Regularized Framework of a Weyl Equation for Describing a Weyl Semimetal: Application to the Case with a Screw Dislocation

Yositake Takane

Department of Quantum Matter, Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan

(Received

The term Weyl semimetal originates from the fact that its energy dispersion obeys a Weyl equation. However, a Weyl equation itself cannot fully describe the electron states in an actual bounded geometry. For example, the appearance of chiral surface states, which is a characteristic feature of a Weyl semimetal, cannot be captured with a Weyl equation. This indicates that some degree of freedom is lost when a Weyl equation is derived from a microscopic model of a Weyl semimetal. To overcome this difficulty, we present a framework consisting of a Weyl equation and a supplementary equation, which can be derived from a microscopic model. Applying this framework to a cylindrical system in the presence of a screw dislocation, we show that it appropriately describes the chiral surface states and one-dimensional chiral modes along a dislocation line. The local charge current induced by these chiral states is determined in an analytical manner.

The valence and conduction bands of a Weyl semimetal touch each other at pairs of isolated points, around which the band structure obeys a Weyl equation.¹⁻¹⁵) That is, the band structure around each band-touching point (i.e., a Weyl node) is equivalent to a nondegenerate threedimensional (3D) Dirac cone. Bulk electron states consisting of 3D Dirac cones are called Weyl states. A pair of Weyl nodes has opposite chirality. We hereafter restrict our consideration to the case with only a single pair of Weyl nodes at k_+ and k_- . A notable feature of a Weyl semimetal is that two-dimensional (2D) gapless states with chirality appear on its surface.²⁾ The presence of such chiral surface states gives rise to an anomalous Hall effect.⁴⁾ Even in the interior of a Weyl semimetal, gapless states can appear in the presence of a screw dislocation (see Fig. 1) if it is parallel to the line connecting the Weyl nodes.¹⁶) The gapless states localized along a dislocation line propagate in only one direction; thus, they are referred to as one-dimensional (1D) chiral modes. This is in contrast to the helical nature of 1D modes realized in a weak topological insulator.^{17,18)}

The term Weyl semimetal originates from the fact that its energy dispersion obeys a Weyl equation. However, a Weyl equation itself cannot capture the 1D and 2D chiral states. Furthermore, even bulk Weyl states cannot be described by a Weyl equation in an actual bounded geometry. Since a Weyl equation can be derived from a microscopic model for a Weyl semimetal, this suggests that significant information about the electron states is partially lost in its derivation. The purpose of this letter is to present a simple framework that enables us to describe the electron states in a bounded Weyl semimetal on the basis of a Weyl equation. Decomposing the eigenvalue equation for a microscopic model of a Weyl semimetal, we derive a Weyl equation and a supplementary equation. We then show that if the Weyl equation is combined with the supplementary equation, it appropriately describes the 1D and 2D chiral states and bulk Weyl



Fig. 1. Screw dislocation with a displacement of one unit atomic layer (i.e., N = 1).

states.

Another purpose is to analyze the response of a Weyl semimetal upon the insertion of a screw dislocation. Recently, Sumiyoshi and Fujimoto¹⁹⁾ showed that a local charge current appears near a dislocation center owing to the fictitious magnetic field²⁰ induced by the dislocation. The crucial point is that the local current near the dislocation center is finite, although total charge current vanishes. This phenomenon is interesting, as it can be regarded as a chiral magnetic effect in the static limit.^{21–27)} However, as the analysis in Ref. 19 basically relies on a Weyl equation, the 1D chiral modes along a screw dislocation are not taken into consideration. Such 1D chiral modes should more significantly contribute to the charge current than the bulk Weyl states. We examine the phenomenon by applying a framework consisting of the Weyl and supplementary equations and find an analytical expression for the local charge current arising from the 1D chiral modes and 2D chiral surface states. We set $\hbar = 1$ hereafter.

To start, we derive the Weyl and supplementary equations from a microscopic model for a Weyl semimetal. We assume that the wavenumber k_z in the z direction is a good quantum number and take the continuum limit in the x and y coordinates, whereas the z coordinate is discretized with the lattice constant a. Then, the microscopic model of Ref. 3 is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta(k_z) + B(\hat{k}_x^2 + \hat{k}_y^2) & A(\hat{k}_x - i\hat{k}_y) \\ A(\hat{k}_x + i\hat{k}_y) & -\Delta(k_z) - B(\hat{k}_x^2 + \hat{k}_y^2) \end{bmatrix},$$
(1)

where $\hat{k}_x = -i\partial_x$, $\hat{k}_y = -i\partial_y$, and

$$\Delta(k_z) = -2t \big[\cos(k_z a) - \cos(k_0 a)\big]. \tag{2}$$

Here, $0 < k_0 < \frac{\pi}{a}$, and A, B, and t are assumed to be real and positive. The Weyl nodes are located at $\mathbf{k}_{\pm} = (0, 0, \pm k_0)$, where the energy is zero. We assume the absence of an external magnetic field, although our argument allows a uniform magnetic field. Note that if B = 0, the eigenvalue equation of $H\Psi = E\Psi$ is reduced to a Weyl equation.³¹ We show that the eigenvalue equation is decomposed into two equations unless B = 0. Expressing $\Psi(x, y)$ as $\Psi = {}^{t}(F, G)$, we easily find

$$\left[B^2 \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}^2 - (A^2 + 2B\Delta)\mathcal{D}_{\parallel} + \Delta^2 - E^2\right]F = 0 \quad (3)$$

with $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel} = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$. A solution of this equation must satisfy either $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}F = \Lambda_-F$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}F = \Lambda_+F$, where

$$\Lambda_{\pm} = \frac{A^2 + 2B\Delta \pm \sqrt{(A^2 + 2B\Delta)^2 + 4B^2(E^2 - \Delta^2)}}{2B^2}.$$
(4)

The same statement also holds for G. This indicates that the eigenvalue equation has two different families of solutions; F and G respectively satisfy $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}F = \Lambda_{-}F$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}G = \Lambda_{-}G$ in the first family and $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}F = \Lambda_{+}F$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}G = \Lambda_{+}G$ in the second family. For both families, the eigenvalue equation requires

$$\left(\Delta - E - B\mathcal{D}_{\parallel}\right)F - iA(\partial_x - i\partial_y)G = 0.$$
 (5)

Hereafter, we restrict our consideration to the case where B is very small but finite, in which Λ_{\pm} is reduced to

$$\Lambda_{-} = -\frac{E^2 - \Delta^2}{A^2}, \qquad \Lambda_{+} = \frac{A^2}{B^2}.$$
 (6)

The equation with Λ_{-} is equivalent to the Weyl equation. The equation with Λ_{+} is referred to as a supplementary equation, which disappears if B = 0 from the outset. The latter yields only solutions that exponentially increase or decrease. Our argument indicates that an eigenfunction is constructed by superposing the solutions of both the Weyl and supplementary equations.

To show how this framework captures a 2D chiral surface state,^{28–30)} we apply it to the system occupying the region of $x \ge 0$ with the surface at x = 0. The wavenumbers k_y and k_z are good quantum numbers. The Weyl equation allows an exponentially decreasing function of xonly when $|\Delta(k_z)| > |E|$, which is necessary to construct a localized surface state. Then, the general solution is

$$\Psi(x) = c \begin{bmatrix} \gamma - k_y \\ i\frac{\Delta - E}{A} \end{bmatrix} e^{-\gamma x} + d \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix} e^{-\kappa x}, \quad (7)$$

where c and d are arbitrary constants, and

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta^2 - E^2}{A^2} + k_y^2}, \qquad \kappa = \frac{A}{B}.$$
 (8)

The first and second terms of Eq. (7) respectively arise

from the Weyl and supplementary equations. The boundary condition of $\Psi(0) = {}^{t}(0,0)$ requires $\gamma - k_y = -(\Delta - E)/A$. This holds only when $\Delta(k_z) < 0$, indicating that 2D chiral surface states appear in the region of $\Delta(k_z) < E < -\Delta(k_z)$ with $k_z \in (-k_0, k_0)$. Solving the equation, we find that $E = -Ak_y$ independent of k_z . This state propagates only in the -y direction, which is a characteristic feature of the 2D chiral surface states.

Let us start the analysis of the electron states in the presence of a screw dislocation. We consider a cylindrical Weyl semimetal of radius R and length L_z under the periodic boundary condition in the z direction, by using the cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ, z) with $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and $\phi = \arctan(y/x)$. A screw dislocation parallel to the z axis is inserted at r = 0 with a displacement of N unit atomic layers. That is, its Burgers vector is $\boldsymbol{b} = a(0, 0, N)$. It is convenient to rewrite F and G of $\Psi(r, \phi) = {}^t(F, G)$ as $F = e^{il\phi}f(r)$ and $G = e^{i(l+1)\phi}g(r)$, where l is the azimuthal quantum number. The screw dislocation is described by the effective vector potential:^{20,32)} $e(A_r, A_\phi, A_z) = (0, \zeta(k_z)/r, 0)$ with

$$\zeta(k_z) = \frac{Na}{2\pi} k_z. \tag{9}$$

The Weyl equation for f and g is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{l} - \Lambda_{-} f = 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_{l+1} - \Lambda_{-}) g = 0, \quad (10)$$

while the supplementary equation is

$$(\mathcal{D}_l - \Lambda_+) f = 0, \quad (\mathcal{D}_{l+1} - \Lambda_+) g = 0, \quad (11)$$

where Λ_{-} and Λ_{+} are given in Eq. (6), and

$$\mathcal{D}_l = \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r - \frac{(l+\zeta)^2}{r^2}.$$
 (12)

The eigenvalue equation requires that

$$(\Delta - E - B\mathcal{D}_l) f - iA\big(\partial_r + \frac{l+1+\zeta}{r}\big)g = 0.$$
(13)

As l is a good quantum number, we express an eigenfunction for a given l as $\Psi(r) = {}^{t}(f,g)$. In the absence of a screw dislocation, our system consists of 2D circular electron systems of radius R stacked in the z direction; thus, the appropriate boundary condition is $\Psi(R) = {}^{t}(0,0)$. However, once a screw dislocation is inserted at r = 0, it becomes topologically equivalent to a set of N helix staircases. Hence, we should impose $\Psi(0) = {}^{t}(0,0)$ in addition to $\Psi(R) = {}^{t}(0,0)$.

We present solutions of the two equations to construct the general solution of the eigenvalue equation assuming that ζ is not an integer. The Weyl equation is equivalent to a modified Bessel equation when $|\Delta| > |E|$ and is equivalent to a Bessel equation when $|E| > |\Delta|$. With $\eta \equiv \sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2}/A$, the solutions when $|\Delta| > |E|$ are given by

$$\psi_{l+\zeta}^{\eta}(r) = {}^{t} \left[I_{l+\zeta}(\eta r), i R'(E) I_{l+1+\zeta}(\eta r) \right], \tag{14}$$

$$\psi^{\eta}_{-l-\zeta}(r) = {}^{t} \big[I_{-l-\zeta}(\eta r), i R'(E) I_{-l-1-\zeta}(\eta r) \big], \quad (15)$$

where $R'(E) = (-\Delta + E)/\sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2}$. Here, $I_{\nu}(x)$ is the ν th-order modified Bessel function of the first kind. With $q \equiv \sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}/A$, the solutions when $|E| > |\Delta|$ are given

by

$$\psi_{l+\zeta}^q(r) = {}^t \big[J_{l+\zeta}(qr), iR(E) J_{l+1+\zeta}(qr) \big], \tag{16}$$

$$\psi^{q}_{-l-\zeta}(r) = {}^{t} \big[J_{-l-\zeta}(qr), -iR(E) J_{-l-1-\zeta}(qr) \big], \quad (17)$$

where $R(E) = (E - \Delta)/\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}$. Here, $J_{\nu}(x)$ is the ν th-order Bessel function of the first kind. Let us turn to the supplementary equation, which is equivalent to a modified Bessel equation independent of E. With $\kappa \equiv A/B$, the solutions are given by

$$\psi_{l+\zeta}^{\kappa}(r) = {}^{t} \left[I_{l+\zeta}(\kappa r), i I_{l+1+\zeta}(\kappa r) \right], \tag{18}$$

$$\psi_{-l-\zeta}^{\kappa}(r) = {}^{t} \big[I_{-l-\zeta}(\kappa r), i I_{-l-1-\zeta}(\kappa r) \big].$$
(19)

Now, we determine the energy dispersion of the electron states. Let us first consider the case of $|\Delta(k_z)| > |E|$, in which the solutions of the Weyl equation are written by using modified Bessel functions. These functions and their linear combinations asymptotically increase or decrease in an exponential manner, and should describe spatially localized states (i.e., 1D chiral modes and 2D chiral surface states). The general solution is written as

$$\Psi = c_1 \psi_{l+\zeta}^{\eta} + d_1 \psi_{l+\zeta}^{\kappa} + c_2 \psi_{-l-\zeta}^{\eta} + d_2 \psi_{-l-\zeta}^{\kappa}.$$
 (20)

For a given l and $\zeta(k_z)$, we need to treat the cases of $l+\zeta > 0$, $l+1+\zeta < 0$, and $l+\zeta < 0 < l+1+\zeta$ separately. In the first case, the boundary condition of $\Psi(0) = {}^{t}(0,0)$ is satisfied by setting $c_2 = d_2 = 0$. Imposing the other boundary condition of $\Psi(R) = {}^{t}(0,0)$, we find

$$\frac{-\Delta + E}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2}} = \frac{I_{l+\zeta}(\eta R)}{I_{l+1+\zeta}(\eta R)},\tag{21}$$

where $I_{\nu}(\kappa R)/I_{\nu+1}(\kappa R) \approx 1$ is used. Equation (21) holds only when $\Delta(k_z) < 0$, as the right-hand side is positive. When $l + \zeta \ll \eta R$, we approximately obtain $E = (A/R)(l + \zeta + 1/2)$,¹⁶⁾ which is rewritten as

$$E = \frac{ANa}{2\pi R} \left(k_z - \tilde{k}_l \right) \tag{22}$$

with

$$\tilde{k}_l = \frac{2\pi}{Na} \left(-l - \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{23}$$

As both $\psi_{l+\zeta}^{\eta}(r)$ and $\psi_{l+\zeta}^{\kappa}(r)$ exponentially increase with increasing r, the resulting $\Psi(r)$ must be localized near the surface at r = R. Therefore, Eq. (22) should be identified as the energy dispersion of a 2D chiral surface state. Our argument indicates that such a state appears only in the region of $\Delta(k_z) < E < -\Delta(k_z)$. Similarly, the energy in the case of $l + 1 + \zeta < 0$ is determined by

$$\frac{-\Delta + E}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 - E^2}} = \frac{I_{-l-\zeta}(\eta R)}{I_{-l-1-\zeta}(\eta R)},\tag{24}$$

which again leads to Eq. (22) when $-l - \zeta \ll \eta R$. In the last case of $l + \zeta < 0 < l + 1 + \zeta$, both a 1D chiral mode and 2D chiral surface state appear, as seen in the following. Imposing $\Psi(R) = {}^{t}(0,0)$ and $\Psi(0) = {}^{t}(0,0)$, we find

$$R'(E)I_{l+1+\zeta}(\eta R) - I_{l+\zeta}(\eta R) + \left(\frac{\eta}{\kappa}\right)^{1+2l+2\zeta} \left[\frac{I_{-l-\zeta}(\eta R)}{R'(E)} - I_{-l-1-\zeta}(\eta R)\right] = 0.$$
(25)

Let us focus on the case with $\eta R \gg 1$, where Eq. (25) is decomposed into

$$R'(E) = \frac{I_{l+\zeta}(\eta R)}{I_{l+1+\zeta}(\eta R)}, \qquad R'(E) = \left(\frac{\eta}{\kappa}\right)^{1+2l+2\zeta}.$$
 (26)

The first equation is essentially equivalent to Eq. (21), which determines the energy dispersion of the 2D chiral surface state. The second equation holds only when $\Delta(k_z) < 0$, under which it is reduced to

$$E = |\Delta| - \frac{2|\Delta|}{1 + e^{-2(1+2l+2\zeta)\ln(\kappa/\eta)}}.$$
 (27)

Note that E becomes zero at $\zeta = -\frac{1}{2} - l$ (i.e., $k_z = \tilde{k}_l$). Near this point, Eq. (27) results in

$$E = -\frac{|\Delta(\tilde{k}_l)|Na}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{A^2}{B|\Delta(\tilde{k}_l)|}\right) (k_z - \tilde{k}_l), \qquad (28)$$

which should be identified as the energy dispersion of a 1D chiral mode. Here, let us count the number of 1D chiral modes, n_c , for a given k_0 and N. The condition of $\Delta(k_z^l) < 0$ indicates that n_c is equal to the total number of values of l that satisfy $-k_0 < \tilde{k}_l < k_0$. For example, n_c in the case of N = 2 is 2 if $k_0 > \frac{\pi}{2a}$ and 0 if $k_0 < \frac{\pi}{2a}$. We next consider the case of $|E| > |\Delta(k_z)|$, in which

only bulk Weyl states appear. The general solution is

$$\Psi = c_1 \psi_{l+\zeta}^q + d_1 \psi_{l+\zeta}^\kappa + c_2 \psi_{-l-\zeta}^q + d_2 \psi_{-l-\zeta}^\kappa, \qquad (29)$$

to which $\Psi(0) = {}^{t}(0,0)$ and $\Psi(R) = {}^{t}(0,0)$ are imposed. In the case of $l + \zeta > 0$, the energy is determined by

$$\frac{E-\Delta}{\sqrt{E^2-\Delta^2}} = \frac{J_{l+\zeta}(qR)}{J_{l+1+\zeta}(qR)}.$$
(30)

The energy in the case of $l + 1 + \zeta < 0$ is determined by

$$\frac{E-\Delta}{\sqrt{E^2-\Delta^2}} = -\frac{J_{-l-\zeta}(qR)}{J_{-l-1-\zeta}(qR)}.$$
(31)

In the last case of $l + \zeta < 0 < l + 1 + \zeta$, we find that

$$R(E)J_{l+1+\zeta}(qR) - J_{l+\zeta}(qR) - \left(\frac{q}{\kappa}\right)^{1+2l+2\zeta} \left[\frac{J_{-l-\zeta}(qR)}{R(E)} + J_{-l-1-\zeta}(qR)\right] = 0, \quad (32)$$

which determines the energy of the bulk Weyl states.

The energy dispersion is fully determined by Eqs. (21), (24), (25), (30), (31), and (32). We now comment on the crossover of a 1D (or 2D) chiral state to a bulk Weyl state. On the plane spanned by E and k_z , chiral states appear in the region of $\Delta(k_z) < E < -\Delta(k_z)$ with $k_z \in (-k_0, k_0)$, whereas bulk Weyl states appear outside the region. Note that $\Delta(k_z) < 0$ for $k_z \in (-k_0, k_0)$. The two regions are separated by the two lines of $E = \mp \Delta(k_z)$ [see the dotted lines in Fig. 2(a)]. Below, they are referred to as the upper and lower boundary lines. A 1D (or 2D) chiral state tends to extend spatially when approaching either of the boundary lines and is continuously connected to a bulk Weyl state at some point on the line.

Let $E_{l,m}^{v}(k_z)$ and $E_{l,m}^{c}(k_z)$ be the energies of the *m*th state with *l* in the valence and conduction bands, respectively, as a function of k_z , where $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ is assigned in descending order (i.e., $0 > E_{l,1}^{v} > E_{l,2}^{v} > \ldots$) in the



Fig. 2. Energy dispersion in the case of N = 2. (a) $E_{0,1}^v$, $E_{0,1}^c$, $E_{-1,1}^v$, and $E_{-1,1}^c$ (solid lines) and the boundary lines (dotted lines). (b) $E_{l,1}^v$ for l = 0 to -6 and $E_{l,1}^c$ for l = -1 to 5.

former and in ascending order (i.e., $0 < E_{l,1}^c < E_{l,2}^c <$ \dots) in the latter. As an example, we determine the energy dispersion of several states in the case of N = 2and $k_0 = \frac{3\pi}{4a}$, for which $n_c = 2$, with $t/(Aa^{-1}) = 0.5$, B/(Aa) = 0.001, and R/a = 100. Figure 2 shows the results for m = 1. The states with $m \ge 2$ are bulk Weyl states. In Fig. 2(a), the solid lines represent $E_{0,1}^v$, $E_{0,1}^c$, $E_{-1,1}^{v}$, and $E_{-1,1}^{c}$, while dotted lines represent the lower and upper boundary lines. The 1D chiral modes with l = 0 and -1 respectively appear near $k_z = -\frac{\pi}{2a}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2a}$. The 2D chiral surface states with l = 0 and -1 appear near E = 0 with a nearly flat dispersion. They almost overlap. Figure 2(b) shows $E_{l,1}^v$ for l = 0 to -6 and $E_{l,1}^c$ for l = -1 to 5, representing a series of the 2D chiral surface states with l = -6 to 5. Nearly vertical lines represent the 1D chiral modes with l = 0 and -1.

Let us consider the local charge current along the zdirection. This is dominated by J_{1D} and J_{2D} , which respectively represent the contribution from the 1D chiral modes localized near r = 0 and that from the 2D chiral surface states localized near r = R. Bulk Weyl states, which extend over the entire system, also contribute to the local charge current. However, from an experimental viewpoint, their contribution is less important than J_{1D} and J_{2D} , as it is thinly distributed over the entire cross section of the system, reflecting the extended nature of the bulk Weyl states. In the analysis given below, the Fermi level is located at the Weyl nodes (i.e., $E_{\rm F} = 0$). We focus on the 1D chiral mode with l, the energy of which decreases with increasing k_z and crosses the line of E = 0 at $k_z = \tilde{k}_l$. This mode is eventually connected to a bulk Weyl state at some point, \tilde{k}_l^{\max} , on the lower boundary line. The contribution to J_{1D} arises from occupied states within $\tilde{k}_l < k_z \lesssim \tilde{k}_l^{\max}$. Noting that the energy dispersion of the 1D mode is given by $E_{l,1}^v(k_z)$ as long as E < 0, we find that

$$\frac{J_{\rm 1D}}{L_z} = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_l \int_{\tilde{k}_l}^{\tilde{k}_l^{\rm max}} dk_z \frac{\partial E_{l,1}^v}{\partial k_z},\tag{33}$$

where the summation over l is restricted by $-k_0 < k_l < k_0$. Approximately replacing \tilde{k}_l^{max} with \tilde{k}_l , we find that³³⁾

$$\frac{J_{\rm 1D}}{L_z} = \frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_l {}^\prime |\Delta(\tilde{k}_l)|. \tag{34}$$

This indicates that J_{1D} is mainly determined by $\Delta(k_z)$ and the N dependence manifests itself through the restricted summation over l. Let us turn to the derivation of J_{2D} . The group velocity of the 2D chiral surface states is $v = ANa/(2\pi R)$ and independent of l and k_z ; thus, J_{2D} is determined by the number of occupied states. Note that the 2D chiral surface states are almost uniformly distributed over the region encircled by the boundary lines (see Fig. 2). From this observation, we find that

$$\frac{J_{\rm 2D}}{L_z} = -e\frac{Na}{4\pi^2}\Sigma(k_0),\tag{35}$$

where

$$\Sigma(k_0) = \int_{-k_0}^{k_0} dk_z |\Delta(k_z)|.$$
 (36)

Note that the direction of flow is opposite to that of J_{1D} . Let us finally consider the total charge current along the z direction. It must vanish, $^{19,23)}$ although the local current notably appears in the two regions near r = 0and r = R. That is, the charge current induced by the bulk Weyl states completely cancels J_{1D} and J_{2D} if they are integrated over the entire cross section. This is simply observed by using an extended zone representation of electron states. To avoid complexity, we focus on the case with N = 2. As $E_{\rm F} = 0$, we consider only the valence band in the following. The Weyl and supplementary equations ensure that $E_{l,m}^{v}(k_z)$ defined for $k_z \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{a}, \frac{\pi}{a}\right]$ satisfies $E_{l,m}^{v}\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right) = E_{l+2,m}^{v}\left(-\frac{\pi}{a}\right)$ for any l and m. Thus, we classify $\{E_{l,m}^{v}(k_{z}): l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ into two subsets: $\{E_{l,m}^{v}(k_{z}): l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{E_{l,m}^{v}(k_{z}): l \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1\}$. Each subset is fully represented by a single function defined for $k_z \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Indeed, we can define $\epsilon_m^{v,i}(k_z)$ with $k_z \in (-\infty,\infty)$ for i = e, o by $\epsilon_m^{v,e}(k_z + \frac{\pi l}{a}) = E_{l,m}^v(k_z)$ for $l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon_m^{v,o}(k_z + \frac{\pi(l+1)}{a}) = E_{l,m}^v(k_z)$ for $l \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$, where $k_z \in [-\frac{\pi}{a}, \frac{\pi}{a}]$. Note that $\epsilon_m^{v,e}(k_z)$ and $\epsilon_m^{v,o}(k_z)$ are continuous functions of $k_z \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and describe all of the electron states in the valence band, including the 1D and 2D chiral states and bulk Weyl states. As $E_{lm}^{v}(k_z) \to -\infty$ in the limit of $l \to \pm \infty$ for a given k_z , $\epsilon_m^{v,i}(k_z) \to -\infty$ if $k_z \to \pm \infty$. Since the spectrum is unbounded, we introduce a cutoff at $E = -E_c$ with $E_c > 0$. Then, the total charge current is expressed as

$$\frac{J_{\text{total}}}{L_z} = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \sum_{i=e,o} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \Theta\left(\epsilon_m^{v,i} + E_c\right) \frac{\partial \epsilon_m^{v,i}}{\partial k_z},\tag{37}$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function. Applying the ar-

gument given in the supplemental material of Ref. 19, we can show that $J_{\text{total}} = 0$ irrespective of E_c .

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K05130.

- 1) S. Murakami, New J. Phys. 9, 356 (2007).
- X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).
- K.-Y. Yang, Y.-M. Lu, and Y. Ran, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075129 (2011).
- A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 127205 (2011).
- A. A. Burkov, M. D. Hook, and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235126 (2011).
- W. Witczak-Krempa and Y. B. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045124 (2012).
- P. Delplace, J. Li, and D. Carpentier, Europhys. Lett. 97, 67004 (2012).
- 8) G. Halász and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B 85, 035103 (2012).
- 9) A. Sekine and K. Nomura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 033702 (2013).
- 10) H. Weng, C. Fang, Z. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and X. Dai, Phys. Rev. X 5, 011029 (2015).
- 11) S.-M. Huang, S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, C.-C. Lee, G. Chang, B. Wang, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, M. Neupane, C. Zhang, S. Jia, A. Bansil, H. Lin, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Commun. 6, 7373 (2015).
- 12) S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, G. Bian, C. Zhang, R. Sankar, G. Chang, Z. Yuan, C.-C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, H. Zheng, J. Ma, D. S. Sanchez, B. Wang, A. Bansil, F. Chou, P. P. Shibayev, H. Lin, S. Jia, and M. Z. Hasan, Science **349**, 613 (2015).
- 13) B.-Q. Lv, H.-M. Weng, B.-B. Fu, X.-P. Wang, H. Miao, J. Ma, P. Richard, X.-C. Huang, L.-X. Zhao, G.-F. Chen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. X 5, 031013 (2015).
- 14) B. Q. Lv, N. Xu, H. M. Weng, J. Z. Ma, P. Richard, X. C. Huang, L. X. Zhao, G. F. Chen, C. E. Matt, F. Bisti, V. N. Strocov, J. Mesot, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, M. Shi, and H. Ding, Nat. Phys. **11**, 724 (2015).
- 15) S.-Y. Xu, N. Alidoust, I. Belopolski, Z. Yuan, G. Bian, T.-R. Chang, H. Zheng, V. N. Strocov, D. S. Sanchez, G. Chang, C.

Zhang, D. Mou, Y. Wu, L. Huang, C.-C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, B. Wang, A. Bansil, H.-T. Jeng, T. Neupert, A. Kaminski, H. Lin, S. Jia, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Phys. **11**, 748 (2015).

- 16) K.-I. Imura and Y. Takane, Phys. Rev. B 84, 245415 (2011).
- 17) Y. Ran, Y. Zhang, and A. Vishwanath, Nat. Phys. 5, 298 (2009).
- 18) K.-I. Imura, Y. Takane, and A. Tanaka Phys. Rev. B 84, 035443 (2011).
- 19) H. Sumiyoshi and S. Fujimoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 166601 (2016).
- 20) K. Kawamura, Z. Physik B 29, 101 (1978).
- 21) A. A. Zyuzin and A. A. Burkov, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115133 (2012).
- 22) Y. Chen, S. Wu, and A. A. Burkov, Phys. Rev. B 88, 125105 (2013).
- 23) M. M. Vazifeh and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 027201 (2013).
- 24) M.-C. Chang and M.-F. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 91, 115203 (2015).
- 25) N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 085011 (2015).
- 26) Y. Takane, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 013706 (2016).
- 27) Y. Ibe and H. Sumiyoshi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 86, 054707 (2017).
- 28) R. Okugawa and S. Murakami, Phys. Rev. B 89, 235315 (2014).
- 29) S. T. Ramamurthy and T. L. Hughes, Phys. Rev. B 92, 085105 (2015).
- 30) Y. Takane, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 124711 (2016).

31) The eigenvalue equation at B = 0 reads

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta(k_z) & A(\hat{k}_x - i\hat{k}_y) \\ A(\hat{k}_x + i\hat{k}_y) & -\Delta(k_z) \end{bmatrix} \Psi = E\Psi,$$

which is reduced to a Weyl equation if $\Delta(k_z)$ is linearized at $k_z = \pm k_0$.

- 32) The vector potential creates a fictitious magnetic field at r = 0; thus, one may think that it breaks the assumption of our framework that a magnetic field is absent. However, this is not the case thanks to the boundary condition of $\Psi(0) = {}^{t}(0, 0)$.
- 33) It is meaningful to rewrite Eq. (34) as

$$\frac{J_{\rm 1D}}{L_z} = e \frac{Na}{4\pi^2} \times \frac{2\pi}{Na} \sum_l {}^\prime |\Delta(\tilde{k}_l)|,$$

where the second factor in the right-hand side can be regarded as a rough approximation of $\Sigma(k_0)$. This indicates that J_{1D} is on the same order of J_{2D} .