

ON THE q -PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND q -SERIES

ZHI-GUO LIU

ABSTRACT. Using the theory of functions of several complex variables, we prove that if an analytic function in several variables satisfies a system of q -partial differential equations, then, it can be expanded in terms of the product of the Rogers-Szegő polynomials. This expansion theorem allows us to develop a general method for proving q -identities. A general q -transformation formula is derived, which implies Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem as a special case. A multilinear generating function for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials is given. The theory of q -exponential operator is revisited.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Proof of the expansion theorem	5
3. The generating functions of the Rogers-Szegő polynomials and the Stieltjes-Wigert polynomials	7
4. q -Mehler formulas for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials and the Stieltjes-Wigert polynomials	8
5. Carlitz's extension of the q -Mehler formula for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials	9
6. An extension of Rogers's summation	10
7. An extension of the Andrews-Askey integral	12
8. Generalizations of Ramanujan's reciprocity formula	15
9. A general q -transformation formula	19
10. An extension of Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem	22
11. Some q -series transformation formulas	23
12. A multilinear generating function for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials	26
13. Some notes on the q -exponential operator identities	27
14. Acknowledgments	36
References	36

¹Dedicated to Srinivasa Ramanujan on the occasion of his 125th birth anniversary
Date: November 5, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications : 05A30, 33D15, 11E25, 11F27.

Keywords: q -series, q -derivative, q -partial differential equation, q -exponential operator, q -identities, analytic functions, Rogers-Szegő polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, we use the standard q -notations. For $0 < q < 1$, we define the q -shifted factorials as

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k);$$

and for convenience, we also adopt the following compact notation for the multiple q -shifted factorial:

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n,$$

where n is an integer or ∞ .

The basic hypergeometric series ${}_r\phi_s$ is defined as

$${}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \left((-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \right)^{1+s-r} z^n.$$

For any function $f(x)$ of one variable, the q -derivative of $f(x)$ with respect to x , is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{q,x}\{f(x)\} = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{x},$$

and we further define $\mathcal{D}_{q,x}^0\{f\} = f$, and for $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{D}_{q,x}^n\{f\} = \mathcal{D}_{q,x}\{\mathcal{D}_{q,x}^{n-1}\{f\}\}$.

For any nonnegative integer n , we have the higher-order q -derivative formula

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_{q,x}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{(sx; q)_\infty} \right\} = \frac{s^n}{(sx; q)_\infty},$$

which is the case $t = 0$ of the following general higher-order q -derivative formula:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_{q,x}^n \left\{ \frac{(tx; q)_\infty}{(sx; q)_\infty} \right\} = s^n (t/s; q)_n \frac{(q^n tx; q)_\infty}{(sx; q)_\infty}.$$

Definition 1.1. A q -partial derivative of a function of several variables is its q -derivative with respect to one of those variables, regarding other variables as constants. The q -partial derivative of a function f with respect to the variable x is denoted by $\partial_{q,x}\{f\}$.

The Gaussian binomial coefficients also called the q -binomial coefficients are q -analogs of the binomial coefficients, which are given by

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}.$$

Now we introduce the definition of the Rogers–Szegő polynomials which were first studied by Rogers [25] and then by Szegő [26].

Definition 1.2. With the q -binomial coefficients be defined as in (1.3), the Rogers-Szegő polynomials are defined by

$$h_n(x, y|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k y^{n-k}.$$

If q is replaced by q^{-1} in the Rogers-Szegő polynomials, we can obtain the Stieltjes-Wigert polynomials (see, for example, [6, 26]).

Definition 1.3. The Stieltjes-Wigert polynomials are defined by

$$g_n(x, y|q) = h_n(x, y|q^{-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(k-n)} x^k y^{n-k}.$$

Proposition 1.4. $h_n(x, y|q)$ and $g_n(x, y|q)$ satisfy the identities

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_{q,x} \{h_n(x, y|q)\} = \partial_{q,y} \{h_n(x, y|q)\} = (1 - q^n) h_{n-1}(x, y|q),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_{q^{-1},x} \{g_n(x, y|q)\} = \partial_{q^{-1},y} \{g_n(x, y|q)\} = (1 - q^{-n}) g_{n-1}(x, y|q).$$

Proof. Using the identity, $\partial_{q,x} \{x^k\} = (1 - q^k) x^{k-1}$, we immediately find that

$$\partial_{q,x} \{h_n(x, y|q)\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (1 - q^k) x^{k-1} y^{n-k}.$$

In the same way, using the identity, $\partial_{q,y} \{y^{n-k}\} = (1 - q^{n-k}) y^{n-k-1}$, we deduce that

$$\partial_{q,y} \{h_n(x, y|q)\} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (1 - q^{n-k}) x^k y^{n-k-1}.$$

If we make the variable change $k+1 \rightarrow k$ in the right-hand side of the above equation, we can find that

$$\partial_{q,y} \{h_n(x, y|q)\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q (\alpha; q)_k (1 - q^{n-k+1}) x^{k-1} y^{n-k}.$$

From the definition of the q -binomial coefficients, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (1 - q^k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q (1 - q^{n-k+1}).$$

Thus, the identity in (1.4) holds. In this same way, we can prove (1.5). This completes the proof of Proposition 1.4. \square

To explain our motivation of this paper, we begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 1.5. If $f(x, y)$ is a two variables analytic function in a neighbourhood of $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, satisfying the partial differential equation $f_x(x, y) = f_y(x, y)$, then, we have $f(x, y) = f(x + y, 0)$.

Proof. Now we begin to solve the partial differential equation in the above proposition. From the theory of two complex variables, we may assume that near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$,

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x)y^n.$$

If this is substituted into $f_x(x, y) = f_y(x, y)$, we immediately conclude that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A'_n(x)y^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)A_{n+1}(x)y^n.$$

Equating the coefficients of y^n on both sides of the above equation, we find that for each integer $n \geq 1$, $A_n(x) = A'_{n-1}(x)/n$. By iteration, we deduce that $A_n(x) = A_0^{(n)}(x)/n!$. It is obvious that $A_0(x) = f(x, 0)$. Using the Taylor expansion, we deduce that

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x, 0)}{n!} y^n = f(x + y, 0),$$

which completes the proof of Proposition 1.5. \square

In order to find the q -extension of Proposition 1.5, we are led to the following proposition.

Proposition 1.6. *If $f(x, y)$ is a two-variable analytic function at $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, then, we have*

- (i) *f can be expanded in terms of $h_n(x, y|q)$ if and only if f satisfies the q -partial differential equation $\partial_{q,x}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f\}$.*
- (ii) *f can be expanded in terms of $g_n(x, y|q)$ if and only if f satisfies the q -partial differential equation $\partial_{q^{-1},x}\{f\} = \partial_{q^{-1},y}\{f\}$.*

This proposition can be extended to the following more general expansion theorem for the analytic functions in several variables, which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.7. *If $f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ is a $2k$ -variable analytic function at $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}$, then, we have*

- (i) *f can be expanded in terms of $h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) \cdots h_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q)$ if and only if f satisfies the q -partial differential equations $\partial_{q,x_j}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y_j}\{f\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.*
- (ii) *f can be expanded in terms of $g_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) \cdots g_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q)$ if and only if f satisfies the q -partial differential equation $\partial_{q^{-1},x_j}\{f\} = \partial_{q^{-1},y_j}\{f\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.*

Proposition 1.6 is the special case $k = 1$ of Theorem 1.7. This theorem is useful in q -series, which allows us to develop a general method for proving q -identities. Many applications of this expansion theorem to q -series are discussed in this paper.

To determine if a given function is an analytic functions in several complex variables, we often use the following theorem (see, for example, [27, p. 28]).

Theorem 1.8. (Hartog's theorem). *If a complex valued function $f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is holomorphic (analytic) in each variable separately in a domain $U \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then, it is holomorphic (analytic) in U .*

2. PROOF OF THE EXPANSION THEOREM

In order to prove Theorem 1.7, we need the following fundamental property of several complex variables (see, for example, [23, p. 5, Proposition 1], [24, p. 90]).

Proposition 2.1. *If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is analytic at the origin $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, then, f can be expanded in an absolutely convergent power series,*

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}.$$

Now we begin to prove Proposition 1.6 using Proposition 2.1.

Proof. The proofs of (i) and (ii) are similar, so we only prove (i). Since f is analytic at $(0, 0)$, from Proposition 2.1, we know that f can be expanded in an absolutely convergent power series in a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$. Thus there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_{m,n}\}$ independent of x and y such that

$$(2.1) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{m,n} \alpha_{m,n} x^m y^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m \right\}.$$

Substituting this into the q -partial differential equation $\partial_{q,x}\{f(x, y)\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f(x, y)\}$ and using the fact $\partial_{q,y}\{y^n\} = (1 - q^n)y^{n-1}$, we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n \partial_{q,x} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) y^{n-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m \right\}.$$

Equating the coefficients of y^{n-1} on both sides of the above equation, we easily deduce that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m = \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \partial_{q,x} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n-1} x^m \right\}.$$

Iterating the above equation $(n - 1)$ times, we conclude that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m = \frac{1}{(q; q)_n} \partial_{q,x}^n \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,0} x^m \right\}.$$

With the help of the identity, $\partial_{q,x}^n \{x^m\} = (q; q)_m x^{m-n} / (q; q)_{m-n}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} x^m = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,0} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^{m-n}.$$

Noting that the series in (2.1) is absolutely convergent, substituting the above equation into (2.1) and interchanging the order of the summation, we deduce that

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,0} \sum_{n=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q y^n x^{m-n} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,0} h_m(x, y|q),$$

since $h_n(x, y|q)$ is symmetric in x and y . Conversely, if $f(x, y)$ can be expanded in terms of $h_n(x, y|q)$, then using (1.4), we find that $\partial_{q,x}\{f(x, y)\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f(x, y)\}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.6. \square

Remark 2.2. It can be shown that Proposition 1.6 is equivalent to [18, Theorem 4], but the proof in [18] is less rigorous. This paper may be viewed as an improved of improved version of [18].

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.7 by using Proposition 1.6 and mathematical induction.

Proof. The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i), so we only prove (i). From Proposition 1.6 we conclude that the theorem holds when $k = 1$. Now, we assume that the theorem is true for the case $k - 1$ and consider the case k . If we regard $f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ as a function of x_1 and y_1 , then f is analytic at $(0, 0)$ and satisfies $\partial_{q,x_1}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y_1}\{f\}$. Thus from (i) in Proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)\}$ independent of x_1 and y_1 such that

$$(2.2) \quad f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q).$$

Setting $y_1 = 0$ in the above equation and using $h_{n_1}(x_1, 0|q) = x_1^{n_1}$, we obtain

$$f(x_1, 0, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) x_1^{n_1}.$$

Using the Maclaurin expansion theorem, we immediately deduce that

$$c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \frac{\partial^{n_1} f(x_1, 0, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)}{n_1! \partial x_1^{n_1}} \Big|_{x_1=0}$$

Since $f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ is analytic near $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k) = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}$, from the above equation, we know that $c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ is analytic near $(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2k-2}$. Combining (2.2) with (i) in Theorem 1.7, we find, for $j = 2, \dots, k$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \partial_{q,x_j} \{c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)\} h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) \\ &= \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \partial_{q,y_j} \{c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)\} h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q). \end{aligned}$$

By equating the coefficients of $h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q)$ in the above equation, we find that for $j = 2, \dots, k$,

$$\partial_{q, x_j} \{c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)\} = \partial_{q, y_j} \{c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)\}.$$

Thus by the inductive hypothesis, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}\}$ independent of $x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k$ (of course independent of x_1 and y_1) such that

$$c_{n_1}(x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) = \sum_{n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} h_{n_2}(x_2, y_2|q) \dots h_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q).$$

Substituting this equation into (2.2), we find that f can be expanded in terms of $h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) \dots h_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q)$.

Conversely, if f can be expanded in terms of $h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) \dots h_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q)$, then using (1.4), we find that $\partial_{q, x_j} \{f\} = \partial_{q, y_j} \{f\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7. \square

3. THE GENERATING FUNCTIONS OF THE ROGERS-SZEGŐ POLYNOMIALS AND THE STIELTJES-WIGERT POLYNOMIALS

Theorem 3.1. *If $h_n(x, y|q)$ and $g_n(x, y|q)$ are given by Definitions 1.2 and 1.3, then, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x, y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(xt, yt; q)_{\infty}}, \quad \max\{|xt|, |yt|\} < 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} g_n(x, y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = (xt, yt; q)_{\infty}.$$

Proof. We only prove (3.1). The proof of (3.2) is similar, so is omitted. It is well-known that $1/(xt; q)_{\infty}$ is an analytic function of x for $|xt| < 1$, and $1/(yt; q)_{\infty}$ is an analytic function of y for $|yt| < 1$. Thus, $1/(xt, yt; q)_{\infty}$ is an analytic function of x and y for $\max\{|xt|, |yt|\} < 1$. If we use $f(x, y)$ to denote the right-hand side of (3.1), then $f(x, y)$ is analytic near $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. A direct computation shows that

$$\partial_{q, x} \{f(x, y)\} = \partial_{q, y} \{f(x, y)\} = t f(x, y).$$

Thus by (i) in Proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of x and y such that

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{(xt, yt; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n h_n(x, y|q).$$

Taking $y = 0$ in the above equation, using $h_n(x, 0|q) = x^n$ and the q -binomial theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(xt; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(xt)^n}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n.$$

Equating the coefficients of x^n on both sides of the above equation, we deduce that $\alpha_n = t^n/(q; q)_n$. Thus, we arrive at (3.1). \square

We can also prove Theorem 3.1 by multiplying two copies of q -binomial theorem together.

4. q -MEHLER FORMULAS FOR THE ROGERS-SZEGŐ POLYNOMIALS AND THE STIELTJES-WIGERT POLYNOMIALS

Using Theorem 1.7, we can derive easily the q -Mehler formulas for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials and the Stieltjes-Wigert polynomials.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\max\{|xut|, |xvt|, |yut|, |yvt|\} < 1$, then, we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(x, y|q)h_n(u, v|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xyuvt^2; q)_{\infty}}{(xut, xvt, yut, yvt; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *If $|xyuvt^2/q| < 1$, then, we have the identity*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n g_n(x, y|q)g_n(u, v|q) \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(xut, xvt, yut, yvt; q)_{\infty}}{(xyuvt^2/q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

The q -Mehler formula for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials was first given by Rogers [25] in 1893 and later reproved by Carlitz [6]. The q -Mehler formula for $g_n(x, y|q)$ was first proved by L. Carlitz [6].

Proof. We first prove Theorem 4.1. If we use $f(x, y)$ to denote the right-hand side of the equation in Theorem 4.1, it is obvious that $f(x, y)$ is analytic in x and y separately, so by Hartog's theorem, we know that $f(x, y)$ is analytic at $(0, 0)$. Using the identity $(z; q)_{\infty} = (1-z)(qz; q)_{\infty}$ and a direct computation, we find that

$$\partial_{q,x}\{f(x, y)\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f(x, y)\} = \frac{t(u+v) - xuvt^2 - yvut^2}{1 - xyuvt^2} f(x, y).$$

Thus by (i) in Proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of x and y such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{(xyuvt^2; q)_{\infty}}{(xut, xvt, yut, yvt; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n h_n(x, y|q).$$

Putting $y = 0$ in the above equation, using the fact $h_n(x, 0|q) = x^n$, and the generating function for h_n in (3.1), we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n = \frac{1}{(xut, xvt; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(u, v|q) \frac{(xt)^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Equating the coefficients of x^n on both sides of the above equation, we deduce that $\alpha_n = h_n(u, v)t^n/(q; q)_n$. Substituting this into (4.1), we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Now we turn to prove Theorem 4.2. Denote the right-hand side of the equation in Theorem 4.2 by $g(x, y)$, then, by the identity, $(z; q)_\infty = (1 - z)(qz; q)_\infty$ and a direct computation, we deduce that

$$\partial_{q^{-1}, x}\{g(x, y)\} = \partial_{q^{-1}, y}\{g(x, y)\} = \frac{qt(u+v) - (x+y)uvt^2}{q^2 - xyuvt^2}g(x, y).$$

Thus by (ii) in Proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ independent of x and y such that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{(xut, xvt, yut, yvt; q)_\infty}{(xyuvt^2/q; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n g_n(x, y|q).$$

Setting $y = 0$ in the above equation, using the fact $g_n(x, 0|q) = x^n$, and the generating function for g_n in (3.2), we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n x^n = (xut, xvt; q)_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} g_n(u, v|q) \frac{(xt)^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

It follows that $\beta_n = (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} g_n(u, v|q) t^n / (q; q)_n$. Substituting this into (4.2), we complete the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

5. CARLITZ'S EXTENSION OF THE q -MEHLER FORMULA FOR THE ROGERS-SZEGŐ POLYNOMIALS

Carlitz's extension of the q -Mehler formula for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials [9, p. 96, Eq. (4.1)] is equivalent to the following theorem. In this section we will prove it using Proposition 1.6.

Theorem 5.1. *For $\max\{|aut|, |but|, |avt|, |bvt|\} < 1$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n+k}(a, b|q) h_n(u, v|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} &= \frac{(abuvt^2; q)_\infty}{(aut, but, avt, bvt; q)_\infty} \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{b^j a^{k-j} (aut, avt; q)_j}{(abuvt^2; q)_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Differentiating k times the generating function for $h_n(a, b|q)$ with respect to t , we have that

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n+k}(a, b|q) \frac{t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(at, bt; q)_\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q b^j a^{k-j} (at; q)_j.$$

If t is replaced by tu , we arrive at

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n+k}(a, b|q) \frac{u^n t^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(aut, but; q)_\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q b^j a^{k-j} (aut; q)_j.$$

Denote the right hand side of the equation in Theorem 5.1 by $f(u, v)$. Then by a direct computation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{q,u}\{f(u, v)\} &= \partial_{q,v}\{f(u, v)\} \\ &= \frac{(abuv^2; q)_\infty}{(aut, but, avt, bvt; q)_\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{b^j a^{k-j} (aut, avt; q)_j}{(abuv^2; q)_j} \left(\frac{atq^j + bt - ab(u+v)t^2q^j}{1 - abuv^2q^j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (i) in Proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of u and v such that

$$(5.3) \quad f(u, v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n h_n(u, v|q).$$

Taking $v = 0$ in the above equation and noting the definition of $f(u, v)$, we find that

$$\frac{1}{(aut, but; q)_\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q b^j a^{k-j} (aut; q)_j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u^n.$$

Comparing this equation with (5.2), we find that $\alpha_n = t^n h_{n+k}(a, b|q)/(q; q)_n$. Thus we complete the proof of the theorem. \square

6. AN EXTENSION OF ROGERS'S SUMMATION

The Rogers summation formula [13, p. 44] is one of the most important results for q -series, which can be stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *For $|\alpha abc/q^2| < 1$, we have the summation*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_6\phi_5 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, q\sqrt{\alpha}, -q\sqrt{\alpha}, q/a, q/b, q/c \\ \sqrt{\alpha}, -\sqrt{\alpha}, \alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c \end{matrix}; q, \frac{\alpha abc}{q^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q, \alpha ac/q, \alpha bc/q; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \alpha abc/q^2; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

In [19], we give the following extension of the Rogers ${}_6\phi_5$ summation formula by using the operator method.

Theorem 6.2. *For $\max\{|\alpha\beta abc/q^2|, |\alpha\gamma abc/q^2|\} < 1$, we have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(\alpha, q/a, q/b, q/c; q)_n}{(q, \alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c; q)_n} \left(\frac{\alpha abc}{q^2} \right)^n {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ q/a, q/b, \alpha\beta\gamma ab/q \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \\ &= \frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, \alpha bc/q, \alpha\beta ab/q, \alpha\gamma ab/q, \alpha\beta\gamma abc/q^2; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \alpha\beta abc/q^2, \alpha\gamma abc/q^2, \alpha\beta\gamma ab/q; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will use Proposition 1.6 to give a simple proof of the above theorem.

Proof. Using Watson's q -analogue of Whipple's theorem, the Rogers ${}_6\phi_5$ summation formula and Tannery's theorem, we can obtain the asymptotic formula (see [19, p. 1406] for the details) for $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$${}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ q/a, q/b, \alpha\beta\gamma abc/q \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \sim \frac{(\gamma, q/a\beta, q/b\beta, ab\alpha\gamma/q; q)_\infty \beta^n}{(q/a, q/b, \gamma/\beta, ab\alpha\beta\gamma/q; q)_\infty}.$$

Thus, by the ratio test, we know that the left-hand side of the equation in Theorem 6.2 converges to an analytic function of β for $|\alpha\beta abc/q^2| < 1$. It is obvious that the left-hand side of the equation in Theorem 6.2 is symmetric in β and γ , so the left-hand side of the equation in Theorem 6.2 also converges to an analytic function of γ for $|\alpha\gamma abc/q^2| < 1$. It follows that the left-hand side of the equation in Theorem 6.2 is analytic near $(\beta, \gamma) = (0, 0)$.

For simplicity, we temporarily introduce A_n and $f_k(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows

$$A_n := \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(\alpha, q/a, q/b, q/c; q)_n}{(q, \alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c; q)_n} \left(\frac{\alpha abc}{q^2} \right)^n,$$

$$f_k(\beta, \gamma) := \frac{(\alpha\beta\gamma abq^k/q; q)_\infty}{(\beta q^k, \gamma q^k, \alpha\beta ab/q, \alpha\gamma ab/q; q)_\infty}.$$

Using $f_0(\beta, \gamma)$ to multiply the left-hand side of the equation in Theorem 6.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\beta, \gamma) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ q/a, q/b, \alpha\beta\gamma abc/q \end{matrix}; q, q \right) A_n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k q^k}{(q, q/a, q/b; q)_k} f_k(\beta, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $f_0(\beta, \gamma)$ is analytic near $(\beta, \gamma) = (0, 0)$. Thus, the right-hand side of the above equation is also analytic near $(\beta, \gamma) = (0, 0)$. By a direct computation, we easily find that

$$\partial_{q,\beta}\{f_k(\beta, \gamma)\} = \partial_{q,\gamma}\{f_k(\beta, \gamma)\} = f_k(\beta, \gamma) \left(\frac{\alpha ab + q^{k+1} - (\beta + \gamma)\alpha abq^k}{q - \alpha\beta\gamma abq^k} \right).$$

Thus, there exists a sequence $\{B_n\}$ independent of β and γ such that

$$(6.1) \quad f_0(\beta, \gamma) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ q/a, q/b, \alpha\beta\gamma abc/q \end{matrix}; q, q \right) A_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n h_n(\beta, \gamma|q).$$

Setting $\gamma = 0$ in the above equation and using $h_n(\beta, 0|q) = \beta^n$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\beta, \alpha\beta ab/q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta \\ q/a, q/b \end{matrix}; q, q \right) A_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \beta^n.$$

Ismail, Rahman and Suslov [14, p. 559, Theorem 5.1] have proved that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta \\ q/a, q/b \end{matrix}; q, q \right) A_n = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, abc/q, \alpha\beta ab/q; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, ab, ac, \alpha\beta abc/q^2; q)_\infty}.$$

Comparing the above two equations, we are led to the following identity:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \beta^n = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, abc/q; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \beta, \alpha \beta abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Using the generating function for h_n in Theorem 3.1, we immediately obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\beta, \alpha \beta abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^n}{(q; q)_n} h_n(1, \alpha abc/q^2 | q).$$

Hence we have

$$B_n = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, abc/q; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c; q)_{\infty}} \frac{h_n(1, \alpha abc/q^2 | q)}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Substituting the above equation into (6.1), we find that the right-hand side of (6.1) becomes

$$\frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, abc/q; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q; q)_n} h_n(\beta, \gamma | q) h_n(1, \alpha abc/q^2 | q).$$

Using the q -Mehler formula for h_n in Theorem 4.1, we easily find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q; q)_n} h_n(\beta, \gamma | q) h_n(1, \alpha abc/q^2 | q) = \frac{(\alpha \beta \gamma abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta, \gamma, \alpha \beta abc/q^2, \alpha \gamma abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Combining the above two equations, we find that the right-hand side of (6.1) equals

$$\frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, abc/q, \alpha \beta \gamma abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \beta, \gamma, \alpha \beta abc/q^2, \alpha \gamma abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\beta, \gamma) & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ q/a, q/b, \alpha \beta \gamma abc/q \end{matrix}; q, q \right) A_n \\ & = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha ac/q, abc/q, \alpha \beta \gamma abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \beta, \gamma, \alpha \beta abc/q^2, \alpha \gamma abc/q^2; q)_{\infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the equation in Theorem 6.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2. \square

7. AN EXTENSION OF THE ANDREWS-ASKEY INTEGRAL

It is known that the Jackson q -integral can be defined as

$$\int_a^b f(x) d_q x = (1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [bf(bq^n) - af(aq^n)] q^n.$$

Using Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation, Andrews and Askey [3] proved the following q -integral formula.

Proposition 7.1. *If there are no zero factors in the denominator of the integral, then, we have*

$$\int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx; q)_\infty} d_q x = \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u, abuv; q)_\infty}{(au, bu, av, bv; q)_\infty}.$$

To extend the above q -integral, we introduce $H_k(a, b, u, v)$ defined by

$$(7.1) \quad H_k(a, b, u, v) = \sum_{r=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(au, av; q)_r}{(abuv; q)_r} b^r a^{k-r}.$$

We extend the Andrews and Askey integral to the following more general integral.

Theorem 7.2. *If there are no zero factors in the denominator of the integral and $\max\{|au|, |bu|, |cu|, |du|, |av|, |bv|, |cv|, |dv|\} < 1$, then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx, dx; q)_\infty} d_q x &= \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u, abuv, cdv; q)_\infty}{(au, bu, cu, du, av, bv, cv, dv; q)_\infty} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} (-uv)^k}{(q; q)_k} H_k(a, b, u, v) H_k(c, d, u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We temporarily use $I(a, b, c, d)$ to denote the q -integral in the left-hand side of the above equation. Writing $I(a, b, c, d)$ in the series form, we easily find that it is an analytic function of a, b, c, d for

$$\max\{|au|, |bu|, |cu|, |du|, |av|, |bv|, |cv|, |dv|\} < 1.$$

It is easy to check that $I(a, b, c, d)$ satisfies the two partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{q,a}\{I\} &= \partial_{q,b}\{I\} = \int_u^v \frac{x(qx/u, qx/v; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx, dx; q)_\infty} d_q x, \\ \partial_{q,c}\{I\} &= \partial_{q,d}\{I\} = \int_u^v \frac{x(qx/u, qx/v; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx, dx; q)_\infty} d_q x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (i) in Theorem 1.7, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_{m,n}\}$ such that

$$(7.2) \quad \int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx, dx; q)_\infty} d_q x = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} h_m(a, b|q) h_n(c, d|q).$$

Setting $b = d = 0$ in the above equation and noting that $h_m(a, 0|q) = a^m$ and $h_n(c, 0|q) = c^n$, we obtain

$$\int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v; q)_\infty}{(ax, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x = \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} a^m c^n.$$

Using the Andrews-Askey integral, we find that the above equation can be written as

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} a^m c^n = \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u, acuv; q)_\infty}{(au, cu, av, cv; q)_\infty}.$$

Using the generating function for h_n in Theorem 3.1, we find that the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m,n} a^m c^n \\ &= (1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u, acuv; q)_{\infty} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_m(u, v|q)h_n(u, v|q)a^m c^n}{(q; q)_m (q; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients of $a^m c^n$ in the both sides of the above equation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{m,n} &= (1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u; q)_{\infty} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} (-uv)^k h_{m-k}(u, v|q) h_{n-k}(u, v|q)}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{m-k} (q; q)_{n-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equation into (7.2) and simplifying, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.3) \quad & \int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v; q)_{\infty}}{(ax, bx, cx, dx; q)_{\infty}} d_q x \\ &= (1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u; q)_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2} (-uv)^k}{(q; q)_k} A_k B_k, \end{aligned}$$

where A_k and B_k are given by

$$A_k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_{m+k}(a, b|q)h_m(u, v|q)}{(q; q)_m} \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_{n+k}(c, d|q)h_n(u, v|q)}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Thus using the Carlitz formula in Theorem 5.1, we immediately find that

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= \frac{(abuv; q)_{\infty}}{(au, bu, av, bv; q)_{\infty}} H_k(a, b, u, v) \\ B_k &= \frac{(cdvw; q)_{\infty}}{(cu, du, cv, dv; q)_{\infty}} H_k(c, d, u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above two equations into (7.3), we complete the proof of the theorem. \square

The following q -integral formula is equivalent to Sears's identity for the sum of two nonterminating balanced ${}_3\phi_2$ series, which was first noticed by Al-Salam and Verma [1]

Theorem 7.3. *If there are no zero factors in the denominator of the integral and $\max\{|au|, |av|, |bu|, |bv|, |cu|, |cv|\} < 1$, then, we have*

$$\int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v, abcuvx; q)_{\infty}}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_{\infty}} d_q x = \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u, abuv, acuv, bcuv; q)_{\infty}}{(au, bu, cu, av, bv, cv; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Proof. Denote $f(a, c)$ as

$$f(a, c) = \frac{1}{(abuv, bcuv; q)_\infty} \int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v, abcuvx; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x.$$

By a direct computation, we find that $\partial_{q,a}\{f(a, c)\} = \partial_{q,c}\{f(a, c)\}$ equals

$$\frac{1}{(abuv, bcuv; q)_\infty} \int_u^v (x + buv - (a + c)buvx) \frac{(qx/u, qx/v, qabcuvx; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x.$$

Hence, by (i) in Proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of a and c such that

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{1}{(abuv, bcuv; q)_\infty} \int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v, abcuvx; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n h_n(a, c|q).$$

Putting $c = 0$ in the above equation, using $h_n(a, 0|q) = a^n$ and the Andrews-Askey integral, we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n a^n = \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u; q)_\infty}{(au, av, bu, bv; q)_\infty}.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u; q)_\infty h_n(u, v|q)}{(q; q)_n (bu, bv; q)_\infty}.$$

Substituting the above equation into (7.4) and then using the q -Mehler formula for h_n , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(abuv, bcuv; q)_\infty} \int_u^v \frac{(qx/u, qx/v, abcuvx; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x \\ &= \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u; q)_\infty}{(bu, bv; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_n(u, v|q) h_n(a, c|q)}{(q; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{(1-q)v(q, u/v, qv/u, acuv; q)_\infty}{(au, av, bu, bv, cu, cv; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $(abuv, bcuv; q)_\infty$, we complete the proof of Theorem 7.3. \square

8. GENERALIZATIONS OF RAMANUJAN'S RECIPROCITY FORMULA

Ramanujan's reciprocity formula (see, for example, [4]) can be stated as in the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1. (Ramanujan's reciprocity theorem).

$$\begin{aligned} & v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2} (u/v)^n}{(cv; q)_n} - u \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n+1)/2} (v/u)^n}{(cu; q)_n} \\ &= \frac{(v-u)(q, qv/u, qu/v; q)_\infty}{(cu, cv; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the q -exponential operator to Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation, we [16, Theorem 6] proved the following reciprocity formula, which is equivalent to an identity of Andrews. This formula was used to give a simple evaluation of the Askey-Wilson integral [17].

Proposition 8.2. (Liu [16, Theorem 6]). *For $\max\{|bu|, |bv|\} < 1$, we have the reciprocity formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/bu, cdv; q)_n (bv)^n}{(cv, dv; q)_{n+1}} - u \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/bv, cdv; q)_n (bu)^n}{(cu, du; q)_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{(v-u)(q, qv/u, qu/v, bcuv, bduv, cdv; q)_{\infty}}{(bu, bv, cu, cv, du, dv; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Ramanujan's reciprocity formula is the special case $b = d = 0$ of Proposition 8.2.

Using a limiting case of Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem, Kang [15] found the following equivalent form of Proposition 8.2.

Proposition 8.3. (Kang [15, Theorem 1.2]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n+1}v/u) \frac{(q/bu, q/cu, q/du; q)_n}{(bv, cv, dv; q)_{n+1}} q^{n(n-1)/2} (-bcdv^2)^n \\ & - u \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n+1}u/v) \frac{(q/bv, q/cv, q/dv; q)_n}{(bu, cu, du; q)_{n+1}} q^{n(n-1)/2} (-bcdv^2)^n \\ &= (v-u) \frac{(q, qv/u, qu/v, bcuv, bduv, cdv; q)_{\infty}}{(bu, bv, cu, cv, du, dv; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

For completeness, we will reproduce Kang's proof here.

Proof. Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem [13, Eq. (2.5.1)] can be stated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (8.1) \quad & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q/a, q/b, \alpha cd/q \\ \alpha c, \alpha d, q^2/\alpha abq^m \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \\ &= {}_8\phi_7 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q\sqrt{\alpha}, -q\sqrt{\alpha}, \alpha, q/a, q/b, q/c, q/d \\ \sqrt{\alpha}, -\sqrt{\alpha}, \alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \alpha d, \alpha q^{m+1} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{\alpha^2 abcdq^m}{q^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $a = 1$ and then letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the above equation and simplifying, we find for, $|\alpha b/q^2| < 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha b/q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/b, \alpha cd/q; q)_n}{(\alpha c, \alpha d; q)_n} (\alpha b/q)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(q/b, q/c, q/d; q)_n}{(\alpha b, \alpha c, \alpha d; q)_n} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 bcd}{q^2} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing (α, b, c, d) by $(qv/u, bu, cu, du)$ in the above equation, then dividing both sides of resulting equation by $(1 - bv)(1 - cv)(1 - dv)$, and finally

multiplying both sides by v , we find that the first summation in the left-hand side of the equation in Proposition 8.2 equals

$$v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n+1}v/u) \frac{(q/bu, q/cu, q/du; q)_n}{(bv, cv, dv; q)_{n+1}} q^{n(n-1)/2} (-bcdv^2)^n.$$

Interchanging u and v , it is found that the second summation in the left-hand side of the equation in Proposition 8.2 is equal to

$$u \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n+1}u/v) \frac{(q/bv, q/cv, q/dv; q)_n}{(bu, cu, du; q)_{n+1}} q^{n(n-1)/2} (-bcdv^2)^n.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Proposition 8.3. \square

The following general reciprocity formula was derived by Chu and Zhang from Bailey's ${}_6\psi_6$ summation, which is in fact a variant form of Bailey's ${}_6\psi_6$ summation. We will show that this reciprocity formula can be derived from Kang's reciprocity formula by using Proposition 1.6. Thus, we give a new proof of Bailey's ${}_6\psi_6$ summation.

Proposition 8.4. (Chu and Zhang [12, Theorem 5]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & v \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n+1}v/u) \frac{(q/au, q/bu, q/cu, q/du; q)_n}{(av, bv, cv, dv; q)_{n+1}} (abcdv^2/q)^n \\ & - u \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n+1}u/v) \frac{(q/av, q/bv, q/cv, q/dv; q)_n}{(au, bu, cu, du; q)_{n+1}} (abcdv^2/q)^n \\ & = (v - u) \frac{(q, qv/u, qu/v, abuv, acuv, adv, bcuv, bdv, cdv; q)_{\infty}}{(au, av, bu, bv, cu, cv, du, dv, abcdv^2/q; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the sake of brevity, we first introduce the compact notation $A_n(a, b, u, v)$, $B_n(u, v)$ and $f(a, b)$ as follows

$$A_n(a, b, u, v) = \frac{(auq^{-n}, buq^{-n}, avq^{n+1}, bvq^{n+1}; q)_{\infty}}{(abuv; q)_{\infty}},$$

$$B_n(u, v) = v(1 - q^{2n+1}v/u) \frac{(q, q/cu, q/du; q)_n}{(cv, dv; q)_{n+1}} (cdv^2/q)^n,$$

$$f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, u, v) B_n(u, v) q^{n^2+n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, v, u) B_n(v, u) q^{n^2+n}.$$

Using the ratio test, we can show that $f(a, b)$ is analytic near $(a, b) = (0, 0)$. By a direct computation, we find that $\partial_{q^{-1}, a}\{f(a, b)\} = \partial_{q^{-1}, b}\{f(a, b)\}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(q - abuv)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, u, v) B_n(u, v) q^{n^2+n} (u/q^n + vq^{n+1} - (a+b)uv) \\ & - \frac{1}{(q - abuv)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(a, b, v, u) B_n(v, u) q^{n^2+n} (v/q^n + uq^{n+1} - (a+b)uv). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (ii) in proposition 1.6, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of a and b such that

$$f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n g_n(a, b|q).$$

Setting $a = 0$ in the above equation, and using the fact that $g_n(0, b|q) = b^n$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n b^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(u, v) q^{n^2+n} (buq^{-n}, bvq^{n+1}; q)_{\infty} \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(v, u) q^{n^2+n} (bvq^{-n}, buq^{n+1}; q)_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, we find, for any complex z and any integer n , that

$$(8.2) \quad z^n (q/z; q)_n = (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} (zq^{-n}; q)_{\infty} / (z; q)_{\infty}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n b^n &= (bu, bv; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(u, v) (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} \frac{(q/bu; q)_n (bu)^n}{(bv; q)_{n+1}} \\ &\quad - (bu, bv; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(v, u) q^{n(n+1)/2} \frac{(q/bv; q)_n (bv)^n}{(bu; q)_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 8.3, we find that the right-hand side of the above equation is equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n b^n = (v-u) \frac{(q, qv/u, qu/v, bcuv, bduv, cduv; q)_{\infty}}{(cu, cv, du, dv; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Using the generating function for g_n in Theorem 3.1, we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} g_n(cuv, duv|q) \frac{b^n}{(q; q)_n} = (bcuv, bduv; q)_{\infty}.$$

Equating the coefficients in the above two equations, we deduce that

$$\alpha_n = (-1)^n (v-u) q^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{g_n(cuv, duv|q) (q, qv/u, qu/v, cduv; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_n (cu, cv, du, dv; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b) &= (v-u) \frac{(q, qv/u, qu/v, cduv; q)_{\infty}}{(cu, cv, du, dv; q)_{\infty}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{g_n(a, b|q) g_n(cuv, duv|q)}{(q; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the q -Mehler formula for g_n in Theorem 4.2, we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{g_n(a, b|q) g_n(cuv, duv|q)}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(acuv, aduv, bcdu, bcdv; q)_{\infty}}{(abcd u^2 v^2 / q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Combining the above two equations, we immediately find that

$$f(a, b) = (v - u) \frac{(q, qv/u, qu/v, acuv, aduv, bcdv, cdv; q)_\infty}{(cu, cv, du, dv, abcdv^2/q; q)_\infty},$$

which is the same as Proposition 8.4 after applying (8.2) to the left-hand side of the above equation. \square

9. A GENERAL q -TRANSFORMATION FORMULA

We proved the following general expansion formula for q -series [20, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 9.1. *If $f(x)$ is an analytic function near $x = 0$, then, under suitable convergence conditions, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_\infty} f(\alpha a) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(\alpha, q/a; q)_n (a/q)^n}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha a; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k q^k}{(q, \alpha b; q)_k} f(\alpha q^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The main aim of this section is using the above theorem and Proposition 1.6 to prove the following transformation formula for terminating q -series.

Theorem 9.2. *If m is a nonnegative integer and $\{A_n\}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence, then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(q^{-m}, q/a, q/b; q)_n q^n}{(q^2/\alpha abq^m; q)_n} A_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (\alpha abq^{m-1})^n}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k q^k A_k. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 9.2, we first prove the following lemma by using Theorem 9.1.

Lemma 9.3. *For any nonnegative integer m and arbitrary complex sequence $\{A_n\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^m A_n (q^{-m}, q/a; q)_n (\alpha a q^m)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(\alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (-\alpha ab/q)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(q^{-m}, q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_l}{(q/b; q)_l} \left(\frac{q^{m+2}}{b}\right)^l A_l. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that m is a nonnegative integer and $\{A_n\}$ is an arbitrary complex sequence. In Theorem 9.1, we can choose $f(x)$ as follows

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^m A_l(q^{-m}, q\alpha/x; q)_l (q^m x)^l.$$

Letting $x = \alpha a$ in the above equation, we immediately find that

$$(9.1) \quad f(\alpha a) = \sum_{l=0}^m A_l(q^{-m}, q/a; q)_l (\alpha a q^m)^l.$$

It is easy to check that $(q^{-k}; q)_l = 0$ for $l > k$. Thus we at once deduce that

$$f(\alpha q^{k+1}) = \sum_{l=0}^m A_l(q^{-m}, q^{-k}; q)_l (\alpha q^{m+k+1})^l = \sum_{l=0}^k A_l(q^{-m}, q^{-k}; q)_l (\alpha q^{m+k+1})^l.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k}{(q, \alpha b; q)_k} f(\alpha q^{k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k}{(q, \alpha b; q)_k} \sum_{l=0}^k A_l(q^{-m}, q^{-k}; q)_l (\alpha q^{m+k+1})^l.$$

Interchanging the order of the summation on the right-hand side of the above equation, we find that the right-hand side of the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{l=0}^n A_l(q^{-m}; q)_l (\alpha q^{m+1})^l \sum_{k=l}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k (q^{-k}; q)_l}{(q, \alpha b; q)_k} q^{k(l+1)}.$$

Using $(q^{-k}; q)_l (q; q)_{k-l} = (-1)^l (q; q)_k q^{l(l-1)/2 - kl}$, we find that the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{l=0}^n A_l(q^{-m}; q)_l (-\alpha q^{m+1})^l q^{l(l-1)/2} \sum_{k=l}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k q^k}{(\alpha b; q)_k (q; q)_{k-l}}.$$

Making the variable change $k - l = j$ in the above equation, we deduce that

$$(9.2) \quad \sum_{l=0}^n A_l \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{-m}; q)_l}{(\alpha b; q)_l} (-\alpha q^{m+1})^l q^{l(l+1)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \frac{(q^{-n+l}, \alpha q^{n+l}; q)_j q^j}{(\alpha b q^l; q)_j (q; q)_j}.$$

Using the q -Chu-Vandermonde summation formula, we find that the inner summation of the above equation equals

$$\frac{(bq^{-n}; q)_{n-l} (\alpha q^{n+l})^{n-l}}{(\alpha b q^l; q)_{n-l}} = (-\alpha b)^{n-l} q^{n(n-1)/2 - l(l-1)/2} \frac{(q/b; q)_n (\alpha b; q)_l}{(q/b; q)_l (\alpha b; q)_n}.$$

Substituting this equation into (9.2), we conclude that

$$(9.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k}{(q, \alpha b; q)_k} f(\alpha q^{k+1}) \\ = \frac{(q/b; q)_n}{(\alpha b; q)_n} (-\alpha b; q)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{-m}, \alpha b q^l; q)_l}{(q/b; q)_l} \left(\frac{q^{m+2}}{b} \right)^l A_l.$$

Substituting the above equation and equation (9.1) into Theorem 9.1, we complete the proof of Lemma 9.3. \square

Now we begin to prove Theorem 9.2 by using the above lemma and Proposition 1.6.

Proof. Setting $b = q^{m+1}$ in Lemma 9.3 and then replacing A_n by $A_n(q/b; q)_n$, we immediately deduce that

$$(9.4) \quad \frac{(\alpha q; q)_m}{(\alpha a; q)_m} \sum_{n=0}^m A_n(q^{-m}, q/a, q/b; q)_n (\alpha a q^m)^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a; q)_n (-\alpha a q^m)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha a, \alpha q^{m+1}; q)_n} B_n,$$

where B_n is defined as

$$B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (q^{-n}, \alpha q^n; q)_k q^k A_k.$$

For simplicity, we temporarily introduce $f_{m,n}(a, b)$, $f(a, b)$ and $g(a, b)$ as follows

$$f_{m,n}(a, b) = \frac{(aq^{-n}, bq^{-n}, \alpha a q^m, \alpha b q^m; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a b q^{m-n-1}; q)_\infty}, \\ f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha; q)_n \alpha^n q^{n^2+mn}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}; q)_n} f_{m,n}(a, b) B_n, \\ g(a, b) = (\alpha q; q)_m \sum_{n=0}^m (q^{-m}; q)_n (-\alpha q^m)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} f_{m,n}(a, b) A_n.$$

It is easily seen that

$$f(a, 0) = (a, \alpha a; q)_\infty \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a; q)_n (-\alpha a q^m)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha a, \alpha q^{m+1}; q)_n} B_n, \\ g(a, 0) = (a, \alpha a; q)_\infty \frac{(\alpha q; q)_m}{(\alpha a; q)_m} \sum_{n=0}^m A_n(q^{-m}, q/a; q)_n (\alpha a q^m)^n.$$

Combining the above two equations and (9.4), we find the identity, $f(a, 0) = g(a, 0)$.

By a direct computation, we find that

$$\partial_{q^{-1}, a} \{f_{m,n}(a, b)\} = \partial_{q^{-1}, b} \{f_{m,n}(a, b)\} = \frac{(1 + \alpha q^{m+n} - \alpha(a+b)q^{m-1})f_{m,n}(a, b)}{q^{n+1}(1 - \alpha a b q^{m-n-2})}.$$

It follows that

$$\partial_{q^{-1}, a} \{f(a, b)\} = \partial_{q^{-1}, b} \{f(a, b)\}, \text{ and } \partial_{q^{-1}, a} \{g(a, b)\} = \partial_{q^{-1}, b} \{g(a, b)\}.$$

Thus, by (ii) in Proposition 1.6, there exist two sequences $\{\beta_l\}$ and $\{\gamma_l\}$ independent of a and b such that

$$f(a, b) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \beta_l h_l(a, b|q), \quad g(a, b) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma_l h_l(a, b|q).$$

Setting $b = 0$ in the above equations and using $f(a, 0) = g(a, 0)$, we find that $\beta_l = \gamma_l$ for any nonnegative integer l . It follows that $f(a, b) = g(a, b)$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} \sum_{n=0}^m (q^{-m}, q/a, q/b; q)_n q^{-n(n+1)/2} (-\alpha abq^m)^n (\alpha ab/q; q)_{m-n} A_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1-\alpha)(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (\alpha abq^{m-1})^n}{(1-\alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} B_n. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the q -shifted factorial and by a direct computation, we find that

$$(\alpha ab/q; q)_{m-n} = \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2} (\alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(q^2/\alpha abq^m; q)_n (\alpha abq^{m-1})^n}.$$

Combining the above two equations, we finish the proof of Theorem 9.2. \square

10. AN EXTENSION OF WATSON'S q -ANALOG OF WHIPPLE'S THEOREM

Taking $A_k = (\beta, \gamma; q)_k z^k / (q, c, d, h; q)_k$ in Theorem 9.2, we immediately obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 10.1. *For any nonnegative integer m , we have the q -formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} {}_5\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q/a, q/b, \beta, \gamma \\ q^2/\alpha abq^m, c, d, h \end{matrix}; q, qz \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1-\alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (\alpha abq^{m-1})^n}{(1-\alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ c, d, h \end{matrix}; q, qz \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we take $h = \gamma = 0$ and $z = 1$ in the above equation, we immediately deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 10.2. *For any nonnegative integer m , then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q/a, q/b, \beta \\ q^2/\alpha abq^m, c, d \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1-\alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (\alpha abq^{m-1})^n}{(1-\alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta \\ c, d \end{matrix}; q, q \right). \end{aligned}$$

This proposition contains Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem as a special case. Thus we may regard it an extension of Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem.

Proposition 10.3. (Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem).

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q/a, q/b, \alpha cd/q \\ \alpha c, \alpha d, q^2/\alpha abq^m \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \\ &= {}_8\phi_7 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q\sqrt{\alpha}, -q\sqrt{\alpha}, \alpha, q/a, q/b, q/c, q/d \\ \sqrt{\alpha}, -\sqrt{\alpha}, \alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \alpha d, \alpha q^{m+1} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{\alpha^2 abcdq^m}{q^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If (c, d, β) is replaced by $(\alpha c, \alpha d, \alpha cd/q)$, we find that the left-hand side of the equation in Proposition 10.2 becomes the left-hand side of the equation in Proposition 10.3, and the right-hand side becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (\alpha abq^{m-1})^n}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \alpha cd/q \\ \alpha c, \alpha d \end{matrix}; q, q \right).$$

Using the q -Pfaff-Saalschütz formula (see, for example [13, p. 13, Eq. (1.7.2)]), we find that

$${}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \alpha cd/q \\ \alpha c, \alpha d \end{matrix}; q, q \right) = \frac{(q/c, q/d; q)_n}{(\alpha c, \alpha d; q)_n} \left(\frac{\alpha cd}{q} \right)^n.$$

Combining the above two equations we arrive at the right-hand side of the equation in Proposition 10.3. Thus we complete the proof of the proposition. \square

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 9.2, we immediately obtain the following theorem. Many important applications of this theorem to mock-theta function identities have been discussed in the paper [21].

Theorem 10.4. For $|\alpha abz/q| < 1$, we have the q -transformation formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_\infty} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q/a, q/b, \beta, \gamma \\ c, d, h \end{matrix}; q, \frac{\alpha abz}{q} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{2n})(\alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_n (-\alpha ab/q)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, \beta, \gamma \\ c, d, h \end{matrix}; q, qz \right). \end{aligned}$$

11. SOME q -SERIES TRANSFORMATION FORMULAS

In this section we will use Theorem 10.1 to derive some q -transformation formula.

Theorem 11.1. If m is an nonnegative integer, then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha^2 q^2, \alpha^2 ab/q^2; q^2)_m}{(\alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_m} {}_5\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2m}, q^2/a, q^2/b, \lambda, q\lambda \\ \alpha, q\alpha, q^2\lambda^2, q^4/\alpha^2 abq^{2m} \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha^2 q^{4n})(q^{-2m}, \alpha^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^2)_n (-q, \alpha/\lambda; q)_n (\alpha^2 \lambda abq^{2m-2})^n}{(1 - \alpha^2)(q^2, \alpha^2 q^{2m+2}, \alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_n (\alpha, -q\lambda; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first replace q by q^2 and α by α^2 in Theorem 10.1 and then set $(\beta, \gamma, c, d, h, z) = (\lambda, q\lambda, \alpha, q\alpha, \lambda^2 q^2, 1)$ in the resulting equation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha^2 q^2, \alpha^2 ab/q^2; q^2)_m}{(\alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_m} {}_5\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2m}, q^2/a, q^2/b, \lambda, q\lambda \\ \alpha, q\alpha, q^2 \lambda^2, q^4/\alpha^2 abq^{2m} \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha^2 q^{4n})(q^{-2m}, \alpha^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^2)_n (\alpha^2 abq^{2m-2})^n}{(1 - \alpha^2)(q^2, \alpha^2 q^{2m+2}, \alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_n} \\ & \quad \times {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, \alpha^2 q^{2n}, \lambda, q\lambda \\ \alpha, q\alpha, q^2 \lambda^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Verma and Jain [28, Eq. (5.3)] (see also [13, p. 110, Ex. (3.34)]) proved that

$$(11.1) \quad {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, \alpha^2 q^{2n}, \lambda, q\lambda \\ \alpha, q\alpha, q^2 \lambda^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right) = \frac{\lambda^n (-q, \alpha/\lambda; q)_n}{(\alpha, -q\lambda; q)_n}.$$

Combining the above two equations, we complete the proof of Theorem 11.2. \square

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 11.1, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 11.2.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha^2 q^2, \alpha^2 ab/q^2; q^2)_\infty}{(\alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_\infty} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^2/a, q^2/b, \lambda, q\lambda \\ \alpha, q\alpha, q^2 \lambda^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, \frac{\alpha^2 ab}{q^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha^2 q^{4n})(\alpha^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^2)_n (-q, \alpha/\lambda; q)_n (-\alpha^2 \lambda ab)^n q^{n^2-3n}}{(1 - \alpha^2)(q^2, \alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_n (\alpha, -q\lambda; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(a, b, \alpha, \gamma) = (0, 0, -q, 0)$ in Proposition 11.2, we have the evaluation

$$(11.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2+2n}}{(-q; q)_{2n} (q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{7n^2+3n}{2}} \\ &= \frac{(q^2, q^5, q^7; q^7)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 11.3. *For any nonnegative integer m , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha^2 q^2, \alpha^2 ab/q^2; q^2)_m}{(\alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_m} {}_5\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2m}, q^2/a, q^2/b, \lambda, q\lambda \\ q\alpha, q^2 \alpha, \lambda^2, q^4/\alpha^2 abq^{2m} \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 + \alpha q^{2n})(q^{-2m}, \alpha^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^2)_n (-q, q\alpha/\lambda; q)_n (\alpha^2 \lambda abq^{2m-2})^n}{(1 + \alpha)(q^2, \alpha^2 q^{2m+2}, \alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_n (\alpha, -\lambda; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Replacing q by q^2 and α by α^2 in Theorem 10.1 and then set $(\beta, \gamma, c, d, h, z) = (\lambda, q\lambda, q\alpha, q^2\alpha, \lambda^2, 1)$ in the resulting equation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha^2 q^2, \alpha^2 ab/q^2; q^2)_m}{(\alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_m} {}_5\phi_4 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2m}, q^2/a, q^2/b, \lambda, q\lambda \\ q\alpha, q^2\alpha, \lambda^2, q^4/\alpha^2 abq^{2m} \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(1 - \alpha^2 q^{4n})(q^{-2m}, \alpha^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^2)_n (\alpha^2 abq^{2m-2})^n}{(1 - \alpha^2)(q^2, \alpha^2 q^{2m+2}, \alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_n} \\ & \quad \times {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, \alpha^2 q^{2n}, \lambda, q\lambda \\ q\alpha, q^2\alpha, \lambda^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Verma and Jain [28, Eq. (5.4)] derived the following series summation formula:

$$(11.3) \quad {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, \alpha^2 q^{2n}, \lambda, q\lambda \\ q\alpha, q^2\alpha, \lambda^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, q^2 \right) = \frac{\lambda^n (-q, q\alpha/\lambda; q)_n (1 - \alpha)}{(\alpha, -\lambda; q)_n (1 - \alpha q^{2n})}.$$

Combining the above two equations, we finish the proof of Theorem 11.3. \square

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 11.3, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 11.4.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha^2 q^2, \alpha^2 ab/q^2; q^2)_\infty}{(\alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_\infty} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^2/a, q^2/b, \lambda, q\lambda \\ q\alpha, q^2\alpha, \lambda^2 \end{matrix}; q^2, \frac{\alpha^2 ab}{q^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \alpha q^{2n})(\alpha^2, q^2/a, q^2/b; q^2)_n (-q, q\alpha/\lambda; q)_n (-\alpha^2 \lambda ab)^n q^{n^2-3n}}{(1 + \alpha)(q^2, \alpha^2 a, \alpha^2 b; q^2)_n (\alpha, -\lambda; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $(a, b, \alpha, \gamma) = (0, 0, -q, 0)$ and $(0, 0, -1, 0)$ in Proposition 11.4, respectively, we find that

$$(11.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2+2n}}{(-q; q)_{2n+1} (q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{(q, q^6, q^7; q^7)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty},$$

$$(11.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n^2}}{(-q; q)_{2n} (q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{(q^3, q^4, q^7; q^7)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}.$$

Identities (11.2), (11.4) and (11.5) are called the Rogers-Selberg identities (see, for example, [22, Eqs. (2.7.1), (2.7.2), (2.7. 3)]).

It is easily seen that the identity of Verma and Jain [28, Eq. (2.28)] is equivalent to the summation

$$(11.6) \quad {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha q^n, 0 \\ \sqrt{q\alpha}, -\sqrt{q\alpha} \end{matrix}; q, q \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ (-1)^l q^{l^2} \frac{(q; q^2)_l \alpha^l}{(q\alpha; q^2)_l}, & \text{if } n = 2l \end{cases}$$

Setting $(\beta, \gamma, h, c, d, z) = (0, 0, 0, \sqrt{q\alpha}, -\sqrt{q\alpha}, 1)$ in Theorem 10.1 and then using (11.6), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 11.5. *For any nonnegative integer m , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_m}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_m} {}_4\phi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, q/a, q/b, 0 \\ \sqrt{q\alpha}, -\sqrt{q\alpha}, q^2/\alpha abq^m \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{4n})(q^{-m}, \alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_{2n}(q; q^2)_n (-\alpha^3 a^2 b^2 q^{2m-2})^n q^{n^2}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha q^{m+1}, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_{2n}(q\alpha; q^2)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 11.5, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 11.6.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\alpha q, \alpha ab/q; q)_\infty}{(\alpha a, \alpha b; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q/a, q/b, 0 \\ \sqrt{q\alpha}, -\sqrt{q\alpha} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{\alpha ab}{q} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \alpha q^{4n})(\alpha, q/a, q/b; q)_{2n}(q; q^2)_n (-\alpha^3 a^2 b^2)^n q^{3n^2-3n}}{(1 - \alpha)(q, \alpha a, \alpha b; q)_{2n}(q\alpha; q^2)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $(a, b, \alpha) = (0, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 0, q^2)$ in Proposition 11.6, respectively, we obtain the Rogers identities (see, for example, [22, Eqs. (2.14.1), (2.14.3)])

$$(11.7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n (q; q^2)_n} = \frac{(q^6, q^8, q^{14}; q^{14})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty},$$

$$(11.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+2n}}{(q; q)_n (q; q^2)_{n+1}} = \frac{(q^2, q^{12}, q^{14}; q^{14})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$

12. A MULTILINEAR GENERATING FUNCTION FOR THE ROGERS-SZEGŐ POLYNOMIALS

Theorem 12.1. *If $\max\{|a|, |c|, |x_1|, |y_1|, \dots, |x_k|, |y_k|\} < 1$, then, we have the following multilinear generating function for the Rogers-Szegő polynomials:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) h_{n_2}(x_2, y_2|q) \cdots h_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q)}{(c; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} (q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_k}} \\ &= \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(c, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)_\infty} {}_{2k+1}\phi_{2k} \left(\begin{matrix} c/a, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k \\ 0, 0, \dots, 0 \end{matrix}; q, a \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we use $f(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)$ to denote the right-hand side of the above equation, then, using the ratio test, we find that f is an analytic function of $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k$ for $\max\{|a|, |c|, |x_1|, |y_1|, \dots, |x_k|, |y_k|\} < 1$. By a direct computation, we deduce that for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $\partial_{q, x_j} \{f\} = \partial_{q, y_j} \{f\}$ equals

$$\frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(c, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k)_\infty} {}_{2k+1}\phi_{2k} \left(\begin{matrix} c/a, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k \\ 0, 0, \dots, 0 \end{matrix}; q, qa \right).$$

Thus, by (i) in Theorem 1.7, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}\}$ independent of $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k$ such that

$$(12.1) \quad f(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_k, y_k) \\ = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} h_{n_1}(x_1, y_1|q) h_{n_2}(x_2, y_2|q) \cdots h_{n_k}(x_k, y_k|q).$$

Setting $y_1 = y_2 = \cdots = y_k = 0$ in the above equation, we immediately obtain

$$(12.2) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k} \\ = \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(c, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)_{\infty}} {}_{k+1}\phi_k \left(\begin{matrix} c/a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \\ 0, 0, \dots, 0 \end{matrix}; q, a \right).$$

Andrews [2] has proved the following formula for the q -Lauricella function:

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} (b_1; q)_{n_1} (b_2; q)_{n_2} \cdots (b_k; q)_{n_k} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{(c; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} (q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_k}} \\ = \frac{(a, b_1 x_1, b_2 x_2, \dots, b_k x_k; q)_{\infty}}{(c, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)_{\infty}} {}_{k+1}\phi_k \left(\begin{matrix} c/a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \\ b x_1, b x_2, \dots, b x_k \end{matrix}; q, a \right).$$

Taking $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_k = 0$ in the above equation, we conclude that

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{(c; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} (q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_k}} \\ = \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(c, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)_{\infty}} {}_{k+1}\phi_k \left(\begin{matrix} c/a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \\ 0, 0, \dots, 0 \end{matrix}; q, a \right).$$

Equating the above equation and (12.2), we find that

$$\alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} = \frac{(a; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k}}{(c; q)_{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} (q; q)_{n_1} (q; q)_{n_2} \cdots (q; q)_{n_k}}.$$

□

Substituting this into (12.1), we complete the proof of Theorem 12.1.

13. SOME NOTES ON THE q -EXPONENTIAL OPERATOR IDENTITIES

In this section, we will revisit the theory of q -exponential operator using Proposition 1.6.

Using the q -derivative operator, we can construct the q -exponential operator $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})$ by

$$(13.1) \quad T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

If we replace q by q^{-1} in the above equation, we obtain the dual operator of $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})$ as follows

$$(13.2) \quad T(y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x})^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Definition 13.1. *If $f(x)$ is analytic near $x = 0$, then, we define*

$$\begin{aligned} T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x)\} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(q; q)_n} \mathcal{D}_{q,x}^n \{f(x)\}, \\ T(y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x})\{f(x)\} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-y)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}}{(q; q)_n} \mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x}^n \{f(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is a modified version of [18, Theorems 1 and 2], which is the q -exponential operator form of Proposition 1.6. This lemma indicates that the q -exponential operator method has a solid mathematical foundation.

Lemma 13.2. *Suppose that $f(x, y)$ is a two-variable analytic function near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. Then*

- (i) $f(x, y) = T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x, 0)\}$, if and only if $\partial_{q,x}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f\}$.
- (ii) $f(x, y) = T(y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x})\{f(x, 0)\}$, if and only if $\partial_{q^{-1},x}\{f\} = \partial_{q^{-1},y}\{f\}$.

Proof. We only prove (i). The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i), so is omitted. If $f(x, y) = T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x, 0)\}$, then, by a direct computation, we find that $\partial_{q,x}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f\} = T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\mathcal{D}_{q,x}\{f(x, 0)\}$. Conversely, if f is a two-variable analytic function near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, which satisfying $\partial_{q,x}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f\}$, then, we may assume that

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x)y^n.$$

Substituting the equation into the q -partial differential equation, $\partial_{q,x}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f\}$, we easily find that

$$A_n(x) = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{q,x}\{A_n(x)\}}{1 - q^n} = \dots = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{q,x}^n\{A_0(x)\}}{(q; q)_n}.$$

It is easily seen that $A_0(x) = f(x, 0)$. Thus, we find that

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{q,x}^n\{f(x, 0)\}}{(q; q)_n} y^n = T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x, 0)\}.$$

□

Proposition 13.3. *Suppose that $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})$ and $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x})$ are defined by (13.1). Then*

(i) For $\max\{|xs|, |xt|, |ys|, |yt|\} < 1$, we have the operator identity

$$T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x}) \left\{ \frac{1}{(xs, xt; q)_\infty} \right\} = \frac{(xyst; q)_\infty}{(xs, xt, ys, yt; q)_\infty}.$$

(ii) If $|xyst/q| < 1$, then, we have the operator identity

$$T(y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x}) \{(xs, xt; q)_\infty\} = \frac{(xs, xt, ys, yt; q)_\infty}{(xyst/q; q)_\infty}.$$

Many applications of these two q -operator identities and their extensions have appeared in the literature, see, for example, [7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 30, 29]. The original proofs of these two operator identities in [10, 11] depend on the method of formal computation. Next we use Lemma 13.2 to give a very simple proof of Proposition 13.3.

Proof. The proof of (ii) is similar to that of (i), so we only prove (i). If we use $f(x, y)$ to denote the right-hand side of the first equation in Proposition 13.3. Then it is easily seen that $f(x, y)$ is analytic near $(0, 0)$ and satisfies

$$\partial_{q,x}\{f\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f\} = \frac{s+t-(x+y)st}{1-xyst}f(x, y).$$

Thus, by (i) in Proposition 13.2, we have $f(x, y) = T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x, 0)\}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 13.3. \square

From Definition 13.1, by a direct computation, we find the q -exponential operator representations of h_n and g_n as follows

$$(13.3) \quad T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{x^n\} = h_n(x, y|q), \quad T(y\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1},x})\{x^n\} = g_n(x, y|q).$$

Proposition 13.4. *If $f(x)$ is analytic near $x = 0$, then $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x)\}$ is analytic near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.*

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is analytic near $x = 0$, there exists a positive number $r < 1$ (without loss of generality), such that

$$(13.4) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k, \quad |x| < r < 1.$$

From Definition 13.1 and by a direct computation, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x)\} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_k}{(q; q)_{k-n}} a_k x^{k-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{n+m} a_{n+m}}{(q; q)_m} x^m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(x)$ is analytic in $|x| < r$, we have, by the root test, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |a_n|^{1/n} \leq 1$. It is obvious that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(q; q)_{n+m}| = |(q; q)_\infty| < \infty$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |a_{n+m} (q; q)_{n+m}|^{1/(n+m)} \leq 1.$$

Thus, for r in (13.4), there exists a large integer N such that $|a_{n+m}(q; q)_{n+m}| \leq (1+r)^{m+n}$ for all $n \geq N$. It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{n+m} a_{n+m}}{(q; q)_m} x^m \right| \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|(1+r)y|^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+r)^m |x|^m}{(q; q)_m}$$

We further assume that $|x| \leq r/2$, then from the above equation, we deduce that

$$\left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{n+m} a_{n+m}}{(q; q)_m} x^m \right| \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|(1+r)y|^n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r(r+1)/2)^m}{(q; q)_m},$$

which is a uniformly and absolutely convergent series for $|y| < 1/(1+r)$. Thus $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x)\}$ is analytic near $y = 0$. Thus, by Hartog's theorem, we know that $T(y\mathcal{D}_{q,x})\{f(x)\}$ is analytic near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. \square

A deep result of the q -exponential operator is the following operator identity [18, Eq.(3.1)].

Proposition 13.5. *For $\max\{|as|, |at|, |au|, |bs|, |bt|, |bu|, |abstu/v|\} < 1$, we have*

$$T(b\mathcal{D}_{q,a}) \left\{ \frac{(av; q)_{\infty}}{(as, at, au; q)_{\infty}} \right\} = \frac{(av, bv, abstu/v; q)_{\infty}}{(as, at, au, bs, bt, bu; q)_{\infty}} \times {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} v/s, v/t, v/u \\ av, bv \end{matrix}; q, \frac{abstu}{v} \right).$$

Now we will give a new proof of this identity.

Proof. If we use $f(a, b)$ to denote the left-hand side of the equation in Proposition 13.5, then from Proposition 13.4, we know that $f(a, b)$ is analytic near $(a, b) = (0, 0)$. Using Lemma 13.2, we find that $\partial_{q,a}\{f\} = \partial_{q,b}\{f\}$. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ independent of a and b such that

$$(13.5) \quad f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n h_n(a, b|q).$$

Taking $b = 0$ in the above equation and using $h_n(a, 0|q) = a^n$, we immediately deduce that

$$(13.6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n a^n = \frac{(av; q)_{\infty}}{(as, at, au; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Using the q -binomial theorem and the generating function for h_n , we obtain

$$\frac{(av; q)_{\infty}}{(as; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v/s; q)_n (as)^n}{(q; q)_n}, \quad \frac{1}{(at, au; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(t, u|q) \frac{a^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Substituting the above two equations into (13.6) and equating the coefficients of a^n , we deduce that

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (v/s; q)_k s^k h_{n-k}(t, u|q).$$

Substituting the above equation into (13.6), we arrive at

$$f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_n(a, b|q)}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (v/s; q)_k s^k h_{n-k}(t, u|q).$$

Interchanging the order of the summation of the above equation and simplifying, we find that

$$(13.7) \quad f(a, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k (v/s; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{h_{m+k}(a, b|q) h_m(t, u|q)}{(q; q)_m}.$$

Using the Carlitz formula in Theorem 5.1, we find that the inner summation of the above equation equals

$$\frac{(abtu; q)_{\infty}}{(at, au, bt, bu; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{b^j a^{k-j} (at, au; q)_j}{(abtu; q)_j}.$$

Substituting the above equation into (13.7) and then interchanging the order of the summation, we conclude that

$$f(a, b) = \frac{(av, abtu; q)_{\infty}}{(as, at, au, bt, bu; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} v/s, at, au \\ av, abtu \end{matrix}; q, bs \right).$$

Using Sears' ${}_3\phi_2$ transformation formula (see, for example, [16, Theorem 3]), we have

$${}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} v/s, at, au \\ av, abtu \end{matrix}; q, bs \right) = \frac{(abstu/v, bv; q)_{\infty}}{(abtu, bs; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} v/s, v/t, v/u \\ av, bv \end{matrix}; q, \frac{abstu}{v} \right).$$

Combining the above two equations, we complete the proof of Proposition 13.5. \square

In the same way, we can also obtain the following q -exponential operator identity [18, Eq.(3.2)].

Proposition 13.6. *For $\max\{|av|, |bv|, |abstu/qv|\} < 1$, we have*

$$T(b\mathcal{D}_{q^{-1}, a}) \left\{ \frac{(as, at, au; q)_{\infty}}{(av; q)_{\infty}} \right\} = \frac{(as, at, au, bs, bt, bu; q)_{\infty}}{(av, bv, abstu/qv; q)_{\infty}} \times {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} s/v, t/v, u/v \\ q/av, q/bv \end{matrix}; q, q \right).$$

The following proposition reveals a relationship of q -integral and q -exponential operator.

Proposition 13.7. *If $T(yD_{q,x})$ is defined by (13.1), then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T(bD_{q,a}) & \left\{ \frac{(acst; q)_\infty}{(as, at, au; q)_\infty} \right\} \\ & = \frac{(cs, ct; q)_\infty}{(1-q)t(q, s/t, qt/s, au, bu; q)_\infty} \int_s^t \frac{(qx/s, qx/t, abux; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we use $f(a, b)$ to denote the right-hand side of the above equation, then, it is to verify that $f(a, b)$ is analytic near $(a, b) = (0, 0)$. A direct computation shows that $\partial_{q,a}\{f\} = \partial_{q,b}\{f\}$ equals

$$\frac{(cs, ct; q)_\infty}{(1-q)t(q, s/t, qt/s, au, bu; q)_\infty} \int_s^t \frac{(qx/s, qx/t, qabux; q)_\infty (x+u-(a+b)ux)}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x.$$

Using the Andrews-Askey integral in Proposition 7.1, we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, 0) & = \frac{(cs, ct; q)_\infty}{(1-q)t(q, s/t, qt/s, au; q)_\infty} \int_s^t \frac{(qx/s, qx/t; q)_\infty}{(ax, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x \\ & = \frac{(acst, q)_\infty}{(au, as, at; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (i) in Lemma 13.2, we immediately conclude that

$$f(a, b) = T(bD_{q,a})\{f(a, 0)\} = T(bD_{q,a}) \left\{ \frac{(acst; q)_\infty}{(as, at, au; q)_\infty} \right\}.$$

□

Combining Proposition 13.5 and Proposition 13.7, we can obtain the following proposition, which is equivalent to [18, Theorem 9].

Proposition 13.8. *For $\max\{|as|, |bs|, |cs|, |at|, |bt|, |ct|, |abu/c|\} < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \frac{(qx/s, qx/t, abux; q)_\infty}{(ax, bx, cx; q)_\infty} d_q x \\ & = \frac{(1-q)t(q, s/t, qst, acst, bcst, abu/c; q)_\infty}{(as, bs, cs, at, bt, ct; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} cs, ct, cst/u \\ acst, bcst \end{matrix}; q, \frac{abu}{c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 13.9. *Let $\{f_n(x)\}$ be a sequence of analytic functions near $x = 0$, such that the series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$$

converges uniformly to an analytic function $f(x)$ near $x = 0$, and the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T(yD_{q,x})\{f_n(x)\}$$

converges uniformly to an analytic function $f(x, y)$ near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. Then we have $f(x, y) = T(yD_{q,x})\{f(x)\}$, or

$$T(yD_{q,x}) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T(yD_{q,x})\{f_n(x)\}.$$

Proof. If we use $f_n(x, y)$ to denote $T(yD_{q,x})\{f_n(x)\}$, then, by Lemma 13.2, we find that $\partial_{q,x}\{f_n(x, y)\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f_n(x, y)\}$. It follows that $\partial_{q,x}\{f(x, y)\} = \partial_{q,y}\{f(x, y)\}$. Thus, using Lemma 13.2 again, we have

$$f(x, y) = T(yD_{q,x})\{f(x, 0)\} = T(yD_{q,x})\{f(x)\}.$$

□

If we replace $T(yD_{q,x})$ by $T(yD_{q^{-1},x})$ in proposition 13.9, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 13.10. *Let $\{f_n(x)\}$ be a sequence of analytic functions near $x = 0$, such that the series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$$

converges uniformly to an analytic function $f(x)$ near $x = 0$, and the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T(yD_{q^{-1},x})\{f_n(x)\}$$

converges uniformly to an analytic function $f(x, y)$ near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. Then we have $f(x, y) = T(yD_{q^{-1},x})\{f(x)\}$, or

$$T(yD_{q^{-1},x}) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T(yD_{q^{-1},x})\{f_n(x)\}.$$

Next we will use the above proposition to give an extension of q -Gauss summation. For this purpose, we also need Tannery's Theorem (see, for example, [5]).

Theorem 13.11. *For each nonnegative integer n , let $s(n) = \sum_{k>0} f_k(n)$ is a finite sum or a convergent series. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_k(n) = f_k$, $|f_k(n)| \leq M_k$, and $\sum_{k>0} M_k < \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k.$$

Proposition 13.12. *For $\max\{|x|, |abxy|\} < 1$, we have the summation*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_n x^n}{(q, abxy; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 1/x, 1/y \\ a, b \end{matrix}; q, abxyq^n \right) = \frac{(ax, bx; q)_{\infty}}{(x, abxy; q)_{\infty}}.$$

When $y = 1$, the above proposition immediately reduces to the q -Gauss summation.

Proof. If we denote the ${}_3\phi_2$ series in Proposition 13.12 by $f_k(n)$, then, by a simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(n) &= (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(1/x, 1/y; q)_k}{(q, a, b; q)_k} q^{k(k-1)/2} (abxy)^k, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_k(n) &= (-1)^k \frac{(1/x, 1/y; q)_k}{(q, a, b; q)_k} q^{k(k-1)/2} (abxy)^k, \\ |f_k(n)| &\leq \frac{|(1/x, 1/y; q)_k|}{(q; q)_k |(a, b; q)_k|} |abxy|^k = M_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using the ratio test, we find, for $|abxy| < 1$, that $\sum_{k \geq 0} M_k < \infty$. Thus, by Tannery's Theorem, we deduce that for $|abxy| < 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 1/x, 1/y \\ a, b \end{matrix}; q, abxyq^n \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(1/x, 1/y; q)_k}{(q, a, b; q)_k} q^{k(k-1)/2} (abxy)^k.$$

Hence, using the ratio test, we find the series in Proposition 13.12 converges uniformly to an analytic function $f(a, b)$ for $\max\{|x|, |abxy|\} < 1$.

Rewrite the q -binomial theorem in the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n, ax; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $1/(ay; q)_{\infty}$, we deduce that

$$(13.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n, ax, ay; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty} (ay; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Using Proposition 13.5, we have, for $\max\{|ax|, |ay|, |a|, |abxy|\} < 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} T(b\mathcal{D}_{q,a}) \left\{ \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n, ax, ay; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(abxy; q)_{\infty}}{(ax, ay, bx, by; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_n x^n}{(q, abxy; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 1/x, 1/y \\ a, b \end{matrix}; q, abxyq^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that the right-hand side of the above equation is analytic near $(a, b) = (0, 0)$. Thus, we find that the right-hand side of the above equation equals

$$\begin{aligned} T(b\mathcal{D}_{q,a}) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n, ax, ay; q)_{\infty}} \right\} &= \frac{1}{(x; q)_{\infty}} T(b\mathcal{D}_{q,a}) \left\{ \frac{1}{(ay; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x, ay, by; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two equations, we complete the proof of Proposition 13.12. \square

In the same way, we can prove the following transformation for q -series, which reduces to the Jackson q -analogue of the Euler transformation when $b = y$.

Proposition 13.13. *For $\max\{|b|, |x|, |abxy|\} < 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(b; q)_\infty}{(x; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} ax, cx \\ acxy \end{matrix}; q, b \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ab, bc; q)_n x^n}{(q, acxy; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b/x, b/y \\ ab, bc \end{matrix}; q, abxyq^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

We end this section with an extension of the Sears ${}_4\phi_3$ transformation formula.

Proposition 13.14. *We have the q -transformation formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, be^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}, abcdq^{n-1}/v; q)_j q^j}{(q, ab, bc, bd; q)_j} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} bq^j/v, cq^n/v, u/v \\ q/av, q/dv \end{matrix}; q, q \right) \\ &= \frac{(ac, cd; q)_n}{(ab, bd; q)_n} \left(\frac{b}{c} \right)^n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, ce^{i\theta}, ce^{-i\theta}, abcdq^{n-1}/v; q)_j q^j}{(q, ac, bc, cd; q)_j} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} cq^j/v, bq^n/v, u/v \\ q/av, q/dv \end{matrix}; q, q \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we let $u = v$ in the above equation and use that obvious fact that $(1; q)_0 = 1$ and $(1; q)_k = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, we find that Proposition 13.14 reduces to the Sears ${}_4\phi_3$ transformation [16, Theorem 4].

Proof. Recall the Sears ${}_3\phi_2$ transformation formula (see, for example, [16, p. 123]), which states

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}; q, \frac{b_1 b_2}{a_1 a_2 a_3} \right) \\ &= \frac{(b_2/b_3, b_1 b_2/a_1 a_2; q)_\infty}{(b_2, b_1 b_2/a_1 a_2 a_3; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} b_1/a_1, b_1/a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_1 b_2/a_1 a_2 \end{matrix}; q, \frac{b_2}{a_3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $a_3 = q^{-n}$ in the above equation, replacing (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, q) by

$$(1/a_1, 1/a_2, 1/b_1, 1/b_2, 1/q)$$

and performing some calculation, we obtain the transformation formula

$${}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a_1, a_2 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}; q, q \right) = \frac{(b_1 b_2/a_1 a_2; q)_n}{(b_2; q)_n} \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{b_1} \right)^n {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_1}{a_2} \\ b_1, \frac{b_1 b_2}{a_1 a_2} \end{matrix}; q, q \right).$$

Replacing (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) by $(be^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}, bc, ab)$ in the above equation and making some calculation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, be^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}; q)_j q^j}{(q, bc; q)_j} (abq^j, acq^n; q)_\infty \\ &= \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, ce^{i\theta}, ce^{-i\theta}; q)_j q^j}{(q, bc; q)_j} (acq^j, abq^n; q)_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $(au; q)_\infty / (av; q)_\infty$, and then taking the action of $T(dD_{q^{-1}, a})$ on both sides of the resulting equation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, be^{i\theta}, be^{-i\theta}; q)_j q^j}{(q, bc; q)_j} T(dD_{q^{-1}, a}) \left\{ \frac{(abq^j, acq^n, au; q)_\infty}{(av; q)_\infty} \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^n \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, ce^{i\theta}, ce^{-i\theta}; q)_j q^j}{(q, bc; q)_j} T(dD_{q^{-1}, a}) \left\{ \frac{(acq^j, abq^n, au; q)_\infty}{(av; q)_\infty} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the q -exponential operator in Proposition 13.6, we immediately find that

$$\begin{aligned} T(dD_{q^{-1}, a}) \left\{ \frac{(abq^j, acq^n, au; q)_\infty}{(av; q)_\infty} \right\} &= \frac{(abq^j, acq^n, au, bdq^j, cdq^n, du; q)_\infty}{(av, dv, abcdv^{-1}q^{n+j-1}; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, bq^j/v, cq^n/v, u/v \\ q/av, q/dv \end{matrix}; q, q \right), \\ T(dD_{q^{-1}, a}) \left\{ \frac{(acq^j, abq^n, au; q)_\infty}{(av; q)_\infty} \right\} &= \frac{(acq^j, abq^n, au, cdq^j, bdq^n, du; q)_\infty}{(av, dv, abcdv^{-1}q^{n+j-1}; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, cq^j/v, bq^n/v, u/v \\ q/av, q/dv \end{matrix}; q, q \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above three equations, we complete the proof of Proposition 13.14. \square

14. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to the referee for many very helpful comments. The author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Salam, W. A.; Verma, A.: Some remarks on q -beta integral, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1982) 360–362.
- [2] Andrews, G. E.: Summations and transformations for basic Appell series, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 4 (1972) 618–622.
- [3] Andrews, G. E.; Askey, R.: Another q -extension of the beta function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981) 97–100.
- [4] Berndt, B. C.; Chan, S. H.; Yeap, B. P.; Yee, A.J.: A reciprocity theorem for certain q -series found in Ramanujan's lost notebook, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 29–40.

- [5] Boas, R. P.: Tannery's theorem, *Math. Mag.* 38 (1965) 66.
- [6] L. Carlitz, Some polynomials related to theta functions, *Ann. Mat. Pure Appl.* 41 (1955) 359–373.
- [7] Cao, J. : New proofs of generating functions for Rogers-Szegő polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 207 (2009) 486–492.
- [8] Cao, J. : Notes on Carlitz's q -operators, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 14 (2010) 2229–2244.
- [9] Carlitz, L. : Generating functions for certain q -orthogonal polynomials, *Collectanea Math.* 23(1972) 91–104.
- [10] Chen, W. Y. C.; Liu, Z.-G.: Parameter augmentation for basic hypergeometric series, I, *Mathematical Essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota* (edited by B. E. Sagan and R. P. Stanley) , Birkhäuser, 1998, 111–129.
- [11] Chen, W. Y. C.; Liu, Z.-G.: Parameter augmentation for basic hypergeometric series, II, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 80 (1997) 175–195.
- [12] Chu, W.; Zhang, W.: Bilateral q -series identities and reciprocal formulae. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 42 (2010) 153–162.
- [13] Gasper, G.; Rahman, M.: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA, 2004.
- [14] Ismail, M.; Rahman, M.; Suslov, K.: Some summation theorems and transformations for q -series, *Can. J. Math.* 49 (1997) 543–567.
- [15] Kang, S. Y.: Generalizations of Ramanujan's reciprocity theorem and their applications, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 75 (2007) 18–34.
- [16] Liu, Z.-G.: Some operator identities and q -series transformation formulas, *Disc. Math.* 265 (2003) 119–139.
- [17] Liu, Z.-G.: An identity of Andrews and the Askey–Wilson integral, *Ramanujan J.* 19 (2009) 115–119.
- [18] Liu, Z.-G.: Two q -difference equations and q -operator identities, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 16 (2010) 1293–1307.
- [19] Liu, Z.-G.: An extension of the non-terminating ${}_6\phi_5$ summation and the Askey–Wilson polynomials, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 17 (2011) 1401–1411.
- [20] Liu, Z.-G.: A q -series expansion formula and the Askey–Wilson polynomials, *Ramanujan J.* 30 (2013) 193–210.
- [21] Liu, Z.-G.: On the q -derivative and q -series expansion, *Int. J. Number Theory*, doi: 10.1142/S1793042113500759, in press.
- [22] McLaughlin, J.; Sills, A. V.: Rogers–Ramanujan–Slater type identities, *Electronic J. Combin.* 15 (2008) 59 pp.
- [23] Malgrange, B.: *Lectures on functions of several complex variables*, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [24] Range, R. M. : *Complex analysis: A brief tour into higher dimensions*, *Am. Math. Monthly* 110 (2003) 89–108.
- [25] Rogers, L. J.: On a three-fold symmetry in the elements of Heine's series, *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* 24 (1893) 171–179.
- [26] Szegő, G.: Ein Betrag zur Theorie der Thetafunktionen, *Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math.* 19 (1926) 242–252.
- [27] Taylor, J.: *Several Complex Variables with Connections to Algebraic Geometry and Lie Groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 46. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 2002.
- [28] Verma, A.; Jain, V. K. : Transformations between basic hypergeometric series on different bases and identities of Rogers–Ramanujan type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 76 (1980) 230–269.
- [29] Zhang, Z. ; Wang, J.: Two operator identities and their applications to terminating basic hypergeometric series and q -integrals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 312 (2005) 653–665.
- [30] Zhang, Z.: Operator identities and several $U(n+1)$ generalizations of the Kalnins–Miller transformations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 324 (2006) 1152–1167.

EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 500 DONGCHUAN
ROAD, SHANGHAI 200241, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: zgliu@math.ecnu.edu.cn; liuzg@hotmail.com