Fast non-polynomial interpolation and integration for functions with logarithmic singularities

Yinkun Wang [‡] Xiangling Chen [§] Ying Li [‡] and Luo Jianshu [‡]

Apr. 17, 2018

Abstract

A fast non-polynomial interpolation is proposed in this paper for functions with logarithmic singularities. It can be executed fast with the discrete cosine transform. Based on this interpolation, a new quadrature is proposed for a kind of logarithmically singular integrals. The interpolation and integration errors are also analyzed. Numerical examples of the interpolation and integration are shown to validate the efficiency of the proposed new interpolation and the new quadrature.

Key words: non-polynomial interpolation; logarithmic singularity; singular integral

1 Introduction

Integral equations with singular kernels are frequently encountered in different branches of mathematical physics in the formulation of initial value and boundary value problems. The logarithmic singularity appears typically in the kernel of the integral equations, especially in two spatial dimensions in the electromagnetics and acoustics. For instance, the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions can be reformulated into an integral equation with its kernel expressed in the form of Hankel function of order zero which has a logarithmic singularity [6]. Another famous example in the analysis of thin wire antennas is the Hallén's integral equation whose kernel is also logarithmically singular [2, 16].

In the numerical solution (such as in Galerkin solution and collocation solution) of integral equations with the logarithmic singularity, one is always led naturally to the evaluation of functions with logarithmic singularity and the computation of integrals with the logarithmic singularity. The development of the fast and accurate interpolation of functions with the logarithmic singularity can provide a way to evaluate the functions quickly and to compute the singular integrals accurately. For this purpose, we aim to propose a fast non-polynomial interpolation based on Chebyshev polynomials for functions of the form,

$$K(x) := g_1(x) + g_2(x) \log |x - \alpha|, x \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{\alpha\}$$
(1.1)

where $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$. The functions g_1 and g_2 have the high function regularity or even are smooth, but they may be mixed together in an expression.

Due to the logarithmic singularity, classical polynomial interpolations, such as the Lagrange interpolation and the Chebyshev interpolation, shall lead to a great error in approximation of singular functions of this kind. It is because of the singular factor of the high-order derivative of the functions

[‡]College of Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, People's Republic of China.

[§]Key Laboratory of High Performance Computing and Stochastic Information Processing(HPCSIP) (Ministry of Education of China), College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, P. R. China .

in the remainder of the polynomial interpolation. To handle this difficulty, the interpolation was made on graded meshes with more meshes near the singularity and less faraway [13]. This technique is frequently used in the numerical solution of singular equations and the calculation of singular integrals. A weighted Gaussian quadrature rule was proposed in [7]. The Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature was also used for the computation of singular integrals due to its efficient performance [9, 15]. Besides, many researches have been done on the oscillatory integrals with the logarithmic singularity [5, 8, 10, 11, 12].

Different from graded meshes, our basic idea for the new interpolation is to append singular basis functions to the Chebyshev interpolation basis. This enrichment shall capture the singularity of the singular functions and thus reduce the effect of the singularity on the accuracy. This similar idea has been used in the numerical solution of Fredholm and Volterra integral equations [3, 4]. Combining the FFT, we then develop a fast scheme to execute this non-polynomial interpolation. Based on this interpolation, we propose a new quadrature for a kind of logarithmically singular integrals.

This paper is organized as follows. The fast non-polynomial interpolation is proposed in section 2 and then analyze its computation cost and interpolation error. In section 3, we present the integration based on the new interpolation. In section 4, numerical examples are given to show the efficiency of the proposed interpolation for singular functions and that of the integration for singular integrals.

2 Non-polynomial interpolation for singular functions

In this section, we present the non-polynomial interpolation for singular functions of the form (1.1) based on the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind using the nodes of Chebyshev points, i.e. the zeros of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. An implementation using the discrete cosine transform (DCT) is proposed for the interpolation at the meantime. The third part of this section is about the error analysis for this interpolation.

2.1 Derivation of interpolation

We consider the problem of approximating a function K of the form (1.1) by a certain combination of singular functions and polynomial functions. More precisely, we replace K by a function K_{n_1,n_2} of the form

$$K_{n_1,n_2}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} a_j T_j(x) + \log|x-\alpha| \sum_{k=0}^{n_2-1} b_k T_k(x), x \in [-1,1] \setminus \{\alpha\}$$
(2.2)

where $T_j(x) := \cos(j \arccos x)$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, n_1, n_2 are positive integers and a_j, b_k are the unknown coefficients to be determined. Let $n := n_1 + n_2$. In order to derive the coefficients, the error term defined as

$$E_{n_1,n_2}(K,x) := K(x) - K_{n_1,n_2}(x)$$

is required to vanish at Chebyshev points

$$x_j = \cos \frac{(2j+1)\pi}{2n}, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We note that n should be selected such that α is different from any Chebyshev points x_j we used here since α is a singular point.

We next present the interpolation in the matrix form. Let $\mathbf{x} := [x_j : j = 0, ..., n-1]^T$, $\mathbf{a} := [a_j : j = 0, ..., n_1 - 1]^T$ and $\mathbf{b} := [b_j : j = 0, ..., n_2 - 1]^T$ where the superscript T means the transpose.

We then define the Vandermonde-type matrix $A := [T_0(\mathbf{x})|T_1(\mathbf{x})| \dots |T_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})]$. Due to the discrete orthogonal property of Chebyshev polynomials, it is well-known that

$$A^T A = D \tag{2.3}$$

where $D := \text{diag}(\mathbf{d})$ and $\mathbf{d} := [d_j : j = 0, ..., n - 1]^T$ with $d_0 = n$ and $d_j = n/2, j = 1, ..., n - 1$. We also define a matrix $P := \text{diag}(\log |\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}|)$ and a vector $\mathbf{k} := K(\mathbf{x})$, where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the constant vector of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of the same size as \mathbf{x} .

The non-polynomial interpolation reads in the matrix form below

$$A_{1:n_1}\boldsymbol{a} + PA_{1:n_2}\mathbf{b} = \boldsymbol{k} \tag{2.4}$$

where $A_{u:v}$ means the matrix composing the columns of matrix A from the *u*-th column to the *v*-th column, u, v are positive integers. To solve the linear system (2.4), we multiply both the sides of the above equation by the matrix $(A_{n_1+1:n})^T$ and obtain that

$$(A_{n_1+1:n})^T P A_{1:n_2} \mathbf{b} = (A_{n_1+1:n})^T \mathbf{k}$$
(2.5)

where we have used the discrete orthogonal property (2.3).

Suppose that the matrix product $(A_{n_1+1:n})^T P A_{1:n_2}$ is invertible, the unknown **b** and **a** can be solved through (2.5) and (2.4) subsequently. This assumption can be verified before using this non-polynomial interpolation since it is independent of the function to be interpolated. Hence we always assume that the matrix product $(A_{n_1+1:n})^T P A_{1:n_2}$ is invertible.

Formula (2.2) with the coefficients a and b forms the non-polynomial interpolation for logarithmically singular functions.

2.2 Computational complexity

The matrix-vector product $A^T \mathbf{u}$ computes $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} := [\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_{n-1}]^T$ by the discrete cosine transform of type II (shortened as DCT II) of \mathbf{u} , that is

$$\widetilde{u}_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j \cos \frac{k(2j+1)\pi}{2n}, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

where $\mathbf{u} := [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]^T$ is an arbitrary *n*-vector. This matrix-vector product can be evaluated fast in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ operations instead of $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. Similarly, the matrix-vector product $A\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ produces \mathbf{u} by the discrete cosine transform of type III (shortened as DCT III) of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and also can be computed efficiently with $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ operations.

We then present the analysis of the computational complexity of solving (2.5) by GMRES. For iterative methods, the key computation is the matrix-vector product. For this reason, we analyze the computational complexity of $(A_{n_1+1:n})^T P A_{1:n_2} \mathbf{u}$ where \mathbf{u} is a n_2 -vector. Let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ denote a *n*-vector by padding n_1 zeros at the end of \mathbf{u} . Then we have $A_{1:n_2}\mathbf{u} = A\hat{\mathbf{u}}$. The result of the matrix-vector product $(A_{n_1+1:n})^T P A_{1:n_2}\mathbf{u}$ is the last n_2 elements of the matrix-vector product $A^T P A \hat{\mathbf{u}}$. Since P is a diagonal matrix and the matrix-vector product of A and A^T can be calculated fast within $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ by the DCT III and the DCT II, respectively, the cost for $A^T P A \hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is about $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$. Hence a total computation complexity of GMRES in solving (2.5) depends on the iteration number and its most complexity is about $\mathcal{O}(n_2 n \log n)$.

The coefficients \boldsymbol{a} can be obtained from (2.4) fast within $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$. To show this, define two new n-vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}} := [a_0, \ldots, a_{n_1-1}, 0, \ldots, 0]^T$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}} := [b_0, \ldots, b_{n_2-1}, 0, \ldots, 0]^T$ by padding \boldsymbol{a} with n_2 zeros and padding \boldsymbol{b} with n_1 zeros, respectively. It is obtained obviously from (2.4) that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{a}} = D^{-1}A^T(\boldsymbol{k} - PA\hat{\mathbf{b}}).$$

Due the fast computation of the matrix-vector product $A\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and $A^T(\mathbf{k} - PA\hat{\mathbf{b}})$ within $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$, the computational complexity to get \mathbf{a} is about $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.

To sum up, the computational complexity for the non-polynomial interpolation is about $\mathcal{O}(n_2 n \log n)$.

2.3 Error Analysis

In this part, we discuss the non-polynomial interpolation error for the approximation of singular functions.

We first define the corresponding operator for the non-polynomial interpolation. For this purpose, let $I := [-1, 1] \setminus \{\alpha\}$ where $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$. By \mathbb{P}_{n_1} we denote the space of polynomials of degree less than n_1 . We define another space consisting of polynomials and some extra logarithmic functions by

$$\mathbb{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}(I) := \operatorname{span}\{x^j, x^k \log |x-\alpha| : j = 0, 1, \dots, n_1 - 1, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, n_2 - 1, \ x \in I\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{P}_{n_1} = \mathbb{S}_{n_1,0}^{\alpha}$. With the interpolation points $\{x_j : j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \subset I, n = n_1 + n_2$, by

$$\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{n_1,n_2}:C(I)\to\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{n_1,n_2}(I)$$

we denote the interpolation operator that maps the function $f \in C(I)$ onto a function $S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha} f \in S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}(I)$ with the property

$$\left(\mathcal{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}f\right)(x_j) = f(x_j), \ j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The operator S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} is linear and is a projection i.e. $(S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha})^2 = S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}$ since $S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}f = f$ for all $f \in \mathbb{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}(I)$. When $n_2 = 0$, the operator $S_{n_1,0}^{\alpha}$ is degenerated to the normal polynomial interpolation operator, shorten as $S_{n_1,0}$. When $n_2 > 0$, the interpolant $S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}f$ is a non-polynomial function with the logarithmic singularity.

For the purpose of bounding the interpolation error of the non-polynomial interpolation, we introduce a norm for the operator S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} . Define

$$\|\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{n_{1},n_{2}}\|_{1,\infty} := \sup_{f \in C(I), \|f\|_{\infty} \neq 0} \frac{\|\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{n_{1},n_{2}}f\|_{1}}{\|f\|_{\infty}}$$

where $||u||_1 := \int_{-1}^1 |u(t)| dt$ for $u \in L^1(I)$ and $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ is the maximum norm. If the maximum norm of $f \in C(I)$ is unbounded, $\frac{1}{||f||_{\infty}}$ is set to be 0. We give a bound for this operator norm. Suppose that the functions $\ell_j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ form a Lagrange-type basis for space $\mathbb{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}(I)$ satisfying

$$\ell_j(x_i) = \delta_{i,j},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. Since $\mathbb{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}(I) \subset L^1(I)$, there exists that $\|\ell_j\|_1 < \infty$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Lemma 2.1 If the operator S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} is the non-polynomial interpolation operator based on the space $S_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}(I)$ and points $\{x_j : j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \subset I$, then

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}\|_{1,\infty} \le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\ell_j\|_1$$

Proof: For $f \in C(I)$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}f = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(x_j)\ell_j$$

Together with the triangle inequality of the norm, we have from the above equation that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}f\|_1 \le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|f(x_j)\| \|\ell_j\|_1 \le \|f\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|\ell_j\|_1$$

The conclusion follows directly.

We now present the result of the interpolation error for the non-polynomial interpolation.

Theorem 2.2 If S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} is defined based on interpolation points $\{x_j : j = 0, 1, ..., n-1\} \subset I$, $n = n_1+n_2$, then the interpolation error of S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} , for functions of the form $K(x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x) \log |x-\alpha|$ with g_1 and g_2 smooth, satisfies

$$\|K - \mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha} K\|_1 \le 2(1 + \|\mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha}\|_{1, \infty}) \|\tilde{g}_1 - \mathcal{S}_{n_1, 0}\tilde{g}_1\|_{\infty},$$
(2.6)

where \tilde{g}_1 is defined by

$$\tilde{g}_1(x) = g_1(x) + (g_2(x) - \tilde{g}_2(x)) \log |x - \alpha|,$$
(2.7)

and

$$\tilde{g}_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_2-1} \frac{g_2^{(j)}(a)}{j!} (x-\alpha)^j.$$
(2.8)

Proof: For any $K \in C(I)$ with the form (1.1), it can be rewritten as

$$K(x) = \tilde{g}_1(x) + \tilde{g}_2(x) \log |x - \alpha|.$$

It is clear from the definition (2.7) that \tilde{g}_1 belongs to the Sobolev space $H^{n_2}(I)$. Since the product $\tilde{g}_2(x) \log |x - \alpha|$ is in space $\mathbb{S}^{\alpha}_{n_1,n_2}(I)$, there exists

$$\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{n_1,n_2}(\tilde{g}_2 \log|\cdot -\alpha|)(x) = \tilde{g}_2(x) \log|x-\alpha|.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \|K - \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{\alpha} K\|_{1} &= \|\tilde{g}_{1} - \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{\alpha} \tilde{g}_{1}\|_{1} \\ &= \|\tilde{g}_{1} - \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},0} \tilde{g}_{1} + \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},0} \tilde{g}_{1} - \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{\alpha} \tilde{g}_{1}\|_{1} \\ &\leq (1 + \|\mathcal{S}_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{\alpha}\|_{1,\infty}) \|\tilde{g}_{1} - \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},0} \tilde{g}_{1}\|_{1} \\ &\leq 2(1 + \|\mathcal{S}_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{\alpha}\|_{1,\infty}) \|\tilde{g}_{1} - \mathcal{S}_{n_{1},0} \tilde{g}_{1}\|_{\infty}. \end{split}$$
(2.9)

The proof finishes.

According to Theorem 2.2, the interpolation error of the new interpolation is bounded by the norm of S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} and the polynomial interpolation error of a smoother function. It shows that the enriched singular functions in the basis can catch the singularity of the singular function and make the new interpolation behave like interpolating a smoother function by the polynomials. Hence this new interpolation is suitable for the singular functions.

While implementing the new interpolation method, we always interpolate on the Chebyshev points since it is more stable and accurate. Besides, the value of n_2 is always set to be smaller than that

of n_1 . It is because when n_1 and n_2 are compatible and large, the linear system (2.5) could be unstable according to our numerical experience. Hence, we next give a concrete error bound of the new interpolation based on the Chebyshev points with n_2 smaller than n_1 . To this end, we recall the error bound of the polynomial interpolation based on the Chebyshev points listed as a lemma [17].

Lemma 2.3 If $f, f', \ldots, f^{(k-1)}$ are absolutely continuous on [-1,1] and if $\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|f^{(k)}(t)|}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt = V_k < \infty$ for some $k \ge 1$, then for each $n \ge k+2$,

$$\|f - \mathcal{S}_{n,0}f\|_{\infty} \le \frac{4V_k}{k\pi(n-1)\dots(n-k)},$$

where $S_{n,0}$ is based on *n* Chebyshev points of the first kind.

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we present the error bound for the new interpolation based on the Chebyshev points.

Corollary 2.4 If S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} is defined based on a set of n_1 Chebyshev points of the first kind and the other n_2 distinct points with $n_1 \ge n_2 + 2$, then the interpolation error of S_{n_1,n_2}^{α} , for functions of the form $K(x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x) \log |x - \alpha|$ with g_1 and g_2 smooth, satisfies

$$||K - \mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha}K||_1 \le \frac{8c(1 + ||\mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha}||_{1,\infty})}{\pi n_2(n_1 - 1)\dots(n_1 - n_2)},$$
(2.10)

where c is a constant depending on n_2 and α .

Proof: According to the proof of Theorem 2.2, it is clear that the regularity of \tilde{g}_1 is the same as $(x-\alpha)^{n_2} \log |x-\alpha|$. Due to the integral $\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|\log |x-\alpha||}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx < \infty$, there exists a constant c depending on α and n_2 such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|\tilde{g}^{(n_2)}(x)|}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = c < \infty$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$\|\tilde{g}_1 - \mathcal{S}_{n_1,0}\tilde{g}_1\|_{\infty} \le \frac{4c}{n_2\pi(n_1 - 1)\dots(n_1 - n_2)},\tag{2.11}$$

The interpolation error (2.10) follows directly from the combination of the result of Theorem 2.2 and (2.11).

If $n_1 \gg n_2$ and $\|\mathcal{S}_{n_1,n_2}^{\alpha}\|_{1,\infty}$ is bounded, it is known from Corollary 2.4 that the interpolation error in the L^1 -norm is about $\mathcal{O}(n^{-n_2})$.

3 New quadrature for singular integrals

In this section, we develop a new quadrature based on the fast non-polynomial interpolation for the logarithmically singular integrals which have the form

$$\int_{-1}^{1} K(x) dx$$
 (3.12)

where $K(x) = g_1(x) + \log |x - \alpha| g_2(x)$ with g_1 and g_2 smooth. These integrals occur in a wide range of practical applications such as electromagnetic and acoustic scattering problems in two dimensions and the analysis of wire antennas in three dimensions. Sometimes, functions g_1 and g_2 of the integrand are

mixed together in an expression and may be difficult to get their explicit expressions, or need much effort to evaluate each function, such as the kernel function of the Hallén's integral equation [16]. The quadrature we propose here can deal directly with the integral without analyzing the functions g_1 and g_2 separately.

Our original idea to compute integrals of this kind can be decomposed into two steps. The first one is to find an approximation of the form

$$\tilde{g}_1(x) + \log |x - \alpha| \tilde{g}_2(x)$$

for the function K. This step shall be implemented by the non-polynomial interpolation method. The other step is to compute the integrals

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{g}_1(x) dx$$
 and $\int_{-1}^{1} \log |x - \alpha| \tilde{g}_2(x) dx$

analytically by using moments related to Chebyshev polynomials. For this purpose, we need the computation of moments of the form

$$\xi_k = \int_{-1}^1 T_k(x)w(x)dx, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

for w(x) = 1 or $w(x) = \log |x - \alpha|$. Fortunately, both of these two type moments are known. To make the integration complete, we recall here the expressions for the moments. For w(x) = 1, the moment, denoted as $\xi_{1,k}$, can be obtained by making change of variables $t = \cos x$,

$$\xi_{1,k} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{1-k^2}, \ k \text{ is even,} \\ 0, \ k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

When $w(x) = \log |x - \alpha|$, the moment, denoted as $\xi_{2,k}$, can be evaluated fast indirectly by the linear three-term recurrence [8]. Let

$$\eta_k := \int_{-1}^1 U_k(x) \log |x - \alpha| dx, k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

where U_k is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind and of degree k, and set $\eta_{-1} = 0$. It is known that

$$\eta_0 = (1 - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha) + (1 + \alpha) \log(1 + \alpha) - 2,$$

and η_k satisfies the following linear three-term recurrence

$$\eta_k = \frac{2\alpha k}{k+1}\eta_{k-1} - \frac{k-1}{k+1}\eta_{k-2} + \gamma_k$$

where

$$\gamma_k = \frac{2}{k+1} \begin{cases} (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) + (1+\alpha)\log(1+\alpha) + \frac{2}{k^2-1}, \text{ for even } k, \\ (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) - (1+\alpha)\log(1+\alpha), \text{ for odd } k. \end{cases}$$

Then the moment $\xi_{2,k}$ can be obtained through

$$\xi_{2,0} = \eta_0, \ \xi_{2,k} = \frac{\eta_k - \eta_{k-2}}{2}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

When $\alpha = \pm 1$, the values for η_0 and γ_k can be derived by taking the limit $\alpha \to \pm 1$.

Once we get the coefficients a and b of the decomposition of K, the new quadrature for $I[K] := \int_{-1}^{1} K(x) dx$ is given by

$$I_{n_1,n_2}[K] := \sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} a_j \xi_{1,j} + \sum_{j=0}^{n_2-1} b_j \xi_{2,j}.$$

Thus the integral (3.12) can be computed fast within about $\mathcal{O}(n_2 n \log n)$ operations. Applying Corollary 2.4 directly implies that the integral error for the new quadrature satisfies

$$|I[K] - I_{n_1, n_2}[K]| = \left| \int_{-1}^{1} K(x) - \mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha} K(x) dx \right| \le ||K - \mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha} K||_1$$

$$\le \frac{8c(1 + ||\mathcal{S}_{n_1, n_2}^{\alpha}||_{1, \infty})}{\pi n_2(n_1 - 1) \dots (n_1 - n_2)},$$
(3.14)

where c is a constant depending on n_2 and α .

4 Numerical results

We present in this section numerical examples to show the efficiency of the proposed new interpolation for functions with the logarithmic singularity and that of the new quadrature for singular integrals.

As the first example, we consider the non-polynomial interpolation of function

$$K_1(x) = \sin(x) + e^x \log |x - \alpha|.$$

Setting $\alpha = -1$, we show the dependence of the interpolation error on n_1 and n_2 . Let $e_{n_1,n_2}(K)$ denotes the numerical L^1 norm of the interpolation error computed by the Fejér rule of the first kind on a graded mesh with 1024 points. The Fejér rule of the first kind is an interpolatory quadrature obtained by using the Chebyshev points as nodes and its performance is often comparable to Gaussian rules [14]. We set n = [4, 8, 16, 32] with $n_2 = 1, 2, 3$ where $n = n_1 + n_2$. As a comparison, we also apply the polynomial interpolation based on Chebyshev polynomials of degree less than n_1 to smoother functions related to K_1 . The functions are

$$S_{1}(x) = \sin(x) + (e^{x} - e^{\alpha}) \log |x - \alpha|,$$

$$S_{2}(x) = \sin(x) + (e^{x} - e^{\alpha} - e^{\alpha}(x - \alpha)) \log |x - \alpha|,$$

$$S_{3}(x) = \sin(x) + (e^{x} - e^{\alpha} - e^{\alpha}(x - \alpha) - e^{\alpha}(x - \alpha)^{2}/2) \log |x - \alpha|$$

and $S_j \in H^j(I)$, j = 1, 2, 3. Their errors, denoted by $e_{n_1,0}(S_j)$ without ambiguity, are measured by the numerical maximum norm computed by sampling 100000 equally spaced points on (-1, 1]. According to Theorem 2.2, there exists $e_{n_1,j}(K) \leq 2(1 + \|\mathcal{S}_{n_1,j}^{\alpha}\|_{1,\infty})e_{n_1,0}(S_j)$. The result of the errors is shown in Table 1 which indicates that the errors of the new interpolation are much smaller than those of the polynomial interpolation for smoother functions. It is compatible with the theory. Besides, we also show the performance of the new interpolation when $n_1 < n_2$ by approximating the same function K_1 . Set n = [4, 8, 16, 32] with $n_1 = 1, 2, 3$ where $n_2 = n - n_1$ and their errors are shown in Table 2. It shows that the new interpolation is valid for both the cases.

For the second part of the first example, we explore the dependence of the interpolation error on the singular point, i.e. the dependence on α . To this end, we let the values of α range over [-1,1]and *n* ranges in [8 16 32] with $n_2 = 2$. The dependence of the L^1 norm of the interpolation error on α is drawn in Figure 1. It shows that the error of the new interpolation highly rely on the singular point α . When α is close to ± 1 , the interpolation error is much smaller. It is because that the

	Table 1. Item polynomial interpolation errors with $n_2 < n_1$							
n	$e_{n_1,1}(K_1)$	$e_{n_1,0}(S_1)$	$e_{n_1,2}(K_1)$	$e_{n_1,0}(S_2)$	$e_{n_1,3}(K_1)$	$e_{n_1,0}(S_3)$		
4	3.0409e - 02	1.6291e + 00	1.1719e - 01	2.8021e + 00	4.7287e - 02	1.4506e + 00		
8	4.7454e - 04	4.1793e - 02	1.3966e - 04	2.2144e - 02	1.9498e - 03	8.2544e - 02		
16	3.1122e - 05	7.7639e - 03	6.6101e - 07	1.5493e - 04	3.0596e - 08	7.7457e - 06		
32	1.9609e - 06	1.6711e - 03	9.9881e - 09	6.2167e - 06	1.0462e - 10	4.5946e - 08		

Table 1: Non-polynomial interpolation errors with $n_2 < n_1$

Table 2: Non-polynomial interpolation errors with $n_2 > n_1$

n	$e_{1,n_2}(K_1)$	$e_{2,n_2}(K_1)$	$e_{3,n_2}(K_1)$
4	4.7287e - 02	1.1719e - 01	3.0409e - 02
8	7.0331e - 03	2.0282e - 04	1.1268e - 03
16	1.7808e - 03	5.2863e - 06	1.2492e - 07
32	4.5226e - 04	2.5225e - 07	1.3404e - 09

interpolation points are clustered near ± 1 . Though the interpolation is proposed for any α in [-1, 1], numerical results suggest that it is wise to separate the domain into two parts and then to transform each segment to [-1, 1] such that the singularity lies at one end. We also present the dependence of the interpolation error in L^1 norm on the singular point α when combining the splitting technique in Figure 2.

For the second example, we consider the interpolation of the functions which frequently occur in physical applications, including the Hankel function of the first kind and of zero order

$$K_2(x) = H_0^{(1)}(x+1)$$

and the kernel-related function of the Hallén equation [16]

$$K_3(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{e^{-j2\beta\sqrt{(x+1)^2 + \sin^2 \phi'}}}{\sqrt{(x+1)^2 + \sin^2 \phi'}} d\phi',$$

 $x \in (-1,1], \beta = 0.1$ and $j = \sqrt{-1}$. It is known that both of K_2 and K_3 have the form (1.1) with $\alpha = -1$. Thus they can be theoretically interpolated well by the non-polynomial interpolation. The setting for the interpolation is n = [4, 8, 16, 32] and $n_2 = [1, 2, 3]$. The result of interpolation errors for these two functions is presented in Table 3. It is shown clearly that the non-polynomial interpolation is very effective for these two functions. Besides, it shows that the interpolation error with $n_2 = 1$ is compatible with that of the case $n_2 = 2$ for both functions K_2 and K_3 . We note that the error depends closely on the characteristics of the "coefficient function" g_2 . For Hankel function K_2 , it is found that when $x \to -1$, "coefficient function" g_2 of Hankel function is given by

$$g_2(x) \approx \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi}(x+1)^2 + \frac{1}{32\pi}(x+1)^4 + \dots$$

When appending the extra function $(x + 1) \log(x + 1)$ into the interpolation basis, it makes little contribution in approximating the Hankel function. For K_3 , it is known from [1] that the "coefficient function" g_2 can be represented by an integral

$$g_2(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\cos\left(2\beta(x+1)\sin\phi'\right)}{\sqrt{1+(1+x)^2\cos^2\phi'}} d\phi'.$$





Figure 1: Interpolation errors of function K_1 depending on α

Figure 2: Interpolation errors of function K_1 depending on α by dividing the domain into two parts such that the singularity lies at the end

Since g_2 of K_3 is symmetric with respect to x = -1, it has the similar series as that of K_2 . It is why the interpolation errors with $n_2 = 2$ make no improvement for both K_2 and K_3 comparing with the case of $n_2 = 1$.

			r		j,j	_, _
n	$e_{n_1,1}(K_2)$	$e_{n_1,2}(K_2)$	$e_{n_1,3}(K_2)$	$e_{n_1,1}(K_3)$	$e_{n_1,2}(K_3)$	$e_{n_1,3}(K_3)$
4	3.7045e - 03	6.4868e - 03	1.9308e - 03	6.6762e - 04	2.0078e - 03	1.0407e - 03
8	1.7024e - 05	1.4173e - 05	6.2309e - 06	5.8486e - 06	6.6474e - 06	3.6781e - 05
16	2.2367e - 07	1.5480e - 07	2.6255e - 10	1.1602e - 07	7.9743e - 08	3.2625e - 09
32	3.3489e - 09	2.2021e - 09	1.4529e - 13	1.7410e - 09	1.1444e - 09	8.2550e - 13

Table 3: Non-polynomial interpolation errors for functions K_i , j = 2, 3

We next present numerical examples to show the efficiency for the new quadrature based on the non-polynomial interpolation. For this purpose, we compute the integrals with logarithmically singular integrands:

$$I_1 := \int_{-1}^{1} (\sin x + e^x \log(x+1)) dx$$

and

$$I_2(\alpha) := \int_{-1}^1 H_0^{(1)}(|x-\alpha|)dx$$
, with $\alpha = -1$ and $1/4$.

The reference values are derived from Mathematica 10.0 with 15 exact digits. To compute integrals I_1 and $I_2(\alpha)$, we set the number of quadrature nodes n = [4, 8, 16, 32] with $n_2 = 1, 2, 3$. According to the result of the first example, we do not calculate the integral $I_2(1/4)$ directly, but make a transformation such that the singularity locates at -1, i.e.

$$I_2(\alpha) = \int_{-1}^1 \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{2} H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2} (t+1) \right) + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} H_0^{(1)} \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2} (t+1) \right) \right] dt$$

We note that the new integrand of $I_2(\alpha)$ still has the form (1.1). The absolute error between the approximation and the reference value is shown, respectively for I_1 , $I_2(-1)$ and $I_2(1/4)$, in Table 4, 5 and 6. For comparison, we also present the commonly used method, combining graded meshes with Fejér rule of the first kind by transforming [-1,1] to [0,1]. The number of segments is selected the same as n and the number of quadrature nodes on each segment is chose to be 4. The graded parameter q is set to be 4, i.e. the graded mesh is $\{(j/n)^4 : j = 0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. It is obvious that the new quadrature for the logarithmically singular integrals is more accurate than the classical method and it needs much less evaluations of the integrands. In this example, the classical method needs four times of the evaluations of function compared with the new integration. To show the efficiency of the graded mesh method in computing I_1 and $I_2(-1)$ when attaching the same tolerance which is shown in Table 7 and 8. The new quadrature method takes about $9 \times 10^{-4}s$ while the classical method in calculating the logarithmically singular integrals.

Table 4:	Absolute	Errors	of com	putation	of I_1
----------	----------	--------	--------	----------	----------

n	$n_2 = 1$	$n_2 = 2$	$n_2 = 3$	Graded mesh
4	3.2523e - 03	3.0721e - 03	2.9601e - 04	2.5763e - 04
8	5.5618e - 05	8.1836e - 06	3.7523e - 04	1.8757e - 05
16	3.5207e - 06	1.5837e - 07	9.9447e - 09	1.8099e - 06
32	2.2078e - 07	2.4433e - 09	3.5326e - 11	1.7045e - 07

Table 5: Absolute Errors of computation of $I_2(-1)$

n	$n_2 = 1$	$n_2 = 2$	$n_2 = 3$	Graded mesh
4	7.3757e - 04	1.7811e - 03	1.7071e - 03	9.8617e - 04
8	1.1963e - 06	8.9449e - 06	3.3051e - 05	9.1426e - 05
16	2.1273e - 08	1.4550e - 07	1.2065e - 09	7.5273e - 06
32	3.3892e - 10	2.1459e - 09	8.0437e - 13	5.8297e - 07

Table 6: Absolute Errors of computation of $I_2(1/4)$

			I I	- (/ /
n	$n_2 = 1$	$n_2 = 2$	$n_2 = 3$	Graded mesh
4	1.3000e - 04	5.0916e - 04	4.8218e - 04	1.0289e - 03
8	3.5141e - 07	3.4277e - 06	2.3821e - 06	9.5176e - 05
16	6.3122e - 09	4.3286e - 08	1.2532e - 10	7.7819e - 06
32	1.0061e - 10	6.3712e - 10	6.8883e - 14	5.9920e - 07

5 Acknowledgement

This research is partially supported by the Construct Program of the Key Laboratory of High Performance Computing and Stochastic Information Processing and Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 11401207,11271370. The authors are grateful to anonymous referees for their helpful comments and useful suggestions for improvement of this paper.

Table 7: CPU Time of computing I_1

	$n_2 = 1$	$n_2 = 2$	$n_2 = 3$	Graded mesh
n	256	64	32	256
Error	5.3959e - 11	3.8044e - 11	3.5326e - 11	8.8474e - 11
Time(s)	9.2483e - 04	8.4059e - 04	8.5580e - 04	1.4313e - 03

Table 8: CPU Time of computing $I_2(-1)$

	$n_2 = 1$	$n_2 = 2$	$n_2 = 3$	Graded mesh
n	32	64	32	256
Error	3.3892e - 10	3.3037e - 11	8.0437e - 13	2.2454e - 10
Time(s)	8.2928e - 04	8.8050e - 04	8.8022e - 04	1.5378e - 03

References

- [1] O. BRUNO AND C. GEUZAINE, An integration scheme for three-dimensional surface scattering problems, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 204 (2007), pp. 463–476.
- [2] O. P. BRUNO AND M. C. HASLAM, Regularity theory and superalgebraic solvers for wire antenna problems, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 29 (2007), p. 1375–1402.
- [3] Y. CAO, T. HERDMAN, AND Y. XU, A hybrid collocation method for Volterra integral equations with weakly singular kernels, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 41 (2003), pp. 364–381.
- [4] Y. CAO AND Y. XU, Singularity preserving Galerkin methods for weakly singular Fredholm integral equations, Journal of Integral Equations and Applications, 6 (1994), pp. 303–334.
- [5] R. CHEN AND X. ZHOU, On quadrature of highly oscillatory integrals with logarithmic singularities, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 265 (2015), pp. 973–982.
- [6] D. COLTON AND R. KRESS, Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, 3rd ed., 2013.
- [7] J. CROW, Quadrature of integrands with a logarithmic singularity, Mathematics of Computation, 60 (1993), pp. 297–301.
- [8] V. DOMINGUEZ, Filon-Clenshaw-Curtis rules for a class of highly-oscillatory integrals with logarithmic singularities, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 261 (2014), pp. 299– 319.
- [9] T. HASEGAWA AND H. SUGIURA, Uniform approximation to Cauchy principal value integrals with logarithmic singularity, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 327 (2018), pp. 1–11.
- [10] H. KANG, Numerical integration of oscillatory airy integrals with singularities on an infinite interval, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 333 (2018), pp. 314–326.
- [11] H. KANG AND C. LING, Computation of integrals with oscillatory singular factors of algebraic and logarithmic type, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 285 (2015), pp. 72–98.

- [12] H. KANG AND J. MA, Quadrature rules and asymptotic expansions for two classes of oscillatory Bessel integrals with singularities of algebraic or logarithmic type, Appl. Numer. Math., 118 (2017), pp. 277–291.
- [13] J. R. RICE, On the degree of convergence of nonlinear spline approximation, in Approximations with Special Emphasis on Spline Functions, I. J. Schoenburg, ed., Academic, 1969.
- [14] L. N. TREFETHEN, Is Gauss quadrature better than Clenshaw-Curtis?, SIAM Review, 50 (2008), pp. 67–87.
- [15] H. WANG, On the convergence rate of Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature for integrals with algebraic endpoint singularities, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 333 (2018), pp. 87–98.
- [16] Y. WANG, J. LUO, X. CHEN, AND L. SUN, A Chebyshev collocation method for Hallén's equation of thin wire antennas, COMPEL: The International Journal for Computation and Mathematics in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 34 (2015), pp. 1319 – 1334.
- [17] S. XIANG, X. CHEN, AND H. WANG, Error bounds for approximation in Chebyshev points, Numerische Mathematik, 116 (2010), pp. 463–491.