

**POHOZAEV IDENTITY FOR THE ANISOTROPIC p -LAPLACIAN
AND ESTIMATES OF TORSION FUNCTION**

QIAOLING WANG AND CHANGYU XIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the Pohozaev identity for the weighted anisotropic p -Laplace operator. As an application of our identity, we deduce the nonexistence of nontrivial solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the weighted anisotropic p -Laplacian in star-shaped domains of \mathbb{R}^n . We also provide an upper bound estimate for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the anisotropic p -Laplacian on bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n , some sharp estimates for the torsion function of compact manifolds with boundary and a nonexistence result for the solutions of the Laplace equation on closed Riemannian manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n and g a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . In 1965, Pohozaev [23] considered the following nonlinear elliptic problem :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and proved that if $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (1.1), then

$$(1.2) \quad (2-n) \int_{\Omega} u g(u) dx + 2n \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \langle x, \nu \rangle ds,$$

where $G(u) = \int_0^u g(t) dt$, $\nu = \nu(x)$ is the outward unit normal vector at the point $x \in \partial\Omega$.

Based on (1.2), Pohozaev established the following well-known non-existence result:

Theorem A (Pohozaev). *Let Ω be a star-shaped domain with respect to the origin in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$ and $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $g(u) \geq 0$, when $u \geq 0$. If*

$$(1.3) \quad (2-n)u g(u) + 2n \int_0^u g(t) dt \leq 0, \quad \text{when } u \geq 0,$$

then the problem (1.1) has no positive solution.

Pohozaev's identity has also other important applications to the solutions of differential equations. As an example, let us assume further that

$$(1.4) \quad g(u) \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|_{\partial\Omega} = c = \text{const.}$$

Then we have from (1.2) that

$$(1.5) \quad (n+2) \int_{\Omega} u dx = c^2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle x, \nu \rangle ds = nc^2 V(\Omega).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 34L15, 53C20

Key words and phrases: Pohozaev identity, anisotropic p -Laplacian, first eigenvalue, torsion function.

This work was partially supported by CNPq-BR grant numbers: 307089/2014-2 and 306146/2014-2.

Also, it is easy to see in this case that

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) = 2 \left(|\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) = 2 \left(|\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{n} \right) \geq 0$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = c^2,$$

which, implies by the maximum principle that

$$(1.8) \quad |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \leq c^2 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

On the other hand, one obtains from integration by parts and (1.5) that

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) dx = \frac{n+2}{n} \int_{\Omega} u dx = c^2 V(\Omega).$$

Therefore $|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u$ is constant in Ω and so the equality must hold in (1.6) which implies that

$$(1.10) \quad u_{ij} = -\frac{1}{n}\delta_{ij}$$

Hence, for a suitable choice of origin we know that u is given by

$$(1.11) \quad u = \frac{1}{2n}(\rho_0 - r^2),$$

where ρ_0 is a constant and r is the distance function from the origin. Since $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we conclude that $\rho_0 > 0$ and that Ω is a ball of radius $\sqrt{\rho_0}$. Also one can deduce from (1.9) that $\rho_0 = n^2 c^2$. The above arguments are essentially the proof given by Weinberger [32] to the following seminal work of Serrin [29]:

Theorem B (Serrin). *If $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies the overdetermined problem*

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u = -1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = c, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n , ν is the unit outward normal of $\partial\Omega$, and c is a constant, then Ω is a ball of radius $n|c|$ and $u = (n^2 c^2 - r^2)/2n$, where r is the distance from the center of the ball.

The appearance of Pohozaev's identity is a milestone in the developments of differential equations. The generalizations of Pohozaev's identity have been widely used to prove the non-existence of nontrivial solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Here are some of the important results in this direction. Esteban-Lions [15] and Berestycki-Lions [5] considered the following problem on unbounded domain:

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases},$$

where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ or an unbounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n . They established the Pohozaev identity for the above problem and the existence and nonexistence results which have brought great developments in this area. Pucci and Serrin [24] proved the Pohozaev identity

satisfied by the general elliptic equations on bounded domains. Guedda-Veron [19] proved the Pohozaev identity to the solutions of the quasi-linear elliptic problem

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

and obtained the non-existence results when Ω is a star-shaped domain. Bartsch-Peng-Zhang [3] and Kou-An [20] considered the more general quasi-linear elliptic equations with weight on more general domains. Pucci and Serrin [25] studied the Pohozaev identity of polyharmonic operators and obtained non-existence of nontrivial solutions of the related equations.

Recently, Ros-Oton and Serra [28] established the Pohozaev identity for the fractional elliptic problem:

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \end{cases}$$

in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $s \in (0, 1)$,

$$(1.16) \quad (-\Delta)^s u(x) = c_{n,s} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

is the fractional Laplacian and $c_{n,s}$ is a normalization constant given by

$$(1.17) \quad c_{n,s} = \frac{s2^{2s}\Gamma\left(\frac{n+2s}{2}\right)}{\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(1-s)}.$$

In this paper, we shall prove the Pohozaev identity for a weighted anisotropic p -Laplace operator. Let us fix some required notation before stating our result. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a convex function of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ which is even and positively homogeneous of degree 1, so that

$$(1.18) \quad F(tx) = |t|F(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that there are positive constants α and β such that F satisfies

$$(1.19) \quad \alpha|\xi| \leq F(\xi) \leq \beta|\xi| \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Observing that F^p is positively homogeneous of degree p , we have

$$(1.20) \quad \langle Z, \nabla_\xi [F^p](Z) \rangle = pF^p(Z), \quad \forall Z \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, the anisotropic p -Laplace operator is defined as

$$(1.21) \quad \mathcal{Q}_p(u) = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{1}{p} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left((F(\nabla u))^{p-1} F_{\xi_i}(\nabla u) \right),$$

where ∇_ξ stands for the gradient operator with respect to the ξ variables. The first result of the present paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Let b be a real number, $1 < p < \infty$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ a solution of the problem*

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)) = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

The torsion problem for the anisotropic p -Laplace is as follows

$$(1.31) \quad \begin{cases} -\mathcal{Q}_p v = 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By classical result there exists a unique solution of (1.31), that will be always denoted by v_Ω , which is positive in Ω . The anisotropic p -torsional rigidity of Ω is defined as

$$(1.32) \quad T_{F,p}(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} F^p(\nabla v_\Omega) dx = \int_{\Omega} v_\Omega dx.$$

The following variational characterization for $T_{F,p}(\Omega)$ holds

$$(1.33) \quad T_{F,p}(\Omega)^{p-1} = \max_{\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(\int_{\Omega} |\phi| dx)^p}{\int_{\Omega} F^p(\nabla \phi) dx}$$

and the solution v_Ω of (1.31) realizes the maximum in (1.33).

The next result is an estimate involving $\lambda_{p,1}(F, \Omega)$ and $T_{F,p}(\Omega)$ which is motivated by Theorem 1.1 in [6].

Theorem 1.4. *Let $p \geq 2$, F as above and Ω a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We assume further that $F \in C^{3,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and*

$$(1.34) \quad [F^p]_{\xi\xi}(\xi) \text{ is positive definite in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then, we have

$$(1.35) \quad \frac{\lambda_{p,1}(F, \Omega) T_{F,p}(\Omega)^{p-1}}{|\Omega|^{p-1}} \leq 1 - \frac{p^{\frac{2p-3}{p-1}} (n\kappa_n^{1/n})^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{n(p-1)(n(p-1)+p)} \cdot \frac{T_{F,p}(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{1+\frac{p}{n(p-1)}}},$$

where $|\Omega|$ and κ_n stand for the measure of Ω and K^o , respectively, being

$$(1.36) \quad K^o = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{F(z)} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

A main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.4 is the isoperimetric inequality (Wulff Theorem) relating the perimeter of a set E with respect to F and $|E|$, the measure of E . This tool can be also used to prove the following result which is motivated by [22].

Theorem 1.5. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^n and $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $g(\sigma) \geq 0$, when $\sigma \geq 0$. Let u be a smooth positive solution of the Dirichlet problem for the anisotropic n -Laplace operator:*

$$(1.37) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi[F^n](\nabla u)) = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(1.38) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} g(u) dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \geq \frac{n^{\frac{2n-1}{n-1}} \kappa_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n-1} \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx,$$

where $G(u) = \int_0^u g(s) ds$.

The study of anisotropic operator is quite active in recent years. One can find some of the interesting results about this topic, e. g. in [7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 31], etc.

It is known that for any bounded smooth domain Ω in a complete Riemannian manifold, there exists a unique solution u_Ω , called the torsion function of Ω , to the equation

$$(1.39) \quad \Delta u = -1 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

and (1.39) is the Euler equation of the minimum problem

$$(1.40) \quad \min_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - u \right).$$

The number

$$(1.41) \quad T(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} u_{\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\Omega}|^2$$

is called the *torsional rigidity* of Ω .

Serrin's theorem above says that if the torsion function of a bounded smooth domain Ω in a Euclidean space has constant derivative in the direction of the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$, then Ω is a ball. In the third part of this paper, we give some sharp estimates for the torsion function of a compact manifold with boundary.

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. Denote by $T(M)$, ρ and V the torsion, the torsion function and the volume of M , respectively. Let ν be the outward unit normal of ∂M and assume that the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below by $(n-1)\kappa$.*

i) We have

$$(1.42) \quad \min_{x \in \partial M} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2}(x) \leq -\frac{1}{n} - \frac{(n-1)\kappa T(M)}{V},$$

with equality holding if and only if M is isometric to a ball in \mathbb{R}^n , $\kappa = 0$ and

$$(1.43) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2} = -\frac{1}{n} \quad \text{on } \partial M.$$

ii) Let A and H be the area and the mean curvature of ∂M , respectively. If $H \geq 0$ on ∂M , then

$$(1.44) \quad \int_{\partial M} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2} \leq (n-1) \left(\frac{V}{n} - \kappa T(M) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} H \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - A,$$

with equality holding if and only if $\kappa = 0$ and M is isometric to a ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1.7. *Let M be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary and Ricci curvature bounded below by $(n-1)\kappa$. Let u be the solution of the Dirichlet problem*

$$(1.45) \quad \Delta u = -1 \quad \text{in } M, \quad u|_{\partial M} = 0.$$

Then

$$(1.46) \quad \max_{\partial M} |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{(n+2)T(M)}{nV} + \frac{2(n-1)\kappa}{V} \int_M u |\nabla u|^2,$$

with equality holding if and only if $\kappa = 0$ and M is isometric to a ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 1. One can obtain Theorem B from Theorem 1.7. In fact, when Ω is a bounded smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^n , if u is a solution to the equation (1.12), then we have from (1.5) that

$$c^2 = \frac{(n+2)T(\Omega)}{nV}.$$

Thus, the equality sign in (1.46) is attained since the Ricci curvature of Ω is zero. Theorem B follows.

The next result is a Pohozaev-type inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds.

Theorem 1.8. *Let M be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with or without boundary. Assume that the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below by $(n-1)\kappa$ and let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. If $u \in C^3(M) \cap C^1(\partial M)$ is a non-negative solution of the problem*

$$(1.47) \quad -\Delta u = g(u) \quad \text{in } M, \quad u|_{\partial M} = 0,$$

then we have

$$(1.48) \quad \int_M g(u) \left(\frac{2(n-1)ug(u)}{n} - 3G(u) - (n-1)\kappa u^2 \right) \\ \geq \begin{cases} \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3, & \text{when } \partial M \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{when } \partial M = \emptyset \end{cases},$$

where $G(u) = \int_0^u g(\sigma) d\sigma$.

From Theorem 1.8, we have the following non-existence result.

Corollary 1.9. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded below by $(n-1)\kappa$. Assume that $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and there exists a discrete subset S of $[0, +\infty)$ such that*

$$g(t) \left(\frac{2(n-1)tg(t)}{n} - 3 \int_0^t g(\sigma) d\sigma - (n-1)\kappa t^2 \right) \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } t \in S, \\ < 0, & \text{if } t \in [0, +\infty) \setminus S, \end{cases}$$

Then any non-negative solution of the equation

$$(1.49) \quad \Delta u = -g(u) \quad \text{on } M.$$

is a constant.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.3

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Multiplying the equation

$$(2.1) \quad -\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{div} (|x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)) = g(x, u)$$

by $-p\langle x, \nabla u \rangle$ and integrating on Ω , one deduces from divergence theorem that

$$(2.2) \quad -p \int_\Omega g(x, u) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle dx \\ = \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} (|x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle dx \\ = \int_\Omega (\operatorname{div} (|x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \\ - \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla \langle x, \nabla u \rangle \rangle) dx \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nu \rangle \langle x, \nabla u \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ - \int_\Omega \langle |x|^{-ap} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla u + \nabla^2 u(x) \rangle dx.$$

Here $\nabla^2 u : \mathfrak{X}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ denotes the self-adjoint linear operator metrically equivalent to the Hessian of u , and is given by [14]

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \nabla^2 u(Z), W \rangle = \nabla^2 u(Z, W) = \langle \nabla_Z \nabla u, W \rangle$$

for all $Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$. It follows from (1.20) and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nu \rangle \langle x, \nabla u \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nu \rangle \langle x, u_\nu \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), u_\nu \nu \rangle \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla u \rangle \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \\ &= p \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using divergence theorem again, we infer

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla u \rangle dx &= \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)) dx \\ &= -p \int_{\Omega} u g(x, u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)\}$ be the canonical base of \mathbb{R}^n and set $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, $u_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. We calculate

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla^2 u(x) \rangle &= \langle \nabla_{\nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)} \nabla u, x \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \nabla_{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial [F^p]}{\partial \xi_i}(\nabla u) e_i} \nabla u, x \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial [F^p]}{\partial \xi_i}(\nabla u) \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla u, x \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial [F^p]}{\partial \xi_i}(\nabla u) \nabla^2 u(e_i, x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial [F^p]}{\partial \xi_i}(\nabla u) \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, e_j \rangle \nabla^2 u(e_i, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial [F^p]}{\partial \xi_i}(\nabla u) \langle x, e_j \rangle u_{ij} \\ &= \left\langle x, \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial [F^p]}{\partial \xi_i}(\nabla u) u_{ij} e_j \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x, \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial (F^p(\nabla u))}{\partial x_j} e_j \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x, \nabla (F^p(\nabla u)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad & \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla^2 u(x) \rangle \\
&= |x|^{-bp} \langle \nabla(F^p(\nabla u)), x \rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla(F^p(\nabla u)), |x|^{-bp} x \rangle \\
&= \operatorname{div}(F^p(\nabla u) |x|^{-bp} x) - F^p(\nabla u) \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-bp} x) \\
&= \operatorname{div}(F^p(\nabla u) |x|^{-bp} x) - (n - bp) |x|^{-ap} F^p(\nabla u).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad & \int_\Omega \langle |x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi F^p(\nabla u), \nabla^2 u(x) \rangle dx \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - (n - bp) \int_\Omega |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) dx \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - (n - bp) \int_\Omega |x|^{-bp} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \langle \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u), \nabla u \rangle dx \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \frac{n - bp}{p} \int_\Omega u \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-bp} \nabla_\xi [F^p](\nabla u)) dx \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - (n - bp) \int_\Omega u g(x, u) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.4), (2.5) and (2.8) into (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & - \int_\Omega g(x, u) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle dx \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\Omega} |x|^{-bp} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \left(\frac{n}{p} - 1 - b\right) \int_\Omega u g(x, u) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.10) \quad g(x, u) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle = \langle x, \nabla(G(x, u)) \rangle - \langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad - \int_\Omega g(x, u) \langle x, \nabla u \rangle dx &= - \int_\Omega (\langle x, \nabla(G(x, u)) \rangle - \langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle) dx \\
&= n \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx + \int_\Omega \langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.11), we get (1.23). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Suppose that u is a positive solution of (1.28). Then the equality (1.23) holds. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p}\right) \int_\Omega u(\lambda |x|^{-\alpha} u^{r-1} + \mu |x|^{-\beta} u^{s-1} + \eta |x|^{-\gamma} u^{t-1}) dx \\
&+ n \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx + \int_\Omega \langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle dx \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\Omega} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle ds \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} G(x, u) &= \int_0^u (\lambda|x|^{-\alpha}|\sigma|^{r-2}\sigma + \mu|x|^{-\beta}|\sigma|^{s-2}\sigma + \eta|x|^{-\gamma}|\sigma|^{t-2}\sigma)d\sigma \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{r}|x|^{-\alpha}u^r + \frac{\mu}{s}|x|^{-\beta}u^s + \frac{\eta}{t}|x|^{-\gamma}u^t, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x, u) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda\alpha}{r}|x|^{-\alpha}u^r - \frac{\mu\beta}{s}|x|^{-\beta}u^s - \frac{\eta\gamma}{t}|x|^{-\gamma}u^t. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.13) and (2.14) into (2.12), we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lambda \left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n-\alpha}{r}\right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha}u^r dx + \mu \left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n-\beta}{s}\right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\beta}u^s dx \\ &+ \eta \left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n-\gamma}{t}\right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\gamma}u^t dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction if (1.27) holds. \square

Using Theorem 1.1 we can also prove the following nonexistence result.

Corollary 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded star-shaped domain with smooth boundary and $b, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, r$ be constants such that $r \neq 0$ and*

$$(2.16) \quad \lambda \left(n + 1 + b - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha\right) \leq 0, \quad \mu \left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n-\beta}{r}\right) < 0.$$

Then, the problem

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{p}\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-bp}\nabla_{\xi}[F^p](\nabla u)) = \lambda|x|^{-\alpha} + \mu|x|^{-\beta}|u|^{r-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

has no positive solution.

Proof of Corollary 2.1. If u is a positive solution of (2.17), then we have from (1.23) that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} u(\lambda|x|^{-\alpha} + \mu|x|^{-\beta}u^{r-1})dx \\ &+ n \int_{\Omega} G(x, u)dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle dx \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_{\partial\Omega} F^p(\nabla u) \langle x, \nu \rangle ds \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} G(x, u) &= \int_0^u (\lambda|x|^{-\alpha} + \mu|x|^{-\beta}|\sigma|^{r-2}\sigma)d\sigma \\ &= \lambda|x|^{-\alpha}u + \frac{\mu}{r}|x|^{-\beta}u^r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad & \langle x, \nabla_x G(x, u) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x, u) \\
&= -\lambda \alpha |x|^{-\alpha} u - \frac{\mu \beta}{r} |x|^{-\beta} u^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.19) and (2.20) into (2.18), we have

$$\lambda \left(n + 1 + b - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha \right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha} u dx + \mu \left(1 + b - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n - \beta}{r} \right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\beta} u^r dx \geq 0,$$

contradicting to (2.16). \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.4 AND 1.5

In this section we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. Firstly we recall some facts needed about the function F introduced in section 1. Because of (1.18) we can assume, without loss of generality, that the convex closed set

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(x) \leq 1\}$$

has measure $|K|$ equal to the measure ω_n of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . We say that F is the gauge of K . The support function of K is defined as [27]

$$(3.1) \quad F^o(x) = \sup_{\xi \in K} \langle x, \xi \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $F^o : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a convex, homogeneous function and that F, F^o are polar each other in the sense that

$$(3.2) \quad F^o(x) = \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle}{F(\xi)},$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad F(x) = \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle}{F^o(\xi)}.$$

We set

$$K^o = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : F^o(x) \leq 1\}$$

and denote by κ_n the measure of K^o .

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The total variation of a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ with respect to a gauge function F is defined by [2]

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|_F = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \sigma \, dx : \sigma \in C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n), F^o(\sigma) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The perimeter of a set E with respect to F is then defined as

$$(3.5) \quad P_F(E; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \chi_E|_F = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \sigma \, dx : \sigma \in C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n), F^o(\sigma) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The following co-area formula

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|_F = \int_0^{\infty} P_F(\{u > s\}; \Omega) ds, \quad \forall u \in BV(\Omega),$$

and the equality

$$(3.7) \quad P_F(E; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* E} F(\nu^E) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

hold, where $\partial^* E$ is the reduced boundary of E and ν^E is the outer normal to E (see [2]). The following result can be found in [1], [10], [17].

Lemma 3.1. (*Wulff theorem*). *If E is a set of finite perimeter in \mathbb{R}^n , then*

$$(3.8) \quad P_F(E; \mathbb{R}^n) \geq n\kappa_n^{1/n} |E|^{1-1/n},$$

and equality holds if and only if E has Wulff shape, i.e., E is a sub-level set of F° , modulo translations.

Now we are ready to give a

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let v_Ω be the unique solution of the equation

$$(3.9) \quad -\mathcal{Q}_p v = 1, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then v_Ω is positive in Ω . By (1.34) and since $F \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we know that $v_\Omega \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C^3(\{\nabla v_\Omega \neq 0\})$ (see [21, 30]).

It follows from (1.29) that

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda_{p,1}(F, \Omega) \leq \frac{\int_\Omega (F(\nabla v_\Omega))^p dx}{\int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx} = \frac{\int_\Omega v_\Omega dx}{\int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx}.$$

Combining (1.32) and (3.10), we infer

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda_{p,1}(F, \Omega) T_{F,p}(\Omega)^{p-1} \leq \frac{(\int_\Omega v_\Omega dx)^p}{\int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx}.$$

Let $M = \sup_\Omega v_\Omega$. For $s \in [0, M]$, we denote by

$$(3.12) \quad \mu(s) = |\{x \in \Omega : v_\Omega > s\}|$$

the distribution function of v_Ω . Then

$$(3.13) \quad \int_\Omega v_\Omega = \int_0^M \mu(s) ds$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx = \int_0^M ps^{p-1} \mu(s) ds.$$

Observe that the boundary of

$$(3.15) \quad \{x \in \Omega : v_\Omega > s\}$$

is

$$(3.16) \quad \{x \in \Omega : v_\Omega = s\}$$

for almost every $s > 0$ and the inner normal to this boundary at a point x is exactly $\nabla v_\Omega(x)/|\nabla v_\Omega(x)|$. Integrating $-\mathcal{Q}_p v_\Omega = 1$ over (3.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad \mu(s) &= -\frac{1}{p} \int_{v_\Omega(x) > s} \operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi[F^p](\nabla v_\Omega)) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \int_{v_\Omega(x) = s} \left\langle \nabla_\xi[F^p](\nabla v_\Omega), \frac{\nabla v_\Omega}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} \right\rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
&= \int_{v_\Omega(x) = s} \frac{F^p(\nabla v_\Omega)}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$(3.18) \quad -\mu'(s) = \int_{v_\Omega(x) = s} \frac{1}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

for almost every $s \in [0, M)$.

The co-area formula gives that

$$(3.19) \quad -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{v_\Omega > s} F(\nabla v_\Omega) dx = P_F(v_\Omega > s; \Omega),$$

for almost all s . Also, since v_Ω is smooth with compact support, it is known [1] that for almost every $s \in [0, M)$,

$$(3.20) \quad -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{u > s} F(\nabla v_\Omega) dx = \int_{v_\Omega = t} \frac{F(\nabla v_\Omega)}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Hence,

$$(3.21) \quad P_F(\{v_\Omega > s\}; \Omega) = \int_{v_\Omega = s} \frac{F(\nabla v_\Omega)}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

From Hölder's inequality, (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad (P_F(\{v_\Omega > s\}; \Omega))^p &= \left(\int_{v_\Omega = s} \frac{F(\nabla v_\Omega)}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^p \\
&\leq \int_{v_\Omega = s} \frac{F(\nabla v_\Omega)^p}{|\nabla v_\Omega|^p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \left(\int_{v_\Omega = s} \frac{1}{|\nabla v_\Omega|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^{p-1} \\
&= \mu(s)(-\mu'(s))^{p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The isoperimetric inequality (3.8) tells us that

$$(3.23) \quad P(\{v_\Omega > s\}) \geq n\kappa_n^{1/n} \mu(s)^{(n-1)/n}.$$

One can then use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 in [33] to finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. For the sake of completeness, we include it. It follows from (3.22) and (3.23) that

$$(3.24) \quad \left(n\kappa_n^{1/n} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq -\mu(s)^{\frac{n+p-np}{n(p-1)}} \mu'(s)$$

Integrating (3.24) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad \mu(s) &\leq \left(|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{n(p-1)}} - \left(n\kappa_n^{1/n} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \cdot \frac{p}{n(p-1)} s \right)^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} \\
&= |\Omega|(1 - bs)^a,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.26) \quad a = \frac{n(p-1)}{p}, \quad b = \left(n\kappa_n^{1/n}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \cdot \frac{p}{n(p-1)} \cdot |\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{a}}.$$

Define $F : [0, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(3.27) \quad F(t) = \left(\int_0^t \mu(s) ds\right)^p - p \left(\int_0^t s^{p-1} \mu(s) ds\right) |\Omega|^{p-1}.$$

It is easy to see from (3.25) that

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} F'(t) &= p \left(\left(\int_0^t \mu(s) ds\right)^{p-1} - t^{p-1} |\Omega|^{p-1} \right) \mu(t) \\ &\leq p |\Omega|^{p-1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{b(a+1)} (1 - (1-bt)^{a+1})\right)^{p-1} - t^{p-1} \right) \mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \geq 2 \geq n/(n-1)$, we have $a+1 \geq 2$. Using

$$(3.29) \quad (1+x)^\alpha \geq 1 + \alpha x + x^2, \quad \alpha \geq 2, \quad x \geq -1$$

in (3.28), we obtain

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} F'(t) &\leq p |\Omega|^{p-1} \left(\left(t - \frac{bt^2}{a+1}\right)^{p-1} - t^{p-1} \right) \mu(t) \\ &= p |\Omega|^{p-1} t^{p-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{bt}{a+1}\right)^{p-1} - 1 \right) \mu(t) \\ &\leq -\frac{pb |\Omega|^{p-1} t^p \mu(t)}{a+1} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.30) over $[0, M]$ and using Hölder's inequality we have

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} F(M) &\leq -\frac{pb |\Omega|^{p-1}}{a+1} \int_0^M t^p \mu(t) dt \\ &\leq -\frac{pb |\Omega|^{p-1}}{a+1} \cdot \frac{\left(\int_0^M t^{p-1} \mu(t) dt\right)^{p/(p-1)}}{\left(\int_0^M \mu(t) dt\right)^{1/(p-1)}} \\ &= -\frac{b |\Omega|^{p-1}}{a+1} \cdot \frac{\left(\int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx\right)^{p/(p-1)}}{p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\int_\Omega v_\Omega dx\right)^{1/(p-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.32) \quad \left(\int_\Omega v_\Omega dx\right)^p - |\Omega|^{p-1} \int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx \leq -\frac{b |\Omega|^{p-1}}{a+1} \cdot \frac{\left(\int_\Omega v_\Omega^p dx\right)^{p/(p-1)}}{p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\int_\Omega v_\Omega dx\right)^{1/(p-1)}}.$$

Dividing by $|\Omega|^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} v_{\Omega}^p dx$ and using Hölder's inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.33) \quad & \frac{\lambda_{p,1}(F, \Omega) T_{F,p}(\Omega)^{p-1}}{|\Omega|^{p-1}} - 1 \\
& \leq \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} v_{\Omega} dx\right)^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} v_{\Omega}^p dx\right) |\Omega|^{p-1}} - 1 \\
& \leq -\frac{b}{(a+1)p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \cdot \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} v_{\Omega}^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} v_{\Omega} dx}\right)^{1/(p-1)} \\
& \leq -\frac{b}{(a+1)p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \cdot \frac{\int_{\Omega} v_{\Omega} dx}{|\Omega|} \\
& = -\frac{p^{\frac{2p-3}{p-1}} (n\kappa_n^{1/n})^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{n(p-1)(n(p-1)+p)} \cdot \frac{T_{F,p}(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{1+\frac{p}{n(p-1)}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (1.35) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $u_M = \sup_{\Omega} u$ and consider two functions $\eta, V : [0, u_M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(3.34) \quad \eta(t) = \int_{\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}} g(u) dx, \quad V(t) = |\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}|.$$

Integrating the equation $-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div}(\nabla_{\xi}[F^n](\nabla u)) = g(u)$ on $\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}$, we have

$$(3.35) \quad \eta(t) = \int_{\Gamma(t)} \frac{F^n(\nabla u)}{|\nabla u|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where $\Gamma(t) = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = t\}$. The co-area formula gives

$$(3.36) \quad -\frac{dV}{dt} = \int_{\{x \in \Omega : u(x) = t\}} \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{|\nabla u|}.$$

From (3.35), (3.36) and Hölder and inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.37) \quad \eta(-V')^{n-1} &= \int_{\Gamma(t)} \frac{F^n(\nabla u)}{|\nabla u|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \left(\int_{\{x \in \Omega : u(x) = t\}} \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}}{|\nabla u|} \right)^{n-1} \\
&\geq \left(\int_{\Gamma(t)} \frac{F(\nabla u)}{|\nabla u|} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^n \\
&= (P_F(\{u > t\}; \Omega))^n \\
&\geq \left(n\kappa_n^{\frac{1}{n}} V(t)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.38) \quad -\eta^{\frac{1}{n-1}} V'(t) \geq (n^n \kappa_n)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} V(t),$$

which, combining with

$$(3.39) \quad \frac{d\eta}{dt} = g(t) \frac{dV}{dt},$$

gives

$$(3.40) \quad -\eta^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \eta'(t) \geq (n^n \kappa_n)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} g(t) V(t).$$

Integration of (3.40) on $[0, u_M]$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.41) \quad \frac{n-1}{n} \eta(0)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} &\geq (n^n \kappa_n)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_0^{u_M} g(t) V(t) dt \\
&= -(n^n \kappa_n)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_0^{u_M} G(t) V'(t) dt \\
&= (n^n \kappa_n)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(3.42) \quad \frac{n-1}{n} \left(\int_{\Omega} g(u) dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \geq (n^n \kappa_n)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx.$$

(1.38) follows. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.6-1.8

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7. Before doing this, we first recall Reilly's formula which will be used later. Let M be an n -dimensional compact manifold with boundary. We will often write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Riemannian metric on M as well as that induced on ∂M . Let ∇ and Δ be the connection and the Laplacian on M , respectively. Let ν be the unit outward normal vector of ∂M . The shape operator of ∂M is given by $S(X) = \nabla_X \nu$ and the second fundamental form of ∂M is defined as $II(X, Y) = \langle S(X), Y \rangle$, here $X, Y \in T(\partial M)$. The eigenvalues of S are called the principal curvatures of ∂M and the mean curvature H of ∂M is given by $H = \frac{1}{n-1} \text{tr } S$, here $\text{tr } S$ denotes the trace of S . For a smooth function f defined on M , the following identity holds [26] if $h = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} f|_{\partial M}$, $z = f|_{\partial M}$ and Ric denotes the Ricci tensor of M :

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad &\int_M ((\Delta f)^2 - |\nabla^2 f|^2 - \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f)) \\
&= \int_{\partial M} (((n-1)Hh + 2\bar{\Delta}z)h + II(\bar{\nabla}z, \bar{\nabla}z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\nabla^2 f$ is the Hessian of f ; $\bar{\Delta}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ represent the Laplacian and the gradient on ∂M with respect to the induced metric on ∂M , respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. i) Since ρ satisfies the equation

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta \rho = -1 \text{ in } M, \quad \rho|_{\partial M} = 0,$$

we know from the strong maximum principle and Hopf lemma [18] that ρ is positive in the interior of M and

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu}(x) < 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial M.$$

It follows from Bochner formula that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla \rho|^2 &= |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 + \langle \nabla \rho, \nabla(\Delta \rho) \rangle + \text{Ric}(\nabla \rho, \nabla \rho) \\
&= |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla \rho, \nabla \rho) \\
&\geq |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 + (n-1)\kappa |\nabla \rho|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (4.4) on M and using divergence theorem, we get

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 + (n-1)\kappa \cdot T(M) &= \int_M (|\nabla^2 \rho|^2 + (n-1)\kappa |\nabla \rho|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M} \nu |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ &= \int_{\partial M} \nabla^2 \rho(\nabla \rho, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho|_{\partial M} = 0$, we have

$$\nabla \rho|_{\partial M} = \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right) \nu, \quad \nabla^2 \rho(\nu, \nu) = \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\partial M} \nabla^2 \rho(\nabla \rho, \nu) = \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2} \right).$$

Setting

$$l = \min_{x \in \partial M} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2}(x);$$

we have from (4.3) that

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2} \right) \leq \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right) l.$$

Hence

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} \nabla^2 \rho(\nabla \rho, \nu) &\leq l \int_{\partial M} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \\ &= l \int_M \Delta \rho \\ &= -lV. \end{aligned}$$

The Schwarz inequality implies that

$$(4.8) \quad |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta \rho)^2 = \frac{1}{n}$$

with equality holding if and only if

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla^2 \rho = \frac{\Delta \rho}{n} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -\frac{1}{n} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$$

Combining (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8), we get (1.42). On the other hand, if (1.42) take equality sign, then the inequalities (4.4)-(4.8) should be equalities. Thus, (4.9) holds on M . Taking the covariant derivative of (4.9), we get $\nabla^3 \rho = 0$ and from the Ricci identity,

$$(4.10) \quad R(X, Y) \nabla \rho = 0,$$

for any tangent vectors X, Y on M , where R is the curvature tensor of M . By the the maximum principle ρ attains its maximum at some point x_0 in the interior of M . Let r be the distance function to x_0 ; then from (4.9) it follows that

$$(4.11) \quad \nabla \rho = -\frac{1}{n} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

Using (4.10), (4.11), Cartan's theorem (cf. [14]) and $\rho|_{\partial M} = 0$, we conclude that M is a ball in \mathbb{R}^n whose center is x_0 , and

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2n}(r_0^2 - |x - x_0|)$$

in M , here r_0 is the radius of the ball. This in turn implies that $\kappa = 0$.

ii) Restricting $\Delta\rho = -1$ on ∂M and noticing $\rho|_{\partial M} = 0$, we infer

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2} + (n-1)H \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} = -1 \quad \text{on } \partial M.$$

Integrating (4.12) on ∂M yields

$$(4.13) \quad A + \int_{\partial M} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \nu^2} = -(n-1) \int_{\partial M} H \partial_\nu \rho.$$

Substituting ρ into Reilly formula, we get

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (n-1) \int_{\partial M} H (\partial_\nu \rho)^2 &= \int_M ((\Delta\rho)^2 - |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 - \text{Ric}(\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho)) \\ &\leq \int_M \left((\Delta\rho)^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\Delta\rho)^2 - (n-1)\kappa |\nabla\rho|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)V}{n} - (n-1)\kappa T(M), \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if and only if

$$(4.15) \quad |\nabla^2 \rho|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{on } M,$$

and

$$(4.16) \quad \text{Ric}(\nabla\rho, \nabla\rho) = (n-1)\kappa |\nabla\rho|^2 \quad \text{on } M.$$

Since $H \geq 0$ on ∂M , one obtains from Hölder's inequality that

$$(4.17) \quad - \int_{\partial M} H \partial_\nu \rho \leq \left(\int_{\partial M} H (\partial_\nu \rho)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\partial M} H \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining (4.13), (4.14) and (4.17), one gets ((1.44)). Also, the equality in (1.44) holding implies that (4.15) holds. Using the same arguments as in the proof of item *i*), we conclude that M is isometric to a ball in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. As stated in the proof of Theorem 1.6, the function u is positive in the interior of M and

$$(4.18) \quad - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial M} > 0.$$

Multiplying the equation

$$(4.19) \quad -\Delta u = 1$$

by $(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u)$ and integrating on M , we have from divergence theorem and $u|_{\partial M} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.20) \quad & \frac{n+2}{n}T(M) \\
&= \frac{n+2}{n} \int_M u \\
&= \int_M \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) \\
&= \int_M \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) (-\Delta u) \\
&= \int_M \left\langle \nabla \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right), \nabla u \right\rangle - \int_{\partial M} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \\
&= - \int_M u \Delta \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) - \int_{\partial M} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{n}u \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \\
&= -2 \int_M u \left(|\nabla^2 u|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{n} \right) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3 \\
&\leq -2 \int_M u \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3,
\end{aligned}$$

with equality holding if and only if

$$(4.21) \quad |\nabla^2 u|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{on } M.$$

Setting

$$(4.22) \quad \max_{x \in \partial M} |\nabla u| = m$$

and using

$$(4.23) \quad u \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) \geq (n-1)\kappa u |\nabla u|^2,$$

we conclude from (4.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.24) \quad & \frac{n+2}{n}T(M) + 2(n-1)\kappa \int_M u |\nabla u|^2 \leq m^2 \int_{\partial M} \left(-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) \\
&= m^2 \int_M (-\Delta u) \\
&= m^2 V.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (1.46) holds. It is clear from the above proof that if the equality in (1.46) holds then (4.21) holds and so $\kappa = 0$ and M is isometric to a ball in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We shall only consider the case that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ since the case $\partial M = \emptyset$ is similar. Multiplying the equation $\Delta u = -g(u)$ by $|\nabla u|^2$, integrating on M

and using the divergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad & \int_M g(u)|\nabla u|^2 \\
&= - \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \Delta u \\
&= \int_M \langle \nabla |\nabla u|^2, \nabla u \rangle - \int_{\partial M} |\nabla u|^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \\
&= - \int_M u \Delta |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3 \\
&= - \int_M 2u(|\nabla^2 u|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\Delta u) \rangle) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3 \\
&\leq - \int_M 2u \left(\frac{(\Delta u)^2}{n} + (n-1)\kappa |\nabla u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\Delta u) \rangle \right) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3 \\
&= - \int_M \left(\frac{2ug(u)^2}{n} + (n-1)\kappa \langle \nabla u, \nabla u^2 \rangle + \langle \nabla u^2, \nabla(\Delta u) \rangle \right) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3 \\
&= \int_M \left(-\frac{2ug(u)^2}{n} + (n-1)\kappa u^2 \Delta u + \Delta u \Delta u^2 \right) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3 \\
&= \int_M \left(-\frac{2ug(u)^2}{n} - (n-1)\kappa u^2 g(u) + 2ug(u)^2 - 2g(u)|\nabla u|^2 \right) - \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^3.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$(4.26) \quad \int_M g(u)|\nabla u|^2 = \int_M \langle \nabla G(u), \nabla u \rangle = - \int_M G(u) \Delta u = \int_M G(u)g(u).$$

Substituting (4.26) into (4.25), one gets (1.48). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, G. Trombetti, P. L. Lions, Convex symmetrization and applications. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré - Anal. Non Linéaire* 14 (1997), 275-293.
- [2] A. Alvino, G. Bellettini, A notion of total variation depending on a metric with discontinuous coefficients. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré - Anal. Non Linéaire* 11 (1994), 91-133.
- [3] T. Bartsch, S. Peng, Z. Zhang, Existence and non-existence of solutions to elliptic equations related to the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities. *Calc Var Partial Differential Equations*. 30 (2007), 113-136.
- [4] M. Belloni, V. Ferone, B. Kawohl, Isoperimetric inequalities, Wulff shape and related questions for strongly nonlinear elliptic operators. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 54 (2003), 771-783.
- [5] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations, I existence of a ground state. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 82 (1983), 313-345.
- [6] M. van den Berg, V. Ferone, C. Nitsch, C. Trombetti, On Pólya's inequality for torsional rigidity and first Dirichlet eigenvalue, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* 86 (2016), 579-600.
- [7] B. Chiara, C. Giulio, P. Salani, An overdetermined problem for the anisotropic capacity. *Calc. Var.* (2016) 55:84 DOI 10.1007/s00526-016-1011-x.
- [8] A. Cianchi, P. Salani, P. Overdetermined anisotropic elliptic problems. *Math. Ann.* 345 (2009), 859-881.
- [9] M. Cozzi, A. Farina, E. Valdinoci, Gradient bounds and rigidity results for singular, degenerate, anisotropic partial differential equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 331 (2014), 189-214.
- [10] B. Dacorogna, C. E. Pfister, Wulff theorem and best constant in Sobolev inequality, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 71 (1992), 97-118.

- [11] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone, Anisotropic elliptic equations with general growth in the gradient and Hardy-type potentials. *J. Differ. Equ.* 255 (2013), 3788-3810.
- [12] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone, FaberKrahn inequality for anisotropic eigenvalue problems with robin boundary conditions. *Potential Anal.* 41 (2014), 1147-1166.
- [13] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone, Symmetrization with respect to the anisotropic perimeter and applications. *Math. Ann.* 363 (2015), 953-971.
- [14] M.P. do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [15] M. Esteban, P. L. Lions, Existence and nonexistence results for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains. *Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh Sect A*, 93 (1982), 1-14.
- [16] A. Farina, E. Valdinoci, Gradient bounds for anisotropic partial differential equations. *Calc Var Partial Differential Equations.* 49 (2014), 923-936.
- [17] A. Fonseca, S. Müller, A uniqueness proof of Wulff Theorem, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* 119 (1991), 125-136.
- [18] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial differential equations of second order*, Reprint of the 1998 edition, *Classics in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [19] M. Guedda, L. Veron, Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.* 13 (1989), 879-902
- [20] B. Kou, T. An, Pohozaev-type inequalities and their applications for elliptic equations. *Boundary Value Problems* (2017) 2017:103 DOI 10.1186/s13661-017-0835-4.
- [21] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, N. N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*. Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, Inc. Translation editor: Leon Ehrenpreis. Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [22] L.E.Payne, R. Sperb, I. Stakgold, On Hopf type maximum principles for convex domains, *Nonlinear Anal.* 1 (1977), 547-559.
- [23] S. I. Pohozaev, On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 165 (1965), 1408-1411.
- [24] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, A general variational identity, *Indiana Univ Math J.*,35 (1986), 681-703.
- [25] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, Critical exponents and critical dimensions for polyharmonic operators. *J Math. Pures Appl.* 69 (1990), 55-83.
- [26] R. Reilly, Applications of the Hessian operator in a Riemannian manifold, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 26 (1977), 459-472.
- [27] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [28] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The Pohozaev Identity for the Fractional Laplacian, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 213 (2014), 587-628
- [29] J. Serrin, A symmetry problem in potential theory, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 43 (1971) 304-318.
- [30] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Diff. Eq.* 51 (1984), 126-150.
- [31] G. Wang, C. Xia, A characterization of the Wulff shape by an overdetermined anisotropic PDE. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 199 (2010), 99-115.
- [32] H. F. Weinberger, Remarks on the preceding paper of Serrin, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 43 (1971), 319-320.
- [33] C. Xia, p-Torsional rigidity and the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator (in Chinese). *Sci Sin Math*, 2018, 48: 18, doi:10.1360/N012017-00211.

QIAOLING WANG,
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, 70910-900-BRASÍLIA-DF, BRAZIL.
 WANG@MAT.UNB.BR

CHANGYU XIA,
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, 70910-900 BRASÍLIA-DF, BRAZIL.
 XIA@MAT.UNB.BR