $(\mathbb{A}^n, \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n))$  has the fixed point property, see [24]. The particular case of complex affine spaces  $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  is perhaps more popular. It is conjectured that any complex algebraic action of a reductive group on  $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  has a fixed

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

point, see [13, 14]. The particular case of algebraic finite group actions on  $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$  is also asked in [15]. There is an analogous conjecture for actions of finite *p*-groups on affine spaces over general fields of characteristic prime to *p* that has been popularized by Serre [28]; see [10] for recent results and references.

The question of whether  $(\mathbb{A}^n, \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n))$  has the fixed point property is mostly open at present. If true, it shows a strictly algebraic property of affine spaces, since there exist examples of real affine varieties Z which are diffeomorphic to an affine space but for which  $(Z, \operatorname{Aut}(Z))$  does not have the fixed point property [7].

Using Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following general theorem on algebraic actions of finite groups on smooth real affine varieties, which implies that  $(\mathbb{A}^n, \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n))$  has the almost fixed point property for every n.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let Z be a smooth real affine manifold, not necessarily compact, without odd cohomology. Then  $(Z, \operatorname{Aut}(Z))$  has the almost fixed point property.

Proof. If Z is compact then the result follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. Suppose instead that Z is not compact. Let  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(Z)$  be a finite subgroup. By [24, Theorem 4.1] there exists a compact smooth manifold with boundary X, endowed with a smooth action of G, such that  $X \setminus \partial X$  is G-equivariantly diffeomorphic to Z. Then  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq$  $H^*(Z;\mathbb{Z})$ , so X has no odd cohomology. By Theorem 1.1 there exists a constant C such that for any finite subgroup  $\Gamma < \operatorname{Diff}(X)$  there exists some  $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$  such that  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] \leq C$ and  $\chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) = \chi(X)$ . Furthermore, the constant C given by Theorem 1.1 only depends on the dimension of X and on  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^*(Z;\mathbb{Z})$ , and hence it is independent of the compactification X of Z. Take  $\Gamma = G$  and let  $G_0 \leq G$  satisfy  $[G : G_0] \leq C$  and  $\chi(X^{G_0}) = \chi(X) \neq 0$ . Since  $X^{G_0}$  is a neat submanifold of X (see Subsection 1.5 and Lemma 2.1 below), we have  $\partial(X^{G_0}) = X^{G_0} \cap \partial X$ , so  $\chi(X^{G_0}) = \chi(X^{G_0} \setminus \partial X)$  and hence  $Z^{G_0} = X^{G_0} \setminus \partial X \neq \emptyset$ .

The previous theorem implies that most of the cyclic group actions on  $\mathbb{R}^7$  constructed in [11] can not be made algebraic. This also follows more directly from the existence of equivariant compactifications and a Lefschetz fixed point formula as in [24].

1.3. Jordan property and actions of abelian groups. To prove Theorem 1.1 we first observe, using results on the Jordan property of diffeomorphism groups, that it suffices to consider actions of finite abelian groups. Recall that a group  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be Jordan [25] if there exists a constant C such that any finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathcal{G}$  has an abelian subgroup  $A \leq G$  satisfying  $[G : A] \leq C$ . The main result of [21] implies that if X is a compact manifold, possibly with boundary and without odd cohomology, then Diff(X) is Jordan (actually we only need to assume  $\chi(X) \neq 0$  for that). Furthermore, the constant C in the Jordan property for Diff(X) can be chosen to depend only on the dimension of X and  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Hence, Theorem 1.1 follows from the next result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let X be a compact smooth manifold (possibly with boundary) without odd cohomology. There exists a constant C, depending only on the dimension of X and

on  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z})$ , such that any finite abelian group A acting smoothly on X contains a subgroup  $A_0$  satisfying  $[A:A_0] \leq C$  and  $\chi(Y^{A_0}) = \chi(Y)$  for every connected component  $Y \subseteq X$ .

To prove Theorem 1.3 we introduce a condition on finite abelian smooth group actions on the manifold X called  $\lambda$ -stability, which depends on the choice of an integer  $\lambda$ . We prove that if  $\lambda$  is big enough (depending on X) then for any  $\lambda$ -stable smooth action of a finite abelian group  $\Gamma$  on X there exists some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfying  $X^{\Gamma} = X^{\gamma}$ ; then the Euler characteristic of  $X^{\gamma}$  can be computed using Lefschetz' formula [8, Exercise 6.17.3]. Furthermore, for any  $\lambda$  there exists a constant  $C_{\lambda}$  (depending on  $\lambda$  and X) such that for any abelian group  $\Gamma$  acting smoothly on X has a subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  whose action on X is  $\lambda$ -stable and  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] \leq C_{\lambda}$ .

1.4. The constants in Theorem 1.3. A natural question which we do not address here is to find the optimal values of C in Theorem 1.1. We also do not estimate the constants that arise from our arguments; doing so would require in particular estimating the constants in [23], which plays a crucial role in [21]. In the case of Theorem 1.3, however, one can give concrete (albeit probably far from sharp) bounds. Instead of giving a general bound, we state two theorems giving bounds on actions on disks and even dimensional spheres. The proofs use the same ideas as the proof of Theorem 1.3, but restricting to actions on disks and spheres allows us to get stronger bounds than in the general case.

Define a map  $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$  as follows. For any nonnegative integer k let

$$f(k) = 2^k \prod_{p \ge 3} p^{[k/p]},$$

where the product is over the set of odd primes, and set f(k) = 1 for every negative integer k. Note that if k is nonnegative then f(k) divides  $2^{k-[k/2]}k!$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let n be a natural number and let X be the n-dimensional disk. Let  $k = \lfloor (n-3)/2 \rfloor$ .

- (1) Any finite abelian group A acting smoothly on X has a subgroup  $A' \leq A$  such that [A:A'] divides f(k), and  $\chi(X^{A'}) = 1$ .
- (2) For any finite abelian group A acting smoothly on X such that all prime divisors  $p \text{ of } |A| \text{ satisfy } p > \max\{2, k\}$  we have  $\chi(X^A) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let m be a natural number and let X be a smooth 2m-dimensional homology sphere.

- (1) Any finite abelian group A acting smoothly on X has a subgroup  $A' \leq A$  such that [A:A'] divides  $2^{m+1}f(m-1)$ , and  $|X^{A'}| \geq 2$ .
- (2) For any finite abelian group A acting smoothly on X such that all prime divisors  $p \text{ of } |A| \text{ satisfy } p > \max\{2, m-1\} \text{ we have } |X^A| \ge 2.$

1.5. Notation and conventions. We denote inclusion of sets (resp. groups) with the symbol  $\subseteq$  (resp.  $\leq$ ); the symbol  $\subset$  (resp. <) is reserved for *strict* inclusion. If p is a prime we denote by  $\mathbb{F}_p$  the field of p elements. When we say that a group G can be generated by d elements we mean that there are elements  $g_1, \ldots, g_d \in G$ , not necessarily distinct, which generate G. All manifolds appearing in the text may, unless we say the contrary, be open and have boundary. If a group G acts on a set X we denote the stabiliser of  $x \in X$  by  $G_x$ , and for any subset  $S \subset G$  we denote  $X^S = \{x \in X \mid S \subseteq G_x\}$ .

In this paper manifolds or submanifolds need not be connected and may have connected components of different dimensions. If X is a manifold and  $x \in X$  we denote by  $\dim_x X$  the dimension of the connected component of X containing x.

Following [12, §1.4] we say that a submanifold Y of a manifold X with boundary is neat if  $\partial Y = Y \cap \partial X$  and Y intersects transversely the boundary X.

1.6. **Remark.** The main ideas in this paper are a generalization to arbitrary dimensions of those in [20], where the Jordan property is proved for diffeomorphism groups of compact 4-manifolds with  $\chi \neq 0$ . Note that unlike [19, 21], the arguments in [20] only use elementary finite group theory and in particular do not rely on the CFSG.

Theorem 1.3 appeared first in the (not to be published) preprint [19] as an ingredient of the proof of the Jordan property for diffeomorphism groups of compact manifolds without odd cohomology. Later it appeared in the third version of [21], which proves that the diffeomorphism groups of compact manifolds with  $\chi \neq 0$  are Jordan. The arguments in [21] do not use Theorem 1.3, and Theorem 1.1 is deduced from combining the Jordan property with Theorem 1.3, as we do in the present paper. Finally we have decided to split in two parts the third version of [21], the first part containing the results on Jordan property (this appears in the fourth version of [21]), and the second one is the present paper.

1.7. Contents of the paper. Section 2 contains some preliminary results. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of  $\lambda$ -stable action and its basic properties. These are used in Section 4 to prove Theorem 1.3. Finally, in Section 5 we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

### 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Local linearization of smooth finite group actions. The following result is well known (see e.g. [21] for the proof). Statement (1) implies that the fixed point set of a finite group action on a manifold with boundary is a neat submanifold.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let a finite group  $\Gamma$  act smoothly on a manifold X, and let  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$ . The tangent space  $T_x X$  carries a linear action of  $\Gamma$ , defined as the derivative at x of the action on X, satisfying the following properties.

(1) There exist neighborhoods  $U \subset T_x X$  and  $V \subset X$ , of  $0 \in T_x X$  and  $x \in X$  respectively, such that:

(a) if  $x \notin \partial X$  then there is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $\phi: U \to V$ ;

- (b) if  $x \in \partial X$  then there is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant diffeomorphism  $\phi: U \cap \{\xi \ge 0\} \to V$ , where  $\xi$  is a nonzero  $\Gamma$ -invariant element of  $(T_x X)^*$  such that Ker  $\xi = T_x \partial X$ .
- (2) If the action of  $\Gamma$  is effective and X is connected then the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $T_x X$  is effective, so it induces an inclusion  $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(T_x X)$ .
- (3) If  $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$  and  $\dim_x X^{\Gamma} < \dim_x X^{\Gamma'}$  then there exists an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -submodule  $V \subset T_x X$  on which the action of  $\Gamma$  is nontrivial but the action of  $\Gamma'$  is trivial.

2.2. Fixed point loci of actions of abelian *p*-groups. The following lemma is standard, see e.g. [22, Lemma 5.1] for the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let X be a manifold, let p be a prime, and let G be a finite p-group acting continuously on X. We have

$$\sum_{j} b_j(X^G; \mathbb{F}_p) \le \sum_{j} b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

In particular, the number of connected components of  $X^G$  is at most  $\sum_i b_i(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

## 3. $\lambda$ -stable actions of Abelian groups

In this section all manifolds will be compact, possibly with boundary, and non necessarily connected. If X is a manifold we call the dimension of X (denoted by  $\dim X$ ) the maximum of the dimensions of the connected components of X.

## 3.1. Preliminaries.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that m, k are non negative integers. If X is a smooth manifold of dimension  $m, X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_r \subseteq X$  are strict inclusions of closed neat submanifolds, and each  $X_i$  has at most k connected components, then

$$r \le \binom{m+k+1}{m+1}$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_r \subseteq X$  be as in the statement of the lemma. For any *i* let  $d(X_i) = (d_0(X_i), \ldots, d_m(X_i))$ , where  $d_j(X_i)$  denotes the number of connected components of  $X_i$  of dimension *j*. Each  $X_i$  has at most *k* connected components, so  $d(X_i)$  belongs to

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ (d_0, \dots, d_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m+1} \mid \sum d_j \le k \}.$$

Let us prove that the map  $d : \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \mathcal{D}$  is injective. Suppose that  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and let  $Y = X_i$  and  $Z = X_j$ . Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$  resp.  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_s$  be the connected components of Y resp. Z, labelled in such a way that dim  $Y_u \leq \dim Y_{u+1}$  and dim  $Z_v \leq \dim Z_{v+1}$ for every u, v. Since  $Y \subset Z$ , there exists a map  $f : \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \{1, \ldots, s\}$  such that  $Y_j \subseteq Z_{f(j)}$ , which implies that dim  $Y_j \leq \dim Z_{f(j)}$ . Let J be the set of indices j such that dim  $Y_j < \dim Z_{f(j)}$ . We distinguish two cases.

If  $J = \emptyset$ , so that dim  $Y_j = \dim Z_{f(j)}$  for each j, then  $Y \neq Z$  implies that  $Z = Y \sqcup W$ for some nonempty and possibly disconnected  $W \subset X$ , because by assumption Y is a closed neat submanifold of X. This implies that for every  $\delta$  we have  $d_{\delta}(Z) \ge d_{\delta}(Y)$ , and the inequality is strict for at least one  $\delta$ . Hence  $d(Y) \ne d(Z)$ .

Now suppose that  $J \neq \emptyset$  and assume that d(Y) = d(Z). We are going to see that this leads to a contradiction. Let  $\xi = \max J$ . Let  $n_Y = \dim Y_{\xi}$  and  $n_Z = \dim Z_{f(\xi)}$ . We have  $n_Y < n_Z$  because  $\xi \in J$ . By assumption Y has the same number of  $n_Z$ -dimensional connected components as Z. One of the  $n_Z$ -dimensional connected components of Z contains an  $n_Y$ -dimensional connected component of Y. Hence, not every  $n_Z$ -dimensional connected component of Y is contained in an  $n_Z$ -dimensional connected component of Z, because Y is closed. So there must exist some  $Y_{\alpha}$  satisfying dim  $Y_{\alpha} = n_Z$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \subset Z_{\beta}$ with dim  $Y_{\alpha} < \dim Z_{\beta}$ , which implies  $\alpha \in J$ . But  $n_Y = \dim Y_{\xi} < n_Z = \dim Y_{\alpha}$ , so  $\xi < \alpha$ . This contradicts the definition of  $\xi$ , so the proof that d is injective is now complete.

The injectivity of d implies that  $r \leq |\mathcal{D}|$ . We have

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \binom{m}{m} + \binom{m+1}{m} + \dots + \binom{m+k}{m} = \binom{m+k+1}{m+1}$$

because  $\binom{m+s}{m}$  is the number of (m+1)-tuples of nonnegative integers with total sum s, so the proof of the lemma is complete.

A theorem of Mann and Su [17, Theorem 2.5] states that for any compact manifold X there exists some integer

$$\mu(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with the property that for any prime p and any elementary p-group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$  admitting an effective action on X we have  $r \leq \mu(X)$ . This implies that any finite abelian p-group acting effectively on X is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_r}$ , where  $r \leq \mu(X)$  and  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  are natural numbers.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let X be a compact manifold and let n be a natural number. Any finite abelian p-group  $\Gamma$  acting effectively on X has a subgroup  $G \leq \Gamma$  of index  $[\Gamma : G] \leq p^{n\mu(X)}$ which is contained in each subgroup  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  of index  $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] \leq p^n$ .

Proof. We may assume that  $\Gamma \simeq \prod_{j=1}^r \langle \gamma_j \rangle$ , where  $r \leq \mu(X)$  and  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \Gamma$ . We claim that  $G := \langle \gamma_1^{p^n}, \ldots, \gamma_r^{p^n} \rangle$  has the desired property. Indeed, if  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  is a subgroup satisfying  $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] \leq p^n$  then the exponent of  $\Gamma/\Gamma'$  divides  $p^n$ , and this implies that  $\gamma_j^{p^n} \in \Gamma'$  for each j, so  $G \leq \Gamma'$ . Clearly,  $[\Gamma : G] \leq p^{nr} \leq p^{n\mu(X)}$ .

Suppose that a finite group G acts on a compact manifold Y, possibly with boundary. A G-good triangulation of Y (see [21, §2.2]) is a pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \phi)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite simplicial complex endowed with a action of G and  $\phi: Y \to |\mathcal{C}|$  is a G-equivariant homeomorphism, and for any  $g \in G$  and any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $g(\sigma) = \sigma$  we have  $g(\sigma') = \sigma'$  for any subsimplex  $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$ . The latter condition implies that for every subgroup  $H \leq G$  the fixed point set  $\mathcal{C}^H$  is a simplicial complex and  $|\mathcal{C}^H| = |\mathcal{C}|^H$ . If both Y and the action of G are smooth, then there exist G-good triangulations of Y, see [21, §2.2]. **Lemma 3.3.** Let X be a compact smooth manifold and let p be any prime number. There exists a natural number  $C_{p,\chi}$  with the following property. For any finite p-group  $\Gamma$  acting smoothly on X there exists a subgroup  $\Gamma_{\chi} \leq \Gamma$  of index at most  $C_{p,\chi}$  satisfying

- (1)  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_{\chi}] \leq C_{p,\chi};$
- (2) for any subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma_{\chi}$  we have  $\chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) = \chi(X)$ .

Furthermore, there exists some  $P_{\chi}$  such that if  $p \geq P_{\chi}$  then  $C_{p,\chi}$  can be taken to be 1.

*Proof.* Let n be the smallest integer such that  $p^{n+1} > 2\sum_{j} b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ . We have

$$|\chi(X) + ap^{n+1}| > \sum_{j} b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$$
 for any nonzero integer  $a$ 

We are going to prove that  $C_{p,\chi} := p^{n\mu(X)}$  does the job. Let  $\Gamma$  be a *p*-group acting on X. Let  $\Gamma_{tr} \leq \Gamma$  be the kernel of the morphism  $\Gamma \to \text{Diff}(X)$  given by the action. For the purposes of proving the lemma we may replace  $\Gamma$  by  $\Gamma/\Gamma_{tr}$  and hence assume that  $\Gamma$  acts effectively on X.

By Lemma 3.2, there exists a subgroup  $\Gamma_{\chi} \leq \Gamma$  of index  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_{\chi}] \leq p^{n\mu(X)}$  such that for any subgroup  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  of index  $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] \leq p^n$  we have  $\Gamma_{\chi} \leq \Gamma'$ . We now prove that any subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma_{\chi}$  satisfies  $\chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) = \chi(X)$ . Consider a  $\Gamma$ -good triangulation  $(\mathcal{C}, \phi)$  of X. We have  $|\mathcal{C}|^{\Gamma_0} = |\mathcal{C}^{\Gamma_0}|$ , so

(1) 
$$\chi(X) - \chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) = \chi(\mathcal{C}) - \chi(\mathcal{C}^{\Gamma_0}) = \sum_{j \ge 0} (-1)^j \sharp \{ \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}^{\Gamma_0} \mid \dim \sigma = j \}.$$

If  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}^{\Gamma_0}$  then the stabilizer  $\Gamma_{\sigma} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \cdot \sigma = \sigma\}$  does not contain  $\Gamma_0$ . This implies that  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_{\sigma}] \geq p^{n+1}$ , for otherwise  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  would contain  $\Gamma_{\chi}$  and hence also  $\Gamma_0$ . Consequently, the cardinal of the orbit  $\Gamma \cdot \sigma$  is divisible by  $p^{n+1}$ . Repeating this argument for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}^{\Gamma_0}$  and using (1), we conclude that  $\chi(X) - \chi(X^{\Gamma_0})$  is divisible by  $p^{n+1}$ .

Now, we have  $|\chi(X^{\Gamma_0})| \leq \sum_j b_j(X^{\Gamma_0}; \mathbb{F}_p) \leq \sum_j b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  (the first inequality is obvious, and the second one follows from Lemma 2.2). By our choice of n, the congruence  $\chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) \equiv \chi(X) \mod p^{n+1}$  and the inequality  $|\chi(X^{\Gamma_0})| \leq \sum_j b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  imply that  $\chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) = \chi(X)$ .

We now prove the last statement. Since X is compact, its cohomology is finitely generated, so in particular the torsion of its integral cohomology is bounded. Hence there exists some  $p_0$  such that if  $p \ge p_0$  then  $b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = b_j(X)$  for every j. Define  $P_{\chi} = \max\{p_0, 2\sum_j b_j(X) + 1\}$ . If  $p \ge P_{\chi}$  then the number n defined at the beginning of the proof is equal to 0, so  $C_{p,\chi}$  can be taken to be 1.

3.2.  $\lambda$ -stable actions: abelian *p*-groups. Let *p* be a prime and let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian *p*-group acting smoothly on a smooth compact manifold *X*. Recall that for any  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$  the space  $T_x X/T_x^{\Gamma} X$  (which is the fiber over *x* of the normal bundle of the inclusion of  $X^{\Gamma}$  in *X*) carries a linear action of  $\Gamma$ , induced by the derivative at *x* of the action on *X*,

and depending up to isomorphism only on the connected component of  $X^{\Gamma}$  to which x belongs.

Let  $\lambda$  be a natural number. We say that the action of  $\Gamma$  on X is  $\lambda$ -stable if:

- (1)  $\chi(X^{\Gamma_0}) = \chi(X)$  for any subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$ ; (2) for any  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$  and any character  $\theta \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$  occurring in the  $\Gamma$ -module  $T_x X / T_x X^{\Gamma}$  we have

$$[\Gamma : \operatorname{Ker} \theta] > \lambda.$$

Note that if  $\Gamma$  acts trivially on X (e.g. if  $\Gamma$  is trivial) then the action is  $\lambda$ -stable for any  $\lambda$ .

When the manifold X and the action of  $\Gamma$  on X are clear from the context, we will sometimes abusively say that  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable. For example, if an abelian group G acts on X we will say that a p-subgroup  $\Gamma \leq G$  is  $\lambda$ -stable if the restriction of the action of G to  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian p-group acting smoothly on X so that for any subgroup  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  we have  $\chi(X^{\Gamma'}) = \chi(X)$ . If  $p > \lambda$  then  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable. If  $p \leq \lambda$  then there exists a  $\lambda$ -stable subgroup  $\Gamma_{st} \leq \Gamma$  satisfying

$$[\Gamma:\Gamma_{\rm st}] \leq \lambda^e, \qquad e = \begin{pmatrix} m+k+1\\ m+1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $m = \dim X$  and  $k = \sum_{j} b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that p is a prime number satisfying  $p > \lambda$ , and that  $\Gamma$  is a finite abelian pgroup satisfying the properties in the statement of the lemma. Then  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable, because for any  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$  and any character  $\theta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$  occurring in  $T_x X/T_x X^{\Gamma}$  the subgroup Ker  $\theta \leq \Gamma$ , being a strict subgroup (by (1) in Lemma 2.1), satisfies  $[\Gamma : \text{Ker } \theta] \geq p > \lambda$ .

Now suppose that p is a prime satisfying  $p \leq \lambda$  and that  $\Gamma$  is a finite abelian p-group satisfying the properties in the statement of the lemma. Let also m, k, e be as in the statement. We are going to prove that there exists some  $\lambda$ -stable subgroup  $\Gamma_{st} \leq \Gamma$ satisfying  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_{\rm st}] \leq \lambda^e$ .

Let  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma_0$  is  $\lambda$ -stable, we define  $\Gamma_{st} := \Gamma_0$  and we are done. If  $\Gamma_0$  is not  $\lambda$ -stable, then there exists some  $x \in X^{\Gamma_0}$  and a character  $\theta \colon \Gamma_0 \to \mathbb{C}^*$  occurring in the  $\Gamma_0$ -module  $T_x X/T_x X^{\Gamma_0}$  such that  $[\Gamma_0 : \operatorname{Ker} \theta] \leq \lambda$ . Choose one such x and  $\theta$  and define  $\Gamma_1 := \operatorname{Ker} \theta$ . Then clearly  $[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma_1] \leq \lambda$  and, by (1) in Lemma 2.1, we have a strict inclusion  $X^{\Gamma_0} \subset X^{\Gamma_1}$ . If  $\Gamma_1$  is  $\lambda$ -stable, then we define  $\Gamma_{\text{st}} := \Gamma_1$  and we stop, otherwise we repeat the same procedure with  $\Gamma_0$  replaced by  $\Gamma_1$  and define a subgroup  $\Gamma_2 \leq \Gamma_1$ satisfying  $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] \leq \lambda$  and  $X^{\Gamma_1} \subset X^{\Gamma_2}$ . And so on. Each time we repeat this procedure, we go from one group  $\Gamma_i$  to a subgroup  $\Gamma_{i+1}$  satisfying  $[\Gamma_i : \Gamma_{i+1}] \leq \lambda$  and  $X^{\Gamma_i} \subset X^{\Gamma_{i+1}}$ .

Suppose that we have been able to repeat the previous procedure e steps, so that we have a decreasing sequence of subgroups  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_e$  giving strict inclusions

$$X^{\Gamma_0} \subset X^{\Gamma_1} \subset \dots X^{\Gamma_e} \subseteq X.$$

For each j the manifold  $X^{\Gamma_j}$  is a neat submanifold of X (by (1) in Lemma 2.1) and the number of connected components of  $X^{\Gamma_j}$  satisfies (by Lemma 2.2)

$$|\pi_0(X^{\Gamma_j})| = b_0(X^{\Gamma_j}; \mathbb{F}_p) \le \sum_j b_j(X^{\Gamma_j}; \mathbb{F}_p) \le \sum_j b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = k.$$

So our assumption leads to a contradiction with Lemma 3.1. It follows that the previous procedure must stop before reaching the *e*-th step, so its outcome is a sequence of subgroups  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_f$  satisfying  $[\Gamma_i : \Gamma_{i+1}] \leq \lambda$ , f < e, and  $\Gamma_{st} := \Gamma_f$  is  $\lambda$ -stable. We also have  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_{st}] \leq \lambda^f \leq \lambda^e$ , so the proof of the lemma is complete.

3.3. Fixed point sets and inclusions of groups. Let X be a compact manifold. If A, B are submanifolds of X, we will write

 $A\preccurlyeq B$ 

whenever  $A \subseteq B$  and each connected component of A is a connected component of B. Let p be a prime.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\lambda$  be a natural number. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian p-group acting smoothly on a compact manifold X in a  $\lambda$ -stable way. If a subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$  satisfies  $[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] \leq \lambda$ then  $X^{\Gamma} \preccurlyeq X^{\Gamma_0}$ .

Proof. We clearly have  $X^{\Gamma} \subseteq X^{\Gamma_0}$ , so it suffices to prove that for each  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$  we have  $\dim_x X^{\Gamma} = \dim_x X^{\Gamma_0}$ . If this is not the case for some  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$  then, by (3) in Lemma 2.1, there exist an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -submodule of  $T_x X/T_x X^{\Gamma}$  on which the action of  $\Gamma_0$  is trivial. Let  $\theta \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$  be the character associated to this submodule. Then  $\Gamma_0 \leq \operatorname{Ker} \theta$ , which implies that  $[\Gamma \colon \operatorname{Ker} \theta] \leq \lambda$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.6.** Suppose that  $\lambda \geq (\dim X)(\sum_j b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p))$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian pgroup acting on X in a  $\lambda$ -stable way. There exists an element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $X^{\Gamma} \preccurlyeq X^{\gamma}$ .

Proof. Let  $\nu(\Gamma)$  be the collection of subgroups of  $\Gamma$  of the form Ker  $\theta$ , where  $\theta \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$ runs over the set of characters appearing in the action of  $\Gamma$  on the fibers of the normal bundle of the inclusion of  $X^{\Gamma}$  in X. Since  $\Gamma$  is finite, its representations are rigid, so the irreducible representations in the action of  $\Gamma$  on the normal fibers of the inclusion  $X^{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow X$  are locally constant on  $X^{\Gamma}$ . For each  $x \in X^{\Gamma}$  the representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $T_x X/T_x^{\Gamma}$ splits as the sum of at most dim X different irreducible representations. Consequently,  $\nu(\Gamma)$  has at most dim  $X|\pi_0(X^{\Gamma})|$  elements. By Lemma 2.2,  $|\pi_0(X^{\Gamma})| \leq \sum_j b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable, we have  $|\Gamma'| < \lambda^{-1}|\Gamma|$  for each  $\Gamma' \in \nu(\Gamma)$ , so

$$\left|\bigcup_{\Gamma'\in\nu(\Gamma)}\Gamma'\right|\leq\lambda^{-1}|\Gamma||\nu(\Gamma)|\leq\lambda^{-1}|\Gamma|\dim X\left(\sum_{j}b_{j}(X;\mathbb{F}_{p})\right)<|\Gamma|.$$

Consequently, there exists at least one element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  not contained in  $\bigcup_{\Gamma' \in \nu(\Gamma)} \Gamma'$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have  $X^{\Gamma} \preccurlyeq X^{\gamma}$ .

3.4.  $\lambda$ -stable actions: arbitrary abelian groups. Let X be a compact manifold and let  $\Gamma$  be a finite abelian group acting on X. For any prime p we denote by  $\Gamma_p$  the p-part of  $\Gamma$ . We say that the action of  $\Gamma$  on X is  $\lambda$ -stable if and only if for any prime p the restriction of the action to  $\Gamma_p$  is  $\lambda$ -stable (recall that any action of the trivial group is  $\lambda$ -stable). As for p-groups, when the manifold X and the action are clear from the context, we will sometimes say that  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda$ -stable.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\lambda$  be a natural number. There exists a constant  $C_{\lambda}$ , depending only on X and  $\lambda$ , such that any finite abelian group  $\Gamma$  acting on X has a  $\lambda$ -stable subgroup of index at most  $C_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P_{\chi}$  be the number defined in Lemma 3.3. Define

$$C_{\lambda} := \left(\prod_{p \le P_{\chi}} C_{p,\chi}\right) \left(\prod_{p \le \lambda} \lambda^{e}\right),$$

where in both products p runs over the set of primes satisfying the inequality and

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} m+K+1\\ m+1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad m = \dim X, \qquad K = \sum_{j} \max\{b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \mid p \text{ prime}\}.$$

The theorem follows from combining Lemmas 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 applied to each of the factors of  $\Gamma \simeq \prod_{p \mid d} \Gamma_p$ , where  $d = |\Gamma|$ .

3.5.  $\lambda$ -stable actions on manifolds without odd cohomology. In this section X denotes a manifold without odd cohomology. Let p be any prime number. Applying cohomology to the exact sequence of locally constant sheaves on X

$$0 \to \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\cdot p} \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \to \underline{\mathbb{F}_p} \to 0$$

and using the fact that X has no odd cohomology we obtain

(2) 
$$b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = b_j(X)$$
 for any  $j \implies \chi(X) = \sum_j b_j(X) = \sum_j b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p).$ 

**Lemma 3.8.** Let p be any prime number. Suppose that a finite abelian p-group  $\Gamma$  acts on X and that there is a subgroup  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  such that  $X^{\Gamma} \preccurlyeq X^{\Gamma'}$  and  $\chi(X^{\Gamma}) = \chi(X^{\Gamma'}) = \chi(X)$ . Then  $X^{\Gamma} = X^{\Gamma'}$ .

*Proof.* Combining (2) and Lemma 2.2 we deduce:

$$\chi(X^{\Gamma}) \leq \sum_{j} b_j(X^{\Gamma}; \mathbb{F}_p) \leq \sum_{j} b_j(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = \chi(X).$$

Since  $\chi(X^{\Gamma}) = \chi(X)$  we have  $\sum_{j} b_{j}(X^{\Gamma}; \mathbb{F}_{p}) = \sum_{j} b_{j}(X; \mathbb{F}_{p})$ . Applying the same arguments to  $\Gamma'$  we conclude that  $\sum_{j} b_{j}(X^{\Gamma}; \mathbb{F}_{p}) = \sum_{j} b_{j}(X^{\Gamma'}; \mathbb{F}_{p})$ . Combining this with  $X^{\Gamma} \preccurlyeq X^{\Gamma'}$  we deduce  $X^{\Gamma} = X^{\Gamma'}$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $\lambda_{\chi} = \chi(X) \dim X$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a finite abelian p-group acting on X in a  $\lambda_{\chi}$ -stable way, then there exists some  $\gamma \in X$  such that  $X^{\Gamma} = X^{\gamma}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from combining Lemma 3.6, equality (2), and Lemma 3.8.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let X be a compact manifold without odd cohomology. Let A be a finite abelian group acting smoothly on X.

Let  $b_j = b_j(X)$  and let  $b = \sum_j b_j^2$ . We claim that there exists a subgroup  $G \leq A$  whose action on the cohomology  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z})$  is trivial and which satisfies

$$[A:G] \le 3^b$$

To prove the claim, recall that a well known lemma of Minkowski states that for any nand any finite group  $H \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  the restriction of the quotient map

$$q_n: \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_3)$$

to H is injective (see e.g. [18, 27]; the proof is easy: it suffices to check that for any nonzero  $M \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$  and nonzero integer k the matrix  $(\operatorname{Id}_n + 3M)^k$  is different from the identity, see e.g. [9, V.3.4]). Choosing a homogeneous basis of  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$  the action of A on the cohomology can be encoded in a morphism of groups

$$\phi: A \to \prod_j \operatorname{GL}(b_j, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$G := \operatorname{Ker}(q \circ \phi), \qquad q = (q_{b_0}, \dots, q_{b_n}), \qquad n = \dim X$$

has the required property, because  $|\prod_{j} \operatorname{GL}(b_j, \mathbb{F}_3)| \leq 3^b$ .

Let  $\lambda_{\chi} = \chi(X) \dim X$ . By Theorem 3.7 there exists a subgroup  $\Gamma \leq G$  whose action on X is  $\lambda_{\chi}$ -stable and which satisfies  $[G : \Gamma] \leq C_{\lambda_{\chi}}$ , where  $C_{\lambda_{\chi}}$  depends on  $\lambda_{\chi}$  and X, but not on the group G.

There is an isomorphism  $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma_{p_1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{p_k}$ , where  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  are the prime divisors of  $|\Gamma|$ . Since the action of  $\Gamma$  is  $\lambda_{\chi}$ -stable so is, by definition, its restriction to each  $\Gamma_{p_i}$ , so by Lemma 3.9 there exists, for each *i*, an element  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma_{p_i}$  such that  $X^{\gamma_i} = X^{\Gamma_{p_i}}$ . Let  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_k$ . Then  $X^{\Gamma} = \bigcap_i X^{\Gamma_i} \subseteq X^{\gamma}$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem and the fact that the elements  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$  commute, for each *i* there exists some *e* such that  $\gamma^e = \gamma_i$ . Hence  $X^{\gamma} \subseteq X^{\gamma^e} = X^{\gamma_i} = X^{\Gamma_{p_i}}$ . Taking the intersection over all *i* we get  $X^{\gamma} \subset \bigcap_i X^{\Gamma_i} = X^{\Gamma}$ . Combining the two inclusions we have  $X^{\gamma} = X^{\Gamma}$ .

Since  $\gamma \in G$ , the action of  $\gamma$  on X induces the trivial action on  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z})$ , so in particular it preserves the connected components of X. Let  $Y \subseteq X$  be any connected component. Applying Lefschetz's formula [8, Exercise 6.17.3] to the action of  $\gamma$  on Y we conclude that  $\chi(Y^{\gamma}) = \chi(Y)$ . Since  $Y^{\gamma} = Y^{\Gamma}$ , it follows that  $A_0 := \Gamma$  has the desired properties. Furthermore,

$$[A:A_0] \le 3^b C_{\lambda_{\chi}}$$

In the course of our arguments no information on X apart from its dimension and  $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z})$  has been used, so the proof of the theorem is now complete.

## 5. Proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** The following well known fact immediately proves the theorem in the cases n = 1, 2.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let n be either 1 or 2, and let X be the n-dimensional disk. The fixed point locus of any smooth action of a finite group on X is contractible.

Let now  $n \geq 3$  be a natural number and let X be the *n*-dimensional disk. Let p be a prime and suppose that a finite abelian p-group A acts on X. Smith theory implies that the fixed point set  $X^A$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -acyclic (see [3, Corollary III.4.6] for the case  $A = \mathbb{Z}/p$  and use induction on |A| for the general case, as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 given in [22]). In particular,  $X^A$  is nonempty and connected.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $x \in X^A$  be any point, and let  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r$  be the different (real) irreducible representations of A appearing in  $T_x X/T_x X^A$ . Let  $l = \dim X^A$ .

- (1) If p = 2 then  $r \le n l$ . If p is odd then n l is even and  $r \le (n l)/2$ .
- (2) There exists some  $\gamma \in A$  and a subgroup  $A' \leq A$  such that  $X^{\gamma} = X^{A'}$  and [A : A'] divides  $p^{[r/p]}$ .

*Proof.* (1) is clear. To prove (2), define  $A_j := \operatorname{Ker} \theta_j$ , let  $e_j = \log_p[A : A_j]$ , and consider the function  $I : A \to \mathbb{Z}$  defined as  $I(\gamma) = \sum_{j \mid \gamma \in A_j} e_j$ . Since each  $\theta_j$  is nontrivial (e.g. by (2) in Lemma 2.1) we have  $e_j \ge 1$  for every j. Now we estimate

$$\sum_{\gamma \in A} I(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} \sum_{j \mid \gamma \in A_j} e_j = \sum_{j=1}^r |A_j| e_j = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{|A|}{p^{e_j}} e_j \le \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{|A|}{p} = \frac{r}{p} |A|,$$

where the inequality follows from the fact that the function  $\mathbb{N} \ni n \mapsto n/q^n$  is nonincreasing for any integer  $q \ge 2$ . Hence the average value of I is not bigger than r/p, so there exists some  $\gamma \in A$ , which we fix for the rest of the argument, such that  $I(\gamma) \le [r/p]$ . Let  $A' := \bigcap_{i|\gamma \in A_i} A_j$ .

We claim that  $X^{\gamma} = X^{A'}$ . Since  $\gamma \in A'$ , the inclusion  $X^{A'} \subseteq X^{\gamma}$  is clear. To prove the reverse inclusion observe that, by Smith theory, both  $X^{A'}$  and  $X^{\gamma}$  are acyclic, hence connected, so it suffices to prove that  $T_x X^{\gamma} \subseteq T_x X^A$ . Suppose that  $\theta_j$  maps A to  $\operatorname{GL}(W_j)$ . Let  $T_x X/T_x X^A = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$  be the decomposition in isotypical real representations of A, where  $V_j$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of a number of copies of  $W_j$ . Since we clearly have

$$T_x X^A \oplus \bigoplus_{j \mid \gamma \in A_j} V_j \subseteq T_x X^{A'},$$

it suffices to prove that

$$T_x X^{\gamma} \subseteq T_x X^A \oplus \bigoplus_{j \mid \gamma \in A_j} V_j.$$

The latter is equivalent to proving that  $T_x X^{\gamma} \cap V_i = \operatorname{Ker}(\theta_i^V(\gamma) - \operatorname{Id}) = \{0\}$  for every i such that  $\gamma \notin A_i$  (here  $\theta_i^V : A \to \operatorname{GL}(V_j)$  is given by restricting the action of A on  $T_x X/T_x X^A$  to  $V_j$ ). Since  $V_i$  is isotypical and  $\gamma$  is central in A,  $\operatorname{Ker}(\theta_i^V(\gamma) - \operatorname{Id})$  is either  $\{0\}$  or  $V_i$ . But  $\operatorname{Ker}(\theta_i^V(\gamma) - \operatorname{Id}) = V_i$  would imply  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Ker} \theta_i$ , contradicting the choice of i. Hence  $\operatorname{Ker}(\theta_i^V(\gamma) - \operatorname{Id}) = \{0\}$  and the proof that  $X^{\gamma} = X^{A'}$  is complete.

To finish the proof of the lemma we observe that [A : A'] divides  $\prod_{j|\gamma \in A_j} [A : A_j] = p^{I(\gamma)}$ . Since  $I(\gamma) \leq [r/p]$ , we deduce that [A : A'] divides  $p^{[r/p]}$ .

Now let A be a finite abelian group acting on X. For each prime p let  $A_p \leq A$  denote the *p*-part of A, so that  $A = \prod_p A_p$ . If for some p we have dim  $X^{A_p} \leq 2$ , then the classification of manifolds (with boundary) of dimension at most 2 implies that dim  $X^{A_p}$ is a disk, because dim  $X^{A_p}$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -acyclic; so applying Lemma 5.1 to the action of A on  $X^{A_p}$  we deduce that  $\chi(X^A) = \chi((X^{A_p})^A) = 1$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Hence it suffices to consider the case when dim  $X^{A_p} \geq 3$  for each p. Let  $k = \lfloor (n-3)/2 \rfloor$ . Applying Lemma 5.2 for each prime p we deduce that there exists some subgroup  $A'_2 \leq A_2$ satisfying  $\lfloor A_2 : A'_2 \rfloor \leq 2^k$  and an element  $\gamma_2 \in A'_2$  such that  $X^{\gamma_2} = X^{A'_2}$  and, for each odd prime p, there exists some subgroup  $A'_p \leq A_p$  satisfying  $\lfloor A_p : A'_p \rfloor \leq p^{\lfloor k/p \rfloor}$  and an element  $\gamma_p \in A'_p$  such that  $X^{\gamma_p} = X^{A'_p}$ . Let  $A' = \prod A'_p$  and let  $\gamma = \prod \gamma_p$ . Arguing as in Section 4 we prove that  $X^{\gamma} = X^{A'}$ . Clearly  $\lfloor A : A' \rfloor$  divides f(k), so statement (1) of Theorem 1.4 is proved. Statement (2) follows immediately from statement (1), because none of the odd prime divisors of f(k) is bigger than k.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** We follow a scheme similar to the proof of Theorem 1.4. Let m be a natural number, let p be a prime and let Y be a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology 2m-sphere. For any smooth action of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  on X the fixed point set is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology s-sphere [3, IV.4.3]. Furthermore, if p is odd, the difference 2m - s is even [3, IV.4.4]. Hence we may apply the same inductive scheme as in Lemma 2.2 (or [3, IV.4.5]) and deduce the following.

**Lemma 5.3.** For any odd prime p, any finite p-group A, and any action of A on a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology even dimensional sphere the fixed point set is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology even dimensional sphere (in particular, the fixed point set is nonempty).

The case p = 2 works differently. Suppose that Y is a smooth n-dimensional manifold and that Y is a  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology n-sphere. Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acts smoothly on Y. Then  $Y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology s-sphere [3, IV.4.3]. The fixed point set  $Y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  is also a smooth submanifold of Y and s coincides with the dimension of  $Y^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$  as a manifold. The condition that Y is a  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology sphere implies that Y is compact and orientable. One checks, using Lefschetz' formula [8, Exercise 6.17.3] and arguing in terms of volume forms, that n-s is even if and only if the action of the nontrivial element of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on Y is orientation

14

preserving (this works more generally for continuous actions on finite Hausdorff spaces whose integral homology is finitely generated and whose  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology is isomorphic to  $H_*(S^n; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , by a theorem of Liao [16], see also [3, IV.4.7]).

**Lemma 5.4.** For any finite 2-group A and any smooth action of A on a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ -homology 2m-sphere ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) there exists a subgroup  $A_0 \leq A$  whose index  $[A : A_0]$  divides  $2^{m+1}$  and whose fixed point set  $X^{A_0}$  is a smooth  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology even dimensional sphere (in particular,  $X^{A_0}$  is nonempty).

Proof. We use ascending induction on |A|. The case |A| = 2 being obvious, suppose that |A| > 2 and that the lemma is true for 2-groups with less elements than A. Suppose that A acts smoothly on a compact smooth  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology 2r-sphere Y. If the action of A is not effective, then it factors through a quotient of A, and applying the inductive hypothesis the lemma follows. So assume that the action of A on Y is effective. Let  $A' \leq A$  be the subgroup consisting of those elements whose action is orientation preserving. Then [A : A'] divides 2. Let  $A'' \leq A'$  be a central subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . Then  $Y^{A''}$  is a compact smooth  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology even dimensional sphere satisfying dim  $Y^{A''} \leq 2r - 2$ . Furthermore, A'/A'' acts smoothly on  $Y^{A''}$ . To finish the proof, apply the inductive hypothesis to this action.

Let now X be a smooth homology 2r-sphere and suppose that a finite abelian group A acts smoothly on X. For any prime p let  $A_p \leq A$  denote the p-part. By Lemma 5.3, for any odd prime p the fixed point set  $X^{A_p}$  is an even dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology sphere. By Lemma 5.4,  $A_2$  has a subgroup  $A_{2,0}$  whose index divides  $2^{r+1}$  and whose fixed point set is an even dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology sphere (in particular, it is nonempty). Replace  $A_2$  by  $A_{2,0}$  and define  $A_0 := \prod_p A_p$ , so that  $[A : A_0]$  divides  $2^{r+1}$ .

Suppose that for some prime p the fixed point set  $X^{A_p}$  is 0-dimensional. Then  $X^{A_p}$  consists of two points, A acts on  $X^{A_p}$ , and there is a subgroup  $A' \leq A$  whose index divides 2 and whose action on  $X^{A_p}$  is trivial. It follows that  $|X^{A'}| \geq 2$  and we are done.

Suppose now that for each prime p the fixed point set  $X^{A_p}$  is an even dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ -homology sphere of dimension at least 2. In particular,  $X^{A_p}$  is nonempty and connected for every p, and so is  $X^{A'_p}$  for every subgroup  $A'_p \leq A_p$  (since  $X^{A'_p}$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology sphere and, given the inclusion  $X^{A_p} \subseteq X^{A'_p}$ , the dimension of  $X^{A'_p}$  is at least 2). This property allows to use the same arguments in the proof of Lemma 5.2 to prove the following.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let p be any prime, let  $x \in X^{A_p}$  be any point, and let  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r$  be the different real irreducible representations of  $A_p$  appearing in  $T_x X/T_x X^{A_p}$ . Let  $2l = \dim X^{A_p}$ .

- (1) If p = 2 then  $r \leq 2m 2l$ . If p is odd then  $r \leq m l$ .
- (2) There exists some  $\gamma \in A_p$  and a subgroup  $A'_p \leq A_p$  such that  $X^{\gamma} = X^{A'_p}$  and  $[A_p : A'_p]$  divides  $p^{[r/p]}$ .

By Lemma 5.5 there exists some subgroup  $A'_2 \leq A_2$  satisfying  $[A_2 : A'_2] \leq 2^{2m-2}$  and an element  $\gamma_2 \in A'_2$  such that  $X^{\gamma_2} = X^{A'_2}$  and, for each odd prime p, there exists some

subgroup  $A'_p \leq A_p$  satisfying  $[A_p : A'_p] \leq p^{[m-1/p]}$  and an element  $\gamma_p \in A'_p$  such that  $X^{\gamma_p} = X^{A'_p}$ . Let  $A' = \prod A'_p$  and let  $\gamma = \prod \gamma_p$ . As in Section 4 we have  $X^{\gamma} = X^{A'}$ . The index [A : A'] divides  $2^{m+1}f(m-1)$ , so statement (1) of Theorem 1.5 is proved. Statement (2) follows immediately from statement (1), because none of the odd prime divisors of f(m-1) is bigger than m-1.

### References

- A. Bak, M. Morimoto, The dimension of spheres with smooth one fixed point actions, *Forum Math.* 17 (2005), no. 2, 199–216.
- [2] E.M. Bloomberg, Manifolds with no periodic homeomorphism, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (1975), 67–78.
- [3] A. Borel, Seminar on transformation groups, Ann. of Math. Studies 46, Princeton University Press, N.J., 1960.
- [4] G.E. Bredon, Introduction to compact transformation groups, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46, Academic Press, New York-London (1972).
- [5] N.P. Buchdahl, S. Kwasik, R. Schultz, One fixed point actions on low-dimensional spheres, *Invent. Math.* 102 (1990), no. 3, 633–662.
- [6] P.E. Conner, F. Raymond, P.J. Weinberger, Manifolds with no periodic maps, Proceedings of the Second Conference on Compact Transformation Groups (Univ. Massachusetts, Amherst, Mass., 1971), Part II, pp. 81108. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 299, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [7] K.H. Dovermann, M. Masuda, T. Petrie, Fixed point free algebraic actions on varieties diffeomorphic to ℝ<sup>n</sup>, Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988), 49–80, Progr. Math., 80, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [8] T. tom Dieck, Transformation groups, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 8, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.
- [9] H.M. Farkas, I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 71, Springer (1992).
- [10] O. Haution, On rational fixed points of finite group actions on the affine space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (2017), no. 11, 8277–8290.
- [11] R. Haynes, S. Kwasik, J. Mast, R. Schultz, Periodic maps on ℝ<sup>7</sup> without fixed points, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 132 (2002), no. 1, 131–136.
- [12] M.W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [13] H. Kraft, Algebraic automorphisms of affine space, Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups (New Brunswick, NJ, 1988), 81–105, Progr. Math., 80, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [14] H. Kraft, Challenging problems on affine n-space. Sminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95. Astrisque No. 237 (1996), Exp. No. 802, 5, 295–317.
- [15] H. Kraft, G. Schwarz, Finite automorphisms of affine N-space, Automorphisms of affine spaces (Curaao, 1994), 5566, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [16] S.D. Liao, A theorem on periodic transformations of homology spheres, Ann. of Math. 56 (1952) 68–83.
- [17] L. N. Mann, J. C. Su, Actions of elementary p-groups on manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1963), 115–126.
- [18] H. Minkowski, Zur Theorie der positiven quadratischen Formen, Journal f
  ür die reine und angewandte Mathematik 101 (1887), 196202. (See also Collected Works. I, 212218, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1967.)
- [19] I. Mundet i Riera, Finite groups actions on manifolds without odd cohomology, preprint arXiv:1310.6565.

- [20] I. Mundet i Riera, Finite group actions on 4-manifolds with nonzero Euler characteristic, preprint arXiv:1312.3149.
- [21] I. Mundet i Riera, Finite group actions on homology spheres and manifolds with nonzero Euler characteristic, preprint arXiv:1403.0383, version 2017.
- [22] I. Mundet i Riera, Finite subgroups of Ham and Symp, Math. Annalen 370 (2018) 331–380, DOI 10.1007/s00208-017-1566-7, preprint arXiv:1605.05494.
- [23] I. Mundet i Riera, A. Turull, Boosting an analogue of Jordan's theorem for finite groups, Adv. Math. 272 (2015), 820–836.
- [24] T. Petrie, J.D. Randall, Finite-order algebraic automorphisms of affine varieties, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), no. 2, 203–221.
- [25] V.L. Popov, On the Makar-Limanov, Derksen invariants, and finite automorphism groups of algebraic varieties. In Peter Russells Festschrift, Proceedings of the conference on Affine Algebraic Geometry held in Professor Russells honour, 15 June 2009, McGill Univ., Montreal., volume 54 of Centre de Recherches Mathématiques CRM Proc. and Lect. Notes, pages 289311, 2011.
- [26] V. Puppe, Do manifolds have little symmetry?, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2 (2007), no. 1, 8596.
- [27] J.–P. Serre, Bounds for the orders of the finite subgroups of G(k), Group representation theory, EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2007, pp. 405–450.
- [28] J.-P. Serre, How to use finite fields for problems concerning infinite fields, Arithmetic, geometry, cryptography and coding theory, 183–193, *Contemp. Math.*, 487, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain

*E-mail address*: ignasi.mundet@ub.edu