

Nonparametric regression estimation for quasi-associated Hilbertian processes

Lahcen DOUGE

LaMSAFA, FSTG, University Cadi Ayyad, B.P. 549 Marrakech Morocco

Abstract

We establish the asymptotic normality of the kernel type estimator for the regression function constructed from quasi-associated data when the explanatory variable takes its values in a separable Hilbert space.

Keywords: Quasi-associated processes ; Asymptotic normality

1. Introduction

The study of statistical models adapted to infinite dimensional data has been the subject of several works in the recent statistical literature (see Bosq (2000), Ramsay and Silverman (2002), Ferraty and Vieu (2004)).

In this paper we investigate nonparametric estimation of the regression function when the explanatory variable is functional and taking values in a separable Hilbert space and the response is scalar. We establish the asymptotic normality of the Nadaraya-Watson type estimator for the regression functional for quasi-associated processes. The asymptotic properties of this estimator have been studied by Ferraty and Vieu (2004) and Masry (2005) in the case of strongly mixing processes.

The concept of quasi-association was introduced for real-valued random fields by Bulinski and Suquet (2001) and provides a unified approach to studying both families of positively or negatively associated and gaussian random variables. This notion is a special case of weak dependence introduced by Doukhan and Louhichi (1999) for real-valued random variables.

Before recalling the definition of quasi-association for real random vectors, denote by

$$\text{Lip}(h) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|}{\|x - y\|_{\mathcal{F}}}$$

the Lipschitz modulus of a function $h : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where \mathcal{F} is some normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Definition 1.1. A sequence of random vectors $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with values in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, is said to be quasi-associated if for any finite and disjoint subsets $I, J \subset \mathbb{N}$ and all bounded Lipschitz functions $f : \mathbb{R}^{|I|d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R}^{|J|d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\left| \text{Cov}(f(X_i, i \in I), g(X_j, j \in J)) \right| \leq \text{Lip}(f)\text{Lip}(g) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k, l=1}^d |\text{Cov}(X_i^k, X_j^l)|,$$

where $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of I , X_i^k denotes the k th component of X_i .

Now we introduce a definition of quasi-association for random variables with values in a separable Hilbert space similar to the notion of weakly association for Hilbertian processes in Burton *et al.* (1986).

Definition 1.2. A sequence $(Z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of random variables taking values in a separable Hilbert space $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called quasi-associated, with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{e_k, k \geq 1\}$ in \mathcal{E} , if for any $d \geq 1$, the d -dimensional sequence $\left\{ (\langle Z_i, e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle Z_i, e_d \rangle), i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ is quasi-associated.

Some examples of quasi-associated Hilbertian processes are given in Douge (2010).

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable real Hilbert space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ generated by an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $(X, Y), (X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots$ be a sequence of stationary quasi-associated and identically distributed random variables in the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let x be a fixed element of \mathcal{H} . the regression operator of $\varphi(Y)$ on X is defined by

$$r(x) := \mathbb{E}(\varphi(Y)|X = x),$$

where φ is a real-valued Borel function defined on \mathbb{R} . The estimate we consider here is of kernel type defined by

$$r_n(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(Y_i) K\left(\frac{\|x - X_i\|}{h_n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{\|x - X_i\|}{h_n}\right)},$$

where K is a kernel function and $\{h_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of positive constants such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nh_n \rightarrow \infty$.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$. Set

$$\Delta_i(x) := K\left(\frac{\|x - X_i\|}{h_n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_i(x) := \varphi(Y_i) K\left(\frac{\|x - X_i\|}{h_n}\right).$$

Now define

$$f_n(x) := \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g_n(x) := \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i(x).$$

So that $r_n(x) = g_n(x)/f_n(x)$.

Define also the truncated kernel estimator of $r(x)$ by $\hat{r}_n(x) = \hat{g}_n(x)/f_n(x)$, where

$$\hat{g}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Gamma}_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Gamma}_i(x) := \varphi(Y_i) \mathbb{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_i)| \leq b_n\}} \Delta_i(x),$$

where $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ denoting a positive sequence such that $b_n \rightarrow \infty$.

Denote

$$\lambda_{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(X_i^k, X_j^l)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(X_i^k, Y_j)| + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(Y_i, X_j^l)| + |\text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j)|,$$

where $X_i^k := \langle X_i, e_k \rangle$ and $\lambda_k = \sup_{s \geq k} \sum_{|i-j| \geq s} \lambda_{i,j}$.

Let $D(x) := \|x - X_1\|$ a real-valued nonnegative random variable. Denote its distribution by $F(u, x) := P(D(x) \leq u)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Gasser *et al.* (1998) assume that if there exist a function ϕ such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} F(u, x)/\phi(u) =: f(x)$, then they refer to f as a probability density of X_1 .

2. Assumptions and main results

As usual in nonparametric functional estimation problems, we introduce the following assumptions which we need to establish the main result.

Assumptions

A1 (i) There exist some constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that for $0 < u < c_1$

$$0 < c_2\phi(u)f_1(x) \leq F(u, x) \leq c_3\phi(u)f_1(x),$$

where $\phi(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and f_1 is a function from \mathcal{H} to \mathbb{R}^+ .

(ii)

$$\sup_{i \neq j} P(D_i(x) \leq u, D_j(x) \leq u) \leq c_4\psi(u)f_2(x),$$

where $\psi(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and f_2 is a function from \mathcal{H} to \mathbb{R}^+ . We assume that the ratio $\psi(u)/\phi(u)^2$ is bounded.

A2 (i) $0 < c_5 \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]} \leq K \leq c_6 \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}$ for some constants c_5 and c_6 .

(ii) K is a Lipschitz function.

(iii) ϕ is derivable and $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{u}{\phi(u)} \int_0^1 K^j(y)\phi'(uy)dy := C_j, j = 1, 2$.

A3 (i) φ is a Lipschitz function.

(ii) $E \exp(|\varphi(Y_1)|) < \infty$.

(iii) $|r(u) - r(v)| \leq c_7 \|u - v\|^\beta, u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, for some $\beta > 0$ and $c_7 > 0$.

(iv) The function $g_2(u) := E(\varphi^2(Y_1)|X_1 = u), u \in \mathcal{H}$, exists and is uniformly continuous in some neighborhood of x .

Assumption A1(i) is inspired from the work of Gasser *et al.* (1998) and assumption A1(ii) gives the behavior of the joint distribution $(D_i(x), D_j(x))$. Assumptions A2(i)(ii) are standard for K and assumption A2(iii) is necessary to obtain an expression of the asymptotic variance. Assumptions A3(i)(iii)(iv) are a mild smoothness assumptions on the regression functional r and the function φ .

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that conditions (A1)-(A3) hold. Suppose in addition that $\phi(h_n) = \mathcal{O}(h_n^b)$, $(\log n)^2 \phi(h_n)^{a\delta - (1 + \frac{2}{b})} \rightarrow 0$ and $n\phi(h_n)^{1+2\delta} \rightarrow \infty$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$ and $b > 0$. If $\lambda_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-a})$ for some $a > \frac{2+b}{\delta b}$, then*

$$\sqrt{n\phi(h_n)}(g_n(x) - E g_n(x)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2(x)) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\sqrt{n\phi(h_n)}\left([g_n(x) - r(x)f_n(x)] - E[g_n(x) - r(x)f_n(x)]\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2(x)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\sigma_1^2(x) := \frac{C_2 g_2(x)}{C_1^2 f_1(x)}$ and $\sigma_2^2(x) := \frac{C_2 g_2(x) - r^2(x)}{C_1^2 f_1(x)}$.

Corollaire 2.1. *Suppose that conditions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Suppose in addition that $\phi(h_n) = \mathcal{O}(h_n^b)$ and $nh_n^{2\beta}\phi(h_n) \rightarrow 0$, $n\phi(h_n)^{1+2\delta} \rightarrow \infty$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$ and $b > 0$. If $\lambda_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-a})$ for some $a > \frac{2+b}{\delta b}$, then*

$$\sqrt{n\phi(h_n)}(r_n(x) - r(x)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2(x)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

3. Proofs

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we first introduce the following two lemmas. Denote by $BL(\mathcal{E}^m)$, with m a strictly positive integer, the set of Lipschitz and bounded functions $f : \mathcal{E}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We equip \mathcal{E}^m with the norm $\|x\|_{\mathcal{E}^m} = \sum_{s=1}^m \|x_s\|_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{E}^m$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the norm induced by the inner product on \mathcal{E} . Throughout the demonstrations, denote by C different constants whose values are allowed to change.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a quasi-associated sequence of random variables with values in \mathcal{E} . Let $f \in BL(\mathcal{E}^{|I|})$, $g \in BL(\mathcal{E}^{|J|})$, for some finite disjoint subsets $I, J \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\left| \text{Cov}(f(Z_i, i \in I), g(Z_j, j \in J)) \right| \leq \text{Lip}(f)\text{Lip}(g) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(Z_i^k, Z_j^l)|.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_k, k \geq 1\}$ is an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{E} and let $F : \mathbb{R}^{|I|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G : \mathbb{R}^{|J|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two functions such that

$$F(\langle x_i, e_k \rangle, 1 \leq k \leq n, i \in I) = f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_i, e_k \rangle e_k, i \in I\right), \quad x_i \in \mathcal{E}, i \in I$$

and

$$G(\langle x_j, e_k \rangle, 1 \leq k \leq n, j \in J) = g\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_j, e_k \rangle e_k, j \in J\right), \quad x_j \in \mathcal{E}, j \in J.$$

f and g are continuous and bounded, then by the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Cov}(f(X_i, i \in I), g(X_j, j \in J))| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \text{Cov}\left(f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n X_i^k e_k, i \in I\right), g\left(\sum_{k=1}^n X_j^k e_k, j \in J\right)\right) \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \text{Cov}(F(X_i^k, 1 \leq k \leq n, i \in I), G(X_j^k, 1 \leq k \leq n, j \in J)) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Next, by quasi-association of the sequence (X_n) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Cov}(F(X_i^k, 1 \leq k \leq n, i \in I), G(X_j^k, 1 \leq k \leq n, j \in J)) \right| \\ & \leq \text{Lip}(F)\text{Lip}(G) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n |\text{Cov}(X_i^k, X_j^l)| \\ & \leq \text{Lip}(f)\text{Lip}(g) \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n |\text{Cov}(X_i^k, X_j^l)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The proof is completed by (2) and (3). ■

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that conditions (A1)-(A3) hold. Suppose that $\lambda_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-a})$ for some $a > 1 + \frac{2}{b}$. If $\phi(h_n) = \mathcal{O}(h_n^b)$ and $(\log n)^{4/\gamma} \phi(h_n) \rightarrow 0$, for $\gamma = 1 - \frac{2+b}{ab}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$n\phi(h_n)\text{Var}(g_n(x)) = \sigma_1^2(x) + o(1)$$

and

$$n\phi(h_n)\text{Var}(g_n(x) - r(x)f_n(x)) = \sigma_2^2(x) + o(1).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}g_n(x) &= \text{E} [g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x) - \text{E}(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x))]^2 + \text{Var}\hat{g}_n(x) \\ &\quad + 2\text{E} [(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x) - \text{E}(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x))) (\hat{g}_n(x) - \text{E}\hat{g}_n(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

By A3 (ii) and by using Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(n\phi(h_n)) \text{E} |g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x) - \text{E}(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x))|^2 \\ &\leq C^2 \frac{n^2}{n\phi(h_n)} \text{E} [|\varphi(Y_1)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)| > b_n\}} \Delta_1^2(x)] \\ &\leq C^q \frac{n^2}{n\phi(h_n)} (\text{E}|\varphi(Y_1)|^4)^{1/2} n^{-b_0/2} (\text{E}(\exp|\varphi(Y_1)|))^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for $b_n = b_0 \log(n)$, $b_0 > 0$, large enough.

$$\text{Var}\hat{g}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n [\text{E}\Delta_1(x)]^2} \text{Var}\hat{\Gamma}_1(x) + \frac{1}{n^2 [\text{E}\Delta_1(x)]^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \text{Cov}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x)). \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\hat{\Gamma}_1(x) &= \text{E}(\varphi^2(Y_1) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)| \leq b_n\}} \Delta_1^2(x)) - (\text{E}(\varphi(Y_1) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)| \leq b_n\}} \Delta_1(x)))^2 \\ &= \text{E}(\varphi^2(Y_1) \Delta_1^2(x)) - \text{E}(\varphi^2(Y_1) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)| > b_n\}} \Delta_1^2(x)) \\ &\quad - (\text{E}(\varphi(Y_1) \Delta_1(x)) - \text{E}(\varphi(Y_1) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)| > b_n\}} \Delta_1(x)))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{E}(\varphi^2(Y_1) \Delta_1^2(x)) &= \text{E}(g_2(X_1) \Delta_1^2(x)) \\ &= g_2(x) \text{E}(\Delta_1^2(x)) + \text{E}((g_2(X_1) - g_2(x)) \Delta_1^2(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By condition A3(iv),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{E}((g_2(X_1) - g_2(x)) \Delta_1^2(x)) &\leq \sup_{\{u: \|x-u\| \leq h\}} |g_2(u) - g_2(x)| \text{E}(\Delta_1^2(x)) \\ &= o(1) \text{E}\Delta_1^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\text{E}(\varphi^2(Y_1) \Delta_1^2(x)) = (g_2(x) + o(1)) \text{E}\Delta_1^2(x).$$

By condition A3(iii),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\varphi(Y_1)\Delta_1(x)) &= r(x)\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x) + \mathbb{E}((r(X_1) - r(x))\Delta_1(x)) \\ &= (r(x) + \mathcal{O}(h_n^\beta))\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{1}{\phi(h_n)}\mathbb{E}[\Delta_1^j(x)] = \frac{1}{\phi(h_n)}\int_0^{h_n} K^j(u/h_n)dF(u;x) \rightarrow C_j f_1(x).$$

On the other hand, using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi^2(Y_1)\mathbb{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)|>b_n\}}\Delta_1^2(x)) \leq Cb_n^{2-s}$$

and

$$|\mathbb{E}(\varphi(Y_1)\mathbb{1}_{\{|\varphi(Y_1)|>b_n\}}\Delta_1(x))| \leq Cb_n^{1-s}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\phi(h_n)}{[\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)]^2}\text{Var}\hat{\Gamma}_1(x) \rightarrow \frac{C_2}{C_1^2}\frac{g_2(x)}{f_1(x)}. \quad (6)$$

Next, we start by studying the sum in the right hand side of (5). We will use the following natural decomposition, in which (v_n) is some sequence of positive integers

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \text{Cov}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ 0 < |i-j| \leq v_n}}^n \text{Cov}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ |i-j| > v_n}}^n \text{Cov}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x)). \quad (7)$$

It follows from the assumptions A1, A2(i), for $i \neq j$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x)\hat{\Gamma}_j(x)) \right| &\leq b_n^2 \mathbb{E}|\Delta_i(x)\Delta_j(x)| \\ &\leq Cb_n^2 \sup_{i \neq j} P[(X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{B}(x, h_n) \times \mathcal{B}(x, h_n)] \\ &\leq Cb_n^2 \phi(h_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E}(\hat{\Gamma}_1(x)) \right| &\leq b_n \mathbb{E}|\Delta_1(x)| \\ &\leq b_n P[X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(x, h_n)] \\ &\leq Cb_n \phi(h_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ 0 < |i-j| \leq v_n}}^n \left| \text{Cov}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x)) \right| &\leq nv_n \left[\max_{i \neq j} \left| \mathbb{E}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x)\hat{\Gamma}_j(x)) \right| + \left(\mathbb{E}\hat{\Gamma}_1(x) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq Cnv_n b_n^2 \phi(h_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 3.1 to the sequence (Z_i) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ |i-j|>v_n}}^n \left| \text{Cov} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x) \right) \right| &\leq C b_n^2 h_n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ |i-j|>v_n}}^n \lambda_{ij} \\ &\leq C n b_n^2 h_n^{-2} \lambda_{v_n}. \end{aligned}$$

By this choice of (b_n) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n\phi(h_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \text{Cov} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x) \right) &\leq C \left[b_n^2 \phi(h_n) v_n + b_n^2 \phi(h_n)^{-1} h_n^{-2} v_n^{-a} \right] \\ &\leq C \left[\log^2(n) \phi(h_n) v_n + \log^2(n) \phi(h_n)^{-(1+\frac{2}{b})} v_n^{-a} \right], \end{aligned}$$

Let $\kappa = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}$, then by choosing v_n such that $v_n = [\phi(h_n)^{-\kappa}]$, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{n\phi(h_n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \text{Cov} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x) \right) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

Then,

$$n\phi(h_n) \text{Var} \hat{g}_n(x) \rightarrow \frac{C_2 g_2(x)}{C_1^2 f_1(x)}. \quad (9)$$

The proof is completed by showing that

$$\begin{aligned} &(n\phi(h_n)) \mathbb{E} \left[(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x))) (\hat{g}_n(x) - \mathbb{E} \hat{g}_n(x)) \right] \\ &\leq \left[(n\phi(h_n)) \mathbb{E} (g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}(g_n(x) - \hat{g}_n(x)))^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[(n\phi(h_n)) \text{Var} \hat{g}_n(x) \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows by replacing $\varphi(Y_i)$ with $\varphi(Y_i) - r(x)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The basic technique in establishing (1) consists in splitting the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into k large p -blocks and small q -blocks, to be denoted by I_j and J_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &= \{(j-1)(p+q) + 1, \dots, (j-1)(p+q) + p\}, \\ J_j &= \{(j-1)(p+q) + p + 1, \dots, j(p+q)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p = p_n$, $q = q_n$ are positive integers tending to ∞ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $k = k_n$ is defined by $k = [n/(p+q)]$ ($[x]$ stands for the integral part of x).

We suppose that

$$\frac{qk}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{pk}{n} \rightarrow 1.$$

Define

$$Z_{ni}(x) = \frac{\phi(h_n)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n} \mathbb{E}(\Delta_1(x))} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x) - \mathbb{E} \hat{\Gamma}_i(x) \right).$$

and

$$S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{ni}(x).$$

Now, we want to show that

$$\sqrt{n\phi(h_n)}(g_n(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x)) - S_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}^2} 0 \quad (10)$$

and

$$S_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2(x)). \quad (11)$$

(10) is proved as in (4) by using Hölder inequality and by choosing $b_n = b_0 \log(n)$.

Let us prove (11).

For $j = 1, \dots, k$, let η_j, ξ_j, ζ_k be defined as follows

$$\eta_j := \sum_{i \in I_j} Z_{ni}(x), \quad \xi_j := \sum_{i \in J_j} Z_{ni}(x), \quad \zeta_k := \sum_{i=k(p+q)+1}^n Z_{ni}(x),$$

so that

$$S_n = \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j + \zeta_k =: T_n + T'_n + T''_n.$$

Convergence (11) will be established by showing that

$$T_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2(x)) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(T'_n)^2 + \mathbb{E}(T''_n)^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (13)$$

The proof of convergence in (12) consists in using characteristic functions and showing the following two results

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (14)$$

and

$$k \text{Var}(\eta_1) \rightarrow \sigma_1^2(x), \quad k \mathbb{E} \left(\eta_1^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta_1 > \varepsilon \sigma_1(x)\}} \right) \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

(15) is the standard Lindeberg-Feller condition for asymptotic normality of T_n under independence.

Proof of convergence in (13). By using stationarity,

$$\mathbb{E}[T'_n]^2 \leq k \text{Var}(\xi_1) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |\text{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_j)| \quad (16)$$

and

$$k \text{Var}(\xi_1) \leq qk \text{Var}(Z_{n1}(x)) + 2k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} |\text{Cov}(Z_{ni}(x), Z_{nj}(x))|. \quad (17)$$

The first term in the right-hand side of (17) can be treated by means of (6)

$$qk \text{Var} Z_{n1}(x) = \frac{qk\phi(h_n)}{n [\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)]^2} \text{Var} \hat{\Gamma}_1(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

The second term can be treated by using the same decomposition given in (7)

$$\begin{aligned} k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} |\text{Cov}(Z_{ni}, Z_{nj})| &= \frac{k\phi(h_n)}{n [\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)]^2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} \left| \text{Cov} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x) \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{kq}{n} [b_n^2 \phi(h_n) v_n + b_n^2 \phi(h_n)^{-1} h_n^{-2} v_n^{-a}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then as in (8), it follows that

$$k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} |\text{Cov}(Z_{ni}, Z_{nj})| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19)$$

Once again, by stationarity,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |\text{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_j)| &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (k-l) |\text{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_{l+1})| \\ &\leq k \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} |\text{Cov}(\xi_1, \xi_{l+1})| \\ &\leq pk \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{r=l(p+q)-q+1}^{l(p+q)+q-1} |\text{Cov}(Z_{n1}, Z_{n,r+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |\text{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_j)| &\leq C \frac{b_n^2 pk}{nh_n^2 \phi(h_n)} \lambda_p \\ &\leq C \log^2(n) \phi(h_n)^{-(1+\frac{2}{b})} \lambda_p. \end{aligned}$$

Now, define p and q as follows

$$p \sim \phi(h_n)^{-\delta_1}, \quad q \sim \phi(h_n)^{-\delta_2}, \quad 0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1 < \delta.$$

We can choose δ_1 and δ_2 such that $a > \frac{2+b}{\delta_2 b}$, then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |\text{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_j)| \leq C \log^2(n) \phi(h_n)^{a\delta_1 - (1+\frac{2}{b})} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (20)$$

From (16), (18), (19) and (20) it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(T'_n)^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T_n'']^2 &\leq (n - k(p+q)) \text{Var}(Z_{n1}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\text{Cov}(Z_{ni}, Z_{nj})| \\ &\leq p \text{Var}(Z_{n1}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\text{Cov}(Z_{ni}, Z_{nj})| \\ &\leq \frac{p\phi(h_n)}{n [\mathbb{E}\Delta_1(x)]^2} \text{Var}(\hat{\Gamma}_1(x)) + \frac{C}{n\phi(h_n)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Cov}(\hat{\Gamma}_i(x), \hat{\Gamma}_j(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument we find using (6) and (8),

$$\mathbb{E}(T_n'')^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of convergence in (14).

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \eta_j} \right) \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_k} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \text{Cov} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \eta_j}, e^{it \eta_k} \right) \right| + \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By a repetition of this argument, inequality (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \text{Cov} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \eta_j}, e^{it \eta_k} \right) \right| + \left| \text{Cov} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \eta_j}, e^{it \eta_{k-1}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \dots + \left| \text{Cov} \left(e^{it \eta_2}, e^{it \eta_1} \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Apply Lemma 3.1 to each term on the right-hand side of (22) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| &\leq Ct^2 \frac{\phi(h_n) b_n^2}{nh_n^2 [\mathbb{E} \Delta_1(x)]^2} \left[\sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in I_2} \lambda_{ij} + \sum_{i \in (I_1 \cup I_2)} \sum_{j \in I_3} \lambda_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \sum_{i \in (I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{k-1})} \sum_{j \in I_k} \lambda_{ij} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By stationarity, the inequality (23) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| &\leq Ct^2 \frac{\phi(h_n) b_n^2}{nh_n^2 [\mathbb{E} \Delta_1(x)]^2} \left[(k-1) \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in I_2} \lambda_{ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k-2) \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in I_3} \lambda_{ij} + \dots + \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in I_k} \lambda_{ij} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Once again, by stationarity, for every $2 \leq l \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{j \in I_l} \lambda_{ij} &= \lambda_{1, (l-1)(p+q) - p + 2} + 2\lambda_{1, (l-1)(p+q) - p + 3} + \dots + (p-1)\lambda_{1, (l-1)(p+q)} \\ &\quad + p\lambda_{1, (l-1)(p+q) + 1} + (p-1)\lambda_{1, (l-1)(p+q) + 2} + \dots + \lambda_{1, (l-1)(p+q) + p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, inequality (24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j} \right) - \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E} \left(e^{it \eta_j} \right) \right| &\leq Ct^2 \frac{\phi(h_n) b_n^2}{nh_n^2 [\mathbb{E} \Delta_1(x)]^2} pk \lambda_q \\ &\leq Ct^2 \frac{b_n^2 pk}{nh_n^2 \phi(h_n)} \lambda_q \\ &\leq C \log^2(n) \phi(h_n)^{a\delta_2 - (1 + \frac{2}{\delta})} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of convergence in (15). By (6) and (19), we have

$$k\text{Var}(\eta_1) = kp\text{Var}(Z_{n1}) + 2k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |\text{Cov}(Z_{ni}, Z_{nj})| \rightarrow \sigma_1^2(x).$$

Then, since $|\eta_1| \leq C \frac{b_n p}{(n\phi(h_n))^{1/2}}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} k\mathbb{E}(\eta_1^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta_1 > \varepsilon \sigma_1(x)\}}) &\leq C \frac{kb_n^2 p^2}{n\phi(h_n)} P(\eta_1 > \varepsilon \sigma_1(x)) \\ &\leq C \frac{b_n^2 p^2}{n\phi(h_n)} \frac{k\text{Var}(\eta_1)}{\varepsilon^2 \sigma_1^2(x)} \\ &\leq C \frac{\log^2(n)}{n\phi(h_n)^{1+2\delta}} \frac{k\text{Var}(\eta_1)}{\varepsilon^2 \sigma_1^2(x)} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion is an application of the first one when replacing $\phi(Y_i)$ with $\phi(Y_i) - r(x)$. \blacksquare

Proof of Corollaire 2.1. Consider the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(x) - r(x) &= (g_n - rf_n)(x) - \mathbb{E}((g_n - rf_n)(x)) \\ &\quad - (r(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x)) + (r(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x))(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x)) \\ &\quad - (g_n(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x))(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x)) + r_n(x)(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(n\phi(h_n))^{1/2} [r(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x)] = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(n\phi(h_n)h_n^{2\beta}\right)^{1/2}\right),$$

$$(n\phi(h_n))^{1/2} (r(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x)) \mathbb{E}[(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x))] = \mathcal{O}\left(h_n^\beta\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(n\phi(h_n))^{1/2} \mathbb{E}|(g_n(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x))(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x))| \\ &\leq (n\phi(h_n))^{1/2} [\mathbb{E}(g_n(x) - \mathbb{E}g_n(x))^2]^{1/2} [\mathbb{E}(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x))^2]^{1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left((n\phi(h_n))^{-1/2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (n\phi(h_n))^{1/4} \mathbb{E}|r_n(x)(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x))^2|^{1/2} &\leq (n\phi(h_n))^{1/4} (\mathbb{E}|r_n(x)|)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}(f_n(x) - \mathbb{E}f_n(x))^2)^{1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\log^{1/2}(n) (n\phi(h_n))^{-1/4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows from Theorem 2.1. \blacksquare

References

- Bosq, D. (2000). *Linear processes in function spaces*, volume 149 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*. Springer-Verlag, New York. Theory and applications.
- Bulinski, A. and Suquet, C. (2001). Normal approximation for quasi-associated random fields. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **54**(2), 215–226.
- Burton, R. M., Dabrowski, A. R., and Dehling, H. (1986). An invariance principle for weakly associated random vectors. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **23**(2), 301–306.
- Douge, L. (2010). Théorèmes limites pour des variables quasi-associées hilbertiennes. *Ann. I.S.U.P.*, **54**(1-2), 51–60.
- Doukhan, P. and Louhichi, S. (1999). A new weak dependence condition and applications to moment inequalities. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **84**(2), 313–342.
- Ferraty, F. and Vieu, P. (2004). Nonparametric models for functional data, with application in regression, time-series prediction and curve discrimination. *J. Nonparametr. Stat.*, **16**(1-2), 111–125. The International Conference on Recent Trends and Directions in Nonparametric Statistics.
- Gasser, T., Hall, P., and Presnell, B. (1998). Nonparametric estimation of the mode of a distribution of random curves. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.*, **60**(4), 681–691.
- Masry, E. (2005). Nonparametric regression estimation for dependent functional data: asymptotic normality. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **115**(1), 155–177.
- Ramsay, J. O. and Silverman, B. W. (2002). *Applied functional data analysis*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York. Methods and case studies.