

## TWISTED ORLICZ ALGEBRAS AND COMPLETE ISOMORPHISM TO OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

SERAP ÖZTOP, EBRAHIM SAMEI, AND VARVARA SHEPELSKA

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, let  $\Omega : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a 2-cocycle, and let  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  be a complementary pair of strictly increasing continuous Young functions. It is shown in [16] that  $(L^\Phi(G), \otimes)$  becomes an Arens regular dual Banach algebra if

$$(0.1) \quad |\Omega(s, t)| \leq u(s) + v(t) \quad (s, t \in G)$$

for some  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}^\Psi(G)$ . We prove if  $L^\Phi(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$  and  $u, v$  in (0.1) can be chosen to belong to  $L^2(G)$ , then  $(L^\Phi(G), \otimes)$  with the maximal operator space structure is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra. We also present further classes of 2-cocycles for which one could obtain such algebras generalizing in part the results of [15]. We apply our methods to compactly generated group of polynomial growth and demonstrate that our results could be applied to variety of cases.

Orlicz spaces represent an important class of Banach function spaces considered in mathematical analysis. This class naturally arises as a generalization of  $L^p$ -spaces and contains, for example, the well-known Zygmund space  $L \log^+ L$  which is a Banach space related to Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions. Orlicz spaces can also contain certain Sobolev spaces as subspaces. Linear properties of Orlicz spaces have been studied thoroughly (see [18] for example). However, until recently, little attention has been paid to their possible algebraic properties, particularly, if they are considered over translation-invariant measurable spaces. One reason might be that, on its own, an Orlicz space is rarely an algebra with respect to a natural product! For instance, it is well-known that for a locally compact group  $G$ ,  $L^p(G)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) is an algebra under the convolution product exactly when  $G$  is compact [20]. Similar results have also been obtained for other classes of Orlicz algebras (see [1], [7], [20] for details).

---

<sup>1</sup>*Date:* September 12, 2018.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30, 43A15, 43A20; Secondary 20J06.

*Key words and phrases.* Orlicz spaces, Young functions, 2-cocycles and 2-coboundaries, locally compact groups, twisted convolution, weights, groups with polynomial growth, operator algebras.

The second named author was partially supported by NSERC Grant no. 409364-2015 and 2221-Fellowship Program For Visiting Scientists And Scientists On Sabbatical Leave from Tubitak, Turkey. The third named author was also partially supported by a PIMS Postdoctoral Fellowship at the University of Saskatchewan.

The preceding results indicate that, in most cases, Orlicz spaces over locally compact groups are simply “too big” to become algebras under convolution. However, it turned out that it is possible for “weighted” Orlicz spaces to become algebras. In fact, weighted  $L^p$ -algebras and their properties have been studied by many authors including J. Wermer on the real line and Yu. N. Kuznetsova on general locally compact groups (see, for example, [9], [10], [12], [21] and the references therein). These spaces have various properties and numerous applications in harmonic analysis. For instance, by applying the Fourier transform, we know that Sobolev spaces  $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T})$  are nothing but certain weighted  $l_\omega^2(\mathbb{Z})$  spaces.

Recently, in [14], A. Osançhol and S. Öztop considered weighted Orlicz algebras over locally compact groups and studied their properties, extending, in part, the results of [9] and [10]. In [15] and [16], the first two-named authors initiated a more general approach by considering the twisted convolution coming from a 2-cocycle  $\Omega$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , the multiplicative group of complex numbers. It is shown in [15] if  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is a complementary pair of strictly increasing continuous Young functions and

$$(0.2) \quad |\Omega(s, t)| \leq u(s) + v(t) \quad (s, t \in G).$$

for some  $u, v \in L^\Psi(G)$ , then  $L^\Phi(G)$ , together with the twisted convolution  $\circledast$  coming from  $\Omega$ , becomes a Banach algebra or a Banach  $*$ -algebra [15, Theorems 3.3 and 4.5]; we called them *twisted Orlicz algebras*. These methods produce abundant families of symmetric Banach  $*$ -algebras in the form of twisted Orlicz algebras, mostly on compactly generated groups with polynomial growth [15, Theorems 5.2 and 5.8]. Moreover, if we can choose  $u, v$  in (0.2) in the subspace  $\mathcal{S}^\Psi(G)$  of  $L^\Psi(G)$  (see Section 1.1 for definition), then  $(L^\Phi(G), \circledast)$  becomes an Arens regular dual Banach algebra [16, Theorem 4.2 and 5.3].

In this paper, we present a general method to obtain 2-cocycles on compactly generated groups with polynomial growth satisfying (0.2). More precisely, if  $G$  is a compactly generated group of polynomial growth and  $\tau$  is the length function on  $G$  (see (2.1)), then we consider those 2-cocycles  $\Omega$  for which  $|\Omega|$  is a 2-coboundary determined by weights of the form

$$\omega(s) = e^{\rho(\tau(s))} \quad (s \in G),$$

where  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an increasing concave function with  $\rho(0) = 0$ . In this case, we show that for

$$u(s) = v(s) = e^{[\rho(2\tau(s)) - 2\rho(\tau(s))]} \quad (s \in G),$$

$\Omega$  satisfies the inequality (0.2). Moreover, we find criterions which enforce  $u \in \mathcal{S}^\Psi(G)$  (see Theorems 2.2 and 2.3). This provided many families of Arens regular dual twisted Orlicz algebra extending, in part, the results of [15] and [16]. Furthermore, in Section 3, we show that if  $L^\Phi(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$  and  $u, v$  in (0.2) can be chosen to belong to  $L^2(G)$ , then  $(L^\Phi(G), \circledast)$  is satisfies a much stronger property namely, it becomes completely isomorphic to an

operator algebra. Here the operator space structure on Orlicz spaces are the maximal one. We also present a wide range of examples of such twisted Orlicz algebras. In particular, we obtain certain weighted  $L^p$ -spaces that are completely isomorphic to operators algebras.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some definitions and state some technical results that will be crucial in the rest of this paper.

**1.1. Orlicz Spaces.** We start by recalling some facts concerning Young functions and Orlicz spaces. Our main reference is [18].

A nonzero function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a Young function if  $\Phi$  is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$ . For a Young function  $\Phi$ , the complementary function  $\Psi$  of  $\Phi$  is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi(y) = \sup\{xy - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\} \quad (y \geq 0).$$

It is easy to check that  $\Psi$  is again a Young function. Also, if  $\Psi$  is the complementary function of  $\Phi$ , then  $\Phi$  is the complementary of  $\Psi$  and  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is called a complementary pair, and for such functions we have the following Young inequality:

$$(1.2) \quad xy \leq \Phi(x) + \Psi(y) \quad (x, y \geq 0).$$

A Young function can have value  $\infty$  at a point, and hence be discontinuous at such a point. However, we always consider the pair of complementary Young functions  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  with both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  being continuous and strictly increasing. In particular, they attain positive values on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Now suppose that  $G$  is a locally compact group with a fixed Haar measure  $ds$  and  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is a complementary pair of Young functions. We define

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{L}^\Phi(G) = \left\{ f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable and } \int_G \Phi(|f(s)|) ds < \infty \right\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}^\Phi(G)$  is not always a linear space, we define the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  to be

$$(1.4) \quad L^\Phi(G) = \left\{ f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int_G \Phi(\alpha|f(s)|) ds < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \right\},$$

where  $f$  indicates a member in an equivalence class of measurable functions with respect to the Haar measure  $ds$ . The Orlicz space becomes a Banach space under the (Orlicz) norm  $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$  defined for  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  by

$$(1.5) \quad \|f\|_\Phi = \sup \left\{ \int_G |f(s)v(s)| ds : \int_G \Psi(|v(s)|) ds \leq 1 \right\},$$

where  $\Psi$  is the complementary function to  $\Phi$ . One can also define the (Luxemburg) norm  $N_\Phi(\cdot)$  on  $L^\Phi(G)$  by

$$(1.6) \quad N_\Phi(f) = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi\left(\frac{|f(s)|}{k}\right) ds \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is known that these two norms are equivalent, that is,

$$(1.7) \quad N_{\Phi}(\cdot) \leq \|\cdot\|_{\Phi} \leq 2N_{\Phi}(\cdot)$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad N_{\Phi}(f) \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_G \Phi(|f(s)|) ds \leq 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}^{\Phi}(G)$  be the closure of the linear space of all step functions in  $L^{\Phi}(G)$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}^{\Phi}(G)$  is a Banach space and contains  $C_c(G)$ , the space of all continuous functions on  $G$  with compact support, as a dense subspace [18, Proposition 3.4.3]. Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}^{\Phi}(G)^*$ , the dual of  $\mathcal{S}^{\Phi}(G)$ , can be identified with  $L^{\Psi}(G)$  in a natural way [18, Theorem 4.1.6]. Another useful characterization of  $\mathcal{S}^{\Phi}(G)$  is that  $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\Phi}(G)$  if and only if for every  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi}(G)$  [18, Definition 3.4.2 and Proposition 3.4.3].

In general, there is a straightforward method to construct various complementary pairs of strictly increasing continuous Young functions as described in [18, Theorem 1.3.3]. Suppose that  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous strictly increasing function with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty$ . Then

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(y) dy$$

is a continuous strictly increasing Young function and

$$\Psi(y) = \int_0^y \varphi^{-1}(x) dx$$

is the complementary Young function of  $\Phi$  which is also continuous and strictly increasing. Here  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  is the inverse function of  $\varphi$ . Here are several families of examples satisfying the above construction (see [13, Proposition 2.11] and [18, Page 15] for more details):

- (1) For  $1 < p, q < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , if  $\Phi(x) = \frac{x^p}{p}$ , then  $\Psi(y) = \frac{y^q}{q}$ .

In this case, the space  $L^{\Phi}(G)$  becomes the Lebesgue space  $L^p(G)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$  is equivalent to the classical norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .

- (2) If  $\Phi(x) = x \ln(1+x)$ , then  $\Psi(x) \approx \cosh x - 1$ .

- (3) If  $\Phi(x) = e^x - x - 1$ , then  $\Psi(x) = (1+x) \ln(1+x) - x$ .

**1.2. 2-Cocycles and 2-Coboundaries.** Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:  $\mathbb{C}^*$  denotes the multiplicative group of complex numbers, i.e.  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  stands for the multiplicative group of positive real numbers, and  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be locally compact groups such that  $H$  is abelian. A (normalized) 2-cocycle on  $G$  with values in  $H$  is a Borel measurable map  $\Omega : G \times G \rightarrow H$  such that

$$(1.9) \quad \Omega(r, s)\Omega(rs, t) = \Omega(s, t)\Omega(r, st) \quad (r, s, t \in G)$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \Omega(r, e_G) = \Omega(e_G, r) = e_H \quad (r \in G).$$

The set of all normalized 2-cocycles will be denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}^2(G, H)$ .

If  $\omega : G \rightarrow H$  is measurable with  $\omega(e_G) = e_H$ , then it is easy to see that the mapping

$$(s, t) \mapsto \omega(st)\omega(s)^{-1}\omega(t)^{-1}$$

satisfies (1.9) and (1.10). Hence, it is a 2-cocycle; such maps are called *2-coboundaries*. The set of 2-coboundaries will be denoted by  $\mathcal{N}^2(G, H)$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{Z}^2(G, H)$  is an abelian group under the product

$$\Omega_1\Omega_2(s, t) = \Omega_1(s, t)\Omega_2(s, t) \quad (s, t \in G),$$

and  $\mathcal{N}^2(G, H)$  is a (normal) subgroup of  $\mathcal{Z}^2(G, H)$ . This, in particular, implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^2(G, H) := \mathcal{Z}^2(G, H)/\mathcal{N}^2(G, H)$$

turns into a group. The latter is called the  *$\mathcal{H}^2$  group cohomology of  $G$  into  $H$*  with the trivial actions (i.e.  $s \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot s = \alpha$  for all  $s \in G$  and  $\alpha \in H$ ).

We are mainly interested in the cases when  $H$  is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , or  $\mathbb{T}$ . One essential observation is that we can view  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{R}_+\mathbb{T}$  as a (pointwise) direct product of groups. Hence, for any 2-cocycle  $\Omega$  on  $G$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $s, t \in G$ , we can (uniquely) write  $\Omega(s, t) = |\Omega(s, t)|e^{i\theta}$  for some  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ . Therefore, if we put

$$(1.11) \quad |\Omega|(s, t) := |\Omega(s, t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}(s, t) := e^{i\theta},$$

then  $\Omega = |\Omega|\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}$  (in a unique way) and the mappings  $|\Omega|$  and  $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}$  are 2-cocycles on  $G$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  respectively.

**1.3. Twisted Orlicz algebras.** In this section we present and summarize what we need from the theory of twisted Orlicz algebras. These are taken from [15]. Throughout this section,  $G$  is a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure  $ds$ .

**Definition 1.2.** We denote  $\mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  to be the group of *bounded 2-cocycles on  $G$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}^*$*  which consists of all element  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\Omega \in L^\infty(G \times G)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}}$  is continuous.

We also define  $\mathcal{Z}_{bw}^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  to be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  consisting of elements  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  for which

$$|\Omega|(s, t) = \frac{\omega(st)}{\omega(s)\omega(t)} \quad (s, t \in G),$$

where  $\omega : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a locally integrable measurable function with  $\omega(e) = 1$  and  $1/\omega \in L^\infty(G)$ . In this case, we call  $\omega$  a *weight* on  $G$  and say that  $|\Omega|$  is the *2-coboundary determined by  $\omega$* , or alternatively,  $\omega$  is the *weight associated to  $|\Omega|$* . We also say that  $\omega$  is *symmetric* if  $\omega(s) = \omega(s^{-1})$  for all  $s \in G$ .

Now suppose that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  and  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable functions on  $G$ . We define the *twisted convolution of  $f$  and  $g$  under  $\Omega$*  to be

$$(1.12) \quad f \circledast g(t) = \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t)\Omega(s, s^{-1}t)ds \quad (t \in G)$$

It follows routinely that for every  $f, g \in L^1(G)$ ,  $f \circledast g \in L^1(G)$  with  $\|f \circledast g\|_1 \leq \|\Omega\|_\infty \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ . We conclude that  $(L^1(G), \circledast)$  becomes a Banach algebra; it is called the *twisted group algebra* (see [15, Section 2] for more details).

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$  and  $\circledast$  be the twisted convolution coming from  $\Omega$ . We say that  $(L^\Phi(G), \circledast)$  is a *twisted Orlicz algebra* if  $(L^\Phi(G), \circledast, \|\cdot\|_\Phi)$  is a Banach algebra, i.e. there is  $C > 0$  such that for every  $f, g \in L^\Phi(G)$ ,  $f \circledast g \in L^\Phi(G)$  with

$$\|f \circledast g\|_\Phi \leq C \|f\|_\Phi \|g\|_\Phi.$$

In [15, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3], sufficient conditions on  $\Omega$  were found under which the twisted convolution (1.12) turns an Orlicz space to a twisted Orlicz algebra.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ .*

- (i)  $L^\Phi(G)$  is a Banach  $L^1(G)$ -bimodule with respect to the twisted convolution (1.12) having  $\mathcal{S}^\Phi(G)$  as an essential Banach  $L^1(G)$ -submodule.
- (ii) Suppose that there exist non-negative measurable functions  $u$  and  $v$  in  $L^\Psi(G)$  such that

$$(1.13) \quad |\Omega(s, t)| \leq u(s) + v(t) \quad (s, t \in G).$$

Then for every  $f, g \in L^\Phi(G)$ , the twisted convolution (1.12) is well-defined on  $L^\Phi(G)$  so that  $(L^\Phi(G), \circledast)$  becomes a twisted Orlicz algebra having  $\mathcal{S}^\Phi(G)$  as a closed subalgebra.

## 2. TWISTED ORLICZ ALGEBRAS ON GROUPS WITH POLYNOMIAL GROWTH

Let  $G$  be a compactly generated group with a fixed compact symmetric generating neighborhood  $U$  of the identity.  $G$  is said to have *polynomial growth* if there exist  $C > 0$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lambda(U^n) \leq Cn^d \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Here  $\lambda(S)$  is the Haar measure of any measurable  $S \subseteq G$  and

$$U^n = \{u_1 \cdots u_n : u_i \in U, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The smallest such  $d$  is called *the order of growth* of  $G$  and is denoted by  $d(G)$ . It can be shown that the order of growth of  $G$  does not depend on the symmetric generating set  $U$ , i.e. it is a universal constant for  $G$ .

It is immediate that compact groups are of polynomial growth. More generally, every  $G$  with the property that the conjugacy class of every element in  $G$  is relatively compact has polynomial growth [17, Theorem 12.5.17]. Also every (compactly generated) nilpotent group (hence an abelian group) has polynomial growth [17, Theorem 12.5.17].

Using the generating set  $U$  of  $G$  we can define a *length function*  $\tau_U : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_U(s) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s \in U^n\} \quad \text{for } s \neq e, \quad \tau_U(e) = 0.$$

When there is no fear of ambiguity, we write  $\tau$  instead of  $\tau_U$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\tau$  is a symmetric subadditive function on  $G$ , i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(st) \leq \tau(s) + \tau(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(s) = \tau(s^{-1}) \quad (s, t \in G).$$

The length function  $\tau$  can be used to construct many classes of weights on  $G$ . In fact, if  $\rho : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is an increasing subadditive function with  $\rho(0) = 0$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \omega(s) = e^{\rho(\tau(s))} \quad (s \in G)$$

is a weight on  $G$ . For instance, for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $C > 0$ , we can define the *polynomial weight*  $\omega_\beta$  on  $G$  of order  $\beta$  by

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_\beta(s) = (1 + \tau(s))^\beta \quad (s \in G),$$

and the *subexponential weights*  $\sigma_{\alpha, C}$  and  $\nu_{\beta, C}$  on  $G$  by

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma_{\alpha, C}(s) = e^{C\tau(s)^\alpha} \quad (s \in G),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nu_{\gamma, C}(s) = e^{\frac{C\tau(s)}{(\ln(1+\tau(s)))^\gamma}} \quad (s \in G).$$

In [15, Chapter 5] it is shown how one could apply condition (1.13) and Theorem 1.4 to obtain twisted Orlicz algebras to compactly generated groups of polynomial growth. In this section we will extend the methods presented in [15, Chapter 5] and find a general criterion that can be applied to weights of the form (2.3). First, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a weight on  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that the sequence*

$$\left\{ \frac{\sigma(n+1)}{\sigma(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$

*is decreasing. Then for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,*

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\sigma(m+n)}{\sigma(m)\sigma(n)} \leq \frac{\sigma(2m)}{\sigma(m)^2} + \frac{\sigma(2n)}{\sigma(n)^2}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the result follows if either  $m$  or  $n$  is zero. For simplicity, let

$$\mu_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n = \frac{\sigma(n)}{\sigma(n-1)} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Suppose that  $m \geq n \geq 1$ . Then, by our assumption, we have that  $\mu_{m+k} \leq \mu_{n+k}$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Hence,

$$\prod_{k=0}^n \mu_k \prod_{k=1}^n \mu_{m+k} \leq \prod_{k=0}^{2n} \mu_k.$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{k=0}^n \mu_k \prod_{k=0}^{m+n} \mu_k \leq \prod_{k=0}^m \mu_k \prod_{k=0}^{2n} \mu_k,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sigma(n)\sigma(m+n) \leq \sigma(m)\sigma(2n).$$

The last inequality implies that

$$\frac{\sigma(m+n)}{\sigma(m)\sigma(n)} \leq \frac{\sigma(2n)}{\sigma(n)^2}.$$

Similarly, when  $n \geq m \geq 1$ , we get

$$\frac{\sigma(m+n)}{\sigma(m)\sigma(n)} \leq \frac{\sigma(2m)}{\sigma(m)^2}.$$

Putting the above two relations together, we get (2.7).  $\square$

In order to obtain twisted Orlicz algebras, a critical part of our argument is the condition (1.13). Even though this condition might be difficult to verify in general, we present a simple yet useful decomposition which can be applied to a wide class of weights.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a compactly generated group of polynomial growth,  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an increasing concave function with  $\rho(0) = 0$ , and  $\omega$  be the weight on  $G$  defined in (2.3). Then, for every  $s, t \in G$ ,*

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\omega(st)}{\omega(s)\omega(t)} \leq u(s) + u(t),$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad u(s) = e^{[\rho(2\tau(s)) - 2\rho(\tau(s))]} \quad (s \in G).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the function defined by

$$\sigma(x) = e^{\rho(x)} \quad (x \geq 0).$$

Since  $\rho$  is concave, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\frac{\rho(n+2) + \rho(n)}{2} \leq \rho(n+1).$$

Therefore,  $\left\{ \frac{\sigma(n+1)}{\sigma(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{e^{\rho(n+1) - \rho(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is decreasing and so, by Lemma 2.1,  $\sigma|_{\mathbb{N}_0}$  satisfies (2.7). Now take  $s, t \in G$  and put  $m = \tau(s)$  and  $n = \tau(t)$ . Since  $\rho$  is increasing and  $\tau(st) \leq \tau(s) + \tau(t)$ , we have

$$\omega(st) = e^{\rho(\tau(st))} \leq e^{\rho(m+n)} = \sigma(m+n).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\omega(st)}{\omega(s)\omega(t)} \leq \frac{\sigma(m+n)}{\sigma(m)\sigma(n)} \leq \frac{\sigma(2m)}{\sigma(m)^2} + \frac{\sigma(2n)}{\sigma(n)^2} = u(s) + u(t).$$

$\square$



We are now ready to present the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\omega$  be as in Theorem 2.2 and  $\Omega_{\mathbb{T}} \in \mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{T})$ . Suppose that  $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$ , where  $u$  is the function defined in (2.9). Then  $(L^{\Phi}(G), \otimes)$  is an Arens regular dual Banach algebra. This, in particular, happens in either of the following cases:*

- (i)  $u\omega \in L^{\infty}(G)$  and  $\omega^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $\rho$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and the function

$$(2.10) \quad v(s) = e^{[\tau(s)^2 q'(\tau(s))]} \quad (s \in G)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$ , where  $q(x) = \rho(x)/x$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ;

- (iii)  $\rho$  is twice-differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 \rho''(x) < -d/l,$$

where  $d := d(G)$  is the order of growth of  $G$  and  $l \geq 1$  is such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Psi(x)}{x^l}$  exists. Here we may allow  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 \rho''(x)$  to be  $-\infty$ .

*Proof.* It follows from our hypothesis, (2.8), and [16, Theorems 4.2 and 5.3] that  $(L_{\omega}^{\Phi}(G), \otimes_{\mathbb{T}})$  is an Arens regular dual Banach algebra. Hence it remains to prove that any one of the conditions (i)-(iii) implies that  $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$ .

If (i) holds, then clearly  $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$  since  $\mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$  is a  $L^{\infty}(G)$ -module under pointwise product.

Now suppose that (ii) holds. Then, for every  $x > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(2x) - 2\rho(x) &= 2x[q(2x) - q(x)] \\ &= \frac{q(2x) - q(x)}{(-2x)^{-1} - (-x)^{-1}} \\ &= y^2 q'(y), \end{aligned}$$

for some  $y \in (x, 2x)$ . However, it is easy to see that  $(x^2 q'(x))' = x\rho''(x) < 0$  so that  $x^2 q'(x)$  is decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore,

$$\rho(2x) - 2\rho(x) \leq x^2 q'(x) \quad (x > 0).$$

This, together with (2.9) and (2.10), imply that  $0 < u \leq v$  and so  $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\Psi}(G)$  by [18, Definition 3.4.2 and Proposition 3.4.3].

Finally, suppose that (iii) holds. Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 q'(x) = -\infty$ . Indeed, since  $(x^2 q'(x))' = x\rho''(x)$ , (iii) implies that there exists  $x_0 > 0$  and  $a > 0$  such that  $(x^2 q'(x))' \leq -\frac{a}{x}$  for every  $x \geq x_0$ , and the desired result follows by integration. Hence we can apply the L'Hospital's Rule to obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^2 q'(x)}{\ln(1+x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x\rho''(x)}{(1+x)^{-1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 \rho''(x) < -d/l.$$

Therefore, there is  $k < -d/l$  such that when  $x$  is large enough,

$$e^{x^2 q'(x)} \leq (1+x)^k.$$

So, by part (ii), it suffices to prove that the function

$$f(s) = (1 + \tau(s))^k \quad (s \in G)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^\Psi(G)$ . However, this is verified in the proof of [15, Corollary 5.3]. This completes our proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* (i) Since twisted Orlicz spaces generalize weighted  $L^p$ -spaces where one natural condition related to  $L^p(G, \omega)$  becoming a Banach algebra is that  $\omega^{-1} \in L^q(G)$  (see [9, Theorem 3]), it would have been nice to have that  $(L_\omega^\Phi(G), \otimes_{\mathbb{T}})$  becomes a Banach algebra provided  $\omega^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}^\Psi(G)$ . However, an example presented in Appendix A shows that the methods of Theorem 2.3 cannot guarantee such a result even under some natural additional assumptions on the function  $\rho$ .

(ii) we note that Theorem 2.3 provides a different proof of [16, Corollaries 4.3 and 5.4]. Also it gives rise to new classes of twisted Orlicz algebras.

### 3. OPERATOR ALGEBRA

**3.1. Operator spaces.** We will briefly remind the reader about the basic properties of operator spaces. We refer the reader to [6] for further details concerning the notions presented below.

An (*abstract*) *operator space* is a vector space  $V$  together with a family  $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}$  of Banach space norms on  $M_n(V)$  stratifying certain relations (see [6, p. 20]). Let  $V$  and  $W$  be operator spaces, and let  $\theta : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. The completely bounded norm of  $\theta$  is defined by

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} = \sup_n \{\|\theta_n\|\}$$

where  $\theta_n : M_n(V) \rightarrow M_n(W)$  is given by

$$\theta_n([v_{ij}]) = [\theta(v_{ij})].$$

We say that  $\theta$  is completely bounded if  $\|\theta\|_{cb} < \infty$ ; is completely contractive if  $\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq 1$  and is a complete isometry if each  $\theta_n$  is an isometry. It is a celebrated result of Ruan that every abstract operator space is completely isometric with a *concrete operator space*, i.e. a closed subspace of  $B(H)$  for a Hilbert space  $H$  [6, Theorem 2.3.5]. In the latter case, the matrix norms are given by the canonical identification  $M_n(B(H)) \cong B(H^n)$ .

Given two operator spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , we let  $CB(V, W)$  denote the space of all completely bounded maps from  $V$  to  $W$ . Then  $CB(V, W)$  becomes a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{cb}$  and is in fact an operator space via the identification  $M_n(CB(V, W)) \cong CB(V, M_n(W))$ . This, in particular, induces a canonical operator space structure on  $V^*$  ([6, Section 3.2]).

It is well-known that every Banach space can be given an operator space structure, though not necessarily in a unique way. The smallest and largest matrix norms that can be considered on a Banach space  $V$  are called the *minimal* and *maximal* operator space structure; they are denoted by  $\text{Min } V$  and  $\text{Max } V$ . It can be shown that for any operator space  $W$  and bounded

maps  $\theta : \text{Max } V \rightarrow W$  and  $\vartheta : W \rightarrow \text{Min } V$ , both  $\theta$  and  $\vartheta$  are completely bounded with  $\|\theta\|_{cb} = \|\theta\|$  and  $\|\vartheta\|_{cb} = \|\vartheta\|$  ([6, Section 3.3]).

Given two operator spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , there are many ways to define operator space matrix norm on the algebraic tensor product  $V \otimes W$ . In this paper, we consider two of them: the *operator space projective tensor product*  $V \widehat{\otimes} W$  and the *Haagerup tensor product*  $V \otimes^h W$ . (see [6, Sections 7 and 8] for definition and more details). We highlight the following canonical complete isometry for all operators spaces  $V, W, Z$

$$(3.1) \quad CB(V \widehat{\otimes} W, Z) \cong CB(V, CB(W, Z)).$$

We also note that if  $E, F$  are Banach spaces and  $E \otimes^\gamma F$  is their Banach space projective tensor product, then we have the complete isometric identification ([6, Eq. (8.2.6)]):

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Max } F \widehat{\otimes} \text{Max } E \cong \text{Max } (E \otimes^\gamma F).$$

A Banach algebra  $A$  that is also an operator space is called a *quantized Banach algebra* if the multiplication map

$$m : A \widehat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A, \quad u \otimes v \mapsto uv$$

is completely bounded. Moreover, an operator space  $X$  is called a *completely bounded  $A$ -bimodule*, if  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule and if the maps

$$A \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow X, \quad u \otimes x \mapsto ux$$

and

$$X \widehat{\otimes} A \rightarrow X, \quad x \otimes u \mapsto xu$$

are completely bounded. In general, if  $X$  is a completely bounded  $A$ -bimodule, then its dual space  $X^*$  is a completely bounded  $A$ -bimodule via the actions

$$(u \cdot x^*)(x) = x^*(xu) \quad , \quad (x^* \cdot u)(x) = x^*(ux)$$

for every  $u \in A, x \in X$ , and  $x^* \in X^*$ . We note that for any Banach algebra  $A$ ,  $\text{Max } A$  is always a quantized Banach algebras and any Banach  $A$ -bimodule is a completely bounded  $\text{Max } A$ -bimodule.

For a Hilbert space  $H$ , we let  $H_c$  and  $H_r$  denote the *column* and *row Hilbert operator spaces* on  $H$ , respectively (see [6, Section 3.4]). If we let  $\overline{H}$  to be the conjugate Hilbert space of  $H$ , then we have the following complete isometries [6, Theorem 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.4.2]:

$$(3.3) \quad B(H) \cong CB(H_c) \quad \text{and} \quad B(\overline{H}) \cong CB(H_r).$$

Here the first the mapping is the identity map whereas the second one is given by

$$(3.4) \quad \langle \overline{T}(\bar{h}), \bar{k} \rangle_{\overline{H}} = \langle h, T(k) \rangle_H \quad (T \in CB(H_r)).$$

Finally, by [6, Proposition 9.3.2], for every operator space  $V$ , we have the following complete isometries:

$$(3.5) \quad V \otimes^h H_c \cong V \widehat{\otimes} H_c \quad , \quad H_r \otimes^h V \cong H_r \widehat{\otimes} V.$$

**3.2. Twisted Orlicz algebras as operator algebras.** Let  $A$  be a quantized Banach algebra. We say that  $A$  is *(completely) isomorphic to an operator algebra* if there is an operator algebra (i.e. closed subalgebra)  $B \subseteq B(H)$  and a (completely) bounded linear isomorphism  $\rho : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\rho^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$  is also (completely) bounded. There are interesting examples of (nontrivial) quantized Banach algebras isomorphic to operator algebra. For instance, for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the spaces  $\text{Min } l^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) with pointwise product and the Schatten Spaces  $\text{Min } S_p$  endowed with the Schur product are operator algebras ([2, Corollary 5.4.11]), [3], [5], [19]).

In [19], Varopoulos showed that certain weighted group algebras on integers are isomorphic to operator algebras. His results were generalized in [8] where it was shown that  $l^1(G, \omega)$  is isomorphic to an operator algebra when  $G$  is a finitely generated group of polynomial growth and  $\omega$  is either the polynomial weight (2.4) with  $1/\omega \in l^2(G)$  or the subexponential weight (2.5) (see [8, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5]). We point out that since every operator algebra is Arens regular and isomorphism preserve Arens regularity, one cannot extend the results of [8] to a non-discrete case [4].

In this section, we show that a subclass of twisted Orlicz algebras which were shown to be Arens regular in [16] are in fact completely isomorphic to operator algebras. Interestingly, in contrast to the weighted group algebras, it is not necessary for the underlying group to be discrete to obtain our result. We first need the following two technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and let  $u \in L^2(G)$ . Then the mappings  $R_c : L_c^2(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)$  and  $R_r : L_r^2(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)$  defined by*

$$(3.6) \quad R_c(f) = R_r(f) = fu \quad (f \in L^2(G))$$

*are completely bounded. Here  $L_c^2(G)$  and  $L_r^2(G)$  are column and row Hilbert operator space on  $L^2(G)$ , respectively, and the operator space structure on  $L^1(G)$  is the maximal operator space.*

*Proof.* We know that the mappings

$$L : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G)) , L(\xi)(f) = f\xi$$

and

$$\bar{L} : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow B(\overline{L^2(G)}) , L(\xi)(\bar{f}) = \bar{f}\xi$$

are \*-isomorphisms between von Neumann algebras so that they are complete isometries. By applying the identifications (3.1), (3.3), and (3.4), it follows routinely that the mappings

$$m_c : L^\infty(G) \widehat{\otimes} L_c^2(G) \rightarrow L_c^2(G) , \xi \otimes f \mapsto f\xi$$

and

$$m_r : L^\infty(G) \widehat{\otimes} L_r^2(G) \rightarrow L_r^2(G) , \xi \otimes f \mapsto f\xi$$

are completely bounded. In other words,  $L_c^2(G)$  and  $L_r^2(G)$  are operator  $L^\infty(G)$ -bimodule and so are their dual  $L_c^2(G)^*$  and  $L_r^2(G)^*$ . In particular,

for every  $F \in L^2(G)^*$ , the mappings

$$L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L_c^2(G)^* , \xi \mapsto \xi \cdot F$$

and

$$L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L_r^2(G)^* , \xi \mapsto \xi \cdot F$$

are completely bounded, where  $(\xi \cdot F)(f) = F(\xi f)$  ( $f \in L^2(G)$ ). However, a straightforward computation together with Riesz representation theorem shows that the preceding maps are exactly  $R_c^*$  and  $R_r^*$ , respectively, where  $R_c$  and  $R_r$  are the mappings defined in (3.6) and  $F \in L^2(G)^*$  is defined by  $F(f) = \int_G f(s)u(s)ds$  ( $f \in L^2(G)$ ). Thus, by [6, Proposition 3.2.2],  $R_c$  and  $R_r$  are completely bounded.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and  $L \in L^\infty(G \times G)$ . Then the operators*

$$(3.7) \quad m_{\Phi,1} : L^\Phi(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^1(G) \rightarrow L^\Phi(G)$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad m_{1,\Phi} : L^1(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^\Phi(G) \rightarrow L^\Phi(G)$$

given by ( $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  and  $g \in L^1(G)$ )

$$m_{\Phi,1}(f \otimes g)(t) = \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t)L(s, s^{-1}t)dt \quad (s \in G),$$

and

$$m_{1,\Phi}(g \otimes f)(t) = \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t)L(s, s^{-1}t)dt \quad (s \in G),$$

are well-defined and completely bounded. Here the operator space structures on  $L^\Phi(G)$  and  $L^1(G)$  are the maximal operator space.

*Proof.* We will show that  $m_{\Phi,1}$  is well-defined and completely bounded. The other case can be proven similarly.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\|L\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Since  $L^\Phi(G)$  and  $L^1(G)$  are maximal operator spaces, by (3.2), it suffices to show that  $m_{\Phi,1}$  is defined and bounded on the Banach space projective tensor product  $L^\Phi(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^1(G)$ . To this end, for every  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  and  $g \in L^1(G)$ , a routine calculation shows that

$$|m_{\Phi,1}(f \otimes g)| \leq |f| * |g|.$$

Therefore, by (1.6), Theorem 1.4(i) and the fact that  $\Phi$  is increasing, we have that

$$N_\Phi(m_{\Phi,1}(f \otimes g)) \leq N_\Phi(|f| * |g|) \leq CN_\Phi(f)\|g\|_1,$$

where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $f$  and  $g$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this section whose proof relies on two crucial facts: a decomposition of the twisted convolution on Orlicz spaces similar to the one presented in [16, Theorem 5.2] as well as the modern approaches of characterization of abstract operator algebras using the theory of operator spaces. In the latter case, we use the well-known result of D. Blecher that a quantized Banach algebra  $A$  is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra if and only if the multiplication mapping from  $A \otimes A$  into  $A$  extends to a completely bounded map from  $A \otimes^h A$  into  $A$  ([2, Theorem 5.2.1]).

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}_b^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . Suppose that  $L^\Phi(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$  and there exist non-negative measurable functions  $u, v \in L^2(G)$  satisfying (1.13). Then  $(L^\Phi(G), \otimes)$ , with the maximal operator space structure, is a twisted Orlicz algebra which is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra.*

*Proof.* We first note that since  $L^\Phi(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$ , a standard application of the closed graph theorem shows that the inclusion is bounded so that there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|f\|_2 \leq CN_\Phi(f) \quad (f \in L^\Phi(G)).$$

Moreover, by [18, Lemma 6.2.1 and Corollary 6.2.2],  $L^2(G) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^\Psi(G)$ . In particular, by our hypothesis and Theorem 1.4,  $(L^\Phi(G), \otimes)$  is a twisted Orlicz algebra. Now suppose that

$$\Gamma : L^\Phi(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^\Phi(G) \rightarrow L^\Phi(G) \quad , \quad \Gamma(f \otimes g) = f \otimes g,$$

is the multiplication map on  $L^\Phi(G)$ . By our hypothesis and (1.13), we can take an element  $L \in L^\infty(G \times G)$  with  $\|L\|_\infty \leq 1$  such that

$$\Omega(s, t) = L(s, t) \left( u(s) + v(t) \right) \quad (s, t \in G).$$

Hence for every  $f, g \in L^\Phi(G)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes g)(t) &= \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t)\Omega(s, s^{-1}t)ds \\ &= \int_G f(s)u(s)g(s^{-1}t)L(s, t)ds + \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t)v(s^{-1}t)L(s, t)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can write

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2,$$

where

$$\Gamma_1(f \otimes g)(s) := \int_G f(s)u(s)g(s^{-1}t)L(s, s^{-1}t)ds$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(f \otimes g)(s) := \int_G f(s)g(s^{-1}t)v(s^{-1}t)L(s, s^{-1}t)ds.$$

Therefore, to show that  $L^\Phi(G)$  is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra, by Blecher's result ([2, Theorem 5.2.1]), it suffices to show that  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  extend to completely bounded maps from  $L^\Phi(G) \otimes^h L^\Phi(G)$  into  $L^\Phi(G)$ . However this holds since we have the following chain of completely bounded maps that extend  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 : L^\Phi(G) \otimes^h L^\Phi(G) &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\Phi,r} \otimes id} L_r^2(G) \otimes^h L^\Phi(G) \\ &\cong L_r^2(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^\Phi(G) \xrightarrow{R_c \otimes id} L^1(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^\Phi(G) \xrightarrow{m_{1,\Phi}} L^\Phi(G) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2 : L^\Phi(G) \otimes^h L^\Phi(G) &\xrightarrow{id \otimes \iota_{\Phi,c}} L^\Phi(G) \otimes^h L_c^2(G) \\ &\cong L^\Phi(G) \widehat{\otimes} L_c^2(G) \xrightarrow{id \otimes R_c} L^\Phi(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^1(G) \xrightarrow{m_{\Phi,1}} L^\Phi(G). \end{aligned}$$

Here the mappings  $R_c$ ,  $R_r$ ,  $m_{\Phi,1}$ , and  $m_{1,\Phi}$  are defined in (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) which are shown to be completely bounded in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. Also, we are using (3.5) and the facts that formal identities  $\iota_{\Phi,r} : L^\Phi(G) \rightarrow L_r^2(G)$  and  $\iota_{\Phi,c} : L^\Phi(G) \rightarrow L_c^2(G)$  are completely bounded since they are bounded and  $L^\Phi(G)$  and  $L^1(G)$  are maximal operator spaces.  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we will show how one can obtain a wide range of twisted Orlicz algebras satisfying the assumption of Theorem 3.3 so that they are isomorphic to operator algebras. We start by looking at how one could embed an Orlicz space into the space of  $L^2$ -integrable functions, a necessary condition in Theorem 3.3. Luckily, using the results of [18], we can formulate a straightforward criterion to verify the existence of such embedding.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Then  $L^\Phi(G) \subseteq L^2(G)$  if either one of the following conditions holds:*

(i)  *$G$  is compact and there is  $K > 0$  and  $x_0 \geq 0$  such that*

$$(3.9) \quad Kx^2 \leq \Phi(x) \quad \text{for all } (x \geq x_0 \geq 0);$$

(ii)  *$G$  is discrete and there is  $K > 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that*

$$(3.10) \quad Kx^2 \leq \Phi(x) \quad \text{for all } (x_0 \geq x \geq 0);$$

(iii)  *$G$  is noncompact and there is  $K > 0$  such that*

$$(3.11) \quad Kx^2 \leq \Phi(x) \quad \text{for all } (x \geq 0).$$

*Proof.* Parts (i) and (iii) follow from [18, Theorem 5.1.3]. To prove (ii), let  $f \in l^\Phi(G)$ . By (1.4), there is  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\sum_{s \in G} \Phi(\alpha|f(s)|) < \infty$  implying that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s) = 0$  as  $\Phi$  is continuous and strictly increasing. Thus, by (3.10), there is  $K > 0$  such that

$$K\alpha^2|f(s)|^2 \leq \Phi(\alpha|f(s)|) \quad (s \in G).$$

Therefore,

$$K\alpha^2 \sum_{s \in G} |f(s)|^2 \leq \sum_{s \in G} \Phi(\alpha|f(s)|) < \infty,$$

i.e.  $f \in l^2(G)$ . □

*Remark 3.5.* We can construct a large family of Young functions satisfying (3.9) or (3.10). Let  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  be a complementary pair of continuous strictly increasing Young functions. Define  $\Phi_0(x) = \Phi(x^2)$  ( $x \geq 0$ ). It is clear that  $\Phi_0$  is a continuous strictly increasing Young function whose complementary Young function  $\Psi_0$  also has the same property (see the discussion in [18, Page 10]). Moreover,

$$\Phi(1)x^2 \leq \Phi(x^2) = \Phi_0(x) \quad (x \geq 1).$$

Thus,  $\Phi_0$  satisfies (3.9). On the other hand, we can show that  $\Psi_0$  satisfies (3.10). For this we choose  $a > 0$  such that  $\Phi(1) < \frac{1}{a}$ , and then for  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{a}$  we will have

$$\Phi_0(ax) = \Phi(a^2x^2) \leq (\Phi(1)a^2)x^2, \quad \text{where } \Phi(1)a^2 < a.$$

Combining this with the Young inequality (1.2), we obtain

$$ax^2 \leq \Phi_0(ax) + \Psi_0(x) \leq (\Phi(1)a^2)x^2 + \Psi_0(x) \quad \left(0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{a}\right).$$

Hence,

$$(a - \Phi(1)a^2)x^2 \leq \Psi_0(x) \quad \left(0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{a}\right).$$

Similar results can also be obtained if we replace  $\Phi_0$  with the Young function  $\Phi_1(x) = \Phi(x)^2$  and  $\Psi_0$  with  $\Psi_1$ , the complementary Young function of  $\Phi_1$ .

We can now present many classes of twisted Orlicz algebras completely isomorphic to operator algebras on compactly generated groups of polynomial growth. In the case where the group is discrete, this can be compared with [8, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5].

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a compactly generated group of polynomial growth,  $\Omega \in \mathcal{Z}_{bw}^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , and  $\omega$  be the weight associated to  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $\omega$  is either of the following weights:*

- (i)  $\omega = \omega_\beta$ , the polynomial weight (2.4) with  $1/\omega \in L^2(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $\omega = \sigma_{\alpha, C}$ , the subexponential weight (2.5);
- (iii)  $\omega = \rho_{\gamma, C}$ , the subexponential weight (2.6).

*Then the following holds:*

- (1)  $(L^2(G) \cap L^\Phi(G), \otimes)$  is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra.
- (2) If  $G$  is compact and  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.9), then  $(L^\Phi(G), \otimes)$  is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra.
- (3) If  $G$  is discrete and  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.10), then  $(l^\Phi(G), \otimes)$  is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra.

*Proof.* For (1), let  $\tilde{\Phi}(x) = x^2 + \Phi(x)$  ( $x \geq 0$ ). It is clear that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a strictly increasing continuous Young function whose complementary Young function is also continuous and strictly increasing [18, Corollary 1.3.2 and Theorem 1.3.3]. Also,  $L^2(G) \cap L^\Phi(G)$  is the Orlicz space associated to  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Therefore,



the result follows from Proposition 3.4(iii) and Theorem 3.3. The statements (2) and (3) follow from part (1) and Proposition 3.4(i) and (ii).  $\square$

The method presented in Remark 3.5 is very useful in constructing Young functions satisfying (3.9) or (3.10). However, this is certainly not the only way as we see below.

*Remark 3.7.* Suppose that  $\Phi''$  exists on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Since  $\Phi'$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\Psi$  is strictly increasing and continuous, it follows from [18, Corollary 1.3.2 and Theorem 1.3.3] that  $\Phi'_+(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi'(x) = \infty$ . Thus, by applying repeatedly the L'Hospital's rule, we get the following:

- (i)  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.9) if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi''(x) \neq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.10) if  $\Phi''_+(0) \neq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.11) iff  $\Phi$  satisfies both (3.9) and (3.10).

We can apply the above criterions to various Young functions such as a few we point out below:

- (1) If  $\Phi(x) = x^2/2 + x^p/p$ , then  $L^\Phi(G) = L^2(G) \cap L^p(G)$ . In this case,  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.9) if  $p \geq 2$  and it satisfies (3.10) if  $1 < p \leq 2$ .
- (2) If  $\Phi(x) = x^\alpha \ln(1+x)$  ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ), then  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.9) if  $\alpha > 2$  and it satisfies (3.10) if  $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ .
- (3) If  $\Phi(x) = \cosh x - 1$ , then  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.11).
- (4) If  $\Phi(x) = e^x - x - 1$ , then  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.11).
- (5) If  $\Phi(x) = (1+x) \ln(1+x) - x$ , then  $\Phi$  satisfies (3.10).

*Example 3.8.* Let  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  be the group of  $d$ -dimensional integers. A usual choice of generating set for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is

$$F = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mid x_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\}.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$(3.12) \quad |F^n| = (2n+1)^d \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Now suppose that  $1 < p, q < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Let  $\omega_\beta$  be the polynomial weight on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  defined in (2.4). Then, by Theorem 2.3 and [11, Theorem 3.2],  $l_{\omega_\beta}^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is a Banach algebra if and only if  $\beta > d/q$ . Thus, by Corollary 3.6,  $l_{\omega_\beta}^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is completely isomorphic to an operator algebra if  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $\beta > d/2$ . On the other hand,  $l_\omega^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is always completely isomorphic to an operator algebra if  $\omega$  is either of the subexponential weights (2.5) or (2.6).

## APPENDIX A. AN EXAMPLE

*Example A.1.* We build a function  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following:

- (i)  $\rho(0) = 0$  and  $\rho$  is increasing;
- (ii)  $\rho$  is concave;
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(x)}{x} = 0$ ;

(iv)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(n)}$  converges;

(v)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\rho(2n)-2\rho(n)}$  diverges.

*Proof.* In order for (iv) to be satisfied, we make sure that  $\rho$  satisfies

$$(A.1) \quad \rho(n) \geq 2 \ln n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this case  $e^{-\rho(n)} \leq e^{-2 \ln n} = n^{-2}$ , and so the series from (iv) will be majorized by convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ .

Next we notice that concavity of  $\rho$  implies that the sequence  $e^{\rho(2n)-2\rho(n)}$  is non-increasing. To prove this, it would be enough to show that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

$$2\rho(n+1) - \rho(2n+2) \geq 2\rho(n) - \rho(2n) \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$2(\rho(n+1) - \rho(n)) \geq (\rho(2n+2) - \rho(2n+1)) + (\rho(2n+1) - \rho(2n)).$$

Since  $\rho$  is concave, we have that  $\{\rho(n+1) - \rho(n)\}$  is a non-increasing sequence, which readily implies the above inequality.

It is known that for a non-increasing sequence  $a_n \geq 0$  convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na_n = 0$ . Hence, in order to satisfy (v), it would be enough to make sure that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ne^{\rho(2n)-2\rho(n)} \neq 0$ . For this, in turn, it would be enough to have the following:

$$(A.2) \quad \forall N > 0 \exists n \geq N : 2\rho(n) - \rho(2n) = \ln n,$$

in which case  $ne^{\rho(2n)-2\rho(n)} = ne^{-\ln n} = 1$ .

We remark the following geometrical interpretation of the quantity  $2\rho(n) - \rho(2n)$ : if we connect the points  $(n, \rho(n))$  and  $(2n, \rho(2n))$  on the graph of  $\rho$  with a straight line, then its  $y$ -intercept ( $y$ -coordinate of the point of intersection with the  $y$ -axis) will be equal to  $2\rho(n) - \rho(2n)$ . With this in mind, we pick any  $n_1 > 2$  and consider the point  $P_1(0, \ln n_1)$  on the  $y$ -axis. We build a tangent line to the graph of  $2 \ln x$  through  $P_1$ . Let this tangent touch the curve  $y = 2 \ln x$  at the point  $(x_1, 2 \ln x_1)$ . Then, on one hand, the slope of this tangent is  $2/x_1$ , and on the other hand, it is equal to  $(2 \ln x_1 - \ln n_1)/(x_1 - 0)$ :

$$\frac{2 \ln x_1 - \ln n_1}{x_1} = \frac{2}{x_1} \Rightarrow 2 \ln x_1 = \ln n_1 + 2 \Rightarrow x_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2} \ln n_1 + 1} = e\sqrt{n_1}.$$

We then define  $\rho(x)$  on  $[n_1, 2n_1]$  so that its graph coincides with our tangent:

$$(A.3) \quad \rho(x) = \ln n_1 + \frac{2x}{e\sqrt{n_1}}, \quad x \in [n_1, 2n_1].$$

It follows that

$$(A.4) \quad 2\rho(n_1) - \rho(2n_1) = \ln n_1,$$

and we also have

$$(A.5) \quad \frac{\rho(n_1)}{n_1} = \frac{\ln n_1 + \frac{2\sqrt{n_1}}{e}}{n_1}.$$

We now want to choose  $n_2 > 2n_1$  and build  $\rho$  on  $[n_2, 2n_2]$  in the same way as we built it on  $[n_1, 2n_1]$ . We need to make sure that the pieces of tangents can be connected in such a way that  $\rho$  is concave on  $[n_1, 2n_2]$ . The slope of  $\rho$  on  $[n_1, 2n_1]$  is  $\frac{2}{e\sqrt{n_1}}$  and the slope of  $\rho$  on  $[n_2, 2n_2]$  is  $\frac{2}{e\sqrt{n_2}} < \frac{2}{e\sqrt{n_1}}$ . This means that we only need to make sure that the line containing the graph of  $\rho$  on  $[n_1, 2n_1]$  and the line containing the graph of  $\rho$  on  $[n_2, 2n_2]$  intersect at a point whose  $x$ -coordinate is between  $2n_1$  and  $n_2$ . From (A.3) we get that the coordinates  $(t_1, s_1)$  of this point of intersection satisfy the following:

$$s_1 = \ln n_1 + \frac{2t_1}{e\sqrt{n_1}} = \ln n_2 + \frac{2t_1}{e\sqrt{n_2}}.$$

Hence,

$$t_1 = \frac{\ln n_2 - \ln n_1}{\frac{2}{e\sqrt{n_1}} - \frac{2}{e\sqrt{n_2}}} = \frac{e \ln \frac{n_2}{n_1} \sqrt{n_1 n_2}}{2(\sqrt{n_2} - \sqrt{n_1})} = \frac{e \ln \frac{n_2}{n_1} (n_1 \sqrt{n_2} + n_2 \sqrt{n_1})}{2(n_2 - n_1)}.$$

We want  $2n_1 < t_1 < n_2$ , i.e.,

$$4n_2 n_1 - 4n_1^2 \leq e \ln \frac{n_2}{n_1} (n_1 \sqrt{n_2} + n_2 \sqrt{n_1}) \leq 2n_2^2 - 2n_2 n_1.$$

Comparing the orders of growth in  $n_2$  in the three formulas above, we see that this inequality will be satisfied for large enough  $n_2$ . This guarantees that if we define  $\rho$  on  $(2n_1, n_2)$  by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \ln n_1 + \frac{2x}{e\sqrt{n_1}}, & x \in (2n_1, t_1] \\ \ln n_2 + \frac{2x}{e\sqrt{n_2}}, & x \in (t_1, n_2) \end{cases},$$

then  $\rho$  will be concave on  $[n_1, 2n_2]$ .

We can continue our process in the same way by choosing  $n_3, n_4, \dots$  and defining piecewise linear  $\rho$  in the same manner. We can also define  $\rho$  on  $[0, n_1]$  so that it satisfies (i) and (ii).

Now we check that conditions (i)-(v) are satisfied for our function  $\rho$ . We already verified (ii), and (i) is fulfilled since the slopes of  $\rho$  on all  $[n_k, 2n_k]$  are positive. Because (A.5) holds for all  $n_k$  and  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n_{k+1} \geq 2n_k$ ), we have that  $\frac{\rho(n_k)}{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ . Since we already proved that  $\rho$  is concave and  $\rho(0) = 0$ , we know that  $\frac{\rho(n)}{n}$  is decreasing, and so (iii) follows. Our function  $\rho$  satisfies (A.1) because the function  $2 \ln x$  is concave, and hence lies below all of its tangents. This asserts that (iv) holds for  $\rho$ . Finally, since (A.4) takes place for every  $n_k$  and  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have (A.2) which implies (v).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Akbarbaglu and S. Maghsoudi, *Banach-Orlicz algebras on a locally compact group*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 10 (2013), 1937-1947.
- [2] D. P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy, *Operator algebras and their modules—an operator space approach*. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 30. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [3] J. Briët, H. Buhрман, T. Lee, T. Vidick, *All Schatten spaces endowed with the Schur product are  $Q$ -algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, Issue 1, 2012, 1-9.
- [4] I. G. Craw and N. J. Young, *Regularity of multiplication in weighted group algebras and semigroup algebras*, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* (2), 25 (1974), 351-358.
- [5] A. M. Davie, *Quotient algebras of uniform algebras*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **7** (1973), 31–40.
- [6] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New series, vol. 23, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [7] H. Hudzik, A. Kamińska, A.; Musielak, J. *On some Banach algebras given by a modular. A. Haar memorial conference*, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1985), 445-463, *Colloq. Math. Soc. Jnos Bolyai*, 49, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [8] H. H. Lee, E. Samei, N. Spronk, *Some weighted group algebras are operator algebras*, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) 58 (2015), no. 2, 499-519.
- [9] Yu. N. Kuznetsova, *Weighted  $L^p$ -algebras on groups*. (Russian) *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 40 (2006), no. 3, 82–85; translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 40 (2006), no. 3, 234236 46J10.
- [10] Yu. N. Kuznetsova, *The invariant weighted algebras  $L^p(G, \omega)$* . (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* 84 (2008), no. 4, 567-576; translation in *Math. Notes* 84 (2008), no. 3-4, 529-537
- [11] Yu. N. Kuznetsova. *Example of a weighted algebra  $L_p^w(G)$  on an uncountable discrete group*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 353 (2009), no. 2, 660-665.
- [12] Yu. N. Kuznetsova and C. Molitor-Braun, *Harmonic analysis of weighted  $L^p$ -algebras*, *Expo. Math.* 30 (2012), no. 2, 124-153.
- [13] W. A. Majewski and L. E. Labuschagne, *On applications of Orlicz spaces to statistical physics*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 15 (2014), no. 6, 1197-1221.
- [14] A. Osançlıol and S. Öztıp, *Weighted Orlicz algebras on locally compact groups*, 99 (2015), 399-414
- [15] S. Öztıp and E. Samei, *Twisted Orlicz algebras, I*, *Studia Math.* **236** (2017), no. 3, 271-296.
- [16] S. Öztıp and E. Samei, *Twisted Orlicz algebras, II*, arXiv:1704.02350.
- [17] T. W. Palmer, *Banach algebras and general theorem of  $*$ -algebras*, II. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [18] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991).
- [19] N.T. Varopoulos, *Some remarks on  $Q$ -algebras*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **22** (1972), no. 4, 1-11.
- [20] S. Saeki, *The  $L^p$ -conjecture and Young's inequality*, *Illinois J. Math.* 34 (1990), no. 3, 614-627.
- [21] J. Wermer, *On a class of normed rings*, *Ark. Mat.* 2, (1954). 537-551.

TWISTED ORLICZ ALGEBRAS AND COMPLETE ISOMORPHISM TO OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ISTANBUL UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* [oztops@istanbul.edu.tr](mailto:oztops@istanbul.edu.tr)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, S7N 5E6, CANADA

*E-mail address:* [samei@math.usask.ca](mailto:samei@math.usask.ca)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, S7N 5E6, CANADA

*E-mail address:* [shepelska@gmail.com](mailto:shepelska@gmail.com)